



This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines


Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:


- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at <http://books.google.com/>



DL
AR
EM
v. 2



DL
AR
EM
v. 2

A TREATISE
ON THE
LAW OF MORTGAGES
PLEDGES AND HYPOTHECATIONS.

(FOUNDED ON COOTE'S LAW OF MORTGAGES.)

BY
LEOPOLD GEORGE GORDON ROBBINS,
OF LINCOLN'S INN, BARRISTER-AT-LAW.

ASSISTED BY
FREDERICK TRENTHAM MAW,
OF LINCOLN'S INN, BARRISTER-AT-LAW.

VOL. II.

LONDON:
STEVENS AND SONS, LTD., 119 & 120, CHANCERY LANE;
SWEET AND MAXWELL, LTD., 3, CHANCERY LANE,
Law Publishers.
1897

I 1028

JAN 3 1930

Y8A98U 0807HAT2

LONDON:

PRINTED BY O. F. BOWORTH, GREAT NEW STREET, FETTER LANE, E.C.

CONTENTS TO SECOND VOLUME.

Part VI.

OF THE ESTATE, RIGHTS, LIABILITIES, AND REMEDIES OF THE MORTGAGEE, AND PERSONS CLAIMING UNDER HIM.

CHAPTER XLII.

OF THE NATURE AND INCIDENTS OF THE ESTATE OF THE MORTGAGEE.

SECTION I.

RIGHTS INCIDENT TO MORTGAGEE'S ESTATE.

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| i. General Remarks | 791 |
| ii. Personal Rights and Privileges of Mortgagee | 792 |
| iii. Action by Mortgagee for Protection of the Security | 792 |

SECTION II.

OF A MORTGAGEE IN POSSESSION.

| | |
|---|-----|
| i. Right of Mortgagee to Possession | 796 |
| ii. Leases by Mortgagee in Possession | 800 |
| iii. Effect of Entry into Possession | 800 |
| iv. Liabilities of Mortgagee in Possession | 801 |
| v. What amounts to taking Possession | 806 |
| vi. Delivery of Possession to Mortgagor on Redemption | 808 |

SECTION III.

OF THE RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF A MORTGAGEE AS TO POSSESSION OF TITLE DEEDS.

| | |
|--|-----|
| i. Right of Mortgagee to Deeds generally | 808 |
| ii. What Owners of Land are entitled to Custody of Title Deeds | 811 |
| iii. Liability of Mortgagee to produce Deeds | 814 |
| iv. Loss of Title Deeds | 816 |

SECTION IV.

OF ALIENATION OF THE SECURITY.

| | |
|---------------------------------|-----|
| i. Transfer of Mortgage | 819 |
| ii. Sub-Mortgage | 830 |

SECTION V.

OF DEVOLUTION AND DEVISE OF LEGAL ESTATE IN MORTGAGED PROPERTY.

| | |
|---|-----|
| i. Devolution, &c., of Legal Estate prior to 1874 | 822 |
| ii. Devolution, &c., of Legal Estate under the Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874 | 839 |
| iii. Devolution of Legal Estate under Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 | 840 |

SECTION VI.

OF THE BENEFICIAL INTEREST OF A MORTGAGEE.

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| i. Devolution of Beneficial Interest under Mortgage | 844 |
| ii. Bequests of Beneficial Interests in Mortgage | 847 |
| iii. Liability of Mortgagee's Interest to Claims of Creditors | 851 |
| iv. Devolution, &c. after Union of Interest of Mortgagee with Equity of Redemption | 851 |

CHAPTER XLIII.

OF THE CONSOLIDATION OF SECURITIES.

| | |
|---|-----|
| i. Nature and Operation of the Doctrine of Consolidation generally .. | 855 |
| ii. Against what Persons Mortgages may be Consolidated | 858 |
| iii. Restrictions on the Right to Consolidate | 862 |
| iv. Loss of the Right to Consolidate | 865 |
| v. Effect of the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, s. 17 .. | 865 |

CHAPTER XLIV.

OF THE REMEDIES OF MORTGAGEES FOR ENFORCING SECURITIES
GENERALLY.

| | |
|--|-----|
| i. All Remedies may be pursued at once | 867 |
| ii. When the Mortgagee will be precluded from exercising all his Remedies | 870 |
| iii. Staying Proceedings under Statute 7 Geo. II. c. 20 | 873 |
| iv. Remedies of Debenture Holders generally | 878 |

CHAPTER XLV.

OF POWERS OF SALE.

SECTION I.

OF A MORTGAGEE'S RIGHT OF SALE UNDER EXPRESS AND STATUTORY POWERS.

| | |
|---|-----|
| i. Of Express Powers of, and Trusts for Sale in Mortgages | 881 |
| ii. Of Powers of Sale under Lord Cranworth's Act | 882 |
| iii. Of Powers of Sale under the Conveyancing, &c., Act, 1881 | 884 |
| iv. Powers of Sale under the Land Transfer Act, 1875 | 885 |

SECTION II.

OF THE EXERCISE OF POWERS OF SALE BY MORTGAGEES.

| | |
|---|-----|
| i. By what Persons Powers of Sale are exercisable | 886 |
| ii. In what Events Powers of Sale become exercisable—Notice—Pro- tection of Purchasers | 893 |
| iii. Conduct of the Sale | 901 |
| iv. Sale under Special Conditions | 902 |
| v. Interference of Court in Sales by Mortgagees | 903 |
| vi. Who may Purchase | 906 |
| vii. The Conveyance—Delivery of Title Deeds | 907 |
| viii. Receipt for the Purchase Money | 909 |
| ix. Application of the Purchase Money | 910 |

CHAPTER XLVI.

OF THE APPOINTMENT OF A RECEIVER.

SECTION I.

OF A RECEIVER APPOINTED BY THE PARTIES.

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| i. Mode of appointing a Receiver independently of Statute | 916 |
| ii. Appointment of a Receiver under Lord Cranworth's Act | 918 |
| iii. Appointment of a Receiver under the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 | 919 |
| iv. Powers, Duties, &c., of a Receiver appointed by Deed.. .. | 922 |

SECTION II.

OF A RECEIVER APPOINTED BY THE COURT.

| | |
|---|-----|
| i. Jurisdiction to appoint a Receiver | 924 |
| ii. In what cases and at whose instance a Receiver will be appointed .. | 928 |
| iii. Over what Property a Receiver may be appointed | 931 |
| iv. Who may be appointed Receiver | 939 |
| v. Security to be given by Receiver | 942 |
| vi. Possession of Receiver | 943 |
| vii. Powers and Duties of Receivers | 948 |
| viii. Remuneration and Expenses of Receiver | 957 |
| ix. Discharge of Receiver | 958 |

CHAPTER XLVII.

OF ACTIONS ON PERSONAL COVENANTS FOR PAYMENT IN MORTGAGES.

| | |
|--|-----|
| i. Right of Action on Covenant generally | 959 |
| ii. Who may Sue on the Covenant | 959 |
| iii. When and under what Conditions the Right of Action on the Covenant arises | 961 |
| iv. Bar of Right of Action by Inability to Reconvey | 962 |
| v. Upon what Persons the Liability under the Covenant attaches .. | 964 |

CHAPTER XLVIII.

OF THE STATUTES OF LIMITATION IN BAR OF A MORTGAGEE'S RIGHT OF ACTION ON COVENANT OR DEBT.

SECTION I.

BAR OF MORTGAGEE'S RIGHT TO RECOVER THE PRINCIPAL.

| | |
|---|-----|
| i. Actions on the Covenant to Recover Principal Moneys charged on Land or Rents | 971 |
| ii. Actions on the Covenant to Recover Principal Moneys charged on Personalty | 974 |
| iii. Actions of Debt to Recover Principal Moneys | 974 |
| iv. Time from which the Statutes of Limitation begin to run | 975 |
| v. Part Payment and Acknowledgment in Writing | 977 |
| vi. Disabilities | 987 |

SECTION II.

BAR OF MORTGAGEE'S RIGHT TO ARREARS OF INTEREST.

| | PAGE |
|--|------|
| i. What Arrears of Interest are recoverable in Actions of Covenant or Debt | 988 |
| ii. Acknowledgment | 992 |
| iii. Express Trusts | 992 |
| iv. Protection of Puise Incumbrancers | 993 |
| v. Disabilities | 993 |

CHAPTER XLIX.

OF FORECLOSURE AND SALE BY ORDER OF THE COURT.

SECTION I.

OF THE RIGHT TO FORECLOSE GENERALLY, AND THE NECESSARY PARTIES TO AN ACTION FOR FORECLOSURE.

| | |
|---|------|
| i. Who may Foreclose a Mortgage | 994 |
| ii. What Mortgaged Property may be Foreclosed | 999 |
| iii. When the Right to Foreclosure arises | 1002 |
| iv. Parties to an Action for Foreclosure | 1003 |

SECTION II.

JURISDICTION IN ACTIONS FOR FORECLOSURE.

| | |
|---|------|
| i. General Jurisdiction.. .. . | 1016 |
| ii. Jurisdiction to Order Sale instead of Foreclosure | 1016 |

SECTION III.

PROCEDURE AND PRACTICE IN FORECLOSURE ACTIONS.

| | |
|--|------|
| i. How an Action for Foreclosure must be commenced | 1018 |
| ii. Pleadings in Action for Foreclosure or Sale | 1021 |
| iii. Evidence | 1022 |

SECTION IV.

DECREE NISI FOR FORECLOSURE.

| | |
|--|------|
| i. Form of Decree | 1024 |
| ii. Order for Taking the Accounts | 1026 |
| iii. Time allowed for Redemption | 1027 |
| iv. Payment under Decree Nisi.. .. . | 1034 |
| v. Order for Sale in lieu of Foreclosure | 1036 |

SECTION V.

FORECLOSURE ABSOLUTE.

| | |
|--|------|
| i. Final Order for Foreclosure.. .. . | 1041 |
| ii. Order for Delivery of Possession | 1045 |
| iii. Order for Delivery of Title Deeds | 1046 |
| iv. Opening the Foreclosure | 1047 |

SECTION VI.

FORECLOSURE OR SALE IN SPECIAL CASES.

| | PAGE |
|--|------|
| i. Foreclosure or Sale against Infants | 1052 |
| ii. Foreclosure against Married Women | 1056 |
| iii. Foreclosure or Sale against the Crown | 1057 |

CHAPTER L.

OF THE STATUTES OF LIMITATION IN BAR OF FORECLOSURE.

| | |
|---|------|
| i. Application of the Statutes to Actions for Foreclosure | 1058 |
| ii. Bar of Action for Foreclosure twelve years after Right of Action accrued | 1059 |
| iii. When the Right of Action first accrues.. .. . | 1062 |
| iv. Payment of Principal or Interest | 1063 |
| v. Institution of Action by Mortgagee | 1064 |
| vi. What Acknowledgment is sufficient to keep alive the Right of Foreclosure | 1066 |
| vii. Savings in Case of Disabilities | 1068 |
| viii. Fraud | 1071 |
| ix. Express Trusts | 1072 |
| x. Extinguishment of Right of Party out of Possession | 1073 |

CHAPTER LI.

OF THE REMEDIES OF A MORTGAGEE ON THE BANKRUPTCY OF THE
MORTGAGOR.

| | |
|--|------|
| i. General Jurisdiction of the Courts in Bankruptcy | 1075 |
| ii. Effect of Receiving Order on Rights of Secured Creditors | 1079 |
| iii. Proof by Secured Creditors | 1089 |
| iv. Sale in Bankruptcy | 1094 |

CHAPTER LII.

OF THE REMEDIES OF A MORTGAGEE IN ADMINISTRATION OF THE
ESTATE OF A DECEASED MORTGAGOR.

| | |
|--|------|
| i. Creditor's Administration Action in Chancery Division | 1105 |
| ii. Administration of Insolvent Estates in Bankruptcy | 1108 |
| iii. Proof by Secured Creditors in Administration | 1110 |
| iv. Costs | 1113 |

CHAPTER LIII.

OF THE REMEDIES OF DEBENTURE-HOLDERS AND MORTGAGEES OF
COMPANIES.

| | |
|--|------|
| i. Actions by Debenture-holders, &c., to enforce Securities before Wind- ing-up | 1117 |
| ii. Jurisdiction in the Winding-up of Companies | 1120 |
| iii. Petitions for Winding-up | 1121 |

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| iv. Effect of Winding-up Proceedings on Rights of Action of Debenture-holders and Mortgagees of Companies | 1125 |
| v. Proof by Secured Creditors in a Winding-up | 1128 |
| vi. Schemes of Arrangement | 1132 |

CHAPTER LIV.

OF THE RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF A MORTGAGEE WITH RESPECT TO TAKING THE ACCOUNTS BETWEEN HIM AND THE MORTGAGOR.

SECTION I.

OF ACCOUNTS BETWEEN MORTGAGEES AND MORTGAGORS GENERALLY.

| | |
|---|------|
| i. Reference of Accounts to Chambers | 1137 |
| ii. Set-off | 1138 |
| iii. Further Accounts | 1139 |
| iv. Who are bound by the Accounts | 1140 |
| v. Opening Accounts | 1141 |
| vi. Surcharge and Falsification of Accounts | 1142 |
| vii. Action to Open, &c. Accounts of Costs for which a Mortgage is given to a Solicitor | 1144 |

SECTION II.

OF ACCOUNTS OF PRINCIPAL.

| | |
|---|------|
| i. General Right of Mortgagee to Payment of Principal | 1145 |
| ii. Bonus or Commission for Loan | 1145 |
| iii. Further Advances | 1147 |
| iv. Bond Debts | 1151 |
| v. Simple Contract Debts | 1152 |

SECTION III.

OF ACCOUNTS OF INTEREST.

| | |
|--|------|
| i. Right of Mortgagee to Interest generally | 1153 |
| ii. Rate of Interest | 1155 |
| iii. Conversion of Interest into Principal | 1162 |
| iv. Interest on Arrears of Annuity | 1167 |
| v. What Arrears of Interest are recoverable on taking Accounts | 1168 |
| vi. Interest after Judgment | 1172 |

SECTION IV.

OF ACCOUNTS OF COSTS, CHARGES, AND EXPENSES.

| | |
|--|------|
| i. Right of Mortgagee to Costs, &c., generally | 1174 |
| ii. Costs of and incident to Actions for Foreclosure or Redemption | 1185 |
| iii. Extraordinary Costs, Charges, and Expenses | 1191 |

SECTION V.

OF ACCOUNTS AGAINST MORTGAGEES IN POSSESSION.

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| i. Mode of taking the Accounts generally | 1200 |
| ii. Account of Moneys received by Mortgagee | 1201 |
| iii. Allowances to Mortgagee for Outgoings | 1203 |
| iv. Of taking Accounts with Rests | 1207 |

SECTION VI.

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------|
| OF APPROPRIATION OF PAYMENTS | 1212 |
|--------------------------------------|------|



Part VII.

OF PRIORITY OF MORTGAGEES.

CHAPTER LV.

OF THE PRIORITY AS BETWEEN THEMSELVES OF SUCCESSIVE
MORTGAGES OF LAND.

SECTION I.

OF THE PRIORITY OF A MORTGAGEE HAVING THE LEGAL ESTATE.

| | |
|--|------|
| i. Where Equities are equal Legal Estate prevails.. .. . | 1214 |
| ii. Equitable Mortgagee with best Right to Legal Estate.. .. . | 1217 |
| iii. Judgment Creditor | 1218 |

SECTION II.

OF TACKING.

| | |
|---|------|
| i. Nature and Extent of the Doctrine of Tacking generally | 1219 |
| ii. Rules in <i>Brace v. Duchess of Marlborough</i> | 1221 |
| iii. Tacking against Sureties | 1235 |

SECTION III.

OF PRIORITY AS BETWEEN EQUITABLE MORTGAGES OF LAND.

| | |
|--|------|
| i. Notice not applicable to Land | 1236 |
| ii. Priority of Equitable Mortgages of Land regulated by Order of Date.. .. . | 1237 |

SECTION IV.

PRIORITY BY REGISTRATION OF MORTGAGES OF LAND.

| | |
|--|------|
| i. The Statutes relating to Registration of Deeds, &c., relating to Land | 1240 |
| ii. What Instruments require Registration | 1243 |
| iii. Priority of Deeds, &c., by Date of Registration.. .. . | 1246 |

CHAPTER LVI.

OF PRIORITIES AS BETWEEN THEMSELVES OF SUCCESSIVE
MORTGAGEES OF PERSONALTY.

SECTION I.

OF PRIORITY AS BETWEEN MORTGAGEES OF EQUITABLE INTERESTS IN
PERSONALTY, OR OF DEBTS AND OTHER CHOSSES IN ACTION.

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| i. Of Priority by Notice generally | 1253 |
| ii. Notice to Trustees of Mortgaged Fund | 1260 |
| iii. Notice of Mortgage of Debts and other Choses in Action | 1265 |
| iv. Notice of Mortgages of Policies of Life Assurances | 1267 |
| v. Notice of Mortgages of Shares in Joint Stock Companies | 1269 |
| vi. Notice of Mortgages of Freight and Cargo | 1272 |
| vii. Application of Doctrine of Tacking to Personality | 1273 |

SECTION II.

OF PRIORITY OBTAINED IN CERTAIN CASES BY LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

| | |
|---|------|
| i. Notice in lieu of Distringas | 1274 |
| ii. Stop Orders on Funds in Court | 1276 |

SECTION III.

PRIORITY BY REGISTRATION.

| | |
|--|------|
| i. Priorities of Bills of Sale of Chattels | 1282 |
| ii. Priorities of Mortgages of Ships | 1285 |

CHAPTER LVII.

OF THE PRIORITY OF SECURITIES OF COMPANIES.

| | |
|---|------|
| i. Securities of Railway and other Public Companies | 1287 |
| ii. Securities of Joint Stock Companies | 1289 |

CHAPTER LVIII.

OF MATTERS WHEREBY THE PRIORITY OF A MORTGAGEE MAY BE
POSTPONED.

SECTION I.

OF LOSS OF PRIORITY BY FRAUD OR LACHES.

| | |
|---|------|
| i. Of Fraud as affecting a Mortgagee's Priority | 1293 |
| ii. Of Laches as affecting a Mortgagee's Priority | 1297 |

SECTION II.

OF LOSS OF PRIORITY BY NOTICE OF PRIOR INCUMBRANCES OR OTHER EQUITIES.

| | |
|--|------|
| i. Of Notice as affecting a Mortgagee's Priority generally | 1299 |
| ii. As to pleading Purchase for Value without Notice | 1303 |
| iii. Actual and Constructive Notice | 1305 |

CONTENTS TO SECOND VOLUME.

xiii

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| iv. Notice of a Deed is Notice of its Contents | 1308 |
| v. Constructive Notice of Matters affecting the Title | 1313 |
| vi. Constructive Notice from known Facts | 1317 |
| vii. Notice through Solicitor or other Agent | 1326 |

SECTION III.

OF LOSS OF PRIORITY BY FAILURE TO OBTAIN OR RETAIN TITLE DEEDS.

| | |
|--|------|
| i. Notice from Absence of Title Deeds | 1332 |
| ii. In what Cases Omission of Mortgagee to obtain or retain Possession of Title Deeds will postpone his Security | 1337 |

CHAPTER LIX.

OF PRIORITIES AS BETWEEN MORTGAGES AND JUDGMENTS, CROWN DEBTS, AND OTHER CHARGES AND LIENS.

SECTION I.

JUDGMENTS.

| | |
|---|------|
| i. Judgments as affecting Land generally | 1348 |
| ii. Statutory Enactments regulating the Priority of Judgments | 1350 |
| iii. Registration of Judgments in Counties | 1356 |
| iv. Charging Orders | 1357 |

SECTION II.

CROWN DEBTS.

| | |
|---|------|
| i. Crown Debts as affecting Mortgages of Land | 1366 |
| ii. Registration of Crown Debts | 1367 |

SECTION III.

STATUTORY LAND CHARGES.

| | |
|--|------|
| i. Generally | 1368 |
| ii. Charges for Commutation and Redemption of Tithes | 1369 |
| iii. Charges for Enfranchisement of Copyholds | 1370 |
| iv. Land Improvement and Drainage Charges | 1370 |

SECTION IV.

LIENS.

| | |
|--|------|
| i. Lien of Vendor for unpaid Purchase-money | 1372 |
| ii. Lien of Purchaser on Rescission of Contract | 1376 |
| iii. Lien for Expenses of preserving, maintaining, or improving Property | 1377 |
| iv. Lien for Breach of Trust | 1381 |
| v. Lien arising out of Covenants to settle or charge Land | 1382 |
| vi. Lien of Solicitor | 1384 |
| vii. Lien of Bankers and Brokers | 1389 |
| viii. Maritime Liens | 1390 |
| ix. Lien of Joint Stock Company on Shares | 1399 |



Part VIII.

OF THE DISCHARGE OF MORTGAGES.

CHAPTER LX.

OF THE DISCHARGE OF THE SECURITY BY RELEASE OF THE DEBT.

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| i. What is necessary to an effectual Release of a Debt | 1401 |
| ii. Acceptance of Part of Debt in satisfaction of the Whole | 1404 |

CHAPTER LXI.

OF THE DISCHARGE OF THE SECURITY BY RELEASE OF THE MORTGAGED PROPERTY.

SECTION I.

OF RECONVEYANCES AND DELIVERY OF POSSESSION.

| | |
|--|------|
| i. By what means Mortgaged Property may be Released | 1406 |
| ii. Right of Mortgagor to Reconveyance | 1409 |
| iii. Right of Mortgagor on Redemption to Re-delivery of Deeds | 1411 |
| iv. Right of Mortgagor to require Transfer of Mortgage instead of Reconveyance | 1414 |

SECTION II.

VESTING ORDERS.

| | |
|---|------|
| i. Lunatic Mortgagees and Trustees | 1417 |
| ii. Infant Mortgagees and Trustees | 1419 |
| iii. Mortgagee or Trustee out of Jurisdiction not to be found or refusing to convey | 1420 |
| iv. Vesting Order on Death of Mortgagee | 1423 |
| v. Vesting Orders in respect of Stock, &c. | 1425 |
| vi. Effect of Vesting Orders | 1426 |
| vii. Jurisdiction and Procedure with regard to Vesting Orders | 1426 |
| viii. Costs | 1429 |

CHAPTER LXII.

OF THE DISCHARGE OF THE SECURITY BY MERGER.

SECTION I.

MERGER OF SECURITY BY UNION OF THE MORTGAGE ESTATE WITH THE EQUITY OF REDEMPTION.

| | |
|---|------|
| i. General Rule as to Merger | 1431 |
| ii. Payment off of Mortgage by Owner of Equity of Redemption | 1431 |
| iii. Acquisition of Equity of Redemption by Mortgagee | 1435 |
| iv. What will exclude Merger generally | 1436 |
| v. What will exclude Merger as against subsequent Incumbrancers | 1443 |

SECTION II.

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| MERGE OF LOWER IN HIGHER SECURITY | 1446 |

SECTION III.

NOVATION.

| | |
|--|------|
| i. Of Novation generally | 1450 |
| ii. Novation on Change of Partnership Firm | 1452 |
| iii. Novation on Amalgamation of Companies | 1454 |



Part IX.

OF CONTRACT SECURITIES (OTHER THAN MORTGAGES)
FOR DEBTS AND LOANS.

CHAPTER LXIII.

OF PLEDGES.

SECTION I.

OF ORDINARY PLEDGES OR PAWNS.

| | |
|---|------|
| i. Nature and Effect of a Pledge or Pawn | 1458 |
| ii. Delivery of Possession | 1461 |
| iii. Title of Pledgor | 1462 |
| iv. The Subject-matter of Pledges | 1465 |
| v. Rights, Remedies, and Liabilities of Pledgee | 1467 |

SECTION II.

OF PLEDGES UNDER THE PAWNBROKERS ACT.

| | |
|---|------|
| i. General Effect of the Act | 1473 |
| ii. Redemption of Pawned Goods | 1474 |
| iii. Sale and Custody of Pawned Goods | 1475 |

SECTION III.

PLEDGES OF GOODS, ETC., BY FACTORS, THE FACTORS ACT, 1889.

| | |
|---|------|
| i. Definition of various Expressions for the purposes of the Act.. .. . | 1476 |
| ii. Statutory Rights, Powers, and Liabilities of "Mercantile Agents".. .. . | 1479 |
| iii. Powers, &c., of Factors independently of Statute | 1485 |

CHAPTER LXIV.

OF HYPOTHECATION BY WAY OF EQUITABLE ASSIGNMENT.

SECTION I.

OF EQUITABLE ASSIGNMENT OF DEBTS AND FUNDS.

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| i. Nature and Operation of Equitable Assignments of Debts or Funds .. | 1487 |
| ii. What will amount to an Equitable Assignment of a Debt or Fund .. | 1491 |
| iii. Revocation of Assignment | 1493 |
| iv. The Rule in <i>Exp. Waring</i> | 1493 |

SECTION II.

EQUITABLE ASSIGNMENTS OF CARGO.

| | |
|--|------|
| i. Appropriation of Bills of Lading to accepted Bills of Exchange .. | 1494 |
| ii. Appropriation of Bills of Lading to Bills of Exchange before Accept- ance | 1497 |

CHAPTER LXV.

OF MARITIME HYPOTHECATIONS.

SECTION I.

OF BOTTOMRY BONDS.

| | |
|---|------|
| i. Nature, Operation, and Form of Bottomry Bonds | 1500 |
| ii. Subject-matter of Bottomry Bonds | 1501 |
| iii. Charge on Ship, &c., created by Bottomry Bonds | 1502 |
| iv. Who may give a Bottomry Bond | 1504 |
| v. Who may take a Bottomry Bond | 1507 |
| vi. Maritime Risk and Interest, &c. | 1507 |
| vii. Necessity essential to Validity of Bottomry Bond | 1509 |
| viii. Priority of Bottomry Bonds | 1510 |
| ix. Discharge of Bottomry Bonds | 1512 |
| x. Enforcement of Bottomry Bonds | 1512 |

SECTION II.

| | |
|-------------------------|------|
| OF RESPONDENTIA | 1513 |
|-------------------------|------|

APPENDIX.

| | |
|----------------------|------|
| STAMP DUTIES | 1515 |
|----------------------|------|

| | |
|---------------|------|
| INDEX | 1543 |
|---------------|------|

A TREATISE
ON THE
LAW OF MORTGAGES.

Part VI.

OF THE ESTATE, RIGHTS, LIABILITIES AND REMEDIES OF THE
MORTGAGEE, AND PERSONS CLAIMING UNDER HIM.

CHAPTER XLII.

OF THE NATURE AND INCIDENTS OF THE ESTATE OF
THE MORTGAGEE.

SECTION I.

RIGHTS INCIDENT TO MORTGAGEE'S ESTATE.

i.—General Remarks.—Every mortgagee, claiming under a legal mortgage, so long as the property in mortgage is redeemable, has a twofold interest therein, viz., a legal interest, which is either real or personal according to the nature of the property; and a beneficial interest, which in the eye of equity is personal estate.

A mortgagee in whom the legal estate is vested is regarded during the continuance of the mortgage as being at law the owner of the property, with the legal rights and remedies incident to such ownership, subject, nevertheless, to certain qualifications enforceable in equity for the protection of the mortgagor. Although, since the Judicature Act, the rules of law and equity are administered in all Divisions of the High Court, and in case of conflict the rules of equity are to prevail (*a*), yet the principles of the common law have not been swept away, "it was not intended by the legislature, and it has not been said, that legal

(*a*) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66, s. 25 (11).

CHAP. XLII.

Right of mortgagee to accretions to mortgaged property.

Compensation under Lands Clauses Act.

Right of voting for Parliament.

Right to settlement.

Presentation to benefice.

Right of legal mortgagee to possession.

and equitable rights should be treated as identical, but that the Courts should administer both legal and equitable principles" (b).

By virtue of the mortgagee's beneficial interest, he will be entitled to all accretions to the mortgaged property, whether his security be legal or equitable, such as copyholds purchased by the lord of a mortgaged manor subsequently to the mortgage (c); or a renewed term obtained by a mortgagor of leaseholds (d).

So, also, if mortgaged lands (e) or business premises (f) are compulsorily taken by a public company under the Lands Clauses Act, 1845, the compensation for the lands in the one case, or for the goodwill of the business in the other case, will become subject to the security.

If land is taken by a company, and there is a doubt whether the persons in possession are mortgagees, the purchase-money is invested and the dividends paid to the persons in possession without prejudice to any question or application (g).

ii.—**Personal Rights and Privileges of Mortgagee.**—A mortgagee, if in possession, but not otherwise, will be entitled to vote for a return of members to Parliament. By sect. 74 of the statute 6 & 7 Vict. c. 18, it is provided that no person shall be entitled to vote at such elections for or by reason of any trust estate or mortgage, unless such trustee or mortgagee be in actual possession or receipt of the rents and profits of the estate.

A mortgagee in possession may gain a settlement under the poor laws (h), provided that he resides within ten miles of the parish in which the mortgaged land is situate (i).

It has been seen (j) that the mortgagee of an advowson is compellable on a vacancy to present the nominee of the mortgagor.

iii.—**Actions by Mortgagee for Protection of the Security.**—A mortgagee, being the owner of the legal estate in the mortgaged lands, may bring an action for recovery of the land against the mortgagor and those claiming under him, in order to obtain the possession of the lands, to which he is entitled as an inherent right by virtue of his estate (k).

(b) *Per* Cotton, L. J., in *Joseph v. Lyons*, 15 Q. B. D. 280, at p. 286, C. A.

(c) *Doe v. Pott*, 2 Doug. 709.

(d) See *ante*, p. 165.

(e) *Ranken v. East and West India Docks Co.*, 12 Beav. 298.

(f) *Pile v. Pile*, 3 Ch. D. 36. See as to rights of equitable mortgagees,

Martin v. London, Chatham, and Dover Rail. Co., L. R. 1 Ch. A. 601.

(g) *Exp. Cork*, 11 W. R. 1015.

(h) *Re v. Inhabitants of Catherington*, 3 T. R. 771.

(i) 6 & 7 Vict. c. 18, s. 74.

(j) *Ante*, p. 168.

(k) See as to right of mortgagee to take possession, *inf.* p. 796.

A mortgagee, whether legal or equitable, is entitled to maintain and defend actions, as against the mortgagor and those claiming under him, which may be necessary either for the protection of the mortgaged property or of his own security.

CHAP. XLII.

Right to protect security.

A defective conveyance may be made good against the heir of the mortgagor, yet this right was thought to be a personal equity, and not binding against him (*l*).

Where equities are equal, legal security will prevail.

Where a purchase was made of copyhold without any surrender, there being a covenant from the vendor to surrender, a mortgage from the purchaser was postponed to a mortgage by the vendor without notice of the covenant to surrender and followed by admittance (*m*). The equities being equal, the Court would not supply the defect of the surrender against the legal title.

Another case was decided against a subsequent purchaser, on the ground of notice of the prior defective mortgage (*n*); otherwise, the purchaser's title would, if he had the legal estate and no notice, have prevailed (*o*).

But where several incumbrances are equitable, and the first is merely inchoate, the defect will not be relieved against to the prejudice of the later incumbrance. So where a recognizance, the time for inrolment of which had elapsed, was inrolled by special order against an estate which was subject to a legal mortgage, and, after the date but before the inrolment of the recognizance, a person who had lent money to the conusor took a judgment for the debt, it was held that, as neither the recognizance nor the judgment could be reached without the aid of equity, the judgment creditor must be preferred (*p*).

Where all incumbrances equitable.

If the mortgagor's title be altogether defective, and he afterwards acquire a good title, he can be compelled to make good the defective conveyance (*q*).

Acquisition of good title by mortgagor.

Questions as to the rights of persons under defective conveyances may be settled in suits between mortgagors and mortgagees, in which the mortgagee has a right to bring before the Court all who claim interests in the estate (*r*). To an action brought by a mortgagee for specific performance of a covenant by a tenant in tail in remainder to disentail the estate after the

(*l*) *Morse v. Faulkner*, 1 Anst. 11.(*m*) *Oxwith v. Plummer*, Bac. Abr. tit. *Mortgages* (E.) 3.(*n*) *Jennings v. Moore*, 2 Vern. 609.(*o*) Bac. Abr. tit. *Mortgages* (E.) 3.(*p*) *Fothergill v. Kendrick*, 2 Vern.234. See *Bothomley v. Fairfax*, 2 Vern. 750. And see further as to prioritiesgenerally, Part VII. of this work, *post*, pp. 1214 *et seq*.(*q*) *Smith v. Baker*, 1 Y. & O. C. C. 223; *Taylor v. Debar*, 1 Ch. Ca. 274; *Seabourne v. Powell*, 2 Vern. 11. See *per* Lord Cranworth, C., *Smith v. Osborne*, 6 H. L. C. 390.(*r*) *Evans v. Jones, Kay*, 29.

| | |
|--|--|
| CHAP. XLII. | death of the tenant for life, judgment creditors of the tenant in tail whose debts have been made charges on his estate under 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, are not necessary parties (s). |
| Right to maintain and defend actions affecting the mortgaged property. | A mortgagee, whether in possession or not, may, generally speaking, by reason of his estate or interest in the mortgaged property, maintain or defend actions relating thereto against persons other than the mortgagor (t). So, if the mortgagor's title is impeached, he may properly support it, and will be allowed his costs for so doing (u). |
| Trespass and trover. | But a mortgagee of lands cannot maintain an action of trespass against a stranger (v), nor can a mortgagee of chattels sue either in trespass or trover, until, in either case, his right to take possession has attached (x). |
| Appeal as to public-house licence. | A mortgagee of a public-house is a "person aggrieved" by the refusal of the justices to renew the licence to sell beer within the statute 9 Geo. IV. c. 61, s. 27, and entitled to appeal to quarter sessions accordingly (y). |
| Mortgagee necessary party. | It has been seen that, as a general rule, a mortgagee having the legal estate must be made a party to all actions brought by or against the mortgagor in relation to the mortgaged property (z). |
| Setting aside mortgaged contract for purchase. | Where, after a deposit paid by a purchaser, he assigns his interest under the contract by way of mortgage, and afterwards a fraud is discovered on the part of the vendor, by which the contract was originally vitiated, the mortgagee may set aside the contract, and obtain payment of the deposit with interest, and is not open to a charge of champerty; but in such a case it seems that the mortgagor should be a party co-plaintiff, and not a defendant (a). |
| Assignment of mortgage <i>pendente lite</i> . | Where a first mortgagee, pending a suit with a party claiming adversely to the mortgagor, assigned the first mortgage, with power to prosecute the suit to a second mortgagee, who covenanted to indemnify the assignor against the costs of the action; it was held, on demurrer, that the second mortgagee being interested as such in the subject of the suit, the assignment was not to be deemed champerty (b). |

(s) *Petre v. Duncombe*, 7 Ha. 24.(t) *Langton v. Langton*, 7 De G. M. & G. 30; *Phéris v. Gillan*, 5 Ha. 1; *Sandon v. Hooper*, 6 Beav. 246.(u) *Ibid.* See *Godfrey v. Watson*, 3 Atk. 618.(v) *Wheeler v. Montefiore*, 2 Q. B. 133.(x) *Ibid.*; *Bradley v. Copley*, 1 C. B. 686.(y) *Garrett v. Middlesex Justices*, 12 Q. B. D. 620.(z) *Ante*, p. 629.(a) *Wilson v. Short*, 6 Ha. 366.(b) *Hunter v. Daniel*, 4 Ha. 420.

And as a *bond fide* assignment of the subject of a suit may be made pending that suit (c), it would seem that it is open either to a mortgagor or mortgagee during a redemption or foreclosure suit to assign his interest in that suit.

Under certain circumstances, a mortgagee refusing or neglecting to take proceedings necessary to support the title or maintain rights incident to the ownership may be liable in damages to the mortgagor by reason of the mortgaged property being lost or prejudicially affected. Liability of mortgagee for loss to estate by non-claim.

Although a mortgagee in possession will be liable if he allows the mortgaged lands to be occupied by tenants without enforcing the payment of the rents (d), yet, where he has distrained for rent, he is not bound to defend doubtful actions respecting the goods distrained (e). Neglect to claim rent.

On the same principle, where a creditor received from his debtor an assignment of a debt due from a third person as a security for his demand, and sued out execution upon the judgment, but, by his neglect in levying, the debt assigned became irrecoverable, it was held that he must bear the loss, although the assignment contained the usual covenant for payment of his debt (f). Neglect to enforce security.

Deterioration of the security by non-claim for a long period precludes a mortgagee, whose security proves insufficient, from following the general assets in the hands of legatees (g).

If a mortgagee of a settled estate neglects to enforce the keeping down of the interest by the tenant for life, his remedy for arrears will lie only against the tenant for life or his legal representatives, and not against the estate in the hands of the remainderman. Where (h) an estate being already in mortgage was devised in strict settlement, and the mortgagee permitted the tenant for life in possession to run the interest in arrear, and afterwards purchased the estate for life, and entered into possession as purchaser and received the rent for about three years, when the tenant for life died, the rents and profits received by the mortgagee were directed to be applied, first in payment of the interest, which accrued due subsequently to his entering into possession, and in the next place, so far as they would extend, in satisfaction of the preceding Neglect to enforce payment of interest by tenant for life.

(c) *Harrington v. Long*, 2 My. & K. 592. 581; *Mayer v. Murray*, 8 Ch. D. 428.

(d) *Brandon v. Brandon*, 10 W. R. 287. (g) *Ridgway v. Newstead*, 3 De G. F. & J. 474; *Blake v. Gale*, 32 Ch. D. 571, C. A.

(e) *Cocks v. Gray*, 1 Giff. 77.

(f) *Williams v. Price*, 1 S. & St.

(h) *Lord Penrhyn v. Hughes*, 5 Ves. 99.

CHAP. XLII.

only be exercised by a mortgagee in whom the legal estate is vested. It is obvious that, if a mortgagee whose charge is merely equitable were to enter into possession, he would be liable to be evicted by the owner of the legal estate, being either a prior incumbrancer, or the mortgagor himself, or a trustee for him. An equitable mortgagee can have recourse only to equitable remedies, and his only means of impounding the rents and profits is by obtaining the appointment of a receiver, to which he may be entitled, provided the first mortgagee is not in possession, and subject to his right to apply for possession if he should think fit (x).

Equitable
possession.

A *puiſne* mortgagee may, however, require the prior mortgagee to pay the surplus rents and profits to him instead of to the mortgagor; such notice is taking equitable possession of the surplus rents (y).

Exception as
to charges
registered
under Land
Titles and
Transfer Act,
1875.

To this rule, however, an exception appears to be introduced by a modern statute, so far as relates to charges registered thereunder. By the Land Titles and Transfer Act, 1875 (z), it is enacted as follows:—

Entry by
proprietor
of charge.

Sect. 25. "Subject to any entry to the contrary on the register, the registered proprietor of a registered charge may, for the purpose of obtaining satisfaction of any moneys due to him under the charge, at any time during the continuance of his charge, enter upon the land charged or any part thereof, or into the receipt of the rents and profits thereof, subject, nevertheless, to the right of any persons appearing on the register to be prior incumbrancers, and to the liability attached to a mortgagee in possession."

Effect of this
enactment.

The expression "charge," for the purposes of the Act, means any charge made in manner prescribed by the general rules made in pursuance of the Act (a), to secure the payment at an appointed time of any principal sum of money either with or without interest (b); and any number of successive "charges" may be registered under the Act, which will rank in priority as among themselves according to the dates of their respective registration. It would therefore seem clear that any incumbrancer whose charge is duly registered may enter into possession, without reference to the question as to whether the legal estate is vested in him or not, provided that no prior incumbrancer is in possession before him, and without prejudice to the right of such prior incumbrancer to claim possession in his stead.

(x) See *infra*, p. 799.

(y) *Parker v. Coleridge*, 6 Madd. 11.

(z) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 87.

(a) *Ibid.*, s. 4.

(b) *Ibid.*, s. 22.

In cases where the right of the mortgagee to possession only arises upon default after notice or demand for payment, it must be shown, in order to establish such default, that a reasonable time for payment was allowed; an allowance of half an hour is merely illusory (*c*); and it would seem that entry into possession on the same day as the demand would be deemed unreasonable (*d*); but, if the seizure be premature, the taking of possession will be justified if default continues after a reasonable time (*e*).

CHAP. XLII.

Entry where mortgage debt payable on demand.

So, where a mortgage deed stipulated for the payment of the mortgage moneys on demand, and, in effect, that the mortgagor should remain in possession until default in such payment, and such demand was made by a person who represented himself as the mortgagee's agent, who, upon non-payment, forthwith entered into possession and seized the mortgaged property: it was held, in an action of trespass against the mortgagee, that the mortgagor was entitled to a reasonable opportunity for inquiry as to whether the person demanding payment was in fact the mortgagee's agent, so that the non-payment did not constitute any default on his part, and that the mortgagee was responsible in substantial damages for the trespass (*f*).

When the mortgagee should give notice before entry.

In such cases a demand made upon the debtor's wife has been held not to be such a demand as to give the mortgagee a right to possession upon default (*g*).

A mortgagee cannot enter into possession if a receiver has been appointed by the Court on the application of a subsequent incumbrancer, unless his right so to do is reserved by the order; in such a case the prior mortgagee cannot obtain the rents from the receiver by giving notice to him, nor can he intercept the rents from being paid to the receiver by giving notice to tenants; he must either obtain the removal of the receiver, or the leave of the Court to bring an action for the recovery of the land (*h*). If the Court removes such receiver, possession may be ordered to be delivered to the first mortgagee (*i*).

Mortgagee cannot enter when receiver has been appointed by Court.

(*c*) *Brighty v. Norton*, 3 B. & S. 305; *Toms v. Wilson*, 4 B. & S. 442, 455; *Moore v. Shelley*, 8 App. Cas. 285, P. C.

(*d*) *Exp. Trevor, Re Burghardt*, 1 Ch. D. 297.

(*e*) *Bramwell v. Eglington*, 12 W. R. 551.

(*f*) *Moore v. Shelley*, 8 App. Cas. 285.

(*g*) *Belding v. Read*, 34 L. J. Ex. 212.

(*h*) *Angell v. Smith*, 9 Ves. 335; *Thomas v. Brigstock*, 4 Russ. 64; *Turkington v. Kearnan*, Ll. & G. t. Sug. 35. See Kerr on Receivers, 3rd ed. p. 139.

(*i*) *Langton v. Langton*, 7 De G. M. & G. 30.

CHAP. XLII.

ii.—Leases by Mortgagee in Possession.—Before the Conveyancing Act, 1881 (*k*), a considerable disability annexed to the estate of the mortgagee was, that although he was at law the actual owner, and consequently could make a good legal title, yet he could not in equity make a valid or binding lease without the concurrence of the mortgagor, unless, it seems, there was an absolute necessity for it (*l*).

Where a mortgagee enters into an agreement for a lease (not having power to grant a valid lease), specific performance will not lie (*m*); the relief would be in damages only.

By sect. 18 of the Act above referred to (which is not retrospective (*n*)), it is enacted that:—

“(2). A mortgagee of land while in possession shall, as against all prior incumbrancers, if any, and as against the mortgagor, have, by virtue of this Act, power to make from time to time any such lease as aforesaid” (*o*).

A mortgagee who has granted a lease under this section will be, as between himself and the lessee, in the position of an ordinary lessor as regards the power of suing for rents and enforcing other remedies of a landlord.

The rights and remedies for enforcing payment of rents of a mortgagee, who has given notice to pay rent to him, to lessees, and others, holding under leases or tenancies subsisting at the date of the mortgage or created thereafter by the mortgagor with or without the mortgagee's concurrence, have been already considered (*p*).

Right to
emblements.

iii.—Effect of entry into Possession.—A mortgagee entering into possession of the land, in the occupation of the mortgagor, is entitled to the emblements; for all the produce of the land then forms part of his security (*q*).

Injunction
against

If possession be lawfully demanded by the mortgagee, the

(*k*) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 31.

(*l*) *Hungerford v. Clay*, 9 Mod. 1. As to apportionment of rent where a mortgagee by mistake had granted a lease of property not included in his mortgage. See *Harryman v. Collins*, 18 Beav. 11.

(*m*) *Franklin v. Ball*, 33 Beav. 560.

(*n*) See s. 18, sub-s. (16).

(*o*) *I.e.*, such lease as in this section described and authorized. The re-

quirements of this section as regards leases by mortgagees in possession are the same as in the case of leases granted thereunder by mortgagors in possession, and have been already considered. See *ante*, pp. 685 *et seq.*

(*p*) *Ante*, Chap. XXXVII. pp. 679 *et seq.*

(*q*) *Keech v. Hall*, Dougl. 22; *Moore v. Shelley*, 8 App. Cas. 285, P. C. See *Doe v. Maisey*, 8 B. & Cr. 767.

mortgagor, or any person claiming under him, as his trustee in bankruptcy, wrongfully refusing possession, may be restrained by injunction from cutting and removing crops on the mortgaged land (*r*). CHAP. XLII.
removing
crops, &c.

If in possession of business premises, the mortgagee is entitled to carry on the business for such reasonable time as will enable him to sell it as a going concern, and for that purpose to use the name of the mortgagor's firm (*s*). Right to
carry on
business.

If the mortgage includes the goodwill of the business of a publican, the mortgagee, on taking possession, is entitled to call on the mortgagor to concur in obtaining a transfer to the mortgagee of the existing licence (*t*).

It would seem that the fact of a mortgagee taking possession of a business will not of itself operate as a dismissal of the clerks and servants of the mortgagor employed in the business (*u*).

A mortgagee, when he enters into possession of the mortgaged estate, enters for the purpose of recovering both his principal and interest, and may apply the rents and profits received by him in or towards payment thereof accordingly (*x*). Application
of rents and
profits.

A mortgagee in possession is not, however, bound to apply the surplus rents after keeping down his interest and outgoings in gradual reduction of principal; he may, if he thinks fit, pay such surplus rents to the mortgagor unless he has notice from a subsequent incumbrancer to pay them to him (*y*).

A mortgagee in possession, who retains the surplus rents in reduction of principal, does not render annual accounts, but when the mortgagor deems the debt satisfied, or wishes to redeem, he calls on the mortgagee for a final adjustment (*z*).

iv.—Liabilities of Mortgagee in Possession.—A mortgagee by entering into possession incurs serious responsibilities. He must be reasonably diligent in getting in the rents and profits, and he will be held strictly accountable to the mortgagor and those claiming under him for all rents and profits actually received, Liability of
mortgagee in
possession to
account.

(*r*) *Bagnall v. Villar*, 12 Ch. D. 812.

(*s*) *Cook v. Thomas*, 24 W. R. 427.

(*t*) *Rutter v. Daniel*, 30 W. R. 724, 801.

(*u*) *Per Fry, L. J.*, in *Reid v. Explores Co.*, 19 Q. B. D. 264, at p. 269.

(*x*) *Lord Kensington v. Bouverie*, 7 De G. M. & G. 134, 157.

(*y*) *Berney v. Sewell*, 1 J. & W. 647.

(*z*) *Lord Trimleston v. Hamill*, 1 Ba. & Be. 377, 383.

CHAP. XLII.

or which, but for his default, might have been received, by the mortgagee after deducting what is due to him under his mortgage, including all just and proper costs and allowances (b).

Ground of liability.

A mortgagee in possession is charged with the rents and profits which, but for his default, he might have received, because he has chosen to change his character of mere mortgagee, and for the time being to become owner (c).

Measure of liability.

Although the mortgagee is bound to account to the mortgagor for the rents, yet he is not obliged to account according to the actual value of the land, nor bound by any proof that the land is worth so much, unless it can be proved that he made so much of it, or might have done so except for his own wilful default (d); because it is the laches of the mortgagor that he lets the lands lapse into the hands of the mortgagee by the non-payment of the money; therefore, when the mortgagee enters, he is only accountable for what he actually receives, and is not bound to take the trouble of making the most of another's property.

Partial possession.

Where the mortgagee is in possession of part of the mortgaged property and the mortgagor of the rest, the mortgagee is not to be held in possession constructively of the whole as to subsequent incumbrances so as to render him liable for default in respect of the part which is still in the possession of the mortgagor (e).

Mortgagee liable to subsequent incumbrancers.

A mortgagee who has taken possession must account for the rents and profits not only to the mortgagor himself, but also to his subsequent incumbrancers or creditors, and will be chargeable as for wilful default if he unduly favours the mortgagor at their expense; as if he permits the mortgagor to make use of his mortgage as the first incumbrance to keep out other creditors.

So, if the mortgagee enter, and afterwards permit the mortgagor to receive the rents, on an action by a subsequent incumbrancer to redeem, he will be charged with all the profits he might have made after his entry (f). But the mortgagee will not in such case be answerable for profits which he might have made previously to the date of the next incumbrance (g).

(b) See *post*, Chap. LIV. pp. 1200 *et seq.*

(c) *Eyre v. Hughes*, 2 Ch. D. 148, at p. 162.

(d) See *infra*, p. 805.

(e) *Exp. Hooman*, L. R. 10 Eq. 63. See *Soar v. Dalby*, 15 Beav. 156; *Sim-*

mons v. Shirley, 6 Ch. D. 173.

(f) *Coppring v. Cooke*, 1 Vern. 270; *Benham v. Haincourt*, Prec. Ch. 30; *Loftus v. Swift*, 2 Sch. & L. 655.

(g) *Maddocks v. Wren*, 2 Rep. in Ch. 109.

Similarly, in a case where a mortgagor having become bankrupt, and the mortgagee refusing to enter, the assignees brought ejectment, and the mortgagee permitted the bankrupt to take the profits, and to fence against the assignees with the mortgage, and it was decreed that the mortgagee should be charged with profits from the time of the ejectment delivered (*h*).

A mortgagee in possession who has not received notice of any subsequent incumbrance may safely pay the surplus rents and profits to the mortgagor, but, after notice, the first mortgagee is answerable to the second if he pays the rents and profits to the mortgagor (*i*).

Notice by subsequent incumbrancer to pay surplus rents to him.

If a mortgagee in possession assign his mortgage without the concurrence of the mortgagor to an insolvent person, he will be liable for the rents as well after as before assignment, because he is in some sort a trustee of the estate (*k*). But this rule will not apply where the transfer is made by order of the Court, as in an administration action (*l*).

Liability after assignment of mortgage.

A mortgagee is accountable for whatever is received by those to whom he transfers possession under an arrangement inoperative to transfer title and in derogation of the rights of the mortgagor (*m*).

Mortgagee accountable for receipts of others.

A mortgagor is not bound by an account (to which he is not a party) stated between the mortgagee and his assignee (*n*). The consequence is, that an assignee is in such case liable to account for all the profits received by the mortgagee and the intermediate assignees, and the mortgagor need make the last assignee only a party to his action (*o*).

Liability of transferee of mortgage.

In a suit for redemption brought against the legal personal representatives of a mortgagee who has taken possession, if the plaintiff in his claim asks only for an account of rents and profits received by the representatives, and an order is made on that footing, he will not be allowed to vary the order so as to direct also an account of rents and profits received by the original mortgagee (*p*).

Claim against estate of deceased mortgagee.

It is the duty of the mortgagee in possession to keep the

(*h*) *Parker v. Calcraft*, 6 Madd. 11. See *Maddocks v. Wren*, 2 Rep. in Ch. 109; *Berney v. Sewell*, 1 J. & W. 647; *Arahdeacon v. Bowes*, 13 Pri. 353, 368.

(*i*) *Berney v. Sewell*, 1 Jac. & W. 647.

(*k*) 1 Eq. Ca. Abr. 328; *Venable v. Foyle*, 1 Ch. Ca. 3.

(*l*) *Hall v. Howard*, 32 Ch. D. 430, C. A.

(*m*) *National Bank of Australasia v. United, &c. Co.*, 4 App. Cas. 391.

(*n*) *Earl of Macclesfield v. Fitton*, Vern. 169; *Matthews v. Wallwyn*, 4 Ves. 118; *Williams v. Sorrell*, 4 Ves. 389; *Bradwell v. Catchpole*, 3 Swanst. 79, note; *Chambers v. Goldwin*, 9 Ves. 254.

(*o*) *Chambers v. Goldwin*, *sup.*, at p. 266.

(*p*) *Trulock v. Roby*, 15 Sim. 265.

- CHAP. XLII.
- Liability for repairs.** premises in necessary repair so far as the rents and profits in his hands will admit of. He will be held responsible for gross or wilful negligence in this respect (*q*), and an inquiry will be directed whether any deterioration has been occasioned thereby (*r*).
- Forfeiture of lease.** This rule is applied with especial strictness where, the property being leasehold, the neglect to repair renders the lease liable to forfeiture. Thus, where a mortgagee entered into possession under his mortgage of unfinished leasehold buildings, and he neither sold the property nor completed the buildings, whereby the lease was forfeited, he was held liable for wilful default (*s*).
- Extent of liability.** A mortgagee is not, however, bound to spend his own money on repairs, and accordingly he will only be liable for neglect to repair to the extent of surplus rents and profits after providing for the interest to which he is entitled under the mortgage (*t*); and a mortgagee is not bound to lay out money on the estate except for necessary repairs (*u*).
- Rebuilding.** A mortgagee may pull down ruinous houses and build others on their site (*v*), but he is not bound to expend money in rebuilding (*x*).
- Liability for waste.** A mortgagee in possession shall not be permitted to waste the estate (*y*); if he improperly proceed to fell timber, an account will be decreed and the produce applied, first, in payment of the interest, and then in sinking the principal, and the Court will enjoin him, unless the security prove defective, in which case the Court will not restrain him from felling timber, the produce being, of course, applied in liquidation of the debt in ease of the estate (*z*). But an injunction will not be granted unless it is shown that the waste is committed by the mortgagee or by his authority (*a*).
- Right to cut timber.** Where the mortgage is made by deed executed after the commencement (*b*) of the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (*c*), if and so far as a contrary intention is not expressed by and subject to the terms and provisions of the
- (*q*) *Russel v. Smithies*, 1 Anst. 96.
See *Marriott v. Auction Reversionary Co.*, 3 De G. F. & J. 177.
- (*r*) *Wragg v. Denham*, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 117; *Batchelor v. Middleton*, 6 Ha. 75.
- (*s*) *Perry v. Walker*, 24 L. J. Ch. 319, appealed on other points.
- (*t*) *Richards v. Morgan*, 4 Y. & C. Ex. App. 570.
- (*u*) *Godfrey v. Watson*, 3 Atk. 518.
- (*v*) *Hardy v. Reeves*, 4 Ves. 466, 480; *Newman v. Baker*, Finch, 38; *Marshall v. Cave*, 3 L. J. Ch. 57.
- (*x*) *Moore v. Painter*, 6 Jur. 903.
- (*y*) *Hanson v. Derby*, 2 Vern. 392.
- (*z*) *Withrington v. Rankes*, Sel. Ca. in Ch. 31.
- (*a*) *Anon.*, 1 L. J. Ch. 119.
- (*b*) 1st January, 1882.
- (*c*) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41.

deed, a mortgagee has, by virtue of that Act (*d*), among other powers:—

CHAP. XLII.

Sect. 19. "(iv.) A power, while the mortgagee is in possession, to cut and sell timber and other trees ripe for cutting, and not planted or left standing for shelter or ornament, or to contract for any such cutting and sale, to be completed within any time not exceeding twelve months from the making of the contract."

It is conceived that the proceeds of sale of timber so cut will be treated as rents and profits, and must be accounted for by the mortgagee in possession accordingly (*e*).

A mortgagee in possession will be personally liable for wilful default if he be guilty of gross mismanagement in conducting a business (*f*), or in the cultivation of an estate (*g*). Mismanagement of estate.

The mortgagee is liable if he demises the property subject to unduly restrictive covenants (*h*). So also, if he refuse or remove a sufficient tenant (*i*), but the evidence must be distinct, and the mortgagee will not be subject to minute inquiries whether he could have got more rent, and the like, and he may be excused for not accepting a higher offer, if the tenant is in arrear, and by removing him the arrear might have been lost (*k*); and it is the duty of the mortgagor to give notice to the mortgagee that a higher rent might have been obtained; and if the mortgagor omit to do so, or is party to any act to prevent the letting (*l*), he cannot charge the mortgagee with mismanagement.

A mortgagee not in possession is not liable for a breach of a statutory duty (*m*).

v.—What amounts to taking Possession.—It is not every interference with an estate by a mortgagee that will make him for all purposes a mortgagee in possession (*n*). He will not necessarily be chargeable as a mortgagee in possession, because he is in receipt of the rents and profits of the mortgaged property. So, where an agent of the mortgagor who received the rents for him accepted notices to the tenants to pay the rents to the mortgagees, to be served if the mortgagor should interfere,

What amounts to taking possession as mortgagee.

(*d*) *Ibid.*, s. 19, sub-ss. 1 (iv), 3, 4.

(*e*) Wolst. & Brint. Conv., 7th ed. p. 68.

(*f*) *Chaplin v. Young*, 33 Beav. 330.

(*g*) *Wragg v. Denham*, 2 Y. & O. Ex. 117.

(*h*) *White v. City of London Brewery Co.*, 42 Ch. D. 237, C. A.

(*i*) *Anon.*, 1 Vern. 45.

(*k*) *Metcalf v. Campion*, 1 Moll. 238; *Hughes v. Williams*, 12 Ves. 493;

Brandon v. Brandon, 10 W. R. 287.

(*l*) *Lord Trimleston v. Hamill*, 1 Ba. & Be. 377, 385.

(*m*) *Brain v. Thomas*, W. N. (1881) 53, C. A.

(*n*) *Per Wigram, V.-C.*, in *Faulkener v. Daniel*, 3 Ha. 220.

CHAP. XLII.

and he did not serve the notices, but paid the rents as he received them to the mortgagees, it was held that the mortgagees could not be charged as mortgagees in possession (*n*). The test whether the receipt of the rents and profits amounts to possession was thus stated in that case:—"In order to hold that a mortgagee not in actual possession is in receipt of the rents and profits, in my opinion, it ought to be shown not only that he gets the amount of the rents paid by the tenants, even although he gets their cheques or their cash, but that he receives it in such a way that it can be properly said that he has taken upon himself to intercept the power of the mortgagor to manage his estate, and has himself so managed and received the rents as part of the management of the estate" (*o*).

Entry under
lease from
mortgagor.

Where a mortgagee took a lease from the mortgagor subsequent to a *puise* mortgage, and entered into possession under the lease, he was, as against the latter, held to be chargeable as mortgagee in possession (*p*).

Entry as
agent, &c.

In general, a mortgagee getting into possession, though he calls himself trustee, manager, or agent, will be treated as mortgagee in possession, and be accountable as such (*q*).

A solicitor who pays off a mortgage due from his client, and afterwards receives the rents of the mortgaged property, will be treated as an agent, and will not be held accountable as a mortgagee in possession (*r*).

Where a judgment creditor entered into possession under a power of attorney as agent and manager of the property, he was held not to be chargeable in respect of rents as mortgagee in possession; but having afterwards taken a transfer of the mortgage and remained in possession, he was held to be so chargeable (*s*).

Entry as
tenant for life
or purchaser.

Where a mortgagee enters into possession as tenant for life, or in the real or supposed character of purchaser, or as agent or trustee for the mortgagor, or in another character than mortgagee, he will not be chargeable as mortgagee in possession (*t*).

(*n*) *Noyes v. Pollock*, 32 Ch. D. 53, C. A.

(*o*) *Per Cotton, L. J.*, *ibid.* at p. 161. See also *Richards v. Overseers of Kidderminster*, (1896) 2 Ch. 212, 220.

(*p*) *Gregg v. Arnott*, Ll. & G. t. Sugd. 246.

(*q*) *Lord Trimleston v. Hamill*, 1 Ba. & Be. 377.

(*r*) *Ward v. Carttar*, L. R. 1 Eq. 29; 25 Beav. 171.

(*s*) *Chambers v. Goldwin*, 9 Ves. 254, 291.

(*t*) *Lord Kensington v. Bouverie*, 7 De G. M. & G. 156; *Parkinson v. Hanbury*, L. R. 2 H. L. 1, distinguishing *Neesom v. Clarkson*, 2 Ha. 163. And see *O'Connell v. O'Callaghan*, 15 Ir. Ch. R. 31.

So where possession was taken under a forfeiture, and not as a mortgagee (u). CHAP. XLII.

Where the owner of a share in a patent had assigned his share by way of mortgage to the owner of another share, it was held that, inasmuch as the co-owner of a patent is entitled to work it for his own benefit, profits received by the mortgagee by working the patent were not profits received by him in that character, and that he was not liable to account for them as mortgagees in possession of the mortgaged share (x). Receipt of profits by one co-owner mortgagee of patent.

In taking an account in a foreclosure action, an attornment clause in the mortgage deed will not render the mortgagee chargeable as mortgagee in possession in favour of a subsequent incumbrancer in respect of the rent reserved by the attornment clause (y). Receipt of rent under attornment clause.

A mortgagee cannot be compelled to take possession; for he would thereby subject himself to the liability to account, which the Court will never force upon a mortgagee. Therefore he may bring an action for foreclosure without taking possession; but if he does take possession, he is bound to apply the rents and profits as the Court would apply them (z). Mortgagee cannot be compelled to take possession.

A mortgagee will not be allowed to commence proceedings for obtaining possession, and then to abandon them collusively to the prejudice of the mortgagor or subsequent incumbrancers or creditors. In a case in which the mortgagee having brought ejectment, and then refused to take out execution, and the rent being in arrear, the mortgagor could not eject the tenant by reason of the mortgagee's judgment, the Court ordered that, unless the mortgagee took out execution before the end of the term, he should be answerable for the profits, as in case of wilful default (a). Collusive abandonment of proceedings.

A mortgagee who, by taking possession of the mortgaged property, has once assumed the responsibilities attaching to a mortgagee in possession, cannot rid himself of them at his pleasure by relinquishing possession; and the Court will not, as a general rule, assist him so to do by appointing a receiver (b). Relinquishment of possession does not determine liability.

(u) *Blennerhassett v. Day*, 2 Ba. & Be. 104, 125.

(x) *Stears v. Rogers*, (1893) C. A. 232.

(y) *Stanley v. Grundy*, 22 Ch. D. 478.

(z) *Bulstrode v. Bradley*, 3 Atk. 582.

See as to the application of rents and profits by the Court, *post*, p. 1200.

(a) *Duke of Buckingham v. Gayer*, 1 Vern. 257. See *Chapman v. Tanner*, 1 Vern. 268.

(b) *Re Prytherch, Prytherch v. Williams*, 42 Ch. D. 590.

CHAP. XLII.

Satisfied
mortgagee
must deliver
up possession.

vi.—Delivery of Possession to Mortgagor on Redemption.—A mortgagee, as soon as he is paid off, becomes a mere trustee, holding the legal estate for the benefit of the mortgagor or the next *puisne* incumbrancer of whose charge he has notice, who may require the reconveyance of the estate accordingly (c). A mortgagee, who has entered into possession, must, therefore, so soon as the rents and profits received by him have fully satisfied the moneys due under his mortgage, including principal, interest, and costs, deliver up the property to the person entitled to possession of it (d).

A mortgagee cannot be compelled by the mortgagor or an assignee of the equity of redemption to quit possession except upon payment of principal, interest, and costs, or so much thereof as has not been satisfied out of the rents and profits received (e); unless a sufficient amount to meet not only such payments, but also the contingency of further interest, costs, &c., is paid into Court (f).

SECTION III.

OF THE RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF A MORTGAGEE AS TO POSSESSION OF TITLE DEEDS.

Mortgagee of
fee should
require
delivery of
deeds.

i.—Right of Mortgagee to Deeds Generally.—Every mortgagee from a mortgagor professing to convey the fee, is entitled to expect the delivery of the deeds; and even where the mortgaged lands are part of a larger property, the owner of which retains the bulk of the deeds, the mortgagor has his own conveyance and a covenant for or acknowledgment of right to the production of the earlier deeds to deliver to his mortgagee. The possession of the deeds does not indeed prove that a person is owner in fee, as a tenant for life has a right to the deeds; but it authorizes the inference that there is no prior mortgage, as, had there been any, the mortgagee would have had the deeds (g); and, if

(c) *Quarrell v. Beckford*, 1 Madd. 269, at p. 278. See further as to reconveyance, *post*, pp. 1406 *et seq.*

(d) *Wilson v. Metcalfe*, 1 Russ. 530; *Ashworth v. Lord*, 36 Ch. D. 545.

(e) *Brine v. Hartpole*, 15 Vin. Abr. 467, pl. 15; *Davy v. Barker*, 2 Atk. 2;

Postlethwaite v. Blythe, 2 Swanst. 256.

(f) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 5, set out *ante*, p. 633.

(g) See as to priority between several incumbrancers as affected by possession of the deeds, *post*, pp. 1340 *et seq.*

they deduce an apparent title in fee, the only remaining risk of a serious character is that the owner may have incumbered his ownership in such a way as not to interfere with his right to retain the deeds; as, for instance, by making a settlement under which his interest is cut down to that of a tenant for life. Against this risk, the possession of the title deeds does not afford protection.

CHAP. XLII.

It is a clear principle of law that the muniments of an estate belong to the person who has the legal interest in it (*h*). Accordingly a legal mortgagee in fee is entitled to the title deeds (*i*), as also a legal mortgagee of a life estate (*j*), but a termor is not entitled to the title deeds relating to the freehold, however long the term (*k*); a legal mortgagee of leaseholds is entitled to the lease and documents belonging to it (*l*).

Legal mortgagee entitled to deeds.

The grantee of an annuity rarely has possession of the title deeds. Protection against grants of life annuities is afforded by the registration thereof.

Grantee of annuity.

It was formerly the usual practice to insert in mortgage deeds, as in other conveyances of land, an express grant of the title deeds relating to the mortgaged property; but this practice has now fallen altogether into disuse in reliance upon the settled rule that a mortgagee, who is entitled to the deeds, can recover possession of them from a mortgagor who wrongfully retains them, or from any person to whom they may be delivered by the mortgagor so retaining them (*m*), unless, indeed, the mortgagee has lost such right by laches on his part.

Express grant of deeds.

In *Davies v. Vernon* (*n*), Lord Denman expressed a contrary view. In that case a husband and wife, under a power of appointment, mortgaged certain lands for a term, and delivered the deeds to the mortgagee. The mortgage was transferred without mention of the deeds, and the transferee never demanded them; the original mortgagee subsequently delivered the deeds to the husband, who deposited them with another person by way of equitable security; afterwards the husband and wife mortgaged the lands in fee, subject to the term, without mention of title deeds; on the death of the husband, the wife, having

Contrary view in *Davies v. Vernon*, *sed quære*.

(*h*) *Per Best, C. J.*, in *Phillips v. Robinson*, 4 Bing. 106.

Knight v. Knight, 1 L. J. N. S. 125.

(*i*) *Smith v. Chichester*, 2 Dr. & War. 393.

(*l*) *Hooper v. Ramsbottom*, 6 Taunt. 12; *Stokes v. Stokes*, W. N. (1886) 184.

(*j*) *Infra*, p. 811.

(*m*) *Hooper v. Ramsbottom, sup.*; *Harrington v. Price*, 3 B. & Ad. 170.

(*k*) *Austin v. Croome*, Car. & M. 653; *Wicman v. Westland*, 1 Y. & J. 117;

(*n*) 6 Q. B. 443.

CHAP. XLII.

become entitled to the fee, offered to pay off the equitable mortgage on receiving back the title deeds; but the deposittee refused to deliver them unless certain charges of his solicitor were paid; it was held that the wife was entitled to recover the deeds in trover from the deposittee. His lordship, however, expressed the opinion, which was not necessary for the purposes of his decision, that if a mortgage deed makes no mention of the title deeds, and they are not delivered at the time, they may be lawfully retained by the mortgagor in respect of his equity of redemption as against a legal mortgagee; and further, that if a mortgagee having the deeds, assign his mortgage without mentioning or delivering the deeds, he may rightfully give them up to the mortgagor. It is, however, submitted that neither of these propositions is tenable, as the conveyance of land carries the right to the deeds, whether they are mentioned or not, as against any person wrongfully holding them.

Exceptions to mortgagee's right to deeds.

It would seem, however, that a mortgagee, in the absence of a special grant or stipulation that the deeds shall be delivered to him, may in some cases be unable to recover them if they have come into the hands of a person holding them by virtue of another interest in the land independently of a mortgage.

Where holder claims deeds by virtue of a distinct interest.

So, where the purchaser of a small part of an estate took a covenant from the vendor to produce the deeds when necessary, and he afterwards obtained possession of the deeds from a mortgagee of another part of the estate on taking a transfer of that mortgage; and he then transferred the mortgage to a third person not mentioning the deeds; it was held that he was entitled to retain the deeds as against the transferee in respect of his interest in the land not comprised in the mortgage and transfer (o).

When grant of deeds is advisable.

It would, therefore, seem that it may sometimes be prudent for a mortgagee or transferee of a mortgage, not obtaining contemporaneous delivery of the deeds, to cause an express grant of the deeds, or a stipulation for their delivery, to be inserted in the mortgage deed or transfer.

So where, upon a mortgage in fee, the mortgage deed contained a stipulation that the title deeds of the property should be delivered to the mortgagee; and accordingly two deeds were delivered to him, one of which was genuine and the other a

(o) *Yea v. Field*, 2 T. R. 708.

forgery; shortly afterwards, the mortgagor obtained from a third person an advance on the security of a deposit of what purported to be the two title deeds to the property, but one of which was a forgery; it was held that the original mortgagee, by virtue of the stipulation in his mortgage deed, was entitled to recover from the depositor the genuine deed delivered to him (p).

CHAP. XLII.

A mortgagee is entitled to retain the deeds deposited with him until actual payment of his principal, interest and costs, and a tender though properly made and improperly rejected is not equivalent to payment so as to entitle a mortgagor to recover the deeds before redemption (q).

Right to retain deeds till payment.

ii.—What Owners of Land are entitled to Custody of Title Deeds.—Having regard to the importance to a first mortgagee of obtaining from the mortgagor delivery of all documents of title relating to the mortgaged property which are or ought to be in the custody of the latter (r), it will be convenient in this place to indicate what estate or interest will entitle the owner thereof to have the possession and custody of title deeds.

From what mortgagors a mortgagee will be entitled to demand delivery of deeds.

The right to the custody of title deeds may thus be shortly stated:—

Right to custody of deeds.

As a general rule, the custody belongs to the person who has the first estate of freehold, notwithstanding that there is a term for 1,000 years (s).

Owner of first estate.

The legal tenant for life is entitled to the custody of the title deeds (t), and has a right to recover them from a contingent remainderman (u); and the tenant for life in custody of the deeds may, on a mortgage of his life estate, grant the custody of the deeds to the mortgagee (x).

Tenant for life.

It was held that, where a wife was tenant for life, the trustee in bankruptcy of the husband was not, as a matter of course, entitled to the custody of the title deeds; but that it was a matter for the discretion of the Court (y). Of course, this question could not arise in the case of a woman married on or after the 1st January, 1883, or whose title to the property accrued after that date, if she was married prior thereto (z).

Married woman tenant for life.

(p) *Newton v. Beck*, 3 H. & N. 228.

(q) *Bank of New South Wales v. O'Connor*, 14 App. Cas. 273, P. C.

(r) As to constructive notice of a prior incumbrance by absence of title deeds, and consequent loss of priority, see *post*, pp. 1340 *et seq.*

(s) *Austin v. Groome*, 1 C. & M. 653.

(t) *Garner v. Hannington*, 22 Beav. 627.

(u) *Allwood v. Heywood*, 1 H. & C. 745.

(x) *Dav. Conv.*, vol. ii. pt. 2, p. 512.

(y) *Exp. Rogers*, 26 Ch. D. 31, C. A.

(z) See 45 & 46 Vict. c. 75, ss. 2, 6.

CHAP. XLIV.

Equitable
tenant for life.

Where a settlor vests real estate in trustees for himself for life with remainder over, the trustees have a right to the custody of the deeds, and if by negligence they allow the settlor to obtain them and so deal with the estate as owner, they will be personally responsible to the remaindermen for the consequences (a).

Where the tenant for life in equity is not the settlor, and therefore cannot, by suppressing the settlement, make a title to the fee simple, the Court has ordered the deeds to be delivered to the equitable tenant for life (b).

The powers conferred, and the duties imposed on an equitable tenant for life by the Settled Land Acts have raised a presumption in favour of his right to be let into possession of the settled land and consequently to custody of the title deeds, which previously did not exist; and, accordingly, an equitable tenant for life whose estate was determinable on bankruptcy or alienation, under a will which gave wide powers of management to the trustees, was held to be entitled to be let into possession of the land, and to have the custody of the title deeds (c).

Where an equitable tenant for life has mortgaged his interest, an order letting him into possession does not carry with it the right to the custody of the title deeds: the mortgagee can insist on their being retained by the trustees (d).

Remainder-
man.

The rule has been laid down that, if the remainderman can obtain the possession of the title deeds, he is entitled to hold them as against the tenant for life (e); but it may be doubted whether this rule would hold good at the present day, as the absence of the title deeds would seriously embarrass the tenant for life in the exercise and performance of his statutory powers and duties.

Discretion
of Court as
to custody of
deeds of
settled estates.

Where a suit has been instituted affecting settled estates, the custody of the deeds does not depend upon the question as to who has the legal right to them, but on the consideration as to what custody is most convenient for the purposes of the suit (f).

If, however, in such a case, the deeds are in the custody of the tenant for life in possession, the Court will not generally remove them from such custody unless there is danger to the safety of

(a) *Evans v. Bicknell*, 6 Ves. 174.

(b) *Lady Langdale v. Briggs*, 8 De G. M. & G. 391; *Re Burnaby's Settled Estates*, 42 Ch. D. 621.

(c) *Re Wythes, West v. Wythes*, (1893) 2 Ch. 369.

(d) *Re Newen, Newen v. Barnes*, (1894) 2 Ch. 297.

(e) *Foster v. Crabb*, 12 C. B. 136.

(f) *Stanford v. Roberts*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 307.

the deeds if left in the hands of the tenant for life, or unless the Court requires the deeds for the purpose of carrying out the trusts relating to the property (g); and it makes no difference that the tenant for life is abroad (h).

CHAP. XLII.

The right of a tenant for life, whether legal or equitable, to custody of title deeds, is subject to the right of the persons entitled to vested interests in remainder to production and inspection to a reasonable extent (i). But a remainderman whose interest is merely contingent has no such right (k).

Rights of remainderman to inspection.

Where several parties are interested, the custody is generally given to the persons who have the largest interest (l).

Several owners.

Where the legal estate, whether of freeholds, copyholds, or leaseholds, is vested in a trustee or executor in trust, not for certain persons entitled in succession, but for *cestuis que trust* entitled absolutely in possession; the *cestuis que trust*, or if they are infants, their guardians, may institute proceedings to have the deeds delivered up to them (m).

Cestuis que trust absolutely entitled.

But as to leaseholds, an executor may hold the deeds until all debts have been paid and the personal estate cleared (n).

A tenant in fee simple or other person entitled to the custody of title deeds may maintain action of trover or detinue for the deeds against any one who cannot show a better or as good a right to hold them (o).

Trover for deeds.

In a case where the title deeds of an estate, the reversion of which was in mortgage, were brought into the Master's office under a decree for administering the trusts of a will, the Court declined to make a stop order on the deeds on the petition of the mortgagee (p).

Equity will not aid mortgagee of reversion against tenant for life.

As between husband and wife previously to the passing of the Married Women's Property Act, 1882 (q), it was held that where a deed of assignment of chattels was executed to the wife as mortgagee before marriage, the right to bring an action of trover for an inventory annexed to the deed and subsequently lost, was in the husband, but that, inasmuch as the property in the goods had not passed absolutely so as to be reduced into the husband's

Husband and wife.

(g) *Leathes v. Leathes*, 5 Ch. D. 221. See *Taylor v. Sparrow*, 4 Giff. 703; *Jenner v. Morris*, L. R. 1 Ch. A. 663.

(h) *Leathes v. Leathes*, *sup.*; notwithstanding *Warren v. Rudall*, 1 J. & H. 1, 14.

(i) *Davis v. Dysart*, 20 Beav. 405; *Pennell v. Dysart*, 25 Beav. 452.

(k) *Noel v. Ward*, 1 Madd. 322.

(l) *Ellon v. Ellon*, 27 Beav. 632.

(m) *Lewin, Trusts*, 9th ed., 764.

(n) *Smith v. Pavier*, 18th July, 1852.

(o) *Easton v. London*, 33 L. J. Exch. 34.

(p) *Cotton v. Cotton*, 6 Beav. 96.

(q) 45 & 46 Vict. c. 75.

CHAP. XLII.

possession, it not appearing that any default under the deed had been made, a right of action might still, in the event of the husband's death before default, survive to the wife, and that, consequently, she was properly joined as plaintiff in the action (*r*).

Production
of deeds by
mortgagee.

iii.—Liability of Mortgagee to produce Deeds.—As a general rule a mortgagee has no right to show to a stranger the title of his mortgagor, and, therefore, cannot be compelled to produce the title deeds in an action in the absence of the mortgagor (*s*).

Mortgagee
must produce
deeds in action
by stranger
against
mortgagor.

A mortgagee may, however, be compelled to produce title deeds at the instance of a third party, who brings an action against the mortgagor with regard to his dealings with the equity of redemption. So, where a lessor brought an action at law against the lessee, and finding that the deeds were in the possession of a mortgagee, filed a bill of discovery against the latter alone in aid of the action, it was held that the mortgagee was bound to produce them for inspection (*t*).

Mortgagor
must produce
copies though
mortgagee
not a party.

On the other hand, if an action to which the mortgagee is not a party is brought against the mortgagor, who has taken copies of the title deeds, the mortgagor cannot object to produce the copies on the ground that production might prejudice the interest of the mortgagee in the estate and deeds (*u*); nor can he take advantage of the mortgagee's privilege and thereby avoid giving evidence of the contents of the mortgage (*v*).

Production in
redemption
and fore-
closure action.

In a suit for redemption and foreclosure by a second mortgagee, the first mortgagee was compelled to produce bills of exchange and promissory notes (which were part of his evidence) for inspection (*x*).

Production in
administra-
tion action.

In an action for the administration of the mortgagee's estate, his executors are bound to produce the title deeds (*y*).

Formerly
mortgagee not
compellable
to produce
deeds to mort-
gagor.

As regards mortgages made before the commencement of the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, the rule is that the mortgagor or those claiming under him cannot compel the mortgagee to produce the title deeds for inspection (*z*). This rule is of general application (*a*), and holds good though the

(*r*) *Ayling v. Whicher*, 6 A. & E. 259.

(*s*) *Lambert v. Rogers*, 2 Mer. 489.

(*t*) *Balls v. Margrave*, 3 Beav. 448; S. C., 4 Beav. 119. See *Doe v. Roe*, 1 M. & W. 207.

(*u*) *Hervey v. Ferrers*, 4 Beav. 97.

(*v*) *Marston v. Downes*, 1 A. & E. 31.

(*x*) *Gibson v. Hewell*, 9 Beav. 293.

But see *Freeman v. Butler*, 33 Beav. 289.

(*y*) *Gough v. Offley*, 3 De G. & S. 653.

(*z*) *Senhouse v. Earl*, 2 Ves. Sen. 450;

Sparkes v. Montrion, 1 Y. & C. Ex. 103;

Schlenker v. Mozey, 1 C. & P. 178;

Mills v. Oddy, 6 C. & P. 728.

(*a*) *Gill v. Eyton*, 7 Beav. 155; *Greenwood v. Rothwell*, 7 Beav. 279; *Crisp v.*

mortgagor required the production of the deeds for the purpose of enabling him to negotiate a loan, and so to pay off the mortgage; nor would the Court even have authorized the inspection of such deeds by the mortgagor when they had been deposited in Court in a suit instituted by the mortgagee for the execution of the trusts of the deed of conveyance (*b*).

CHAP. XLII.

So, also, the Court refused to order, at the instance of a remainderman, production of a settlement containing a power under which the mortgage was created (*c*).

The rule has been applied to mortgages of lands in a colony, unless it could be shown that the law of the colony authorized such production (*d*).

Lands in colony.

An exception to the rule, however, was made where the mortgagee was solicitor to the mortgagor (*e*). And if fraud was charged and not expressly denied, or if there were suspicious circumstances (*f*), production of the documents affected by the fraud was enforced (*g*).

Exception where mortgagor's solicitor is mortgagee.

If a mortgagee is a party to a suit and consent to a sale, he cannot refuse to deposit the title deeds in Chambers for the purpose of completing the sale (*h*).

Deposit of deeds in Court.

If the title deeds retained by the mortgagee relate to estates or portions thereof not subject to the mortgage, he is bound to enter into a covenant for their production (*i*), or an acknowledgment of the right to production substituted by statute for such production (*k*).

Rule where deeds relate to other property not in mortgage.

With regard to mortgages made on or after the 1st January, 1882, the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (*l*), enacts as follows:—

Present law as to mortgagor's right to production.

Sect. 16.—“(1.) A mortgagor, as long as his right to redeem subsists, shall, by virtue of this Act, be entitled from time to time, at reasonable times, on his request, and at his own cost, and on payment of the mortgagee's costs and expenses in this behalf, to inspect and make copies or abstracts of or extracts from the documents of title relating to the mortgaged property in the custody or power of the mortgagee.

Power for mortgagor to inspect title deeds.

“(2.) This section applies only to mortgages made after the

Platel, 8 Beav. 62; *Broune v. Lockhart*, 10 Sim. 420; *Owen v. Nickson*, 7 Jur. N. S. 497.

(*b*) *Damer v. Lord Portarlington*, 15 Sim. 380.

(*c*) *Chichester v. Marquis of Donegal*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 497.

(*d*) *Bentinck v. Willink*, 2 Ha. 1.

(*e*) *Davis v. Parry*, 4 Jur. N. S. 431.

(*f*) *Phillips v. Evans*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 647.

(*g*) *Neate v. Latimer*, 4 Cl. & F. 570. See *Glover v. Hall*, 2 Ph. 484; *Basford v. Blakesley*, 6 Beav. 131; *Kennedy v. Green*, 6 Sim. 6.

(*h*) *Livesey v. Harding*, 1 Beav. 343.

(*i*) *Yates v. Plumbe*, 2 Sm. & G. 174.

(*k*) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 9.

(*l*) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41.

CHAP. XLII. commencement of this Act, and shall have effect notwithstanding any stipulation to the contrary."

For the purposes of this enactment the expression "mortgagor" includes any person from time to time deriving title under the original mortgagor, or entitled to redeem a mortgage, according to his estate, interest, or right in the mortgaged property; and "mortgagee" includes any person from time to time deriving title under the original mortgagee (*m*).

General relief for loss of deeds.

iv.—Loss of Title Deeds.—Generally, relief may be obtained by persons claiming under missing instruments for the purpose of establishing estates or interests created by them whether actually contested or not (*n*), or to establish possession where a document of title is lost (*o*).

A suit in equity might have been instituted for payment or satisfaction of missing bonds or instruments under seal, where the legal remedy would formerly have been unavailable or inadequate, or of missing instruments under seal or not under seal, upon terms as to security or indemnity which the courts of common law could not formerly have considered (*p*). Under the present practice, relief in respect of lost instruments may be obtained in any Division of the High Court of Justice.

Mortgagee not deprived of remedies by loss of deeds.

A mortgagee is not deprived of his right to recover his debt by reason of his inability to produce the title deeds if the Court is satisfied that the money was actually advanced, and that the deeds have been lost; in such a case the amount of the debt, and the nature and terms of the security, may be proved by parol evidence (*q*). In order to render parol evidence admissible in proof of the contents of a lost document, it is sufficient to prove that every reasonable search for the lost document has been made, and that it cannot be found (*r*).

Inquiry as to missing deeds.

If the mortgagee cannot produce the mortgage and title deeds or any of them by reason of their having been lost or stolen from him, the Court may, either in a foreclosure or redemption action, direct an inquiry what title deeds relating to the mort-

(*m*) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 2 (vi).

(*n*) *Schofield v. Schofield*, Seton, 4th ed. p. 1334; *Hall v. Dawson*, 7 L. T. N. S. 519.

(*o*) *Walmsley v. Child*, 1 Ves. Sen. 344. See Story, § 84.

(*p*) *England v. Lord Tredegar*, L. R. 1 Eq. 344; *Bushnan v. Morgan*, 5 Sim. 635; *East Indian Co. v. Boddam*, 9 Ves. 464; *Walmsley v. Child*, *sup.*; *Whitfield v. Fausset*, 1 Ves. Sen. 387, 392.

(*q*) *Baskett v. Skeel*, 11 W. R. 1019.

(*r*) *Hart v. Hart*, 1 Ha. 1.

gaged premises were delivered to the mortgagee, and what has become of them (s). CHAP. XLII.

In case the title deeds are lost or destroyed by the mortgagee, though under alienation of mind, the expense of procuring fresh title deeds or copies, and the damage to the property caused by such destruction (upon which a reference will be directed) will be set off against the mortgage debt (t). Damages for loss of deeds set off against mortgage debt.

In such a case the mortgagor is warranted in instituting a suit against the mortgagee for redemption and a proper indemnity and compensation, in order that any person with whom he may thereafter deal respecting the property may be satisfied of the loss; and the Court will not consider whether he ought or not to have accepted any of the proposals which were made to him by the mortgagee on that head (u). Suit for indemnity and compensation.

The indemnity should extend to any such costs, damages, and expenses as the mortgagor or other party may be put to by the loss of the instrument (x). Extent of indemnity and compensation.

The measure of compensation when title deeds have been lost by a mortgagee is the expense of office copies, &c., to which the estate will be put by reason of the decree and other proceedings forming part of the title (y); and does not include speculative damages for injury by the absence of the deeds at a sale (y). Measure of compensation.

Money that has been paid to the mortgagee by the mortgagor, in obedience to the terms of an order for an injunction, for interest accrued on the mortgage debt from the time the notice to redeem expired, will be decreed to be repaid (z). Repayment.

In such a suit subsequent incumbrancers are entitled to their costs, though the proceeds of sale are not sufficient to pay the first incumbrancer (a). Costs.

The mortgagee must pay the costs of an action brought by him against the mortgagor, when the redemption of the property is only impeded by the loss of the title deeds by the

(s) *Smith v. Bicknell*, cit. 3 V. & B. 51; *Stokes v. Robson*, 3 V. & B. 51; *Hart v. Hart*, 1 Ha. 1; *Lucraft v. Hite*, cit. 2 Ha. 14; *Bentinek v. Willink*, 2 Ha. 1.

(t) *Hornby v. Matcham*, 16 Sim. 325; *Woodman v. Higgins*, 14 Jur. 846; *Baskett v. Skeel*, 11 W. R. 1019.

(u) *Lord Middleton v. Eliot*, 15 Sim. 531; *Brown v. Sewell*, 11 Ha. 49; *Bentinek v. Willink*, 2 Ha. 1; *Hornby*

v. Matcham, sup.; *Lucraft v. Hite*, 2 Ha. 14, n.

(x) *East India Co. v. Boddam*, 6 Ves. 464; *Lord Middleton v. Eliot*, sup. For form of indemnity, see Seton, 1907.

(y) *Brown v. Sewell*, 11 Ha. 49; *Hornby v. Matcham*, 15 Sim. 325.

(z) *Lord Middleton v. Eliot*, 15 Sim. 531.

(a) *Wentner v. Wright*, 2 Sim. 543.

CHAP. XLII.

Loss of deeds
through no
fault of
mortgagee.

Deeds other-
wise not
forthcoming.

Mortgagee
not liable
for deeds
delivered to
his solicitor
without his
privity.

mortgagee (*b*). And generally, where the loss arises through the fault of the mortgagee, he will be liable for the costs occasioned by the loss (*c*).

If the mortgagee had been robbed of the title deeds without negligence, it would seem that he would not have been responsible (*d*) further than to be obliged to give an indemnity (*e*). So, if they were lost notwithstanding due care (*f*).

Where, in a redemption action brought by a second mortgagee, the deeds were not forthcoming in consequence of having been lost by the solicitor of the first mortgagee, the second mortgagee was decreed an indemnity with costs, but not compensation, as he had made no case for it (*g*).

Where the deeds were not forthcoming, in a suit by a mortgagee suing in right of his wife as administratrix, in consequence of a claim by her solicitor to hold them adversely, the money was paid into the bank to remain until the deeds could be secured and a reconveyance had (*h*).

No liability will attach to a mortgagee for the loss of documents of title deposited by way of security for a loan with the mortgagee's solicitor if in fact the mortgagee never intended that such documents should form part of the security, and did not know that the mortgagor had deposited them for that purpose. So, where a solicitor negotiated a loan by his clients on the security of a mortgage of a freehold estate of the borrower, and falsely and without the knowledge of the mortgagees informed the borrower that the mortgagees required a collateral security, and accordingly, the borrower handed to the solicitor certain securities to bearer, which he misappropriated, it was held that the solicitor was not the agent of the mortgagees in receiving the securities, and that they were not responsible for the loss (*i*).

(*b*) *Lord Middleton v. Eliot*, 15 Sim. 536.

(*c*) *Price v. Price*, 15 L. J. Ch. 13.

(*d*) *Jones v. Lewis*, 2 Ves. Sen. 240.
See *Smith v. Bicknell*, 3 V. & B. 51, n.;
Job v. Job, 6 Ch. D. 563.

(*e*) *Shelmardine v. Harrop*, 6 Madd. 39;
Stokoe v. Robson, 19 Ves. 385.

(*f*) *Woodman v. Higgins*, 14 Jur.

846.

(*g*) *James v. Rumsey*, 11 Ch. D. 398.
See *Caldwell v. Matthews*, W. N. (1890) 84.

(*h*) *Schoole v. Sall*, 1 Sch. & L. 176.
See, as to solicitors' lien on deeds, *post*, p. 1384.

(*i*) *Rhodes v. Moules*, (1895) 1 Ch. 236, C. A.

SECTION IV.

OF ALIENATION OF THE SECURITY.

i.—**Transfer of Mortgage.**—A mortgagee may assign the mortgage debt and the securities for the same to another person either by way of absolute transfer or by way of sub-mortgage (*k*). Assignments of mortgage debts and securities for the same.

For the purpose of such assignment, the concurrence or consent of or notice to the mortgagor is not necessary (*l*); but no person should advance his money upon the security of a transfer of a mortgage unless either the mortgagee is a party or, otherwise, without an admission by the mortgagor, or strict proof that the state of account between the transferring mortgagee and the mortgagor is as stated by the former; for the transferee cannot stand in a better position than the original mortgagee (*m*), and can only claim what is owing on the security on the footing of such equities and settlements of accounts as would bind the original mortgagee (*n*). The mortgagor not concurring in the assignment is not bound by the account appearing due on the face of the assignment (*o*). And the fact that the transferee has obtained the legal estate in the property without notice will not help him to set up a claim for more than was actually due on the mortgage at the time of the transfer (*p*). Concurrence of mortgagor not necessary to transfer of mortgage.

The mortgagor not being bound by the settlement of account between the mortgagee and assignee, *a fortiori* he cannot be prejudiced by any agreement between them to increase the amount of the principal due; and, consequently, the arrears of interest at the time of the assignment cannot, generally speaking, without his concurrence, be converted into principal and added to the mortgage debt (*q*). And even with his consent, the interest cannot be converted into principal to the prejudice of other creditors having then a charge on the estate of which Mortgagor not bound by accounts between mortgagee and assignee.

(*k*) As to sub-mortgages, see *post*, pp. 830 *et seq.*

(*l*) *Jones v. Gibbon*, 9 Ves. 407, 411.

(*m*) *Ashenhurst v. James*, 3 Atk. 270.

(*n*) *Earl of Macclesfield v. Fitton*, Vern. 169; *Matthews v. Wallwyn*, 4 Ves. 118; *Williams v. Sorrell*, 4 Ves. 339; *Bradwell v. Catchpole*, 3 Swanst. 79, n.

(*o*) *Williams v. Sorrell*, *sup.*; *Chambers v. Goldwin*, 9 Ves. 254.

(*p*) *Bradwell v. Catchpole*, 3 Swanst. 78, n.

(*q*) *Earl of Macclesfield v. Fitton*, Vern. 169; *Ashenhurst v. James*, 3 Atk. 271; *Porter v. Hubbard*, cited 3 Atk. 271. And see *Matthews v. Wallwyn*, 4 Ves. 118.

CHAP. XLII.

the parties have notice (*r*). Nor can the rate of interest be changed. But it is submitted that as equity will, on the settlement of accounts, allow the necessary costs of defending and maintaining the title (*s*), renewal of leases (*t*), and the like, with interest in the meantime, the amount of such costs may on an assignment be added to the principal, and will carry interest without the mortgagor's concurrence, and have preference to other subsisting charges.

Mortgagor may set off payments to mortgagee after assignment.

The rule that a mortgagor not concurring in the assignment is not bound thereby may be considered as settled, not only with respect to payments made before the assignment, but also to payments made thereafter, or on a running account. The mortgagor would be at liberty to set off all moneys paid to the mortgagee after the assignment and before notice (*u*), but not payments to the solicitor of the mortgagor, unless he has a special authority to receive the money (*x*).

Mortgagor's concurrence dispenses with necessity of making original mortgagee party to redemption action.

Assignment by mortgagee in possession.

Moreover, where the mortgagor concurs in the assignment, the original mortgagee need not be made a party to an action of redemption, which otherwise may be the case, that he may account for the profits received in his time (*y*).

There is another most important point to be attended to by the mortgagee in an assignment of mortgage, viz., that if he is in possession, he is considered in equity, in some measure, in the light of a trustee, and accountable for the profits; and, therefore, if without the assent of the mortgagor he voluntarily assigns over the mortgage to another, he will be held liable to account for the profits received subsequently to the assignment (*z*), on the principle that, having turned the mortgagor out of possession, it is incumbent on him to take care in whose hands he places the estate. A query is added in *Equity Cases Abridged* (*z*), whether, if the mortgagor hides, so that he cannot be served with a *subpoena*, the mortgagee in possession may not assign without being accountable for the subsequent profits; but the query only tends to show the general rule.

Where, however, a mortgagee in possession transfers the

(*r*) *Digby v. Craggs*, Amb. 612; 2 Ed. 200; *Montague v. Ratcliffe*, Fonb. Eq. (5th ed.), vol. ii. p. 438.

(*s*) *Godfrey v. Watson*, 3 Atk. 518.

(*t*) *Lucan v. Mertins*, 2 Atk. 4; *Manlove v. Bale*, 2 Vern. 84.

(*u*) *Jones v. Gibbon*, 9 Ves. 410; *Allen v. Lord Southampton*, *Banfather's*

Case, 16 Ch. D. 187.

(*x*) *Withington v. Tate*, L. R. 4 Ch. A. 288.

(*y*) 2 Eq. Ca. Abr. 594; *Hill v. Adams*, 2 Atk. 39. See *Norrish v. Marshall*, 5 Madd. 457.

(*z*) 2 Eq. Ca. Abr. 328, pl. 2; and see *ante*, p. 803.

security by direction of the Court in a redemption suit, the mortgagor will be bound though he does not concur in the transfer, and the original mortgagee will be under no continuing liability for the subsequent rents or the acts and defaults of the transferee (a).

For the reasons above mentioned, the concurrence of the mortgagor in the assignment of a mortgage should, if possible, never be dispensed with; and in cases in which, from unavoidable circumstances, an assignment is taken from the mortgagee only, the precaution should be had of obtaining a covenant from the mortgagee, that the money alleged to be owing is actually due; and notice of the assignment should be given to the mortgagor with the least practicable delay.

If there be fraud in the original creation of the mortgage, as, for example, if no money actually pass between the parties, and if the mortgagee afterwards assign to a third person for a valuable consideration, without notice of the fraud in the original transaction, and the mortgagor convey his equitable interest to a stranger for a valuable consideration without notice of the mortgage, the money paid on the assignment will make good the original transaction and purge the fraud (b).

Assignment
of void or
voidable
mortgage.

But the transferee is in no better position than the mortgagee when the mortgage is absolutely void from the beginning, although he took for valuable consideration and without notice (c); but where the security is only voidable, it may become valid in the hands of such a transferee (d).

The transferee of a mortgage will not be protected by obtaining the legal estates thereby conveyed from being postponed to any equities affecting the property of which he had actual or constructive notice at the time of the transfer (e). So, where land was devised subject to legacies, and a mortgage of the land was made by the devisee expressly subject to the legacies, and on the money being called in by the mortgagee a transfer was made to a third party with a confirmation by the mortgagor, to whom a further advance was made, but the transfer was not expressed to be made subject to legacies, the party advancing

How far
legal estate
protects trans-
feree from
equities
affecting the
property.

(a) *Hall v. Howard*, 32 Ch. D. 430, C. A.

(b) *Newport's Case*, Ca. t. Holt, 477.

(c) *Parker v. Clarke*, 30 Beav. 54; *Ogilvie v. Jeaffreson*, 2 Giff. 353.

(d) *Judd v. Green*, 33 L. T. N. S.

597. See *George v. Milbanks*, 9 Ves. 190; *Lord Alborough v. Trye*, 7 Cl. & F. 436, 463.

(e) See further as to actual and constructive notice, *post*, pp. 1313 *et seq.*

CHAP. XLII.

the money being falsely informed of their being satisfied, yet he took subject to the legacies, as by the conveyance he had gained only the same estate as was held by the original mortgagee (*f*).

The assignee of a contract or chose in action, or equitable security, takes it subject to all equities arising upon it (*g*).

Payment of
consideration
for transfer.

A transferee should be careful to pay the consideration money into the hands of the transferor or his solicitor, whose production of the deed of transfer and of a receipt signed by the transferor is sufficient authority for payment to the solicitor (*h*).

Payment to
mortgagee's
solicitor.

Where the plaintiff, who had mortgaged certain lands, was informed by his solicitor, contrary to fact, that the mortgagee had demanded to be paid off, and had accordingly authorized the solicitor to borrow the money on his behalf from the defendant; and in pursuance of that arrangement, the mortgagor executed a deed of transfer and also a bond for the amount purporting to be by way of collateral security; the solicitor who acted also for the defendant handed over to the latter the bond, but retained the deed of transfer, which was not even brought to the notice of, or executed by the original mortgagee, and subsequently absconded with the money; the defendant then sued the plaintiff on the bond at law, and recovered; the plaintiff then filed his bill in equity to get back the amount; it was held, that the loss must be borne by the defendant, who was ordered to repay to the plaintiff the amount recovered on the bond with interest (*i*).

So, where the transferee's solicitor, who was also one of three mortgagees, received the money from his client, and prepared a transfer which was signed by himself and one of his co-mortgagees, and by the mortgagor, but the money was never paid and was lost by the solicitor's insolvency; it was held that the deed was inoperative as against the transferors and the mortgagor, and a reconveyance was ordered (*k*).

Purchase of
incumbrance
at under-
value.

If the assignee become the purchaser of an incumbrance for less than its actual value, he will, as against the mortgagor or his heirs (*l*), be entitled to require payment of the full debt.

(*f*) *Rogers v. Rogers*, 6 Sim. 364.

(*g*) *Lickbarrow v. Mason*, 2 T. R. 63;
Mangles v. Dixon, 3 H. L. C. 702.

(*h*) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 56.

(*i*) *Young v. Gay*, 8 Beav. 147.

(*k*) *Griffin v. Cloues*, 20 Beav. 61.

(*l*) *Phillips v. Faughan*, 1 Vern. 336;
Williams v. Springfield, 1 Vern. 476;
Baker v. Kellett, 3 Rep. in Ch. 23;
Anon., 1 Salk. 155; *Ascough v. John-*
son, 2 Vern. 66.

It has been questioned whether, as against a *bond fide* purchaser of the estate, without notice of the incumbrance (*m*), or as against subsequent creditors (*n*), the purchaser, being a stranger, could require payment of more than he actually paid; and it is laid down in Gilb. Lex Præst. (*o*), that if a stranger purchase an incumbrance at an undervalue, with notice of a subsequent incumbrance, the latter creditor might redeem him, paying the money that the former gave, because the latter was entitled to redemption before the other interfered; but if the first incumbrancer had offered it to the second mortgagee at the same price at which the stranger purchased it, and he had refused the offer, the case had been different, and a *quære* is added, whether, if the second incumbrancer had notice of the whole money lent by the first mortgagee at the time he advanced his money, the purchaser might not have required the full debt.

In another case (*p*), it is stated to have been determined by the Court that an heir or any other should not, as against a real purchaser, be allowed more on any incumbrance bought in than what he actually paid for the same, without regard to what was really due on such incumbrance.

It is, however, now clear that a mortgagee (*q*) or other creditor purchasing in an incumbrance for less than its value, shall be entitled as against intermediate incumbrancers, or others over whose charges or equities the purchased incumbrance ranks in priority, to recover the full amount of the debt (*r*); and, notwithstanding doubts, it would seem that a stranger, purchasing an incumbrance for less than the amount due, will be entitled to the full benefit of his purchase as against incumbrancers, creditors, or purchasers for value, over whose interests the purchased security has priority, no less than as against the mortgagor and his heirs. So, in the anonymous case in Salkeld, it is stated, that "if one acts for himself, and being not in the circumstances of a trustee or executor, buy in a mortgage for less than is due, or for less than it is worth, he

(*m*) *Phillips v. Vaughan*, 1 Vern. 336.

(*n*) *Williams v. Springfield*, 1 Vern. 476.

(*o*) Pp. 282, 283.

(*p*) *Long v. Clopton*, 1 Vern. 464.

(*q*) *Shaw v. Bunny*, 2 De G. J. & S. 468, 472; *Dobson v. Land*, 8 Ha. 220;

Kirkwood v. Thompson, 2 H. & M. 401; 2 Dav. Conv. (4th ed.), vol. ii. pt. ii. p. 272.

(*r*) *Morret v. Pasko*, 2 Atk. 54; *Darcy v. Hall*, 1 Vern. 49. And see *Bromley v. Holland*, 5 Ves. 620, n.

CHAP. XLII.

shall be allowed all that is due on the mortgage, for he stands in the place of him that assigned, viz., the mortgagee, who might have given it to him *gratis*, and what is due must be the measure of our allowance, and not what he gave, for that might have been more than it is worth, as well as less, and since he runs the hazard if loss happens, he ought to have the benefit in case it turns to advantage; so said and admitted, per Cowper, Lord Chancellor" (s). These remarks apply as much to a stranger as to a creditor.

Purchase
by person in
fiduciary
position.

If, however, the purchaser stands in a fiduciary position to the mortgagor, then the Court will hold that he made the purchase for the benefit of the estate.

Agent or
trustee, &c.

An agent, trustee, heir-at-law, executor, or guardian, purchasing a puisne incumbrance, shall, as against another incumbrancer, be paid no more than what he gave for this incumbrance; otherwise as to a prior creditor, who *bond fide* buys in the puisne incumbrance, though he did not give the full value for it (t).

Solicitor.

The rule was applied against a solicitor (u) and a solicitor's clerk who, by means of knowledge acquired by him while acting in that capacity, was enabled to purchase a mortgage for less than its value (x).

Heir-at-law.

So, if the heir-at-law is the purchaser, and there are judgment or specialty creditors, he shall not have the benefit of the assignment beyond the amount of the purchase to their prejudice (y).

Tenant
for life.

It seems that if a tenant for life buy in a mortgage affecting the inheritance for a less sum than is really due upon it, he is entitled to charge as against the inheritance merely the sum which he has actually paid for the incumbrance, and that the purchase will be considered as having been made for the benefit of the inheritance (z).

Guardian
of infant.

The same rule applies to the case of a guardian buying in an incumbrance charged on the estate of the infant for less than its value, of which the infant will have the advantage (a).

Directors
of company.

Directors of a company purchasing its debentures, which

(s) *Anon.*, 1 Salk. 155.
(t) *Morrei v. Paske*, 2 Atk. 54. And see *Bromley v. Holland*, 5 Ves. 620, n. And see *Brathwaite v. Brathwaite*, 1 Vern. 335, and *Anon.*, 2 Vent. 353; *Lancaster v. Evers*, 1 Ph. 349, 355; *Carter v. Palmer*, 8 Cl. & F. 657.

(u) *Nelson v. Booth*, 3 Jur. N. S. 950.

(x) *Hobday v. Peters*, 28 Beav. 349.

(y) *Brathwaite v. Brathwaite*, 1 Vern. 335; *Long v. Clopton*, 1 Vern. 484; *Lancaster v. Evers*, 1 Ph. 349, 355; and the same seems now, since the 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 104, to apply to a simple contract creditor.

(z) *Hill v. Broune*, Dru. 426, 433.

(a) *Powell v. Glover*, 3 P. Wms. 251, note.

were disputed, at an undervalue, were allowed only the amount paid with interest (b). CHAP. XLII.

A surety compounding the debt for a smaller sum, cannot, as against the principal debtor, stand as a creditor for the full amount (c). Surety.

A trustee or other person standing in a fiduciary position will not be allowed the benefit of his purchase as against his *cestuis que trust* or principal after he has ceased to fill any of the above characters, unless after full communication made by him of all the information he gained while he filled such character, and with the full knowledge and consent of his *cestuis que trust* or principal (d). Purchase after termination of fiduciary position.

The rule is not applicable to a trustee or agent, where the debtor acquiesces (e). Consent of *cestuis que trust*.

If the *cestuis que trust* for a long time refuse to adopt the purchase, the trustee may keep it (f).

As to purchasers of incumbrances at an undervalue by persons in a fiduciary position, if the mortgage is purchased for the purpose of protecting a subsequent incumbrance to which they are entitled in their own right, they may take the full benefit of the prior security (g). Cases where trustees, &c. may have full benefit of security.

The heir was allowed the full benefit of his purchase against an incumbrancer, a solicitor, who advised the purchase as a provision for the heir (h).

So the devisee of the reversion, being also second incumbrancer, was allowed the full benefit (g).

In bankruptcy the purchaser is entitled to a dividend on the full amount (i).

According to the usual practice, a transfer of a mortgage consists of an absolute assignment of the debt, and the benefit of all securities for the same, and of a conveyance of the mortgaged property with the powers and subject to the equity of redemption subsisting by virtue of the original mortgage. Form of deed of transfer of mortgage.

At law, the debt being a chose in action, was not, in general, before the Judicature Act, 1873, assignable. A power of attorney must therefore have been given by the mortgagee to the assignee to enable him to proceed in the mortgagee's name Power of attorney now not necessary.

(b) *Re Imperial Land Co. of Marseilles*, 4 Ch. D. 566, C. A.

(c) *Reed v. Norris*, 2 My. & Cr. 361.

(d) *Carter v. Palmer*, 8 Cl. & F. 657. And see 3 Sug. V. & P. 11th ed. p. 895.

(e) *Crompton v. Huber*, 1 Jur. N. S. 465.

(f) *Barwell v. Barwell*, 34 Beav. 371.

(g) *Davis v. Barrett*, 14 Beav. 542.

(h) *Bailey v. Wilkins*, 3 J. & L. 630. And see *Barton v. Hassard*, 3 Dr. & War. 461.

(i) *Wilkinson v. Sles*, 12 W. R. 848.

CHAP. XLII.

on the covenant. But now such a power is no longer necessary; as assignees of legal choses in action are by that Act (*k*) empowered to sue in their own names, and to give good discharges without the concurrence of their assignors, provided the debtor or other person liable has notice of the assignment (*l*).

Benefit of securities pass by assignment of debt.

If the deed of transfer refer in terms to the mortgage, the assignment of the debt will pass the benefit of the securities, though not expressly mentioned (*m*).

Arrears of interest.

If, upon the transfer of a mortgage, the transferee pays to the transferor arrears of interest as well as the amount of the principal, such arrears will be recoverable by the transferee from the mortgagor, though not expressly mentioned in the assignment (*n*).

Conveyance of mortgaged property.

The form of conveyance in a deed of transfer and the rights of the transferee thereunder will depend upon the nature of the property conveyed.

Arrears of rent do not pass.

A conveyance of land by way of transfer of mortgage, without express words as to rents in arrear, will not pass to the transferee arrears of rent accrued prior to the transfer (*o*).

Debt passes by conveyance.

The conveyance of the estate will of itself pass the debt to the transferee, though there be no express assignment of the debt; for the estate being absolute at law, the debtor has no means of redeeming it but by paying the money; therefore, he who has the estate has in effect the debt, as the estate can never be taken from him, except by payment of the debt (*p*).

Transfer of mortgage of copyholds.

In the case of a transfer of mortgage of copyholds, so long as the transaction between the mortgagor and mortgagee rests in covenant, if the mortgagee assign his equitable interest by deed, and the mortgagor surrender to the assignee, the latter may compel the lord by *mandamus* to admit him without a double fine (*q*). The reader will observe that Mr. Watkins, in his *Treatise on Copyholds* (*r*), refers to this case as an authority that if a surrenderee before admittance assign by deed, the lord must admit the assignee without a double fine; but it will be seen the case applies to an assignment by a covenantee only, and not by a surrenderee.

(*k*) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66, s. 25 (6).

(*l*) *Ante*, p. 305.

(*m*) *Exp. Smith*, 2 D. & L. 271.

(*n*) *Cottrill v. Finney*, L. R. 9 Ch. A. 541.

(*o*) *Salmon v. Dean*, 3 Mac. & G. 344.

(*p*) *Jones v. Gibbon*, 9 Ves. 407, 411.

(*q*) *Rea v. Lord of the Manor of Hendon*, 2 T. R. 484. See 1 Scriv. Cop., 4th ed. p. 211.

(*r*) 1 Watk. Cop., 4th ed. p. 128.

Where a mortgage of copyholds has been effected in the usual way by conditional surrender, the transfer of the estate (if required) must be effected, either by means of an entry of satisfaction of the original mortgage immediately followed by a fresh conditional surrender by the mortgagor to the use of the transferee, or by the mortgagee being admitted and surrendering to the use of the transferee, subject to the equity of redemption of the mortgagor (s).

It is usual and advisable that the conveyance of the mortgaged property should expressly refer to and include the express or statutory power of sale contained in or implied by virtue of the mortgage (t); but it would seem that all powers and remedies, though not mentioned, would pass (u). Power of sale.

There is an obvious difficulty in effecting a transfer of part of a mortgage debt, as the mortgagee's remedies by sale, foreclosure, &c. are indivisible, so as not to admit of a partial transfer; but such an arrangement may be carried out in effect either by the mortgagee retaining his estate in and powers and remedies over the mortgaged property, or by a transfer of the debt and property to a trustee for the original mortgagee and the party paying the amount; in either case the mortgagee or the trustee, as the case may be, will execute a declaration of trust that he holds the mortgage money and interest, and the securities for the same, upon trust for the mortgagee and the third party according to the amounts to which they are entitled respectively; the declaration should state whether the amounts are to rank *pari passu*, or whether one is to be paid in full in priority to the other (x). Partial transfer of mortgage debt.

It is generally considered that the introduction of a new proviso of redemption in the assignment of a mortgage is not sufficient to constitute a new mortgage. In one case, however, where the mortgagee assigned a part of the mortgage debt, and joined with the heir of the mortgagor in conveying part of the mortgaged lands to a new mortgagee, with a new proviso and at a new rate of interest, and with a bond and covenant, the Master of the Rolls held that it constituted a new mortgage (y). New proviso for redemption.

A voluntary deed of assignment by a mortgagee of all his debts and personal estate, with a grant generally of all the estates Voluntary assignment of mortgages.

(s) 2 Dav. Conv., vol. ii. pt. 2, p. 793.

(t) *Curling v. Shuttleworth*, 6 Bing. 121; *Young v. Roberts*, 15 Beav. 558.

(u) *Boyd v. Petrie*, L. R. 7 Ch. A.

385.

(x) Dav. Conv., vol. ii. pt. 2, p. 808; Key & Elph., vol. ii. p. 247.

(y) *Barham v. Earl of Thanet*, 3 My. & K. 607.

CHAP. XLII.

held by him by way of mortgage, but not specifying the particular lands, and without delivery of the mortgage deed, or notice to the mortgagor, will not be aided in equity, if the deed be inoperative at law, though there be a covenant for further assurance (s). But at law, a general assignment by A. of all his personal estate and effects to trustees, has been held to pass a mortgage of leaseholds (a).

By the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (b), it is enacted—

Forms of
statutory
transfer of
mortgage.

Sect. 27. “(1.) A transfer of a statutory mortgage may be made by a deed expressed to be made by way of statutory transfer of mortgage, being in such one of the three forms (A.) and (B.) and (C.) given in Part II. of the Third Schedule to the Act as may be appropriate to the case, with such variations and additions, if any, as circumstances may require, and the provisions of this section shall apply thereto.

“(2.) In whichever of those three forms the deed of transfer is made, it shall have effect as follows (namely):

“(i.) There shall become vested in the person to whom the benefit of the mortgage is expressed to be transferred, who, with his executors, administrators, and assigns, is hereafter in this section designated the transferee, the right to demand, sue for, recover, and give receipts for the mortgage money, or the unpaid part thereof, and the interest then due, if any, and thenceforth to become due thereon, and the benefit of all securities for the same, and the benefit of and the right to sue on all covenants with the mortgagee, and the right to exercise all powers of the mortgagee:

“(ii.) All the estate and interest, subject to redemption, of the mortgagee in the mortgaged land shall vest in the transferee, subject to redemption.

“(3.) If the deed of transfer is made in the form (B.), there shall also be deemed to be included, and there shall by virtue of the Act be implied therein, a covenant with the transferee by the person expressed to join therein as covenantor to the effect following (namely):

“That the covenantor will, on the next of the days by the mortgage deed fixed for payment of interest, pay to the transferee the stated mortgage money, or so much thereof as then remains unpaid, with interest thereon, or on the unpaid part thereof, in the meantime, at the rate stated in the mortgage deed; and will thereafter, as long as the mortgage money, or any part thereof, remains unpaid, pay to the transferee interest on that sum, or the unpaid part thereof, at the same rate, on the successive days by the mortgage deed fixed for payment of interest.

“(4.) If the deed of transfer is made in the form (C.), it shall, by virtue of this Act, operate not only as a statutory transfer of mortgage, but also as a statutory mortgage, and the provisions of

(s) *Ward v. Audland*, 8 Beav. 201.
But see now stat. 56 & 57 Vict. c. 21,
set out *ante*, p. 602.

(a) *West v. Stewart*, 14 M. & W. 47.
(b) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41.

this section shall have effect in relation thereto accordingly; but it shall not be liable to any increased stamp duty by reason only of it being designated a mortgage." CHAP. XLII.

By sect. 28, in a statutory transfer of mortgage the implied Joint and several covenants of several joint transferors will be deemed to be joint and several; and if there are several transferees the benefit of such covenants will enure to them jointly, as in the case of a statutory mortgage (c).

The transfer of mortgages on a change of trustees has been simplified. If the mortgaged property was freehold, it was formerly conveyed to a releasee to the use of the continuing and a new trustee. If the mortgage was of personalty, two deeds were formerly necessary; but this necessity has been removed by Lord St. Leonards' Act (d), which enacts that any person shall have power to assign personal property now by law assignable, including chattels real, directly to himself and another person or other persons, or corporation, by the like means as he might assign the same to another. This enactment does not apply to choses in action, but now choses in action, as well as freeholds, may be conveyed by a person to himself jointly with another person by the like means by which they might have been conveyed by him to another person (e).

A transfer of a security by a deposit of deeds may be effected by a simple delivery of the deeds to the transferee without any memorandum. Where a solicitor paid off a debt of his client which was secured by deposit of deeds, and took possession of and retained the deeds, it was held that he took them as transferee of the security so as to exclude his lien for costs (f).

An equitable mortgagee by deposit of deeds cannot pass his interest in the mortgaged property by a parol voluntary gift accompanied by a delivery of the deeds, and the donee has, in such case, no right to retain the deeds (g).

The costs of a transfer of mortgage are generally payable by the mortgagee (h), unless the mortgage is called in, and

(d) See this section set out *ante*, pp. 146, 147.

(e) 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, s. 21.

(f) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 50. See further as to transfers of mortgages on a change of trustees, *ante*, p. 535.

(g) *Vaughan v. Vandersteyn*, An-

nesley's Case, 2 Drew. 409. See *Matthews v. Wallwyn*, 4 Ves. 119.

(h) *Re Richardson, Shillito v. Hobson*, 30 Ch. D. 396, C. A.; *Re Hancock, Hancock v. Berrey*, W. N. (1888) 138.

(i) *Re Radcliffe*, 22 Beav. 201.

CHAP. XLII.

transferred to a third person for the convenience of the mortgagor (i).

Right to compel transfer.

A mortgagee is not generally compellable to transfer his security (k). The question as to the right of a mortgagor, who is called on to pay off the mortgage, to require a transfer to a third person advancing the money, instead of a reconveyance will be considered later (l).

ii.—Sub-Mortgage.—Debts secured by mortgage are often the subject of assignments by way of mortgage which are termed sub-mortgages.

Right of legal or equitable mortgagee to sub-mortgage.

A legal mortgagee may make a legal or an equitable sub-mortgage, and an equitable mortgagee by deposit of deeds may create an equitable sub-mortgage, by depositing the deeds with a third person, although he does not deliver over the memorandum (m). But the sub-mortgage will be good only to the extent of the amount due on the original security, and on payment of that amount the sub-mortgagee must hand over the deeds to the original mortgagor (n). An intending sub-mortgagee, therefore, should ascertain, and, if possible, obtain a written admission from the debtor, that the sum alleged to be due is owing.

Form of sub-mortgage.

Where there is a sub-mortgage, the security will comprise: first, the personal covenant of the sub-mortgagor; secondly, the transfer of the original mortgage debt (o) and mortgaged property, subject to redemption, with the benefit of the power of sale, and other powers and remedial clauses contained in the original mortgage; thirdly, a power of sale enabling the sub-mortgagee to dispose of the original mortgage debt and security. If the sub-mortgagee as assignee of the original mortgagee sell under an express power of sale contained in the mortgage, he will be enabled by the terms of the power to give receipts to purchasers, which will be effectual discharges so far as regards the mortgagor and those claiming under him; but a further and special receipt clause will be proper in order to exonerate the purchaser from the necessity of seeing that the sub-mortgagee, after satisfaction of the debt secured by the sub-mortgage, pays the balance to the original mortgagee (p).

Power of sale. Vice-Chancellor Kindersley suggested in a judgment what

(i) See *Sewell v. Bishop*, 62 L. J. Ch. 985, C. A.

(k) *Colyer v. Colyer*, 3 De G. J. & S. 676.

(l) See *post*, pp. 1414 *et seq.*

(m) *Exp. Smith*, 2 M. D. & DeG. 587.

(n) *Matthews v. Wallwyn*, 4 Ves. 118; *Exp. Tuffnell*, 4 D. & C. 29.

(o) As to assignments of debts by way of mortgage, see *ante*, pp. 302 *et seq.*

(p) *Dev. Conv.*, vol. ii. pt. 2, p. 138.

would be the effect on the power of sale of a simple transfer by way of sub-mortgage—whether it would have the effect of transferring the power of sale, or of destroying or suspending it (*q*). When, as in well-drawn deeds, the power of sale is expressly made exerciseable by any person entitled to receive and give a receipt for the mortgage money, the transfer of the mortgage, though by way of sub-mortgage only, would no doubt generally carry with it the power of sale. And the statutory power of sale now incident to the interest of a mortgagee is so made exerciseable (*r*).

As a person who takes a sub-mortgage thereby permits the mortgage debt to be appropriated to the discharge from liability to him of the mortgagee and his estate, the latter is in a position bearing a great resemblance to that of a surety, and the sub-mortgagee cannot prevent the original mortgagee from getting in the debt from his mortgagor, who is in the position of the principal debtor, except upon the terms of releasing such mortgagee from his personal liability, and reconveying to him any property of his own which may have been included in the sub-mortgage by way of collateral security. Of course, if the debt is got in by the original mortgagee, he is bound to apply it in discharge of the sub-mortgage (*s*).

Analogy between mortgagee sub-mortgaging and surety.

A mortgagee, after a sub-mortgage of a debt and notice given to the debtor, cannot so deal with the debtor as to prejudice the sub-mortgagee (*t*); and in an action for administration of the mortgagor's estate, the sub-mortgagee can prove for the whole original debt, although he cannot receive more than his own principal, interest, and costs (*u*).

Mortgagee must not prejudice sub-mortgagee.

In the case of a sub-mortgage, if the original mortgage debt is secured by an estate in land, the doctrine of reputed ownership does not apply (*x*), and on this ground the priority of charge of the sub-mortgagee would not be affected by want of notice.

Reputed ownership.

The validity of a charge on property by way of sub-mortgage depends on that of the original mortgage. So, where A. mortgaged a fund in Court to B., and afterwards joined B. in a sub-mortgage to C., and the original mortgage was set aside for

Avoidance of original mortgage.

(*q*) *Cruise v. Nowell*, 2 Jur. N. S. 536.

(*r*) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 21 (4).

(*s*) *Gurney v. Seppings*, 2 Ph. 40.

(*t*) *Re Burrell, Burrell v. Smith*, L. R. 7 Eq. 399.

(*u*) *Ibid.* See *post*, p. 1111.

(*x*) *Jones v. Gibbon*, 9 Ves. 407; *Exp. Mackay*, 1 M. D. & De G. 550.

CHAP. XLII. fraud and oppression; it was held that the sub-mortgage was also void and of no effect as against A. (y).

SECTION V.

OF DEVOLUTION AND DEVISE OF LEGAL ESTATE IN MORTGAGED PROPERTY.

Change in law as to devolution of legal estate.

i.—Devolution, &c. of Legal Estate prior to 1874.—The important changes in the law as to the devolution of mortgage estates which have been successively introduced by the Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874, and the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, in cases of deaths of testators or intestates after the 6th August, 1874, and the 31st December, 1881, respectively, render it convenient to consider separately different classes of cases which may arise according to the date of death.

Devolution under the old law on intestacy.

First, as regards cases in which the date of the mortgagee's death was prior to the 7th August, 1874, the date on which the Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874 (s), came into operation. If the mortgagee died intestate, the legal estate in the mortgaged property devolved in manner corresponding to that in which the estate would have devolved, if it had been held absolutely and not by way of mortgage; that is to say, if the mortgage was of freeholds upon the heir-at-law, or if it was of copyholds upon the customary heir; and if of land for a term of years, or of personalty, upon the personal representatives of the mortgagee, as part of his personal estate.

Heir a trustee for the personal representative of mortgagee.

As will be seen later, the mortgage debt became, upon the death of the mortgagee, assets in the hands of his administrator (a). Where, therefore, the mortgage was of realty, it might happen that the legal estate therein, and the right to the mortgage debt, fell into different hands; and, although the heir-at-law or customary heir becoming thus possessed of the legal estate held it merely as trustee for the administrator (b), and

(y) *Cockell v. Taylor*, 15 Beav. 103.
See *Favell v. Wright*, 64 L. T. 86.
(z) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 78.

(a) See *post*, p. 851.
(b) See *Exp. Morgan*, 10 Ves. 101.

was bound to convey such estate to him or by his direction upon payment off or transfer of the mortgage debt, it is obvious that an intestacy as to the legal estate in the mortgaged lands must sometimes have been productive of delay, expense, and inconvenience.

If, instead of dying intestate as to the legal estate, the mortgagee had made a will in terms, the effect of which was to pass the legal estate only, then the devisee became a trustee for the executor; or, if the testator had so devised the mortgaged lands as to pass the beneficial interest to A., and the legal estate to B., then B. became a trustee for A. (c), or, lastly, the testator might have given both the beneficial and legal interests to the same person.

Devise of
legal estate.

In modern times, the principal question has been whether, by the terms of a general devise, the legal interest has passed so as to bring the devisee as a trustee within the meaning of the Trustee Acts (d); the question being, whether a general devise, sufficient in terms to comprehend all the testator's real estate, would of itself pass the legal fee in lands of which the testator was seised as a mortgagee or trustee.

A general devise of lands, unless a contrary intention can be gathered from the will, passes the legal estate in property vested in the testator as trustee or mortgagee (e); and the circumstance of there being other property to which the devise is applicable, is no ground for excluding trust or mortgage estates. The general rule is laid down as follows: "A trust estate will pass by general words in a will, unless it can be collected either from the expressions in the will, or from the purposes or objects of the testator, that he did not mean that the legal estate should pass; as, for instance, where the devise is of all the testator's real estates to a trustee in trust to sell and receive the proceeds, or where the estates are given to one for life with remainders over. There the object of the devise in the one case and the mode of limitation in the other, are inconsistent with the intention to pass a dry legal estate" (f).

Mortgage
estates pass
by general
devise con-
taining
nothing
inconsistent.

(c) See note to *Casborne v. Scarfe*, 1 Atk. 605.

(d) See post, pp. 1417 et seq.

(e) *Lord Braybrooke v. Inskip*, 8 Ves. 417. See also *Littleton's Case*, 2 Vent. 351; *Marlow v. Smith*, 2 P. Wms. 198; *Exp. Sargison*, 4 Ves. 147; *Bainbridge*

v. Lord Ashburton, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 347; *Lindsell v. Thacker*, 12 Sim. 182; *Sharpe v. Sharpe*, 17 L. J. Ch. 384; *Langford v. Auger*, 4 Ha. 313.

(f) *Lindsell v. Thacker*, 12 Sim. 182, per Shadwell, V.-C.

CHAP. XLII.

Intention to be gathered from whole will.

Distinction between gifts of trust estates and of mortgage estates.

What expressions sufficient to show intention not to pass legal estate.

Charge of debts, &c., excludes mortgage estates.

The rule is not that in every case where general words are used the property shall or shall not pass, but that in each case every part of the will must be looked at for the intention with regard to that property (*g*).

A distinction may be taken between a mere trust estate and a mortgage, for good sense requires that a beneficial gift should carry the legal estate in a mortgage as an incident, and a useful and necessary incident, to the beneficial ownership. There may be cases where a trust estate would not pass, and yet there would be a plain intention that a legal estate in a mortgage should pass (*h*).

The intention is to be gathered from the whole will, looking at the nature of the trusts, and of the powers given to the devisee (*i*). But the intention that trust and mortgage estates shall not pass must appear by expressions inconsistent with an intention that they should pass (*k*). Even a devise by a trustee of "all his property for all his estate and interest therein" to the devisee for his absolute use and benefit, and to be disposed of by deed or will as he may think fit, was held to pass the trust estate (*l*).

A general devise will pass the legal estate though the devisee is an infant (*m*).

The legal estate in mortgaged lands will not, however, pass if the property comprised in the general devise is made subject to the payment of debts, legacies, annuities, or any other species of charge (*n*), or if the will contains any limitations or provisions to which the testator cannot have intended to subject property not beneficially his own, as uses in strict settlement (*o*), or executory limitations (*p*), or a trust for sale (*q*), or for a charity (*r*), or for the separate use of a married woman (*s*), or

(*g*) *Lord Braybrooke v. Inskip*, 8 Ves. 435.

(*h*) *Re Steven's Will*, L. R. 6 Eq. 599. See *Re Field's Mortgage*, 9 Ha. 414.

(*i*) *Re Packman and Moss*, 1 Ch. D. 214, 217. See *Re Smith's Estate*, 4 Ch. D. 70.

(*k*) *Bainbridge v. Lord Ashburton*, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 347.

(*l*) *Exp. Shaw*, 8 Sim. 159. See also *Langford v. Auger*, 4 Ha. 313; *Bainbridge v. Lord Ashburton*, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 347; *Sharp v. Sharp*, 17 L. J. Ch. 384; *Lewis v. Matthews*, L. R. 2 Eq. 177; *Re Steven's Will*, L. R. 6 Eq. 599.

(*m*) *Exp. Sergison*, 4 Ves. 147.

(*n*) *Duke of Leeds v. Mundy*, 3 Ves. 348; *Silvester v. Jarman*, 10 Pri. 78; *Re Horsfall*, M'Cl. & Y. 292; *Dos d. Roylance v. Lightfoot*, 8 M. & W. 553; *Re Packman and Moss*, 1 Ch. D. 214.

(*o*) *Thompson v. Grant*, 4 Madd. 438; *Att.-Gen. v. Vigor*, 8 Ves. 276.

(*p*) *Lord Braybrooke v. Inskip*, 8 Ves. 434. See *Re Smith's Estate*, 3 Ch. D. 70.

(*q*) *Exp. Marshall*, 9 Sim. 555; *Re Cantley*, 17 Jur. N. S. 124.

(*r*) *Att.-Gen. v. Vigor*, 8 Ves. 276.

(*s*) *Lindsell v. Thacker*, 12 Sim. 178. But see *Lewis v. Matthews*, L. R. 2 Eq. 181.

for an unascertained class (*t*), or words of severance either *simpliciter* (*u*), or with a clause of accruer amongst the devisees (*x*).

But to this rule there are some important exceptions.

If not only the legal estate in mortgaged lands, but also the beneficial interest in the mortgage moneys is vested in the testator, a charge of debts and legacies will not prevent the legal estate in the lands from passing to the devisee. In such a case good sense and convenience require that the legal estate should pass as a useful and necessary incident to the beneficial ownership (*y*).

Where, however, a mortgagee with only a partial beneficial interest in the equity of redemption devised all his real and personal estate on trust to pay his debts, with power of sale, the legal estate was held not to pass (*z*).

Again, where real and personal estate are devised and bequeathed together in trust to sell and to get in all debts owing on any security, the trustees cannot execute these trusts as regards the personalty without having the mortgage estate, and it is apparently to be presumed that in such a case the legal estate in the mortgaged realty is also intended to pass by the devise (*a*).

But a general gift of real and personal estate *simpliciter*, without any reference to securities, if charged with debts, or with debts and legacies, will not include mortgage estates (*b*).

In one case (*c*), where the will contained a direction to pay debts, followed by a general gift of residuary real and personal estates, Malins, V.-C., held that the implied charge of debts did not prevent trust estates from passing. The learned Vice-Chancellor did not, however, base his decision on any distinction between an implied charge of debts on residuary real estate and an express charge (*d*), but laid down the broad rule

CHAP. XLII.

Exceptions to rule.

Where mortgagee has both legal and beneficial interest.

Where realty and personalty are given together on trust to get in securities.

Similar gift where no reference to securities.

(*t*) *Re Finney's Estate*, 3 Gif. 465.

(*u*) *Martin v. Laverton*, L. R. 9 Eq. 568.

(*x*) *Thistle v. Vaughan*, 24 L. T. 5; *Martin v. Laverton*, *sup.*; *Re Franklyn*, W. N. (1888) 217.

(*y*) *Re Stevens' Will*, L. R. 6 Eq. 597.

(*z*) *Thompson v. Grant*, 4 Madd. 438.

(*a*) *Re Arrowsmith's Trusts*, 27 L. J. Ch. 704.

(*b*) *Doe d. Roylance v. Lightfoot*, 8 M. & W. 553.

(*c*) *Re Brown and Sibbey's Contract*, 3 Ch. D. 156, 163.

(*d*) It has been suggested that the decision in this case might well have been based on this distinction, and supported by the cases in which a general residuary gift has been held to be an exercise of a limited power, notwithstanding a charge of debts (as in *Re Teape's Trusts*, L. R. 16 Eq. 442). See Coote on Mortgages, 5th ed. Vol. I. p. 1136. But it is submitted that this position may be open to question.

CHAP. XLII.

that "where there is a general devise of real estate charged with debts and legacies, the legal estate in trust property will pass under that devise, notwithstanding the charge, which attaches only on property which the testator is competent to charge with his debts and legacies" (*d*).

This decision was dissented from by Jessel, M. R., who reviewed the authorities, and pointed out that it was settled law that a direction to pay debts followed by a general devise creates a charge upon the real estates, and that the decision of the Vice-Chancellor was contrary to the long current of authority which decided that where there is a charge of debts, or of debts and legacies, or of legacies only, a trust estate will not pass under a general devise of real estate (*e*).

Devise of
"mortgages"
"securities
for money,"
&c., pass the
legal estate.

It is now settled, after some conflict of opinion (*f*), that the words "mortgages," "securities for money," and other similar expressions would, prior to 1882, pass the entire benefit of the mortgage security, including the legal estate in the mortgaged lands (*g*), unless a contrary intention appears from the context of the will, and that the fact of those words being followed by a limitation to executors and administrators only, and not to heirs, or by other words applicable only to personal estate (*h*), or by a charge of debts and legacies (*i*), or by a trust for sale (*k*), or for several as tenants in common (*l*), will not prevent the legal estate from passing, it being presumed to be the testator's intention that such estate should pass, so as to enable the donee to enforce payment of the mortgage money (*m*).

A direction that the testator's wife should "receive all moneys upon mortgage" was held to pass the whole benefit of the securities, including the legal estate on which the moneys were secured (*n*).

As to copy-
holds.

Before the passing of 55 Geo. III. c. 192, surrenders of copy-

(*d*) See note (*d*), *ante*, p. 835.

(*e*) *Re Bellis's Trusts*, 5 Ch. D. 504.

(*f*) See *Crips v. Grysil*, Cro. Car. 37. And see *Galliers v. Moss*, 9 B. & C. 282; *Wilkinson v. Merryland*, Cro. Car. 447; *Att.-Gen. v. Meyrick*, 2 Ves. sen. 46.

(*g*) *Renvoize v. Cooper*, 6 Mad. 371; *Silberschildt v. Schiott*, 3 Ves. & B. 49; *Re Walker's Estate*, 21 L. J. Ch. 674; *Knight v. Robinson*, 2 K. & J. 503; *Rippen v. Priest*, 13 O. B. N. S. 308; *Garnham v. Skipper*, 55 L. J. Ch. 263. See *Re Stevens' Will*, L. R. 6 Eq. 598; *Ogle v. Knipe*, L. R. 8 Eq.

434.

(*h*) *Renvoize v. Cooper*, *sup.*; *Re King's Mortgage*, 5 De G. & S. 644.

(*i*) *Re Field*, 9 Ha. 414; *Re King's Mortgage*, *sup.*; *Rippen v. Priest*, *sup.*; *Knight v. Robinson*, *sup.*

(*k*) *Exp. Barber*, 5 Sim. 451.

(*l*) *Exp. Whiteacre*, Rolls, 22 July, 1807; 1 Sanders, Uses and Trusts, 359, n.

(*m*) See Jarman on Wills, 5th ed. Vol. I. p. 651.

(*n*) *Doe d. Guest v. Bennett*, 6 Exch. 892.

holds to the use of the will were required; and a surrender made by the mortgagee to the use of his will before admittance was void, and would not have been made good by a subsequent admittance (o). But by that statute surrenders to the use of the will were rendered unnecessary.

A general devise of real estate will, since that statute, pass copyholds, although there are freeholds to satisfy the words of the will, and though parts of the will are inapplicable to copyhold (p), if the will was made subsequent to the statute, but not if the will was made prior to the statute, although the death of the testator was subsequent to it (q). And an enactment to the like effect is contained in the Wills Act (r).

General devise passes copyholds without surrender.

By 1 Vict. c. 26, s. 3, all real estate of the nature of customary freehold, or tenant right, or customary, or copyhold, may be devised, notwithstanding the testator may not have surrendered the same to the use of his will; or notwithstanding that, being entitled as heir, devisee, or otherwise, to be admitted thereto, he shall not have been admitted thereto; or notwithstanding that the same in consequence of the want of a custom to devise or surrender to the use of a will, or otherwise, could not at law have been disposed of, if the Act had not been made; or notwithstanding that the same, in consequence of there being a custom that a will, or a surrender to the use of a will, shall continue in force for a limited time only, or any other special custom, could not have been disposed of by will according to the power contained in the Act, if the Act had not been made.

By sect. 4 of the same Act stamp duties, fees, fines, and sums of money are made payable by the person claiming under the will as if the testator had surrendered to the use of his will, where he might by the custom of the manor have so surrendered; and as if the testator had been first admitted, where he might have been and was not so admitted before surrendering to the use of his will. This clause does not in terms include the case of a devisee or surrenderee devising before admittance where there was no custom in the manor to surrender to the use of a will.

With respect to mortgages for terms of years, it is conceived that they fall within the general rule that leaseholds for years

As to mortgages of terms.

(o) *Doe v. Tofteld*, 11 East, 246.

(q) *Doe v. Bird*, 5 B. & Ad. 695.

(p) *Doe v. Ludlam*, 7 Bing. 275;

(r) 1 Vict. c. 26, s. 26.

Weigall v. Broms, 6 Sim. 99.

CHAP. XLII.

did not under the old law prior to the Wills Act pass by a general devise of lands, unless the testator had at the date of his will no freeholds on which the devise was capable of operating (s). Under the present law, it is clear that such interest will pass under a general devise, in the absence of any indication of contrary intention in the context of the will (t).

Express
devise of
mortgage
estates.

In order to obviate the inconvenience which might arise if, upon the construction of a will, its effect should be to vest the legal estate and the beneficial interest in the testator's mortgages in different persons, it became a general practice to except the testator's mortgaged and trust estates out of a general devise in his will, and to insert an express devise of these estates to trustees, with a declaration as to mortgages that the money secured thereon shall be considered as part of his personal estate, and as to trust estates subject to the trusts.

As to devise
by mortgagee
of lands con-
tracted to be
sold by him.

If a mortgagee has contracted to sell the mortgaged lands, he is a constructive trustee of the legal estate for the purchaser but still retaining a beneficial interest in the property by way of lien or charge to secure his purchase money; if, therefore, the mortgagee die before conveyance, having by his will devised his real estate generally to A. and B., and his trust estates to A. only, the legal estate in the land contracted to be sold will pass to the devisee of the trust estates (u).

When there
is a general
devise on trust
for sale.

If in such a case the will contain no devise of trust estates, the legal estate in the lands contracted to be sold will pass by a general gift of real and personal estate on trust for sale and conversion for the purpose of enabling the trusts of the will to be carried out (x).

When there
is a specific
devise.

If a mortgagee by his will specifically devises to A. the mortgaged estate which he has contracted to sell, and dies before completion of the contract, the devisee will take the legal estate only for the purpose of enabling him to carry out the contract for the benefit of the executor, and does not entitle the devisee to the purchase-money (y).

Where the
mortgagee is a
bare trustee
for the pur-
chaser.

If the purchase has been completed by payment of the money and delivery of possession, but the mortgagee dies before the execution of the conveyance, he was a bare trustee for

(s) *Rose v. Bartlett*, Cro. Car. 273.

(t) 1 Vict. c. 26, s. 26.

(u) *Lysaght v. Edwards*, 2 Ch. D. 499.

(x) *Wall v. Bright*, 1 J. & W. 494 ;
Lysaght v. Edwards, 2 Ch. D. 499.

(y) *Knollys v. Shepherd*, cited 1 J. & W. 499.

the purchaser, and, accordingly, it seems clear that a general devise, coupled with a charge of debts or other disposition applicable only to a beneficial interest, will not pass the legal estate to the devisee (s).

CHAP. XLII.

ii.—Devolution, &c. of Legal Estate under the Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874.—Secondly, as to mortgage estates vested in persons who died between the 7th August, 1874, and the 1st January, 1882, when the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, came into operation.

Effect of Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874.

By the Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874 (a), it is enacted as follows:—

Sect. 4. "The legal personal representative of a mortgagee of a freehold estate, or of a copyhold estate, to which the mortgagee shall have been admitted, may on payment of all sums secured by the mortgage, convey or surrender the mortgaged estate, whether the mortgage be in form an assurance subject to redemption or an assurance upon trust."

Legal personal representative may convey legal estate of mortgaged property.

By this section, the statutory power to convey or surrender is confined to the case of payment off of the mortgage; it does not enable the legal personal representative to convey or surrender upon a transfer of a mortgage (b); nor does it apply to the exercise of a power of sale in the mortgage deed (c). In such cases, therefore, the old law as to the effect of the mortgagee's will on the legal estate still applies, if the mortgagee died before the 1st January, 1882.

Effect of this section.

The Act above referred to further enacted that:—

Sect. 5. "Upon the death of a bare trustee of any corporeal or incorporeal hereditament of which such trustee was seised in fee simple, such hereditaments shall vest like a chattel real in the legal personal representative from time to time of such trustee."

Bare legal estate in fee simple to vest in executor or administrator.

By the Land Transfer Act, 1875 (d), the fifth section of the former statute was repealed, and re-enacted as follows:—

Sect. 48. "Sect. 5 of the Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874, shall be repealed on and after the commencement of this Act, except as to

Repeal and re-enactment (with amend-

(s) *Dimes v. Grand Junction Canal Co.*, 9 Q. B. 490; 3 H. L. C. 794.

14 Ch. D. 514.

(a) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 78.

(c) *Re White's Mortgage*, 29 W. R. 820.

(b) *Re Brook's Mortgage*, 46 L. J. Ch. 865. See also *Re Spadbery's Mortgage*,

(d) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 87.

CHAP. XLII.

ments) of
37 & 38 Vict.
c. 78, s. 5, not
to apply to
registered
lands.

anything duly done thereunder before the commencement of this Act; and instead thereof be it enacted that upon the death of a bare trustee, intestate, as to any corporeal or incorporeal hereditament of which such trustee was seised in fee simple, such hereditament shall vest like a chattel real in the legal personal representative from time to time of such trustee; but the enactment by this section substituted for the aforesaid section of the Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874, shall not apply to lands registered under this Act."

Where a bare trustee died after the passing of the former Act, but before the 1st Jan. 1876, the commencement of the latter Act, neither Act applied (e).

The effect of this enactment (which is restricted in its application to the death of a bare trustee intestate) is that the personal representative of a mortgagee who is a bare trustee, such as a mortgagee who has died after selling and receiving the purchase-money, but before conveyance (f), takes the estate, and not merely, as in the ordinary case of a mortgage estate, power to convey it.

If there is no personal representative, the estate devolves on the heir, until administration is taken out (g).

It will be observed that both sect. 4 of the Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874, and sect. 48 of the Land Transfer Act, 1875, apply only to hereditaments of which the bare trustee was seised in fee simple, so as to exclude from their operation lands of copyhold or customary tenure, or held on leases for lives.

Both these enactments are repealed by the Conveyancing Act, 1881, s. 30 (h), and, as to Ireland, by sect. 73 of the same Act, in cases of deaths after the commencement of the last-mentioned Act.

Effect of
Conveyancing
Act, 1881.

iii.—Devolution of Legal Estate under Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881.—Thirdly, as regards persons who have died since the 31st December, 1881, the law with regard to the devolution of mortgage estates is altogether changed by sect. 30

(e) *Christie v. Ovington*, 1 Ch. D. 279.

(f) A trustee who has a beneficial interest in the estate, as a vendor mortgagee having a lien for unpaid purchase-money, is not a "bare trustee." See *Morgan v. Swansea Urban Sanitary Authority*, 9 Ch. D. 582. See as to meaning of "bare trustee," *Christie v. Ovington*, 1 Ch. D.

at p. 281; *Re Cunningham and Frayling*, (1891) 2 Ch. 567.

(g) *Christie v. Ovington*, 1 Ch. D. 279.

(h) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41. This enactment was, however, repealed by the Copyhold Act, 1887, s. 45, as regards copyhold and customary land to which the mortgagee has been admitted. See *infra*, p. 842.

of the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (i), which CHAP. XLII.
enacts as follows:—

“(1.) Where an estate or interest of inheritance, or limited to the heir as special occupant, in any tenements or hereditaments, corporeal or incorporeal, is vested on any trust, or by way of mortgage, in any person solely, the same shall, on his death, notwithstanding any testamentary disposition, devolve to and become vested in his personal representatives or representative from time to time, in like manner as if the same were a chattel real vesting in them or him; and accordingly all the like powers, for one only of several joint personal representatives, as well as for a single personal representative, and for all the personal representatives together, to dispose of and otherwise deal with the same, shall belong to the deceased's personal representatives or representative from time to time, with all the like incidents, but subject to all the like rights, equities and obligations, as if the same were a chattel real vesting in them or him; and, for the purposes of this section, the personal representatives for the time being of the deceased shall be deemed in law his heirs and assigns, within the meaning of all trusts and powers.”

Devolution of trust and mortgage estates on death.

“(2.) Sect. 4 of the Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874, and sect. 48 of the Land Transfer Act, 1875, are hereby repealed.

“(3.) This section, including the repeals therein, applies only in cases of death after the commencement of this Act.”

The effect of this enactment as regards a mortgagee dying since the 31st December, 1881, whether testate or intestate, is to vest the legal estate in mortgaged realty in his personal representative, and so to render unnecessary and inoperative any devise of mortgage estates; any such devise, if made, will be inoperative, inasmuch as, by virtue of the enactment, “notwithstanding any testamentary disposition to the contrary,” the legal estate will devolve on the personal representatives of the mortgagee as “his heirs and assigns” for the purposes of the enactment.

Devise of mortgage estates, now unnecessary.

Similarly, if the will of a mortgagee contains a specific devise in terms which would formerly have passed the legal estate in the mortgaged lands to the devisee, such legal estate will nevertheless by virtue of the statute vest in the personal representatives of the mortgagee; and the only question which may arise upon the devise is whether it is in terms sufficient to pass to the devisee the beneficial interest in the mortgage moneys.

Specific devise of mortgage estate.

The term “hereditaments” in this enactment includes not Meaning of

(i) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 45.

CHAP. XLII.
"heredita-
ments."

As to copy-
holds.

Descent of
trust and
mortgage
estates in
copyholds.

Devolution
of right of
admittance.

Vesting order.

Power of
executors to

only fee farm rents, chief rents, and rentcharges issuing out of land, but also personal estates in fee simple such as annuities granted to a man and his heirs (*k*).

The term "tenements and hereditaments" was held to include copyholds, so as to vest them in the executors of a deceased sole trustee or mortgagee (*l*). But this construction was negatived by sect. 45 of the Copyhold Act, 1887 (*m*), so far as regards land of copyhold and customary tenure to which the mortgagee had been admitted. This enactment was in its turn repealed by the Copyhold Act, 1894 (*n*), which enacts as follows:—

Sect. 88. "Sect. 30 of the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, shall not apply to land of copyhold or customary tenure vested in the tenant on the court rolls on trust or by way of mortgage."

This section re-enacts the repealed sect. 45 of the Copyhold Act, 1887 (*m*), which Act received the Royal Assent on the 16th September, 1887, but does not specify any date on which the Act was to commence. The result is that sect. 30 of the Act of 1881 must be read as if it had originally never applied to lands of copyhold or customary tenure to which the mortgagee had been admitted (*o*).

It seems clear that where (as is usually the case) the mortgagee has not been admitted, and consequently the land is not at his death vested in him as tenant on the court rolls, the right to admittance is an "interest of inheritance" (*p*), and accordingly now vested in his personal representatives under sect. 30 of the Act of 1881, notwithstanding the Copyhold Acts, 1887 and 1894.

Where the legal estate in copyholds was outstanding in the infant heir of an admitted mortgagee, Stirling, J., made an order vesting the legal estate in the executors of the deceased mortgagee (*q*).

Where a sole mortgagee has by his will appointed executors,

(*k*) As to such annuities, see Co. Lit. 2a, 20 a; *Earl of Stafford v. Buckley*, 2 Ves. Sen. 170; *Countess of Holderness v. Marquis of Carmarthen*, 1 Bro. C. C. 377.

(*l*) *Re Hughes*, W. N. (1884) 53; *Healop v. Richmond*, 21 L. J. Notes, p. 29; *Hall v. Bromley*, 35 Ch. D. 642, 651, C. A. See *Re Mill's Trusts*, 37

Ch. D. 312.

(*m*) 50 & 51 Vict. c. 73.

(*n*) 57 & 58 Vict. c. 46.

(*o*) *Re Mill's Trusts*, 37 Ch. D. 312.

(*p*) Vin. Abr. tit. "Copyholds," Q. b.; *Kite and Queinton's Case*, 4 Rep. 25 a.

(*q*) *Re Franklyn's Mortgage*, W. N. (1888) 217.

they may now, before probate, convey the legal estate in the mortgaged hereditaments, except copyhold or customary hereditaments to which their testator had been admitted, and if all the executors should die after such conveyance, but before probate, the conveyance will stand good (r).

CHAP. XLII.
convey before
probate.

If a sole mortgagee has died intestate or without having appointed executors of his will, and administration has not been taken out, a vesting order will be necessary (s). It would seem that the effect of the statute is altogether to exclude the heir from trust and mortgage estates (t).

No personal
representa-
tives.

It may be observed that, in order that a mortgage estate in freeholds may devolve upon the personal representatives of a deceased mortgagee, it is still necessary that the fee should have been originally vested in him, either alone or jointly with others, by appropriate words of conveyance to him "and his heirs," or "in fee simple," by the mortgage deed. Otherwise, the heir of a deceased mortgagee could not have conveyed the legal estate before 1882; nor can his personal representatives do so now (u).

No devolution
of realty,
except where
mortgage
estate is
in fee.

The repeals effected by sect. 30 of the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, apply only in cases of death after the commencement of that Act, and consequently sect. 4 of the Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874, still remains in force as regards deaths before the 1st January, 1882. Thus, in the case of a sole mortgagee who died between the 7th August, 1874, and the 31st December, 1881, both dates inclusive, the personal representatives have still power, on payment off of the mortgage moneys, to convey the legal estate in the mortgaged lands; but until they exercise the power, and unless the mortgage is paid off, the legal estate remains vested in the heir or devisee (x).

Extent of re-
peal of Vendor
and Purchaser
Act, s. 4.

With regard to the devolution of real estate contracted to be sold, where a mortgagee or other vendor dies before completion, the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, s. 4, enacts as follows:—

Conveyancing
Act, 1881, s. 4.

"(1.) Where at the death of any person there is subsisting a Completion

(r) *Wentw. Off. Ex.* 82; *Brazier v. Hudson*, 8 Sim. 67. See also *Wankford v. Wankford*, 1 Salk. 309.

(s) *Re Rackstraw's Trusts*, 33 W. R. 559; *Re Williams' Trusts*, 36 Ch. D. 231; *Fairholm v. Kennedy*, 24 L. R.

Ir. 498.

(t) See *per* Pearson, J., in *Re Pilling's Trusts*, 26 Ch. D. 432.

(u) See *Re Ingleby and the Norwich Union Co.*, 13 L. R. Ir. 326.

(x) See *ante*, p. 839.

CHAP. XLII.
of contract
after death.

contract enforceable against his heir or devisee for the sale of the fee simple or other freehold interest, descendible to his heirs general, in any land, his personal representatives shall, by virtue of this Act, have power to convey the land for all the estate and interest vested in him at his death, in any manner proper for giving effect to the contract.

"(2.) A conveyance made under this section shall not affect the beneficial rights of any person claiming under any testamentary disposition, or as heir or next of kin of a testator or intestate.

"(3.) This section applies only in cases of death after the commencement of this Act."

SECTION VI.

OF THE BENEFICIAL INTEREST OF A MORTGAGEE.

Beneficial
interest in
mortgage is
personal
estate of
mortgagee.

i.—Devolution of Beneficial Interest under Mortgage.—So long as the money and lands in mortgage retain their respective characters impressed upon them in equity, that is, so long as the debt remains the principal, and the land the security, so long the beneficial interest in the mortgage will be personal assets, and consequently will on his death belong to his personal representatives unless otherwise disposed of (*y*).

Conversion.

It is, indeed, open to the mortgagee, in the case of a mortgage as well as of any other part of his personal estate, as between his real and personal representatives, to convert his beneficial interest into realty, and make it pass as such accordingly (*z*). So, if mortgage money be agreed to be laid out in land and settled as such, the money will become real estate (*a*). But this depends on other principles of equity, and on the manifest declared intent of the testator (*b*).

Transfer of
mortgage does
not alter
character of
beneficial
interest.

The mortgage moneys are equally personal estate in the hands of a transferee of the mortgage as in the hands of the original mortgagees; but where an estate had been purchased on which an equity of redemption turned out to be subsisting, the heir

(*y*) See *Thornborough v. Baker*, 3 Swanst. 628; *Noy v. Ellis*, 2 Ch. Ca. 220; *Turner's Case*, 2 Vent. 348; *Canning v. Hicks*, 2 Ch. Ca. 187; *Wynn v. Littleton*, 2 Ch. Ca. 51; *Littleton's Case*, 2 Vent. 351.

(*z*) *Noy v. Mordaunt*, 2 Vern. 581.
(*a*) *Lawrence v. Boverley*, cited 3 P. Wms. 217.

(*b*) See as to conversion of money into realty, *Jarman on Wills*, Vol. I., Chap. XIX.; *Theobald on Wills*, Chap. XXI.

of the purchaser, and not the executor, was held to be entitled to the mortgage debt (c). CHAP. XLII.

If a mortgage security is taken in the name of a third person, the trust thereby created, being a trust of personalty, is not within the Statute of Frauds, or the doctrine of resulting trusts under that statute; and parol declaration is admissible as evidence of the intention of the party advancing the money as to the parties he intended to benefit by the trust, so as to exclude the claim of his personal representatives (d). Security taken in name of trustee.

If two or more persons advance money jointly on mortgage, and one of them die, the mortgage debt will at law belong to the survivor, but in equity there will be a tenancy in common, the rights of several mortgagees who have contributed to the advance of a sum of money being deemed to be severally proportional to the respective amounts advanced by each (e), and consequently, upon a transfer or reconveyance of such a mortgage, the concurrence of the personal representatives of a deceased mortgagee is necessary to give a valid discharge for the mortgage moneys (f). Advance by several persons.

Payment to one of two covenantees binds both at law (g); but in case of a mortgage to two, there can be no doubt that such payment would not discharge the estate in equity (h), and under the Judicature Act the latter will now prevail (i).

Similarly, a foreclosure or release of the equity of redemption will enure in equity for the benefit of several joint mortgagees, and the personal representatives of such of them as may be deceased, as tenants in common (k).

Where, therefore, money is lent upon mortgage by trustees, and it is not desirable to put notice of the trust on the mortgage deed, it is the practice to insert a declaration that if one of the mortgagees shall die before the money is paid off, the receipt of the survivor shall be a sufficient discharge, and that the concurrence of the personal representative of the deceased mortgagee shall not be requisite. By sect. 61 of the Conveyancing Joint account clause.

(c) *Cotton v. Iles*, 1 Vern. 271.

(d) *Bendow v. Townsend*, 1 My. & K. 506.

(e) *Petty v. Styward*, 1 Rep. in Ch. 31. And see *Rigden v. Vallier*, 2 Ves. Sen. 258; 3 Atk. 734; *Morley v. Bird*, 3 Ves. 631; *Pickers v. Cowell*, 1 Beav. 529 (overruling *Brasier v. Hudson*, 9 Sim. 1); *Steed v. Steed*, 22 Q. B. D. 537, 541.

(f) *Hind v. Poole*, 1 K. & J. 383.

(g) *Husband v. Davis*, 10 C. B. 645.

(h) *Hall v. Franck*, 11 Beav. 519; *Wiglesworth v. Wiglesworth*, 16 Beav. 269, 272; *Matson v. Dennis*, 4 De G. J. & S. 345.

(i) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66, s. 25 (11).

(k) *Rigden v. Vallier*, 2 Ves. Sen. 258; *Morley v. Bird*, 3 Ves. 631.

CHAP. XLII.

Husband
and wife.

and Law of Property Act (*l*), a similar effect is given to an expression that the advance is made on a joint account (*m*).

Moneys belonging to a married woman and invested on mortgage security, like any other property belonging to her, if she was married or if her title to the moneys accrued after the commencement of the Married Women's Property Act, 1882 (*n*), *i. e.*, the 1st of January, 1883, are not subject to her husband's control or interference, and she can accordingly exercise all the rights and remedies of a mortgagee under her security, and give an effectual receipt for the mortgage moneys as if she were a *feme sole* (*o*).

But as regards cases of mortgages to married women not falling within this Act different rules prevail.

If a mortgage, whether for a term of years or in fee, belong to a married woman, the husband may reduce the beneficial interest in it into possession during their joint lives; and if it be for a term of years, he may alone surrender the term; but if it be in fee, a conveyance from him and his wife will be necessary; and after payment of the mortgage debt to the husband the wife would seem to become a trustee within 1 Will. IV. c. 60 (*p*). A payment of a mortgage debt by a third person to the husband was held to be a reduction into possession, on the ground that payment was made on behalf of the mortgagors (*q*). If the mortgage be for a term of years, he may alone assign the term, which will carry with it the debt, either for or without a valuable consideration; and it will be binding on the wife surviving (*r*). If the mortgage be in fee, then it seems clear that the wife's right to the debt by survivorship is not affected by any assignment made by the husband or by his bankruptcy, unless the debt is reduced into possession in the husband's lifetime (*s*), and then she, of course, becomes a trustee of the legal estate.

(*l*) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41.

(*m*) See further as to this statutory enactment, *ante*, p. 534.

(*n*) 45 & 46 Vict. c. 75, ss. 2, 5.

(*o*) *Ibid.*, s. 1 (2).

(*p*) See *Rees v. Keith*, 11 Sim. 388.

(*q*) And see *Jordan v. Jones*, 2 Ph. 170.

(*r*) *Packer v. Wyndham*, Pre. Ch. 412; *Bates v. Dandy*, 2 Atk. 207, more fully reported 3 Russ. 72.

(*s*) *Burnett v. Kinnaston*, 2 Vern. 401; *Mitford v. Mitford*, 9 Ves. 87;

Packer v. Wyndham, Pre. Ch. 412. See Gilb. Lex Fræst. 277; *Purdey v. Jackson*, 1 Russ. 68; *Honnor v. Morton*, 3 Russ. 65; *Elwin v. Williams*, 13 Sim. 309; *Harrison v. Andrews*, 13 Sim. 595; *Ashby v. Ashby*, 1 Coll. 553; *Stiffe v. Everett*, 1 My. & Cr. 39; overruling, apparently, on this point, *Bosvil v. Brander*, 1 P. Wms. 469, and the doctrine in *Bates v. Dandy*, 2 Atk. 207. But see *Rees v. Keith*, 11 Sim. 388.

As well since (t) as before the commencement of the Act, if the husband survive he will, by taking out administration to his wife's effects (u), become absolutely entitled to the money, and the heir-at-law of the wife (if the mortgage be in fee) will be a trustee for him; but if the wife survive, and the debt has not been reduced into possession, it will survive to her.

The mortgage by a husband and wife of a fund belonging to the latter is binding to the extent of the security, but is no reduction into possession so as to affect her interest as survivor, beyond the amount of the mortgage debt (x).

The power of a husband over his wife's personalty, and the question how far that power is subject to the wife's equity to a settlement, is discussed in an earlier chapter (y).

ii.—Bequests of Beneficial Interest in Mortgage.—The beneficial interest in a mortgage may, of course, like any other personal property, be made, by apt words, to pass by testamentary disposition of the mortgagee (z).

A general or residuary bequest of all the testator's personal property will pass moneys due to him on mortgage security (a).

General or residuary bequest.

A gift of "mortgages," "securities for money," and other similar expressions, will comprise the entire beneficial interest in the mortgage security (b).

Gift of "mortgages," &c.

A bequest of "money," was held to pass money due to the testator on mortgage security (c); but this decision might not perhaps be followed at the present time (d).

Gift of "money."

A general devise of lands will not pass the beneficial interest in a mortgage unless a contrary intention appear by the context of the will or by the circumstances of the case (e). In a case in which a testator gave all his freehold, copyhold, and leasehold estates by a general description to trustees to found a college, it was held that some old mortgages, one of which was in fee, passed under the will to the trustees (f); but in order to support this decision, Lord Eldon ultimately presumed a release of the

General devise.

(t) *Re Lambert's Estate*, *Stanton v. Lambert*, 39 Ch. D. 626.

(u) *Dan. Ch. Pr.*, 6th ed. p. 144.

(x) *Prole v. Soady*, L. R. 3 Ch. A. 220.

(y) *Ante*, Chap. XX., pp. 321 *et seq.*

(z) See 1 Vict. c. 26, s. 3.

(a) *Att.-Gen. v. Bowyer*, 3 Ves. 714, 724.

(b) *Att.-Gen. v. Meyrick*, 2 Ves. Sen.

44; *Dicks v. Lambert*, 4 Ves. 730. And see the cases as to legal estate collected *ante*, p. 836.

(c) *Selmer's Case*, *Gilb. Eq. Rep.* 200.

(d) See *Re Mason's Will*, 34 Beav. 494; *Byron v. Brandreth*, L. R. 16 Eq. 745.

(e) *Strode v. Russell*, 3 P. Wms. 61; *Casborne v. Scarfe*, 1 Atk. 605.

(f) *Att.-Gen. v. Bowyer*, 5 Ves. 303.

CHAP. XLII.

Gift of lands
by particular
description.

equity of redemption of the mortgage in fee, prior to the date of the will (g).

If the devise be of lands by a particular description, or by reference to a particular locality, and the testator has no lands answering the description other than mortgage lands, the question whether the devise will pass the beneficial interest in the mortgage seems open to question (h). Such a devise was held not to pass the beneficial interest, where the testator was the owner of other lands answering the description (i). Now that a devise of specified freeholds, to which the testator is entitled only as mortgagee, cannot operate to pass the legal estate, it might be held that the intention must have been to pass the beneficial interest in the security.

Effect where
mortgagee is
in possession.

If, however, the mortgagee is in possession, especially if the possession has been of long duration and accompanied by acts of ownership, a strong presumption is raised that a devise of the mortgaged lands is intended to pass the beneficial interest in the moneys secured thereby (k).

Settlement
of mortgaged
lands.

A fortiori, such an intention will be presumed where the devise of the fee is not to one but to several persons consecutively for limited estates (l). Possibly, in such cases, even a general devise of lands might be held to pass the beneficial interest.

Cases where
beneficial
interest did
not pass by
devise.

Where a man seised of a reversion in fee after a term which was mortgaged to himself, devised all his freehold house (describing the premises), the mortgage debt was held not to pass, though the term was merged at law; only the reversion in fee passed (m).

A devise by a testator to his "dear wife" of specific land which he had contracted to sell, was held to pass the legal estate only, and not the beneficial interest in the purchase-money (n).

Apportion-
ment between
capital and
income where
mortgage
given on trust
for conver-
sion.

Where residuary personal estate comprising an outstanding mortgage investment is bequeathed to trustees upon trust for sale and conversion, and to hold the proceeds upon trust for a person for life, with remainders over, the rule, where the conver-

(g) *Att.-Gen. v. Vigor*, 8 Ves. 277.

(h) 1 Pow. Mortg. (Cov. ed.) p. 409; Jarman on Wills, 5th ed. Vol. I. p. 643.

(i) *Martin d. Weston v. Mowlin*, 2 Burr. 977.

(k) *Clarke v. Abbott*, 2 Eq. Ca. Ab. 606.

(l) *Woodhouse v. Meredith*, 1 Mer. 450.

(m) *Bowen v. Barlow*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 171.

(n) *Knollys v. Shepherd*, 1 J. & W. 499.

sion is postponed and the interest has fallen into arrear, is that the mortgage moneys when received must be apportioned between the tenant for life and remainderman, by ascertaining the sum which, if put out at compound interest at 4 per cent. per annum at the death of the testator, with yearly rests, would, with the accumulations of interest, have produced on the day of receipt the amount actually received; and the sum so ascertained will be treated as capital, and the balance as income (o).

It may be useful incidentally in this place to state what has been held to pass by a gift of "mortgages," "securities for money," and other similar expressions.

What will pass by gift of "mortgages," "securities," &c.

The words "securities for money" will include bonds (p); judgments (q); stock in the funds (r); moneys payable under a policy of life assurance (s); and money on deposit in a post-office savings bank (t); also unpaid purchase-money in respect of which the testator has a vendor's lien (u); a bill of exchange or promissory note (x); but not bank stock (y); nor shares in an insurance company (z), or canal company (a); nor an I O U for goods sold (b); nor a banker's deposit note at current interest (c); nor a legacy due from another testator's estate (d). A policy of assurance on the life of a debtor to the testator is a "security," and has been held to pass by a bequest of "debentures" (e).

Where a residuary legatee is entitled to mortgages standing in the name of the testator, or of trustees for his estate, and there are other mortgages charged with legacies, a bequest of all my "money and securities for money," in a will of the residuary legatee, passes the former, but not the latter (f).

In a late case of a devise by a testator of "all that his property vested in a Swedish mortgage security," the testator having

(o) *Re Earl of Chesterfield's Trusts*, 24 Ch. D. 643; *Beavan v. Beavan*, 24 Ch. D. 649, n.; *Re Hobson, Walker v. Appach*, W. N. (1885) 184. See *Wilkinson v. Duncan*, 23 Beav. 469. Keke-wich, J., in a recent case, held that interest ought to be calculated at 3 per cent. See *Re Goodenough, Marland v. Williams*, (1895) 2 Ch. 537.

(p) *Bacchus v. Gilbee*, 3 De G. J. & S. 577.

(q) *West Ham Union v. Owens*, L. R. 8 Ex. 37.

(r) *Dicks v. Lambert*, 4 Ves. 725; *Beacoby v. Pack*, 1 S. & St. 500.

(s) *Laurance v. Gainsworth*, 3 Jur. N. S. 1049.

(t) *Re Saxby, Saxby v. Kiddell*, W. N. (1890), p. 171.

(u) *Callow v. Callow*, 42 Ch. D. 550.

(x) *Barry v. Harding*, 1 J. & L. 475, 483. But see *Stiles v. Guy*, 4 Y. & C. Ex. 571.

(y) *Ogle v. Knipe*, L. R. 8 Eq. 434.

(z) 21 L. J. Ch. 843.

(a) *Hudleston v. Gouldsbury*, 10 Beav. 547; *Ogle v. Knipe*, L. R. 8 Eq. 434.

(b) *Barry v. Harding*, 1 J. & L. 475.

(c) *Hopkins v. Abbott*, L. R. 19 Eq. 222.

(d) *Re Mason's Will*, 34 Beav. 494.

(e) *Phillips v. Eastwood*, Ll. & G. t. Sug. 270.

(f) *Ogle v. Knipe*, *sup.*

CHAP. XLII.

several Swedish mortgages, it was held that all the testator's property invested in Swedish mortgages passed, and that the bequest was not void for uncertainty (*g*).

Scotch heritable bonds, being a collateral security for an English bond, passed under an English bequest of "securities for money" (*h*).

Family charges on an estate of which the testator was tenant for life, are not included in "money due to him on mortgage from any person." Nor is a perpetual rent-charge (*i*).

A bequest of all the testator's "shares" in a company will not pass debentures, or debenture stock (*j*).

A bequest of the principal of a mortgage debt will not pass the arrears of interest (*k*), and a bequest of the arrears of a mortgage will carry the interest only (*l*).

A bequest of "all my interest and claim on household property at P., on which I have a mortgage," passed arrears of interest as well as the principal (*m*).

A bequest of the amount of a bond of H. carried arrears of interest (*n*), but not a bequest of 300*l.*, which A. owes me on bond (*o*).

Adeption
of mortgage
debt speci-
fically be-
queathed.

If the mortgage debt is given by way of a specific legacy, the legacy is adeemed by the mortgage being called in, though the money was immediately re-invested on another mortgage (*p*). But since the Wills Act the case may be otherwise, if the second investment of the legacy should happen to answer the description in the will (*q*).

Bequest to
pay off sub-
mortgage.

If a mortgagee devise the mortgaged estate, and bequeath a sum of money to his executors towards paying off a sub-mortgage created by him, that sum will belong to the devisee, though the mortgaged estate be foreclosed by the sub-mortgagee after the mortgagee's decease (*r*); and this will apply equally to such a devise and bequest by the mortgagor.

(*g*) *Richards v. Patten*, 15 Sim. 501.

(*h*) *Cust v. Goring*, 18 Beav. 383.

(*i*) *Earl Powlett v. Hood*, 35 Beav. 234.

(*j*) *Dillon v. Arkins*, 17 L. R. Ir. 636, C. A.; *Re Bodman, Bodman v. Bodman*, (1891) 3 Ch. 136.

(*k*) *Roberts v. Kyffyn*, 2 Atk. 112.

(*l*) *Hamilton v. Lloyd*, 2 Ves. Jun. 416.

(*m*) *Gibbon v. Gibbon*, 13 C. B. 205.

(*n*) *Harcourt v. Morgan*, 2 Keen, 274; *Kent v. Tapley*, 11 Jur. 940.

(*o*) *Hawley v. Cutts*, 2 Freem. Ch. 24.

(*p*) *Gardner v. Hatton*, 6 Sim. 93; *Oliver v. Oliver*, L. R. 11 Eq. 506; *Harrison v. Jackson*, 7 Ch. D. 339. But see *Le Grice v. Finch*, 3 Mer. 50; *Clark v. Browne*, 2 Sm. & G. 524; *Moore v. Moore*, 29 Beav. 496; *Morgan v. Thomas*, 6 Ch. D. 176; *Macdonald v. Irvine*, 8 Ch. D. 101, C. A.; *Re Lane*, 14 Ch. D. 856.

(*q*) Wms. Exors. 9th ed., vol. i. p. 175, note.

(*r*) *Lockhart v. Hardy*, 9 Beav. 379. And see *Turner v. Hudson*, 10 Beav. 222.

iii.—Liability of Mortgagee's Interest to Claims of Creditors.—

CHAP. XLII.

The estate and interest of a mortgagee in the mortgaged property, is liable in his hands for payment of his debts whether by specialty or simple contract, which may be enforced by entering up judgment against him.

Liability
to debts.

The judgment creditor of a mortgagee may take his interest in execution and obtain a charge thereon (s).

Execution.

Under the stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 13, a judgment debt against a *cestui que trust* is a charge on his interest in the mortgage investments of the trust funds; and similarly a judgment debt against a legatee is a charge upon property mortgaged to the testator, to the extent of the legatee's interest therein (t).

Interest of
cestui que trust
or legatee
in mortgage
debt.

Where a debtor was entitled to an equitable charge upon land to secure 5,000*l.* and interest, it was held that a judgment creditor of the equitable mortgagee had a charge upon such land (u). In such cases, however, the creditor would not now be entitled to a charge unless the lands had been actually delivered in execution (x); that is to say, the land not being extendible at law, he must have obtained equitable execution (y).

Extent of
charge under
judgment
against
mortgagee.

Where the mortgagor has sold the mortgaged lands and has paid off the mortgagee, the lands in the hands of a purchaser will not be affected by a judgment previously registered against the mortgagee (z).

On bankruptcy of a mortgagee, all the estate and interest, both legal and beneficial, vested in the bankrupt, and the capacity of exercising all powers of sale or other powers in respect of the property which might have been exercised by the bankrupt for his own benefit, will vest in the trustee in the bankruptcy for the benefit of the creditors (a).

On the death of a mortgagee, the mortgage debt and the benefit of all securities for the same, vest in his executors or administrators, to be dealt with by them in due course of administration.

iv.—Devolution, &c. after Union of Interest of Mortgagee with Equity of Redemption.—If the mortgagee in his lifetime

(s) *Clare v. Wood*, 4 Ha. 81.

(t) *Avison v. Holmes*, 1 J. & H. 530.

(u) *Russell v. M'Oulloch*, 1 K. & J. 313.

(x) 27 & 28 Vict. c. 112.

(y) As to equitable execution, see *ante*, p. 649.

(z) 18 & 19 Vict. c. 16, s. 11. See *Greaves v. Wilson*, 25 Beav. 434.

(a) 46 & 47 Vict. c. 62, ss. 20 (1), 44.

CHAP. XLII.

obtain a release of the equity of redemption, or obtain an absolute decree of foreclosure, and enter into possession (b), it is manifest that the debt and land have altered their characters, for the land has ceased to be a mere security, and the debt has become merged in the land (c); and consequently if from any circumstances after the death of the mortgagee, the foreclosure be opened or the release set aside, or the time be enlarged for redemption, it is submitted that the heir, and not the executor, will be entitled to the money, inasmuch as the mortgagee has done all in his power to make it real estate: this will not be the case if the foreclosure were opened for fraud or irregularity. This doctrine was applied in favour of a devisee in a case in which a decree *nisi* only had been obtained on a bill for foreclosure, the mortgagee dying before a final decree; although, with regard to creditors, the mortgage was still held to be personal assets for the payment of debts (d). In a case, however, in which the mortgagor had been long out of possession, but the equity was not clearly barred by time at the death of the mortgagee, the Court would not allow the claim of his wife to dower, though at the time the claim was made the right of redemption had in all probability become extinguished (e).

As the personal representatives are entitled to the money, and as the land is, in equity, a security for the payment of it, it follows that if the security is forfeited, the personal representative must be also entitled to the land composing the security; and therefore (independently of the recent statutory enactments hereinbefore considered (f)), if the mortgagee die, and his heir obtain a release of the equity from the mortgagor, or the land becomes irredeemable from length of time, it will nevertheless belong to the personal representative (g), and the heir will be a trustee for him; although if the heir foreclose, it seems questionable whether he may not pay off the mortgage, and retain the estate (h); and it seems that if the heir purchase the equity

(b) It is said to be otherwise, if he does not take possession. Fonb. Eq. 5th ed. vol. ii. p. 284; *Awdly v. Awdly*, 2 Vern. 193. And see *Fisk v. Fisk*, Prec. Ch. 11.

(c) *Thompson v. Grant*, 4 Madd. 438.

(d) *Garrett v. Evers*, Mos. 364.

(e) *Flack v. Longmate*, 8 Beav. 420.

(f) *Ante*, pp. 839 *et seq.*

(g) *Ellis v. Guavas*, 2 Ch. Ca. 50; *Canning v. Hicks*, 1 Vern. 412; *Tabor v. Grover*, 2 Vern. 367; *Wood v. Nos-*

worthy, cited 2 Vern. 193; *Clerkson v. Bowyer*, 2 Vern. 66. *Contra, Re Woodhead*, W. N. (1884) 174, but *quarre*. On an analogous principle, an option to a lessee to purchase the fee passes to the personal representative, and not to the heir. See *Re Adams and the Kensington Vestry*, 27 Ch. D. 394, C. A.

(h) See *Hobart v. Abbott*, 2 P. Wms. 642.

of redemption, and there be no defect of assets, he will not be deprived of his advantage (*i*). On the same principle, if a *feme covert* be possessed of a mortgage in fee, and die, and the lands descend on her heir, her husband will be entitled as her administrator (*k*); and in like manner a mortgage in fee made to a citizen of London was held to be part of his personal estate, and divisible according to the custom (*l*); and in all these cases the heir-at-law will be a trustee for the persons beneficially entitled, and be decreed to convey.

By sect. 24 of the Wills Act (*m*), it is enacted that—

“Every will shall be construed, with reference to the real estate and personal estate comprised in it, to speak and take effect as if it had been executed immediately before the death of the testator, unless a contrary intention shall appear by the will.”

A will shall be construed to speak from the death of the testator.

A release or foreclosure of the equity of redemption obtained at any time during the testator's life will, accordingly, as a general rule, in cases within the Act, enure for the benefit of the devisee. Effect of release, &c., during testator's life.

In the case of a will made before the 1st January, 1838, and not subsequently re-executed, re-published, or revived by codicil, the question as to the effect of a release or foreclosure subsequent to the date of the will is governed by the old law prior to the Act. If a mortgagee obtained an absolute decree of foreclosure, or a release of the equity of redemption, or even became absolutely entitled by length of possession, prior to the date of his will, a general devise of all his lands, tenements, and hereditaments, although in strict settlement, would, it is conceived, unless he manifested an express intention to the contrary, pass the mortgaged lands both at law and in equity; but if at the time of the will he was not so absolutely entitled, then it is conceived that a subsequent foreclosure or release (unless the will was republished) would not have conferred on the devisee the beneficial estate, because a foreclosure is considered as a new purchase of the land. The consequence was, that if the legal interest in mortgaged lands had, by a general description, passed at law to the devisee, and the mortgagee afterwards obtained a release or foreclosure of the equity of redemption, or

(i) Fomb. Eq. 5th ed. vol. ii. p. 284;
citing *Fisk v. Fisk*, Prec. Ch. 11.

(l) 1 Ch. Ca. 285.

(m) 1 Vict. c. 26.

(k) *Turner v. Crane*, 1 Vern. 170.

CHAP. XLII.

became absolutely entitled by lapse of time, and died without a republication of his will, the devisee became a trustee for the testator's heir-at-law, even although the devisee was also the executor or residuary legatee, notwithstanding doubts to the contrary. In *Attorney-General v. Bowyer* (n), Lord Eldon surmounted the difficulty by presuming a release of the equity of redemption prior to the date of the will.

A bequest of money secured on mortgage has been held to pass the estate, though foreclosed at the date of the will, and not to open the foreclosure, it appearing on the whole will that the legatee was intended to take the interest in the land (o).

But a mere general devise of all estates of which the testator is seised as mortgagee will not pass the absolute estate in the land where the testator subsequently purchases the equity of redemption. This is a case of ademption (p).

(n) 3 Ves. 714, 724, 725; 5 Ves. 300, 303; 8 Ves. 277. See *Thompson v. Grant*, 4 Madd. 438; *Garret v. Evers*, Mos. 364.

(o) *Le Gros v. Cockerell*, 5 Sim. 384; *Silberschilt v. Schiott*, 3 V. & B. 49.

(p) *Yardley v. Holland*, L. R. 20 Eq. 428.

CHAPTER XLIII.

OF THE CONSOLIDATION OF SECURITIES.

i.—Nature and Operation of the Doctrine of Consolidation generally.—The doctrine of the consolidation of securities is often treated as if it were a branch of the doctrine of tacking, but it in fact rests on different principles. Difference between consolidation and tacking.

Tacking is the union of several debts upon one estate (a); consolidation is the union of several debts, respectively charged on several estates.

The authorities lead to this conclusion, that if two or more distinct mortgages be made of different estates between the same parties, or if a sum of money be advanced on one estate, and other estates be afterwards made a security for the sum already advanced, and also for further advances, although without any agreement that the first estate shall be charged with the further advances, nevertheless, neither the mortgagor nor any one claiming under him the equity of redemption of one of the estates, although without notice of the other mortgage or charge, shall be permitted to redeem one mortgage without redeeming both. From this doctrine it is manifest that great care and caution are requisite in a purchase or mortgage of an equity of redemption, and that the first mortgagee should not merely be questioned as to the amount of the actual mortgage on the estate intended to be purchased or mortgaged, but, generally, what is the extent of his charge or lien upon it. Statement of the doctrine of consolidation.

“The whole doctrine of consolidation, whatever may have been the particular circumstances under which it has been applied to different cases, arises from the power of the Court of equity to put its own price upon its own interference as a matter of equitable consideration in favour of any suitor” (b). The No consolidation before default.

(a) See as to “tacking,” *post*, Chap. LV. pp. 1219 *et seq.*

(b) *Per James, L. J.*, in *Cummins v. Fletcher*, 14 Ch. D. 699, 708, C. A.

CHAP. XLIII.

right of a mortgagee to consolidate is, therefore, consistent only with the equitable rights of the mortgagee to foreclose, and of the mortgagor to redeem. In other words, the right is only enforceable where default in payment on the days appointed by the terms of the contracts has been made on all the securities in respect of which it is claimed. "It cannot apply to a case where the stipulation is that certain monthly payments are to be made, and there has been no default, and the contract goes on to say that if those payments are all made, then the estate shall revert, there having been no forfeiture so as to make the right of the owner of the estate subject to the security an equitable one only, not depending upon legal contract" (c).

Consolidation
in foreclosure
and redemption
actions.

A mortgagee who is entitled to consolidate his securities may set up his right equally in an action for foreclosure, as in an action for redemption (d).

Parties.

Where the principle of the consolidation of several mortgages on distinct estates is sought to be applied, the persons interested in the equity of redemption of the second mortgaged estate are necessary parties (e); but not where the object of the suit is not to throw a larger burden on the second estate (f).

Sale under
power.

The right to consolidate may be exercised, though the mortgagee is selling one of the mortgaged properties under his power of sale (g).

Where a second mortgagee selling under his power is compelled by the first mortgagee out of the proceeds of sale to pay off not only his prior mortgage, but also a mortgage upon another property which the first mortgagee has consolidated, the second mortgagee is equitable assignee of the latter, and can consolidate it with his own (h).

Consolidation
of equitable
mortgages.

The right of consolidation exists whether the securities are legal or equitable.

In *Jones v. Smith* (i) it was considered that, with respect to third persons, it was necessary that the mortgagee should have the legal estate to entitle him to the benefit of the principle, and

(c) *Per Cotton, L. J., in Cummins v. Fletcher*, 14 Ch. D. at p. 711, C. A.

(d) *Selby v. Pomfret*, 3 De G. F. & J. 695; *Watts v. Symes*, 1 De G. M. & G. 240; *Cummins v. Fletcher*, 14 Ch. D. 699, C. A. The decisions in the cases of *Tribourg v. Lord Pomfret*, cited, Amb. 733; *Holmes v. Turner*, 7 Ha. 367 n., and *Smeathman v. Bray*, 15 Jur. 1051, are overruled.

(e) *Ireson v. Denn*, 2 Cox, 425.

(f) *Mills v. Jennings*, 13 Ch. D. 639, 649, C. A., affirmed, *Jennings v. Jordan*, 6 App. Cas. 698.

(g) *Selby v. Pomfret*, 3 De G. F. & J. 595; *Cracknell v. Janson*, 11 Ch. D. 1, C. A.

(h) *Cracknell v. Janson*, *sup.*

(i) 2 Ves. Jun. 376.

the question of the right of an equitable mortgagee to consolidate was discussed, but not decided (*k*).

CHAP. XLIII.

It is now, however, clear that where two equitable mortgages upon different estates to different persons become united in one, neither the mortgagor nor a subsequent mortgagee with notice of the equitable mortgage can redeem one without the other (*l*).

Consolidation of securities does not depend like tacking upon the possession of the legal estate (*m*). As between successive mortgagees, however, the possession of the legal estate, or priority of registration or other circumstances may be material in determining whether the first mortgagee has priority so as to be entitled to be redeemed by and consequently to consolidate against a subsequent mortgagee.

If land in a register county is mortgaged to A., and then mortgaged to B. who acquires priority by registration. B. can consolidate his mortgage on that property with a mortgage held by him on other property of the same mortgagor as against A.'s mortgage, although the latter is prior in point of date, assuming, of course, that in other respects, the right to consolidate exists (*n*).

Registration may give prior right to consolidate.

Judgment creditors may consolidate (*o*).

Judgment creditors. Consolidation of securities of different natures.

Securities of different natures can be consolidated as an assignment of policies and a mortgage of freeholds and leaseholds (*p*).

Where, however, a mortgagee of land had also a registered bill of sale of goods, he was not permitted to consolidate his securities, to the prejudice of an execution creditor against the goods (*q*).

The mortgagor cannot compel the mortgagee to consolidate. So a second mortgagee of two estates, on each of which there is a prior distinct mortgage, may redeem either of the prior separate mortgages, and then foreclose the mortgagor as to that particular estate; and even in a suit, instituted by him to redeem both the prior mortgages, he may have a decree to redeem both or either of them, and to foreclose the mortgagor accordingly (*r*).

Mortgagee not compellable to consolidate.

(*k*) *Grugson v. Gerrard*, 4 Y. & C. Ex. 119.

33 L. J., Ch. 19.

(*l*) *Tweedale v. Tweedale*, 23 Beav. 341; and *Watts v. Symes*, *sup.*; *Neve v. Pennell*, 2 H. & M. 170, 183; *Exp. Berridge, Re Loosemore*, 3 M. D. & De G. 464; *Cracknell v. Janson*, 11 Ch. D. 9, C. A.

(*o*) *Spalding v. Thompson*, 26 Beav. 637.

(*p*) *Ibid.*; *Cracknell v. Janson*, 11 Ch. D. 1, C. A.

(*q*) *Chesworth v. Hunt*, 5 C. P. D. 266.

(*r*) *Pelly v. Wathen*, 1 De G. M. & G. 16.

(*m*) *Neve v. Pennell*, *sup.*

(*n*) *Neve v. Pennell*, 2 H. & M. 170;

CHAP. XLIII.

ii.—Against what Persons Mortgages may be consolidated.—

Consolidation
as between
one mort-
gagee and one
mortgagor.

The doctrine of consolidation applies in its simplest form in cases in which the only parties concerned are the mortgagor of the one part, and the mortgagee of the other part. This is the case if the mortgages are originally, or subsequently become, vested in the same mortgagee, whilst the equities of redemption remain united in the same person, as the right of the several estates having once accrued to the mortgagee ought not to be defeated by the subsequent act of the mortgagor.

Several
securities for
distinct debts.

Where several distinct estates have been separately mortgaged as securities for distinct debts by one mortgagor to one mortgagee, the latter has a right to hold all the estates as security for the aggregate of all the debts.

Title or value
of one estate
defective.

Thus, where the title to one of two mortgaged estates proves defective, the mortgagor cannot redeem without payment of both (s). Similarly where one estate is deficient in value, or worthless as a contingent interest, the one cannot be redeemed without the other (t).

This rule is founded on the equitable principle that a Court of Equity would not assist a mortgagor in getting back one of his estates, unless he paid all that was due, though secured on a different estate (u).

Consolidation
as between
transferees of
several mort-
gages and
original
mortgagor.

The principle applies, although the first mortgages of the several estates were originally made to different mortgagees, if by transfer the several mortgages have come into the hands of one mortgagee (x). So it is settled that if an owner of two properties mortgages one to A. and the other to B., and then A.'s mortgage is transferred to B., or both are transferred to C., the owner cannot after that redeem B. in the one case or C. in the other, of one of his securities, without redeeming the other security (y). And for this purpose, it is immaterial whether the transferee had a right to call for a transfer, as where he was surety for the debt (z), or whether he had no such right (a).

The rule as to consolidation equally applies where the vesting

(s) *Shuttleworth v. Laycock*, 1 Vern. 245; *Purefoy v. Purefoy*, 1 Vern. 29; *Barrow v. Manning*, W. N. (1880) 108.

(t) *Margrave v. Le Hooke*, 2 Vern. 207; *Pope v. Onslow*, 2 Vern. 286.

(u) *Mills v. Jennings*, 13 Ch. D. 639, at p. 646, C. A.; affirmed *Jennings v. Jordan*, 6 App. Cas. 698.

(x) *Titely v. Davies*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 393, 399 n.; *Tweedale v. Tweedale*, 23 Beav. 341.

(y) *Per Romer, J.*, in *Pledge v. Carr*, (1894) 2 Ch. 328, at p. 330, affirmed (1895) 1 Ch. 51.

(z) *Tweedale v. Tweedale*, 23 Beav. 341.

(a) *Vint v. Padget*, 2 De G. & J. 611.

in one hand of the first mortgages arises from a second mortgagee of one estate having redeemed the first mortgagee of that and other estates (b).

CHAP. XLIII.

The extension of the doctrine so as to enable a mortgagee to consolidate as against assignees of the equity of redemption, involves considerations of a more complex character. As was remarked by Lord Selborne in *Jennings v. Jordan* (c), its extension to such a case "though it may, perhaps, be open to objection on some practical grounds, rests upon an intelligible principle. The purchaser of an equity of redemption must take it as it stood at the time of his purchase, subject to all other equities which then affected it in the hands of his vendor, of which the right of the mortgagee to consolidate his charge on that particular property with other charges then held by him on other property at the same time redeemable under the same mortgagor was one. The mortgagee cannot lose that right, because the mortgagor thinks fit to separate the equities of redemption."

Consolidation against several assignees of equities of redemption.

As a general rule, therefore, the right to consolidate exists, though the equities of redemption no longer remain in the same person, and is enforced against a purchaser or mortgagee of the equity of redemption of the estates or either of them (d).

The doctrine was extended to the assignee of the mortgagor, although without notice, in *Cator v. Charlton* (e) and *Collett v. Munden* (e), in the latter of which Lord Kenyon, M. R., said, "Those cases (that is, of *Cator v. Charlton* and *Collett v. Munden*), amount to this, that if a man makes a mortgage, and afterwards makes another mortgage for another sum, and then assigns the equity of redemption of one, both must be redeemed, and the case of the assignee is not better than that of the original mortgagor."

Notice to assignee immaterial.

Where, however, two mortgages, made by the same mortgagor to different mortgagees, on different estates, become united for the first time in one person after the mortgagor has assigned (by way either of sale or mortgage) the equity of redemption of one of them, the owner of the two mortgages

Union of mortgages on different estates after assignment of equity of redemption of one of them.

(b) *Titley v. Davies*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 399 n., 403; see *Bovey v. Skipwith*, 1 Ch. Ca. 201.

(c) 6 App. Cas. 698, 701.

(d) *Exp. Carter*, 2 Amb. 733; *Tribourg v. Lord Pomfret*, 2 Amb. 734 n.; *Ireson v. Denn*, 2 Cox, 425; *Jones v. Smith*, 2 Ves. Jun. 372.

(e) Cited in *Jones v. Smith*, 2 Ves. Jun. 376, and in *Ireson v. Denn*, *sup.* See *Willie v. Lugg*, 2 Ed. 78; *Titley v. Davies*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 399 n., and cited in *Exp. Carter*, *sup.*; *Tribourg v. Lord Pomfret*, Amb. 734 n.; correcting *Exp. King*, 1 Atk. 300; see *Neve v. Pennell*, 2 H. & M. 170, 183; 33 L. J. Ch. 19.

CHAP. XLIII.

cannot consolidate them as against the assignee of that equity of redemption, even though both the mortgages were created before the assignment (*f*).

It was explained by Sir Edward Fry, J., in *Harter v. Colman* (*g*), that in such a case the right to consolidate is not an equity affecting the property in the hands of the vendor at the time of the assignment within the meaning of the rule as laid down by Lord Selborne (*h*), inasmuch as the equity arises out of the union in the same person of the two first mortgages and has no existence prior to such union.

Mortgage created subsequently to assignment.

A fortiori, no right to consolidate can be maintained where at the time of the assignment of the equity of redemption of the first estate mortgaged, the mortgage upon the second estate sought to be consolidated was not in existence (*i*).

Notice.

The result of the establishment of the rules above stated is to render obsolete all questions which were formerly much discussed (*k*), as to the effect of notice of a prior assignment as affecting the mortgagee's claim to consolidate against the assignee.

Consolidation against single assignee of all the equities of redemption.

The rule will apply so as to enable a mortgagee to consolidate his securities as against a single assignee of all the equities of redemption, notwithstanding that the union of the first mortgages in the mortgagee may have taken place subsequently to the date of the assignment of the equities of redemption (*l*). In such a case, it has been said, the second incumbrancer must be deemed to have taken his security with knowledge that the prior mortgages on the different estates, though then belonging to different mortgagees, might coalesce, and with knowledge of the possible consequence of their coalition (*m*). It must be admitted, however, that this explanation can hardly be considered as satisfactory, having regard to the principle upon which the extension of the doctrine to cases affecting the rights of third parties, was rested by Lord Selborne in *Jennings v. Jordan* (*n*).

(*f*) *Harter v. Colman*, 19 Ch. D. 630. See also *White v. Hillacre*, 3 Y. & C. Ex. 697; *Maroon v. Bloxam*, 11 Exch. 586; *Jennings v. Jordan*, 6 App. Cas. 698; *Minter v. Carr*, (1894) 3 Ch. 498, C. A., virtually overruling *Beever v. Luck*, L. R. 4 Eq. 537.

(*g*) 19 Ch. D. 630, at p. 635.

(*h*) *Sup.* p. 859.

(*i*) *Baker v. Gray*, 1 Ch. D. 491. See *Jennings v. Jordan*, 6 App. Cas. 698; overruling *Tassel v. Smith*, 2 De G. & J.

713, so far as the latter case cannot be distinguished upon its particular circumstances. See also *Bird v. Wenn*, 33 Ch. D. 215.

(*k*) See *Dav. Conv. Vol. II. pt. ii. p. 293*.

(*l*) *Twoedale v. Twoedale*, 23 Beav. 341; *Vint v. Padget*, 2 De G. & J. 611; *Pledge v. White*, (1896) A. C. 187.

(*m*) *Vint v. Padget, sup.*

(*n*) 6 App. Cas. 698, 701.

A more satisfactory explanation was given by Sir Edward Fry, J., in *Harter v. Colman* (o), where he says, "the Court may well say that, where both the first mortgages are vested in one person, and both the equities of redemption are vested in another, the owner of those equities of redemption must do equity, and must give effect to the equities relating to both the estates."

CHAP. XLIII.

It is immaterial that the mortgagee claiming under such circumstances to consolidate his securities had, at the time of the union, notice of the prior assignment (p). Notice to mortgagee is immaterial.

Where mortgages of different properties had been made by the same mortgagor at different dates, and the mortgages had been consolidated by a mortgagee, and the mortgagor had conveyed the equities of redemption to different purchasers, the equity of redemption of the second mortgage being conveyed before the equity of redemption of the first mortgage, it was held in an action for redemption by the owner of the equity of redemption of the second mortgage, that he was entitled to the first right of redemption of both mortgages (q). Order of right to redeem when securities consolidated.

In case of the bankruptcy of the mortgagor, the trustee in bankruptcy is in no better position than the bankrupt as regards any right to consolidate which accrued prior to the bankruptcy. Thus, a case occurred at law (r), in which the assignee of a bankrupt having moved, under 7 Geo. II. c. 20, to stay proceedings on payment of principal, interest, and costs due on the mortgage in question, it was objected that there were two other mortgages of different premises for certain other sums due from the bankrupt to the mortgagee, on which the Court refused to compel a redemption on payment of the first mortgage only, and discharged the rule with costs. The doctrine appears to have been recognized in other proceedings at law (s). Consolidation against trustee in bankruptcy.

Where, however, the union of the mortgages in the mortgagee takes place subsequently to and with notice to the mortgagee, of the bankruptcy, a question of fraudulent preference may arise. Question of fraudulent preference may arise.

Where a mortgagee with a deficient security on one estate obtained, after and with notice of the mortgagor's bankruptcy, the transfer of a mortgage on another estate with power of

(o) 19 Ch. D. 630, 635.

(p) *Vint v. Padget*, 2 De G. & J. 611; *Pledge v. White*, (1896) A. C. 187.(q) *Jennings v. Jordan*, 6 App. Cas. 698.(r) *Roe v. Soley*, 2 W. Bl. 726.(s) *Marcon v. Blozam*, 25 L. J. Ex. 193.

CHAP. XLIII.

sale, he had a right to retain against the assignees in aid of his deficient security the surplus proceeds of a sale made under the power (*t*). But an original mortgage, taken after notice of insolvency, cannot be consolidated with a prior mortgage, for it would amount to a preference (*u*).

A question was raised in *Grurgeon v. Gerrard* (*x*), whether an equitable mortgagee of estate A., whose mortgage was created before the bankruptcy of the mortgagor, could as against the assignees consolidate a mortgage upon estate B., by compelling an assignment to himself of the legal estate outstanding in a satisfied mortgagee of estate A. The decision was that he had a right to the assignment, and the question of consolidation was left undecided; but the right to the latter would seem to follow.

Consolidation
against heir,
&c.

The right equally binds the heir or devisee of the mortgagor; but not the dowress (*y*).

Consolidation
as against
surety.

The mortgagee's right to consolidate will, apparently, prevail as against a surety of the mortgagor. So where two properties were mortgaged to a mortgagee for distinct sums, and there was a surety for one of them, it was held that the right of consolidation overrode the right of the surety to have the benefit of the securities for his debt (*z*).

Undisclosed
surety.

In another case, where a mortgagor mortgaged two properties by separate deeds to secure distinct debts, and by one of the deeds another person mortgaged certain other property, being, in fact a surety, but that fact was not disclosed by the deed, it was held that the mortgagee was entitled to consolidate as against the undisclosed surety (*a*).

Consolidation
by transferee
of mortgage
against
assignee of
equity of
redemption.

iii.—Restrictions on the Right to Consolidate.—The right of a transferee from the mortgagee to stand in the place of his transferor for the purpose of enforcing the right of the latter to consolidate as against an assignee from the mortgagor of the equity of redemption of one estate, is to some extent limited by the rule that a vendor who has parted with his whole interest in property, cannot indirectly, by any act subsequent to the sale,

(*t*) *Selby v. Pomfret*, 3 De G. F. & J. 595; *Neve v. Pennell*, 2 H. & M. 170; *Exp. Alsager*, 2 M. D. & De G. 328.

(*u*) *Exp. Hotchkin*, L. R. 20 Eq. 746.

(*x*) 4 Y. & C. Ex. 119.

(*y*) *Jones v. Griffith*, 2 Coll. 207.

(*z*) *Farebrother v. Wodehouse*, 23 Beav. 18; but an appeal was compromised, 26 L. J. Ch. 240.

(*a*) *Re Toogood*, W. N. (1889) 73.

detract from the interest conveyed to the purchaser. "It is against all principle that a vendor should be enabled, after parting with his whole interest in particular property, to impose an additional burden upon it without the purchaser's consent, . . . and without any contract at all" (b).

CHAP. XLIII.

Accordingly, where A. mortgaged Whiteacre, Blackacre, and Greenacre together to B., and afterwards mortgaged Whiteacre to C., then sold Blackacre to D., and finally mortgaged Greenacre to E.; although C., having paid off B., was decreed to hold all three properties until he was paid as well the sum originally advanced by him as that paid by him to redeem B., yet E. was not admitted to redeem Blackacre, the estate sold to D., for at the time of the mortgage of Greenacre to E., A. himself had no right at all in Blackacre, the sale to D. having been made prior to the mortgage to E. If, however, the sale to D. had been only a mortgage, A. would have retained a right of redemption in Blackacre, and could have given that to E. (c).

In a case where the owner of two estates, A. and B., having mortgaged both for a long term, sold estate A., and then mortgaged estate B. to different parties, the purchaser of estate A., having afterwards discovered and taken a transfer of the first mortgage in order to protect himself, was held entitled to compel the second mortgagee to pay the whole of the first mortgage, in order to redeem estate B. only, or to be foreclosed of estate B., on the ground that such mortgagee was bound by the same equities as his mortgagor (d).

To apply the doctrine of consolidation the transaction must be between the same parties, or those claiming under them; for if A. concur with B. in a mortgage of Whiteacre to C., and afterwards B. mortgage Blackacre to C. for a different sum, nevertheless A. and those claiming under him may redeem Whiteacre without also redeeming Blackacre (e). So if A., the owner of Whiteacre, and B., the owner of Blackacre, join in conveying the two estates in one mortgage, and A. afterwards mortgage Whiteacre to the same party for a different sum, B. may redeem on payment of the first mortgage debt (f); and in

No consolidation where different mortgagors.

(b) Per Lord Selborne, in *Jennings v. Jordan*, 6 App. Cas. 698, 702.

(c) *Tilley v. Davies*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 399, n., 405; approved in *Jennings v. Jordan*, *sup.* See per Lord Northington in *Willis v. Sugg*, 2 Ed. 78.

(d) *Sober v. Kemp*, 6 Ha. 155.

(e) *Jones v. Smith*, 2 Ves. Jun. 376.

(f) *Higgins v. Frankis*, 15 L. J. Ch. N. S. 329; *Bowler v. Bull*, 1 Sim. N. S. 29.

CHAP. XLIII.

this last case, at least if the power of redemption is reserved generally to A. and B. or either of them, B., in respect of the sum paid by him on behalf of A., will be entitled to hold Whiteacre as a security in priority to the further charge made by A. (*f*).

And if A., having already mortgaged Whiteacre, join with B., owner of Blackacre, in a mortgage of the two estates for securing a sum lent by the same person to B. (or, as it would seem, to both), B. may redeem his own estate on payment of the sum so lent, without paying off the separate charge on Whiteacre (*g*).

Tenants in common.

The same principle applies where the first mortgage by the two persons is of their separate shares of the estate, and subsequent separate mortgages are made by each of his own share: there is no consolidation (*h*).

Voluntary settlement of one estate.

Where an owner in fee of two estates made a voluntary settlement of one of them, and afterwards mortgaged it; he also mortgaged the other estate, and both mortgages became vested in the same person; it was held that the mortgagee was not entitled to consolidate as against the persons claiming under the settlement (*i*).

Tenant for life and remainderman.

On the like principle, where a tenant for life having under a power charged an estate, and then mortgaged the charge and property of his own to several mortgagees, the remainderman can redeem the charge separately from the other estate (*j*).

Case of firm.

So there is no consolidation where one mortgage is by a firm and the other by a member thereof (*k*).

In one case where a firm, then consisting of two partners, had mortgaged certain partnership property, and, after the admission into partnership of another member, the firm mortgaged other partnership property to the same mortgagee, it was left undecided whether or not there could be consolidation (*l*).

Insurance company.

The circumstance that the mortgages which were consolidated by an insurance company were taken in the names of different trustees was considered unimportant (*m*).

(*f*) *Higgins v. Frankis*, 15 L. J. Ch. N. S. 329; *Bowker v. Bull*, 1 Sim. N. S. 29.

(*g*) *Aldworth v. Robinson*, 2 Beav. 287.

(*h*) *Thornycroft v. Crockett*, 2 H. L. C. 239.

(*i*) *Re Walkhampton Estate*, 26 Ch. D. 391.

(*j*) *Lord Kensington v. Bouverie*, 7 H. L. C. 557.

(*k*) *Cummins v. Fletcher*, 14 Ch. D. 699, C. A., per James, L. J., questioning *Beever v. Luck*, L. R. 4 Eq. 537.

(*l*) *Re Raggett, Exp. Williams*, 16 Ch. D. 117, C. A.

(*m*) *Tassel v. Smith*, 2 De G. & J. 713.

iv.—Loss of the Right to Consolidate.—If two properties are mortgaged by the same mortgagor to the same mortgagee, and the mortgages become vested in different assignees of the mortgagee, the right to consolidate is gone, and the mortgagor may redeem the securities separately (*n*). CHAP. XLIII.
Severance of mortgagee's interest.

Similarly, the right to consolidate will be lost where one mortgage has ceased to exist, as where a mortgaged leasehold has become vested in the lessor through forfeiture on bankruptcy (*o*). Cesser of one mortgage.

The principle of these rules is thus stated by Sir H. Cotton, L. J. (*p*): “The mortgagee’s right is only to retain both properties so long as he is able to reconvey both to the mortgagor, and, if he voluntarily parts with one, his right ceases.” Principle of rules.

Where a mortgagee holding several mortgages made by the same mortgagor has the right to consolidate, he will not lose that right by giving notice to the mortgagor to pay off one of the mortgages (*q*). Notice to pay off.

v.—Effect of Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, sect. 17.—The right of a mortgagee to consolidate his original security with other securities held or acquired by him in certain cases, so as to give him a further charge on property not included in his original security, was formerly, as a general rule, a right incident to the contract of mortgage. Now, however, by the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (*r*), so far as relates to mortgages which, or one of which, are or is made on or after the 1st January, 1882, no right of consolidation can arise in the absence of a contrary intention expressed in the mortgages or in one of them made on or after that date. Difference between the former and present law as to consolidation.

By sect. 17 of that Act it is enacted as follows:—

“(1.) A mortgagor seeking to redeem any one mortgage, shall, by virtue of this Act, be entitled to do so, without paying any money due under any separate mortgage made by him, or by any person through whom he claims, on property other than that comprised in the mortgage which he seeks to redeem.” Conv. Act, 1881, s. 17.
Restriction on consolidation of mortgages.

(*n*) Ca. & Op. M. S. 78.

(*o*) *Re Raggett*, 16 Ch. D. 117, C. A.;
Re Gregson, Christison v. Bolam, 36 Ch.
D. 223, 226. See *Mayor of Brecon v.*

Seymour, 26 Beav. 548.

(*p*) *Re Raggett*, *sup.* at p. 120.

(*q*) *Griffith v. Pound*, 45 Ch. D. 553.

(*r*) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41.

CHAP. XLIII.

"(2.) This section applies only if and as far as a contrary intention is not expressed in the mortgage deeds or one of them.

"(3.) This section applies only where the mortgages or one of them are or is made after the commencement of this Act."

Effect of this enactment.

The effect of this section is that consolidation cannot now arise, except in cases falling under the old law, unless by virtue of an express contract affirming the right to consolidate. Such contract must be clear and explicit in its terms. So where, prior to 1882, the owner of two leasehold public-houses had mortgaged one of them to A., and, subject thereto, to B., and subject thereto to C., and had subsequently mortgaged the other public-house first to A. and, subject thereto, to B.; and, the lease of the former property having nearly expired, an arrangement was come to between the several mortgagees of that property and the mortgagor with a view to a renewal of the lease and of the respective securities; the renewal of the lease was accordingly obtained, and, in 1883, was successively mortgaged as follows: first, to A. to secure the original advance and a further sum of 1,000*l.* advanced for the purpose of obtaining the renewal of the lease, with a declaration that the restriction on the consolidation of mortgages created by sect. 17 of the Conveyancing Act, 1881, should not apply to the securities held by A. for the moneys due from the mortgagor; secondly, to B. for the original advance and further advances; and, thirdly, to C. for the original advance and further advances. Upon an action brought by a transferee from A. of both the first mortgages, it was held that as, before the transaction of 1883, A. had no right to consolidate his mortgages as against C., the clause in the mortgage deed of 1883 to A. merely left the parties in the same position as they were under the original securities as if the Act had not been passed, but that it did not have the effect of affirming any right to consolidate, and that the transferee stood in no better position than A. (s).

(s) *Bird v. Wenn*, 33 Ch. D. 215.

CHAPTER XLIV.

OF THE REMEDIES OF MORTGAGEES FOR ENFORCING
SECURITIES GENERALLY.

i.—All Remedies may be pursued at once.—Mortgagees are generally armed, by virtue of the express terms of the instrument creating their security, or by statutory enactments to be hereafter considered, with powers of sale and appointing receivers for the purpose of enforcing or protecting their securities.

The remedies open to a mortgagee for these purposes in respect of which he requires the assistance of the Court, are as follows:—he may, in a proper case, obtain an order for the appointment of a receiver; or he may bring an action against the mortgagor personally on the covenant for payment of principal and interest; or he may bring an action for foreclosure and recovery of possession or sale of the mortgaged property.

Remedies of
mortgagee.

If the mortgagor becomes bankrupt, or, if he dies and an action is brought for the administration of the estate, or, in the case of a company, upon a winding up, the law provides means for enforcing the mortgagee's security, which will be hereafter considered.

A privilege is annexed to the mortgagee's estate which forms an exception to the general rule of equity, that a party suing at law should not be allowed to sue in equity at the same time; for a mortgagee may at the same time proceed on all his remedies (a), unless he has agreed to suspend any particular remedy (b); he may at the same moment bring his action for the land and proceed on his bond or covenant and other collateral securities, and for foreclosure, and since the Judicature

Mortgagee
may have
recourse to
several reme-
dies at once.

(a) *Burnell v. Martin*, Doug. 417; *Schoole v. Sall*, 1 Sch. & L. 176; *Lockhart v. Hardy*, 9 Beav. 349; *Ross v. Parkinson*, 2 Anst. 497; *Duncan v. Manchester Water Works*, 8 Pri. 697;

Re Kelday, Exp. Meston, W. N. (1888) 94, C. A.

(b) *Cockell v. Bacon*, 16 Beav. 158. See *Serrao v. Noel*, 15 Q. B. D. 549, C. A. (consent order).

CHAP. XLIV. Act in the same action (c). This right is exerciseable not only as against the mortgagor himself, but as against a subsequent incumbrancer or assignee (d).

So also a mortgagee who has sold the mortgaged property under his power of sale may sue the mortgagor on his covenant for the balance (e), or proceed to recover it by enforcing any collateral securities which he may hold (f).

In like manner a pawnee may hold the pawn whilst suing the pawnor (g).

So, also, if mortgaged property is sold by order of the Court (h), and the proceeds of sale are insufficient to satisfy the amount due for principal, interest, and costs, the mortgagee may sue on the covenant for the deficiency (i). Similarly, where mortgaged property is sold in an action for the administration of an insolvent mortgagor's estate, the mortgagee may prove for the deficiency (k).

Formerly, a mortgagee might have taken the body of the debtor in execution, and still be entitled to the benefit of his security (l); but a discharge of the debtor from custody generally operated as a satisfaction of the judgment (m).

The power of imprisonment, which is vested in the Court by the Debtors Act, 1869, on default in payment of any debt or instalment of a debt in pursuance of an order of Court or judgment, does not operate as a satisfaction or extinguishment of any debt or demand or cause of action, or deprive any person of any right to take out execution against the lands, goods, or chattels of the person imprisoned, in the same manner as if such imprisonment had not taken place (n).

Under the former practice a mortgagee was not precluded from bringing an ejectment at law at the same time when he had a bill for foreclosure pending in equity (o).

An incumbrancer may bring two suits under certain circumstances; thus, suppose a mortgage on real estate, and a derivative

Several
actions.

(c) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66, s. 24.

(d) *Cockell v. Bacon*, 16 Beav. 158.

(e) *Rudge v. Richens*, L. R. 8 C. P. 358.

(f) See *Lockhart v. Hardy*, 9 Beav. 349, where the mortgage was in the form of a trust for sale.

(g) Story, Bailm. s. 315.

(h) As to sale in lieu of foreclosure, see *post*, p. 1016.

(i) *Wilson v. Lady Dunsany*, 18 Beav. 293.

(k) *Re Talbot, King v. Chick*, 39 Ch. D. 567.

(l) *Davis v. Battine*, 2 R. & My. 76; *Colby v. Gibson*, 3 Smith, 516. And see *Lloyd v. Mason*, 4 Ha. 132.

(m) *Cattlin v. Kernot*, 3 C. B. N. S. 796.

(n) 32 & 33 Vict. c. 62, s. 5.

(o) *Booth v. Booth*, 2 Atk. 343.

mortgage thereof be made, the original mortgagee may bring two suits, one for redemption and one for foreclosure, and neither could be stayed (*p*); and a mortgagee may, after a decree for redemption, bring an action for foreclosure, unless it is done merely to accumulate expenses (*q*).

CHAP. XLIV.

If a mortgagee of one estate contract with the mortgagor for the purchase of that estate or another, he cannot be delayed in recovering his mortgage debt until a good title can be made and an account taken between him and the mortgagor (*r*).

The Court would not prevent a mortgagee from taking out execution upon a judgment recovered by him in an action of covenant, upon the ground that the mortgagee had already contracted to sell the property for a larger sum, but the validity of which contract the mortgagor had filed a bill to impeach (*s*).

Execution on judgment.

So, also, an order for sale of the mortgaged property does not prevent the mortgagee from issuing execution on a personal judgment obtained for payment of the mortgage moneys (*t*).

An original mortgagee who has made a sub-mortgage will not be restrained from suing his mortgagor, if the sub-mortgagee holds him to the debt secured by the sub-mortgage; he must, however, undertake to pay what he recovers from his mortgagor to his sub-mortgagee (*u*).

Sub-mort-gage.

A prior incumbrancer is not bound to go in under a decree obtained by a puisne incumbrancer for an inquiry for incumbrances: he may bring an action of his own (*x*).

A mortgagee is not prevented by an administration suit from proceeding with his remedies against the mortgaged premises, although there is an inquiry in the administration suit respecting the mortgage (*y*).

Administration action does not prevent other remedies.

An equitable mortgagee may bring an action to compel a conveyance to himself of the legal estate or otherwise for the perfecting of his security, and so facilitating the exercise of his remedies; and he may do so even after a tender, if the proper notice had not been given, or even after notice, if the sum tendered be considered insufficient, though at the peril of costs

Action to complete mortgage.

(*p*) *Gage v. Lord Stafford*, 1 Ves. Sen. 545.

(*q*) *Shepherd v. Titley*, 2 Atk. 348; *Grugson v. Gerrard*, 4 Y. & C. Ex. 119, 128. See *Dunstan v. Paterson*, 2 Ph. 341.

(*r*) *Pell v. Stephens*, 2 My. & K. 334, 339.

(*s*) *Willis v. Lovett*, 1 De G. & S. 392.

(*t*) *Re Kelday, Exp. Meston*, W. N. (1838) 94, C. A.

(*u*) *Gurney v. Seppings*, 2 Ph. 40.

(*x*) *Arnold v. Bainbridge*, 2 De G. F. & J. 92.

(*y*) *Crowle v. Russell*, 4 C. P. D. 186.

CHAP. XLIV.

if it turn out that a proper amount was tendered, unless there be such a complete offer to pay all that shall be found due, as will enable the Court to decree foreclosure in case of non-payment in pursuance of the offer (s). And for the purpose of enforcing his security upon the interest of his mortgagor in an agreement, he may sue for specific performance of the agreement (a).

Mortgagee of trust property not a cestui que trust.

Where trustees under the terms of their trust raise money by mortgage, the mortgagee is not an object of the trust further than as their trust enabled the trustees to make him a good mortgage; in other respects he is in the ordinary situation of a mortgagee with all the remedies, but only the remedies of a mortgagee; and accordingly he has no right to call upon the trustees to sell in order to pay him (b).

Interpleader.

Where the title to the equity of redemption, or the right to the possession of the title deeds is disputed, the mortgagee may interplead (c); and the same rule will hold good with regard to the holder of a charge or lien upon goods (d), but not if the lien attaches upon one only of the parties by whom the goods are claimed (e).

Suing in formâ pauperis.

Either a mortgagee (f) or a mortgagor (g) may sue in formâ pauperis.

Annuitant.

ii.—When Mortgagee will be precluded from exercising all his Remedies.—There are, however, some exceptions to this general right of the mortgagee to use all his remedies. Thus, where an annuitant has a special remedy by entry and distress, either expressly or under 4 Geo. II. c. 28, and the rents of the estate are sufficient to answer the annuity, he will not be allowed to pursue the more burdensome remedy of a suit in equity (h).

Proceedings abroad.

An injunction was granted restraining mortgagees of a West India estate from proceeding by bill of foreclosure in a colonial Court, after a decree for an account on bill filed in England to

(s) *Grugeon v. Gerrard*, 4 Y. & C. Ex. 119; *Malone v. Geraghty*, 1 H. L. C. 81. And see *Sporle v. Whayman*, 20 Beav. 607.

(a) *Broune v. London Necropolis, &c. Co.*, 6 W. R. 188.

(b) *Palk v. Lord Clinton*, 12 Ves. 48, 56. See *Page v. Cooper*, 16 Beav. 396.

(c) *Shotbolt v. Biscow*, 2 Eq. Ca. Abr. 173; *Roberts v. Ball*, 7 E. & B. 323.

(d) *Cotter v. Bank of England*, 3 Moo.

& So. 180. See *Attenborough v. London & St. Katharine Dock Co.*, 3 C. P. D. 450, C. A.

(e) *Braddick v. Smith*, 2 Moo. & So. 131.

(f) *Anon.*, 2 Moll. 338.

(g) *Perry v. Walker*, 1 Y. & C. C. C. 676.

(h) *Buxton v. Monkhouse*, G. Coop. 41; *Sollory v. Leaver*, L. R. 9 Eq. 22; *Kelsey v. Kelsey*, L. R. 17 Eq. 495.

redeem; all the parties being in England (i). Where a mortgage is made of property abroad, and proceedings are taken in the foreign tribunal, the proceedings will not be restrained by injunction if the party seeking the injunction can appear there and assert his rights, or the mortgagor, a company, is in course of winding up (k).

But a mortgagee has been restrained from proceeding in a foreclosure suit in a colonial Court, commenced after a decree directing inquiries and accounts in an English suit for redemption, all the parties being in England. The plaintiff in the English suit was, however, put upon terms to submit to such orders in the colonial Court as the English Court should think reasonable (l).

The Court refused to dissolve an injunction restraining the mortgagee of a Demerara estate from proceeding in an action on a promissory note for payment of an instalment of the mortgage money, unless the mortgagee gave security to account for what he so recovered, in case the mortgagor was damnified by the mortgagee not producing the "grosse" copy of the act of hypothecation, the production of which the mortgagor asserted to be necessary for his discharge (m).

Where an action was brought and the mortgagee was paid all that he claimed, he could not sue in equity for a further sum unclaimed by mistake in the action (n).

Further action after amount claimed is paid.

The mortgagee may use such remedies as will give him the easiest relief, unless the pursuit of the remedy is contrary to the spirit of the contract and in breach of good faith; as where the creditor sued upon an implied contract to recover a debt, when the intention was only to enter up judgment upon a warrant of attorney (o). But it will not be considered a breach of good faith if the strict term of a contract is enforced; as where the creditor agreed not to enter up judgment on a warrant of attorney, if the premiums of a policy of insurance were punctually paid, and default being made in such payment, judgment was entered up (p).

Action by mortgagee in breach of good faith.

So a creditor could not prove under an inspectorship deed, and

(i) *Beckford v. Kemble*, 1 S. & St. 7; *Carron Iron Co. v. MacLaren*, L. R. 5 H. L. 437.

(k) *Moor v. Anglo-Italian Bank*, 10 Ch. D. 681.

(l) *Beckford v. Kemble*, *sup.*

(m) *Bentinck v. Willink*, 2 Ha. 1.

(n) *Darlow v. Cooper*, 34 Beav. 281.

(o) *Sherborne v. Tollemache*, 13 C. B. N. S. 742.

(p) *Winthrop v. Murray*, 8 Ha. 214. See *Farry v. Great Ship Co.*, 4 B. & S. 556.

CHAP. XLIV.

receive dividends on his whole debt and retain the security of a policy, when upon the general construction of the deed the whole matter was to be dealt with as in bankruptcy (*q*).

Alteration of mortgagee's rights by subsequent contract.

Again, the mortgagee will be controlled in his remedies, if by subsequent contract with the mortgagor the respective relations of the mortgagor and mortgagee have been altered, or if rights have been conferred by the mortgagor on third persons, and the mortgagee has done acts which amount to an acknowledgment of such rights; as when rights have been created by a canal company, as mortgagor (*r*), or rights of burial granted by the mortgagor of a burial ground within the objects to which it was devoted (*s*).

Effect of order for foreclosure absolute.

If a mortgagee, before having recourse to any other remedies, commences an action for foreclosure and obtains a decree absolute, he will not be allowed to sue the mortgagor upon the covenant and also to make the mortgaged estate his own by means of the foreclosure, even though the value of the property turns out to be insufficient to cover the debt. So, a mortgagee will not generally be allowed, after foreclosure, to come in under an administration suit, and prove for the deficiency (*t*); but a mortgagee, after foreclosure and attempted sale, was admitted to prove in an administration suit upon giving up the property, but was not allowed the costs of foreclosure (*u*). The effect of an action on the covenant brought by a mortgagee after foreclosure is to revive the right of redemption (*x*). If the mortgagee enters into possession under a foreclosure and sells the property, thereby precluding the mortgagor from opening the foreclosure, he will not be allowed to sue the mortgagor for any part of the mortgage debt, though the sale may have produced less than the amount due (*y*). This rule will not, however, apply where the mortgagee has obtained an order for sale in lieu of foreclosure which has not been acted upon (*z*).

Accordingly, the most prudent course for a mortgagee whose security is insufficient to cover the debt is first to enforce his personal remedies against the mortgagor, and then to resort to

(*q*) *Kingsford v. Swinford*, 4 Drew. 705.

(*r*) *Mold v. Wheatcroft*, 27 Beav. 510.

(*s*) *Moreland v. Richardson*, 24 Beav. 33.

(*t*) *Lockhart v. Hardy*, 9 Beav. 349.

(*u*) *Haynes v. Haynes*, 3 Jur. N. S. 504.

(*x*) *Dashwood v. Blithway*, 1 Eq. Ca. Ab. 317; *Tooke v. Hartley*, 2 Bro. C. C. 125; *Booth v. Booth*, 2 Atk. 344.

(*y*) *Perry v. Barker*, 8 Ves. 527; *S. C.* 13 Ves. 198; *Palmer v. Hendrie*, 27 Beav. 349; *Burrell v. Smith*, L. R. 7 Eq. 399.

(*z*) *Re Kelday, Exp. Meston*, W. N. (1888) 94.

the mortgaged estate for the unsatisfied balance, if any, of the debt.

CHAP. XLIV.

iii.—**Staying Proceedings under Statute 7 Geo. II. c. 20.**—The mortgagor may, under this statute (a), stay any action brought by the mortgagee by paying the money claimed.

This statute enacts as follows :—

Sect. 1. "Where any action shall be brought on any bond for payment of the money secured by such mortgage or performance of the covenants therein contained, or where any action of ejectment shall be brought in any of his Majesty's Courts of Record at Westminster, or in the Court of Great Sessions in Wales, or in any of the superior Courts in the counties palatine of Chester, Lancaster, or Durham, by any mortgagee or mortgagees, his heir or their heirs, executors, administrators, or assigns, for the recovery of the possession of any mortgaged lands, tenements, or hereditaments, and no suit shall be then depending in any of his Majesty's Courts of equity in that part of Great Britain called England, for or touching the foreclosing or redeeming of such mortgaged lands, tenements, or hereditaments; if the person or persons having right to redeem such mortgaged lands, tenements, or hereditaments, and who shall appear and become defendant or defendants in such action, shall, at any time pending such action, pay unto such mortgagee or mortgagees, or, in case of his, her, or their refusal, shall bring into Court where such action shall be depending, all the principal moneys and interest due on such mortgage, and also all such costs as have been expended in any suit or suits at law or in equity upon such mortgage (such money for principal, interest and costs to be ascertained and computed by the Court where such action is or shall be depending, or by the proper officer by such Court to be appointed for that purpose), the moneys so paid to such mortgagee or mortgagees or brought into such Court shall be deemed and taken to be in full satisfaction and discharge of such mortgage, and the Court shall and may discharge every such mortgagor or defendant of and from the same accordingly, and shall and may, by rule or rules of the same Court, compel such mortgagee or mortgagees, at the costs and charges of such mortgagor or mortgagors, to assign, surrender, or reconvey such mortgaged lands, tenements, and hereditaments, and such estate and interest as such mortgagee or mortgagees have or hath therein, and deliver up all the deeds, evidences, and writings in his, her or their custody, relating to the title of such mortgaged lands, tenements, and hereditaments, unto such mortgagor or mortgagors who shall have brought such moneys into the Court, his, her or their heirs, executors, or administrators, or to such other person or persons as he, she or they shall for that purpose nominate or appoint.

In actions concerning mortgages or ejectments by mortgagees.

No suit being then depending to foreclose or redeem such mortgage,

the mortgagor's tendering the principal, interest and costs in Court shall be deemed a full satisfaction;

and the Court may compel the mortgagee to surrender the premises.

Sect. 2. "Where any bill or bills, suit or suits, shall be filed, commenced, or brought in any of his Majesty's Courts of equity in that part of Great Britain called England by any person or persons having or claiming any estate, right, or interest in any lands, tene-

On bills filed to compel the payment of the mortgage and special-

(a) Similar provisions were contained in the stat. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 76, ss. 219—221, some of which sections are now repealed.

CHAP. XLIV.

ties, or fore-
close the
equity of
redemption,

the Court on
defendant's
request may
proceed to
decree before
a regular
hearing,

and all parties
shall be
bound thereby
as if the cause
had been
regularly
heard.

This Act not
to extend to
cases where
the right to
redemption is
controverted,
or the money
due not
adjusted,

or to pre-
judice any
subsequent
mortgagees.

Independent
jurisdiction
of equity.

ments, or hereditaments, under or by virtue of any mortgage or mortgages thereof, to compel the defendant or defendants in such suit or suits (having or claiming a right to redeem the same) to pay the plaintiff or plaintiffs in such suit or suits, the principal money and interest due on any such mortgage, or the principal money and interest due on such mortgage, together with any sum or sums of money due on any incumbrance or specialty charged or chargeable on the equity of redemption thereof, and in default of payment thereof, to foreclose such defendant or defendants of his, her or their right or equity of redeeming such mortgaged lands, tenements, or hereditaments; such Court and Courts of equity, where such suit or suits shall be depending, upon application made to such Court by the defendant having a right to redeem such mortgaged lands, tenements or hereditaments, and upon his or their admitting the right and title of the plaintiff or plaintiffs in such suit, may and shall at any time or times before such suit or cause shall be brought to hearing make such order or decree therein as such Court or Courts might or could have made therein in case such suit or cause had then been regularly brought to hearing before such Court or Courts; and all parties to such suit or suits shall be bound by such order or decree so made, to all intents and purposes, as if such order or decree had been made by such Court at or subsequent to the hearing of such cause or suit, any usage to the contrary thereof in anywise notwithstanding."

Sect. 3. "Provided always, that this Act, or anything herein contained, shall not extend to any case where the person or persons against whom the redemption is or shall be prayed shall (by writing under his, her or their hand, or the hand of his, her or their attorney, agent, or solicitor, to be delivered before the money shall be brought into such Court at law, to the attorney or solicitor for the other side) insist either that the party praying a redemption has not a right to redeem, or that the premises are chargeable with other or different principal sums than what appear on the face of the mortgage or shall be admitted on the other side, nor to any case where the right of redemption to the mortgaged lands and premises in question in any cause or suit shall be controverted or questioned by or between different defendants in the same cause or suit, nor shall be any prejudice to any subsequent mortgagee or mortgagees or subsequent incumbrancer; anything in this Act to the contrary thereof in anywise notwithstanding."

This statute does not take away any right of action—it only stays an action on certain terms, and has no application when the mortgage has been paid off before action brought (*b*).

A judge at chambers has authority to make an order under the statute as well as the Court (*c*).

It has been stated by judges of high authority, that the Courts of equity did not require the aid of the legislature for

(*b*) *Smeston v. Collier*, 5 D. & L. 184.
See Jud. Act, 1873 (36 & 37 Vict. c. 66),
s. 39.

(*c*) *Sands to Thompson*, 22 Ch. D. 614,
618.

the purpose mentioned in the Act, and that the real object of the Act was, to give a new authority to the Courts of law, the section as to Courts of equity being merely incidental and unnecessary (d). Inasmuch as, since the Judicature Acts, equitable jurisdiction has been conferred on all Divisions of the High Court of Justice, it is now seldom necessary for a mortgagor to have recourse to the provisions of this statute, and the decisions thereon seem to require only a somewhat brief notice.

An action "brought on any bond" for the purposes of this Act includes an action on the covenant for payment contained in a mortgage deed (e). Covenants are within the Act.

If the action be brought against the tenant and judgment go by default, there is no jurisdiction under the statute to restore possession to the mortgagor; but it would seem that judgment will be set aside and the mortgagor let in to defend as landlord in order to bring the case within the statute (f). Tenant in possession.

If the mortgagor have given a bond or incurred other debts to the mortgagee, he may proceed under the statute without payment of such bonds or other debts (g), or of other moneys not secured by the mortgage, as interest due before its date, or the costs of preparing the mortgage, or of an assignment of it (h).

If there be other mortgages on other lands, the mortgagor cannot proceed under this statute without redeeming all (i), unless the case falls within sect. 17 of the Conveyancing Act, 1881 (k), or unless the right to consolidate has accrued since the entry for trial (l), or without payment of other moneys which may be tacked (m). Consolidation and tacking.

It was held in one case that, where a mortgagor has stayed proceedings under this Act, the mortgagee is bound to reconvey and to deliver up the title deeds, upon payment of the principal money and interest and costs of the action pending, without regard to any expenses which the mortgagee might have previously incurred in trying to effect a sale, or in Reconveyance.

(d) *Prad v. Hull*, 1 S. & St. 331. And see *Boys v. Ford*, 4 Madd. 40; *Damer v. Lord Portarlington*, 2 Ph. 30; 10 Jur. 673, and order therein; *Paynter v. Carrew*, Kay, App. xxxvi.; 18 Jur. 417.

(e) *Dixon v. Wigram*, 2 Cr. & J. 613; *Samson v. Collier*, 5 D. & L. 184.

(f) *Doe v. Roe*, 4 Taunt. 887; *Doe v. Clifton*, 4 A. & E. 814. And see *Doe v. Brown*, 6 Dowl. 471.

(g) *Archer v. Snatt*, 2 Stra. 1107; *Bingham v. Gregg*, Barnes, 182.

(h) *Doe v. Steel*, 1 Dowl. 359.

(i) *Roe v. Soley*, 2 W. Bl. 726.

(k) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41.

(l) *Matthews v. Antrobus*, 49 L. J. Ch. 80.

(m) *Felton v. Ash*, Barnes, 177. See *Goodright v. Moore*, Barnes, 176; *Vaughan v. Lloyd*, cited 7 Ves. 489.

CHAP. XLIV.

recovering possession, &c. (n). In another case, however, the Court of Exchequer held that the above section only applies where the mortgagee is not in possession, and has not attempted to exercise the rights of sale, and that, under such latter circumstances, the mortgagor must pay the additional expenses as well as the cost of the reconveyance, before he can claim the estate and title deeds (o).

Debt payable
by instal-
ments.

Where the debt is payable by instalments, and on one default the whole becomes due, relief is given only upon payment of the whole (p).

What actions
may be
stayed.

Where an application was made under sect. 1, it was held that there must be an affidavit that there is no suit for foreclosure or redemption (q). But as regards staying proceedings in equity, it has been held that, if the mortgagee's action includes a claim for relief independent of foreclosure, no order can be made under this Act (r). As all Divisions of the High Court of Justice are now competent to exercise both legal and equitable jurisdiction, it is clear that an application to stay proceedings under this Act may be brought in any division, whatever the nature of the action may be, provided it is brought by the mortgagee in his character as such.

No order to
prejudice
other parties.

No order will be made to the prejudice of other defendants; so where a mortgagee brought an action against the mortgagor and several puisne mortgagees, the Court, having regard to the rights of all parties, refused a motion by the several mortgagees for conveyance to him and stay of proceedings, but directed an inquiry as to priorities (s).

Grounds for
refusing
order.

The application must be made before the mortgagee is entitled to sue out execution (t), and will not be granted if the mortgagor is in contempt (u).

Contract by
mortgagor to
sell to mort-
gagee.

If a mortgagor contracts to sell to the mortgagee his equity of redemption, and the mortgagee, before the completion of the contract, proceeds by ejectment to evict the mortgagor from the possession, the Court will not stay the proceedings on tender of principal, interest, and costs, as the mortgagor has no longer any right to redeem, and equity will decree him to complete

(n) *Smeeton v. Collier*, 5 D. & L. 184.

(o) *Sutton v. Rawlings*, 18 L. J. Ex. 249. See *Dovle v. Neale*, 10 W. R. 627. See generally, as to what costs and expenses are allowed to a mortgagee, *post*, pp. 1177 *et seq.*

(p) *Goodtitle v. Notille*, 11 Moo. 491.

(q) *Wilkinson v. Traaston*, Selw. N. P. (13th ed.) 626.

(r) *Bastard v. Clarke*, 7 Ves. 489.

(s) *Paine v. Edwards*, 8 Jur. N. S. 1201; *Lastett v. Cliffe*, 5 Jur. 403.

(t) *Amis v. Lloyd*, 3 V. & B. 15.

(u) *Hewitt v. M'Cartney*, 13 Ves. 560.

the contract (*v*). It seems, however, that the mortgagee should tender conveyance to the mortgagor, or sue for completion, as a ground for the Court to reject the motion for a stay of proceedings (*x*).

CHAP. XLIV.

It has been held that the effect of sect. 3 is to render the statute not applicable where the right to redeem is disputed upon the affidavits, but only where the right to redeem is clear (*y*).

Right to
redeem
disputed.

The mortgagee should in the prescribed notice state enough of the grounds on which he disputes the right of redemption to enable the Court to determine what the question is between the parties, and whether a case for the exercise of its jurisdiction properly arises or not (*z*). So he must state the nature of the ulterior demand and its amount (*a*). But the language of the statute is so strong as to exempt the mortgagee from the necessity of proving the facts insisted on (*b*).

Objections of
mortgagee.

The reference to Chambers under this statute must proceed on an admission that the principal and interest claimed are due (*c*).

There must
be admission
of debt.

It is necessary that all the defendants to the foreclosure suit should admit the plaintiff's title (*d*); and an infant defendant will not be bound by an order under this Act (*e*); though in certain cases a similar order may be obtained under the general jurisdiction of the Court of equity, where one of the parties is an infant (*f*).

Also of
plaintiff's
title.

An order under the statute may be obtained without affidavit by the defendant making the application, although the foreclosure action pray a discovery as to incumbrances, and the defendant will not be required to give the discovery by affidavit (*g*).

Order may be
obtained with-
out affidavit.

If the mortgagee claims interest during the interval between granting the rule to stay proceedings and the actual payments of the money, he must claim it at the time of discussing the rule (*h*).

Interest.

The mortgagor is discharged although he has not seen the mortgage money properly laid out (*i*).

(*v*) *Goodtitle v. Pope*, 7 T. R. 186.

(*x*) *Skinner v. Stacy*, 1 Wils. K. B. 80.

(*y*) *Goodtitle v. Bishop*, 1 Y. & J. 344.

(*z*) *Doe v. Louch*, 6 D. & L. 270.

(*a*) *Goodtitle v. Lonsdown*, 3 Anstr.

937.

(*b*) *Per Coleridge, J.*, in *Doe v. Louch*,
sup.

(*c*) *Huson v. Huson*, 4 Ves. 105.

(*d*) *Roe v. Wardle*, 3 Y. & C. Ex. 70.

(*e*) *Lushington v. Price*, 9 Sim. 651;

Taylor v. Coates, 3 Ha. 263.

(*f*) *Grane v. Mitchell*, 10 Sim. 484.

(*g*) *Reeves v. Glastonbury Canal Co.*,
14 Sim. 351.

(*h*) *Jordan v. Chowns*, 8 Dowl. 709.

(*i*) *Bourton v. Williams*, L. R. 5 Ch.
A. 657.

CHAP. XLIV.

Enlargement
of time.

Staying pro-
ceedings
under general
jurisdiction.

The time appointed for the payment of the mortgage money may be enlarged under the statute, in like manner as if the cause was brought to a hearing (*k*).

Where the circumstances of the case prevent the Court from making an order under the statute, it will sometimes make such a decree under its inherent powers as it might have made at the hearing, on payment into Court by the mortgagor, or a subsequent incumbrancer making the application, of a certain sum on a fixed day (*l*); but where no tender had been made, the Court refused to make any order, under its own powers, on the application of one of such defendants, staying proceedings on payment at a future day, it appearing that the application was made with intent to delay the mortgagee's remedies (*m*).

Where a mortgagee brought a suit for foreclosure against the mortgagors and subsequent incumbrancers, the Court stayed proceedings in the suit, under its inherent jurisdiction, on the application of the mortgagors, though they disputed the plaintiff's right to claim the benefit of his security, upon the terms of their paying into Court a sum sufficient to satisfy principal, interest and costs, and undertaking to make good any sums not covered by such payment as might be found due to the plaintiff on taking the accounts, and indemnifying him against any proceedings which might be taken by any party for redemption of the plaintiff's mortgage (*n*).

Debentures
to bearer.

iv.—Remedies of Debenture Holders generally.—It was said an action at common law could not be brought on a debenture (*o*), and it would seem that a bond or covenant for payment to bearer was at law absolutely void (*p*). Where a debenture, payable to bearer, had been stolen, an assignee for value from the thief could not recover (*q*). A debenture payable to bearer is not assignable at law (*q*), and (before the Judicature Act) could not have been sued on at law by the bearer or assignee (*p*). But a contract in this form, although by deed, is clearly valid

(*k*) *Wakerell v. Delight*, 9 Ves. 36.

(*l*) *Jones v. Tinney, Kay*, App. xlv.;
Challie v. Gwynne, Kay, App. xlv.

(*m*) *Paynter v. Carew, Kay*, App.
xxxvi.

(*n*) *France v. Cowper*, W. N. (1871)
76.

(*o*) *Pontet v. Basingstoke Canal Co.*,
3 Bing. N. C. 433; *Hopkins v. Worcester*,
&c. *Canal Proprietors*, L. R. 6 Eq. 437,

445. But see *Bowen v. Brecon Rail. Co.*,
L. R. 3 Eq. 541; see *East Union, &c. Co.*
v. Hart, 8 Exch. 116; and *Re Panama*,
&c. *Royal Mail Co.*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 318,
323.

(*p*) *Re Blakely Ordnances, &c. Co.*,
L. R. 3 Ch. 154, 158, 159.

(*q*) *Crouch v. Credit Foncier*, L. R. 8
Q. B. 374.

in equity, and the assignee of such a debenture, whether by writing or mere delivery, may prove upon the winding up of the company in his own name (*r*). CHAP. XLIV.

Where bonds were issued payable to bearer, and the property of the company was vested in trustees to secure the bonds with interest, but the covenants were made with the trustees only, the bondholders were held not to be creditors of the company; they could only put the trustees in motion (*s*); otherwise where the covenants were made directly with the bondholders by the company (*t*).

Action on covenant by trustees for debenture holders.

A debenture holder cannot obtain personal judgment against the company for a larger amount than is owing to himself, nor for that amount, as he would thus be placed in a better position than his co-debenture holders, but he may sue on behalf of himself and all the other debenture holders, and obtain a declaration that the debenture holders are entitled to stand in the position of judgment creditors for principal and interest due, and to have a receiver appointed of property not charged by the debentures (*u*).

What may be recovered by debenture holder in action on covenant.

A mortgagee of property belonging to a company or a debenture holder may, at any time before the commencement of the winding-up of the company, bring an action to enforce payment of the mortgage moneys or realize his securities (*x*), subject to the protection from foreclosure or sale of the undertaking of a railway or other public company (*y*), or he may generally present a petition to wind up the company (*z*).

Enforcing security before winding up.

So, also, such mortgagee or a debenture holder may apply for the appointment of a receiver of the profits of the undertaking, notwithstanding that the special Act incorporating the company gives its mortgagees the right to apply to the justices of the peace to appoint a receiver in a summary way (*a*).

Receiver.

A debenture holder does not, by suing on behalf of himself and all other debenture holders, empower himself to represent

Debenture holder cannot bind others by agreement.

(*r*) *Re Blakely Ordnance, &c. Co.*, L. R. 3 Ch. A. 154; *Re Natal Investment Co.*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 355; *London Joint Stock Bank v. Simmons*, (1892) A. C. 201; *Bentinck v. London Joint Stock Bank*, (1893) 2 Ch. 120. As to the question how far debentures to bearer may be regarded as negotiable instruments, see *ante*, p. 482.

(*s*) *Re Uruguay, &c. Rail. Co.*, 11 Ch. D. 372.

(*t*) *Re Olathe Silver Mining Co.*, 27 Ch. D. 278.

(*u*) *Hope v. Croydon & Norwood Tramways Co.*, 34 Ch. D. 730.

(*x*) *Re Panama, &c. Royal Mail Co.*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 318.

(*y*) See *post*, p. 1001.

(*z*) See *post*, p. 1122.

(*a*) *Fripp v. Chard Rail. Co.*, 11 H.L. 241; see *Drewry v. Burney*, 3 Russ. 94. And see *post*, p. 933 *et seq.*

CHAP. XLIV.

the other debenture holders for the purpose of binding them by any agreement with respect to the subject-matter of the action (b).

Sale.

Where payment of debentures is secured by a covering trust deed containing a power of sale exercisable by the trustees on the request of the majority in number and value of the debenture holders, no debenture holder can enforce a sale without the consent of such majority (c).

The question as to the enforcement by a mortgagee of his remedies under his security, after an order for winding-up the company, will be considered in a subsequent chapter (d).

(b) *Securities, &c. Investment Co. v. Brighton Alhambra*, W. N. (1893) 15. 214, C. A.

(c) *Kempe v. Jones*, W. N. (1884)

(d) *Post*, Chap. LIII. pp. 1117 *et seq.*

CHAPTER XLV.

OF POWERS OF SALE.

SECTION I.

OF A MORTGAGEE'S RIGHT OF SALE UNDER EXPRESS AND STATUTORY POWERS.

i.—Of Express Powers of, and Trusts for Sale in Mortgages.—According to the present practice, mortgagees generally have a right to sell the mortgaged property on default of payment at the time limited by the mortgage deed. Formerly, in order to give this right, it was necessary that express powers conferring the right should have been inserted in the deed, but, of late years, it has become usual to omit such powers in reliance on the powers of sale conferred on mortgagees by recent statutes.

This right to sell may be expressly conferred on a mortgagee either by means of a trust for re-conveyance on payment of the mortgage moneys on the day appointed, and, in default of such payment, for sale; or by means of an ordinary mortgage, to which a power of sale is attached among other provisions intended to arm the mortgagee with special remedies without the necessity of an application to the Court.

Either a trust or power of sale will enable the mortgagee to sell the estate at any time after default, without notice to the mortgagor, unless the terms of the security make the trust or power exerciseable only upon such notice (a).

The modes of framing a trust for sale by way of security are various. In some instances, the estate is limited to the use of the mortgagee for a term of years, with the usual proviso for redemption, and subject thereto, to the use of trustees in fee upon trust to sell. In other instances, it is limited at once to trustees in fee in trust to sell if the money is not paid at a given

(a) *Hawkins v. Ramsbottom*, 1 Pri. 138.

CHAP. XLV.

day, and the proviso for redemption is also inserted. In other instances, it is limited to the mortgagee in fee upon trust to sell if the money is not paid as in the preceding instance (b). Of these forms the last is to be preferred, as the necessity for the intervention of third persons may cause delay and inconvenience. In a case where the right to sell was vested in a trustee, the Court granted an injunction to restrain the sale where it would not have restrained the mortgagee himself, on the ground that it was the duty of the trustee to attend equally to the interests of both parties (c). A security by way of trust for sale is not a "trust" for the mortgagor, but a mortgage, within the meaning and for the purposes of the Statute of Limitations (d).

The most usual and advisable mode of giving to a mortgagee a right to sell, is to limit the property to him in fee, or for the interest intended to be assured, with the usual proviso for redemption, and subsequently to insert in the instrument a declaration that if default is made in payment on the day appointed, it shall be lawful for the mortgagee, his executors, administrators, or assigns, to sell the property. Formerly, a proviso was sometimes added that such power of sale should not prejudice the right of foreclosure; but this is superfluous and has become obsolete. The power of sale in a mortgage is an additional remedy in the hands of the mortgagee, and does not interfere with his right to foreclose (e). On breach of the proviso for redemption the limitations to the mortgagee bestow on him an absolute estate; and the power enables him, after giving such notice (if any) as is provided by the terms of the mortgage, to sell the property while leaving open to him the option, in the meantime, of bringing his action to foreclose.

ii.—Of Powers of Sale under Lord Cranworth's Act.—By sect. 11 of this statute (f), powers of sale are conferred on mortgagees unless such powers are negatived by express declaration in the mortgage deed, and may be exercised in manner provided by sects. 12 to 16 inclusive, subject to any variations or limitations of the powers contained in the deed.

(b) Coote on Mortgages, Vol. I. p. 271.

(c) *Anon.*, 6 Madd. 10. See also *Ord v. Noel*, 5 Madd. 438.

(d) *Kirkwood v. Thompson*, 2 De G. J. & S. 617; *Locking v. Parker*, L. R. 8

Ch. A. 30; *Re Alison, Johnson v. Mounsey*, 11 Ch. D. 284, C. A.; *Warner v. Jacob*, 20 Ch. D. 220.

(e) *Exp. Hodgson*, 1 Gl. & J. 12; *Exp. Davis, Re Hayley*, 3 D. & C. 504. (f) 23 & 24 Vict. c. 145.

By sect. 11, it is enacted as follows :—

CHAP. XLV.

“Where any principal money is secured or charged by deed on any hereditaments of any tenure, the person to whom such money shall be for the time being payable, his executors, administrators, and assigns, shall, at any time after the expiration of one year from the time when such principal money shall have become payable according to the terms of the deed, or after any interest on such principal money shall have been in arrear for six months, or after any omission to pay any premium on any insurance which by the terms of the deed ought to be paid by the person entitled to the property subject to the charge, have the following powers to the same extent (but no more) as if they had been in terms conferred by the person creating the charge; namely,—

Powers
incident to
mortgages.

“1st. A power to sell, or concur with any other person in selling, the whole or any part of the property by public auction or private contract, subject to any reasonable conditions he may think fit to make, and to rescind or vary contracts for sale, or buy in and resell the property from time to time in like manner” (g).

Sect. 12 of this Act relates to receipts for purchase-money. Sect. 13 relates to the notice to be given before sale and the relief of a purchaser from inquiry. Sect. 14 provides for the application of the purchase-money. Sect. 15 gives power to convey property sold. Sect. 16 empowers the owner of the charge to call for the legal estate and title deeds. These sections will be further considered in the following pages of this chapter.

Provisions as
to exercise of
powers.

By sect. 24, it is enacted that—

“The powers and provisions contained in this part of this Act relate only to mortgages and charges made to secure money advanced or to be advanced by way of loan, or to secure an existing or a future debt.”

This part to
relate to
charges by
way of mort-
gage only.

The powers of sale conferred on mortgagees by this Act were in many respects less favourable to mortgagees than the express powers usually inserted in mortgage deeds, and were accordingly seldom relied on in practice.

Sects. 11 to 30, inclusive, of Lord Cranworth's Act are repealed by the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (h), but not so as to affect the validity or invalidity, or any operation, effect, or consequence of any instrument executed or made, or of anything done or suffered before the commencement of the

(g) The other powers conferred by this section relate to insurance against fire, as to which see *ante*, p. 138, and appointment of a receiver, as to which see *post*, p. 919.

(h) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 71, and Sched. ii. pt. 3. The remainder of the Act is repealed by the statute 45 & 46 Vict. c. 38, s. 61.

CHAP. XLV.

repealing Act. The powers of sale given by Lord Cranworth's Act in cases of mortgages made prior to 1882 are thus not affected by the repeal of the Act, but are preserved to their full extent (i).

iii.—Of Powers of Sale under the Conveyancing, &c. Act, 1881.

—By the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act it is enacted as follows:—

Power of sale incident to estate and interest of mortgagees.

Sect. 19.—“(1.) A mortgagee, where the mortgage is made by deed, shall, by virtue of this Act, have the following powers, to the like extent as if they had been in terms conferred by the mortgage deed, but not further, (namely):

“(i.) A power, when the mortgage money has become due, to sell, or to concur with any other person in selling, the mortgaged property, or any part thereof, either subject to prior charges, or not, and either together or in lots, by public auction or by private contract, subject to such conditions respecting title, or evidence of title, or other matter, as he (the mortgagee) thinks fit, with power to vary any contract for sale, and to buy in at an auction, or to rescind any contract for sale, and to resell, without being answerable for any loss occasioned thereby; and (j)

“(2.) The provisions of this Act relating to the foregoing powers, comprised either in this section, or in any subsequent section regulating the exercise of those powers, may be varied or extended by the mortgage deed, and, as so varied or extended, shall, as far as may be, operate in the like manner and with all the like incidents, effects, and consequences, as if such variations or extensions were contained in this Act.

“(3.) This section applies only if and as far as a contrary intention is not expressed in the mortgage deed, and shall have effect subject to the terms of the mortgage deed and to the provisions therein contained.

“(4.) This section applies only where the mortgage deed is executed after the commencement of this Act.”

The statutory powers of mortgagees under this Act only apply to mortgages made by deed. No power of sale under this Act therefore attaches to an equitable mortgage by mere deposit of deeds; and if such deposit is accompanied by a memorandum of charge not under seal, it is still necessary, in order that the mortgagee should have power to sell, that such power should be given to him expressly or by implication. A power of sale will apparently be implied, in the case of an agree-

(i) See *Re Solomon and Meagher's Contract*, 40 Ch. D. 508.

(j) The remaining clauses of this sub-section refer to insurance against fire, as to which see *ante*, p. 138; the

appointment of a receiver, as to which see *post*, p. 919; and the right of a mortgagee in possession to fell timber, as to which see *ante*, p. 805.

ment not under seal, to execute a legal mortgage with power of sale (*k*). CHAP. XLV.

The statutory power of sale is not exerciseable by debenture holders (*l*). Debentures.

No power of sale by virtue of this Act attaches to a mortgage of copyholds made by surrender, which is effectual not by deed but by entry on the court rolls; but if there is an antecedent deed of covenant to surrender, or an accompanying deed of covenants for payment, &c., the statutory power may be imported into that deed by inserting therein a clause expressly charging the copyholds with the mortgage moneys.

On comparison of the provisions of Lord Cranworth's Act with those of the Conveyancing Act, 1881, with regard to powers of sale, it will be observed that the language of the latter Act is much more full and elaborate than that of the former, and also follows more closely the forms usually adopted by conveyancers in framing express powers of sale in mortgages. Moreover, the conditions imposed by the former Act upon the exercise of the powers thereby conferred were less favourable to mortgagees than the express powers usually inserted in mortgages; whereas the terms of the present statutory powers are at least as favourable to mortgagees, and, in some respects, more favourable than such express powers. The result has been that, since 1882, express powers of sale have been generally omitted from mortgage deeds, the statutory powers being relied upon, with such modifications, as regards regulation of the exercise of the power, as may be necessary having regard to the terms of the contract.

Comparison of Lord Cranworth's Act and Conveyancing, &c. Act, 1881, as regards powers of sale.

The insertion of express powers of sale will still be necessary in mortgages of property situated in British colonies or dependencies, where powers of sale are not impliedly imported into such securities by virtue of any statute or otherwise. Property in British colonies.

By sect. 21 of the Act of 1881, it is enacted that—

“(5.) The power of sale conferred by the Act shall not affect the right of foreclosure.” Foreclosure.

iv.—Powers of Sale under the Land Transfer Act, 1875.

In the case of a charge registered under this Act (*m*) it is enacted as follows :—

Sect. 27. “Subject to any entry to the contrary on the register, Remedy of the registered proprietor of a registered charge with a power of proprietor of

(*k*) *Lister v. Turner*, 5 Ha. 281.

(*m*) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 87. See *ante*,

(*l*) *Blaker v. Herts and Essex Water-works Co.*, 41 Ch. D. 399. p. 38.

CHAP. XLV.
charge with
power of sale.

sale may, at any time after the expiration of the appointed time, sell and transfer the land on which he has a registered charge or any part thereof, in the same manner as if he were the registered proprietor of such land.

By sect. 68 of this Act it is enacted as follows:—

Trustees, &c.,
may sell by
medium of
registry.

“Any person holding land on trust for sale, and any trustee, mortgagee, or other person having a power of selling land, may authorize the purchaser to make an application to be registered as first proprietor, with any title which a proprietor is authorized to be registered with under this Act, and may consent to the performance of the contract being conditional on his being so registered, or may himself apply to be registered as such proprietor, with the consent of the persons, if any, whose consent is required to the exercise by the applicant of his trust or power of sale; and the amount of all costs, charges, and expenses, properly incurred by such person in or about such application, shall in all cases be ascertained and declared by the registrar, and shall be deemed to be costs, charges, and expenses properly incurred by such person in the execution of his trust or in pursuance of his power; and such person may retain or reimburse the same to himself out of any money coming to him under the trust or power; and he shall not be liable to any account in equity in respect thereof.”

Statutory
powers
attaching to
mortgage
deeds do not
apply to
registered
charges.

The powers of sale given to mortgagees by this Act are confined to cases of registered charges with a power of sale. Inasmuch as the statutory powers of sale given either by Lord Cranworth's Act or by the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, only arise where the mortgage is made by deed, it is clear that unless a charge is expressly registered with a power of sale, the mortgagee will have no such power.

It has been suggested that where a mortgagee has a charge registered with power of sale, the power of sale contained in the form of mortgage given in the schedule to this Act, “C. D. shall have power to sell on default of payment of the principal or interest, or any part thereof respectively,” was intended to operate under Lord Cranworth's Act, the statute in force when the Land Transfer Act was passed (s).

SECTION II.

OF THE EXERCISE OF POWERS OF SALE BY MORTGAGEES.

Proper mode
of limiting
power.

i.—By what Persons Powers of Sale are exerciseable.—An express power of sale in a mortgage deed is properly limited to

(s) See Coote on Mortgages (5th ed.) 285.

the executors and administrators of the mortgagee, for the heirs are in no way interested in the money. The word "assigns" should never be omitted (*t*).

CHAP. XLV.

The power or trust for sale can be exercised by the mortgagee, or by the trustee for sale on behalf of the mortgagee, although the right to redeem is barred by adverse possession; for if he does not sell under his power, he may not be able to prove that there had been no acknowledgment; but a mortgagee so selling is nevertheless deemed to be the absolute owner of the estate so as to entitle him to the whole of the proceeds of sale (*u*).

Mortgagee with absolute possessory title.

If a borrower agrees to execute a legal mortgage, a power of sale contained in such mortgage will be valid as against a person who has purchased the equity of redemption from the mortgagor before he executed the mortgage deed pursuant to the agreement (*x*).

Equitable mortgagee under agreement for mortgage.

The power of sale may be exercised by the transferee of a mortgage, although the mortgagor joined in the transfer, and the amount of the debt and the time of payment were changed (*y*).

Transferee of mortgage.

A power of sale, given in a mortgage deed, will not, it seems, be implied in a subsequent deed executed before 1882, by which the interest then due is turned into principal and the total amount charged afresh on the premises (*z*). Such a deed, however, would clearly be a mortgage within the definition of that expression contained in the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (*a*).

In a sub-mortgage it is usual expressly to pass to the sub-mortgagee the benefit of the power of sale contained or implied by statute in the original mortgage. Having regard, however, to the definition of property in the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (*a*), as including any debt and any thing in action and any other "right or interest," it would seem that the mortgaged property would comprise the original mortgage debt and all rights incident thereto, including among such rights the right to enforce and exercise all securities, powers and remedies given by the mortgage, whether expressly mentioned or not. Moreover, the sub-mortgagee being by virtue of the assignment

Sub-mortgagee.

(*t*) See *infra*, p. 890.

(*u*) *Re Alison, Johnson v. Mounsey*,
11 Ch. D. 284, C. A.

(*x*) *Leigh v. Lloyd*, 35 Beav. 455.

(*y*) *Young v. Roberts*, 15 Beav. 558;
Boyd v. Petrie, L. R. 7 Ch. A. 385.

(*z*) *Curling v. Shuttleworth*, 6 Bing.
121.

(*a*) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 2 (*i*).

CHAP. XLV.

of the debt the "person for the time being entitled to receive and give discharges for the purchase-money" clearly appears to be the proper person to exercise the statutory power. Accordingly it would seem that if the sub-mortgagor makes default, the sub-mortgagee by virtue of the statutory power of sale implied in his own security may sell the original debt, thereby extinguishing the sub-mortgagor's right of redemption therein and the benefit of the original security, so as in effect to make the purchaser a transferee of the original mortgage. But if the original mortgagor should also have made default, the sub-mortgagee will also be entitled to put in force the power of sale incident to the original mortgage by selling the property comprised in that mortgage, so as to extinguish the mortgagor's equity of redemption though he was not a party to the sub-mortgage.

It would seem that if a mortgagee sub-mortgages, transferring to the sub-mortgagee the benefit of a power of sale contained in the original mortgage, the power will no longer be exercisable by the original mortgagee (*b*).

Who may sell under Lord Cranworth's Act.

The powers of sale conferred by Lord Cranworth's Act are exercisable only by the holder of a mortgage or charge created by deed to secure a present or future loan or debt (*c*).

Who may sell under Conveyancing, &c. Act, 1881.

The powers conferred by the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, are exercisable by any mortgagee whose security is created by deed, that is to say, the holder of "any charge on any property for securing money or money's worth," including "any person from time to time deriving title under the mortgagee" (*d*).

Equitable charge under seal.

The statutory powers of sale for the time being in force, will accordingly be exercisable by the holder of a merely equitable charge created by an instrument under seal (*e*).

Bill of sale.

The statutory powers of sale are not incorporated by the form given in the schedule to the Bills of Sale Act, 1882 (*f*), and are not exercisable by the holder of a bill of sale of chattels.

Debentures.

These powers do not apply in the case of debentures in the ordinary form not containing an express power of sale given by a company (*g*).

(*b*) *Cruse v. Nowell*, 2 Jur. N. S. 536.

(*c*) See *ante*, p. 883.

(*d*) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 2 (vi.).

(*e*) *Re Solomon and Meagher's Contract*, 40 Ch. D. 508.

(*f*) See *ante*, pp. 236, 237.

(*g*) *Blaker v. Herts Waterworks Co.*, 41 Ch. D. 399; *Marshall v. South Staffordshire Tramways Co.*, (1896) 2 Ch. 36, at p. 53, C. A.

Lord Cranworth's Act did not enable a mortgagee of personalty to sell under the powers conferred by that Act which applied only to hereditaments. The powers of sale conferred by the present Act apply to any mortgaged "property," including in that expression "real and personal property and any estate or interest in any property, real or personal, and any debt, and any thing in action, and any other right or interest" (h).

CHAP. XLV.

Over what property the statutory powers extend.

Where moneys are advanced by several mortgagees, with an express proviso that the moneys belong to them on a joint account, the survivors or survivor can sell under the power (i). And in the case of a mortgage made since 1881, the same rule would apply, though no such proviso is inserted in the deed (k).

Joint mortgagees.

Formerly, however, in the absence of a joint account clause, the survivors or survivor of several mortgagees could not effectually exercise the power of sale, even though the proceeds of sale were directed to be paid to them or the survivors or survivor of them (l).

It would seem clear that the rule would be different in the case of trustees selling under their trust where the security, made prior to 1882, is by way of trust for sale.

Trustees for sale.

Where a testator devised all his real estate to three trustees, their executors, administrators, and assigns, with power to sell or mortgage, lease, or otherwise manage the estate as if the testator were living, the Court of Exchequer appears to have considered the trustees to have been invested with a trust, and not a power, and that a sale could be made by a sole continuing trustee (one having disclaimed, and the other died), whatever might be the doubt in cases of powers (m).

As regards trusts for sale created since 1881, no question arises on this point, for by the Trustee Act, 1893 (n), s. 22 (1), it is enacted that:—

Rule since 1882.

"Where a power or trust is given to or vested in two or more trustees jointly, then unless the contrary is expressed in the instrument, if any, creating the power or trust, the same may be exercised or performed by the survivors or survivor of them for the time being."

Power of two or more trustees.

(h) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 2 (i).

(i) *Hinde v. Poole*, 1 K. & J. 383.

(k) See 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 61, set out ante, p. 534.

(l) *Townsend v. Wilson*, 1 B. & Ald. 608; *Hall v. Deves*, Jac. 189.

(m) *Watson v. Pearson*, 18 L. J. Exch. 46. See *Jones v. Price*, 11 Sim.

557; *Warburton v. Sandys*, 14 Sim. 622; *Lane v. Debenham*, 11 Ha. 188; *Re Cooke's Contract*, 4 Ch. D. 464 (all these are decisions arising under wills).

(n) 56 & 57 Vict. c. 63, re-enacting 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 38, so far as it relates to trustees.

CHAP. XLV.

Several acts
of mort-
gagees.

First and
second
mortgagees.
Assigns of
mortgagee.

Where a mortgage is made to several mortgagees to secure distinct sums advanced by them, a special clause should be inserted in the mortgage deed as to the persons by whom the powers of sale, whether express or statutory, may be exercised; otherwise, it would seem that all the mortgagees and the legal personal representatives of such as should be dead must concur in exercising the powers (o).

Where first and second mortgagees alike have powers of sale and of giving receipts, both may concur in selling (p).

It is important that an express power of sale in a mortgage deed should be so framed as to be reserved in terms to the assigns of the person or persons in whom the power is vested, so as to enable an assignee of the mortgage effectually to exercise the power (q). For, otherwise, he is unable to exercise the power, and the circumstance that the assigns are empowered to give a receipt to a purchaser has been held, according to the strict construction that has been applied to these powers, not to extend the power of sale to them (r).

Where, in a mortgage made prior to 1882 (s), the power of sale is not expressly given to assigns, it has been repeatedly held that the devisee of a mortgagee, or of the survivor of several mortgagees, cannot exercise the power and make a good title to a purchaser; or, in other words, that the power cannot be delegated by will, unless the assigns are mentioned in the power itself (t).

In the case of *Osborne to Rowlett* (u), Sir G. Jessel, M. R., after reviewing the authorities, and pointing out that the above rule, as laid down in *Cooke v. Crawford* (x), and other cases, had been sometimes disapproved of, even when followed, refused to be bound by the rule; but in the subsequent case of *Re Morton and Hellett* (y), James and Baggallay, L. JJ., expressed their dissent from this view. This rule must, therefore, be regarded

(o) See Wolst. and Brinton, Conv. and S. L. Acts, &c. (7th ed.) p. 66. And see *Blaker v. Herts and Essex Waterworks Co.*, 41 Ch. D. 399.

(p) *M'Carogher v. Whieldon*, 34 Beav. 107; *Gutteridge v. Fletcher*, 13 W. R. 540; *Re Cooper's Contract*, 4 Ch. D. 802.

(q) *Titley v. Wolstenholme*, 7 Beav. 425; *Hall v. May*, 3 K. & J. 685; *Ocklinton v. Heap*, 1 De G. & S. 640; *Ashton v. Wood*, 3 Sm. & G. 436. See Sug. Pow. 133.

(r) *Bradford v. Belfield*, 2 Sim. 264

(s) See as to the devolution of mortgage estates, *ante*, pp. 832 *et seq.*

(t) *Cooke v. Crawford*, 13 Sim. 91; *Bradford v. Belfield*, 2 Sim. 264; *Cole v. Wade*, 16 Ves. 27; *Wilson v. Bennett*, 5 De G. & S. 475; *Macdonald v. Walker*, 14 Beav. 556; *Stevens v. Austen*, 3 E. & E. 685; *Re Burtt*, 1 Drew. 319. See Jarm. Wills (5th ed.), Vol. I. pp. 664 *et seq.*

(u) 13 Ch. D. 774.

(x) 13 Sim. 91.

(y) 15 Ch. D. 145, C. A. See *Re Rumney and Smith*, W. N. (1897) 42.

as still in force as regards express powers of sale in mortgages. As regards sales under statutory powers, whether under Lord Cranworth's Act or the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, no question of this kind can arise; for such powers are exerciseable under the former Act "by the person to whom the principal money secured shall for the time being be payable, his executors, administrators, and assigns"; and by the latter Act it is enacted:—

Sect. 21 (4.) "The power of sale conferred by this Act may be exercised by any person for the time being entitled to receive and give a discharge for the mortgage money."

Where the power was to the mortgagee, his heirs, executors, administrators, and assigns, and the mortgage was assigned and the legal estate conveyed by the heir of the assignee to a trustee for the administrator of the assignee, it was held that the latter could sell; the legal representatives of an "assign" are "assigns" (z).

Having regard to the conflict of authority as to the persons by whom powers of sale are to be deemed to be exerciseable, the proper course in framing an express power of sale is to give the power to the mortgagee, his executors, administrators, or assigns, or where there are several mortgages, to the mortgagees, or the survivors or survivor of them, or the executors or administrators of such survivor, their or his assigns, and to declare that any person or persons entitled to give a discharge for the mortgage money may exercise the power of sale; this provides in the most convenient manner for the devolution of the power, where the legal and equitable title to the money becomes separated; as, for instance, when the money is settled, as well as where the title to the money and the legal estate in the mortgaged property become vested in different persons (a).

Mode of framing express powers of sale in mortgages.

It seems clear on principle, though the precise point does not appear to be covered by judicial decision, that a power of sale, whether expressly given by a mortgage or implied by statute, may be exercised by attorney of the mortgagee, whether he acts under a special power of attorney stating the mortgagee's intention to enforce his security by sale, and merely delegating to the attorney the power to do ministerial acts as regards conduct-

Sale by agent of mortgagee under power of attorney.

(z) *Salway v. Strawbridge*, 7 De G. M. & G. 594.

(a) *Dav. Conv.* Vol. II., pt. ii., p. 676.

CHAP. XLV.

ing the sale, and conveying the property to a purchaser (b), or whether he acts under a power of attorney in general terms, authorizing him to sell and transfer property held by his principal as mortgagee or otherwise, and to execute any deeds necessary for that purpose (c). No doubt, the exercise of a mortgagee's power of sale is not a purely ministerial act, but involves to some extent personal discretion in deciding to enforce the security by this particular remedy, as well as in choosing the time for sale, fixing the reserve price, and in respect of other matters relating to the conduct of the sale. But, as will be seen presently, the power of sale is deemed to be given to the mortgagee for his own benefit, and in no sense as a trustee for sale, except as regards surplus proceeds of sale (d), and it is well settled that a person having an absolute power may exercise it by attorney (e); and the mortgagor is in no way prejudiced by the delegation of the power, as if it should be improperly exercised, the mortgagee acting by his attorney will be liable in damages no less than if he had himself sold under the power of sale (f).

It is a general rule of English law that an agent in order to bind by deed, must have authority by deed (g); and it has been held that a mere authority in writing not under seal though sufficient to enable the attorney to sell lands will not enable him to convey them to a purchaser (h).

Concurrence
of mortgagor
in sale not
necessary.

Doubts were formerly entertained of the validity of an exercise of these powers of sale without the concurrence of the mortgagor, or the sanction of the Court of Chancery (i); but it is now well established, that a mortgagee can make a perfect title to the purchaser without the concurrence of the mortgagor (k).

The concurrence of the mortgagor cannot be required by a purchaser, although there be an express covenant on his part to join in the sale, and an action brought by a purchaser to

(b) See *Offen v. Harman*, 29 L. J. Ch. 307; *Rowley v. Adams*, 14 Beav. 130.

(c) See as to the distinction between general and special powers of attorney, Byth. & Jarm. Conv. 4th ed. vol. iv. pp. 856 *et seq.*

(d) See *infra*, p. 901.

(e) *Combe's Case*, 9 Rep. 75. See also *White v. Wilson*, 1 Drew. 304.

(f) See Story on Agency, ss. 452 *et seq.*

(g) *Berkeley v. Haidy*, 5 B. & C. 355.

(h) *Hesse v. Bryant*, 2 Jur. N. S. 922.

(i) 1 Pow. on Mtg. 14, ed. 4; *Croft v. Powell*, Com. Rep. 603.

(k) *Clay v. Sharpe*, 18 Ves. 346, n.; *Corder v. Morgan*, 18 Ves. 344; *Alexander v. Crosbie*, 1 J. & L. 666, 670. See *Gutteridge v. Fletcher*, 12 L. T. N. S. 830.

compel the mortgagor to concur in the conveyance will be dismissed with costs; and if a purchaser refuses to complete by reason of the mortgagor not concurring, specific performance will be decreed against him *with costs*.

CHAP. XLV.

But in a case where a legal mortgage with a power of sale was created by an administrator in favour of a person who held the title deeds by way of deposit from the deceased, to secure as well the sum due from the deceased as a further sum advanced to the administrator, on a bill filed by the mortgagee against the purchaser for specific performance of the contract for sale, Sir J. Knight-Bruce, V.-C., held that in the absence of the administrator and *cestuis que trust*, he could not compel the purchaser to accept the title (*l*).

ii.—In what Events Powers of Sale become exercisable—
Notice—Protection of Purchasers.—Where a condition is imposed on the mortgagee before he can exercise his power of sale, he will be restrained if the condition is not performed by him (*m*).

Conditions must be observed.

According to the usual practice in framing express powers of sale, the power of sale was made exercisable at any time or times after the day fixed by the mortgage deed for payment of the principal, and accordingly was not exercisable before that date. By the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, s. 19, the power is exercisable "when the mortgage money has become *due*," by which is presumably meant payable by the terms of the mortgage deed. Under Lord Cranworth's Act, a mortgagee could not sell until after the expiration of one year from the time when the principal had become payable according to the terms of the deed, or unless interest was in arrear for six months or there had been a breach of a covenant to insure against fire. Other conditions precedent to the exercise of the power of sale are imposed both by the terms of express powers in the usual form and by the statutory provisions giving powers of sale to mortgagees.

Power not exercisable till default.

It is usual in express powers to stipulate (amongst other conditions) that the power of sale shall not be exercised until after the expiration of a certain notice, or unless interest has fallen into arrear for a certain time (usually three calendar months).

Usual conditions in express powers.

(*l*) *Sanders v. Richards*, 2 Coll. 568.

(*m*) *Gill v. Newton*, 12 Jur. N. S. 920.

CHAP. XLV.

Power to sell
without notice
deemed
oppressive.

Conditions
under Lord
Cranworth's
Act.

Conv., &c.
Act, 1881,
s. 20.

Regulation of
exercise of
power of
sale.

Conditional
contract for
sale before
expiration of
notice.

To whom
notice must
be given.

It has been held that a power to sell without notice is of an oppressive character in a mortgage of a reversionary interest by a person of necessitous circumstances (*n*); and also in a mortgage by a client to his solicitor unless it is clearly explained to the mortgagor that the power was not in the usual form (*o*).

By Lord Cranworth's Act, no sale could be effected under the statutory power even, as it would seem, though interest was in arrear and there had been a breach of covenant to insure, until after six months' notice in writing of the intention to sell had been given to the mortgagor or affixed on some conspicuous part of the mortgaged property (*p*).

With regard to notice of sale, the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, enacts as follows:—

Sect. 20. "A mortgagee shall not exercise the power of sale conferred by this Act unless and until—

- (i.) Notice requiring payment of the mortgage money has been served on the mortgagor or one of several mortgagors, and default has been made in payment of the mortgage money, or of part thereof, for three months after such service; or
- (ii.) Some interest under the mortgage is in arrear and unpaid for two months after becoming due; or
- (iii.) There has been a breach of some provision contained in the mortgage deed or in this Act, and on the part of the mortgagor, or of some person concurring in making the mortgage, to be observed or performed, other than and besides a covenant for payment of the mortgage money or interest thereon."

A mortgagee with a power of sale may, before the expiration of the notice, enter into a conditional agreement to sell the property, if not redeemed meanwhile (*q*).

The notice required by the power of sale need only be given to the mortgagor or those claiming under him, and need not be given to persons who claim adversely to the mortgagor, but to whose title the mortgage is paramount, even though such persons may have a right to redeem, and to require an account of the proceeds of the sale (*r*). Where the notice was to be given to the mortgagor or his assigns, a second mortgagee to whom no notice was given was held entitled to damages (*s*).

(*n*) *Miller v. Cook*, L. R. 10 Eq. 641.

(*o*) *Cockburn v. Edwards*, 18 Ch. D. 449, C. A. But see *Pooley's Trustees v. Whetham*, 33 Ch. D. 111, where under special circumstances a power to sell without notice was upheld. See *ante*,

pp. 611, 612.

(*p*) 23 & 24 Vict. c. 145, s. 13.

(*q*) *Major v. Ward*, 5 Ha. 598.

(*r*) *Ibid.* See *Hawkins v. Ramsbottom*, 1 Pri. 138.

(*s*) *Hoole v. Smith*, 17 Ch. D. 434.

A notice served on the infant heir and his guardian was held sufficient (t). And it is unnecessary to provide that the notice shall be valid notwithstanding the disability of the person on whom it is served (u). CHAP. XLV.
When mort-
gagor is under
disability.

If there be no person in existence to whom, under the terms of the power, notice should be given, the power cannot be exercised (x).

Where the notice is to be given under the hand of the mortgagee, it seems doubtful whether a letter of the solicitor is enough (y). Notice by
solicitor.

Where a power of sale was vested in a trustee, an injunction was granted against proceeding to a sale, on the ground that reasonable notice of the intended sale had not been given to the mortgagor (z), the trustee being bound to attend to the interests of both parties, though, as it would seem, the deed contained no provisions as to notice before exercising the power. Trustee for
sale bound to
give notice.

Where an express power of sale is exerciseable upon default after notice to pay, without specifying any period during which the notice is to run, the mortgagor will be entitled to reasonable notice (a). No period of
notice
specified.

Where the parties entitled to the exercise of the power have waived the default, a fresh notice is necessary (b). Fresh notice
after waiver.

The notice prescribed in express powers is generally of six calendar months. A month in law is, *prima facie*, a lunar month, or twenty-eight days (c). But in mortgage transactions, a month means a calendar month. It has been so decided in case of a foreclosure (d), and was so considered (though, under the circumstances, it was not necessary to decide the point), upon a covenant in a mortgage to pay the money at the end of six months (e); and the same rule would, apparently, be applied to any other computation of time relating to mortgages. The practice of conveyancers, however, is to specify calendar months What length
of notice
usually to be
given under
express
powers.

(t) *Tracy v. Lawrence*, 2 Drew. 403; *Woods v. Hyde*, 10 W. R. 339; *Reeves v. Baker*, 18 Beav. 372; 18 Jur. 588.

(u) *Ibid.*; *Robertson v. Lockie*, 16 L. J. Ch. 379, a case of insanity.

(x) *Parkinson v. Hanbury*, L. R. 2 H. L. 1.

(y) 1 Sug. Pow. 253, ed. 7.

(z) *Anon.*, 6 Madd. 10.

(a) *Massey v. Sladen*, L. R. 4 Ex. 13.

(b) *Tomney v. White*, 3 H. L. C. 49.

(c) 1 Stephen's Comm. 281, ed. 8.
See *Parkinson v. Hanbury*, L. R. 2

H. L. 1; *Mellers v. Brown*, 33 L. J. Ch. 97. The statute 52 & 53 Vict. c. 63 (repealing but virtually re-enacting the provisions of the statute 13 & 14 Vict. c. 21, s. 4), enacts (sect. 3) that in every Act passed after the year 1850, the word "month" shall mean calendar month.

(d) *Anon.*, Barn. Ch. R. 324; *Hutton v. Brown*, W. N. (1881) 116.

(e) *Dyke v. Sweeting*, Willes, 585, 588.

CHAP. XLV.

as well in mortgages as in other instruments. In considering what is the length of a calendar month, it is sufficient, when the months are broken, whatever may be the length of either, to go from one day in one month to the corresponding day in the other, exclusive of the day of giving notice (*f*).

A six months' notice, served after its date, was held substantially sufficient, where the sale did not take place till after six months from the time of service (*g*); and the fact that the agreement for sale is made before the expiration of the notice is immaterial if the agreement is conditional on non-redemption in the meantime (*h*).

Where notice should be given.

Where the notice is to be left at the last place of abode, fixing it on the door of the house which answers that description is sufficient (*h*).

Where the proviso for redemption was upon payment on demand to be made verbally or in writing to the mortgagor, or by leaving a verbal or written notice at his place of business, and the mortgage deed contained a power for the mortgagee to sell on default, it was held, that the mortgagee was bound to give such notice as might reasonably be expected to reach the mortgagor, if absent from his place of business, and give him an opportunity of complying with the notice within a reasonable time (*i*).

Regulations as to notices under Conveyancing, &c. Act, 1881.

The statutory power of sale is exerciseable on default in payment of any mortgage moneys within the prescribed time after notice to the mortgagor requiring him to do so. The notice must be in writing, and will be sufficient if addressed to the mortgagor, as such, without naming him, and notwithstanding that he be absent, under disability, unborn or unascertained; and the notice will be sufficiently served if left at the last-known place of abode or business of the mortgagor, or on the mortgaged premises, or if sent in a registered letter through the post; and, in the latter case, the service is deemed to be made when such letter would in the ordinary course be delivered (*k*).

Service of notice.

The notice must be served on the mortgagor or on one of several mortgagors; and the expression "mortgagor" includes "any person from time to time deriving title under the original

(*f*) *Per* Cookburn, C. J., in *Freeman v. Read*, 11 W. R. 802. See *Young v. Higgon*, 6 M. & W. 49; *Re Railway Sleepers Supply Co.*, 29 Ch. D. 204.

(*g*) *Mellers v. Brown*, 33 L. J. Ch. 97.

(*h*) *Major v. Ward*, 5 Ha. 598.

(*i*) *Massey v. Sladen*, L. R. 4 Ex. 13.

(*k*) See sect. 67 of the Act.

mortgagor, or entitled to redeem a mortgage, according to his estate, interest, or right in the mortgaged property" (l); the expression will thus include an incumbrancer of the equity of redemption.

Where, therefore, a first mortgagee has notice that the equity of redemption has been incumbered, it will apparently be, as a general rule, sufficient for him to give a single notice to the first subsequent incumbrancer of whose security he has notice. Where, however, a mortgage deed contained a power of sale with a proviso that it should not be exercised, except upon notice to the mortgagor "and his assigns," it was held that the mortgagee was liable in damages for selling under his power, after giving notice of his intention so to do to the mortgagor only, and not also to a mortgagee of the equity of redemption, notice of whose security had been given to the first mortgagee. It was not decided, in the case referred to, whether, if there had been several successive incumbrances known to the mortgagee, it would have been necessary to give notice to all the incumbrancers (m).

Where there are several mortgagors as joint tenants or tenants in common, notice to one will be sufficient; but where several mortgagors have distinct successive interests as a tenant for life and remainderman, it will be best to give separate notices.

Where several mortgagors.

According to the usual practice in framing express powers of sale, the power was made exerciseable after six months' notice to pay the mortgage debt; but the statutory power is exerciseable on default after three months' notice. The statutory provisions may, however, be modified as to the period of notice as in other respects, if desired. "Month" in an Act of Parliament means calendar month (n).

Period of notice.

According to the usual form of express powers of sale, it is provided that the power should not be exerciseable unless some interest should be in arrear, usually for *three* months.

Default in payment of interest.

When a mortgagee has entered into possession (o), the fact that he has received rents to an amount more than sufficient to keep down the interest will not of itself be sufficient to prove that there was no interest in arrear, if no appropriation is shown to have been made; a mortgagee in possession has first to deduct

Receipt of rents by mortgagee in possession.

(l) See sect. 2 (vi.).

(m) See *Hoole v. Smith*, 17 Ch. D.

(n) 52 & 53 Vict. c. 63, s. 3.

(o) See *ante*, pp. 796 *et seq.*

CHAP. XLV.

expenses and outgoings incident to his possession, and what remains goes against principal and interest, but till an account is taken there is no set-off, or appropriation of the rents (*p*).

Default under
Conv., &c.
Act, 1881.

The statutory power will be exerciseable on default in payment of interest for *two* months after it has become due.

It has been seen (*q*) that a covenant for payment of mortgage money and interest is not an essential part of a mortgage, but it would seem that, in the absence of a covenant to pay interest, the rate of interest named in the proviso for redemption would be "interest becoming due under the mortgage" (*r*).

Breach of
mortgagor's
covenants, &c.

The statutory power is also made exerciseable on the breach of some provision contained in the mortgage deed or in the Act, and to be observed or performed by the mortgagor or by some person concurring in the mortgage, other than the covenants for payment of the mortgage moneys or interest. No clause to this effect was ordinarily inserted in express powers of sale.

This extension of the power is of a somewhat stringent character; it will apply to the omission of a mortgagor to insure against fire pursuant to a covenant in the mortgage deed; or, in the case of a mortgage of a life interest and policy of assurance, to a breach of covenant to maintain the policy; or, in the case of a lease by the mortgagor, to his failure to deliver a counterpart of the lease within one month of the making thereof (*s*). And the enactment will apply to a default on the part of a surety in performing or observing his obligations under the mortgage deed.

Appointment
of receiver.

The appointment of a receiver by the mortgagee and mortgagor is impliedly subject to the power of sale (*t*).

Purchaser's
protection
clause in ex-
press powers
of sale.

Where conditions are imposed upon the exercise by a mortgagee of his power of sale, the right of the mortgagor to restrain a sale on the ground that the conditions have not been complied with is frequently to a great extent neutralized by the insertion of a provision, usually called the "purchaser's protection clause," declaring that, upon any sale purporting to be made in pursuance of the power, the purchaser shall not be bound to inquire whether the conditions have been performed or observed. In such a case the mortgagee can sell, and make a good title to a

(*p*) *Cockburn v. Edwards*, 18 Ch. D. 449, C. A.

(*q*) See *ante*, p. 9.

(*r*) See *Cook v. Fowler*, L. R. 7 H. L. 27; *Gordillo v. Weguelin*, 5 Ch. D. 287, at pp. 297, 301, 302, C. A.; *Re Roberts*, *Goodchap v. Roberts*, 14 Ch. D. 49, at

p. 52; *Re Frisby*, *Allison v. Frisby*, 43 Ch. D. 106, at p. 114, C. A.

(*s*) See sect. 18, sub-sect. 11, of the Act.

(*t*) *King v. Heenan*, 3 De G. M. & G. 890.

bonâ fide purchaser without notice of the breach of the conditions, and the contract for sale will bind the mortgagor, whose only remedy will be in damages against the mortgagee (u); *à fortiori*, if the mortgage deed expressly provides that, in case of impropriety in the sale, by reason of no notice having been given, or otherwise, the mortgagor's remedy shall be in damages only (x). If the proviso is to the effect that a purchaser shall not be bound to inquire "whether default has been made in payment of any principal or interest," the sale will be valid, although the mortgage debt has been satisfied at the time of sale, if the purchaser had no notice of the fact (y).

CHAP. XLV.

But a proviso relieving a purchaser from inquiry as to whether conditions as to notice, &c. have been observed does not protect him, if he actually knew, or if the circumstances of the case are such that he must be taken to have known, that the proviso was not complied with (z).

Notice of irregularity in sale.

Sometimes a further proviso is inserted that even express notice that no default has been made, or that other conditions have not been complied with, shall not affect a purchaser (a).

Protection of purchaser in case of express notice of irregularity. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 145, s. 13.

With regard to purchasers from mortgagees selling under statutory powers of sale, Lord Cranworth's Act, s. 13, provided that the purchaser's title should not be liable to be impeached by reason of no case for sale having arisen, or no notice having been given; but that the remedy of any person damnified should be in damages against the person selling.

By the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, it is enacted as follows:—

44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 21.

Sect. 21 (2). "Where a conveyance is made in professed exercise of the power of sale conferred by the Act, the title of the purchaser shall not be impeachable on the ground that no case has arisen to authorize the sale, or that due notice was not given, or that the power was otherwise improperly or irregularly exercised; but any person damnified by an unauthorized, or improper, or irregular exercise of the power shall have his remedy in damages against the person exercising the power."

Protection of purchasers.

As the protection afforded by this sub-section extends only to cases of "professed exercise" of the statutory power,

Extent of statutory protection.

(u) *Dicker v. Angerstein*, 3 Ch. D. 600.

(x) *Prichard v. Wilson*, 10 Jur. N. S. 330.

(y) *Dicker v. Angerstein*, *supra*.

(z) *Parkinson v. Hanbury*, L. R. 2 H. L. 1; *Selwyn v. Garfit*, 38 Ch. D. 273, C. A. See *Bailey v. Barnes*, (1894) 1 Ch. 25, C. A.

(a) See as to this, *Ford v. Heely*, 3 Jur. N. S. 1116.

CHAP. XLV.

such power should be expressly referred to in the conveyance.

The statutory protection, like that provided by the clause usually inserted in express powers of sale (*b*), extends only to cases where the purchaser has bought without notice, actual or constructive, of the impropriety (*c*).

Jurisdiction
of County
Courts under
s. 21.

The remedy in damages of any "person damnified" may be obtained under this sub-section by means of an action in a County Court under sect. 56 of the County Courts Act, 1888 (*d*), where the amount claimed does not exceed 50*l.* (*e*).

Waiver of
notice.

The mortgagor or his assigns may waive the right to notice or to the compliance with any other condition attached to the exercise of the power of sale, and will be bound by such waiver, which may be either express or implied from conduct (*f*).

Purchaser,
unless pro-
tected, may
refuse to
complete.

If there is no purchaser's protection clause or such clause is defective, the purchaser may refuse to complete, until satisfied by sufficient evidence that the conditions of the power have been complied with (*g*); the unsupported declaration of the mortgagee that default has been made in payment of the mortgage debt is not sufficient (*h*).

Purchaser
held entitled
to evidence
of alleged
waiver of
notice.

So, in the absence of such a clause, where conditions of sale stipulated in effect that the sale was made by the mortgagees under their power, and that the purchaser should not be entitled to any abstract or evidence of subsequent incumbrances though appearing on the title shown; it appeared that the mortgagor had incumbered the equity of redemption, and that notice required by the mortgage deed had not been given to the mortgagor or his incumbrancers, but that he and they had executed a deed purporting to waive the right and ratify the sale; it was held that the contract for a simple title depended only on the compliance by the mortgagee with the terms of the power, and that the purchaser was not compellable to go into the question as to the validity of the alleged waiver; and, accordingly, that the purchaser was entitled to rescind the contract for sale, and to have his deposit returned (*i*).

(*b*) *Supra*, p. 898.

(*c*) *Bailey v. Barnes*, (1894) 1 Ch. 26,
C. A.

(*d*) 51 & 52 Vict. c. 43.

(*e*) *Ames v. Higdon*, 69 L. T. 292.

(*f*) *Re Thompson and Holt*, 44 Ch.

D. 492. See, also, *Schoyn v. Garfit*,
38 Ch. D. 273, C. A.

(*g*) *Re Edwards and Rudkin to Green*,
58 L. T. 789.

(*h*) *Hobson v. Bell*, 2 Beav. 17.

(*i*) *Foster v. Hoggart*, 15 Q. B. 155.

iii.—Conduct of the Sale.—A mortgagee selling under a power of sale, whether express or statutory, may generally conduct the sale in such manner as he may think most conducive to his own benefit, unless the deed contains any restrictions as to the mode of exercising the power, provided he acts *bonâ fide* and observes reasonable precautions to obtain a proper price (*k*).
 “A mortgagee is not a trustee of a power of sale for the mortgagor at all; his right is to look after himself first. But he is not at liberty to look after his own interests alone, and it is not right, or proper, or legal, for him, either fraudulently, or wilfully, or recklessly, to sacrifice the property of the mortgagor: that is all” (*l*).

CHAP. XLV.

Mortgagee not trustee for mortgagor of power of sale.

A mortgagee exercising his power of sale is not a trustee for the mortgagor, even if the mortgage is in the form of a trust for sale, except as regards the surplus of the purchase-money after his claims are satisfied (*m*).

Even where security is by trust for sale.

Express powers of sale usually provide that a mortgagee selling thereunder shall not be responsible for any loss occasioned by the exercise of the power; and by the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, it is enacted that:—

Protection of mortgagee selling from liability for loss.

Sect. 21 (6). “The mortgagee, his executors, administrators, or assigns, shall not be answerable for any involuntary loss happening in or about the exercise or execution of the power of sale conferred by the Act or of any trust connected therewith.”

Under an express power of sale, in the absence of any special restriction, the mortgagee may sell by auction or private contract; but if either mode of sale is alone specified, the other cannot be resorted to (*n*). Both Lord Cranworth’s Act and the Act of 1881 expressly authorize mortgagees selling under the powers given by those respective Acts to sell either by private contract or public auction (*o*).

Sale by auction or private contract.

A mortgagee selling under his power is under no obligation to advertise the sale (*p*).

Advertisement of sale.

Although, according to the usual form of an express power of

Mortgagee cannot sell

(*k*) *Farrar v. Farrars, Limited*, 40 Ch. D. 395, at p. 411, C. A.

Mounsey, 11 Ch. D. 284. See *Barrow v. White*, 2 J. & H. 580.

(*l*) *Per Lindley, L. J.*, in *Kennedy v. De Trafford*, (1896) 1 Ch. 762, at p. 772, C. A., affirmed in D. P., W. N. (1897) 33. See *Warner v. Jacob*, 20 Ch. D. 220; *Colson v. Williams*, W. N. (1889) 33.

(*n*) 1 Dart & Barb. 75, ed. 6; *Brouard v. Dumaresque*, 3 Moo. P. O. 457; *Bousfield v. Hodges*, 33 Beav. 90.

(*o*) 23 & 24 Vict. c. 145, s. 11; 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 19 (i.).

(*m*) *Kirkwood v. Thompson*, 2 H. & M. 392; *Lacking v. Parker*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 30; *Re Alison, Johnson v.*

(*p*) *Smith v. Durrant*, 1 De G. & J. 535; appealed to H. L., but not prosecuted, 9 H. L. C. 192.

CHAP. XLV.

land and
timber
separately.

Whether
surface
and minerals
separately.

Not machi-
nery apart
from build-
ings.

Noreasements
over land
unsold.

Power to
rescind.

sale, and also according to the terms of the statutory powers, a mortgagee is empowered to sell the whole or any part of the mortgaged property, he cannot sell separately the timber upon it (*q*). But a sale of the land apart from the timber may, however, be made with the sanction of the Court (*r*).

A mortgagee selling under his power, unless expressly authorized by the mortgage deed, cannot sell the surface apart from the minerals (*s*). By the Confirmation of Sales Act (*t*), mortgagees were empowered, with the like sanction, to effect sales of the surface apart from the minerals, and *vice versa* (*u*). But this Act is wholly repealed by the Trustee Act, 1893 (*v*), which, though it contains a corresponding provision as regards trustees, defines the expression "trust" for the purposes of the Act as not including duties incident to an estate conveyed by way of mortgage; and mortgagees who, as has been seen, are not trustees, do not seem to have been within the purview of that Act. But by the Amendment Act of 1894 (*x*), after the word "trustee" in sect. 44 of the Act of 1893, are to be read the words "or other person;" it is, therefore, conceived that the Court has now jurisdiction to sanction a sale by a mortgagee, under an express power of sale in the ordinary form, as also under the statutory powers of sale, of the surface and minerals separately.

A mortgagee selling either under an express power in the ordinary form, or under a statutory power, cannot sell trade machinery apart from the mortgaged buildings (*y*).

Nor, if he sells only part of the land, can he sell with it an easement over the land unsold (*z*).

iv.—Sale under Special Conditions.—The mortgagee with power of sale may sell under special conditions, if not of an unreasonably depreciatory character (*a*), although stringent (*b*).

A condition that the vendor may rescind, if unable or unwilling to answer requisitions or objections to title made by the purchaser, is valid (*c*).

(*q*) *Cholmeley v. Paxton*, 3 Bing. 207; *S. C.*, 6 Bing. 48.

(*r*) 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, s. 13.

(*s*) See *Dayrell v. Hoare*, 12 A. & E. 366.

(*t*) 25 & 26 Vict. c. 108, s. 2.

(*u*) *Re Beaumont's Mortgage Trusts*, L. R. 12 Eq. 86; *Re Wilkinson's Mortgaged Estates*, L. R. 13 Eq. 634; *Re Hirat's Mortgage*, 45 Ch. D. 263.

(*v*) 56 & 57 Vict. c. 53. See sects. 40, 44, and 51.

(*x*) 57 Vict. c. 10, s. 3.

(*y*) *Re Yates, Batchelder v. Yates*, 33 Ch. D. 112.

(*z*) *Berkley v. Howell*, 29 Beav. 516.

(*a*) *Hobson v. Bell*, 2 Beav. 17. See *National Bank of Australia v. United Hand-in-Hand, &c. Co.*, 4 App. Cas. 392.

(*b*) *Kershaw v. Kalow*, 1 Jur. N. S. 974.

(*c*) *Falkner v. Equitable, &c. Co.*, 4 Drew. 352.

Where the legal estate to part of the mortgaged property was outstanding, a condition of sale stating this fact and requiring the purchaser to accept the equitable interest in that part which the mortgagee was able to convey, was held not to be unreasonable under the circumstances (*d*). CHAP. XLV.
Purchaser not to require legal estate outstanding.

It has been seen that the Court regards mortgages of reversionary interests with peculiar jealousy; and, accordingly, on a sale by a mortgagee of a reversion, a condition that the purchaser should not require any evidence of the age of the tenant for life was held to be improper, and to entitle the mortgagor to set aside the sale (*e*). Evidence on sale of reversion.

It has been held that a mortgagee selling under his power may sell upon the terms that part of his purchase-money may remain on mortgage (*f*). Part of price to remain on mortgage.

Where property subject to a mortgage was conveyed to trustees upon trust for sale, and out of the proceeds to pay off the mortgage, and pay the surplus to the mortgagor, it was held that a sale subject to the mortgage was valid (*g*). Sale subject to prior mortgage.

By sect. 19 of the Act of 1881, a mortgagee selling under the statutory power is expressly empowered to sell either subject to prior charges or not.

v.—Interference of Court in Sales by Mortgagees.—The power of sale being given to the mortgagee for his own benefit, it follows that if he exercises it *bonâ fide* for that purpose without corruption or collusion with the purchaser, or such wilful or reckless impropriety as to be tantamount to fraud, the Court will not interfere even though the sale be very disadvantageous, unless, indeed, the price is so low as of itself to be evidence of fraud (*h*). Sale at undervalue.

Where the security was by way of trust for sale, it was said that the trustee was, like any other trustee for sale, specially bound to obtain the best price for the property (*i*); but this distinction would probably not be upheld at the present day (*k*). Trustee for sale.

The Court will not restrain a sale by a mortgagee under his power merely because it is contrary to the wishes or interest of Court will not restrain sale in deference

(*d*) *Ashworth v. Mounsey*, 9 Exch. 175.

(*e*) *Cragg v. Alexander*, W. N. (1867) 305.

(*f*) *Thurlow v. Mackeson*, L. R. 4 Q. B. 97. See *Davy v. Durrant*, 1 De G. & J. 535; *Bettys v. Maynard*, 49 L. T. 389; *Kennedy v. De Trafford*, (1896) 1 Ch. 762, affirmed in D. P.,

W. N. (1897) 33.

(*g*) *Manser v. Dix*, 8 De G. M. & G. 703.

(*h*) *Warner v. Jacob*, 20 Ch. D. 220, at p. 224. See *Martinson v. Clowes*, 21 Ch. D. 861.

(*i*) See *Harper v. Hayes*, 2 Giff. 210.

(*k*) See cases cited *supra*, p. 901, note (*m*).

CHAP. XLV.
to mortga-
gor's wishes.
Nor because
liquidator of
company
might obtain
better price.

the mortgagor, even though the latter is willing to pay what is due in order to avert the sale (*m*).

If a mortgagee or debenture holder having a power of sale wishes to sell, the Court will not interfere with his right to do so, on the alleged ground that the company or its liquidator could realize the property to better advantage (*n*). But where a mortgagee had himself presented a petition to wind up the company, he was restrained from exercising the power of sale under his mortgage until the hearing (*o*).

Setting aside
sale.

The Court refused to set aside a sale by a first mortgagee on the ground that, after making preliminary arrangements (but without a binding contract) for an advantageous sale of the property, he bought up the interest of the second mortgagee at a reduced price, without informing him of such arrangements (*p*).

Oppressive
conduct of
mortgagee.

But the sale has been set aside as oppressive and irregular, where it was made for a collateral purpose (that of expelling the plaintiff from participation in a newspaper, his shares in which formed the subject of the mortgage), and the mortgagee had himself become owner by means of a subsequent purchase (*q*). And also in a case in which the power was exercised, after a tender of principal and interest (the costs being unascertained), and the facts were known to the purchaser (*r*).

Damages for
unreasonable
sale.

An action will lie, at the suit of the mortgagor, against the mortgagee for fraudulent or unreasonable exercise of the power (*s*); and the mortgagor is entitled to substantial damages (*t*).

A puisne incumbrancer being entitled to redeem (*u*) is, of course, interested to the extent of the moneys due on his security in the proceeds of sale, but, before the Judicature Acts, he had no remedy at law against the first mortgagee for an improper sale (*x*).

Damages for
wilful or
reckless sale
at under-
value.

A mortgagee is chargeable with the full value of the mortgaged property sold, if, from wilful or reckless want of care and diligence, it has been sold at an undervalue (*y*). So, a mort-

(*m*) *Jones v. Matthie*, 16 L. J. N. S. Ch. 405. See *Warner v. Jacob*, 20 Ch. D. 220.

(*n*) *Re Longdenale Cotton Co.*, 8 Ch. D. 150.

(*o*) *Re Cambrian Mining Co., Exp. Felh*, W. N. (1881) 125.

(*p*) *Dolman v. Nokes*, 22 Beav. 402.

(*q*) *Robertson v. Norris*, 4 Jur. N. S. 443.

(*r*) *Jenkins v. Jones*, 2 Giff. 99. See *Hooken v. Sinoock*, 11 Jur. N. S. 477.

(*s*) *Brighty v. Norton*, 3 B. & S. 305; *Rogers v. Mutton*, 31 L. J. Ex. 275; *Toms v. Wilson*, 4 B. & S. 442; *Moore v. Shelley*, 8 App. Cas. 285, P. C.

(*t*) *Massey v. Sladen*, L. R. 4 Ex. 13; *Moore v. Shelley*, *sup.*

(*u*) See *ante*, p. 694.

(*x*) See *Maughan v. Sharpe*, 10 Jur. N. S. 989.

(*y*) *National Bank of Australasia v. United Hand-in-Hand, &c. Co.*, 4 App. Cas. 391. See *Woolf v. Vanderzies*, 20

gagee was held liable for the misdescription in the particulars, by reason of which the purchaser refused to complete without compensation; but the measure of damages was held to be, not the amount of the compensation awarded to the purchaser, but the difference between the price actually realized and that which the property would have realized if there had been no misdescription (s).

Where sufficient grounds for relief are shown, an injunction will be granted against a mortgagee improperly exercising the power of sale (a). Injunction to restrain sale.

The pleadings in an action for the purpose of restraining a sale by a mortgagee must clearly disclose the fraud or irregularity in respect of which relief is sought (b). Pleadings.

As a general rule, a sale by a mortgagee will be restrained only on payment into Court of the amount which the mortgagee claims to be due to him (c); but this rule does not apply where the terms of the deed show that the amount so claimed cannot be due (d). Nor does the rule apply where the mortgagee was solicitor to the mortgagor, who acted under his advice; in such a case the Court will look to all the circumstances of the case, and make such order as seems just (e). Terms on which injunction granted.

An injunction against a sale has been granted after an offer to redeem (f); and after an offer to deposit the amount due (g); and also where the mortgagee was solicitor to the mortgagor, who had acted under his advice (h). But the mere commencement of an action for redemption will not stop a sale (i). Injunction after offer to redeem, &c.

If the solicitor of a mortgagee refuses to desist from exercising the power of sale, unless the mortgagor pays expenses, with which he is not chargeable, money paid under such compulsion may be recovered back in an action for money had and received (j). Recovery of moneys improperly demanded.

L. T. N. S. 353; 17 W. R. 547. See, also, *Jenkins v. Jones*, 2 Jur. N. S. 99; *Marriott v. Anchor Reversionary Co.*, 3 De G. F. & J. 177; but the two latter decisions appear to have proceeded on a stricter view of the fiduciary character of a mortgagee than would now be recognized.

(s) *Tomlin v. Luce*, 43 Ch. D. 191, C. A.

(a) *Whitworth v. Rhodes*, 20 L. J. Ch. 105; *Cockell v. Bacon*, 16 Beav. 158; *Morrest v. Murray*, 14 L. T. N. S. 321.

(b) *Adams v. Scott*, 7 W. R. 213.

(c) *Hill v. Kirkwood*, 28 W. R. 385.

(d) *Hickson v. Darlow*, 23 Ch. D. 690.

(e) *Macleod v. Jones*, 24 Ch. D. 289, C. A.

(f) *Rhodes v. Buckland*, 16 Beav. 212.

(g) *Closs v. Phipps*, 7 Man. & Gr. 586.

(h) *Cotterell v. Stratton*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 295, 304.

(i) *Macleod v. Jones*, 24 Ch. D. 289, C. A. See as to sale after tender,

ante, p. 719.

(j) *Adams v. Scott*, 7 W. R. 213.

CHAP. XLV.

Improper
conduct of
mortgagor.

The Court will not interfere with the exercise by a mortgagee of his power of sale, where the mortgagor has acted improperly, or has acquiesced in the sale (*k*).

Mortgagee
cannot
purchase.

vi.—Who may Purchase.—The mortgagee who sells (except where, in judicial sales, he obtains leave to bid) and his trustee are not allowed to purchase the mortgaged estate (*l*); and a mortgagee, who is also trustee of the estate, will not have leave to bid, if the *cestuique trust* object, until attempts to sell to others have failed (*m*); and even the employment by the purchaser of the clerk of the mortgagee's solicitor as a bidder is sufficient to invalidate the sale, and to burden the estate of the purchaser with the costs of a redemption suit, so far as it was occasioned by the sale (*n*).

Collusive sale.

A collusive sale and reconveyance by the purchaser to the mortgagee will not bind the mortgagor (*o*).

A purchase by a mortgagee of property, sold either under the power of sale, or in execution of a decree against a mortgagor company (obtained collusively between the mortgagee and the directors) does not operate to vest an absolute title in the mortgagee (*p*).

Second
mortgagee.

A second mortgagee may, in the absence of fraud, buy from the first mortgagee, whether under a power or trust for sale (*q*).

Secretary of
mortgagee.
Agent of
mortgagee.

The secretary of a co-mortgagee cannot purchase (*r*).

A person who has acted as agent for the mortgagee, in negotiating the advance, surveying the property and receiving the interest for the mortgagee, is not a competent purchaser from the mortgagee under his power of sale (*s*).

Mortgagee
shareholder
may sell to
company.

There is no valid objection to a *bonâ fide* sale by a mortgagee to a company in which he is a shareholder (*t*).

Abortive
purchase by
mortgagee
does not
extinguish
power of sale.

An abortive purchase by a mortgagee or a trustee for him does not extinguish the power of sale so as to preclude the mortgagee from subsequently selling under the power and making a good title to the purchaser; but in the interval the

(*k*) *Ferrand v. Clay*, 1 Jur. 265.

(*l*) *Downes v. Grasebrook*, 3 Mer. 200; *Re Bloy's Trusts*, 1 Mac. & G. 488; *Henderson v. Astwood*, (1894) A. C. 150, P. C.

(*m*) *Tennant v. Trenchard*, L. R. 4 Ch. A. 537.

(*n*) *Parnell v. Tyler*, 2 L. J. Ch. N. S. 195.

(*o*) *Robertson v. Norris*, 4 Jur. N. S. 443.

(*p*) *National Bank of Australasia v. The United, &c. Co.*, 4 App. Cas. 391.

(*q*) *Parkinson v. Hanbury*, L. R. 2 H. L. 1. See *Shaw v. Bunney*, 2 De G. J. & S. 468; *Kirkwood v. Thompson*, 2 De G. J. & S. 613.

(*r*) *Martinson v. Clowes*, 21 Ch. D. 857, affirmed on another point W. N. (1885) 41, C. A.

(*s*) *Orme v. Wright*, 3 Jur. 19.

(*t*) *Farrar v. Farrars, Ltd.*, 40 Ch. D. 395, C. A.

mortgagee will be liable to account as the mortgagee in possession (u). CHAP. XLV.

There is nothing to prevent one of several mortgagors from purchasing the property from a mortgagee selling under his power of sale at a price equal to the amount due for principal, interest, and costs, if the sale is a *bond fide* exercise of the power (x). Purchase by mortgagee.

A purchase by a mortgagor from a first mortgagee selling under his power operates only as a redemption of the first mortgagee, and will not be allowed to prejudice the second mortgagee, who will be preferred to incumbrancers of the mortgagor after the purchase (y).

vii.—The Conveyance—Delivery of Title Deeds.—An express power of sale in a mortgage deed usually empowers the mortgagee, his executors, administrators, or assigns, for the purposes of the sale, to execute and do all such assurances and things as they shall think fit. Formerly, a proviso was added that upon a sale by the executors or administrators of the mortgagee, or by any other person not seised of the legal estate, the heir of the mortgagee should concur in the conveyance; but now such concurrence is unnecessary except in the case of copyholds to which the mortgagee has actually been admitted, as in all other cases the mortgage estate devolves on the personal representatives of the mortgagee (z). Express power to convey.

By Lord Cranworth's Act it was enacted that:—

Sect. 15. "The person exercising the power of sale hereby conferred shall have power by deed to convey or assign to and vest in the purchaser the property sold, for all the estate and interest therein, which the person who created the charge had power to dispose of; except that in the case of copyhold hereditaments, the beneficial interest only shall be conveyed to and vested in the purchaser by such deed." 23 & 24 Vict. c. 146.
Conveyance to the purchaser.

And by sect. 16 of the same Act, it is provided that at any time after the statutory power has become exerciseable, the owner of the charge may demand and recover from the owner of the equity of redemption all title deeds which he would have been entitled to if the property had been then vested in him for all the estate and interest of the person creating the charge; Owner of charge may call for title deeds and conveyance of legal estate.

(u) *Henderson v. Astwood*, (1894) A. C. 150, P. C.

W. N. (1897) 33.

(z) *Kennedy v. De Trafford*, (1896) 1 Ch. 762, C. A., affirmed in D. P.,

(y) *Otter v. Lord Vaux*, 6 De G. M. & G. 638.

(z) See *ante*, pp. 840 *et seq.*

CHAP. XLV.

and may also call for the conveyance of the legal estate, if outstanding, to the same extent as the person creating the charge could have called for it.

Conv., &c.
Act, 1881,
s. 21.

By the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, it is enacted as follows:—

Conveyance.

Sect. 21.—“(1.) A mortgagee exercising the power of sale conferred by the Act shall have power, by deed, to convey the property sold, for such estate and interest therein as is the subject of the mortgage (b), freed from all estates, interests, and rights to which the mortgage has priority, but subject to all estates, interests, and rights which have priority to the mortgage; except that, in the case of copyhold or customary land, the legal right to admittance shall not pass by a deed under this section, unless the deed is sufficient otherwise by law, or is sufficient by custom, in that behalf.”

Title deeds.

“(7.) At any time after the power of sale conferred by the Act has become exerciseable, the person entitled to exercise the same may demand and recover from any person, other than a person having in the mortgaged property an estate, interest, or right, in priority to the mortgage, all the deeds and documents relating to the property, or to the title thereto, which a purchaser under the power of sale would be entitled to demand and recover from him.”

Difference
between the
two Acts as
to con-
veyance.

Inasmuch as the above provisions of the later Act apply only to the exercise of powers of sale given by that Act which are conferred on a mortgagee only where the mortgage deed is executed after the commencement of this Act, it is important to observe the difference in language between the two statutes as regards the amount of the estate and interest which a mortgagee is competent to convey according as he sells under the earlier or later statute.

All estate of
mortgagor
may be con-
veyed by
Lord Cran-
worth's Act.

Lord Cranworth's Act empowered a mortgagee exercising his power of sale under that Act to convey to a purchaser the property sold for all the estate and interest which the mortgagor himself could dispose of. It was accordingly held that an equitable mortgagee selling under that Act could convey to a purchaser the legal estate which remained vested in the mortgagor without the concurrence of the latter (b). It was also held that a mortgagee by demise of leaseholds, could, under that Act, sell and convey the nominal reversion in the lease vested in the mortgagor (c).

Hence it seems that a mortgagee, who has accepted a mortgage for a term, may, by this statute, effect a sale of the fee: a right which he can only acquire by consent

(b) *Re Solomon and Meagher's Contract*, 40 Ch. D. 508.

(c) *Hiatt v. Hilman*, 19 W. R. 694.

in a sale in equity, where, if the whole estate be sold, the mortgagor is entitled to the difference in value between the term and the fee (*d*).

CHAP. XLV.

But sect. 21 of the present Act empowers a mortgagee exercising his power of sale under that Act to convey the property only "for such estate and interest therein as is the subject of the mortgage." An equitable mortgagee cannot, therefore, under this Act convey the legal estate vested in the mortgagor (*e*). And it is clear that unless a mortgage by demise of leaseholds contains an express declaration of trust of the nominal reversion, or a power of attorney is given to the mortgagee for the purpose, neither a mortgagee selling under this Act nor a purchaser from him can get in the nominal reversion either by compelling the mortgagor to convey it, or by obtaining a vesting order under the Trustee Act, 1893 (*f*).

Only estate comprised in mortgage can be conveyed by Conv., &c. Act, 1881.

The purchaser is entitled to a conveyance from the trustee of an outstanding interest, which has been declared to be held in trust for better securing the mortgage debt (*g*).

Outstanding interest.

The effect of a conveyance by a mortgagee under an express or statutory power of sale, is to vest the property in the purchaser discharged from the mortgage and all claims arising thereunder, and free from all equity of redemption.

Effect of conveyance.

If, however, the purchaser agrees with the mortgagor to allow him to redeem, such agreement will be specifically enforced (*h*).

The effect of sect. 21 (7) of the Act of 1881 is to entitle a subsequent mortgagee, selling under his statutory power, who has paid off, or paid into Court an amount sufficient to meet the first mortgage, if made since 1881 (*i*), to demand and recover from the first mortgagee all title deeds in his possession relating to the property comprised in the subsequent mortgage.

viii.—Receipt for the Purchase-money.—According to the usual practice, an express power of sale provides that the receipt of the mortgagee, his executors, administrators, or assigns for the purchase-money, shall effectually discharge the purchaser from seeing to the application or being answerable for the loss or misapplication thereof.

Express power to give receipt for purchase-moneys.

(*d*) See *Foster v. Eddy*, 18 L. J. Ch. 151; *Outfield v. Richards*, 26 Beav. 241.

(*e*) See *Hodson and Howe's Contract*, 25 Ch. D. 668, C. A.

(*f*) 66 & 67 Vict. c. 53.

(*g*) *Hampshire v. Bradley*, 2 Coll. 34.

See *Angier v. Stannard*, 3 My. & K. 566.

(*h*) *Orme v. Wright*, 3 Jur. 19.

(*i*) See sect. 5 of the Act, set out ante, p. 633.

CHAP. XLV.

23 & 24 Vict.
c. 145.Conv., &c.
Act, 1881,
s. 22.Mortgagee's
receipts, dis-
charges, &c.

Lord Cranworth's Act, sect. 12, contained a provision to the like effect with regard to sales by mortgagees under that Act.

By the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, it is enacted:—

Sect. 22 (1). "The receipt in writing of a mortgagee shall be a sufficient discharge for any money arising under the power of sale conferred by the Act, or for any money or securities comprised in his mortgage, or arising thereunder; and a person paying or transferring the same to the mortgagee shall not be concerned to inquire whether any money remains due under the mortgage."

This enactment practically supersedes the provisions of sect. 23 of Lord St. Leonard's Act (*k*), which is still unrepealed, and which enacts that the *bonâ fide* payment to and receipt of any person to whom any purchase or mortgage money shall be payable upon any express or implied trust, shall effectually discharge the person paying the same from seeing to the application or being answerable for the misapplication thereof, unless the contrary shall be expressly declared by the instrument creating the trust or security. The Act of 1881, like Lord Cranworth's Act (*l*), omits any provision as to a contrary intention.

Express pro-
visions as to
application
of purchase-
moneys.

ix.—Application of the Purchase-money.—Express powers of sale in mortgages generally provide that the mortgagee after paying out of the proceeds the expenses of and incident to the sale and retaining thereout the amount of principal, interest, and costs due to him on his mortgage, shall pay the surplus (if any) of the moneys arising from the sale to the mortgagor, his heirs, or his executors, or administrators (according to the nature and tenure of the mortgaged property), or assigns.

What arrears
of interest are
retainable out
of proceeds
of sale by
mortgagee.

It will be seen hereafter (*m*) that if a mortgagee has recourse to the aid of the Court to enforce payment of his debt or realize his security, he will only be allowed to recover six years' arrears of interest, but if the mortgagee himself sells under an express or statutory power, he is entitled to retain out of the purchase-money all unpaid arrears of interest, and if such purchase-money has been paid into Court a petition by the mortgagee for payment out thereof will not be a suit for the recovery of money

(*k*) 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35.
(*l*) 23 & 24 Vict. c. 145.

(*m*) *Post*, pp. 988 *et seq.*

charged or payable out of land within the meaning of the statute 3 & 4 Will. 4, c. 42, s. 3 (n). CHAP. XLV.

The mortgagee exercising his power of sale will also be entitled to retain out of the purchase-money all costs properly incurred of and incident to the sale (o), including the costs of an abortive attempt to sell (p). Costs.

If there is no express trust of the purchase-moneys, the mortgagee will only be constructively a trustee of the surplus if it is ascertained that there is a surplus, and no evidence can be adduced to prove that there is a surplus after six years have elapsed since the sale (q). Effect where no provisions as to surplus moneys.

If the surplus produce of the sale be directed by the mortgagee to be paid to the executors or administrators of the mortgagor, and the sale is made in his lifetime, it will be personal estate; but if not made until after his death, it will be real estate, and belong to the heir, unless there is a clear intention shown to convert it out and out (r); and if the heir or devisee die before the surplus is actually paid to him, it will go to his personal representative (s). Whether surplus proceeds of sale devolve as realty or personality.

It is usual to provide, especially where realty and personality are mortgaged together, that the whole surplus shall, in case of the death of the mortgagor, go to his personal representatives (t).

Where the ultimate trust in case of realty was for the mortgagor, his heirs, executors, administrators, or assigns, and the sale took place in the lifetime of the mortgagor, his personal representative was held entitled, though the heir objected on the ground that the mortgagor was a lunatic when he executed the mortgage (u).

Lord Cranworth's Act, sect. 14, provided that on a sale under that Act, the purchase-money should be applied in the following order:—First, in the payment of the expenses incident to the sale or incurred in any attempted sale; secondly, in discharge Application of purchase-moneys under Lord Cranworth's Act.

(n) *Edmunds v. Waugh*, L. R. 1 Eq. 418; *Re Marshfield*, *Marshfield v. Hutchings*, 34 Ch. D. 721; notwithstanding *Mason v. Broadbent*, 33 Beav. 296.

(o) *National Provincial Bank of England v. Games*, 31 Ch. D. 582, 593, C. A.

(p) *Webster v. Patteson*, W. N. (1882) 10. See *Farrer v. Lacy*, *Hartland & Co.*, 31 Ch. D. 42.

(q) *Locking v. Parker*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 30; *Banner v. Borridge*, 18 Ch. D. 254. See *Warner v. Jacob*, 20 Ch. D. 220.

(r) *Wright v. Rose*, 2 S. & St. 323; *Van v. Barnett*, 19 Ves. 102; *Biggs v. Andrews*, 5 Sim. 424; *Bourne v. Bourne*, 2 Ha. 35; *Wilmott v. Pike*, 5 Ha. 14; *Hardey v. Felton*, 14 L. J. Q. B. 346; *Re Clarke's Trusts*, 22 L. J. Ch. 230.

(s) *Dav. Conv. Vol. II. pt. ii. p. 684*; *Hardey v. Felton*, 14 L. J. Q. B. 346; *Re Underwood*, 3 K. & J. 745.

(t) *Dav. Conv. Vol. II. pt. ii. p. 684*. But see *Fish. Mtg.* 4th ed. p. 468.

(u) *Re Smith's Mortgage*, 7 Jur. N. S. 903.

CHAP. XLV.

of all interest and costs due in respect of the charge; thirdly, in discharge of the principal moneys; and lastly, in payment of the residue to the person entitled to the property subject to the charge.

Conv., &c.
Act, 1881,
s. 21.

On this point the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, enacts as follows:—

Application
of purchase-
moneys.

Sect. 21 (3). "The money which is received by the mortgagee, arising from the sale, after discharge of prior incumbrances to which the sale is not made subject, if any, or after payment into Court under the Act of a sum to meet any prior incumbrance, shall be held by him in trust to be applied by him, first, in payment of all costs, charges, and expenses, properly incurred by him, as incident to the sale or any attempted sale, or otherwise; and secondly, in discharge of the mortgage money, interest, and costs, and other money, if any, due under the mortgage; and the residue of the money so received shall be paid to the person entitled to the mortgaged property, or authorized to give receipts for the proceeds of the sale thereof."

The effect of the above sub-section as regards a puisne incumbrancer, is to enable him to sell the property comprised in his mortgage free from prior incumbrances by either paying them off out of the purchase-money, or by paying into Court under this Act (*v*) a sufficient sum thereout to meet the principal and any interest due in respect of the prior incumbrances, together with such additional amount as the Court may deem sufficient to meet further costs, expenses, or interest.

Mortgagee is
trustee of
surplus pro-
ceeds of sale
for mort-
gagor.

On a sale of the mortgaged property under an express or a statutory power, the mortgagee becomes a trustee of the surplus proceeds for the mortgagor, or for any persons interested therein under any subsequent incumbrance or other dealing with the equity of redemption of which he has notice; and he will accordingly be answerable to the extent of the money he receives if, after retaining thereout his expenses and the moneys due under his security, he pays the surplus to the wrong person (*x*).

So where a first mortgagee upon a sale under his power retained more of the purchase-money than was sufficient to satisfy his claim instead of paying such surplus to a second mortgagee, he was ordered to pay to the latter simple interest thereon, though four years elapsed before the second mortgagee took proceedings to enforce his claim (*y*).

(*v*) See sect. 5 of the Act set out *ante*, p. 633.

(*x*) *Tanner v. Heard*, 23 Beav. 555; *Mathison v. Clarke*, 3 Drew. 3; *Charles*

v. Jones, 35 Ch. D. 25; *Magnus v. Queensland Bank*, 37 Ch. D. 466, C. A.

(*y*) *Eley v. Read*, 76 L. T. 39, C. A.

The responsibility of a mortgagee as regards surplus proceeds extends to cases where he concurs in the sale of the mortgaged property with notice of a subsequent incumbrance. So where a mortgagor, with the concurrence of the first mortgagees, who had notice of a second mortgage, sold the property and, on completion, the balance, after payment of what was due on the first mortgage, was paid to the mortgagor, it was held that the first mortgagees, having joined in the conveyance with knowledge that part of the purchase-money was about to be applied in disregard of an equity of which they had notice, were liable to make good to the second mortgagee the amount of his security as if they had received the whole of the purchase-money (s).

CHAP. XLV.

Extent of
liability.

Where a voluntary settlement is made by a mortgagor subsequently to the mortgage, on exercise of the power of sale, the surplus proceeds belong to the persons interested in the settlement and not to the settlor (a).

Settlement of
equity of
redemption.

In the case of a mortgage by a tenant for life of settled lands under his statutory powers (b), the surplus proceeds will be payable either into Court or to the trustees of the settlement, at the option of the tenant for life, as capital money arising under the settlement pending the application thereof for the purposes for which the money was raised (c).

Money raised
under Settled
Land Acts.

The mortgagee, in paying over the surplus, must pay due regard to any just claims which any person interested in the equity of redemption may have against another; and if he should be in doubt on this point, he will be justified in applying to the Court by originating summons as to the validity of the claim. So where a mortgagee sold the mortgaged property which was owned by tenants in common, it was held, on an application by the mortgagee to determine how the balance in his hands should be applied, that the present value of improvements due to expenditure by one tenant in common ought to be allowed in distributing the surplus proceeds of sale (d).

Mortgagee
must regard
claims *inter se*
of parties
interested.

Where it does not appear who is the proper person to receive the surplus proceeds, the mortgagee may pay the money into Court (e). Unless he does so, he is bound to invest the money

Duty of
mortgagee
where proper
person to
receive sur-

(s) *West London Commercial Bank v. Reliance Permanent Building Society*, 29 Ch. D. 954, C. A. See *Bentham v. Haincourt*, Free. Ch. 30.

(a) *Re Walhampton Estate*, 26 Ch. D. 391.

(b) See *ante*, pp. 388 *et seq.*

(c) 45 & 46 Vict. c. 38, s. 22 (1).

(d) *Re Cook's Mortgage*, *Lawledge v. Tyndall*, (1896) 1 Ch. 923.

(e) *Roberts v. Ball*, 24 L. J. Ch. 471.

CHAP. XLV.

plus moneys
not ascer-
tained.

Notice not to
part with
surplus
moneys.

Undue pre-
ference by
mortgagee.

Mortgagee
liable only as
to equities of
which he has
notice.

Mortgagee
not trustee
where equity
of redemption
barred.

Mortgagee
must render
accounts of
surplus
proceeds.

Right of
mortgagee to
discharge.

for the benefit of the persons who may establish their claim to it; and, if he fails to do so, he may be ordered to pay interest at the rate of 4 per cent. per annum as from the date of the sale on the amount in his hands after deducting therefrom what is due to him on his mortgage (*f*).

A mortgagee who has received from persons interested notice not to part with the surplus proceeds of sale pending the determination of dispute as to their respective rights and interests, is not bound to invest the money, and, if it remains unproductive in his hands, he will not be chargeable with interest (*g*).

If a mortgagee shows an intention to give some claimants an undue advantage, the money will be ordered to be paid into Court, and a receiver will be appointed of the proceeds of the property which remains unsold (*h*).

A mortgagee exercising his power of sale will only be answerable as trustee of the surplus proceeds of sale to persons, other than the mortgagor, of whose incumbrances or equities he has notice (*i*).

Where a mortgagee sells under his power after the mortgagor's equity of redemption is barred by lapse of time, he is no longer a trustee of the surplus proceeds of sale which belong to him absolutely (*k*).

A mortgagee who has sold under his power, being a trustee of the surplus proceeds of sale in his hands, is bound, like any other trustee, to furnish to the person or persons entitled to receive those moneys an account, if demanded, of his claims under his mortgage in respect of principal, interest, and costs, including the expenses of and incident to the sale (*l*).

The mortgagee, having rendered such accounts, is not entitled to demand a release under seal (*m*). But he is entitled to have his accounts examined and settled by the puisne incumbrancer or mortgagor claiming to receive the surplus proceeds, and either to have a discharge given to him, or to have the accounts taken by the Court (*n*). Indeed, the claimant can only recover

(*f*) *Charles v. Jones*, 35 Ch. D. 544. See *Quarrell v. Beckford*, 1 Madd. 269; *Court v. Roberts*, 6 Cl. & F. 65.

(*g*) *Mathison v. Clark*, 25 L. J. Ch. 29.

(*h*) *Southwaite v. Ripon*, 8 L. J. N. S. Ch. 139.

(*i*) *Thorne v. Heard and Marsh*, (1895) A. C. 495.

(*k*) *Re Alison, Johnson v. Mounsey*,

11 Ch. D. 284.

(*l*) See *Springett v. Dashwood*, 2 Giff. 521; *Burrows v. Walls*, 5 De G. M. & G. 253. See also *Re Tillott, Lee v. Wilson*, (1892) 1 Ch. 86; *Re Page, Jones v. Morgan*, (1893) 1 Ch. 304.

(*m*) *King v. Mullins*, 1 Drew. 308; *Re Cater*, 25 Beav. 366; *Re Hoskin*, 5 Ch. D. 229.

(*n*) *Chadwick v. Heatley*, 2 Coll. 137.

the surplus proceeds, either by claiming the amount admitted by the first mortgagee to be due, which would operate as an estoppel, and so discharge him, or by claiming a different amount, which would necessitate the taking of accounts by the Court, the costs of which would come out of the surplus proceeds (o).

CHAP. XLV.

The Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, s. 22, further enacts as follows:—

“(2.) Money received by a mortgagee under his mortgage or from the proceeds of securities comprised in his mortgage shall be applied in like manner as in the Act directed respecting money received by him arising from a sale under the power of sale conferred by the Act; but with this variation, that the costs, charges, and expenses payable shall include the costs, charges, and expenses properly incurred of recovering and receiving the money, or securities, and of conversion of securities into money, instead of those incident to sale.”

Receipt for moneys received under mortgage otherwise than by sale under power.

This sub-section appears to enable a puisne mortgagee, on a sale of the property comprised in his security by a prior mortgagee under his statutory power, to give to the latter a valid discharge for the surplus proceeds of sale to be applied in due course of distribution as if they were proceeds of a sale effected by the puisne mortgagee under his own power.

(o) See *post*, p. 1185.

CHAPTER XLVI.

OF THE APPOINTMENT OF A RECEIVER.

SECTION I.

OF A RECEIVER APPOINTED BY THE PARTIES.

Advantage of
appointment
of a receiver.

i.—Mode of appointing a Receiver independently of Statute.—
Where there is reason to anticipate that resort to the income of the mortgaged property may be necessary in order to ensure the regular payment of interest, a receiver may be appointed contemporaneously with the completion of the mortgage in order to secure to the mortgagee the advantages without the responsibilities of possession (*a*).

Appointment
as part of the
mortgage
transaction.

The appointment may in such a case be made either by the mortgage deed itself or by a separate instrument. It is, in general, convenient to adopt the latter course in order that the instrument appointing the receiver may be delivered into his custody, to be held and produced by him as his authority if it should become necessary for him to act. If, however, as is not unfrequently the case, the mortgagee's solicitor is appointed receiver, the practice is to make the appointment by the mortgage deed in order to save the expense of a separate instrument (*b*).

Appoint-
ment is by
mortgagor so
as to make
receiver his
agent.

The appointment is made by the mortgagor in order to constitute the receiver his agent, and not the agent of the mortgagee, so as to exonerate the latter from the responsibilities for the receiver's acts and defaults. Where the appointment is made by the mortgagor, the receiver must be treated as being for all purposes his agent, and all payments made by the receiver, so appointed, to a mortgagee are payments by a mortgagor continuing in possession of the mortgaged estate (*c*). The mortgagee, however, is generally expressed to consent to and approve the appointment. Where the appointment of a receiver was expressly made jointly by the mortgagor and mortgagee, with a proviso that the mortgagee should not be responsible for losses occurring through the default of the

(*a*) See *Chambers v. Goldwin*, 9 Ves. 254, 271.

(*b*) *Dav. Conv.*, Vol. II. pt. ii. p. 99.

(*c*) *Per Rolt, L.J.*, in *Law v. Glenn*, L. R. 2 Ch. A. 634, at p. 641. See also *Jefferys v. Dickson*, L. R. 1 Ch. A. 183.

receiver, it was held that the effect of the appointment was to make the receiver the agent of the mortgagor and mortgagee, but that it did not make the latter a mortgagee in possession of the premises through the receiver as his agent; and the Court refused to consider the receiver, after the death of the mortgagor who had survived the mortgagee, as the receiver of the mortgagee's administratrix without further evidence of some act on her part that would constitute him her receiver (d).

CHAP. XLVI.

The instrument appointing a receiver is generally in the form of an ordinary power of attorney, defining the powers and duties of the receiver; and this form is invariably adopted in the case of a receiver of copyholds. Sometimes, however, the lands are demised to the receiver for a term of years, so as to give him the legal estate; but the inconvenience of vesting the legal estate in a person who is intended to be merely a ministerial agent, is such that the practice is not to be recommended.

Form of instrument of appointment.

The advantages of a demise are, that the receiver is thereby enabled to distrain in his own name, and that his authority is not determined by the death of the appointor. But, independently of any demise, the possession of the receiver is the possession of the mortgagor, and as his agent he may exercise the powers of the mortgagor in possession, including, it is conceived, the implied power of distraining in the name of the mortgagee (e). If the mortgage deed contains provisions for the appointment of a receiver from time to time, and in all cases which come within the statutory powers of appointing receivers hereafter considered, the determination of the receiver's authority by the death of the appointor is generally immaterial, as the representatives of the mortgagor will be compellable, or the representatives of the mortgagee will be entitled, to make a fresh appointment as the case may be.

Demise of property to receiver.

As regards mortgages executed before the 28th August, 1860, when Lord Cranworth's Act came into operation, if a receiver is not appointed at the time of the completion of the mortgage, a mortgagee is not entitled to obtain the appointment of a receiver unless stipulations providing for such appointment are contained in the mortgage deed, or can be shown to have formed part of the mortgage contract. If, as is sometimes the case, the mortgage deed contains a covenant by the mortgagor, on request of

Power to require appointment of receiver subsequently to mortgage.

(d) *Jones v. Smith*, 1 Ph. 244.*Pitt v. Snowden*, 3 Atk. 760; *Hughes v.*(e) *Trent v. Hunt*, 9 Exch. 14. See*Hughes*, 3 Bro. C. C. 87.

CHAP. XLVI.

the mortgagee, to appoint such person as the mortgagee shall nominate to be receiver, the mortgagor cannot, of course, be compelled to make such appointment, except in accordance with the strict terms of the covenant.

Mortgagee may appoint receiver at any time.

A mortgagee, although he cannot charge for his trouble in collecting the rents and profits of the mortgaged property, yet may of his own authority, and of his own responsibility, appoint a receiver at any time during the continuance of the security, and, as will be pointed out hereafter (*f*), the mortgagee, in taking the accounts between himself and the mortgagor, will be allowed the remuneration and proper expenses of such receiver.

Possession of such receiver is possession of mortgagee.

A receiver so appointed is, however, the agent of the mortgagee, and, by appointing him, the mortgagee will be deemed to have entered into possession of the mortgaged premises, and will be accountable accordingly (*g*).

Liability for goods ordered by receiver after winding up of company.

Where a trust deed to secure debentures empowered the trustees to appoint a receiver and manager of the business, to be the agent of the company, it was held that the trustees were nevertheless liable as undisclosed principals for goods supplied to the receiver for the purpose of carrying on the business after the commencement of the winding-up of the company (*h*).

Power to appoint receiver.

ii.—Appointment of a Receiver under Lord Cranworth's Act.—By Lord Cranworth's Act (*i*), it is enacted that :—

Powers incident to mortgages.

Sect. 11. "Where any principal money is secured or charged by deed on any hereditaments of any tenure, the person to whom such money shall for the time being be payable, his executors, administrators, and assigns, are empowered at any time after the expiration of one year from the time when any principal money shall have become payable according to the terms of the deed, or after any interest on such principal money shall have been in arrear for six months, or after any omission to pay any premium on any insurance which by the terms of the deed ought to be paid by the person entitled to the property subject to the charge, . . . to appoint or obtain the appointment of a receiver of the rents and profits of the whole or of any part of the property."

Sect. 17 empowers a mortgagee to appoint as receiver any person named for that purpose in the deed, or if no person is so named, to give notice in writing to the mortgagor to appoint a

(*f*) *Post*, p. 1192.

(*g*) *Quarrell v. Beckford*, 1 Madd. 269; *Davis v. Dendy*, 3 Madd. 170; *Leith v. Irvine*, 1 My. & K. 277, at p. 286.

(*h*) *Gaskell v. Gosling*, (1896) 1 Q. B. 669, *per* Lord Esher, M. R., and Lopes, L. J., *diss.*, Rigby, L. J., whose

judgment stating his grounds for dissent is well worth perusal, as giving a lucid exposition of the principles which have hitherto been generally recognized as regulating the responsibilities of mortgagees in such cases.

(*i*) 24 & 25 Vict. c. 145.

receiver, and on default to appoint within ten days after such notice, the mortgagee may himself by writing appoint a receiver. Sect. 18 provides that the receiver shall be deemed to be the agent of the mortgagor. Sect. 19 defines the powers of a receiver. Sect. 20 provides for the removal of a receiver and for the appointment of new receivers. Sect. 21 gives power to a receiver to retain out of moneys received by him a commission not exceeding 5 per cent. upon the gross amount received. Sect. 22 provides that the receiver is to insure if so required by the mortgagee. And sect. 23 provides for the application of moneys received.

CHAP. XLVI.

The above sections are repealed, but without prejudice to anything done or the effect of any instrument executed between the 28th of August, 1860, and the 31st of December, 1881 (*j*), and the statutory power of appointing a receiver applies only to mortgages and charges made by deed to secure money advanced, or to be advanced, by way of loan, or to secure an existing or future debt.

Repeal of
above enact-
ment.

In the case of a mortgage made before the 1st of January, 1882, the powers conferred by this Act are exerciseable at the present time (*k*).

iii.—Appointment of a Receiver under the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881.—This Act (*l*), by sect. 19, confers on mortgagees, where the mortgage is made by deed (among other powers), “a power, when the mortgage money has become due, to appoint a receiver of the income of the mortgaged property, or of any part thereof.”

Power to ap-
point receiver.

And by the same Act it is enacted as follows:—

Sect. 24.—“(1.) A mortgagee entitled to appoint a receiver under the power in that behalf conferred by the Act shall not appoint a receiver until he has become entitled to exercise the power of sale conferred by the Act, but may then, by writing under his hand, appoint such person as he thinks fit to be receiver.

Appointment,
powers, re-
muneration,
and duties of
receiver.

“(2.) The receiver shall be deemed to be the agent of the mortgagor; and the mortgagor shall be solely responsible for the receiver's acts or defaults, unless the mortgage deed otherwise provides.

“(3.) The receiver shall have power to demand and recover all the income of the property of which he is appointed receiver, by

(*j*) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 71, and Sched.

(*k*) *Re Solomon and Meagher's Contract*, 40 Ch. D. 508.

(*l*) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41.

CHAP. XLVI.

action, distress, or otherwise, in the name either of the mortgagor or of the mortgagee, to the full extent of the estate or interest which the mortgagor could dispose of, and to give effectual receipts, accordingly, for the same.

“(4.) A person paying money to the receiver shall not be concerned to inquire whether any case has happened to authorize the receiver to act.

“(5.) The receiver may be removed, and a new receiver may be appointed, from time to time by the mortgagee by writing under his hand.

“(6.) The receiver shall be entitled to retain out of any money received by him, for his remuneration, and in satisfaction of all costs, charges, and expenses incurred by him as receiver, a commission at such rate, not exceeding five per centum on the gross amount of all money received, as is specified in his appointment, and if no rate is so specified, then at the rate of five per centum on that gross amount, or at such higher rate as the Court thinks fit to allow, on application made by him for that purpose.

“(7.) The receiver shall, if so directed in writing by the mortgagee, insure and keep insured against loss or damage by fire, out of the money received by him, any building, effects or property comprised in the mortgage, whether affixed to the freehold or not, being of an insurable nature.

“(8.) The receiver shall apply all money received by him as follows (namely):

“(i.) In discharge of all rents, taxes, rates, and outgoings whatever affecting the mortgaged property; and

“(ii.) In keeping down all annual sums or other payments, and the interest on all principal sums, having priority to the mortgage in right whereof he is receiver; and

“(iii.) In payment of his commission, and of the premiums on fire, life, or other insurances, if any, properly payable under the mortgage deed or under the Act, and the cost of executing necessary or proper repairs directed in writing by the mortgagee; and

“(iv.) In payment of the interest accruing due in respect of any principal money due under the mortgage;

and shall pay the residue of the money received by him to the person who, but for the possession of the receiver, would have been entitled to receive the income of the mortgaged property, or who is otherwise entitled to that property.”

Comparison of
Lord Cran-
worth's Act
and Conv.,
&c. Act, 1881,
as to powers,
&c. of re-
ceivers.

Upon comparing the language of the two statutes, it will be seen that Lord Cranworth's Act applies only to hereditaments^(m), but the present Act applies to mortgage property of all kinds; that the earlier Act prescribes conditions precedent to the exercise of the power which are more unfavourable to the mortgagee than those ordinarily attached to the exercise of express powers, but the present Act makes the power to appoint a receiver exerciseable at any time after any mortgage money

(m) See *ante*, p. 918.

becomes due, and the statutory power of sale has become exerciseable (n); that under Lord Cranworth's Act, unless the person to be appointed receiver was named in the mortgage deed, the mortgagee could not appoint or remove a receiver until after notice to the mortgagor to appoint or remove a receiver, and default on his part, but the present Act renders such notice unnecessary; that the maximum rate of a receiver's commission was, under the earlier statute, fixed at five per cent., but the present Act empowers the Court to allow commission at a higher rate under special circumstances, as in the case of a receiver appointed by the Court; and that the purposes for which moneys received may be applied before paying over the surplus to the persons entitled thereto is materially extended by the present Act, and, in particular may be applied by the receiver, if authorized in writing by the mortgagee, to carry out any necessary and proper repairs without involving the mortgagee in the liabilities of a mortgagee in possession.

A puisne mortgagee may appoint a receiver under the statutory power; but, inasmuch as the power extends only so far as if in terms conferred by the mortgage deed, a receiver so appointed will be liable to be superseded by one subsequently appointed by a prior mortgagee.

Appointment
by puisne
mortgagee.

When an action is pending, though the statutory power of appointing a receiver is not determined, the proper course is not to make an appointment under the Act, but to apply to the Court to appoint a receiver (o).

Appointment
pendente lite.

The statutory power of appointing a receiver, or any of the provisions ancillary thereto, contained in the Act of 1881, may be added to, limited or varied, or altogether negated by the express terms of the mortgage deed (p).

Variation of
statutory
powers.

The power applies only to mortgage deeds executed after the commencement of the Act (q).

Application
of statutory
power.

The mere fact of a receiver being appointed by the parties under this Act will not prevent the mortgagee from specially indorsing his writ in an action for recovery of the mortgage debt under the Rules of the Supreme Court, Ord. III. r. 6, for the purpose of obtaining summary judgment under Ord. XIV.;

(n) See as to this *ante*, p. 884.

(o) *Tillett v. Nixon*, 25 Ch. D. 238.
See *Re Henry Pound, Son, and Hutchins*,
42 Ch. D. 402, 415, C. A.; *British*
Linen Co. v. South American and Mexican

Co., (1894) 1 Ch. 108.

(p) See sect. 19, sub-sects. (2), (3),
set out *ante*, p. 884.

(q) *Ibid.*, sub-sect. (4).

CHAP. XLVI.

but if there is any dispute as to the amount claimed, leave to defend must be granted (*r*).

But in a case where there was a prior action for foreclosure pending, in which accounts had to be taken, and a receiver had been appointed in that action (*s*), it was held that the plaintiff could not, in a subsequent action brought by him for personal payment, specially indorse his writ (*t*).

Powers,
duties, and
liabilities of
receiver
appointed
by deed.

iv.—Powers, Duties, &c. of Receiver appointed by Deed.—The nature of the office of a receiver appointed by deed, and the extent of his powers, are very different from those of a receiver appointed by the Court (*u*). The one derives his authority wholly from the deed appointing him, and he cannot go beyond the powers thereby given either expressly or by implication of law. The other is an officer of the Court and acts under its direction; he is accordingly in many respects guarded and protected by the authority of the Court in the exercise of powers which cannot be conferred by private individuals; it is therefore necessary that, in a receivership deed, the powers, duties, and liabilities of the receiver should be clearly defined.

The reports afford very few decisions as to the effect and operation of the powers and provisions usually contained in receivership deeds. The numerous reported cases respecting receivers relate almost exclusively to those appointed by the Court; but the principles and rules there laid down have little application, except by way of analogy, to the position of a receiver appointed by deed.

Notice to
quit.

A receiver appointed by the mortgage deed, with power to receive the rents and eject tenants, has been held to be, as agent of the mortgagor, authorized to give notice to quit, within 4 Geo. II. c. 28, s. 1, so as to make the tenants liable in double value for holding over. In the case referred to, the appointment was made, with the consent of the mortgagees, by the mortgagors, who were the beneficial owners, and their trustees joined in conveying the legal estate, but not in the appointment of the

(*r*) *Lynde v. Waithman*, (1895) 2 Q. B. 180, C. A. Kay, L.J., intimated (at p. 186) that if the mortgagees had been in possession the case would have been different.

(*s*) The possession of a receiver appointed in an action is that of the

Court, not of the mortgagor. See *post*, p. 943.

(*t*) *Earl Poulett v. Viscount Hill*, (1893) 1 Ch. 277, C. A.

(*u*) *Cf. Owen & Co. v. Cronk*, (1895) 1 Q. B. 265, C. A.; and *Burt v. Bull*, (1895) 1 Q. B. 276, C. A.

receiver (*x*). Where, by a receivership deed, the mortgagor attorned as tenant to the receiver, it was held that the relation of landlord and tenant was created between the receiver and the mortgagor, and that the receiver was entitled to distrain upon the mortgaged premises (*y*).

A mortgagor in possession has implied authority to realize the rent by distress, and to distrain for it in the mortgagee's name as his bailiff (*z*). A receiver appointed by deed in the usual form, and thereby empowered to receive and recover rents, may, it is conceived, avail himself of such implied authority, though there is no attornment, and distrain in the name of the mortgagee accordingly. But the appointment of a receiver does not of itself give him an implied power of distress (*a*).

Power of distress.

Where a mortgagee has appointed a receiver under the Act of 1881, the Court will restrain the mortgagor from distraining for rent upon a tenant of the mortgaged property (*b*).

Distress by mortgagor.

Where the mortgagee and mortgagor demised to the receiver under the receivership deed, it was held that the power of sale in the mortgage deed was not affected, and that the receiver was bound, without the concurrence of the mortgagor, to join in a conveyance to a purchaser from the mortgagee under the power of sale (*c*).

Power of sale not affected by appointment.

Where a receiver has been appointed by the mortgagor and the first mortgagee, no account will be directed at the suit of a second mortgagee against the receiver in the absence of the first mortgagee (*d*).

Accounts.

Where by subsequent agreement the rate of interest on the first mortgage was increased, the payments of the extra interest made by a receiver of the mortgage premises were held to bind the second incumbrancer until notice had been given by him to the receiver that his interest was in arrear (*e*).

Increased rate of interest.

Where by the terms of the deed of receivership the receiver is to be first paid all his expenses, but is not to be paid any remuneration for his trouble until after the payment of interest on the mortgages, and from the situation of the receiver (as being a solicitor practising in London) it is clear that he could

Remuneration of receiver.

- (*x*) *Poole v. Warren*, 8 A. & E. 582. 197.
 (*y*) *Jolly v. Arbuthnot*, 4 De G. & J. 224. 890.
 (*z*) *Trent v. Hunt*, 9 Exch. 14.
 (*a*) *Ward v. Shaw*, 9 Bing. 608.
 (*b*) *Bayley v. Went*, W. N. (1889) 634.
 (*c*) *King v. Heenan*, 3 De G. M. & G. 890.
 (*d*) *Ford v. Rackham*, 17 Beav. 486.
 (*e*) *Law v. Glenn*, L. R. 2 Ch. A. 634.

CHAP. XLVI.

not be expected to attend personally to the collecting of the rents, the salaries of agents, employed by him for that purpose, will be allowed as part of the expenses (*f*).

Payment of taxes, &c.

A receiver, unless authorized by the deed appointing him, is not empowered to pay taxes, rates, and outgoings, nor the expenses of repairs. Such authority is, however, supplied to some extent by Lord Cranworth's Act, and to a greater extent by the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, as regards mortgages which come within those respective statutes (*g*).

Exclusion of mortgagee's liability.

Notwithstanding that the receiver is the agent of the mortgagor, it is well to provide expressly that the mortgagee shall not be liable for any loss arising from the acts or defaults of the receiver (*h*).

Liability of receiver.

It is conceived that, even in the absence of stipulation, a receiver will not be liable to the mortgagor for involuntary losses which may occur in the proper discharge of his duty (*i*). Of course, however, he will be liable for losses which may arise by reason of his own misconduct (*k*).

A receiver appointed by deed and not by the Court, with directions to receive rents and profits, is not liable to third parties with whom he deals as such receiver in respect of the moneys received by him without some acknowledgment on his part, either express or by implication from his conduct, to render him so liable (*l*).

SECTION II.

OF A RECEIVER APPOINTED BY THE COURT.

Legal mortgagee could not formerly obtain appointment of a receiver.

i.—Jurisdiction to appoint a Receiver.—In reference to the appointment of a receiver by the Court, on application by the mortgagee, the rule before the Judicature Acts was that if the mortgagee, having the legal estate, neglected to take the precaution of an agreement with the mortgagor for the appoint-

(*f*) *Gilbert v. Dyneley*, 3 Man. & Gr. 12.

(*g*) See *supra*.

(*h*) *Hutchinson v. Lord Massareene*, 2 Br. & B. 49.

(*i*) *Knight v. Lord Plymouth*, 3 Atk. 480.

(*k*) *Wren v. Kirton*, 11 Ves. 377; *Salway v. Salway*, 2 R. & My. 215.

(*l*) *Owen & Co. v. Cronk*, (1895) 1 Q. B. 265. See *Bartlett v. Dimond*, 14 M. & W. 49; *Pardoe v. Price*, 16 M. & W. 451.

ment of a receiver, he could not, as a general rule, obtain such appointment by order of the Court, but must have proceeded to eject the mortgagor (*m*).

CHAP. XLVI.

By the Judicature Act, 1873 (*n*), a receiver may be appointed by an interlocutory order of the Court in all cases in which it shall appear to the Court to be just or convenient that such order should be made, and any such order may be made either unconditionally or upon such terms and conditions as the Court shall think just. Under this section there is no limit to the power of the Court to appoint a receiver on motion, except that it is only to be exercised when it appears "just or convenient" (*o*).

Power of Court under Judicature Acts.

The appointment of a receiver has been extended under this section to the whole property comprised in a security, as to part of which the incumbrancer was a legal, and as to part equitable, mortgagee (*p*).

The expression "interlocutory order" in this enactment means any order other than an order made by way of final judgment at the hearing of the cause, whether such order be made before judgment or after (*q*).

Meaning of "interlocutory order."

The Court has jurisdiction to make an order appointing a receiver at the trial of the action as well as upon an interlocutory application (*r*).

Appointment at trial.

The application for the appointment of a receiver may be made either by motion or by petition (*s*); but, according to the present practice, the application in actions relating to mortgage securities is generally made on motion. In cases arising within the Railway Companies Act, 1867 (*t*), or the Mortgage Debenture Acts (*u*), a receiver may be appointed on petition.

Form of application.

The Court has no jurisdiction to appoint a receiver unless an action is pending (*x*); but, for this purpose, an originating summons is an action (*y*). This rule applies even in the case

No appointment unless action pending.

(*m*) *Berney v. Sewell*, 1 J. & W. 647; *Sturch v. Young*, 5 Beav. 557. See *Holmes v. Bell*, 2 Beav. 298; *White v. Smale*, 22 Beav. 72; *Ackland v. Gravenor*, 31 Beav. 482; *Fripp v. Chard R. Co.*, 11 Ha. 241; *Fall v. Elkins*, 9 W. R. 861.

(*n*) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66, s. 25 (8).

(*o*) *Per Jessel, M. R., Gawthorpe v. Gawthorpe*, W. N. (1878) 91.

(*p*) *Pease v. Fletcher*, 1 Ch. D. 273; notwithstanding *Habershon v. Gill*, W. N. (1875) 231; *Tillett v. Nixon*, 25 Ch. D. 239.

(*q*) *Smith v. Cowell*, 6 Q. B. D. 75, C. A.

(*r*) *Re Prytheroh, Prytheroh v. Williams*, 42 Ch. D. 590.

(*s*) *Bainbrigg v. Blair*, 4 L. J. Ch. N. S. 207.

(*t*) 30 & 31 Vict. c. 127. See *post*, p. 933.

(*u*) 28 & 29 Vict. c. 78; 33 & 34 Vict. c. 20. See *post*, p. 937.

(*x*) *Exp. Mountfort*, 15 Ves. 445.

(*y*) *Re Fawcitt, Galland v. Burton*, 30 Ch. D. 231, C. A.

CHAP. XLVL

of infant mortgagors (z); but a receiver may be appointed over the property of a lunatic on petition though no action is pending (a).

Originating
summons.

A receiver may be appointed in proceedings for foreclosure commenced by originating summons, under R. S. C., Ord. LV. r. 5A (b), at any time after service of the summons (c); and, in an urgent case, leave may be given to serve notice of motion to appoint a receiver together with the summons (d); leave for this purpose may be given *ex parte* subject to any objections (e). Costs of an action commenced by writ will not, therefore, be allowed merely on the ground that an order for the appointment of a receiver is asked for (f).

Mortgagor
out of juris-
diction.

If the mortgagor was out of the jurisdiction, the Court would formerly have dispensed with service of notice to appoint a receiver (g); but it seems doubtful whether this would be done under the present practice (h).

Indorsement
of writ or
summons.

Where the appointment of a receiver is the substantial object of an action, the writ should be indorsed with a claim for such appointment (i). And, apparently, a similar rule would apply in the case of an originating summons. But the writ may be amended by the insertion of a claim for a receiver (i); and a creditor who has obtained judgment may obtain the appointment of a receiver, though the writ did not originally claim a receiver and has not been amended (k).

Action for
account.

The mortgagee of the share of one tenant in common of a mine or colliery may, in a suit for an account against the mortgagor and co-tenants in common, apply for the appointment of a receiver, without praying a dissolution of the concern (l).

Mode of
application
by plaintiff.

If the application for a receiver be made by a plaintiff, it may be made either *ex parte* or with notice (m). An application *ex parte*, made without notice to the person whose property is

(z) *Exp. Mountfort*, 15 Ves. 445.

(a) *Exp. Whitfield*, 2 Atk. 315.

(b) See *post*, p. 1020.

(c) *Re Franks, Drake v. Franks*, W. N. (1888) 69. See *Weston v. Levy*, W. N. (1887) 76; *Gee v. Bell*, 35 Ch. D. 160; *Barr v. Harding*, W. N. (1887) 251; *Robson v. Horner*, W. N. (1893) 100.

(d) *Smead v. Cumberland*, 31 S. J. 659; *Robson v. Horner*, W. N. (1893) 100.

(e) *Robson v. Horner*, W. N. (1893) 100.

(f) *Barr v. Harding*, W. N. (1887) 251; *O'Kelly v. Culverhouse*, W. N. (1887) 36; *Re Franks, Drake v. Franks*, *sup.*

(g) *Tanfield v. Irvine*, 2 Russ. 149.

(h) *Kerr on Receivers*, p. 115. See *post*, p. 1021.

(i) *Colebourne v. Colebourne*, 1 Ch. D. 690, C. A.

(k) *Norton v. Gover*, W. N. (1877) 206; *Salt v. Cooper*, 16 Ch. D. 544, C. A.

(l) *Bentley v. Bates*, 4 Y. & C. Ex. 182.

(m) R. S. C., Ord. L. r. 6.

sought to be affected, ought not to be granted even after judgment, except in cases of emergency (*n*), and is only justified where there is evidence of immediate danger to the property which is the subject of the security, or of other circumstances of an urgent nature (*o*). Under very special circumstances, a receiver may be appointed *ex parte* before service of the writ (*p*).

Where it is desired to serve the defendant with notice of motion for a receiver before appearance, special leave of the Court must be obtained (*q*), and leave to give short notice of motion must be expressly given for that purpose (*r*). The fact of leave having been given must be mentioned in the notice of motion, which must be served on the defendant personally (*s*), unless he has absconded (*t*).

Leave to serve notice of motion.

The order appointing the receiver will be made upon affidavit of service of the notice of motion (*u*).

A defendant may now, by R. S. C., Ord. L. r. 6, at any time after he has entered an appearance, and either before or after judgment (*x*), apply for the appointment of a receiver, but such an application must be made upon due notice to the plaintiff (*y*), unless in case of urgency (*z*).

Application by defendant for appointment of receiver.

The application for appointment of a receiver may be to the Court or a judge. The Court of Appeal can appoint a receiver though no order for such appointment has been made in the Court below (*a*).

To whom application may be made.

If the application is made in an action commenced by writ, and is an original application, it must be made in open Court unless the appointment is by consent (*b*); but an application for the appointment of a receiver in place of one already appointed may be made in Chambers (*c*). In the case of an originating summons, a receiver may be appointed either in Chambers or in

When application should be made in Court or in Chambers.

(*n*) *Lucas v. Harris*, 18 Q. B. D. 127, at p. 134, C. A. See *Caillard v. Caillard*, 25 Beav. 512.

(*o*) *Taylor v. Eckersley*, 2 Ch. D. 302; *Hyde v. Warden*, 1 Ex. D. 309; *Cash v. Parker*, 12 Ch. D. 294; *Fuzzle v. Bland*, 11 Q. B. D. 711.

(*p*) *H— v. H—*, 1 Ch. D. 276.

(*q*) *Ramsbottom v. Freeman*, 4 Beav. 145.

(*r*) *Hart v. Tulk*, 6 Ha. 611.

(*s*) *Hill v. Rimmell*, 8 Sim. 632; *Meaden v. Sealey*, 6 Ha. 620; *Jacklin v. Wilkins*, 6 Beav. 608.

(*t*) *Dowling v. Hudson*, 14 Beav. 423; *London and South Western Bank v. Facey*, 19 W. R. 676.

(*u*) *Meaden v. Sealey*, 6 Ha. 620.

(*x*) *Sargent v. Read*, 1 Ch. D. 600.

(*y*) See *Barlow v. Gains*, 8 Beav. 329.

(*z*) *Hick v. Lockwood*, W. N. (1883) 48.

(*a*) *Hyde v. Warden*, 1 Ex. D. 309, C. A.

(*b*) *Blackborough v. Ravenhill*, 16 Jur. 1085.

(*c*) *Grote v. Bing*, 20 L. T. 124; *Booth v. Coulton*, 16 W. R. 683.

CHAP. XLVI.

Court immediately or at any time after the service of the summons (*d*).

No appointment after foreclosure absolute.

An order for the appointment of a receiver cannot be obtained after a decree for foreclosure absolute, the action being then at an end; unless the Court should, for sufficient reasons, think proper to open the foreclosure (*e*).

Appointment is in discretion of Court.

ii.—In what Cases, and at whose instance, a Receiver will be appointed.—The appointment of a receiver is a matter entirely within the discretion of the Court, in the exercise of which it will be guided by a consideration of the circumstances of the particular case (*f*). In the case of an application by a mortgagee for that purpose, there are obvious conveniences in appointing a receiver so as to prevent a mortgagee from being in the onerous position of a mortgagee in possession (*g*).

The appointment of a receiver will, as a general rule, be made as a matter of course on the application of a mortgagee, whether legal or equitable, if the interest payable under the security is in arrear (*h*), even though the mortgagee is not entitled to enforce his security by foreclosure or sale, by reason of a covenant on his part that the principal shall not be called in for a specified period (*i*); or if the property comprised in the mortgage would be in danger if left until the hearing in the possession of the mortgagor (*j*). So, a receiver may be granted to an equitable mortgagee by deposit of deeds (*k*). Where a debtor has agreed to give a mortgage, and has not performed the agreement, and the interest is in arrear, a receiver will be appointed before defence, though no waste or other detriment to the property is shown (*l*).

A receiver may be appointed though the applicant's title is in dispute (*m*), but he must show a *prima facie* title, legal or equitable (*n*). The Court will not, upon an application for a receiver,

(*d*) *Re Franke, Drake v. Franke*, W. N. (1888) 69.

(*e*) *Wills v. Luff*, 38 Ch. D. 197.

(*f*) *Greville v. Fleming*, 2 J. & L. 339; *Re Henry Pound, Son, and Hutchins*, 42 Ch. D. 402, at p. 419.

(*g*) *Per Cotton, L. J.*, in *Re Pope*, 17 Q. B. D. 743, at p. 749. See *Re Prytherch, Prytherch v. Williams*, 42 Ch. D. 690.

(*h*) *Shakel v. Duke of Marlborough*, 4 Madd. 463; and see *Duckworth v. Trafford*, 18 Ves. 283; *Free v. Hinde*, 2 Sim. 7; *Wilson v. Wilson*, 2 Keen, 249; *Hopkins v. Worcester and Bir-*

mingham Canal Co., L. R. 6 Eq. 447.

(*i*) *Burroues v. Molloy*, 2 J. & L. 521.

(*j*) *Evans v. Coventry*, 5 De G. M. & G. 917. See *Whitworth v. Whyddon*, 2 Mac. & G. 65; *Herbert v. Greene*, 3 Ir. Ch. R. 270, 273.

(*k*) *Bodger v. Bodger*, 11 W. R. 160.

(*l*) *Aberdeen v. Chitty*, 3 Y. & C. Ex. 379.

(*m*) *Berry v. Keen*, 51 L. J. Ch. 912. See *Bodger v. Bodger*, *supra*.

(*n*) *Cupit v. Jackson*, 13 Pri. 721, 734; *White v. Smale*, 22 Beav. 73; *White v. James*, 26 Beav. 191.

decide or prejudice the cause (o), or say what view will be taken at the hearing (p).

CHAP. XLVI.

The assignee of an insolvent grantor of a rentcharge was held to be a necessary party to a bill for a receiver, though the object of the suit was only to affect the possession and not the title of the estate (q).

A receiver will not be granted where the amount of the property is so small that there is nothing likely to be recovered (r).

Where a mortgagee has, under or by virtue of his security, power to appoint a receiver, and has exercised such power, the proper course appears to be to apply to the Court, not to appoint a receiver, but for an order giving liberty for the receiver already appointed to exercise such powers as are desired, and which could only be exercised under the discretion of the Court (s).

Formerly, a mortgagee who had taken possession could not have a receiver appointed by the Court (t). But a legal mortgagee may now, even though he has taken possession, apply to have a receiver appointed. And if the circumstances are such as to render it just and convenient that a receiver should be appointed in such a case, the application will be granted (u); but it is entirely in the discretion of the Court to grant or refuse the application. A legal mortgagee who has once taken possession of mortgaged property cannot relinquish it at his pleasure, and, as a general rule, the Court will not assist him to do so by appointing a receiver (x).

Appointment after mortgagee has taken possession.

A receiver was appointed on the interlocutory application of a legal mortgagee, where the mortgagor prevented him from taking possession of the premises (y).

Mortgagee prevented from taking possession.

If the first mortgagee be in possession, the Court will not, in general, on the application of a subsequent mortgagee, or other creditor, appoint a receiver, but the second mortgagee must redeem the first, according to his own statement of the amount due on his security (z). Even if the first mortgagee is unable to state with

Appointment on application of puisne mortgagee where first mortgagee is in possession.

(o) *Huguenin v. Basley*, 13 Ves. 107.

(p) *Fripp v. Chard Rail. Co.*, 11 Hare, 264. See also *Skinner's Co. v. Irish Soc.*, 1 My. & Cr. 164; *Greville v. Fleming*, 2 J. & L. 335.

(q) *Curtis v. Darcy*, 2 J. & L. 718.

(r) *I — v. K —*, W. N. (1884) 63.

(s) *Re Henry Pound, Son and Hutchins*, 42 Ch. D. 402.

(t) *Sturch v. Young*, 5 Beav. 557.

(u) *Tillett v. Nixon*, 25 Ch. D. 238; *Mason v. Westoby*, 32 Ch. D. 206; *County of Gloucester Bank v. Rudry Morthyr, & Co. Colliery Co.*, (1895) 1 Ch. 629, C. A.

(x) *Re Prytherch, Prytherch v. Williams*, 42 Ch. D. 590.

(y) *Truman v. Redgrave*, 18 Ch. D. 547.

(z) *Berney v. Sewell*, 1 J. & W. 647; *Caldwell v. Ellison*, 9 L. T. N. S. 751.

CHAP. XLVI.

precision what is due to him, but can state upon oath that something is due to him on the security, the Court will not go into evidence to contradict the statement, and will refuse to appoint a receiver against him (*a*). But the Court will, in such a case, oblige the first mortgagee, on payment being made to him of the amount which he swears to be due, to give security to refund, if it should appear upon account that he has received too much (*b*).

If, however, the first mortgagee cannot positively assert on oath that something, however small, is due to him, the Court will appoint a receiver (*c*), and such assertion must be clear and unequivocal (*d*). If the accounts are in so confused a state as to prevent the first mortgagee from asserting positively that something is due, the Court will assume that nothing is due, and will appoint a receiver (*e*). But time may be given to him to examine the accounts and make an affidavit of the debt (*f*).

When receiver will be appointed in such cases.

So, a receiver will be appointed if the party applying offer to pay off the first mortgagee according to his own demand, and the latter refuse to accept what is due to him, or will not swear that anything is due (*g*).

A charge of mismanagement and collusion is not sufficient ground on motion before defence to take the possession from the first mortgagee (*h*); and in order to deprive him of the possession, on the ground of mismanagement, the charge must be of a clear and specified nature (*i*). The puisne mortgagee, however, though not entitled to a receiver, may obtain, if a *prima facie* case of mismanagement is made out, an order directing regular accounts to be kept, and for inspection of books, &c. (*k*).

When first mortgagee is not in possession.

But if the first mortgagee be not in possession, a second mortgagee may have a receiver, without prejudice to the rights of the first (*l*), although the mortgagor has not appeared to the suit and is out of the jurisdiction (*m*). The Court will not

(*a*) *Quarrell v. Bedford*, 13 Ves. 378; *Rowe v. Wood*, 2 J. & W. 558.

(*b*) *Dan. Ch. P.* 1687. See *Berney v. Sewell*, 1 J. & W. at p. 649.

(*c*) *Quarrell v. Bedford*, *sup.*; *Rowe v. Wood*, *sup.*

(*d*) *Hiles v. Moore*, 15 Beav. 175.

(*e*) *Codrington v. Parker*, 16 Ves. 469; *Hiles v. Moore*, *sup.*

(*f*) *Codrington v. Parker*, *sup.*

(*g*) *Berney v. Sewell*, 1 J. & W. 647.

(*h*) *Ibid.*

(*i*) *Rowe v. Wood*, 2 J. & W. 553. See *Barkley v. Lord Reay*, 2 Ha. 306 (charge of mismanagement against trustees in possession).

(*k*) *Rowe v. Wood*, *supra*.

(*l*) *Berney v. Sewell*, 1 J. & W. 647; *Bryan v. Cormick*, 1 Cox, 422; *Dalmer v. Dashwood*, 2 Cox, 378; and see *Phipps v. Bishop of Bath and Wells*, 2 Dick. 608; *Price v. Williams*, G. Coop. 31; *Archdeacon v. Bowes*, 3 Anst. 752.

(*m*) *Tanfield v. Irvine*, 2 Russ. 149.

allow a first mortgagee to object to the appointment of a receiver at the instance of a puisne incumbrancer, unless the first mortgagee will exercise his legal right of entry and take possession of the property (*n*).

Where mortgagees of the whole of a property declined to enter into possession, the Court, at the instance of puisne mortgagees of an undivided fifth share, in an action for partition or sale, appointed a receiver of the rents of the whole property (*o*).

The Court may appoint a receiver at the instance of an equitable mortgagee, although the first mortgagee has under his security a power to appoint a receiver which has become exerciseable (*p*).

iii.—Over what Property a Receiver may be appointed.—The order appointing a receiver should distinctly state over what property the receiver is appointed (*q*). Form of order.

A receiver may be appointed of the rents and profits of real estate, and of all personal estate of which creditors may have execution at law by writs of *fi. fa.* or *elegit* (*r*); also of all property which is regarded as assets in equity (*s*); but not of any other property, unless it is assignable and actually assigned or charged by the mortgage deed.

The Court has appointed receivers of a manor, to hold courts, &c. (*t*); of collieries and other mines (*u*); tithes (*x*); of a rent-charge (*y*); of turnpike and other tolls (*z*); of an equity of redemption (*a*); of an equitable interest in land where the legal estate is vested in trustees (*b*); of a fund standing to the credit of a debtor in another Court (*c*); of a reversionary interest (*d*)

(*n*) *Silver v. Bishop of Norwich*, 3 Swanst. 114, n.

(*o*) *Sumson v. Crutwell*, 31 W. R. 399.

(*p*) *Bord v. Tollemache*, 1 N. R. 177.

(*q*) *Crouse v. Wood*, 13 Beav. 271.

(*r*) *Davis v. Duke of Marlborough*, 1 Swanst. 74, at p. 83.

(*s*) *Blanchard v. Cawthorne*, 4 Sim. 572; *Gore v. Bowser*, 3 Sm. & G. 8.

(*t*) *Thellusson v. Woodford*, 13 Ves. 209; 4 Madd. 420. See *Windham v. Giubilei*, W. N. (1871) 119.

(*u*) *Jefferys v. Smith*, 1 J. & W. 298; *Clegg v. Fishwick*, 1 Mac. & G. 294; *Peck v. Trimmeran Colliery Co.*, 2 Ch. D. 116; *Campbell v. Lloyd's Bank*, 58 L. J. Ch. 424.

(*x*) *Lymer v. Helsham*, 1 Ir. Ch. R. 633.

(*y*) *Wise v. Beresford*, 3 D. & War. 276; *Cullen v. Dean, &c. of Killaloe*, 2 Ir. Ch. R. 133.

(*z*) *Lord Crouse v. Edleston*, 1 De G. & J. 93.

(*a*) *Anglo-Italian Bank v. Davies*, 9 Ch. D. 275, C. A.; *Smith v. Cowell*, 6 Q. B. D. 75, C. A.; *Exp. Evans*, 13 Ch. D. 253. See *ante*, p. 649.

(*b*) *Wells v. Kilpin*, L. R. 18 Eq. 298.

(*c*) *Westhead v. Reilly*, 25 Ch. D. 413.

(*d*) *Fuzzle v. Bland*, 11 Q. B. D. 711.

CHAP. XLVI.

of a newspaper (*e*); of an hotel (*f*); and other businesses (*g*). And in a proper case a manager of a mortgaged business or undertaking may be appointed (*h*). So also a receiver, with power to manage, may be appointed of a ship under a registered statutory mortgage (*i*).

Property
of married
woman.

A receiver may be appointed of the separate estate of a married woman, provided she is not restrained from anticipation (*k*). But where a married woman purports to mortgage property which is subject to such restraint, a protection order subsequently obtained by her will not apply to such property, so as to entitle the mortgagee to apply for the appointment of a receiver thereof (*l*).

Income vested
in trustees.

So also a receiver may be appointed of the income of a fund which is vested in trustees (*m*); but not when the payment of such income to the debtor is wholly dependent on the discretion of the trustees (*n*).

A receiver was appointed of a mortgage, part of a testator's estate, against a trustee and executor of the will, who by refusing to act had rendered a suit necessary, on the application of the person beneficially interested in the mortgage moneys (*o*).

Profits of
benefice.

A receiver cannot be appointed of the profits of an ecclesiastical benefice, as a charge on such profits is prohibited by statute (*p*).

Pay and
salary of
officer.

A receiver cannot be appointed of the pay or half-pay of a military or naval officer (*q*), or of the salary of any office which cannot be lawfully assigned (*r*).

Pension.

A receiver may be appointed of any pension which is legally assignable (*s*); but not of a pension the assignment of which is prohibited by statute (*t*), or by public policy, by reason of such pension being granted partly in consideration of future service (*u*).

(*e*) *Chaplin v. Young*, 6 L. T. N. S. 97; *Kelly v. Hutton*, 17 W. R. 425.

(*f*) *Truman v. Redgrave*, 18 Ch. D. 647.

(*g*) See Seton on Decrees, pp. 643 *et seq.*

(*h*) See *infra*, p. 933.

(*i*) *Fairfield Shipbuilding, &c. Co. v. London and East Coast Express Steamship Co.*, W. N. (1895) 64.

(*k*) *Bryant v. Bull*, 10 Ch. D. 153; *Re Peace and Waller*, 24 Ch. D. 405, C. A.; *Perks v. Mylrea*, W. N. (1884) 64. See *Hood-Barra v. Cathcart*, (No. 1) (1894) 2 Q. B. 559, C. A.

(*l*) *Hill v. Cooper*, (1893) 2 Q. B. 85, C. A.

(*m*) *Oliver v. Lowther*, 28 W. R. 381; *Webb v. Stenton*, 11 Q. B. D. 518,

530, C. A.

(*n*) *Jenner-Fust v. Needham*, 32 Ch. D. 582, C. A.

(*o*) *Palmer v. Wright*, 10 Beav. 234.

(*p*) 13 Eliz. c. 20; 57 Geo. III. c. 99. See *ante*, p. 438.

(*q*) *Apthorpe v. Apthorpe*, 57 L. T. 518.

(*r*) *Cooper v. Reilly*, 1 R. & M. 560. See *Palmer v. Bate*, 6 Moo. 28; *Exp. Huggins*, 21 Ch. D. 85; *Re Mirams*, (1891) 1 Q. B. 594.

(*s*) *Re Mirams, sup.*

(*t*) *Birch v. Birch*, 8 P. D. 163; *Lucas v. Harris*, 18 Q. B. D. 127;

Brenan v. Morrissey, 26 L. R. Ir. 618.

(*u*) *Davis v. Duke of Marlborough*, 1 Swanst. 74; *Wells v. Foster*, 8 M. & W. 152.

The assignability of pay, salaries and pensions, has been discussed in a former chapter (*x*).

CHAP. XLVI.

A receiver will, it seems, be appointed of the profits of an office granted by letters patent (*y*); so also the salary of the chaplain to a workhouse (*z*).

In the case of *Feistel v. King's College* (*a*), the M. R., after deciding on the validity of the assignment of the profits of the fellowship, said that he would either appoint a receiver of such sums as might be thereafter appropriated by the college for the dividend of the debtor, or adopt any other mode of securing the plaintiff's interest which might be more satisfactory to the college.

Profits of fellowship.

A receiver may apparently be appointed of future earnings of any kind, if such earnings are assignable and have been included in a mortgage; but unless a man has assigned or charged his future earnings, they cannot be prospectively impounded by his creditors by any ordinary process of execution, legal or equitable (*b*).

Future earnings.

A receiver will not be appointed at the suit of specialty creditors of the testator against the mortgagee of the devisee of the tenant for life of an equitable interest (*c*).

Receivers are always appointed without prejudice to the rights of prior incumbrancers (*d*).

A mortgagee of a railway company who has recovered judgment against the company may avail himself of the provisions of the Railway Companies Act, 1867 (*e*).

Railway Companies Act, 1867.

By sect. 4 of that Act, the rolling stock and plant of a railway company are, for the future, protected from being taken in execution; but a receiver, and, if necessary, a manager, of the undertaking, may now be appointed, on the petition of a judgment creditor; and the moneys paid to such receiver or manager, after providing for the working expenses of the railway and other outgoings, will be applied and distributed under the direction of the Court.

Appointment of receiver and manager of undertaking.

The protection of rolling stock from execution under this

(*x*) Chap. XVIII. pp. 298 *et seq.*

(*y*) *Blanchard v. Cawthorne*, 4 Sim. 566.

(*z*) *Reg. v. Judge of Lincolnshire County Court*, 20 Q. B. D. 167.

(*a*) 10 Beav. 491, 509.

(*b*) *Holmes v. Millage*, (1893) 1 Q. B. 551, C. A.

(*c*) *Coope v. Cresswell*, 12 W. R. 299.

(*d*) *Rhodes v. Lord Mostyn*, 17 Jur. 1007.

(*e*) 30 & 31 Vict. c. 127, made perpetual by 38 & 39 Vict. c. 31.

CHAP. XLVI.

Act continues, although the railway is afterwards closed for traffic (*f*).

What companies are within the Act.

The Act applies only to the undertakings of railway companies, and does not give the Court jurisdiction to appoint a manager of waterworks, tramways, or other undertakings of a public nature (*g*); but the statutory protection and power extend to the rolling stock and plant of a company constituted by statute for the purpose of constructing and working a railway, even though the railway is merely a subordinate and ancillary part of the undertaking authorized by the statute (*h*).

A railway which has never commenced to acquire land is not an undertaking under the section (*i*).

Appointment is of right.

The appointment of a receiver, and, if necessary, a manager, on the application of a judgment creditor who is unpaid, is, under this section, a matter of right; and the only evidence required in support of the application is, that he is such a creditor, and that his judgment is unsatisfied, and that the company is a going concern carrying on its own business and conducting its own traffic in the ordinary way (*k*).

Several judgment creditors.

But where an order appointing a receiver and manager has been made on the application of one judgment creditor, and is in force, another judgment creditor cannot obtain a similar order (*l*).

Grounds for appointing a manager.

In determining whether it is necessary to appoint a manager, the Court will, in the exercise of its jurisdiction, take into consideration the position of the company, and will act as it deems best in the interest of all the creditors, having regard to the interests of the public (*m*).

Who will be appointed manager.

As a general rule, with a view to the public convenience, if a manager of a railway undertaking is appointed, the directors of the company, or some of them, or the secretary, will be appointed managers or manager (*n*).

Jurisdiction depends solely on the Act.

Prior to the Act above referred to, the Court had no jurisdiction to appoint a manager of a railway or other public

(*f*) *Midland Waggon Co. v. Potteries, &c. Rail. Co.*, 6 Q. B. D. 36.

(*g*) *Blaker v. Herts Waterworks Co.*, 41 Ch. D. 399; *Marshall v. South Staffordshire Tramways Co.*, (1895) 2 Ch. 36.

(*h*) *Great Northern Rail. Co. v. Tahourdin*, 13 Q. B. D. 320, C. A.

(*i*) *Re Birmingham & Lichfield Rail. Co.*, 18 Ch. D. 155.

(*k*) *Re Manchester & Milford Rail.*

Co., 14 Ch. D. 645, C. A.

(*l*) *Re Mersey Rail. Co.*, 37 Ch. D. 610, C. A.

(*m*) *Re Hull, Barnsley, &c. Rail. Co.*, 57 L. T. 82. See *Deacon v. Arden*, 50 L. T. 584, and *Davis v. Vale of Evesham Preserves*, 73 L. T. 150 (where see form of order for extending period of management).

(*n*) *Re Manchester & Milford Rail. Co.*, 14 Ch. D. 645, C. A.

undertaking, nor is there any such jurisdiction independently of the Act; and accordingly the appointment of a manager cannot be made upon the application of debenture holders in the case of a railway or other public company incorporated by a special Act (o). And, indeed, upon the principle that the Court will in no case (except in cases coming under the Railway Companies Act, 1867) assume the permanent management of an undertaking of a public nature, the Court will not generally, at the instance of debenture holders, appoint a manager of such an undertaking, though carried on by a company formed under the Companies Act, 1862 (p).

The powers of a receiver and manager under the section extend to providing for working expenses; under the words "working expenses," the payment of the hire of rolling stock is included (q).

Receiver's powers.

It will be seen hereafter that the holder of a mortgage or debenture secured upon the undertaking of a railway, canal, or other company established by the legislature for carrying out a public object, whether the rolling stock is or is not expressed to be included in the security, is not entitled to foreclosure or sale (r). Except in cases coming within the Railway Companies Act, 1867, the proper remedy of such a creditor is either to bring an action to recover the amount, or to apply to the Court for the appointment of a receiver to protect his security (s). The power of the Court to make the appointment is independent of any Act of Parliament, and may be exercised though the special Act does not expressly authorize such appointment (t).

Appointment of receivers of railways, &c. incorporated under special Acts.

The mortgagee or debenture holder is entitled to a receiver of the tolls and earnings of the company (u), although no time for payment of the principal is fixed, if he has given six months' notice (x); but the receivership order must be subject to prior

Where a receiver will be appointed.

(o) *Gardner v. London, Chatham & Dover Rail. Co.*, L. R. 2 Ch. A. 201; *Blaker v. Herts, &c. Waterworks Co.*, 41 Ch. D. 399; *Marshall v. South Staffordshire Tramways Co.*, (1895) 2 Ch. 36.

(p) *Marshall v. South Staffordshire Tramways Co.*, *sup.*, at p. 54; *Pegge v. South District Tramways Co.*, (1895) 2 Ch. 508.

(q) *Re Eastern and Midland Rail Co.*, 45 Ch. D. 367, C. A.

(r) *Re Cornwall Minerals Rail. Co.*, W. N. (1882) 132, C. A. See *post*, p. 1001.

(s) *Fripp v. Chard Rail. Co.*, 11 Ha. 241; *Potts v. Warwick and Birmingham Canal Co.*, Kay, 146; *Bowen v. Brecon Rail. Co.*, L. R. 3 Eq. 541; *Gardner v.*

London, Chatham & Dover Rail. Co., L. R. 2 Ch. A. 201; *Blaker v. Herts, &c. Waterworks Co.*, 41 Ch. D. 399; *Bartlett v. West Metropolitan Tramways Co.*, (1893) 3 Ch. 437; *Marshall v. South Staffordshire Tramways Co.*, (1895) 2 Ch. 36.

(t) *De Winton v. Mayor of Brecon*, 26 Beav. 533.

(u) *Furness v. Caterham Rail. Co.*, 25 Beav. 614, 619; *De Winton v. Mayor of Brecon*, 26 Beav. 533; *Gardner v. London, Chatham & Dover Rail. Co.*, L. R. 2 Ch. A. 201, 213, 217; *Wickham v. New Brunswick, &c. Co.*, L. R. 1 P. C. 64.

(x) *Hopkins v. Worcester, &c. Canal Proprietors*, L. R. 6 Eq. 437.

CHAP. XLVI.

incumbrances, and to the right of user of the undertaking for the purposes of the company, and to the powers of management in the directors (y); a receiver was granted, though the company had duties to perform the neglect of which might subject them to indictment (z); and though a receiver might have been appointed in a summary way by justices, the jurisdiction of the Court is not taken away (a).

It is the duty of the receiver to receive the gross receipts (b).

Right of judgment creditors of railway, &c. companies to a receiver.

A judgment creditor of a railway or canal company has a right to the appointment of a receiver of the tolls and traffic receipts (c). As between a judgment creditor and a mortgagee whose security is prior to the recovery of the judgment, the rights of the former will be subject to the rights of the receiver appointed by the mortgagee (d), and also to the right of user of the undertaking, and the costs of management (e). Where a judgment creditor was in possession, it was ordered that he should be served with notice of the appointment of a receiver at the instance of a mortgagee, so that he might, if he thought fit, apply to discharge the order appointing the receiver (f).

Interruption of traffic.

In making the appointment of a receiver of the tolls and earnings of a public company, the Court will, as far as possible, endeavour to prevent the inconvenience to the public which would arise by interruption of, or interference with, the ordinary conduct of the undertaking (g).

Appointment of receiver of undertaking of joint stock company.

A mortgagee or debenture holder of a joint stock company whose security is charged on the undertaking, property, or assets of the company, is entitled to the appointment of a receiver if the security is in jeopardy, though no interest is in arrear (h); and, in a proper case, he may obtain the appoint-

(y) *Potts v. Warwick & Birmingham Canal Co.*, Kay, 146.

(z) *Fripp v. Chard Rail. Co.*, 11 Ha. 241.

(a) *Ibid.* And see *East Union, &c. Co. v. Hart*, 8 Exch. 116; *Ames v. Birkenhead Docks Co.*, 20 Beav. 332.

(b) *Simpson v. Ottawa Rail. Co.*, 10 L. J. P. C. 108.

(c) *Potts v. Warwick & Birmingham Canal Co.*, Kay, 145; *Imperial & Mercantile Credit Ass. v. Newry & Armagh Rail. Co.*, Ir. R. 2 Eq. 524; *Kingston v. Couchbridge Rail. Co.*, 41 L. J. Ch. 152.

(d) *Legg v. Mathieson*, 2 Giff. 71;

Wildy v. Mid Hants Rail. Co., 16 W. R. 409.

(e) See cases cited in note (c).

(f) *De Winton v. Mayor of Brecon*, 26 Beav. 539.

(g) See *Fripp v. Chard Rail. Co.*, 11 Ha. 241; *Potts v. Warwick & Birmingham Canal Co.*, Kay, 146; *Ames v. Birkenhead Docks*, 20 Beav. 350.

(h) *Wildy v. Mid Hants Rail. Co.*, 16 W. R. 409; *Macmahon v. North Kent Ironworks Co.*, (1891) 2 Ch. 149; *Bissill v. Bradford Tramways Co.*, W. N. (1891) 51; *Thorn v. Nine Reefs*, 67 L. T. 93, O. A.

ment of a receiver and manager (i), even though the debenture debt has not yet actually become due (j).

CHAP. XLVI.

Mortgagees or debenture holders must generally sue on behalf of themselves and all others of the same class, though all need not concur in the application (k); and if one take judgment and issue execution, he must hold the proceeds in trust for all the mortgagees or debenture holders (l).

Mortgagees must sue on behalf of creditors.

By the Mortgage Debenture Acts, 1865 (m), and 1870 (n), if default is made in payment of principal or interest secured by a mortgage debenture issued under those Acts, any person for the time being entitled to any such debenture is empowered to enforce his security by procuring the appointment of a receiver subject to the prescribed conditions.

Mortgage Debenture Acts.

Where a receiver has been appointed in a debenture holder's action, the right to have the receiver continued is not taken away by an order to wind up the company (o).

Winding up.

Where mortgagors, whether private individuals or joint stock companies, are carrying on a trade or business, a receiver appointed by the Court will, in a proper case, be appointed manager of the business at the instance of the mortgagee or the debenture holders. The difference between a receiver and manager is clear; the receiver merely takes the income and pays the necessary outgoings; the manager takes over and carries on the business (p).

Appointment of receiver as manager of a business.

Managers have been appointed on the application of mortgagees of a newspaper (q), of an hotel (r), of collieries and other mines (s), and of a ship (t).

Instances of such appointment.

(i) *Peck v. Trinsmaran Iron Co.*, 2 Ch. D. 115; *Huntingdon v. Coal Consumers' Ass.*, 1 Seton on Decrees, 649; *Campbell v. Lloyd's Bank*, 58 L. J. Ch. 424; *Makins v. Percy Ibbotson*, (1891) 1 Ch. 133; *Edwards v. Standard Rolling Stock Syndicate*, (1893) 1 Ch. 574.

(j) *Re Victoria Steamships Co.*, (1897) 1 Ch. 158.

(k) *Mellish v. Brookes*, 3 Beav. 22; *Potts v. Warwick, &c. Co.*, Kay, 142; *Fripp v. Chard Rail. Co.*, 11 Ha. 241; *Legg v. Mathieson*, 2 Giff. 71.

(l) *Bowen v. Brecon Rail. Co.*, L. R. 3 Eq. 541; *Fountain v. Carmarthen Rail. Co.*, L. R. 5 Eq. 316, 324; *Potteries, &c. Rail. Co. v. Minor*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 621, 623. But see *Re Potteries, &c. Rail. Co.*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 67; *Hart v. East Union Rail. Co.*, 7 Exch. 246; 8 Exch. 116; *Bolekow v. Herne Bay Pier Co.*, 1 E. & B. 74.

(m) 28 & 29 Vict. c. 78, ss. 41, 42, 45, 46.

(n) 33 & 34 Vict. c. 20.

(o) *Strong v. Carlyle Press* (No. 1), (1893) 1 Ch. 268. See *Whitley v. Challis*, (1892) 1 Ch. 64, C. A.

(p) *Per Jessel, M. R.*, in *Re Manchester & Milford Rail. Co.*, 14 Ch. D. 645, at p. 653, C. A.

(q) *Chaplin v. Young*, 6 L. T. N. S. 97.

(r) *Truman v. Redgrave*, 18 Ch. D. 547.

(s) *Peck v. Trinsmaran Iron Co.*, 2 Ch. D. 115; *Campbell v. Lloyd's Bank*, 58 L. J. Ch. 424; *County of Gloucester Bank v. Rudry Merthyr Colliery Co.*, (1895) 1 Ch. 629, C. A.

(t) *Fairfield Shipbuilding, &c. Co. v. London, &c. Steamship Co.*, W. N. (1895) 64.

CHAP. XLVI.

Business must
be included
in security.

A receiver appointed by the Court will not be directed to manage a business, unless the business is expressly or by clear implication, included in the security (*u*). The Court has power to appoint a receiver and manager of a colliery at the instance of a mortgagee or debenture holder, though the business is not specifically mentioned in the instrument of charge (*x*).

Appointment
is provisional.

The Court will not undertake the permanent conduct of a business; and accordingly where a manager of a business is appointed by the Court, the appointment is merely *ad interim* with a view to the sale of the business as a going concern (*y*).

It is the duty of a receiver and manager appointed by the Court to preserve the assets by carrying into effect existing contracts and entering into such new contracts as are necessary for carrying on the business, but not so as to impose, by speculative dealing or otherwise, onerous liabilities on the partners or company (*z*).

Sale of
business by
receiver.

Where a receiver is appointed with power to manage a business, but without any express authority to sell or let, it is unusual for him to enter into a provisional contract for sale or lease of the property without an application for authority so to do; but, though such a contract is, strictly speaking, *ultra vires*, it might, perhaps, be confirmed and approved by the Court, if the terms of the contract are reasonable and proper (*a*).

Dismissal of
servants, &c.

The appointment by the Court of a receiver and manager of a business at the instance of the mortgagee operates as a dismissal of the clerks and servants of the mortgagor employed in the business (*b*).

Appointment
of managing
director of
company as
receiver and
manager.

Where the only debenture holder of a company brought an action to enforce his securities, the Court, on his application, appointed the managing director of the company to be receiver and manager of its property and business for the purpose of selling the business as a going concern, the plaintiff undertaking to find a sum for working expenses, and to sell the property as soon as possible (*c*).

(*u*) *Whitley v. Challis*, (1892) 1 Ch. 64, C. A.

(*x*) *Campbell v. Lloyd's Bank*, 58 L. J. Ch. 424.

(*y*) *Per Lord Cairns*, in *Gardner v. London, Chatham & Dover Rail. Co.*, L. R. 2 Ch. A. 201, at p. 212. See also *Day v. Sykes, Walker & Co.*, W. N. (1886) 209; *Re Victoria Steamboats Co.*, (1897) 1 Ch. 158.

(*z*) *Taylor v. Neate*, 39 Ch. D. 538 (receiver appointed on dissolution of

partnership). See also *Sargent v. Read*, 1 Ch. D. 600; *Strapp v. Bull, Sons & Co.*, (1895) 2 Ch. 1, C. A.

(*a*) See *Kerr on Receivers*, 166.

(*b*) *Reid v. Explosives Co.*, 19 Q. B. D. 264, C. A.; *Re Marriage, Neave & Co.*, (1896) 2 Ch. 663, C. A.

(*c*) *Makins v. Percy Ibbotson & Sons*, (1891) 1 Ch. 133. See *Edwards v. Standard Rolling Stock Syndicate*, (1893) 1 Ch. 574.

The Court will not appoint a manager of a market or of the affairs of a corporation (*d*). CHAP. XLVI.

The Court has jurisdiction to appoint receivers or managers of real or personal property abroad. So, receivers have been appointed to manage landed property or trading concerns in Ireland (*e*), the Channel Islands (*f*), British India (*g*), the West Indies (*h*), Brazil (*i*), and other places (*k*). So, also, the Court may appoint a receiver of so much of the rents and profits of property situate in a foreign country as come into the hands of a mortgagor resident in this country (*l*). Land out of jurisdiction.

A person resident in this country may be appointed receiver and manager of property abroad, with power to appoint an agent resident in the country where the property is situated. Or a person resident in that country may be appointed receiver and manager; in which case it is usual also to appoint a consignee in this country, to whom all moneys received are to be remitted (*m*). Receiver of property abroad may appoint agent.

By R. S. C. Ord. LXXI. r. 1, the expression "receiver" includes a consignee or manager appointed under an order of the Court. The course of procedure on an application for the appointment of a consignee or manager is accordingly the same as in the case of a receiver. Consignee.

A manager will be entitled to commission for his personal care and attention (*n*), and to reasonable allowances for expenses of management (*o*), including all expenses of carrying out existing contracts (*p*). He is also entitled to be allowed interest on balances found due to him on taking the accounts, and to a lien on the estate for such balances, and for any payments made by him under the direction of the Court (*q*). Commission &c. of manager.

iv.—Who may be appointed Receiver.—The discretion of the Court as to appointing a receiver extends to the determination of the question as to who is the best person to be appointed receiver in the interest of all parties (*r*). "If the Court appoints Selection of receiver is in discretion of Court.

(*d*) *De Winton v. Mayor, &c. of Brecon*, 26 Beav. 542.

(*e*) *Houlditch v. Lord Donegal*, 8 Bli. N. S. 343.

(*f*) *Smith v. Smith*, 10 Ha. App. lxxi.

(*g*) *Keys v. Keys*, 1 Beav. 425.

(*h*) *Bunbury v. Bunbury*, 1 Beav. 336.

(*i*) *Sheppard v. Ozenford*, 1 K. & J. 500.

(*k*) See Seton on Decrees, 5th ed. p. 684.

(*l*) *Mercantile Investment Trust Co. v.*

River Plate, &c. Co., (1892) 2 Ch. 303.

(*m*) See Seton on Decrees, 5th ed. pp. 681, 685.

(*n*) *Forrest v. Elwes*, 2 Mer. 69; *Chambers v. Goldwin*, 9 Ves. 273.

(*o*) *Forrest v. Elwes*, *sup.*

(*p*) *Strapp v. Bull, Sons & Co.*, (1895) 2 Ch. 1, C. A.

(*q*) *Bertrand v. Davies*, 31 Beav. 436.

(*r*) *Morison v. Morison*, 4 My. & Cr. 216. See *Lespinas v. Bell*, 2 J. & W. 436.

CHAP. XLVI.

a receiver at the instance of a mortgagee, the mortgagee not having, without the assistance of the Court, power to appoint a receiver, then the Court exercises its discretion as to who shall be appointed receiver, and appoints the receiver whom it thinks best to appoint for the interest of the mortgagee and of the mortgagor, a person who, having regard to the interests of both parties, the Court considers the best person" (s).

Right to propose person as receiver.

As a general rule, the right to propose a person for the appointment of receiver belongs to the party interested in obtaining the appointment, and effect will be given to his nomination (t).

Party to action.

A party to the action will not, as a general rule, be appointed receiver (u); but a mortgagee has been appointed receiver with the consent of the mortgagor (x); and in urgent cases a mortgagee has been appointed receiver by order made *ex parte* (y). A party so appointed will not be allowed any salary (z). A party, by being appointed receiver, does not thereby lose his privileges as a party to the cause (a).

Solicitor.

The mortgagee's solicitor will not, under any circumstances, be appointed receiver, even though the mortgagor consent, for it is the duty of such solicitor to supervise and check the conduct of the receiver (b).

There is no objection to the appointment of a solicitor, as such, to be a receiver (c).

Disqualified persons.

A receiver-general of a county has been held to be disqualified from being appointed receiver (d); and the principle would, apparently, apply to any accountant to the Crown (e). A peer is also absolutely disqualified by reason of his privileges (f); and, apparently, a member of parliament would not be appointed receiver (g).

As to public companies.

It has been seen that, in selecting the person to be appointed a

(s) *Per Cotton, L. J.*, in *Re Henry Pound, Son and Hutchins*, 42 Ch. D. 402, at p. 419, C. A.

(t) *Att.-Gen. v. Day*, 2 Madd. 246; *Sargant v. Read*, 1 Ch. D. 600.

(u) *Re Lloyd, Allen v. Lloyd*, 12 Ch. D. 447, at p. 451, C. A.

(x) *Re Prytherch, Prytherch v. Williams*, 42 Ch. D. 590.

(y) *Taylor v. Eckersley*, 2 Ch. D. 302; *Hyde v. Warren*, 1 Exch. 309; *Fuggle v. Bland*, 11 Q. B. D. 711.

(z) *Wilson v. Greenwood*, 1 Swanst. 471, 483; *Carew v. Johnston*, 2 Sch. & L. 301; *Blakeney v. Dufaur*, 15 Beav. 40, 44; *Hoffman v. Duncan*, 18 Jur. 69; *Sargant v. Read*, 1 Ch. D. 600; *Re Pry-*

therch, Prytherch v. Williams, 42 Ch. D. 590.

(a) *Per Lord Cottenham, C.*, in *Scott v. Platel*, 2 Ph. 229, 230.

(b) *Garland v. Garland*, 2 Ves. Jun. 137; *Re Lloyd, Allen v. Lloyd*, 12 Ch. D. 447, C. A.

(c) *Wilson v. Poe*, 1 Hog. 322; *Della Caine v. Hayward*, M'Clel. & Y. 272.

(d) *Att.-Gen. v. Day*, 2 Madd. 254.

(e) *Dan. Ch. Pr.* 1683.

(f) *Att.-Gen. v. Gee*, 2 V. & B. 208.

(g) *Wynne v. Lord Newborough*, 15 Ves. 284; *Long Wellesley's Case*, 2 R. & My. 639; *Lechmere Charlton's Case*, 2 My. & Cr. 316.

receiver of any company whose undertaking is of a public nature, or of a receiver and manager of a railway under the statutory power, the Court will have regard to the interests of the public (h); and generally, in selecting a receiver and manager of the property and business of a company, the Court will avoid the appointment of any person whose individual interests might conflict with the duties of his office in respect to those for whose benefit the appointment is made (i).

CHAP. XLVI.

In the case of securities given by a joint stock company, where an application is made by a mortgagee or debenture holder for the appointment of a receiver contemporaneously with an application by other persons to wind up the company, or after a winding up order has been made, the Court will, as a general rule, appoint the liquidator to be receiver (k), unless, by reason of hostility between the liquidator and the mortgagee or other special circumstances, the Court should see fit in its discretion to appoint some other person to be receiver (l). But a receiver who has been appointed by the mortgagee before the commencement of the winding-up proceedings will not be removed in order to substitute the liquidator for him as receiver if it appear that the mortgagee will be prejudiced by such substitution (m); and so, also, if there is only a small amount of unpaid capital to be got in (n). In a case in which the debentures were specifically charged on assets of the company of an exceptional character requiring special knowledge for them to be satisfactorily dealt with, the Court appointed a receiver on behalf of the debenture holders in respect of these particular securities, and appointed the official liquidator to be receiver of the assets of the company not comprised in the securities (o).

As to joint stock companies.

Where the mortgagees or debenture holders have a right under or by virtue of their security to appoint a receiver, and have exercised that right, the appointment of a liquidator will not oust the receiver, though some of the powers of the receiver so appointed may be superseded by the powers of the liquidator

Appointment of liquidator does not oust receiver previously appointed.

(h) *Ante*, p. 936.(i) *Fripp v. Chard Rail. Co.*, 11 Ha. 241.(k) *Perry v. Oriental Hotels Co.*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 420; *Tottenham v. Swansea Zinc Ore Co.*, W. N. (1884) 64; *Willmott v. London Celluloid Co.*, W. N. (1885) 29; *Re Joshua Stubbs*, (1891) 1 Ch. 476, C. A.; *British Linen Co. v. South American and Mexican Co.*, (1894) 1 Ch. 108, C. A.(l) *Giles v. Nuthall*, W. N. (1885) 61. See also *Boyle v. Battus Llantwit Colliery Co.*, 2 Ch. D. 726.(m) *Strong v. Carlyle Press*, (1893) 1 Ch. 268, C. A.(n) *Re Joshua Stubbs*, (1891) 1 Ch. 475, C. A.(o) *Industrial and General Trust v. South American and Mexican Co.*, (1894) 1 Ch. 108, C. A.

CHAP. XLVI.

acting under the authority of the Court. Where an order had been made for winding up a company and a liquidator had been appointed, and the debenture holders, under the powers contained in their security, appointed a receiver, it was held that the Court ought not to interfere with the right of the debenture holders to a receiver under their deed; and leave was given, on the application of the debenture holders, to the receiver appointed by them to take possession of and sell the undertaking and property of the company notwithstanding the appointment of the liquidator (*p*).

Discharge of receiver and appointment of liquidator in his place.

But if the debenture holders have, either before or after the winding up, obtained an order in their action for the appointment of a receiver, and subsequently a liquidator is appointed in the winding up, the Court will, in the absence of special circumstances, discharge the receiver so appointed and appoint the official liquidator in his place, in order to avoid unnecessary expense and delay in getting in the assets (*q*). But this rule will be departed from if it appears that the assets are such as are likely to be more advantageously and expeditiously realized by the receiver (*r*).

Discretion of Court not interfered with.

The Court of Appeal will not, in the absence of special circumstances, overrule the discretion of the Court below as regards the appointment of the liquidator to act as receiver in the first instance, or in the place of a receiver previously appointed (*s*).

Security generally necessary to complete appointment.

v.—Security to be given by Receiver.—The appointment of a receiver is not complete so as to enable him to enter upon his duties and exercise his powers until he has given security and his recognizances are perfected (*t*); except where such security is dispensed with under the terms of the order, in which case the appointment is complete from the date of his appointment (*u*).

Relation back of appointment.

An appointment perfected by security afterwards given relates back to the date of the order appointing a receiver (*x*).

By R. S. C. Ord. L. r. 16, "where an order is made directing a receiver to be appointed, unless otherwise ordered, the person

(*p*) *Re Henry Pound, Son and Hutchinsons*, 42 Ch. D. 402, C. A.

(*q*) *Re Joshua Stubbs*, (1891) 1 Ch. 475, C. A.

(*r*) *British Linen Co. v. South American and Mexican Co.*, (1894) 1 Ch. 108, C. A.

(*s*) *Giles v. Nuthall*, W. N. (1885) 51; *Re Joshua Stubbs*, *sup.*

(*t*) *Defries v. Creed*, 34 L. J. Ch. 607; *Edwards v. Edwards*, 2 Ch. D. 291.

(*u*) *Taylor v. Eckerley*, 2 Ch. D. 302, C. A.; *S. C.* 5 Ch. D. 740. See *Hewett v. Murray*, 57 L. J. Ch. 572; *Morrison v. Skerne Ironworks Co.*, 60 L. T. 588.

(*x*) *Exp. Evans*, 13 Ch. D. 252.

to be appointed shall first give security, to be allowed by the Court or a judge and taken before a person authorized to administer oaths, duly to account for what he shall receive as such receiver, and to pay the same as the Court or judge shall direct" (y). A direction that the receiver shall give security is now, therefore, superfluous and usually omitted; if the security is to be dispensed with or not to be required until a particular time this should be expressed in the order (z). By rule 17 of the same order, the Court may adjourn into chambers an order made in a pending cause or matter for the appointment of a receiver, in order that the receiver may give security.

The security required is usually the recognizances of the receiver, with two sureties, for double the annual rental; or, if the receiver is to get in a capital sum, for the amount of such capital sum (a). The sureties must be resident within the jurisdiction (b). Under special circumstances, a receiver may be appointed on his own recognizances only (c).

The Court will not generally dispense with sureties, even by consent of the parties interested (d); but if they, being competent to consent, will appoint a receiver of their own authority, the Court will allow him to act without finding sureties (e). If a receiver is appointed upon the misrepresentation of the solicitor that he has entered into the requisite security, the solicitor will be responsible for any loss (f).

As to enrolment of recognizances, see R. S. C. Ord. LXI. r. 14; and as to the persons to or by whom recognizances are to be given or vacated, see Ord. LX. r. 4.

vi.—Possession of Receiver.—A receiver appointed by the Court is an officer of the Court (g). The possession of the receiver is accordingly the possession of the Court, and the effect of his appointment is to remove the mortgagor from possession of the property (h); and his possession will prevail against the title of the mortgagor's trustee in bankruptcy and exclude the doctrine of reputed ownership (i).

Effect of
possession of
receiver

(y) For form of recognizance, see R. S. C. App. L. Form No. 21.

(z) *Morrison v. Skerne Ironworks Co.*, 60 L. T. 588.

(a) Seton on Decrees, 654. See *Mead v. Lord Orvery*, 3 Atk. 244.

(b) *Cockburn v. Raphael*, 2 S. & St. 453.

(c) *Carlisle v. Berkley*, Amb. 599; *Hibbert v. Hibbert*, 3 Mer. 681.

(d) *Manners v. Furze*, 11 Beav. 30; *Tylce v. Tylce*, 17 Beav. 583.

(e) *Ridout v. Earl of Plymouth*, 1 Dick. 68; *Carlisle v. Carlisle*, cited Seton on Decrees, 1761; *Manners v. Furze*, *sup.*

(f) *Simmons v. Rose*, 31 Beav. 1.

(g) *Aston v. Heron*, 2 My. & K. 391; *Owen v. Homan*, 4 H. L. O. 1032.

(h) *Russel v. East Anglian Rail. Co.*, 3 Mac. & G. 104; *Ames v. Birkenhead Docks*, 20 Beav. 350.

(i) *Taylor v. Eekersley*, 5 Ch. D. 740.

CHAP. XLVI.

Remedies of mortgagee not prejudiced.

But a receiver appointed by the Court on the application of a mortgagee is, for some purposes, the agent of the mortgagor, so that payment of interest by such receiver to the mortgagee pursuant to the order is sufficient to keep alive the remedies of the mortgagee (*j*).

Administration action.

Moneys got in by a receiver appointed by the Court in an administration action are not its *custodia legis* for the benefit of a mortgagee, as in the case of a sequestrator, but are assets in the hands of the receiver for the benefit of all parties interested according to their respective rights and priorities (*k*).

Delivery of possession by mortgagor.

When the mortgagor is in personal occupation, the receiver-ship order directs him to attorn tenant, or to give up possession to the receiver (*l*). Where in an action for foreclosure judgment had not been given, but an order had been made appointing a receiver and manager of the business, North, J., refused to order delivery of possession before trial (*m*).

In a recent case an order was made, with the consent of the mortgagee, that the mortgagor should remain in possession and attorn tenant to the receiver at an occupation rent of an amount to be fixed at chambers, and to be payable as from the date of such order, or, in the alternative, to deliver up possession to the receiver (*n*).

Attornment of tenants.

Where the mortgagor is not in personal occupation, the tenants are ordered to attorn and pay their rents to the receiver (*o*).

Where the order was in the ordinary form directing the tenants to attorn to the receiver, but it appeared that the mortgagor himself was in personal occupation of the mortgaged lands, it was held that, the possession of the mortgagor being rightful, he was liable to an occupation rent only from the date on which the receiver demanded of him delivery of possession, and not from the date of the order appointing a receiver (*p*).

Delivery of personal property.

If the property comprised in the mortgage is personal estate, the order will be that the mortgagor do deliver to the receiver all such property, together with all securities (if any) for the same and all books and letters relating thereto (*q*).

(*j*) *Chinnery v. Evans*, 11 H. L. C. 134. See 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, s. 40. See further on this point, *post*, p. 979.

(*k*) *Re Hoare, Hoare v. Owen*, (1892) 5 Ch. 94.

(*l*) *Hawkes v. Holland*, W. N. (1881) 128, C. A. See *Edgell v. Wilson*, W. N. (1893) 145.

(*m*) *Taylor v. Soper*, W. N. (1890) 121.

(*n*) *Re Burchnall, Walker v. Burchnall*, W. N. (1893) 171.

(*o*) *Pitt v. Snowden*, 3 Atk. 750; *Hughes v. Hughes*, 3 Bro. C. C. 87.

(*p*) *Yorkshire Banking Co. v. Mullan*, 35 Ch. D. 125.

(*q*) *Truman v. Rodgrave*, 18 Ch. D. 547.

If both realty and personalty are included in the security, the receiver may be directed to keep separate accounts of the rents and profits of the real estate and of the personal estate (*r*). CHAP. XLVI.
Mixed fund.

Where the mortgagor is in personal possession and occupation of the property, and an order has been made to deliver such possession, it is the duty of the receiver, as soon as he has obtained the certificate completing his appointment, to demand possession, and in case of the refusal of the mortgagor, to report the matter to the mortgagee's solicitor, who will cause the order for delivery of possession to be served on the mortgagor (*s*). If the mortgagor should still refuse to deliver up possession, the order for delivery may be enforced by writ of possession (*t*), which should specifically indicate the property of which possession is to be delivered (*u*). The application for the writ should be accompanied by an affidavit showing due service of the order for delivery, and that the order has not been obeyed (*x*). The affidavit need only show that the order was not complied with within the time limited; it is not necessary to show that the non-compliance continued at the time of application for the writ (*y*). Where it is found impossible to serve personally on the mortgagor the order for delivery of possession by reason of his keeping out of the way, the writ may be granted on affidavit of this fact (*z*). Duty of
receiver to
demand
possession.

Where the mortgage property is in the occupation of tenants who have been directed by the order appointing the receiver to attorn to him, the receiver should, on completion of his appointment, call upon the tenants to attorn. If any of the tenants should refuse to attorn, the mortgagee's solicitor will, on the matter being reported to him, personally serve the tenant with a copy of the order and certificate, and with notice in writing signed by the receiver, requiring him to attorn and pay his rent to the receiver (*a*). If the tenant should still refuse, he should be served with notice of motion requiring him to attorn and pay within a limited time (*b*). The alleged tenant may appear and Demand of
attornment.

(*r*) *Hill v. Hibbit*, 18 L. T. N. S. 553.
For form of order, see Seton on Decrees, 670.

(*s*) *Green v. Green*, 2 Sim. 430. See *Ireland v. Eade*, 7 Beav. 55; *Parker v. Dunn*, 8 Beav. 497.

(*t*) R. S. C. Ord. XLVII. r. 1.

(*u*) *Finn v. Sarl*, (1891) 2 Ch. 79.

(*z*) R. S. C. Ord. XLVII. r. 2.

(*y*) *Webster v. Taylor*, 18 Jur. 869.

(*z*) *De la Bords v. Othon*, W. N. (1874) 219.

(*a*) Dan. Ch. Pr. 1693; Kerr on Receivers, 197. For form of notice, see Dan. Ch. Forms, 4th ed. 1693.

(*b*) For form of notice of motion, see Dan. Ch. Forms, 1695.

CHAP. XLVI.

Default of
tenant to
attorn on
demand.

resist the motion on the ground that, though in possession, he is not a tenant (c).

If the tenant does not appear, the order on the motion will be made on an affidavit of service of the original order for attornment, the certificate, the notice to attorn, and the notice of motion and of the refusal to attorn (d). The refusing tenant will generally be ordered to pay the costs of the application, unless he had reasonable grounds for refusing to attorn (e). If the tenant still refuses, a writ of attachment for contempt of Court will issue in the ordinary way upon production to the clerk of the record and writ office of an affidavit of service of the order on the motion, and of an affidavit by the receiver of the tenant's non-compliance therewith (f).

Tenant need
not be made
party.

In order to obtain an order upon motion directing a tenant to attorn, it is not necessary to make such tenant a party to the action (g).

Occupation
rent.

If a person alleged to be a tenant satisfies the Court that he is not in possession as such, but merely by the permission of the mortgagee, he may be ordered to pay to the receiver an occupation rent to be fixed at chambers (h).

Judgment
creditor in
possession.
Receiver of
manor.

A creditor in possession under a judgment cannot be ordered to attorn (i).

When a receiver of a mortgaged manor is appointed, the steward of the manor, as agent of the lord, may be ordered to deliver up the court rolls to the receiver (k); but such an order has been refused where there was no proof of misconduct on the part of the steward (l).

If a mortgagee who is ordered to deliver up personal property, other than leaseholds, to a receiver, refuses to do so, the mortgagee may, upon the production of affidavits of service of the order, &c., and of the facts, obtain, on *ex parte* summons, a writ of delivery, which will be enforced by issue of execution, or by distraining by the sheriff (m). Although for the purpose of recovering land the old writ of assistance has been superseded

(c) *Reid v. Middleton*, T. & R. 455; *Hobhouse v. Holcombe*, 2 De G. & S. 208.

(d) For form of affidavit, see Dan. Ch. Forms, 1697. For form of order, see Seton on Decrees, 669.

(e) *Hobhouse v. Holcombe*, 2 De G. & S. 208.

(f) See Dan. Ch. Fr. 1694.

(g) *Reid v. Middleton*, *sup.*

(h) See *Hobson v. Sherwood*, 19 Beav. 575.

(i) *Davis v. Duke of Marlborough*, 2 Swanst. 118.

(k) *Raues v. Raues*, 7 Sim. 624.

(l) *Windham v. Giubelei*, W. N. (1871) 119.

(m) R. S. C. Ord. XLVIII.

by the writ of possession, a writ of assistance may still be issued for the purpose of obtaining specific delivery of chattels which have been ordered to be delivered to a receiver (*n*).

Any interference with the possession of the receiver amounts to a contempt of Court punishable by committal (*o*). Generally, however, unless the contempt is persisted in, the Court will merely order the offender to pay all costs and expenses caused by his conduct (*p*). A particular interference with the possession of a receiver may be restrained by injunction, which, in a proper case, may be granted on an *ex parte* application (*q*).

Interference
with receiver.

It is not contempt of Court to apply for leave to issue execution against a receiver (*r*).

Where an execution creditor of the mortgagor seized certain chattels comprised in the mortgage before the receiver appointed on the application of the mortgagee had perfected his appointment by giving security, it was held no contempt of Court (*s*).

When a receiver has been appointed by the Court, it would be contempt in the first mortgagee or any creditors to proceed against the premises without the consent of the Court (*t*), and in a solicitor for parties interested to advise the receiver not to carry on the business (*u*).

No interference will be allowed, even though the receiver's appointment is irregular (*x*); but leave may be given to try a right against a receiver (*y*).

Receiver
irregularly
appointed.

The appointment of a receiver does not in any way prejudice the rights of parties to the action (*z*).

Rights not
prejudiced.

If the receiver acts contrary to his duty, an action cannot be brought against him: the proper course is an application in the action in which he is receiver (*a*).

Misconduct
of receiver.

(*n*) *Wyman v. Knight*, 39 Ch. D. 166.

(*o*) *Broad v. Wickham*, 4 Sim. 511; *Hawkins v. Gathercole*, 1 Drew. 12; *Exp. Cochrane*, L. R. 20 Eq. 282; *Helmore v. Smith*, 35 Ch. D. 449.

(*p*) *Lane v. Sterne*, 3 Giff. 629.

(*q*) *Evelyn v. Lewis*, 3 Ha. 472; *Tink v. Rundle*, 10 Beav. 318; *Randfield v. Randfield*, 1 Dr. & S. 310; *Bayly v. Went*, 51 L. T. 764.

(*r*) *Re West Lancashire Rail. Co.*, W. N. (1890) 165.

(*s*) *Educards v. Educards*, 2 Ch. D. 291.

(*t*) *Brooks v. Greathead*, 1 J. & W. 178; *Anon.*, 6 Ves. 287; *Angel v.*

Smith, 9 Ves. 335; *Bryan v. Cormick*, 1 Cox, 422; *Ames v. Birkenhead Docks Co.*, 20 Beav. 332; *Russell v. East Anglian Rail. Co.*, 3 Mac. & G. 104; *Gooch v. Haworth*, 3 Beav. 428; *Exp. Cochrane*, L. R. 20 Eq. 282.

(*u*) *Exp. Hayward*, W. N. (1881) 115, C. A.

(*x*) *Ames v. Birkenhead Docks*, 20 Beav. 332.

(*y*) *Randfield v. Randfield*, 3 De G. F. & J. 766.

(*z*) *Sharp v. Carter*, 3 P. Wms. 379; *Skipp v. Harwood*, 3 Atk. 584; *Wells v. Kilpin*, L. R. 18 Eq. 298.

(*a*) *Searle v. Choat*, 25 Ch. D. 723.

CHAP. XLVI.

Remedy of persons claiming by paramount title.

Where any persons claim possession by paramount title of property over which a receiver has been appointed, leave may be given to them to pursue their remedies if there has been no undue delay on their part; or, an inquiry may be directed as to the claimants' interest in the property (*b*). The course to be adopted, in the case of a receiver, as in the case of a sequestrator, is in the discretion of the Court, and will be exercised according to what appears, under the circumstances of the particular case, to be the best mode of trying the right (*c*).

Examination *pro interesse suo*.

An examination *pro interesse suo* proceeds by interrogatories, and a reference in chambers to certify whether the claimant has made good his title, and the certificate is set down for consideration, and a final order made (*d*). It is not regular to take exceptions to the report (*e*). Though if it be thought that the chief clerk has adopted some general principle which cannot be supported, the party complaining may bring that point before the Court (*f*). The order will be made as well against a receiver as sequestrators (*g*), and the effect of such an examination may, in general, be obtained on motion or petition (*h*).

After the certificate has been confirmed, a reference may be obtained to calculate principal and interest and costs, and the rents in the receiver's hands are to be applied, first, in payment of costs, and then in reduction of the mortgage, and possession will be given up (*i*).

Appointment cannot be questioned.

A claimant is in no case justified in questioning the order appointing the receiver, or in attempting to obtain possession in disobedience to such order (*k*).

General duties of a receiver.

vii.—Powers and Duties of Receivers.—Receivers appointed by the Court are regulated, as to their powers and duties, by the terms of the order appointing them. The duties of a receiver appointed by an order framed in general terms are simply to take possession of the mortgaged property, to get in the rents

(*b*) *Anon.*, 6 Ves. 288; *Angell v. Smith*, 9 Ves. 336; *Walker v. Bell*, 2 Madd. 21; *Pelham v. Duchess of Newcastle*, 3 Swanst. 290, n.; *Brooks v. Greathed*, 1 J. & W. 178; *Reeves v. Cox*, 13 Ir. Eq. R. 247; *Lane v. Capsey*, (1891) 3 Ch. 411.

(*c*) *Empringham v. Short*, 3 Hare, 461. See *Johnes v. Cloughton*, Jac. 573.

(*d*) *Hunt v. Priest*, 2 Dick. 540.

(*e*) *Hamlyn v. Lee*, 1 Dick. 94.

(*f*) *Shewell v. Jones*, 2 B. & St. 170.

(*g*) *Gomme v. West*, 2 Dick. 472.

(*h*) *Walker v. Bell*, 2 Madd. 21; *Dickenson v. Smith*, 4 Madd. 177; *Dixon v. Smith*, 1 Swanst. 457; *Tatham v. Parker*, 1 Sm. & G. 506. And see 1 J. & W. 179, n.

(*i*) *Walker v. Bell*, *sup.*

(*k*) *Russell v. East Anglian Rail. Co.*, 3 Mac. & G. 104.

and profits, to pay necessary outgoings, and to pay over the surplus, after retaining thereout his own remuneration, into Court or as directed by order of the Court. If a receiver is intended to sell the property, to grant leases, to carry out improvements or permanent repairs, or to perform any special duties, such matters should be expressly authorized by the order appointing him; or, if it should subsequently be found necessary to arm the receiver with powers for special objects not mentioned in the order, an application must be made for that purpose (l).

A receiver appointed by the Court is entitled to all rents in arrear at the time of his appointment, as well as to all future rents accruing due during his receivership (m). But a receiver is not entitled to the produce of a mortgaged estate severed and removed prior to the appointment, though not then converted into money (n).

Right to rents
in arrear.

Where a receiver is appointed at the instance of a puisne mortgagee, and the appointment is afterwards extended to the matter of the prior mortgage, the rents received before the extension belong to the puisne mortgagee; but rents due at the date of the extending order, but not received until afterwards, belong to the first mortgagee (o). Where a receiver is appointed at the instance of a second mortgagee, the first mortgagee is not entitled to the rents in the hands of the receiver without paying his expenses (p).

A person who admits a sum of money to be due from him to an estate cannot dispute the right of a receiver to collect it (q).

Admission of
debt.

An executor cannot retain a debt due to him against a receiver appointed by the Court (r).

Executor can-
not retain
debt as
against
receiver.

Where a mortgagee's solicitor had received rents in a cause without the authority of the Court, before the receiver's appointment was completed, he was ordered to pay them over to the receiver on completion of his appointment, and was not allowed to retain such rents on the ground of lien, or to set them off against costs alleged to be due to him (s).

Improper
receipt of
rents by third
person.

(l) *Gressley v. Adderley*, 1 Swanst. 573, 579. See post, p. 951.

(m) *Codrington v. Johnstone*, 1 Beav. 520, 524; *M'Donnell v. White*, 11 H. L. C. 570.

(n) *Codrington v. Johnstone*, sup.

(o) *Abbott v. Stratton*, 3 J. & L. 603.

(p) *Davy v. Price*, W. N. (1883) 226.

(q) *Wood v. Hitchings*, 2 Beav. 289, 294.

(r) *Davenport v. Moss*, 14 W. R. 453; *Richmond v. White*, 12 Ch. D. 361, C. A.; *Re Birt, Burt v. Birt*, 22 Ch. D. 604; *Re Jones, Calver v. Lazton*, 31 Ch. D. 440.

(s) *Wickens v. Townshend*, 1 R. & M. 361.

CHAP. XLVI.

Where a person had obtained payment of certain debts adversely to the receiver, he was ordered within one week to make an affidavit of the amounts received by him, and to pay the same to the receiver, or in default to be committed (*t*).

Notice not to pay rents to receiver.

A tenant who had refused to pay rent to a receiver on the ground of having received from the defendant notice not to do so, was, on motion, ordered to pay the arrears to the receiver with costs of the motion (*u*).

Payment to mortgagor before notice of appointment of receiver.

Where a receiver had been appointed to collect and get in personal estate, the Court refused to order a debtor to the estate, who had paid the money to the personal representative before he had notice of the order appointing a receiver, to pay the amount of the debt over again to the receiver (*x*).

The Court has allowed a debtor to the estate to pay the money into Court instead of to the receiver, in order to save the poundage (*y*).

Receipt of rents after certificate.

Where a receiver has received rents between the date of the certificate under a foreclosure order and the day fixed for redemption, the mortgagee is not entitled to such rents, except on the terms of bringing them into account as between himself and the mortgagor, and a fresh day must be fixed for redemption (*z*), unless the express terms of the foreclosure order otherwise provide (*a*). Where it appeared that the rents had been so received, but that they were insufficient to cover receiver's remuneration and expenses, upon submission of the plaintiff to have his order for foreclosure discharged, the receiver's account was allowed to be taken at once, leaving the question of discharge of the receiver to stand over till after the taking of such account (*b*).

Power of distress.

Where tenants have attorned to a receiver, the receiver may distrain in his own name and without an order of the Court (*c*). Before attornment, the distress must be made in the name of the person in whom the legal estate is vested (*d*), and the

(*t*) *Parker v. Pocock*, 30 L. T. N. S. 468.

(*u*) *Hobson v. Sherwood*, 19 Beav. 575.

(*x*) *Kirk v. Houston*, 5 Ir. Eq. R. 498.

(*y*) *Haigh v. Grattan*, 1 Beav. 210.

(*z*) *Jenner Fust v. Needham*, 32 Ch. D. 582, C. A.

(*a*) *Colman v. Llewellyn*, 34 Ch. D.

143, C. A. See *Cheston v. Wells*, (1893) 2 Ch. 151; *Barber v. Jeckells*, W. N. (1893) 91; *Lusk v. Schright*, 71 L. T. 59.

(*b*) *Ellenor v. Ugle*, W. N. (1895) 161.

(*c*) *Raincock v. Simpson*, Dick. 120; *Bennett v. Robins*, 5 C. & P. 379.

(*d*) *Hughes v. Hughes*, 3 Bro. C. C. 87.

receiver may do so without the leave of the Court (e), unless there be a doubt as to who has the legal right to the rent (f). CHAP. XLVI.

A receiver may employ a bailiff to distrain for rent due to the estate (g).

Leave to distrain, where necessary, may be obtained on motion or petition (h). Leave to distrain.

The receiver may distrain until he is discharged, notwithstanding abatement of the action (i). Abatement of action.

According to the present practice, since the statute 15 & 16 Vict. c. 80, directions as to letting and managing property are not generally inserted in orders appointing receivers, the Court having power to give such directions as to managing the property as may seem expedient (k). Letting and managing property.

A receiver may now let the property on a yearly tenancy, or for any term not exceeding three years, without the sanction of the Court (l), but his power is limited to such parol leases as are authorized by the Statute of Frauds (m). A lease for a longer term of years, though binding as between the receiver and the lessee (n), will have no effect so as to bind the owner of the legal estate except with his concurrence; and the Court has no jurisdiction to empower the receiver to deal with the legal estate by granting a lease without such concurrence (o). Power to let property.

A receiver must let the estate to the best advantage; but if he finds it let at an undervalue, he ought not to raise the rents without the leave of the Court (p). Best rent to be obtained.

The consent of the persons beneficially entitled is necessary to empower a receiver to grant an abatement of rent, or to forego arrears due from tenants (q). Abatement of rents.

A receiver appointed by the Court has authority to determine tenancies by notice to quit (r); and if a tenant holds over after such notice, the receiver may, with the leave of the Court, sue the tenant for double rent under 4 Geo. II. c. 28, s. 1 (s). Notice to quit.

(e) *Brandon v. Brandon*, 5 Madd. 473.

(f) *Pitt v. Snowden*, 3 Atk. 750.

(g) *Dancoer v. Hastings*, 4 Bing. 2.

(h) *Hughes v. Hughes*, 3 Bro. C. C. 87. For form of order, see Seton on Decrees, 5th ed. 670.

(i) *Newman v. Mills*, 1 Hog. 291; *Brennan v. Kenny*, 2 Ir. Ch. R. 579, 583.

(k) See R. S. C. Ord. LV.

(l) See *Shuff v. Holdaway*, cit. in Seton on Decrees, 5th ed., 675.

(m) 29 Car. II. c. 3, s. 2.

(n) *Dancoer v. Hastings*, 4 Bing. 2.

(o) *Gibbins v. Howell*, 3 Madd. 469; *Evans v. Mathias*, 7 E. & B. 602.

(p) *Wynne v. Lord Newborough*, 1 Ves. jnn. 164.

(q) *Evans v. Taylor*, San. & Sc. 681.

(r) *Doe v. Reid*, 12 East, 61. See *Jones v. Phipps*, L. R. 3 Q. B. 567, 572. See *Earl of Mansfield v. Hamilton*, 2 Sch. & L. 28.

(s) *Wilkinson v. Colley*, 5 Burr. 2694.

CHAP. XLVI.

Action by
receiver.

A receiver must not, without the leave of the Court, bring any action to enforce payment of any moneys due to the estate (*t*); if he does so, he will be liable personally to pay any costs incurred by such action should the action prove unsuccessful (*u*). Possibly, however, where, under special circumstances, a receiver has, without leave of the Court, instituted proceedings, the immediate taking of which seemed expedient, and where the result of such proceedings has been to get in to the estate moneys which might have been lost, the receiver would be allowed his extra costs and expenses (*x*). The Court will not generally give a receiver leave to bring an action against a debtor to the estate unless it appears, *prima facie*, that the result of the action will benefit the estate (*y*).

Motion for
attachment.

The Court will not decide a question of disputed tenancy on a motion by a receiver for an attachment for non-payment of rent (*z*).

Ejectment
against
defaulting
tenant.

A receiver must not, without the leave of the Court, bring an action of ejectment against a tenant who has made default in payment of his rent (*a*).

Injunction to
restrain
waste.

If a tenant commits waste after a receiver has been appointed, it is not necessary to bring an action to restrain the waste, but an injunction will be granted on motion in a summary way though the tenant is not a party to the cause (*b*).

Action by
receiver not
as such.

If, however, a debtor to the estate has given to the receiver a bill of exchange or promissory note for the amount due, the receiver may bring an action in his own name on the bill or note without leave of the Court as holder thereof, irrespective of his character as receiver (*c*); so, also, if chattels to which he is entitled as receiver are unlawfully detained from him, he may maintain an action to recover them as bailee, independently of the fact that he is a receiver (*d*).

Proceedings
in bank-
ruptcy.

A receiver is not a creditor entitled to present a bankruptcy petition within sect. 6 of the Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (*e*).

(*t*) Kerr on Receivers, p. 194. See *Exp. Sacker*, 22 Q. B. D. 179, at p. 185.

(*u*) *Re Montgomery*, 1 Moll. 419; *Malcolm v. O'Callaghan*, 3 My. & Cr. 52, at p. 59.

(*x*) See *Nangle v. Lord Fingal*, 1 Hog. 142. See also *inf.* as to receivers defending actions without leave.

(*y*) *Davis v. John*, M'Cl. 575.

(*z*) *Herbert v. Rae*, 13 Ir. Ch. R. 25.

(*a*) *Wynne v. Lord Newborough*, 1 Ves. Jun. 164; *Ward v. Swift*, 6 Ha. 312.

(*b*) *Walton v. Johnstone*, 15 S. 352; *Cassamajor v. Strobe*, 1 S. & St. 381.

(*c*) *Exp. Harris*, 2 Ch. D. 243. See *Exp. Sacker*, 22 Q. B. D. 179, at p. 185.

(*d*) *Hills v. Reeves*, 31 W. R. 209.

(*e*) 46 & 47 Vict. c. 52. See *Exp. Sacker*, *sup.*

A receiver may, it seems, be made a party to a suit instituted against the owner or incumbrancer of the property, but he is not a necessary party (*f*).

CHAP. XLVI.

A receiver should not defend an action brought against him without the leave of the Court. A receiver having, without the sanction of the Court, defended an action arising out of a distress for rent made by him on a tenant of the estate, the Court refused to allow him the costs of the action (*g*). But in one case, where a receiver successfully defended an action brought against him without putting the estate to the expense of an application to the Court for leave to defend, it was held that he ought to stand in the same position, as to indemnity against extra costs incurred, as if the application had been made (*h*).

Power to defend actions.

Except under special circumstances of immediate necessity, the receiver is not the proper party to present a petition or originate any proceedings in the action in which he is appointed. If an emergency should arise necessitating directions or additional powers, he should apply to the party at whose instance he was appointed to make any necessary application to the Court. Should he refuse or neglect to do so, the receiver may be justified in applying on his own account (*i*). Such applications are usually made by summons at chambers.

Power to institute proceedings in the cause.

In some reported cases, however, no objection seems to have been taken to applications made by receivers in their own names (*k*).

In two cases the receiver ought to apply by summons for directions (*l*); namely, where a large sum comes into his hands in the interval between the times fixed for passing his accounts, so that the sum may not lie idle (*m*); and where the order does not provide for payment of balances into the bank (*n*).

Summons for directions.

Though a receiver may, on his own responsibility, pay taxes and necessary outgoings, and may also lay out a small amount in necessary expenses, which, as it would seem, ought not to exceed 30*l.* in any one year (*o*), he must not incur any serious

Payment of taxes and other outgoings.

(*f*) *Lewis v. Lord Zouche*, 2 Sim. 388; *Smith v. Earl of Effingham*, 7 Beav. 357.

(*g*) *Swaby v. Dickon*, 5 Sim. 629.

(*h*) *Bristow v. Needham*, 2 Ph. 190.

(*i*) *Parker v. Dunn*, 8 Beav. 497. See *Ireland v. Eade*, 7 Beav. 55; *Chater v. Maclean*, 1 Jur. N. S. 175.

(*k*) *Mills v. Fry*, 1 G. Coop. 107; *Wickens v. Townshend*, 1 R. & My. 381; *Evelyn v. Lewis*, 3 Ha. 472.

(*l*) For forms of summonses, see *Dan. Ch. Forms*, 1712.

(*m*) *Shaw v. Rhodes*, 2 Russ. 539.

(*n*) *Potts v. Leighton*, 15 Ves. 273.

(*o*) *Dan. Ch. Fr.* 1700, n.

CHAP. XLVI.

expenditure in repairs without a previous application to the Court (*p*). Where, however, unauthorized expenditure by a receiver has resulted in lasting benefit to the estate, the Court, on being satisfied as to the reasonableness of the expenditure and of the benefit to the estate, may allow the receiver to be recouped the amount so expended by him (*q*). If it is necessary to cut timber or to get stone or brick-earth, &c., for repairs, application for leave so to do will be necessary (*q*).

Extraordinary expenses.

Generally speaking, extraordinary expenses incurred by a receiver without the leave of the Court will not be allowed to him.

Fee farm rents, &c.

A receiver must keep down all fee farm rents, head rents, or other rents to which the property is subject; if he fails to do so, he will be liable to pay the costs of proceedings instituted by the person entitled for recovery of rents (*r*).

Repairs.

Necessary repairs fall within the scope of a receiver's duty, and he may at his own risk execute repairs of a more extensive kind, subject, however, to the liability to have the propriety of the expenditure questioned, unless he has obtained the leave of the Court (*s*).

Improvements.

A receiver is not justified in expending money on improvements of the property; and such sanction will not generally be given unless upon very special grounds. So the Court, in appointing a receiver on motion, refused to authorize him to expend 100*l.* in putting leasehold houses, comprised in the mortgage, in a fit state for occupation; although 2,000*l.* was due on the mortgage, and no payment for principal or interest had been made for a considerable time, and most of the houses were unfinished and consequently unoccupied (*t*).

Expenditure in carrying on business.

Where a receiver and manager of a business, which is being carried on at a loss, is appointed, the Court has jurisdiction to sanction expenditure which may prevent the business from being closed, and may preserve it with a view to selling it as a going concern, but the expenditure must be shown to be of urgent necessity, unless all parties interested consent to the application for the sanction of the Court thereto (*u*).

(*p*) *Att.-Gen. v. Vigor*, 11 Ves. 563; *Waters v. Taylor*, 15 Ves. 10, 25; *Tempest v. Orde*, 2 Mer. 56.

(*q*) *Blunt v. Clitherow*, 6 Ves. 799. For form of order authorizing receiver to repair, see Seton on Decrees, 671, 672.

(*r*) *Balfie v. Blake*, 1 Ir. Ch. R. 365.

(*s*) *Re Graham, Graham v. Noakes*, (1895) 1 Ch. 66, 72.

(*t*) *Meaden v. Sealey*, 6 Ha. 620.

(*u*) *Securities, &c. Investment Corp. v. Brighton Alhambra*, W. N. (1893) 15. See also *Exp. Grissell*, 3 Ch. D. 411, C. A.; *Falcke v. Scottish Imperial Insurance Co.*, 34 Ch. D. 234, C. A.

A prior incumbrancer may apply to the receiver for the interest from time to time accruing due on his security; and if he so apply, the receiver will be bound to pay such interest, before paying in his balances. If no such application is made, the balances will be carried in, without any previous inquiry whether the prior incumbrancer has or has not received his interest (*x*).

A receiver must bring in and pass his accounts regularly from time to time to show the actual balance in his hands, according to the prescribed form, on such days as the Court or judge shall fix for that purpose, and must pay in his balance on the days appointed; on default, the receiver's salary may be disallowed, and he may be charged at the rate of 5 per cent. per annum on unpaid balances (*y*). The accounts must be verified by an affidavit in the prescribed form (*z*).

Delivery and passing of receiver's accounts.

The rule as regards a receiver in default may be enforced notwithstanding that the accounts have been settled (*a*), or even after the receiver has been discharged (*b*).

Default of receiver.

By the Rules of the Supreme Court, Ord. L. r. 21, on default by a receiver in bringing in any account or in making any payment, the parties may be required to attend at chambers, and proper directions may be given, including the discharge of the receiver and the appointment of another, and the payment of costs.

Consequences of default.

An order may be made on a receiver to bring in accounts or pay balances on summons in a summary way (*c*), and such order may be enforced by attachment or by sequestration (*d*).

Mode of compelling delivery of accounts, &c.

The Court cannot summarily order the representatives of a deceased receiver to pass their testator's accounts (*e*). But, where the balance has been ascertained, an order may be made that his recognizance be put in force against his representatives and sureties (*f*).

Accounts of deceased receiver.

A receiver failing to bring in proper accounts may be made to pay the costs of an application for an order directing him so to do (*g*).

Costs.

(*x*) *Bertie v. Lord Abingdon*, 3 Mex. 560, at p. 567. See *Penny v. Todd*, W. N. (1878) 71.

(*y*) R. S. C., Ord. L. rr. 18, 19. See *Fletcher v. Dodd*, 1 Ves. jun. 85; *Potts v. Leighton*, 15 Ves. 273. For form of receivers' accounts, see R. S. C., App. L. Form No. 14.

(*z*) R. S. C., Ord. L. r. 20, and App. L. Form No. 22.

(*a*) *Hicks v. Hicks*, 3 Atk. 273.

(*b*) *Harrison v. Boydell*, 6 Sim. 211. See *Re Edwards*, 31 L. R. Ir. 242.

(*c*) *Whitehead v. Lynes*, 34 Beav. 161. See R. S. C., Ord. XLI. r. 5.

(*d*) R. S. C., Ord. XLII. r. 7; *Re Bell's Estate*, L. R. 9 Eq. 172; *Sprunt v. Pugh*, 7 Ch. D. 567. See *Re Gent*, *Gent-Davis v. Harris*, 40 Ch. D. 190.

(*e*) *Jenkins v. Briant*, 7 Sim. 171; *Ludgater v. Channell*, 15 Sim. 479.

(*f*) *Ludgater v. Channell*, 3 Mac. & G. 175 (on appeal). See *Gurden v. Badcock*, 6 Beav. 157.

(*g*) *Bertie v. Lord Abingdon*, 8 Beav. 53.

CHAP. XLVI.

Liability for
loss to estate.

A receiver was held to be liable for losses incurred by reason of the failure of a bank, in which he had deposited, to the joint account of himself and his sureties, moneys belonging to the estate (*h*); and he will generally be liable, if he has knowingly parted with the control of the moneys by placing them in the hands of other persons (*i*).

If a receiver receives moneys which he conceals from his accounts, and dies after his recognizances have been vacated, the debt is still a debt of record, and he is, besides, a trustee for the parties beneficially interested (*j*).

Claim against
receiver.

The Court will not refuse liberty to try a right claimed against a receiver appointed in a foreclosure action, unless it is quite clear that the claim is unfounded (*k*).

Misapplica-
tion of rents,
&c.

If a receiver, appointed by the Court on the application of an incumbrancer, misapply the rents and profits, the loss must ultimately fall on the mortgagor (*l*).

Liability on
contracts.

Receivers and managers of a business appointed by the Court are not, by virtue of their appointment, agents of the company to make contracts on its behalf; if they enter into contracts with third persons for the supply of goods, &c., they will be personally liable on such contracts, unless there be in any particular contract a special stipulation to the contrary (*m*). They cannot annul contracts of the company (*n*).

Receiver must
not make
profit for
himself.

A receiver will not be allowed to make interest for his own benefit of the moneys that come into his hands during the intervals between the passing of his accounts (*o*).

Similarly, a receiver will not be allowed to administer the estate so as to benefit the conduct of a business carried on by him on his own account (*p*). Nor will he be allowed to buy any part of the estate except by leave of the Court (*q*).

Cesser of this
rule after
discharge.

But a receiver and manager of a business is not precluded after his discharge from setting up a similar business on his own account or from soliciting orders from the former customers of the business of which he was receiver and manager (*r*).

(*h*) *Salway v. Salway*, 2 R. & M. 215. See *Deever v. Maundesley*, 8 Jur. 547.

(*i*) *Knight v. Lord Plymouth*, 3 Atk. 480.

(*j*) See *Seagram v. Tuck*, 18 Ch. D. 296.

(*k*) *Lane v. Capsey*, (1891) 3 Ch. 411.

(*l*) *Rigge v. Bowater*, 3 Bro. C. C. 365.

(*m*) *Burt v. Bull*, (1895) 1 Q. B. 276, C. A. See *De Grelle, Houdret & Co. v. Burt*, 1 Mans. 118.

(*n*) *Re Marriage, Neave & Co.*, (1896) 2 Ch. 663, C. A.

(*o*) *Shaw v. Rhodes*, 2 Russ. 539.

(*p*) See *Kerr on Receivers*, 224.

(*q*) *Allen v. Bond*, Fl. & K. 196.

(*r*) *Re Irish, Irish v. Irish*, 40 Ch. D. 49.

viii.—**Remuneration and Expenses of Receiver.**—By R. S. C., Ord. L. r. 16, a receiver shall, unless otherwise ordered, be allowed a proper salary or allowance. No direction as to salary is therefore now inserted in the order unless the receiver is to act without salary, in which case the words “without salary” should be inserted in the order (s). The amount of the salary or allowance will generally be fixed upon the passing of the first account (t); but under special circumstances the order may direct that the receiver shall be allowed such remuneration as the judge shall think proper on the passing of each account (u).

The allowance made to a receiver depends upon the degree of facility or difficulty experienced in getting in the rents or moneys receivable (x). There is no fixed scale of remuneration (y). In ordinary cases the scale of remuneration was formerly at the rate of five per cent. on the gross amount received (z); but, at the present time, a less rate would probably be fixed, especially in the case of a large estate.

Measure of allowances.

A party interested in the subject-matter of the action proposing himself as receiver will generally be required to act without remuneration (a).

A receiver will be entitled, in addition to his remuneration according to the scale fixed, to an allowance for extraordinary trouble and expenses (b), but not unless such additional allowance has been previously sanctioned by the Court (c).

Extraordinary expenses.

A receiver is not entitled to be reimbursed the expenses of journeys to or residence in a foreign country for the purpose of recovering property belonging to the estate, unless he has obtained the express sanction of the Court (d).

If a receiver defend an action without the leave of the Court, he will not be allowed his costs (e).

When a petition against a receiver, charging him with default, has been dismissed with costs, and the petitioner is unable to pay them, the receiver may, even as against incumbancers on the property, retain the costs out of his receipts (f).

Costs of receiver out of receipts.

A receiver will be allowed to reimburse himself for all reason-

(s) *Pilkington v. Baker*, 24 W. R. 234.
(t) *Dan. Ch. Pr.* 1696; *Kerr on Receivers*, 185.

(u) *Neave v. Douglas*, 26 L. J. Ch. 756.

(x) *Day v. Croft*, 2 Beav. 488.

(y) *Prior v. Bagster*, W. N. (1887) 194.

(z) *Day v. Croft*, *sup.*

(a) See *ante*, p. 940.

(b) *Potts v. Leighton*, 15 Ves. 276.

(c) *Re Ormsby*, 1 Ba. & Be. 189.

(d) *Malcolm v. O'Callaghan*, 3 My. & Cr. 62.

(e) *Swaby v. Dickon*, 5 Sim. 629. See *ante*, p. 953.

(f) *Courand v. Hanmer*, 9 Beav. 3.

CHAP. XLVI.

able expenses incurred by him in getting in rents or moneys, including remuneration paid to a collector, even as against prior incumbrancers (*g*), without any previous application to the Court for that purpose (*h*), and notwithstanding the insufficiency of the estate to bear the costs of the mortgagee (*i*).

Discharge.

ix.—Discharge of Receiver.—When the objects for which a receiver has been appointed have been completed, so that his continuance is unnecessary, he will be discharged, and his recognizances will be vacated (*k*).

An order for the discharge of a receiver on passing his final account and paying the balance certified to be due from him may be made after a decree for foreclosure absolute, without opening the foreclosure (*l*).

Several mortgagees.

A receiver appointed on behalf of several mortgagees cannot be discharged without the consent of all (*m*).

How application for discharge should be made.

The application to discharge the receiver may be made on motion, petition or summons, which must be served on the receiver; but he ought not to appear unless under special circumstances, and, if he do so, his costs may be disallowed (*n*).

Grounds for discharge.

A receiver is liable to be discharged for irregularity in bringing or passing his accounts (*o*), or for other misconduct in the performance of his duties (*p*); or if his appointment was improper (*q*).

Bankruptcy of receiver.

If a receiver becomes bankrupt he will be removed, and a new receiver will be appointed in his place (*q*).

A first mortgagee who is ready to go into possession himself may obtain the discharge of a receiver who has been appointed at the instance of a puisne incumbrancer (*r*).

(*g*) *Gilbert v. Denely*, 3 Sc. N. R. 364.

(*h*) *Fitzgerald v. Fitzgerald*, 5 Ir. Eq. R. 525.

(*i*) *Batten v. Wedgwood Coal and Iron Co.*, 28 Ch. D. 317.

(*k*) *Tewart v. Lawson*, L. R. 18 Eq. 490. See R. S. C., Ord. LX. r. 4.

(*l*) *Arthy v. Stamford Bank*, W. N. (1886) 63. See *Holt v. Beagle*, 55 L. T. 592.

(*m*) *Faulkner v. Daniel*, 3 Ha. 204; *Bainbridge v. Blair*, 3 Beav. 421.

(*n*) *Seton*, 687. See *Herman v. Dun-*

bar, 23 Beav. 312.

(*o*) *Bertie v. Lord Abingdon*, 8 Beav. 53.

(*p*) *Mitchell v. Condy*, W. N. (1873) 232; *Re St. George's Estate*, 19 L. R. Ir. 566. See *Griffith v. Griffith*, 2 Ves. 400.

(*q*) *Re Lloyd, Allen v. Lloyd*, 12 Ch. D. 448, C. A.; *Niemann v. Niemann*, 43 Ch. D. 198, C. A.; *Re Wells, Moloney v. Brooke*, W. N. (1890) 104.

(*r*) *Re Southern Rail. Co.*, 17 L. R. Ir. 121, 137.

CHAPTER XLVII.

OF ACTIONS ON PERSONAL COVENANTS FOR PAYMENT IN
MORTGAGES (*a*).

i.—**Right of Action on Covenant generally.**—The simplest remedy of a mortgagee to enforce the payment of his debt, and the one to which recourse should first be made where the security is scanty, is to bring an action against the mortgagor personally upon the covenant contained in the mortgage deed for payment of principal and interest. By bringing such an action, and obtaining judgment therein, the mortgagee will put himself in a position to recover the money due to him out of the property, real or personal, of the mortgagor, other than that comprised in the mortgage.

Advantages
of suing on
covenant.

If the mortgagee sues on the covenant for the debt, without claiming foreclosure, he may specially indorse his writ, under Ord. III. r. 6 of the Rules of the Supreme Court, and obtain summary judgment under Ord. XIV. r. 1; an assignee of a mortgage so suing need not expressly state that notice of the assignment was given to the mortgagor (*b*).

Summary
relief.

ii.—**Who may sue on the Covenant.**—Of course, the mortgagee himself may sue upon the covenant as soon as his right of action arises, and so long as any principal money or interest remains owing on the security of the mortgage.

Mortgagee
may sue on
covenant.

Upon the decease of a mortgagee of land, his executors, administrators, or assigns may sue upon the covenant, though it is not expressly made with them, as the right to sue devolves on them without being mentioned (*c*).

Executors,
adminis-
trators and
assigns of
deceased
mortgagee.

Where the covenant is made with more persons than one who advance the money on a joint account, the right to sue devolves

Executors or
survivor of
several joint
mortgagees.

(*a*) The observations in this chapter will also apply to actions on bonds taken as collateral securities, and many of the cases cited relate to such actions; but collateral bonds have almost entirely fallen into disuse. As to claims for personal payment in foreclosure

actions, see *post*, pp. 1019 *et seq.*

(*b*) *Satchwell v. Clarke*, 66 L. T. 641, C. A. The appointment of a receiver will not of itself prevent special indorsement. *Post*, p. 1020.

(*c*) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 58. See s. 30.

CHAP. XLVII.

on the survivors or survivor of them, though the words "survivors or survivor" are not expressly mentioned in the covenant (*d*).

Transferee of mortgage.

A transfer of a mortgage, if properly drawn (*e*), contains an absolute assignment to the transferee of the mortgage debt, and the benefit of all securities for the same, as well as a conveyance to him of the mortgaged property. By virtue of such assignment, the assignee will be entitled to sue the mortgagor on his covenant for payment of principal and interest.

Right to sue for debt.

He may also now, by virtue of the assignment of the debt itself, sue for it in his own name, and will acquire the legal right to the debt, and to all legal and other remedies for the same, and the power to give a good discharge for the same, without the concurrence of the assignor, provided that notice of the assignment is given to the debtor, although the deed of transfer contains no power of attorney enabling him to sue (*f*).

Concurrence of mortgagor.

Frequently, however, the mortgagor is made a party to the deed of transfer, and covenants directly with the transferee for payment to him of principal and interest. By this means the transferee obtains a direct right of action against the mortgagor on the new covenant without any risk of a question being raised as to whether the latter has received due notice of assignment (*g*).

Sub-mortgagee.

The enactment above referred to applies only to absolute assignments of debts and choses in action. Accordingly, a sub-mortgage of a mortgage debt and the securities for the same does not of itself confer on the sub-mortgagee any right of action against the original mortgagor except on the covenants by the latter for payment of principal and interest. No such action can be brought, except in the name of the original mortgagee or his absolute assignee; and if, by reason of there being no covenant for payment in the original mortgage, it is intended that the sub-mortgagee shall be authorized to sue in the name of the original mortgagee, a power of attorney is still necessary for that purpose (*h*). A power of attorney given on an assignment by way of mortgage of a legal debt, being for valuable consideration, may be made irrevocable (*i*).

(*d*) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 60.

(*e*) Dav. Conv. Vol. II. pt. ii. p. 781; Key & Elph. Conv. Vol. II. p. 228; Byth. & Jarm. Conv. Vol. III. p. 1202.

(*f*) See Jud. Act, 1873 (36 & 37 Vict. c. 66), s. 25, sub-s. (6).

(*g*) See further, as to assignments, *ante*, p. 819.

(*h*) See further, as to sub-mortgages, *ante*, p. 830.

(*i*) 45 & 46 Vict. c. 39, s. 8; but the section is not retrospective.

iii.—When and under what Conditions the Right of Action on the Covenant arises.—When a covenant in a mortgage deed stipulates for payment of principal and interest on a fixed day, they are distinct debts, and may be sued for separately when default is made in payment of either (*k*).

CHAP. XLVII.

Principal and interest are distinct debts.

The mortgagee's right of action on the covenant arises immediately upon the default of the mortgagor to perform the same. Thus, the mortgagee may bring his action for payment only of the interest due to him at any time after the mortgagor has failed to pay interest on any of the half-yearly or quarterly days appointed for payment of the same; or, if no such days are appointed, then it seems that the mortgagee may at any time claim the interest accrued due since the last payment (*l*).

Action to recover interest.

The accruer of the right of action on the covenant to pay the principal will depend upon the terms of the mortgage deed. In the ordinary case of a mortgage containing a covenant for payment of the principal, together with the interest then due, on a fixed day (usually six months after the date of the mortgage), an action on the covenant will lie immediately after default in payment on the day appointed; and it makes no difference whether the covenantor be principal or only a surety (*m*).

Action to recover principal.

Where a loan is made for six or nine months, the option is in the borrower; and the credit of the borrower will not expire so as to enable the creditor to maintain an action for the debt until nine months have elapsed (*n*).

Alternative days of payment.

If the principal is made payable on demand, an action to recover it will lie at any time after the execution of the mortgage (*o*).

Principal payable on demand.

Where there is a present debt and a promise to pay on demand, the demand is not considered to be a condition precedent to the bringing of the action; but where there is a covenant or promise to pay a collateral sum on demand, as, for instance, a covenant by a surety, then the demand must be made before action brought (*p*).

(*k*) *Dickenson v. Harrison*, 4 Pri. 282; *Attwood v. Taylor*, 1 Man. & Gr. 307.

(*l*) *Wilson v. Harman*, 2 Ves. sen. 672.

(*m*) *Ante*, p. 89.

(*n*) *Reed v. Kilburn Co-operative Soc.*, L. R. 10 Q. B. 264.

(*o*) *Evans v. Jones*, 5 M. & W. 295. See *Barber v. Butcher*, 8 Q. B. 863.

(*p*) *Re Brown's Estate*, *Brown v. Brown*, (1893) 2 Ch. 300. See *Norton*

CHAP. XLVII.

Principal
payable on
notice to pay.

Where, however, the covenant is not simply to pay "on demand," but to pay when the mortgagee should so "require by notice," or "upon demand in writing," the notice or demand given must not be illusory, but must allow the covenantor reasonable time to obtain the money (*q*).

So, also, if the mortgagee makes the demand through an agent or third person who alleges himself to be the agent of the mortgagee, the mortgagor will be entitled to a reasonable time for the purpose of ascertaining the authority of the alleged agent to receive the money and to give an effectual receipt for the same (*r*).

Action not
notice.

Action brought is not notice where a debt is payable on notice (*s*).

Postponement
of right to call
in principal.

Where, as is sometimes the case, the mortgage deed contains a covenant by the mortgagee not to call in the money for a certain number of years, or before the happening of a specified event, as, for instance, the death of a named person, the mortgagee's right of action for recovery of the money will be suspended until the expiration of that period, subject, of course, to the performance by the mortgagor of the covenants or conditions (if any) on his part upon the performance of which the mortgagee's covenant is made to depend (*t*).

Covenant
deemed to be
conditional on
mortgagee's
ability to
reconvey.

iv.—Bar of Right of Action by Inability to Reconvey.—

Although the covenant by the mortgagor for payment of principal and interest usually contained in a mortgage is in form absolute, it is nevertheless construed in equity as conditional upon the reconveyance by the mortgagee of the property mortgaged upon receipt by him of the full amount payable under the covenant (*u*). It follows that, although the mortgagee be entitled at law to sue upon the covenant, yet if it appear from the state of the transaction that, by the act of the mortgagee, other than an exercise of his power of sale, or other act autho-

v. Ellam, 2 M. & W. 461; *Jackson v. Ogg*, Johns. 397.

(*q*) *Brighty v. Norton*, 3 B. & S. 305; *Toms v. Wilson*, 4 B. & S. 442; *Belding v. Read*, 3 H. & C. 955; *Exp. Trevor, Re Burghardt*, 1 Ch. D. 297. See also *Exp. Lamb, Re Southam*, 19 Ch. D. 169, C. A. This distinction appears to be fully borne out by the cases cited, and it is submitted that the dictum in *Fitzgerald's Trustee v. Mellersh*, (1892)

1 Ch. 385 at p. 390, as to payment on demand requiring reasonable notice is too generally worded.

(*r*) *Moore v. Shelley*, 8 App. Cas. 285.

(*s*) *Moore v. Pechell*, 22 Beav. 172.

(*t*) *Brougham v. Squire*, 1 Drew. 151. See *Burrowes v. Molloy*, 2 J. & L. 521, 527, *ante*, p. 928.

(*u*) *Kinnaird v. Trollope*, 39 Ch. D. 636.

rized by the mortgagor, it has become impossible to restore the estate on payment of all that is due, the Court will interfere and prevent the mortgagee suing the mortgagor on his covenant; and accordingly, where a mortgagee had joined with the transferees of the equity of redemption in a partial alienation of the property, but the money was received by the transferees alone, the mortgagee was restrained from proceeding against the mortgagor upon his covenant to pay (x). So, where the mortgagee died leaving no heir, and his legal personal representatives sued at law for the mortgage debt, an injunction was granted to prevent the prosecution of the action, and the mortgage debt was ordered to be paid into Court and to remain there until the legal estate could be got in and conveyed to the mortgagor (y). And, in another case in which the title deeds had been lodged by the mortgagee with an attorney, who claimed a lien upon them, the Court granted an injunction against proceedings at law to compel payment of the money, and ordered the money to be paid into the bank until the title deeds were recovered and a reconveyance could be made (z).

If, however, the mortgagor has given authority to the mortgagee to part with the mortgaged property, he cannot afterwards set up, as a defence to an action on the covenant, the inability of the mortgagee to reconvey the property. Such authority may be derived from the mortgage deed, from an express power of sale contained therein (a), or from the statutory power of sale which is now incorporated into every mortgage deed, unless expressly excluded or modified; or it may be derived from the direct concurrence of the mortgagor, or possibly otherwise (b).

Acquiescence
of mortgagor
in alienation.

Again, the inability of the mortgagee to reconvey will not bar his right of action on the covenant, or other remedies, if such inability arises from any default of the mortgagor. So, where a mortgagee of leaseholds has, after foreclosure of subsequent mortgagees, been ousted from the estate for breach of covenants, which the mortgagor's executors should have kept, the mortgagee may prove against the mortgagor's estate (c).

Loss by act of
mortgagor.

(x) *Palmer v. Hendrie*, 27 Beav. 349. See *Tobke v. Hartley*, 2 Bro. C. C. 128; *Perry v. Barker*, 13 Ves. 198; *Lockhart v. Hardy*, 9 Beav. 355; *Walker v. Jones*, L. R. 1 P. C. 50.

(y) Case cited by Lord Redesdale, in *Schoole v. Salt*, 1 Sch. & L. 176.

(z) *Schoole v. Salt*, *sup.*

(a) *Rudge v. Richens*, L. R. 8 C. P. 358.

(b) *Kinnaird v. Trollope*, 39 Ch. D. 636, 646.

(c) *Re Burrell, Burrell v. Smith*, L. R. 7 Eq. 399.

CHAP. XLVII.

Liability of mortgagor and his personal representatives.

Liability continues after assignment.

No liability attaches to assignee.

Liability of consignee to indemnify mortgagor.

Joint and several covenants.

v.—Upon what Persons the Liability under the Covenant attaches.—The covenants to pay principal and interest are strictly personal covenants under which a personal liability attaches to the covenantor, and to his personal representatives after his death, although, as has been seen (*d*), as between his personal representatives and the mortgaged estate, the liability rests primarily on the latter.

Accordingly, the mortgagor will remain personally liable under his covenants, although he has absolutely parted with and conveyed to another person the equity of redemption. But, in such a case, the mortgagee will be entitled to judgment on the covenant only, on the terms that, upon receiving payment of the whole amount due from the mortgagor, he shall reconvey the property to the mortgagor, subject to the subsisting equity of redemption, thus, in effect, rendering the original mortgagor a mortgagee of the property (*e*).

Conversely, these covenants do not run with the mortgaged property, so as to give to the mortgagee any right of action on the covenant against the assignee of the equity of redemption in the absence of any covenant by the assignee rendering him personally liable for payment of the mortgage moneys or other special circumstances (*f*).

Although the purchaser of an equity of redemption is not personally liable to the mortgagee on the covenant for payment, yet he is, independently of contract, liable to indemnify the vendor against the mortgage debt, and he may be required to give a covenant for such indemnity (*g*).

Where a covenant is entered into by several persons, the question whether the liability thereby created is joint only (*h*), joint and several (*i*), or several only (*j*), will depend on the construction of the express terms of the covenant itself with reference to the deed as a whole; and such construction, in the case of ambiguity, may be explained by evidence of the interest of the covenantors in the property, or in the moneys advanced, or other circumstances of the transaction (*k*). Thus, though the covenant

(*d*) *Ante*, p. 767.

(*e*) *Kinnaird v. Trollope*, 39 Ch. D. 636.

(*f*) *Butler v. Butler*, 5 Ves. 534. See *Re Errington, Exp. Mason*, (1894) 1 Q. B. 11; *Thorne v. Cann*, (1895) A. C. 11, at p. 18.

(*g*) *Bridgman v. Dove*, 40 W. R. 453.

(*h*) See *Sumner v. Powell*, 2 Mer. 30; *Wilmer v. Curry*, 2 De G. & S. 347;

Kendall v. Hamilton, 4 App. Cas. 504.

(*i*) *Robinson v. Walker*, 1 Salk. 393; *Enys v. Donnithorne*, 2 Burr. 1190; *May v. Woodward*, Freem. K. B. 248.

(*j*) *Armstrong v. Cahill*, 6 L. R. Ir. 440; *Exp. Harding*, 12 Ch. D. 557.

(*k*) See *Primrose v. Bromley*, 1 Atk. 89; *Beresford v. Browning*, 1 Ch. D. 30, C. A.

to pay is joint, the debt may still be treated as a several debt in respect of the money which each covenantor has received (*l*). CHAP. XLVII.

Formerly, if a covenantee brought an action upon a covenant, which was in its terms joint only, against one of several co-covenantors, the defendant could raise a plea in abatement which would have defeated the proceedings in that action (*m*). Pleas in abatement are now abolished, but by the Rules of the Supreme Court (*n*) it is provided that—

“No cause or matter shall be defeated by reason of the misjoinder or nonjoinder of parties, and the Court may in every cause or matter deal with the matter in controversy so far as regards the rights and interests of the parties actually before it. The Court or a judge may, at any stage of the proceedings, either upon or without the application of either party, and on such terms as may appear to the Court or a judge to be just, order that the names of any parties improperly joined, whether as plaintiffs or as defendants, be struck out, and that the names of any parties, whether plaintiffs or defendants, who ought to have been joined, or whose presence before the Court may be necessary in order to enable the Court effectually and completely to adjudicate upon and settle all the questions involved in the cause or matter, be added.”

Misjoinder and non-joinder.

Striking out and adding parties.

The Judicature Act, 1873, has empowered all Divisions of the High Court to give equitable relief (*o*), and has enacted that in case of conflict or variance between the rules of law and of equity, the rules of equity shall prevail (*p*). But there never was any absolute rule in equity that a contract which would at law be construed as joint only, is to be treated in equity as joint and several (*q*). Where, therefore, under the present practice, one of several joint covenantors is alone sued on the covenant, he should apply to the Court, under Order XVI. r. 11, to enforce the joinder of the other co-covenantors, for it remains the substantial right of one joint contractor not to be sued without the other. But it is at his option whether he will raise any such defence or not (*r*).

If the covenantor who is being sued neglects to obtain an order for the joinder of his co-covenantors, the plaintiff may proceed with his action and obtain judgment against the defendant alone (*s*).

But, if the covenantee sues A., one of two joint covenantors, without any objection on his part, and recovers judgment against

(*l*) See *Crossley v. Dobson*, 2 De G. & S. 486.

(*m*) 1 Chitty on Pleading, 7th ed. p. 482. See *Kendall v. Hamilton*, 4 App. Cas. 504, 529.

(*n*) R. S. C., Ord. XVI. r. 11.

(*o*) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66, s. 24.

(*p*) *Ibid.* s. 25, sub-s. 11.

(*q*) *Kendall v. Hamilton*, 4 App. Cas. 504.

(*r*) *Wegg-Prosser v. Evans*, (1895) 1 Q. B. 108, 118, C. A.

(*s*) *Wegg-Prosser v. Evans*, *sup.*

CHAP. XLVII.

him, and afterwards brings a further action against B., the other covenantor, B. has a right to require A. to be joined as a party in this action, and accordingly, the covenantee having disabled himself from so doing by reason of the judgment recovered against A. in the same cause of action, his right of action against B. is barred (*t*).

The rule that judgment recovered against one of two joint contractors is a bar to an action against the other applies equally where one of them is a married woman contracting in respect of her separate property (*u*).

By the Rules of the Supreme Court (*x*), it is enacted that—

Joinder of
persons
severally or
jointly and
severally
liable.

“The plaintiff may, at his option, join as parties to the same action all or any of the persons severally, or jointly and severally, liable on any one contract, including parties to bills of exchange and promissory notes.”

And where the liability is several as well as joint, it seems that judgment recovered against one or more will be no bar to a subsequent action against the others (*y*).

Of course, if a covenantee obtains judgment against one of several co-covenantors, the other covenantors will be liable to contribution in respect of the amount paid by the defendant covenantor in excess of his proper proportion of the debt (*z*).

Action on
covenant
against
surety.

It has been seen that, where payment of the mortgage moneys is guaranteed by a surety, the mortgagee may, immediately on default, sue the surety independently of the principal debtor (*a*).

Action of
covenant or
debt.

An action of debt before the Judicature Act, 1873, lay by the mortgagee against a surety for the mortgagor on an absolute covenant to pay the mortgage debt; but if the covenant were collateral or conditional, an action on the covenant was the best remedy (*b*). Where the declaration in an action of covenant stated the proviso for redemption on a certain day, and the covenant was in the usual form, to pay the mortgage debt “at the time thereinbefore appointed for the payment thereof,” it was held to be a sufficient allegation of the time when the money was to be paid (*c*).

Judgment or
award against
principal no

In the absence of special agreement, a judgment or award against a principal is no evidence against the surety (*d*). And

(*t*) *King v. Hoare*, 13 M. & W. 494;
Kendall v. Hamilton, 4 App. Cas. 504.

(*u*) *Hoare v. Niblett*, (1891) 1 Q. B. 781.

(*x*) R. S. C. Ord. XVI. r. 6.

(*y*) *Wegg-Prosser v. Evans*, (1895) 1 Q. B. 108, at p. 116, C. A.

(*z*) *Ibid.* at p. 112.

(*a*) *Ante*, p. 961.

(*b*) *Evans v. Jones*, 5 M. & W. 295.

(*c*) *Tildasley v. Stevenson*, 10 Bing. 545.

(*d*) *Exp. Young*, 17 Ch. D. 668, C. A.

when the mortgage deed contains a distinct covenant to pay the debt, the deed may be produced in evidence for the plaintiff (the mortgagee), without a schedule which is referred to in the deed as containing a list of the property mortgaged (*e*). CHAP. XLVII.
evidence
against
surety.

Upon the death of a mortgagor, not only are his executors or administrators liable, to the extent of his personal estate, to an action by the mortgagee on the covenant for payment of principal and interest, but the heirs and devisees of the mortgagor are similarly liable to the extent of his descended or devised real estate.

Under the ancient feudal law, the real estates of debtors could not, by any process, be rendered liable for any of their debts, on the ground that otherwise creditors might, by taking the lands in execution, have been introduced into the feud without the lord's consent (*f*). Common law
liability of
heir.

In process of time, the real estate of debtors was, by statute, made liable to be taken in execution in their lifetime at the suit of their creditors. But on the death of debtors their real estate was not liable to their creditors.

The debtor might have devised his real estate for the payment of his debts; but if he devised it without any provision, or any effectual provision, for debts, or died intestate, the devisee or heir (unless bound by specialty) took the real estate free from the debts of the testator, or ancestor (*g*).

If the heir was bound by specialty, the creditor might have obtained judgment against him, to the value of assets descended, and have taken in execution the land of the ancestor, if not previously aliened or mortgaged by the heir.

Simple contract creditors, and creditors by specialty in which the heir was not bound, were wholly without remedy against him (*h*).

These evils have been remedied by successive statutes.

The Statute of Fraudulent Devises (*i*) introduced a just principle for the relief of creditors, which has since been followed out to its full extent. By that statute, a right of action was given to the specialty creditor against the heir and devisee of his debtor jointly, in cases where no provision was 3 & 4 Will. &
M. c. 14.

(*e*) *Davies v. Heath*, 3 C. B. 938.

(*f*) *Wright's Tenures*, p. 168; *Corvin*, p. 268; *Coote on Mortgages*, 5th ed. p. 194. And see on this subject the judgments of *Mellish, L. J.*, and *James, L. J.*, in *British Mutual Investment Co. v. Smart*, L. R. 10 Ch.

A. 567.

(*g*) *Morley v. Morley*, 5 De G. M. & G. 610, 622; *Williams on Real Assets*, p. 4.

(*h*) *Williams on Real Assets*, p. 2.

(*i*) 3 & 4 W. & M. c. 14. See also 6 & 7 Will. III. c. 14; 4 Anne, c. 6 (Ireland); 47 Geo. III. c. 74.

CHAP. XLVII.

made by the will for payment of debts. The remedy, however, did not apply to the case of a devisee and no heir, nor did it embrace simple contract creditors who, in case their fund was exhausted by the specialty creditors, were driven to their suit in equity to obtain a marshalling of assets.

Under this enactment it was held that, where a person covenants to pay a specific sum of money periodically, the covenantee was a specialty creditor on the real estates of the covenantor in the hands of his heir and devisee (*k*).

1 Will. IV.
c. 47.

The statute 1 Will. IV. c. 47, repealing and consolidating the earlier statutes, provided for the cases of debts by covenant, where there was a devisee and no heir (*l*).

Devises in
fraud of cre-
ditors void.

By this Act it was provided that devises of real estate should be void as against creditors by bonds, covenants, or other specialties binding the heir of the devisor (*m*); that such creditors might proceed by action upon the bond or covenant against the heir and devisee of the obligor or covenantor jointly (*n*), and that, if there should not be any heir-at-law against whom, jointly with the devisee, action could be brought, the creditor might bring his action on the bond or covenant against the devisee only (*o*).

Effect of sale
by heir or
devisee.

The Act further provides that in case any heir or devisee be liable to pay the debts or perform the covenants of his ancestor or testator and sell any land before action brought, the heir or devisee shall be liable in execution to the value of the lands so sold, saving that lands, *bonâ fide* aliened before the action brought, should not be liable to execution (*p*).

Right of ac-
tion v. heir,
&c. confined
to specialty
creditors.

It may be observed that the statutes above referred to give a right of personal action against the heir or devisee of a deceased debtor only to creditors whose debts are secured by bond, covenant, or other specialty binding the heirs; and that this limitation of the right is not affected by the statute 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 104, whereby the realty of a deceased debtor is made liable for his debts in an administration action. A creditor by specialty not binding the heirs, or by simple contract, has, under this statute, therefore, no right of action on the covenant, or simply of debt, as the case may be, against the heir or devisee, though

(*k*) *Jackson v. Briant*, 6 Sim. 603. See *Wilson v. Kimbly*, 7 East, 128; *Farley v. Briant*, 5 N. & M. 42.

(*l*) See *Hervey v. Audland*, 14 Sim. 531. As to the mode of proceeding by a bond creditor against the heir and devisee under these statutes, see *Earl*

of Bath v. Earl of Bradford, 2 Ves. Sen. 586, 589. See also 1 Pow. Mtges. 5th ed. p. 88.

(*m*) 1 Will. 4, c. 47, s. 2.

(*n*) *Ibid.* s. 3.

(*o*) *Ibid.* s. 4.

(*p*) *Ibid.* ss. 6, 7, 8.

he may bring such action against the executors or administrators of a deceased debtor to the extent of the personal assets. CHAP. XLVII.

As regards mortgages made since the 1st January, 1882, all contracts by specialty bind the heirs, though not expressly named (*q*). Conv. & c. Act,
1881, s. 59.

The right of a mortgagee to bring an action for the administration of the estate of a deceased mortgagor will be considered later (*r*). Administra-
tion.

The debts of the ancestor or testator are not by these statutes charged, or made liens, upon assets descended, or devised, but the heir or devisee is personally liable to the extent of such assets (*s*). The liability under this Act of a devisee who alienates the land to the unpaid debts of his testator, is such as to render the debts his own debts to the extent of the value of the lands alienated (*t*). Statutes
create no
charge on
land.

The heir or devisee may, before action or suit, sell or mortgage for valuable consideration the freehold or copyhold assets, and make a good title to the purchaser or mortgagee, free from the debts (*u*); and the existence or notice of debts is immaterial, unless there be fraud, or want of *bona fides* (*x*); and the purchaser from a devisee is not entitled to have the will established against the heir, unless a case of suspicion arises (*y*). The heir, or devisee, is not a trustee for payment of debts; he is entitled to the rents and profits, until possession is recovered against him, but subject to account (*z*). Heir and
devisee may
sell or mort-
gage.

An equitable deposit, with a memorandum of charge, by the heir or devisee is an alienation within the statute (*a*).

An equitable alienation will pass a good title no less than a legal alienation; and an equitable mortgage from the heir or devisee will be protected against execution (*b*). The mortgagee of an equitable devisee for life is in the same position (*c*). Equitable
alienation.

(*q*) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 59.

(*r*) *Post*, p. 1105.

(*s*) *Spackman v. Timbrell*, 8 Sim. 253.

And see 1 Will. IV. c. 47, ss. 6, 8;

Morley v. Morley, 5 De G. M. & G.

610; *Kinderley v. Jervis*, 22 Beav. 1.

(*t*) *Re Hedgely, Small v. Hedgely*, 34

Ch. D. 379.

(*u*) *Higgins v. Shaw*, 2 Dr. & War.

356; *Haynes v. Forshaw*, 11 Ha. 93.

(*x*) *Stroughill v. Anstey*, 1 De G. M.

& G. 635, L. C.; *Jones v. Noyes*, 4 Jur.

N. S. 1033; *Richardson v. Horton*, 7

Beav. 112; *Storrey v. Walsh*, 27 L. J.

Ch. 338; *Hynes v. Redington*, 10 Ir. Ch. R. 206.

(*y*) *M'Culloch v. Gregory*, 3 K. & J.

12.

(*z*) *Shuttleworth v. Neville*, 1 T. R.

454, 457; *Re Hyatt, Bowles v. Hyatt*,

38 Ch. D. 609, at p. 621.

(*a*) *Exp. Baine*, 1 M. D. & De G.

492; *British Mutual Investment Co. v.*

Smart, L. R. 10 Ch. A. 567.

(*b*) *Coope v. Cresswell*, L. R. 2 Ch.

A. 112.

(*c*) *Coope v. Cresswell*, *sup.*

CHAP. XLVII.

Bankruptcy
of heir.

The bankruptcy of the heir is not considered an alienation, and hence the creditors of the ancestor were held entitled to follow the real estates in the hands of the assignees in bankruptcy of the heir (c).

Conveyance
in trust.

The conveyance by the devisees in trust to new trustees did not bar the creditor's rights (d).

Hotchpot.

Where specialty creditors exhaust descended estates, they must bring them into hotchpot (e).

Settlement
by heir.

The settlement of the real estate by the heir or devisee upon his marriage is an alienation discharging it from the debts of the ancestor, but leaving the heir personally liable for them (f). But an agreement for a settlement by an infant heir, never carried into effect, is not an alienation within the statutes (g).

Judgment v.
heir not an
alienation.

A judgment against the heir is not an alienation within the statutes; and now that, under the statute 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 104, the land of a deceased person is assets for payment of his debts generally, the simple contract creditors of the ancestor will be preferred to the judgment creditors of the heir (h). The judgment creditor of the heir under the old law took a charge not specifically on the estate, but on the interest of the heir therein, i.e., only to the extent that the estate was not required for the payment of the debts of the ancestor in a due course of administration (i). Any creditor may, in the interval between a contract for sale by the heir or devisee and the payment of the purchase-money, obtain an injunction restraining payment of the purchase-money to the heir (k).

Analogy of
position of
heir, &c., and
executor.

In regard to real estate, the heir and devisee under these statutes stand in somewhat the same position as the executor does with regard to the personal estate (l), with the exception that the heir or devisee may sell or mortgage for his own benefit or debt.

(c) *Exp. Morton*, 5 Ves. 449.

(d) *Coope v. Cresswell*, L. R. 2 Ch. A. 112.

(e) *Chapman v. Esgar*, 1 Sm. & G. 576.

(f) *Spackman v. Timbrell*, 8 Sim. 263; *Richardson v. Horton*, 7 Beav. 112.

(g) *Pimm v. Insall*, 1 Mac. & G. 449.

(h) *Kinderley v. Jervis*, 22 Beav. 1.

(i) *Dav. Conv.* 4th ed. Vol. III. p. 477.

(k) *Green v. Lowes*, 3 Bro. C. C. 217.

(l) *Dilkes v. Broadmead*, 2 De G. F. & J. 566.

CHAPTER XLVIII.

OF THE STATUTES OF LIMITATION IN BAR OF A MORTGAGEE'S
RIGHT OF ACTION ON COVENANT OR DEBT.

SECTION I.

BAR OF MORTGAGEE'S RIGHT TO RECOVER THE PRINCIPAL.

i.—Actions on the Covenant to recover Principal Moneys charged on Land or Rents.—Prior to the passing of the Statute of Limitations (*a*), there was no limitation of time within which a mortgagee must have instituted an action of covenant, or on a collateral bond or other specialty, to recover money charged on land or rents.

Formerly no limit of time as to actions on covenant, &c.

By sect. 40 of the Act above referred to, all actions, suits, and other proceedings to recover money secured by mortgage, or otherwise charged on land or rent, must have been brought within twenty years after the right to receive the same first accrued, unless such right was kept alive by some payment or acknowledgment in writing.

Stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, s. 40.

This enactment is repealed by the Real Property Limitation Act, 1874 (*b*), but re-enacted, substituting twelve for twenty years as the period of limitation.

Repeal and re-enactment.

By the last-mentioned Act, it is enacted as follows:—

Sect. 8. "No action or suit, or other proceeding, shall be brought to recover any sum of money secured by any mortgage, judgment, or lien, or otherwise charged upon or payable out of any land or rent, at law or in equity, or any legacy, but within *twelve* years next after a present right to receive the same shall have accrued to some person capable of giving a discharge for or release of the same, unless in the meantime some part of the principal money, or some interest thereon, shall have been paid, or some acknowledgment of the right thereto shall have been given in writing, signed by the person by whom the same shall be payable, or his agent, to

Money charged upon land, &c. to be deemed satisfied at the end of twelve years if no interest paid nor acknowledgment given in writing in the meantime (*c*).

(*a*) 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27.

(*b*) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 57.

(*c*) For definitions of expressions "land," &c., for the purposes of these Acts, see *ante*, p. 743.

CHAP. XLVIII.

the person entitled thereto or his agent; and in such case no such action, or suit, or proceeding shall be brought, but within *twelve* years after such payment or acknowledgment, or the last of such payments or acknowledgments, if more than one, was given."

Scope of this enactment.

This enactment is not applicable to actions to recover the land itself, but to actions brought to recover the money; and these actions, in the case of mortgages, are brought either upon the covenant inserted in the mortgage deed, or upon the bond which accompanies the deed (*d*), or, in the absence of any such covenant or bond, by action of debt.

Charge on land.

Money secured by a bond by which the heir is bound is not money charged upon or payable out of land within the meaning of this section (*e*).

Actions not barred as against surety till after twenty years.

And it has been held that sect. 8 of the Act of 1874 does not bar, after twelve years, an action by a mortgagee of land against a surety who has entered into a covenant in the mortgage deed (*f*), or given a collateral bond (*g*), for payment of the mortgage money; such an action is not a proceeding to recover money secured on land, but to recover damages because another person has failed to pay money secured on land.

Limitation of actions of debt on specialties, &c.

By the statute 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42, s. 3 (*h*), all actions of covenant or debt, upon any bond or other specialty, and all actions of debt or *scire facias* upon any recognizance, must thereafter be commenced and sued within twenty years after the cause of action; with the saving of the infancy or other disability of either party existing at the time when the cause of action accrued.

But by sect. 5 of the same Act it is provided that:—

"If any acknowledgment shall have been made, either by writing signed by the party liable by virtue of such specialty or recognizance, or his agent, or by part payment, or part satisfaction on account of any principal or interest being then due thereon; then the action may be brought within twenty years after such acknowledgment, or if the person entitled to the action shall at the time of the acknowledgment be under disability, or the person making the acknowledgment shall be then beyond seas, then within twenty

(*d*) *Per* Littledale, J., in *Doe v. Williams*, 5 A. & E. 291, at p. 296. See *Re Conlan's Estate*, 29 L. R. Ir. 199.

(*e*) *Roddam v. Morley*, 1 De G. & J. 1. See, further, as to the meaning of the words "charged on land" for the purposes of the Statutes of Limitation,

post, p. 990.

(*f*) *Re Frisby, Alison v. Frisby*, 43 Ch. D. 106, C. A.

(*g*) *Re Powers, Lindsell v. Phillips*, 30 Ch. D. 291, C. A.

(*h*) Extended to Ireland by 3 & 4 Vict. c. 105, s. 32.

years after such party ceases from such liability, or returns from beyond seas" (i). CHAP. XLVIII.

To an action on the covenant, a plea that the cause of action did not accrue within six years before the commencement of the proceedings is bad (k). Plea of six years' limit bad.

An action on the covenant will lie after six years, notwithstanding that the debt is to be paid by promissory notes of even date (l). Debt collaterally secured by promissory notes.

An action in England is not barred, until the period prescribed by the Statute of Limitations has expired, though brought on a covenant or bond executed in a British colony or dependency, where the period of limitation for specialty debts is shorter than that allowed by English law (m). Action on covenants, &c. made in colony.

The Real Property Limitation Act, 1874 (n), did not refer to or in any way expressly alter the statute 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42. Prior to the passing of the Act of 1874, it was considered that the two Acts of Will. IV. (which were passed almost simultaneously) must be taken together, and that the latter Act must be deemed to be an explanation of the former, so that c. 27 related only to the land, and c. 42 to the personal remedy on the covenant or bond (o); and as the same period of limitation of the right of action was prescribed by both statutes, this question was not of any great practical importance. Now, however, the periods prescribed by the statutes 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42, and 37 & 38 Vict. c. 57, differ, the period of limitation under the former Act being twenty years, and under the latter Act twelve years. But it has been held in two cases (p), and may be regarded as settled, that an action by a mortgagee of land on the covenant or bond given by the mortgagor as additional security for the debt, is an action for recovery of money charged on or payable out of land, and, accordingly, that the Act of 1874 has impliedly altered and controlled the operation of the statute 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42, s. 3, as regards mortgages of and charges on land, so that no action on a covenant in the security itself, or in a collateral bond given by the mortgagor, will lie after twelve years. Actions on covenant or bond barred after twelve years where money charged on land.

(i) The saving for absence beyond seas is now abolished: see *post*, p. 988.

(k) *Hartshorne v. Watson*, 4 Bing. N. C. 178.

(l) *Dixon v. Holroyd*, 7 E. & B. 703.

(m) *Alliance Bank v. Carey*, 5 O. P. D. 429.

(n) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 57, s. 8.

(o) See *per* Lord Cottenham, in *Hunter v. Nockolds*, 1 Mac. & G. 640, at p. 652.

(p) *Sutton v. Sutton*, 22 Ch. D. 511, O. A.; *Fearnside v. Flint*, 22 Ch. D. 579.

CHAP. XLVIII.

Laches.

An action on the covenant or on a collateral bond may be brought at any time before the statutory period of twelve years has expired. There is no rule in equity, any more than at law, that the mere omission to sue a specialty debtor for any period within the statutory limit is laches, so as to deprive the creditor of his right of action (*r*).

Specialty debts secured on personally recoverable within twenty years.

ii.—Actions on the Covenant to recover Principal Moneys charged on Personalty.—Sect. 3 of the statute 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42, still remains in full force as regards mortgages of personalty other than leaseholds, where the debt is secured by a covenant in the mortgage deed, or by a collateral bond, so as to enable mortgagees of such property to enforce their remedies, independently of their charge upon the property, by action on the covenant or bond, if brought at any time within *twenty* years after the cause of action has arisen (*s*).

Actions of debt, &c. must be brought within six years.

iii.—Actions of Debt to Recover Principal Moneys.—The statutes above referred to limit the right of personal action in point of time, even in cases where the debt is secured by bond, covenant, or other specialty; but where the debt is not so secured, the creditor's remedy for recovering his debt by personal action, except perhaps when the debt is charged on land, depends on the statute 21 Jac. I. c. 16, s. 3, whereby all actions of account and upon the case (other than mercantile actions), and all actions of debt grounded upon any lending or contract without specialty, are to be sued or brought at any time within *six* years next after the cause of such actions, and not after; but by sect. 7 of the same Act it is provided that infants and other persons under disability, or being beyond seas, may bring such personal actions within the several periods aforesaid after their disability ceases, or their return from beyond the seas (*t*). This Act was amended by Lord Tenterden's Act (*u*), which provided that the right of action might be kept alive by part payment or acknowledgment in writing.

Mortgages of land by deposit of deeds, &c.

Thus, in the case of a security on land by simple deposit of deeds, or of a mortgage deed not containing any covenant, nor accompanied by any collateral bond to secure payment, it does

(*r*) *Re Baker, Collins v. Rhodes*, 20 Ch. D. 230, C. A.

(*s*) See *Mollerah v. Brown*, 45 Ch. D. 225.

(*t*) The saving for absence beyond seas is now abolished: see *post*, p. 988.

(*u*) 9 Geo. IV. c. 14, s. 1, repealed as to Ireland by 16 & 17 Vict. c. 113, s. 3.

not seem clear whether the mortgagee's right of action to recover the principal charged on the land would be enlarged to twelve years by virtue of sect. 8 of the Act of 1874, or whether he can only bring against the mortgagor personally an action of debt on simple contract, which latter action must be brought within six years after the cause of action has arisen, except in cases of disability, or unless the debt has been admitted in the meantime by part payment or acknowledgment in writing (v).

CHAP. XLVIII.

So, also, as regards mortgages of personalty other than leaseholds, not secured by covenant or bond, action of debt must be brought within six years after the cause of action first accrued (x). Mortgages of personalty.

Anyone who relies on the Statutes of Limitation as a defence to an action on the covenant, or of debt, ought to plead them. A residuary legatee has a right to compel executors to plead the statutes against an old claim, and may enforce such right on an originating summons (y). Pleading.

iv.—Time from which the Statutes of Limitation begin to run. —The immunity of an ambassador from process in the Courts of this country prevents the Statutes of Limitation from running in his favour, not only so long as he is actually accredited to the sovereign, but for such reasonable time after his recall as is necessary for winding up his official business and making his preparations for leaving this country (z). Privileges of ambassador.

Where the payment of a mortgage debt is secured by a covenant in the mortgage deed or a collateral bond, the time when the statutory period will begin to run depends on the covenant or bond. And, for the determination of this question, the expressions "present right to receive" (a), and "cause of action" (b), would seem to be identical in effect so far as mortgages are concerned. Time determined by terms of the instrument.

Where the bond or covenant is in the usual form, that the mortgagor will pay the principal with interest on a fixed day Where covenant is for payment on a fixed day.

(v) See *Hodges v. Croydon Canal Co.*, 3 Beav. 86, which was a case arising under s. 42 of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27 (as to arrears of interest), there being no covenant for personal payment; but the reasoning might possibly be considered equally to apply to an action of debt for recovery of the principal. See also *Brocklehurst v. Jessop*, 7 Sim. 438.

(x) *Barker's Claim*, (1894) 3 Ch. 290, C. A.

(y) *Re Wenham, Hunt v. Wenham*, (1892) 2 Ch. 59.

(z) *Musurus Bey v. Gadban*, (1894) 2 Q. B. 352, C. A.

(a) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 57, s. 8, *ante*, p. 971.

(b) 21 Jac. I. c. 16, s. 3, *ante*, p. 974; 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42, s. 3, *ante*, p. 972.

CHAP. XLVIII.

(usually six months after the date of the deed), the "present right to receive" the money, or "cause of action" will first accrue on that day, and not on the day of the date or execution of the deed (c).

Postponement of right to call in mortgage moneys till distant date or given event.

If the covenant or bond is in terms for payment of the mortgage moneys on a distant date, or on the happening of a specified event, the statutory period will not begin to run till that date or the happening of that event. So where a mortgage of a reversionary interest contained a covenant to pay the principal on the death of the tenant for life, the period was held to begin to run at the death (d).

Effect when postponement is conditional on payment of interest, &c.

Where, however, it is intended that the mortgagee's power to call in the money shall be postponed, and the mortgage deed, according to the usual practice in such cases (e), contains a covenant for payment six months after the date of the deed, with a proviso that the mortgagee shall not call in the money till a distant date, or the happening of a given event, so long as the interest is regularly paid and the mortgagor's covenants are duly performed and observed, then the right of action will first accrue on the arrival of the distant date or happening of the event specified, or on the earlier failure of the mortgagor to pay interest or perform his obligations under the mortgage deed (f). And accordingly the statutory period will begin to run from the earliest date when such right to bring an action arises (g).

Default in payment of instalment of principal.

So where a warrant of attorney was given to secure a debt, with a defeasance stating that the debt was to be repayable by instalments, but that in case default should be made in payment of any of the instalments, the creditor should be at liberty to enter up judgment and issue execution for all or so much of the debt as should be unpaid at the time, the same as if all the periods for payment had expired by effluxion of time, and in an action brought by the creditor, it appeared that the first default in payment of an instalment was made more than six years before the action; it was held that the creditor might have sued on the first default for the whole amount remaining unpaid, and that the statute began to run from that date so as to be a bar to the recovery of all the unpaid instalments (h).

(c) *Ante*, p. 961.

(d) *Re Turner's Estate*, *Turner v. Spencer*, 43 W. R. 153.

(e) *Ante*, p. 135.

(f) *Ante*, p. 962.

(g) See *Reeves v. Butcher*, (1891) 2 Q. B. 509, C. A.

(h) *Hemp v. Garland*, 4 Q. B. 519.

So, also, where by a memorandum dated in 1882 of a deposit of bonds to secure the repayment in 1883 of an advance, which contained no express promise to pay at that time, the borrower authorized the lender, in the event of the loan remaining unpaid after it became due, to sell the bonds as he should think fit, and undertook to make good any deficiency on realization; the lender sold the bonds in 1889 for a price insufficient to satisfy the debt; in 1891 the borrower died without having given any acknowledgment of the debt, and in 1894 a summons was taken out by the equitable mortgagee in an action for administration of the estate of the deceased debtor, claiming to be admitted to prove for the deficiency; it was held, under the statute of Jac. I., that a right of action accrued in 1883 on the implied promise to pay, which was not affected by the power of sale and undertaking to pay the deficiency, and that the claim of the lender to have the deficiency made good was accordingly statute-barred (i).

CHAP. XLVIII.

Covenant to pay difference on realization of security.

Where by the terms of the mortgage deed there is a present debt, with a covenant to pay on demand, the demand is not considered to be a condition precedent to the bringing of the action; in such a case, therefore, the statute will begin to run as from the date of the instrument (k).

Where covenant by mortgagor is to pay "on demand."

But the rule is otherwise with regard to a covenant by a surety for the mortgagor, in which case the demand must be made before the money can be considered as owing by the surety, and, until it is so owing, there can be no cause of action against him; so that the statute does not run till demand is made (l).

Like covenant by surety.

V.—Part Payment and Acknowledgment in Writing.—As to keeping alive the right of personal action for recovery of a mortgage debt by part payment, the decisions on cases falling within sect. 40 of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, or within sect. 8 of the stat. 37 & 38 Vict. c. 57, seem, generally speaking, to be equally applicable to cases falling within sect. 5 of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42, and *vice versa*.

Cases on the enactments.

None of these statutes specify by whom part payment is to be made in order to keep alive the creditor's remedy on the covenant or debt; but it is clear that payment by a person

By whom payment or acknowledgment is to be made.

(i) *Re McHenry, McDermott v. Boyd, Barker's Claim*, (1894) 3 Ch. 290, C. A.

(k) *Norton v. Ellam*, 2 M. & W. 461, 464.

(l) *Re Brown's Estate, Brown v. Brown*, (1893) 2 Ch. 300. See as to contribution, *ante*, p. 100.

CHAP. XLVIII.

interested was intended (*m*). It has been held that, in the case of an action to recover money charged on land (which would include an action on a covenant or collateral bond to recover money so charged), the words in sect. 40 of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, "by the person by whom the same shall be payable or his agent," apply equally to the making of a payment and the signing of an acknowledgment (*n*).

Principal
and surety.

Where a surety has given a covenant or bond for payment of the mortgage debt and interest, payment of interest by the mortgagor will keep alive the remedy of the mortgagee against the surety (*o*). And, conversely, payment by a surety will keep alive the remedy against the mortgagor (*p*).

Tenant for
life and re-
mainderman.

Payment by a tenant for life of interest on a mortgage debt of his settlor or testator is an acknowledgment made by the party liable by virtue of such specialty within the meaning of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42, s. 5, so as to keep alive the right of action on the covenant of the settlor or testator against all persons interested in remainder (*q*).

Dowress.

So, payment by a dowress was held to be sufficient (*r*).

Assignee of
equity of
redemption.

Payment of interest by an assignee of the equity of redemption was held to be payment by an agent of the mortgagor within the meaning of this section (*s*).

Owner of
part of land
charged.

Where several estates are originally comprised in one mortgage, and the several equities of redemption subsequently come into different hands, a part payment made, or an acknowledgment in writing duly signed and given by the owner of one estate, will prevent the statute from running, and keep the debt alive as against the owners of the other estates who have not made any payment or given any acknowledgment, even though such other owners be *bonâ fide* purchasers for value (*t*).

Devisee of
part of land
charged with
moiety of
debts.

It has been determined under the same section that the payment of interest by the devisee of one estate upon which a moiety of the

(*m*) *Roddam v. Morley*, 1 De G. & J. 1, 18. See *Forsyth v. Bristolowe*, 8 Exch. 716.

(*n*) *Chinnery v. Evans*, 11 H. L. C. 115, 128; *Harlock v. Ashberry*, 19 Ch. D. 539, C. A. Compare the judgment on the corresponding Canadian statute in *Lewin v. Wilson*, 11 App. Cas. 639, J. C.

(*o*) *Dowling v. Ford*, 11 M. & W. 329; *Re Frisby*, *Alison v. Frisby*, 43 Ch. D. 106, 111, C. A. See *Re Powers*, *Lindsell v. Phillips*, 30 Ch. D. 291,

C. A. But see *Henton v. Paddison*, 68 L. T. 405.

(*p*) *Cann v. Taylor*, 1 F. & F. 651; *Seager v. Aston*, 3 Jur. N. S. 481.

(*q*) *Roddam v. Morley*, 1 De G. & J. 1; *Re Fitzmaurice's Minors*, 15 Ir. Ch. R. 445; *Pears v. Laing*, L. R. 12 Eq. 41; *Hollingshead v. Webster*, 37 Ch. D. 651; *Dibb v. Walker*, (1893) 2 Ch. 429.

(*r*) *Ames v. Mannering*, 26 Beav. 583.

(*s*) *Forsyth v. Bristolowe*, 8 Exch. 716.

(*t*) *Chinnery v. Evans*, 11 H. L. C. 115.

testator's debts was charged will not keep alive the remedy against the devisee under the same will of another estate charged with the other moiety of the debts (*u*). It will be observed that, in this case, the two estates were charged with different debts.

CHAP. XLVIII.

Nor is payment by trustees sufficient as against the equitable devisee for life (*v*).

Payment by trustees.

In the case of an annuity, the payment of the dividends on a sum of stock set apart to meet the annuity was held to be part payment (*x*).

Part payment of annuity.

Payment of interest by a stranger will not prevent the statute from running (*y*).

Payment by stranger.

A receiver appointed by deed in the usual manner is the "agent" of the mortgagor for the purposes of the Statutes of Limitations, as generally for other purposes (*z*); and accordingly, payment of interest by such receiver out of the rents and profits of the mortgaged estate is a sufficient acknowledgment by part payment to keep alive the debt (*a*).

Payments out of rents, &c. by receiver appointed by deed keep alive right of action.

Payment by a receiver in possession of part of the mortgaged estate will prevent the statute from running in favour of a purchaser of another part of the property (*b*).

Payment by receiver of part of estate.

Payments by a receiver appointed by the Court, if made pursuant to an order of the Court, will keep alive the mortgagee's remedies (*c*). But where a receiver in a suit made payments, without the sanction of the Court, on account of a debt secured by covenant, it was held that the payments did not take the claim out of the statute as against the covenanting debtor or his estate (*d*).

Where receiver is appointed by the Court.

The appointment by the Court of a receiver of the estate of an infant was held not to prevent the operation of the Statute of Limitations in favour of such infant as against a stranger to the suit, although found by the master to be an incumbrancer on the estate (*e*). But such an appointment would apparently prevent the statute from running in favour of a stranger against the suitor (*f*).

In a case under Lord Tenterden's Act (*g*), it was said that if

Receipt of rent by mortgagee.

(*u*) *Dickenson v. Teasdale*, 1 De G. J. & S. 57.

(*v*) *Coop v. Cresswell*, L. R. 2 Ch. A. 112.

(*z*) *Re Ashwell's Trusts*, John. 112.

(*y*) *Chinnery v. Evans*, 11 H. L. C. 115, 128; *Newbould v. Smith*, 33 Ch. D. 127, C. A., affirmed on other grounds, 14 App. Cas. 423.

(*x*) See ante, p. 916.

(*a*) *Re Lord Muskerry*, 9 Ir. Ch. R. 94.

(*b*) *Chinnery v. Evans*, 11 H. L. C. 115.

(*c*) *Ibid.*

(*d*) *Whitley v. Lowe*, 2 De G. & J. 704.

(*e*) *Harrison v. Duignan*, 2 Dr. & War. 295; *Hunt v. Bateman*, 10 Ir. Eq. 377.

(*f*) *Wrixon v. Vise*, 3 Dr. & War. 104; *Dixon v. Gayfers*, 17 Beav. 421; *Hill v. Stawell*, 2 Ir. L. R. 302; which, however, were cases of actions for recovery of land.

(*g*) 9 Geo. IV. c. 14.

CHAP. XLVIII.

a mortgagee enters into possession and receives the rents, such possession is *prima facie* to be taken as payment of interest or principal, as the case may be, thus preventing the statute from running so as to bar the personal remedy for the debt (*h*). But this was a mere dictum which has been expressly overruled, and it is now settled that the receipt of rents by a mortgagee is not a payment by the mortgagor, or by anyone on his behalf, so as to prevent the debt from being barred (*i*).

Payment by
tenant to
mortgagee
on notice.

So, under the statute 7 Will. IV. & 1 Vict. c. 28 (*k*), a payment of rent by a tenant of mortgaged property to the mortgagee, in consequence of a notice by the mortgagee to pay the rent to him, is not a payment by the mortgagor or his agent so as to prevent the statute from running (*l*).

Effect on
collateral
securities.

It has been held that the receipt of rents by a mortgagee will keep alive a collateral bond or judgment debt by which the mortgage is collaterally secured (*m*). But this proposition seems open to question.

Payment by
co-contractor
or co-debtor.

By the old law, payment of interest by one of several joint contractors took the case out of the statute as to the rest of the co-contractors and their representatives, though made more than six years after the debt became due (*n*). But this is now altered.

No co-con-
tractor to
lose benefit
of Statutes of
Limitation
by payment
by any other
co-contractor.

The Mercantile Law Amendment Act (*o*) declares, with reference to sect. 3 of 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42, and other Acts, that when there shall be two or more co-contractors or co-debtors, whether bound or liable jointly only, or jointly and severally, or executors or administrators of any contractor, no such co-contractor or co-debtor, executor, or administrator, shall lose the benefit of the said enactments or any of them, so as to be chargeable in respect or by reason only of payment of any principal, interest, or other money by any other or others of such co-contractors or co-debtors, executors, or administrators.

Whether this
enactment
applies to
sureties.

It is said that this Act does not apply to co-contractors in the relation of principal and surety (*p*).

(*h*) *Brocklehurst v. Jessop*, 7 Sim. 438. See *Fordham v. Wallis*, 10 Ha. 217, 228.

(*i*) *Cockburn v. Edwards*, 18 Ch. D. 449, 457, C. A.

(*k*) See *post*, p. 1063.

(*l*) *Harlock v. Ashberry*, 19 Ch. D. 539, C. A.

(*m*) *Douling v. Ford*, 11 M. & W. 329. But see *White v. Hilliers*, 3 Y.

& C. Ex. 607. And see Sug. R. P. St. 128; and cases cited there.

(*n*) *Channell v. Ditchburn*, 5 M. & W. 494; *Goddard v. Ingram*, 3 G. & D. 46, overruling *Atkins v. Tredgold*, 2 B. & Cr. 23, and *Slater v. Lawson*, 1 B. & Ad. 306.

(*o*) 19 & 20 Vict. c. 97, s. 14.

(*p*) *Seager v. Asten*, 9 Jur. N. S. 481.

Nor does the Act apply when the payment, though made by one co-debtor, was made by him for and on behalf of another co-debtor at his request (g).

CHAP. XLVIII.

Payment on behalf of co-debtor.

The Act is not retrospective (r).

The fact that part payment is made by a debtor shortly before his bankruptcy with the object of renewing a statute-barred debt, will not prevent the creditor from proving in the bankruptcy in respect thereof, even though the money paid may be recoverable from the creditor on the ground of fraudulent preference (s).

Payment on eve of bankruptcy.

The statute never runs where there is the same hand to pay and the same hand to receive (t). Where a tenant for life had paid off a charge on the estate so that he was entitled to the charge for his own benefit, it was held that the statute did not run during his life, though he had done nothing to keep the charge alive; the statute could not be applied where there was no person to pay the charge, and no person who, by the delay, could be led to suppose that the charge was abandoned or merged, and where the rent out of which the interest out of the charge ought to have been paid, was receivable by and belongs to the same person who was entitled to the interest (u).

Mortgagor and mortgagee the same.

But in order to prevent the statute from running, the person in receipt of the rents and profits of the land charged, and also entitled to receive the interest on the charge, must be a person liable to pay the interest. So where a testator had covenanted with trustees for payment of a sum of money with interest, to be held in trust for his son for life, with remainders over, and had charged the same on certain lands, and devised the lands so charged to his son in fee, and the money was never raised and no interest was ever paid; it was held that the son, not being liable to pay the interest on the charge, the claim against the testator's estate under the covenant was statute-barred (x).

Devisee in fee being also tenant for life of money charged.

So, where a husband borrowed trust funds, to the income of which his wife was entitled, on mortgage of lands belonging to him, and for more than twenty years, during which the husband

Husband and wife.

(g) *Re Tucker, Tucker v. Tucker*, (1894) 3 Ch. 429, C. A.

(r) *Jackson v. Woolley*, 8 E. & B. 778; *Williams v. Smith*, 4 H. & N. 559; *Flood v. Patterson*, 29 Beav. 294. See *Watson v. Woodman*, L. R.

20 Eq. 721, 731.
(s) *Re Lane, Exp. Gaze*, 23 Q. B. D.

74.

(t) *Per Lord St. Leonards*, in *Burrows v. Gore*, 6 H. L. C. 907, at p. 963.

(u) *Topham v. Booth*, 35 Ch. D. 607. See *Burrell v. Earl of Egremont*, 7 Beav. 205.

(x) *Re England, Steward v. England*, (1895) 2 Ch. 820, C. A.

CHAP. XLVIII.

and wife lived together in amity, he paid no interest to her nor to the trustee-mortgagee; it was held that, under the circumstances, the conclusion in law was that the husband was authorized by his wife to retain the interest and apply it for their joint use, and that in effect there was the same hand to pay and to receive, so that the statute was prevented from running (*y*).

Under the stat. 21 Jac. I. c. 16, which did not provide for acknowledgment by part payment, a payment out of personal estate would not keep alive a debt against realty, which was also liable to pay it (*z*).

Upon the same principle it was held that payment of interest by the devisee of the mortgagor, or receipt of rent by a creditor in his character of incumbrancer on the real estate, ought not to preserve the debt against the debtor's personalty (*a*). But the circumstances in that case were somewhat special.

Acknowledgment under s. 40.

Under sect. 40 of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27 (as re-enacted by sect. 8 of the Real Property Limitation Act, 1874 (*b*)), an acknowledgment (unless made by part payment) must "in the meantime" be given in writing, signed by the person by whom the money shall be payable, or his agent, to the person entitled, or his agent.

Acknowledgment under s. 42.

Sect. 42 of the same Act, which will be considered later (*c*), provides that no arrears of interest shall be recovered extending over more than six years next after the same has become due, "or next after an acknowledgment of the same in writing shall have been given to the person entitled thereto, or his agent, signed by the person by whom the same was payable or his agent."

Distinction between enactments as to statute-barred debts.

It is to be observed, that the former enactment does, and the latter does not, prescribe that the acknowledgment must be given "in the meantime." It is therefore clear that an acknowledgment given after the expiration of the statutory limit of twelve years will not revive the right of action to recover money charged on land (*d*). But it would seem that an acknowledgment given at any time as to arrears of interest in respect of money charged on land will entitle the mortgagee to claim the amount of

(*y*) *Re Hawes, Burchell v. Hawes*, 62 L. J. Ch. 463.

(*z*) *Putnam v. Bates*, 3 Russ. 188.

(*a*) *Fordham v. Wallis*, 10 Ha. 217.

(*b*) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 57.

(*c*) *Post*, p. 987.

(*d*) *Gregson v. Hindley*, 10 Jur. 383;

Homan v. Anderson, 1 Ir. Ch. R. 106.

But see *Harty v. Davis*, 13 Ir. L. R. 23.

arrears admitted provided he brings his action within six years after the acknowledgment. CHAP. XLVIII.

In *Bolding v. Lane* (e), where the mortgagor gave an acknowledgment as to arrears more than six years after the first failure to pay interest, Lord Westbury, C., incidentally said that if there had been no second mortgagee the acknowledgment would have let in all arrears.

As the requirements of these two sections with regard to acknowledgment are in other respects virtually identical, decisions under one of these sections on the various points which have arisen as to acknowledgments are equally applicable to the other section; it will therefore be convenient in this place to consider the effect of these enactments together.

It is clearly settled that an agent need not be authorized in writing (f). The agency may be inferred from the circumstances of the case (g). Where the solicitor of the defendants wrote a letter acknowledging their right to the money claimed, an inquiry was directed whether the solicitor, when he wrote the letter, was the agent of the defendants (h). Acknowledgment by agent.

Where a person purports to act as an agent for another, a subsequent ratification by the latter is as effectual as if the agent had been originally specifically appointed for the purposes of the Act (i). Ratification.

The report of a Master on a reference as to incumbrances, finding that a charge was established, was held not to be a sufficient acknowledgment under sect. 40, as the master was in no sense the agent of the debtor so as to bar a secured incumbrancer who was not a party to the suit (k). Report as to incumbrances does not bind person not party to suit.

An admission in an answer or affidavit by a person entitled who is a party to the suit is sufficient (l). Admission in answer.

The acknowledgment will be sufficient if it be made by a trustee of the estate, whether he be a devisee in trust (m) of the debtor, or a trustee appointed by the Court (n), just as the acknowledgment of an executor will keep alive a debt against Acknowledgment by trustee or executor.

(e) 1 De G. J. & S. 122, at p. 128, cited *infra*, p. 984.

(f) *Cole v. Trecothick*, 9 Ves. 250.

(g) See *Trulock v. Robey*, 12 Sim. 407; *Thorne v. Heard*, (1895) 1 A. C. 495.

(h) *Toft v. Stevenson*, 1 De G. M. & G. 28.

(i) *James v. Bright*, 5 Bing. 533; *Foster v. Bates*, 12 M. & W. 233. As to the nature and extent of the autho-

rity of an agent, see *Pole v. Leask*, 28 Beav. 562; affirmed in D. P. 9 Jur. N. S. 829.

(k) *Hill v. Stowell*, 2 Ir. L. R. 302.

(l) *Blair v. Nugent*, 3 J. & L. 677.

(m) *St. John v. Boughton*, 9 Sim. 219.

(n) *Toft v. Stevenson*, 1 De G. M. & G. 28.

CHAP. XLVIII.

all parties beneficially interested. But an acknowledgment by one devisee does not apparently prevent his co-devisee from pleading the statute (o).

Acknowledgment by person in double character.

Where an acknowledgment is made by a person who fills a double character, as that of executor and beneficial devisee of the debtor, it is a general acknowledgment, and will not be applied to one character more than to the other, and the interest of the person making it as beneficial devisee will be affected no less than his interest as executor (p); but if he be executor of one debtor, and be also a debtor individually in respect of the same debt, an act done by him which he was bound to do in his individual character, and which amounts to an acknowledgment, will not be *prima facie* considered to have been done as executor (q). He fills the place of two persons, and the question is by whom the promise was made, and not what is the extent or effect of it.

Where money which ought to have been applied to the payment of debts has been wrongfully paid over to residuary legatees, but the debts have been kept alive against the executors, the residuary legatees cannot set up the statute in bar to a claim by the creditors for the refunding of the moneys thus paid (r).

Acknowledgment by mortgagor does not preclude puisne mortgagee from relying on statute.

Under sect. 42, it has been held that an acknowledgment by a mortgagor of more than six years' interest being due does not preclude a puisne mortgagee from relying on the statute. Where, therefore, a mortgagor was, but a second mortgagee was not, a party to a transfer of the first mortgage, the interest on which was, as recited in the transfer, upwards of six years in arrear, it was held that the second mortgagee was, notwithstanding the recital, entitled to redeem the first mortgagee on payment of principal and six years' arrears of interest only (s). The acknowledgment is confined to the interest of the person giving the acknowledgment (t).

To whom acknowledgment under s. 5 is to be made.

Sect. 5 of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42 does not require the payment or acknowledgment to be made to the person entitled, or to amount to a promise to pay; therefore an admission of a bond debt, contained in an answer of the executors of the obligor, in a suit to which the obligee was not a party, was

(o) *Dickenson v. Teasdale*, 1 De G. J. & S. 52. See *ante*, p. 979.

(p) *Fordham v. Wallis*, 10 Hare, 217.

(q) *Way v. Basset*, 5 Hare, 55.

(r) *Fordham v. Wallis*, *sup.*

(s) *Bolding v. Lane*, 1 De G. J. & S. 122.

(t) *Chinnery v. Evans*, 11 H. L. C. 115, at p. 135.

held to be sufficient to take the bond debt out of the operation of the statute (*u*). So, a recital in a deed executed by the mortgagor, but to which the mortgagee was not a party, was held to be a sufficient acknowledgment within this section (*x*). But where a mortgagor by deed conveyed the mortgaged estate with other property to trustees, upon trust to pay off all mortgages and incumbrances affecting his property, it was held that this was not a sufficient acknowledgment as regarded a particular mortgage (*y*).

CHAP. XLVIII.

Sect. 5 does not say that acknowledgment is to be given before the debt becomes statute-barred, and, if this enactment stood alone, it seems clear that an acknowledgment given after the expiration of the statutory limit would revive the debt, and the right to bring a personal action for its recovery. And such appears to be still the case with regard to debts not charged on land or rent (*z*).

Acknowledgment of statute-barred debt.

To a plea of the statute upon a mortgage deed the plaintiff, in order to take the case out of the statute by acknowledgment in writing under sect. 5, must reply such acknowledgment, and that action was brought within the statutory period (*a*).

Acknowledgment must be pleaded.

Acknowledgment by part payment may be proved by any evidence admissible according to the ordinary rules; and after the death of a person alleged to have made such payments the fact may be proved by indorsements on the deed or bond of such payments made while the statutory time was running (*b*). And it would seem that, if such indorsements are undated, the time at which the payments were actually made may be proved *aliunde* (*c*).

Proof of acknowledgment.

Payment of part of a sum secured by a covenant or bond is not necessarily an acknowledgment that the whole amount claimed is due (*d*).

It is to be observed that an acknowledgment under these sections must be given to the person entitled or his agent, and that an acknowledgment given to a third person, such as would

To whom acknowledgment must be given under sects. 40 and 42.

(*u*) *Moodie v. Bannister*, 4 Drew. 433.

(*x*) *Foreyth v. Bristowe*, 8 Exch. 716.

(*y*) *Howcutt v. Bonser*, 3 Exch. 491.

(*z*) See *Re Lane, Exp. Gaze*, 23 Q. B. D. 74.

(*a*) *Kempe v. Gibbons*, 9 Q. B. 609.

(*b*) *Searle v. Lord Barrington*, 2 Stra.

826; *Gleadow v. Atkin*, 1 Cr. & M. 410. Sect. 3 of Lord Tenterden's Act (9 Geo. IV. c. 14) does not apply to specialty debts.

(*c*) *Briggs v. Wilson*, 5 De G. M. & G. 20. See *Glyn v. Bank of England*, 2 Ves. Sen. 38; *Gale v. Capern*, 1 A. & E. 102; *Smith v. Battens*, 1 Moo. & R. 841.

(*d*) *Ashlin v. Lee*, 44 L. J. Ch. 376.

CHAP. XLVIII.

be sufficient under sect. 5 of the statute 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42 (e), will not be sufficient to keep alive a mortgagee's right to recover money charged on land or arrears of interest (f). The requirements of sects. 40 and 42 in this respect have, however, been liberally construed. The acknowledgment may be made in an affidavit, schedule, or answer, although in those cases it may be said that it is made to the Court and not to the party (g).

Acknowledgment in bankruptcy.

So, it has been held that an admission of a debt in an insolvent's schedule, being made for the benefit of the creditors, might be said to be to the creditors, and being signed by the debtor was a sufficient acknowledgment (h). Proceedings in insolvency are now abolished (i); but it is conceived that the principle of the decision referred to would apply equally to proceedings in bankruptcy, so as to render an admission of a debt charged on land by a bankrupt in his balance sheet, statement of accounts, or answers in examination, a sufficient acknowledgment in favour of the creditor in a subsequent action to enforce payment of the money charged.

Acknowledgment must be to person entitled to demand payment.

With the exception referred to, it is settled that the person to whom an acknowledgment is made must be one who is in a position to demand payment of the money (k). So, the sufficiency of an acknowledgment given to the solicitor of a widower, before letters of administration to the wife's estate had been granted, was doubted by Knight-Bruce, V.-C., but it was not necessary to decide the point (l).

What acknowledgment is sufficient.

In order to amount to a sufficient acknowledgment, the party must use language which clearly admits his own liability to pay the debt (m). So, a letter written by one of two executors to the plaintiff was held not to be a sufficient acknowledgment, because it was written, not with a view of making himself liable, but in order to throw the burden of payment on his co-executor (n). A letter or series of letters admitting the debt, expressly or by necessary inference, will be sufficient. So, a direction in a will as to payment of a debt of the testator was held to be a good acknowledgment (o); and a written proposal of terms for pay-

(e) See *ante*, p. 972.

(f) *Grenfell v. Girdlestone*, 2 Y. & O. Ex. 676.

(g) *Blair v. Nugent*, 3 J. & L. 658, 673, 677.

(h) *Barrett v. Birmingham*, 4 Ir. Eq. R. 537; *Morrogh v. Power*, 5 Ir. L. R. 494; *Hanan v. Power*, 8 Ir. L. R. 505.

(i) See 35 & 36 Vict. c. 58, s. 17.

(k) *Grenfell v. Girdlestone*, 2 Y. & O. Ex. 676.

(l) *Holland v. Clark*, 1 Y. & O. C. C. 151.

(m) *Grenfell v. Girdlestone*, *sup.*

(n) *Holland v. Clark*, *sup.*

(o) *Millington v. Thompson*, 3 Ir. Ch. R. 236.

ment of a judgment debt, with an expression of hope that such terms would be acceptable, was held to be a sufficient acknowledgment of the debt (*p*). CHAP. XLVIII.

But mere passive acquiescence by the debtor in a statement as to the debt made by the creditor will not be a sufficient acknowledgment. So, where a mortgagee sent an account of the debt to the mortgagor, showing appropriation, towards payment of the debt, of certain moneys which were in the mortgagee's control, and the mortgagor did not object to the account or appropriation, it was held that there was no acknowledgment (*q*).

An acknowledgment need not state the amount of the debt alleged to be due (*r*), which may be proved by parol evidence (*s*). So, where a mortgagee of harbour works and tolls wrote to complain of non-payment of interest on a mortgage, and received in reply a letter stating that the non-payment of interest was owing to expenses incurred by the mortgagor in connection with the mortgaged undertaking, this was held to be a sufficient acknowledgment, both as to principal and interest (*t*).

vi.—Disabilities.—The provisions of sect. 4 of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42, with regard to preserving rights of action during disabilities, appear to be to the same effect as the provisions of sect. 16 of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, which will be considered later in dealing with the bar of actions for foreclosure (*u*). 3 & 4 Will.
IV. c. 42, s. 4.

Neither the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, nor the Real Property Limitation Act, 1874 (*x*), contains any provision preserving the right of action, in cases falling within sect. 40 and sect. 8 of those respective Acts, where the person entitled to demand payment of money charged on land is an infant, or under any other disability. But it is clear that an infant or person of unsound mind is not competent to give a discharge for the money, and it has been held that, in the case of an infant, the statute begins to run so as to bar the right of action under 3 & 4 Will.
IV. c. 27, and
37 & 38 Vict.
c. 57.

(*p*) *Vincent v. Willington*, 1 Long. & Town. 456.

(*q*) *Re McHenry, McDermot v. Boyd, Barker's Claim*, (1894) 3 Ch. 290, C. A.

(*r*) *Cheslyn v. Dalby*, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 170, 188, 190; 4 Y. & C. Ex. 238. See *Hales v. Stevenson*, 9 Jur. N. S. 301; *Jorlin v. South Eastern Rail. Co.*, 6 De

G. M. & G. 270.

(*s*) *Cheslyn v. Dalby, sup.*; *Dugdale v. Vize*, 6 Ir. L. R. 568; *Hanan v. Power*, 8 Ir. L. R. 506.

(*t*) *Jorlin v. South Eastern Rail. Co.*, 6 De G. M. & G. 270.

(*u*) See *post*, p. 1068.

(*x*) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 57.

CHAP. XLVIII.

sect. 40 from the time when the person entitled to bring such action attains twenty-one years (*y*). Coverture is no longer a disability in cases falling within the Married Women's Property Act, 1882 (*z*).

Absence beyond seas.

By sect. 10 of the Mercantile Law Amendment Act (*a*), absence beyond seas when the cause of action arises no longer entitles the plaintiff, in an action of covenant or debt, to an extension of the statutory period within which he must bring his action.

But the stat. 21 Jac. I. c. 16 does not begin to run in favour of a defendant to an action of debt so long as he is beyond seas; for, by the stat. 4 & 5 Anne, c. 3, s. 19, such action may be brought against him within six years after his return from beyond seas; and it makes no difference that the action is one in which the writ or notice of the writ might, by leave of the Court, have been served out of the jurisdiction under R. S. C. Ord. XII. (*b*).

SECTION II.

BAR OF MORTGAGEE'S RIGHT TO ARREARS OF INTEREST.

i.—What Arrears of Interest are recoverable in Actions of Covenant or Debt.—By 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, s. 42, it is enacted that:—

No arrears of rent or interest to be recovered for more than six years.

“No arrears of rent or of interest in respect of any sum of money charged upon or payable out of any land or rent, or in respect of any legacy, or any damages in respect of any such arrears of rent or interest, shall be recovered by any distress, action, or suit, but within six years next after the same respectively shall have become due, or next after an acknowledgment of the same in writing shall have been given to the person entitled thereto, or his agent, signed by the person by whom the same was payable, or his agent: Provided nevertheless, that where any prior mortgagee or other incumbrancer shall have been in possession of any land, or in the receipt of the profits thereof, within one year next before an action or suit shall be brought by any person entitled to a subsequent mortgage or other incumbrance on the same land, the person entitled to such subsequent mortgage or incumbrance may recover in such action or suit the arrears of interest which shall have become due during the

(*y*) *Piggott v. Jefferson*, 12 Sim. 26.

(*z*) 45 & 46 Vict. c. 75. See *ante*, p. 980.

(*a*) 19 & 20 Vict. c. 97.

(*b*) *Musurus Bey v. Gadban*, (1894) 2 Q. B. 352, O. A.

whole time that such prior mortgagee or incumbrancer was in such possession or receipt as aforesaid, although such time may have exceeded the said term of six years" (c).

CHAP. XLVIII.

It has been seen (d) that sect. 3 of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42, enacts that actions of covenant or upon specialty debts must be brought within *twenty* years after the cause of action, which period is now reduced to *twelve* years in the case of such actions brought to recover moneys charged on land, with a saving proviso in case of acknowledgment in writing or by part payment.

Limitation of right of action on covenant, &c.

Questions arose as to the apparent conflict between the two statutes of Will. IV., which were passed almost simultaneously, and in several cases the Courts were of opinion that they are to be reconciled by treating sect. 3 of c. 42 as an exception out of the operation of the former Act (e). The result of the decisions would appear to be to establish that the remedy against the land under the former statute (c. 27) was not extended by reference to the personal remedy under the latter (c. 42); nor, on the other hand, was the personal remedy abridged by reference to that against the land. So, in *Du Vigier v. Lee* (f), it was held by Sir J. Wigram, V.-C., that a mortgagee of land, whose debt was also secured by a covenant in the mortgage, and by a collateral bond, was entitled in a foreclosure suit to recover twenty years' arrears of interest. But this decision was overruled by Lord Cottenham, C., in *Hunter v. Nockolds* (g), where his lordship said that the only mode of reconciling the two enactments in accordance with the presumable intentions of the legislature was to treat the effect of the conjoined enactments as being that no more than six years' arrears of rent or interest, in respect of any sum charged upon, or payable out of, any land or rent, shall be recovered by any distress, action, or suit, except in actions upon covenant or debt in specialty, in which cases the limitation shall be twenty years.

Apparent discrepancy between the two enactments.

Thus, though the mortgagee can only recover six years' arrears

(e) As to what arrears of interest are recoverable by a mortgagee on taking the accounts in actions of foreclosure and redemption, see *post*, Chap. LIV., pp. 1168 *et seq.*

(d) *Ante*, pp. 972 *et seq.*

(e) *Paget v. Foley*, 2 Bing. N. C. 679; *Strachan v. Thomas*, 12 A. & E. 556; *Manning v. Phelps*, 24 L. J. Ex. 62; *Homfrey v. Gery*, 7 C. B. 567.

(f) 2 Ha. 326.

(g) 1 Mac. & G. 640. See also *Hughes v. Kelly*, 3 Dr. & War. 482; *Shaw v. Johnson*, 1 Dr. & S. 412; *Sinclair v. Jackson*, 17 Beav. 405; *Harrison v. Duignan*, 2 Dr. & War. 295; *Round v. Bell*, 30 Beav. 121; *Paget v. Foley*, 2 Bing. N. C. 679; *Sims v. Thomas*, 12 A. & E. 536.

CHAP. XLVIII.

What arrears of interest can now be recovered in action on the covenant where debt charged on land.

Arrears recoverable in action on covenant where debt charged on personality.

Annuity deed not collaterally secured by covenant.

against the land mortgaged under the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, s. 42, yet in an action of covenant he could formerly have recovered twenty years' interest under the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42, s. 3.

The period within which actions may be brought for the recovery of money charged on or payable out of land or rent has now been reduced to twelve years (*h*), and, as has been seen, this limit applies to actions on the covenant in respect of such moneys (*i*). The result would appear to be (applying the principle of *Hunter v. Nockolds* (*k*)), that a mortgagee to whom more than six years' arrears of interest are owing on a mortgage, containing the usual covenant for payment, is on the footing of a secured creditor in respect of the principal and six years' arrears, and of a specialty creditor in respect of the remainder of any arrears which have accrued due at any time during the twelve years last past; and that such arrears are accordingly recoverable in an action on the covenant against a mortgagor (*l*). If, however the mortgagee has no covenant for payment, he is merely a simple contract creditor, and cannot recover arrears of interest beyond six years (*m*).

As the Real Property Limitation Act, 1874, applies (so far as it relates to mortgages) only to the recovery of moneys charged on or payable out of land or rents, it would seem that a mortgagee of personalty other than leaseholds may still, in an action on the covenant, recover arrears of interest extending over *twenty* years.

An annuity charged on land, but not collaterally secured by a personal covenant for payment thereof, is within sect. 42 of

(*h*) See 37 & 38 Vict. c. 57, s. 8.

(*i*) See *ante*, pp. 973, 974.

(*k*) 1 Mac. & G. 640. See *Darley v. Tennant*, 53 L. T. 257, in which case it was held that arrears of rent reserved by a lease could be recovered in an action on the covenant to pay rent for the full period limited for bringing such action, notwithstanding sect. 8 of the Real Property Limitation Act, 1874, inasmuch as that section applies only to actions for the recovery of moneys charged on or payable out of land, and not to rent reserved by a lease.

(*l*) Mr. Fisher is of a different opinion, considering that interest on a mortgage cannot be recovered beyond six years; for the Act of 1874, s. 9, expressly affirms the provisions

not thereby altered of 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, and the express limitation of the period for the recovery of arrears of interest in that Act must be read as an exception out of 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42. See *Fisher on Mortgages*, 4th ed., 901. But sect. 9 of the Act of 1874 merely says that the provisions of the stat. of Will. IV. shall remain in full force, which must mean, it is conceived, as regards sect. 42, that the section shall continue to have such operation as it had prior to the passing of the Act of 1874, having regard to the decisions in *Hunter v. Nockolds* and other cases cited *supra*, note (*g*).

(*m*) *Hodges v. Croydon Canal Co.*, 3 Beav. 86.

the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27 (an annuity so charged being included in the definition of "rent" for the purposes of the Act (n)), so as to bar the annuitant from recovering more than six years' arrears (o). CHAP. XLVIII.

A sum charged on land and payable by yearly instalments is within the section (p). Sum payable by instalments.

A mortgage of a reversionary interest in the proceeds of lands devised upon trust for sale is a mortgage of a sum of money "payable out of land" within the meaning of sect. 42. So where a married woman, entitled after the death of a tenant for life to a share of a fund arising from the proceeds of sale of land so devised, mortgaged the same by deed acknowledged, containing a covenant to pay full interest; the covenant was rejected as being the covenant of a married woman, and it was held that the mortgagee could claim no more than six years' interest (q). Mortgage of reversionary interest in proceeds of sale of land.

But a mortgage of a reversionary interest in a fund representing residuary personal estate of a testator, though wholly or partially invested on mortgage of real estate, is not a sum of money payable out of land, and accordingly sect. 42 does not apply, and there is no limit to the arrears of interest recoverable (r). Mortgage of reversionary interest in personality.

It has been held, that money lent on the security of turnpike tolls was not charged on land within the meaning of sect. 42, and accordingly that the mortgagees were entitled, in a suit for the recovery of arrears of interest, to recover the whole amount of the arrears extending over more than six years last past (s). But a mortgage by a canal company of the canal and works with the rates was held to be a charge on land within the Act (t). Mortgage of tolls, &c.

Where there is a charge on real estate situate in a colony in which 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27 is not in force, arrears of interest are recoverable for more than six years, even though the question has arisen in a suit in England (u). Mortgage of land abroad.

Where a railway company issued debentures under its special Act, and gave to the holders certificates and also interest warrants signed by its secretary; it was held that the liability of the Statutory liability of company.

(n) *Ante*, p. 743.

(o) *Francis v. Grover*, 5 Ha. 39; *Re Ashwell's Trusts*, John. 112.

(p) *Uppington v. Tarrant*, 12 Ir. Ch. R. 262.

(q) *Bowyer v. Woodman*, L. R. 3 Eq. 313. See *Re Slater's Trusts*, 11 Ch. D. 227.

(r) *Smith v. Hill*, 9 Ch. D. 143;

Clarkson v. Henderson, 14 Ch. D. 348;

Mellersh v. Brown, 45 Ch. D. 225.

(s) *Mallish v. Brooks*, 3 Beav. 22.

(t) *Hodges v. Croydon Canal Co.*, 3 Beav. 86.

(u) *Pitt v. Lord Dacre*, 3 Ch. D. 295. See *Sutton v. Sutton*, W. N. (1883) 88.

CHAP. XLVIII. company being statutory the period of limitation was twenty years (s).

Acknowledgment must be in writing.

ii.—Acknowledgment.—Sect. 42 does not recognize acknowledgment by part payment, but requires that an acknowledgment must be in writing, given to the party entitled to the interest, or his agent, and signed by the person by whom the same was payable, or his agent, in order to oust the operation of the section. The requirements of this section are in this respect precisely similar in effect to the requirements of sect. 40, and reference is made to an earlier part of this chapter, where this subject is fully considered (a).

iii.—Express Trusts.—As a general rule, sect. 42 of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27 was not applicable where the relation of trustee and *cestui que trust* was established between the parties (b), as falling within sect. 25 (c). However, where the beneficiary had allowed a very long time to elapse without attempting to enforce the trust, the Court restricted the interest to six years, on the principle of the statute (d).

And now, even in the case of express trusts, only six years' interest is recoverable on money or legacies charged on land or rent (e).

Puisne incumbrancers not deprived of protection by agreement as to priority of his charge.

iv.—Protection of Puisne Incumbrancers.—With regard to the proviso at the end of sect. 42, protecting a puisne incumbrancer from being damaged by the entry into possession by a prior incumbrancer, it has been held that a puisne incumbrancer will not be deprived of the benefit of the clause by an agreement between himself and the prior incumbrancer in possession that the security of the latter shall be postponed to his own charge, so long as the prior incumbrancer remains in possession of the land (f).

Effect of this proviso.

The proviso does not extend to give to a puisne incumbrancer arrears of interest for six years previous to the commencement of the prior incumbrancer's possession; but it entitles him to all arrears during the continuance of the possession, though such

(s) *Re Cornwall Minerals Rail. Co.*, (1897) 2 Ch. 74.

(a) *Supra*, pp. 977 *et seq.*

(b) *Gough v. Bult*, 16 Sim. 45. And see *Roch v. Callen*, 6 Ha. 531, 536; *Phillips v. Mummings*, 2 My. & Cr. 309; *Obes v. Bishop*, 1 De G. F. & J. 137; *Mutlow v. Bigg*, L. R. 18 Eq.

248; *Re Lowe's Settlement*, 30 Beav. 95.

(c) See *post*, p. 1170.

(d) *Thomson v. Eastwood*, 2 App. Cas. 215.

(e) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 57, s. 10. See *post*, p. 1171.

(f) *Drought v. Jones*, 2 Ir. Eq. R. 303.

possession may have commenced more than six years before CHAP. XLVIII.
action brought to recover the arrears (g).

Under the proviso, the assignment to a trustee for the purchaser of an estate of outstanding terms affecting it, and of judgments on which *elegits* had been issued, does not constitute the purchaser an "incumbrancer," so as to prevent the operation of the statute on a claim of the mortgagee (h).

V.—Disabilities.—Disabilities by reason of infancy, &c., are not in any way provided for by sect. 42 of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27 (i).

(g) *Montgomery v. Southwell*, 2 Con. & 115.
L. 263.

(i) *Ses De Beauvoir v. Owen*, 5 Exch.
(h) *Chinnery v. Evans*, 11 H. L. C. 182.

CHAPTER XLIX.

OF FORECLOSURE AND SALE BY ORDER OF THE COURT.

SECTION I.

OF THE RIGHT TO FORECLOSE GENERALLY, AND THE NECESSARY PARTIES TO AN ACTION FOR FORECLOSURE.

Equitable doctrine as to mortgages.

i.—Who may foreclose a Mortgage.—It has already been seen that in equity the repayment of the debt is regarded as the primary object of every mortgage transaction, and that the mortgaged property is merely incidental by way of security for such repayment, and, accordingly, that the mortgagor, notwithstanding his breach of condition, and the consequent forfeiture at law of his estate, shall be relieved on payment of principal, interest, and costs, and that a mortgagee in possession shall be accountable for rents and profits (a).

Mortgagees may foreclose.

It is an obvious corollary from this doctrine that it would be unjust that a mortgagee in possession should be subject to a perpetual account or converted into a perpetual bailiff. And, accordingly, it is well settled that after a fair and reasonable time given to the mortgagor to discharge the debt, he shall lose, or, in other words, be foreclosed his equity of redemption, so that the mortgagee's possession will be converted into an absolute ownership as against the mortgagor and all persons claiming under him. There is an exception in the case of a Welsh mortgage, where the mortgagee cannot compel redemption, nor enforce foreclosure (b).

Mortgagee not in possession.

A mortgagee cannot be compelled to take possession, for he would thereby subject himself to the account which the Court will never force on a mortgagee; therefore, he may bring his action for foreclosure without taking possession (c).

(a) *Ante*, p. 11, and p. 801.

(b) *Louquet v. Scawen*, 1 Ves. Sen. 401, 406.

(c) *Lord Penrhyn v. Hughes*, 5 Ves. 99, 106.

A mortgagee of copyholds, though not in possession, may bring his action for foreclosure before admittance (*d*). CHAP. XLIX.

Mortgagee of copyholds.

The registered proprietor of a charge registered under the Land Transfer Act, 1875 (*e*), is on the same footing as an ordinary legal mortgagee as regards his right to enforce his security by foreclosure. Proprietor of registered charge.

A power of sale, whether express (*f*) or by virtue of the statute (*g*), does not affect the right of the mortgagee to foreclose. Foreclosure not affected by power of sale.

A mortgagee of an equity of redemption (*h*), and an equitable mortgagee, whose security is an agreement for a legal mortgage (*i*), may foreclose. The proper remedy of an equitable mortgagee of a share in a partnership is foreclosure (*k*). Equitable mortgagee.

It is now settled, after some difference of opinion, that an equitable mortgagee by deposit of deeds with or without a memorandum, is entitled to foreclose (*l*). Where there is a deposit of title deeds, the Court, for the purpose of enforcing the mortgagee's remedies, treats that as an agreement to execute a legal mortgage, and therefore as carrying with it all the incidents to such a mortgage (*m*). Mortgagee by deposit.

In the case of a mere charge or lien, the proper remedy is sale, not foreclosure (*n*). Mere charge or lien.

One of several joint mortgagees may foreclose though the others will not concur as co-plaintiffs (*o*). Co-mortgagees.

But where money is advanced by several persons who are entitled thereto in distinct shares, one of such persons cannot foreclose an aliquot part of the estate (*p*). Where a mortgage is made to two persons to secure a loan made by them in distinct portions, one of the co-mortgagees may bring his action for foreclosure, making the other mortgagee a defendant, and is entitled to the usual decree of foreclosure on default in payment Where loan is by several mortgagees of distinct sums.

(*d*) *Sutton v. Stone*, 2 Atk. 101.

(*e*) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 87, s. 26, set out ante, p. 39.

(*f*) *Blade v. Rigg*, 3 Ha. 35; *Wayne v. Hanham*, 9 Ha. 62; *Perry v. Keane*, 6 L. J. N. S. Ch. 67.

(*g*) See 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 21 (*5*).

(*h*) *Richards v. Cooper*, 5 Beav. 304.

(*i*) *Frail v. Ellis*, 16 Beav. 350; *Moore v. Perry*, 1 Jur. N. S. 126.

(*k*) *Radmayne v. Foster*, L. R. 2 Eq. 467.

(*l*) *James v. James*, L. R. 16 Eq. 153, and cases there cited; *Backhouse*

v. Charlton, 8 Ch. D. 444; *York Union Banking Co. v. Artley*, 11 Ch. D. 205.

(*m*) *Carter v. Wake*, 4 Ch. D. 605.

As to copyholds, see *Pryce v. Bury*, 2 Drew. 11, 41; *S. C.*, L. R. 16 Eq. 153, n.

(*n*) *Tennant v. Trenchard*, L. R. 4 Ch. A. 537, 542.

(*o*) *Luke v. South Kensington Hotel Co.*, 11 Ch. D. 121, C. A.

(*p*) *Palmer v. Earl of Carlisle*, 1 S. & St. 423. See *Romer v. Stokes*, 4 W. R. 730.

CHAP. XLIX.

of the whole mortgage debt in the proportions due to the plaintiff and the defendant mortgagee respectively, together with their respective costs (q).

Trustee-mortgagees.

Where moneys advanced on mortgage form part of a trust estate, the trustee-mortgagees are entitled to foreclosure like any other mortgagees. But if a trustee lends his own moneys to a *cestui que trust* on the security of a charge on the trust property, he will not be allowed to foreclose on the ground that foreclosure would produce a conflict of his interest as mortgagee and the interest of the trust estate and of the persons beneficially entitled thereto (r).

Duty of trustee as to foreclosed land.

It is the duty of trustees to demand payment of debts due to the trust estate, and to use all reasonable means for enforcing payment, and on default to take all necessary legal proceedings (s). If, therefore, trustee-mortgagees foreclose, the taking possession and holding of the land being thrust upon them as a consequence of their performance of their duty, there is clearly no breach of trust in their doing so, though the settlement does not contain any power to invest in and hold land; they will hold the foreclosed property upon trusts corresponding to the trusts of the moneys advanced, and accordingly the land will be considered as personalty, so that the trustees will have a power of sale over the land, and will be able to give to a purchaser a good title and valid receipts for the purchase-money (t). It will, of course, be the duty of the trustees to sell and convert into money the foreclosed property with all convenient speed (u).

Cestui que trust.

Where a mortgage is vested in trustees, the *cestui que trust*, or one of several *cestuis que trust*, may maintain an action for foreclosure of the entire mortgaged estate (x).

There can be no foreclosure or redemption where the transaction is not a mortgage, but an absolute sale, with power for the vendor to repurchase (y).

Distinction

A trust to pay a sum of money advanced out of rents and

(q) *Davenport v. James*, 7 Ha. 249.

(r) *Tennant v. Trenchard*, L. R. 4 Ch. A. 537, and cases cited *ibid.*, at p. 541.

(s) *Re Brogden, Billing v. Brogden*, 38 Ch. D. 546, at pp. 564, 574, C. A.

(t) *Tait v. Lathbury*, L. R. 1 Eq. 174. See *Master v. De Croismann*, 11 Beav. 184.

(u) See *Hiddingh v. Denysen*, 12

App. Cas. 624.

(x) *Love v. Morgan*, 1 Bro. C. C. 368; *Wood v. Williams*, 4 Madd. 186.

(y) *Goodman v. Grierson*, 2 Ba. & Be. 278; *Alderson v. White*, 2 De G. & J. 97; *Ogden v. Battams*, 1 Jur. N. S. 791; *Williams v. Owen*, 6 My. & Cr. 303; *Perry v. Meadowcroft*, 4 Beav. 202. See further as to such sales, *ante*, pp. 19 *et seq.*

profits is not strictly a mortgage (*s*). So, if the estate be conveyed to the mortgagee in trust that the same shall stand charged with the mortgage debt and interest, with power of sale, the mortgagee is not entitled to foreclosure (*a*). But the Court will presume an instrument intended as a security to be an ordinary mortgage unless the terms exclude such construction (*b*). Securities by way of trust are construed strictly; but, if the terms of the instrument will permit, and if the necessities and justice of the case require, the holder of such a security may obtain the aid of the Court to effect a sale (*c*).

CHAP. XLIX.

where trust deed and not mortgage.

Though under a trust for sale there is no right of foreclosure, the right of redemption exists (*d*); and on a failure to redeem, the creditor's right to sell will become immediate (*e*).

Trust for sale.

In a case where a mortgagee made a further advance, and took as a security a further charge and covenant, and also an assignment of a policy of assurance upon trust to receive the insurance moneys when payable, and thereout pay the mortgage, it was held by Sir J. Wigram that the mortgagee was entitled to the usual decree of foreclosure of the real estate, and to retain the policy upon the terms of the trust, but not to a sale of the policy, although, if the policy had been simply assigned as a security, he would have been entitled to a sale of it (*f*).

Trust deed collateral to mortgage.

But in another case, where the mortgage debt was secured by a mortgage for a term and trust for sale of the fee, and the bill prayed a sale, the Court held that the mortgagee was not entitled to any other relief than that prayed, but that he might amend, and pray a foreclosure of the term; but that the term being foreclosed, the debt would be satisfied, and the fee held for the mortgagors (*g*).

Mortgage for term and trust for sale of fee.

In some cases of security by way of trust there is no right either to foreclosure or sale. So, where a married woman assigned a reversionary interest, upon trust thereout to retain and pay a debt of her husband, and the deed contained no proviso for redemption or power of sale, it was held that the provisions of the security could not be extended beyond the express terms of the trust, which strictly could only operate when the interest

Trust for sale of reversionary interest.

(*s*) *Balfe v. Lord*, 2 Dr. & War. 480; *Taylor v. Emerson*, 4 Dr. & War. 117.

(*a*) *Sampson v. Pattison*, 1 Ha. 533; *Jenkin v. Row*, 5 De G. & Sm. 107;

Schweitzer v. Mayhew, 31 Beav. 37.

(*b*) *Balfe v. Lord*, *sup.*

(*c*) *Sampson v. Pattison*, *sup.*

(*d*) *Schweitzer v. Mayhew*, 31 Beav. 37; *Wicks v. Scrivens*, 1 J. & H. 215,

218; *Pearce v. Morris*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 230.

(*e*) *Dav. Conv. Vol. II. pt. ii. p. 8.*

(*f*) *Dyson v. Morris*, 1 Ha. 413.

(*g*) *Kerrick v. Saffery*, 7 Sim. 317.

CHAP. XLIX.

Heir or
devisee of
mortgagee.

should fall into possession, and accordingly that neither foreclosure nor sale could be decreed (*h*).

It has already been seen that, in the case of a mortgage of realty, the mortgage debt vests in the first instance in the personal representatives of the deceased mortgagee (*i*), but that the legal estate in the mortgaged property vested, in all cases where the mortgagee died prior to the 1st of January, 1882, and still vests, in the case of copyholds to which the mortgagee had been admitted in his lifetime, in his heir-at-law, or customary heir, or devisee (*k*). In cases where the mortgagee died between the 7th of August, 1874, and the 1st of January, 1882, the legal estate was liable to be divested from the heir if the personal representatives exercised their statutory power of conveying the estate (*l*).

In cases where the heir or customary heir or devisee of the mortgaged estates has the legal estate vested in him, he is entitled to bring an action for foreclosure. This right is, however, concurrent with that of the personal representatives of the mortgagee (*m*), or their *cestuis que trust* (*n*), to foreclose by virtue of their respective interests in the mortgage debt.

Right of heir
or devisee to
foreclosed
lands.

Where, after foreclosure by the heir, the personal representatives of the mortgagee claim to have the benefit of the mortgage, it seems that the heir may either make over the estate to the personal representatives, or keep it for himself, paying over to the latter the moneys due on the mortgage (*o*).

Personal re-
presentatives
of mortgagee.

Now, in cases where the mortgagee of freeholds (*p*), or of copyholds to which he has not been admitted (*q*), has died since the 1st of January, 1882, the foreclosure must be brought by the personal representatives of the deceased mortgagee or the *cestuis que trust* of the mortgage debt.

Transferee of
mortgage.

Where the mortgagee has assigned his mortgage *inter vivos*, such assignee (or the last assignee, if there have been several assignments) may alone foreclose, without bringing the original mortgagee (or the intermediate assignees) before the Court (*r*). But in such a case questions may arise as to equities between

(*h*) *Stamford, Spalding, and Boston Banking Co. v. Ball*, 4 De G. F. & J. 310.

(*i*) See *ante*, p. 840.

(*k*) See *ante*, p. 842.

(*l*) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 78, s. 4.

(*m*) *Scott v. Nicoll*, 3 Russ. 476.

(*n*) *Wood v. Williams*, 4 Madd. 186.

(*o*) *Clerkson v. Bowyer*, 2 Vern. 66. And see 5 Bac. Abr. 102, tit. Mortgage (E).

(*p*) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 30.

(*q*) 57 & 58 Vict. c. 46, s. 38.

(*r*) 1 Dan. Ch. Fr. 218.

the mortgagor and the assignees by reason of any payments made by the mortgagor without notice of the transfer (s). CHAP. XLIX.

A sub-mortgagee may foreclose the original mortgagor (t). Sub-mort-gagee.

If after the institution of a foreclosure suit, but before a decree, the mortgagee assigns his interest, by way of sub-mortgage, the sub-mortgagee may come in and obtain the benefit of the foreclosure suit (u).

Where mortgagees assigned the mortgage debt with other property to trustees for the benefit of their creditors by a deed which expressly reserved the benefit of the mortgage security, and provided that the surplus moneys, after satisfying the creditors, should be paid to the mortgagees, it was held that the mortgagees were entitled to foreclose notwithstanding the assignment (x). Mortgagees after assign-ment in trust for creditors.

Where a mortgagee has become bankrupt, his trustee in the bankruptcy can obtain a decree for foreclosure without making an application to the Court of Bankruptcy (y). Trustee in mortgagee's bankruptcy.

A mortgagee may bring an action for foreclosure notwithstanding the bankruptcy of the mortgagor (z); but it has been said that the Court of Bankruptcy might restrain the mortgagee from proceeding with the action, if it appear more convenient that a sale should take place under the bankruptcy (a). Mortgagee may foreclose bankrupt mortgagor.

ii.—What Mortgaged Property may be Foreclosed.—As a general rule, the Court will give the benefit of foreclosure in every case where money is lent on a security of the nature of a mortgage (b). And in particular, mortgagees of the following kinds of property have been held entitled to foreclosure. Right of foreclosure generally incident to mortgage.

A mortgagee of an advowson may claim foreclosure (c), though it seems that even under the former practice he might have obtained an order for sale (d). Advowson.

Chambers in the Inns of Court appear to be subject to the local jurisdiction and authority of the benchers, and the Courts Chambers in Inns of Court.

(s) *Withington v. Tate*, L. R. 4 Ch. A. 288. See *Haywood v. Gregg*, 24 W. R. 157.

(t) *Hobert v. Abbott*, 2 P. Wms. 643.

(u) *Ward v. Forrest*, 10 Beav. 552.

(x) *Morley v. Morley*, 25 Beav. 253.

(y) *Waddell v. Toleman*, 9 Ch. D. 212.

(z) *White v. Simmons*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 555; *Exp. Pannell, Re England*, 6

Ch. D. 335, C. A.

(a) *Per Vaughan Williams, J.*, in his *Treatise on Bankruptcy* at p. 339.

(b) *Balfe v. Lord*, 2 Dr. & War. 480, 489. And see *ante*, p. 13.

(c) *Gardiner v. Griffith*, 2 P. Wms.

403; *Long v. Storie*, 3 De G. & Sm.

308.

(d) See *Mackenzie v. Robinson*, 3 Atk. 599.

- CHAP. XLIX. of law will not interfere in respect of them ; but if the benchers decline to exercise their authority, or if they consent to an action being brought, the ordinary Courts will take cognizance of the question ; and, therefore, if the benchers refuse to make an order for the foreclosure or sale of chambers in mortgage, a decree may be obtained in the High Court of Justice (e).
- Consols. A mortgagee of a reversionary interest in stock in the public funds may bring an action for foreclosure (f).
- Pension. A mortgagee of a pension may foreclose (g).
- Railway shares. So, also, a mortgagee with power of sale of railway shares (h).
- Share in partnership. A mortgagee of a share in a partnership is entitled to foreclosure, and to an account of the profits of the partnership made after the commencement of the action, and of the existing debts and liabilities of the partnership, and to have the share of such debts and liabilities attributable to the mortgaged share ascertained (i). But the Court cannot make an order for sale of the share in lieu of foreclosure (j).
- Chattels. Independently of statute, a mortgagee of chattels has a right to foreclose (k) ; but this right is now superseded and virtually rendered obsolete by the power of seizure given by sect. 7 of the Bills of Sale Act, 1882 (l), except as regards debentures secured upon the chattels and effects of an incorporated company to which this Act does not apply (m).
- Reversionary interest of surety. As no relief will be given against a surety beyond the express term of his contract, his mortgage of a reversionary interest will not be subject either to sale or foreclosure if its operation be limited to the application of the proceeds when it falls into possession (n).
- Separate mortgages to same person. Where a mortgagee having separate mortgages on different estates created by the same mortgagor is not allowed to consolidate the mortgage debts, he may nevertheless claim in the same action to foreclose each estate separately on non-payment of the amount charged upon it (o).
- Mortgage of term. Where a debt is secured by a mortgage of an estate for a term of years with a trust for sale of the fee, the mortgagee, if he
- (e) *Rakestraw v. Brewer*, 2 P. Wms. 511.
- (f) *Slade v. Bigg*, 3 Ha. 35 ; *Wayne v. Hanham*, 9 Ha. 62.
- (g) *James v. Ellis*, 19 W. R. 319.
- (h) *General Credit & Discount Co. v. Glegg*, 22 Ch. D. 549.
- (i) *Redmayne v. Foster*, L. R. 2 Eq. 467.
- (j) *Ibid.* ; *Exp. Broadbent*, 4 D. & C. 3.
- (k) *Harrison v. Hart*, Comyns, 393 ; *Tancred v. Potts*, 2 Fonb. Eq. 5th ed. vol. ii. p. 261, n.
- (l) 45 & 46 Vict. c. 43. See *ante*, p. 220.
- (m) *Ibid.* s. 17. See *ante*, p. 209.
- (n) *Stamford, &c. Banking Co. v. Ball*, 4 De G. F. & J. 310.
- (o) *Holmes v. Turner*, 7 Ha. 367, n., where a form of foreclosure order applicable to such cases will be found. As to consolidation, see *ante*, p. 855.

prays a sale only, will not be entitled to foreclose the fee, nor, unless he amends his pleadings, to foreclose the term (*p*). CHAP. XLIX.

When a mortgage is of leaseholds by sub-demise, with a declaration of trust of the reversion, the mortgagee may obtain judgment *nisi* for foreclosure of the term, but will not be entitled to a vesting order as to the reversion until the final order for foreclosure absolute (*q*). Mortgage of leaseholds by demise.

A foreclosure decree being a decree *in personam* depriving a mortgagor of his personal right to redeem, an English mortgagee of land in a British colony or dependency has the right to foreclose his mortgage in respect of such land (*r*). Mortgage of land abroad.

The holder of a mortgage or debenture secured upon the undertaking of a railway, canal, or other company established by the legislature for carrying out a public object, whether the rolling stock is or is not expressed to be included in the security, is not entitled to foreclosure or sale (*s*). No foreclosure or sale of undertaking of railway or other public company.

The same principle applies to all tramway companies governed by the Tramways Act, 1870 (*t*), whether the promoters are local authorities or private individuals, or companies formed under the Companies Act, 1862, no less than to such companies created by special Act of Parliament (*u*). Tramways.

In the case of an ordinary joint stock company, a mortgagee or debenture holder has, like a mortgagee who has lent money to an individual, the right to enforce his security by foreclosure or sale. Foreclosure of security of joint stock company.

A debenture in the usual form of a floating equitable charge upon all the property of the company, present and future, including uncalled capital, gives to the holder a right, in the event of the debenture becoming immediately payable in consequence of a voluntary winding-up, to foreclose not only the present property of the company, but also its uncalled capital (*x*). Floating security.

(*p*) *Kerriek v. Saffery*, 7 Sim. 317.

(*q*) *British Empire Assurance Co. v. Sugden*, 47 L. J. Ch. 691.

(*r*) *Payot v. Ede*, L.R. 18 Eq. 118. See *Toller v. Carteret*, 2 Vern. 494; *Colyer v. Finch*, 5 H. L. O. 915. See also *Penn v. Lord Baltimore*, 1 Ves. Sen. 444; *S. C.*, 2 L. C. Eq. 1047 *et seq.*

(*s*) *Furness v. Caterham Rail. Co.*, 25 Beav. 614; *Gardner v. London, Chatham, and Dover Rail. Co.*, L. R. 2 Ch. A. 201; *Blaker v. Herts and Essex Waterworks Co.*, 40 Ch. D. 399.

(*t*) 33 & 34 Vict. c. 78.

(*u*) *Marshall v. South Staffordshire*

Tramways Co., (1895) 2 Ch. 36, at p. 54, C. A.

(*x*) *Sadler v. Worley*, (1894) 2 Ch. 170, where see for form of order for foreclosure judgment on a mortgage debenture, at p. 177. See also *Oldrey v. Union Works, Limited*, W. N. (1895) 77; *Halifax, &c. Banking Co. v. Radcliffe, Limited*, W. N. (1896) 63; *Madeley v. Ross, Sleeman & Co.*, (1897) 1 Ch. 505. A foreclosure order cannot be made in the absence of any one debenture holder: see *Re Continental Oxygen Co.*, *Elias v. Continental Oxygen Co.*, (1897) 1 Ch. 511.

CHAP. XLIX.

Leave to
bring fore-
closure action
in winding up.

Leave to bring an action for foreclosure may be given by the Court, notwithstanding that the company has been ordered to be compulsorily wound up (*y*). But such leave may apparently be refused if the security is merely an equitable charge giving the holder a right to be paid out of the assets of the company in priority to other creditors (*z*). If the mortgage is of the whole undertaking, the mortgagee or debenture holder will obtain leave to bring his action to realize his security as a matter of course, as the commencement of the winding-up renders the mortgage money immediately payable, though there is no express stipulation to that effect (*a*).

If a mortgagee has commenced his action for foreclosure before the commencement of a winding-up, he will, in the absence of special circumstances, obtain leave to continue his action as a matter of course (*b*).

No foreclosure
upon default.

iii.—When the Right to Foreclosure arises.—Proceedings for foreclosure on a mortgage security cannot be maintained until the estate has become forfeited at law by default in payment on the day appointed (*c*). But if the principal is made payable at a distant date, non-payment of interest in the meantime pursuant to the terms of the mortgage will be a sufficient default (*d*).

Mortgagee
may foreclose
on default.

A mortgagee may bring an action for foreclosure at any time after the mortgagor has made default in the absence of any stipulation to the contrary.

Stipulation
postponing
right to
foreclose.

A mortgagee may by agreement debar himself of the right to foreclose for a given period (*e*); and such period may be for a term of years or for the life of the mortgagor (*f*).

Reversionary
interest.

In the case of a mortgage of a reversionary interest containing a proviso for redemption on or before the death of the tenant for life, and separate covenants for payment of the principal on his death, and for payment of interest during his life, the mort-

(*y*) *Marshall v. Glamorgan Iron Co.*, L. R. 7 Eq. 129. See *St. Cuthbert Lead Smelting Co.*, W. N. (1866) 91, C. A.; *Perry v. Oriental Hotels Co.*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 420; *Re Longendale Co.*, 8 Ch. D. 160; *Re Henry Fount, Son, and Hutchins*, 42 Ch. D. 402, C. A. (*z*) *Jones v. Swansea, &c. Co.*, 60 L. J. Q. B. 428.

(*a*) *Hodson v. Tea Co.*, 14 Ch. D. 869; *Wallace v. Universal Automatic Machine Co.*, (1894) 2 Ch. 547, C. A.

(*b*) *Re David Lloyd & Co., Lloyd v. David Lloyd & Co.*, 6 Ch. D. 639, C. A.

(*c*) *Bonham v. Neuccomb*, 1 Vern. 232.

(*d*) *Stanhope v. Manners*, 2 Ed. 197; *Gladwyn v. Hitchman*, 2 Vern. 135. See *Re Taaffe*, 14 Ir. Ch. R. 347.

(*e*) *Ramsbottom v. Wallis*, 5 L. J. N. S. Ch. 92.

(*f*) *Burrowes v. Molloy*, 2 J. & L. 521. See further as to the provisos postponing the right to call in the principal, *ante*, p. 135.

gatee's right of foreclosure does not arise till the death of the tenant for life (g). CHAP. XLIX.

If the bar of the right to foreclose is made conditional on regular payment of the interest, it may be revived by subsequent acceptance of interest waiving the default (h). But acceptance of interest due, after a demand for payment of principal and interest, will not amount to a waiver, so as to revive the bar of the right to foreclose in respect of the principal (i).

A mortgagee retains his right to bring an action for foreclosure until he is actually paid off, notwithstanding notice by the mortgagor to pay off the mortgage, and even notwithstanding a decree for redemption (k), or though he has received part of his debt if not fully paid (l), or even after giving a receipt in full and delivering the deeds to the mortgagor if securities taken in payment prove to be defective or insufficient (m).

But a mortgagee whose principal and interest have been paid off is not entitled to foreclosure in respect of a balance of costs still remaining unpaid (n).

Where a mortgagee holds collateral securities for the mortgage debt, the course usually pursued by the Court is to direct him first to realize them and then to proceed to foreclose the mortgage for so much of his debt as the collateral securities may not satisfy; for it is only by realizing his collateral securities, and afterwards proceeding to foreclose the mortgage that a mortgagee can get a valid decree for foreclosure without foregoing the benefit of the collateral securities (o).

iv.—Parties to an Action for Foreclosure.—The general rule of the Court is, that all persons having an interest in the mortgage security, or in the equity of redemption, must be made parties to an action for foreclosure; and that unless all such persons are before the Court, there can be no foreclosure (p).

The mortgagee or mortgagees, or some or one of several mortgagees or persons claiming under him or them, will be the plaintiff or plaintiffs.

(g) *Re Turner's Estate*, *Turner v. Spencer*, 43 W. R. 153.

(h) *Langridge v. Payne*, 2 J. & H. 423.

(i) *Keene v. Biscoe*, 8 Ch. D. 201; *Re Taaffe*, 14 Ir. Ch. R. 347.

(k) *Grugeon v. Gerrard*, 4 Y. & C. Ex. 119.

(l) *Lockhart v. Hardy*, 9 Beav. 349; *Palmer v. Hendrie*, 27 Beav. 349.

(m) *Ted v. Carruthers*, 2 Y. & C. O. C. 31; *Shore v. Shore*, 2 Ph. 378.

(n) *Drought v. Redford*, 1 Moll. 572.

(o) *Dyson v. Morris*, 1 Ha. 413, 423.

(p) *Palmer v. Carlisle*, 1 S. & St. 423; *Vickers v. Cowell*, 1 Beav. 429; *Caddick v. Cook*, 32 Beav. 70.

Revivor of conditional bar of right to foreclosure.

Mortgagee may foreclose till paid off.

No foreclosure for costs only.

Collateral securities should first be enforced.

General rule as to parties to foreclosure actions.

Mortgagee.

CHAP. XLIX.

Mortgagor. The mortgagor or mortgagors or persons claiming under him or them will be defendant or defendants.

Other parties. It will, however, often be necessary that other persons not strictly coming under the above designations should be made parties.

Disclaiming defendants. A person cannot be plaintiff and defendant in the same action (*q*).

If some of the defendants disclaim, a decree of foreclosure may be obtained against them, if of importance to the plaintiff's title, and an account directed against the rest (*r*).

Personal representatives of mortgagee dying before 1882. If the heir or customary heir or devisee of mortgage estates of a mortgagee who died before 1882 brings an action for foreclosure, the personal representatives of the mortgagee must generally be made parties, as being entitled to the mortgage moneys as part of the mortgagee's personal estate, and being therefore interested in the taking of the accounts in the action (*s*).

Where a vendor took an equitable mortgage by deposit of the deeds of the property sold to secure the payment of the balance of the purchase-money, his personal representatives were held to be necessary parties to an action by the trustees of his personal estate for foreclosure (*t*).

The person in whom the legal estate in the mortgaged property is vested must be co-plaintiff (*u*) or defendant (*x*), whether the estate is vested in such person under the original mortgage (*y*), or by assignment (*z*), or by devise (*a*).

Heir or devisee of mortgagee dying before 1882.

So if the mortgagee died before 1882, the heir-at-law or devisee of mortgage estates must be a party to an action for foreclosure brought by the executors of the mortgagee (*b*).

Where the mortgagee has devised as well the legal estate in the mortgaged lands as also the beneficial interest in the money secured to the same person, the devisee may foreclose without making the heir of the original mortgagee a party (*c*).

So, where an action for foreclosure is brought by a devisee of mortgage estates, the heir of the mortgagee is not a necessary party (*d*); and if the devisee make the heir a party, he will not

(*q*) *Wavell v. Mitchell*, W. N. (1891) 86.

(*r*) *Collins v. Shirley*, Russ. & M. 638; *Perkin v. Stafford*, 10 Sim. 562. See *Davis v. Whitmore*, 28 Beav. 617.

(*s*) See *Freake v. Horseley*, Freem. Ch. 180; *Gobe v. Carlisle*, cited 2 Vern. 67.

(*t*) *Cave v. Cork*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 130.

(*u*) *Smith v. Chichester*, 2 Dr. & War. 404.

(*x*) *Browne v. Lockhart*, 10 Sim. 426.

(*y*) *Wood v. Williams*, 4 Madd. 186.

(*z*) *Wetherell v. Collins*, 3 Madd. 255.

(*a*) *Hichens v. Kelly*, 2 Sm. & G. 264; *Bartle v. Wilkin*, 8 Sim. 238.

See *Capper v. Terrington*, 1 Coll. 103.

(*b*) See cases cited *supra* in notes (*y*) and (*a*).

(*c*) *Renvoize v. Cooper*, 6 Madd. 371.

(*d*) *How v. Figures*, 1 Rep. in Ch. 32.

be allowed the costs out of the estate (e). If, however, the devisee of the mortgagee claims to have the will established, or if his title as devisee is doubtful (f), he must make the heir a party as defendant (g). But the heir of a subsequent mortgagee, not having the legal estate, must not be made a party to an action for foreclosure brought by a prior mortgagee (h).

CHAP. XLIX.

Where the heir, being a necessary party, cannot be found, the Attorney-General must be made a party (i); and his non-joinder cannot be remedied by his appearance by counsel at the hearing (k).

Heir not found.

If the heir or devisee is out of the jurisdiction, the cause must stand over till the defect is remedied (l), unless the purchaser of the equity of redemption under a contract is before the Court (m).

Heir out of jurisdiction.

Where the mortgagee has died since the 1st of December, 1881, it is obvious that as the heir does not take any estate by descent in mortgaged freeholds or copyholds to which the mortgagee has not been admitted, and as a devise of mortgage estates in such property is nugatory, the heir or devisee cannot bring, and ought not to be made a party to, an action for foreclosure.

Heir or devisee of mortgagee dying after 1881 not a necessary party.

In such cases, the mortgaged lands devolve as chattels real, and, accordingly, the personal representatives of the mortgagee being the persons in whom the legal estate is vested must be made parties to every action for foreclosure, either as plaintiffs or defendants (n).

Personal representatives of mortgagee so dying.

Where, however, the mortgage property is copyhold to which the mortgagee has been admitted, the customary heir or devisee must be plaintiff or defendant, and if he is plaintiff, he must make the personal representatives of the mortgagee parties (o).

Exception as to copyholds.

If the mortgage is vested in trustees, they must be made defendants to an action for foreclosure brought by a *cestui que trust* (p).

Trustee-mortgagees.

(e) *Skipp v. Wyatt*, 1 Cox, 353;
Lewis v. Nangle, 2 Ves. Sen. 430;
Uppington v. Bullen, 2 Dr. & War.
 184.

(f) *Earl of Macclesfield v. Fitton*, 1
 Vern. 168.

(g) *Lewis v. Nangle*, *sup.*

(h) *Whitla v. Halliday*, 4 Dr. &
 War. 267.

(i) *Smith v. Bicknell*, 3 V. & B.
 53, n.; *Casbard v. Ward*, 6 Pri. 411;

Leahy v. Dancer, 3 Moll. 108.

(k) *Catley v. Sampson*, 33 Beav. 551.

(l) *Fell v. Brown*, 2 Bro. C. C. 276;
Farmer v. Curtis, 2 Sim. 466.

(m) *Howes v. Wadham*, Ridg. Ca. t.
 Hard. 201. And see *Runcorn v.*
Nicholson, 5 L. J. N. S. Ch. 203.

(n) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 30, *ante*,
 p. 841.

(o) 57 & 58 Vict. c. 46, s. 88.

(p) *Wood v. Williams*, 4 Madd. 186.

CHAP. XLIX.

Remainder-
man.

Where the mortgagee had settled the security, it was held that the first tenant in tail at least must be brought before the Court (*q*). As a general rule, it is sufficient if the first tenant in tail is made a party (*r*), unless the estate tail is contingent, in which case the remainderman who has the prior vested estate in remainder ought to be a party (*s*).

All intermediate tenants for life must be made parties (*t*). So, also, trustees to preserve contingent remainders (*u*).

Co-mort-
gagoees.

If there are several mortgagees who are joint tenants or tenants in common of the moneys secured, they must all be parties to the foreclosure (*x*). And accordingly, where one of several mortgagees sues alone for foreclosure, the others must be made defendants (*y*).

Several *cestuis*
que trust.

So, in an old case, where one of several persons who had taken a mortgage in the name of a trustee sued for foreclosure, it was held that the others must be parties (*z*).

Trustees,
executors, &c.

As a general rule, however, trustees, executors, and administrators will sufficiently represent the persons beneficially interested in the trust or estate either as plaintiffs or defendants (*a*), and may accordingly bring actions for foreclosure without making their beneficiaries parties (*b*).

A trustee will not sufficiently represent his *cestuis que trust* as defendant to a foreclosure action unless he has funds in his hands sufficient to enable him to redeem; the reason being that all persons must be brought before the Court who are interested in the equity of redemption, and who may be willing and able to redeem (*c*).

A trustee who has become bankrupt cannot properly represent his beneficiaries in a foreclosure action, but the beneficiaries must be made parties (*d*).

(*q*) *Yates v. Hambly*, 2 Atk. 237.

(*r*) *Roscarriek v. Barton*, 1 Ch. Ca. 217; *Reynoldson v. Perkins*, Amb. 564.

(*s*) *Sutton v. Stone*, 2 Atk. 101. See *Fishwick v. Lowe*, 1 Cox, 411.

(*t*) *Gore v. Staepoole*, 1 Dow, 18.

(*u*) *Hopkins v. Hopkins*, 1 Atk. 490; *Cholmondeley v. Clinton*, 2 J. & W. 133.

(*x*) *Lowe v. Morgan*, 1 Bro. C. C. 368; *Pickers v. Cowell*, 1 Beav. 529.

(*y*) *Luke v. South Kensington Hotel Co.*, 11 Ch. D. 121, C. A.

(*z*) *Lowe v. Morgan*, 1 Bro. C. C. 368. But see *Montgomery v. Bath*, 3 Ves. 560; and *Pow. Mtg.* 964, N. C.

(*a*) R. S. C. Ord. XVI. r. 8, set out *ante*, p. 721. This rule expressly provides that it "shall apply to trustees, executors and administrators sued in proceedings to enforce a security by foreclosure or otherwise."

(*b*) *Morley v. Morley*, 25 Beav. 253; *Re Mitchell, Wavell v. Mitchell*, W. N. (1892) 11. See *Re Booth and Kettlewell's Contract*, W. N. (1892) 156.

(*c*) *Goldsmid v. Stonehewer*, 9 Ha. App. xxxviii.; *Mills v. Jennings*, 13 Ch. D. 639, at p. 649, 650, C. A.

(*d*) *Francis v. Harrison*, 43 Ch. D. 183.

The trustees and *cestuis qui trustent* under a specific bequest by a mortgagor of leaseholds are proper parties to a foreclosure action (e).

If a mortgagee unnecessarily makes the beneficiaries parties, he may be ordered to pay their costs (f).

All the trustees must be parties to an action for foreclosure; and where a trustee had retired, but without a new trustee being appointed in his place, it was held that he was a necessary party to an action brought by his co-trustees for foreclosure of a mortgage which had been made to them alone, after he had retired, on a loan of trust moneys (g).

Where one of two executors of a mortgagee absconded, and the other executor sued the mortgagor, the Court refused to add the absconding executor as defendant (h).

In the case of a sub-mortgage, the original mortgagee, or his personal representatives, if he is dead, as having a right to redeem the sub-mortgagee, are necessary parties to the suit of the sub-mortgagee to foreclose the original mortgage (i). Sub-mort-gagee.

Although, as a general rule, the person seised of the legal estate must be a party (j), the mortgagee of an equitable interest in property may foreclose the equity of redemption, leaving the legal title in a third party, as in the instance of foreclosure by a second mortgagee against the mortgagor. So a mortgagee of a reversion in stock, which is necessarily an equitable interest, may bring an action for foreclosure against the mortgagor alone (k).

In mortgages of real estate, whether in fee or for a term of years, the mortgagor, if not bankrupt (l), or his heir (m), is an absolutely necessary party in a suit for foreclosure (n), or sale; also the trustee of the mortgagor to bar dower (o). Mortgagor a necessary party.

Where a mortgagor has several interests, all are bound by foreclosure though only one is mentioned (p). All mort-gagor's inter-ests bound.

A mortgagor who has absolutely assigned the equity of redemption loses his right to redeem, and accordingly is not a necessary party to a foreclosure action; but if the mortgagee Mortgagor after assign-ment.

(e) *Ward v. Forrest*, 10 Beav. 552.

(f) *Re Cooper, Cooper v. Vesey*, 20 Ch. D. 611, C. A.

(g) *Adams v. Paynter*, 1 Coll. 533.

(h) *Drage v. Hartopp*, 28 Ch. D. 414.

(i) *Hobart v. Abbott*, 2 P. Wms. 642.

(j) See *sup.* p. 1004.

(k) *Slade v. Rigg*, 3 Ha. 35, 38.

(l) *Lloyd v. Lander*, 5 Madd. 282.

(m) *Farmer v. Curtis*, 2 Sim. 466.

(n) *Houes v. Wadham*, Ridg. Ca. t. Hard. 199; *Moore v. Morton*, W. N. (1886) 196.

(o) *Horrocks v. Lednam*, 2 Coll. 208.

(p) *Bromitt v. Moor*, 9 Ha. 374; *Goldsmid v. Stonehewer*, 9 Ha. App. xxxix.

CHAP. XLIX.

makes him a party to the action for the purpose of suing him on his personal covenant for payment of principal and interest, his right to redeem revives (*g*).

Derivative mortgage.

The mortgagor need not be a party in a foreclosure suit between the mortgagee and his derivative or sub-mortgagee (*r*).

Mortgagor of part of estate.

Where a part only of the premises is comprised in a second mortgage, the owner thereof, though he is not the original mortgagor, is a necessary party (*s*).

Surety.

The mortgagor of another estate charged as a collateral security is a necessary party (*t*). But a surety is not a necessary party where he is bound by a personal covenant only, unless he have paid off part of the debt (*u*).

Executor of mortgagor.

The executor of the mortgagor need not generally be made a party where the mortgage is of the fee (*x*); and it was so held where the mortgage was of freeholds for a term of years (*y*). In a suit for the execution of a trust for sale by way of mortgage, the executor of the mortgagor is a necessary party (*z*).

Before Locke King's Act (*a*), the executor was a necessary party (*b*), and, in such cases, the costs of the executor were payable by the plaintiff, who added them to his security (*c*).

Even where a mortgage of the fee falls within Locke King's Act (*d*), it would seem that as an account of the debt is always directed, the executor ought to be made a party in cases where it is doubtful whether the mortgaged property is sufficient to satisfy the amount due (*e*).

So the personal representative of the mortgagor will be a necessary party where, by his will, the realty is to be exonerated out of the personalty (*f*); unless the mortgagor has been dead many years, and the mortgaged estate is regarded as the only available property (*g*); and similarly in the case of a deceased

(*g*) *Kinnaird v. Trollope*, 39 Ch. D. 636.

(*r*) Seton on Decrees, 1733.

(*s*) *Palk v. Clinton*, 12 Ves. 48; *Jones v. Smith*, 2 Ves. Jun. 372; *Thornycroft v. Crockett*, 2 H. L. C. 239.

(*t*) *Stokes v. Clendon*, 3 Swanst. 150, n.

(*u*) *Newton v. Earl of Egmont*, 4 Sim. 574; *Gedye v. Matson*, 25 Beav. 310.

(*x*) *Duncombe v. Hansley*, 3 P. Wms. 333, n. See 5 Bac. Abr. 101; *Fell v. Brown*, 2 Bro. C. C. 276. See *Grace v. Lord Mountmorris*, 2 Dr. & War. 432.

(*y*) *Bradshaw v. Outram*, 13 Ves. 234. See *Bamfield v. Vaughan*, Rep. t. Finch, 104.

(*z*) *Christophers v. Sparks*, 2 J. & W. 229; *Daniell v. Skipwith*, 2 Bro. C. C. 155.

(*a*) *Ante*, p. 753.

(*b*) *Scholefield v. Heafeld*, 7 Sim. 667; 8 Sim. 470.

(*c*) *Woodward v. Haddon*, 4 Sim. 606; *Weaving v. Count*, 6 Sim. 439; *Boswell v. Tucker*, 1 Beav. 493.

(*d*) See *ante*, p. 767.

(*e*) See *Daniell v. Skipwith*, 2 Bro. C. C. 155; *Knight v. Knight*, 3 P. Wms. 331 (both cases under the old law).

(*f*) *Faulkner v. Daniel*, 3 Ha. 199.

(*g*) *Faulkner v. Daniel*, 3 Ha. 199, 213.

partner, whose personal estate was liable to exonerate the realty (h). CHAP. XLIX.

The personal representative of a deceased tenant for life, who took under the mortgagor's will, is not a necessary party (i); but he is a necessary party if the deceased has made payments on account of principal (j). Executor of tenant for life.

The personal representative of the mortgagor is, of course, a necessary party to an action for foreclosure, when the mortgage is of leaseholds or other personalty (k). If there is no representative of the mortgagor, an order for foreclosure will not, generally, be made until a representative has been properly constituted (l). But when a defendant, who was one of a numerous class of debenture holders, died pending a foreclosure action, his widow was appointed to represent his estate until some person should be duly constituted his personal representative (m). Where mortgage is of personalty.

Where freeholds and leaseholds are comprised in the same mortgage, both the heir and the personal representatives of the mortgagor are necessary parties (n). Where realty and personalty are mortgaged together.

An administrator acting under limited letters of administration sufficiently represents the estate of a mortgagor unless the authority conferred by the letters of administration are so limited that the purposes of the suit require a more general administration (o). Limited administrator.

An administrator *durante minore ætate* has for the time all the powers of an ordinary administrator (p). Administrator *durante minore ætate*.

An administrator *pendente lite* does not sufficiently represent the mortgagor's estate; a general administrator is a necessary party (q). Administrator *pendente lite*.

As there is now no forfeiture for felony or treason (r), the administrators or the interim curator of the estates of felons must be parties. Administrators, &c., of felons.

All purchasers of the equity of redemption, however numerous, must be made parties (s).

(h) *Scholesfield v. Hoasfield*, 7 Sim. 667; 8 Sim. 470.

(i) *Wynne v. Styan*, 2 Ph. 302.

(j) *Cholmondeley v. Clinton*, 2 Mer. 171; *Faulkner v. Daniel*, *sup.*

(k) *Wilton v. Jones*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 244.

(l) *Aylward v. Lewis*, (1891) 2 Ch. 81.

(m) *Scott v. Streatham and General Estates Co.*, W. N. (1891) 153. See *Neal v. Barrett*, W. N. (1887) 88.

(n) *Robins v. Hodgson*, cit. Dan. Ch. Pr. 258.

(o) *Faulkner v. Daniel*, 3 Ha. 199; *Davis v. Chanter*, 2 Ph. 545; *Maclean v. Dawson*, 27 Beav. 369; *Clough v. Dixon*, 10 Sim. 564; *Groves v. Lane*, 16 Jur. 854, 1061; *Dowdenvell v. Dowdenvell*, 9 Ch. D. 294, C. A.

(p) *Re Cope, Cope v. Cope*, 16 Ch. D. 49.

(q) *Ellis v. Deane*, Beat. 5; *Cave v. Cork*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 130; *Aylward v. Lewis*, (1891) 2 Ch. 81.

(r) 33 & 34 Vict. c. 23. See *ante*, p. 643.

(s) *Peto v. Hammond*, 29 Beav. 91.

CHAP. XLIX.

Purchaser of
equity of
redemption.

Purchasers for valuable consideration without notice of a subsisting mortgage on the property, which has been sold without disclosing the mortgage, are deemed to be purchasers of the equity of redemption only, and to be liable to foreclosure (s).

Different
owners of
equity of
redemption.

If the estates of two different persons be in one mortgage, both the mortgagors must be made parties to foreclosure (t). So if the equity of redemption be severed after the mortgage, both the owners of the equity of redemption must be made parties (u). So, where a mortgagor has mortgaged Blackacre and Whiteacre, and subsequently has sold Whiteacre to a purchaser, as is alleged, for valuable consideration without notice, such purchaser is nevertheless a necessary party to an action to foreclose Blackacre alone.

Patron of
mortgaged
benefice.

Where an ecclesiastical benefice is mortgaged under a statutory power, the patron of the living is not a necessary party to an action to foreclose the mortgage (v).

Husband
and wife.

The wife must be a party where the power of redemption is in husband or wife in a mortgage of her leaseholds (x).

Partners.

On the same principle, partners of the mortgagor, who have a right of pre-emption over his mortgaged share, are necessary parties to a suit to foreclose the security (y).

Tenant in
tail.

Where mortgaged realty is limited in strict settlement, if the first tenant in tail of the equity of redemption be a party to the action, the decree will bind all the remaindermen and the reversioner (z), though the first tenant in tail be an infant (a). And if a decree for account and foreclosure be obtained, and the first tenant in tail release to the mortgagee, the remaindermen and reversioner will be also bound (a).

If there be an express estate for life, the first tenant in tail or the reversioner must be also a party (b). So also the intermediate remainderman for life, though contingent; and if the first estate in fee is liable to be defeated by a shifting use or executory devise, the person claiming the benefit of such con-

(s) *Heath v. Crealock*, L. R. 10 Ch. A. 22. See *Hunt v. Elmes*, 2 De G. F. & J. 578; *Waldy v. Gray*, L. R. 20 Eq. 238; *Greene v. Foster*, 22 Ch. D. 566.

(t) *Stokes v. Clendon*, 3 Swanst. 150, n.; *Payne v. Compton*, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 457.

(u) *Payne v. Compton*, *sup.*

(v) *Goodden v. Coles*, 59 L. T. 309.

(x) *Hill v. Edmonds*, 5 De G. & S. 603.

(y) *Redmayne v. Forster*, L. R. 2 Eq. 467, M. R.

(z) *Yates v. Hambly*, 2 Atk. 237. And see *Gore v. Stacpoole*, 1 Dow, 18, 31; *Roscarrick v. Barton*, 1 Ch. Ca. 217; *Lloyd v. Johns*, 9 Ves. 37.

(a) *Reynoldson v. Perkins*, Amb. 564.

(b) *Gore v. Stacpoole*, *sup.*; *Sutton v. Stone*, 2 Atk. 101; *Handcock v. Shaen*, Colles, 122.

tendency must likewise be made a party (c). But in one instance in which the tenant in tail was abroad (d), and out of the jurisdiction of the Court, a decree of foreclosure was obtained against the parties before the Court; and if there is no estate of inheritance, the tenant for life will suffice (e).

CHAP. XLIX.

It is sufficient to bring before the Court the trustees to preserve contingent remainders, if any, and the first remainderman of the inheritance, and the rest will be bound although not in esse, if there is no fraud or collusion (f).

Trustees to preserve contingent remainders.

Where a mortgagor had settled the equity of redemption, it was held that the trustees of a term for raising portions were necessary parties (g).

Trustees of term.

The official receiver, or the trustee in bankruptcy, is the proper party in respect of the interest of a bankrupt mortgagor (h), even when, in the case of leaseholds, the trustee has not accepted the lease (i), unless he has absolutely disclaimed (k), or unless the property was *bond fide* settled by the mortgagor before his bankruptcy (l), and the bankrupt will be bound by a decree made against the trustee.

Trustee in bankruptcy of mortgagor.

A bankrupt mortgagor is an improper party (m), even though the trustee disclaim all interest in the equity of redemption (n); and, if he is made a party, he will be dismissed with costs (o). The reason is that during his bankruptcy the mortgagor has no estate or interest in the property, even though the trustee disclaim (p).

Bankrupt mortgagor should not be made party.

Cases have arisen where an insolvent or bankrupt mortgagor has been made a party where fraud and collusion were charged (q); but he cannot be made a party unless a decree can be made against him at the hearing (r); nor for discovery alone,

(c) *Gore v. Staapole*, 1 Dow, 18.(d) *Fishwick v. Lowe*, 1 Cox, 411.(e) *Giffard v. Hori*, 1 Sch. & L. 408; *Roscarriek v. Barton*, 1 Ch. Ca. 217.See *Platt v. Sprigg*, 2 Vern. 304.(f) *Hopkins v. Hopkins*, 1 Atk. 590;*Chalmers v. Clinton*, 2 Mer. 171.(g) *Anderson v. Stather*, 2 Coll. 209.

(h) B. A. 1869, ss. 17, 25; B. A.

1883, ss. 64, 66; *Hanson v. Preston*, 3Y. & C. Ex. 229; *Cash v. Belcher*, 1 Ha.310; *Peake v. Gibbon*, 2 R. & My. 354;*Hill v. Edmonds*, 5 De G. & S. 603.(i) *Jones v. Binns*, 33 Beav. 362;*Metropolitan Bank v. Offord*, L. R. 10

Eq. 393.

(k) See *sup.* p. 160.(l) *Steele v. Maunders*, 1 Coll. 535.(m) *Lloyd v. Lander*, 5 Madd. 282;*Pannell v. Hurley*, 2 Coll. 241; *Kerrick**v. Saffery*, 7 Sim. 318.(n) *Collins v. Shirley*, 1 R. & My.638. *Singleton v. Cox*, 4 Ha. 326, isnot law. See *Dobres v. Nicholson*,W. N. (1870), p. 151; *Motion v.**Moojen*, L. R. 14 Eq. 202.(o) *Pannell v. Hurley*, *sup.*(p) See *Re Mercer and Moore*, 14Ch. D. 288. See also *Rochfort v. Bat-**tersby*, 2 H. L. C. 388, 408; *Re Lead-**bitter*, 10 Ch. D. 388, C. A.(q) *Mackworth v. Marshall*, 3 Sim.368; *King v. Martin*, 2 Ves. Jun. 641.But see *Whitworth v. Davis*, 1 V. & B.645; *Lloyd v. Lander*, 5 Madd. 282.(r) *Wyck v. Meal*, 3 P. Wms. 311, n.

CHAP. XLIX.

nor for discovery and costs (s), an old decision to the contrary is not to be relied on (t).

But where the wife's property is in question, the husband, though bankrupt, must be a party (u), unless the property is settled to her separate use, or is her separate property under the Married Women's Property Act, 1882 (x).

Bankruptcy
of mortgagor
pendente lite.

Where a mortgagor becomes bankrupt pending the suit, the trustee in bankruptcy is not bound by a decree for foreclosure in his absence (y) : but in a similar case, where the trustee disclaimed, and neither mortgagor nor his trustee appeared at the hearing, the mortgagee was held entitled to a judgment for foreclosure absolute against the trustee, and to the ordinary judgment for foreclosure against the mortgagor (z).

Subsequent
incum-
brancers.

A mortgagee who brings an action of foreclosure or sale, whether he is first (a) or any subsequent (b) incumbrancer, and whether of a legal (a) or equitable (c) estate, must make every incumbrancer subsequent to himself a party to his suit, inasmuch as subsequent incumbrancers are entitled to redeem (d) and to have their interests protected upon the taking of the accounts (e).

So persons interested under a settlement of a puisne mortgage must be made parties (f).

With respect to subsequent incumbrances, of which the plaintiff mortgagee receives notice after the commencement of the action, the foreclosure decree will bind the holder of such incumbrance if added as a party, but not otherwise (g). Where the plaintiff had no notice of a subsequent incumbrance at the date of the decree, it would seem that the subsequent incumbrancer, though not a party to the action, will be bound by the accounts as taken in the action (h), but without prejudice to his right to redeem the prior mortgage after decree on payment of the prior mortgage debt and costs (i). It has been said that

(s) *Ibid.* ; *Weise v. Wardle*, L. R. 19 Eq. 171.

(t) *Sharpe v. Gamon*, 2 Vern. 32.

(u) *Smith v. Etches*, 12 W. R. 368.

(x) 45 & 46 Vict. c. 75, s. 1.

(y) *Wood v. Surr*, 19 Beav. 551.

(z) *English v. Barlow*, 48 L. T. 188.

(a) *Adams v. Paynter*, 1 Coll. 530.

(b) *Johnson v. Holdsworth*, 1 Sim. N. S. 109.

(c) *Tylee v. Webb*, 6 Beav. 552.

(d) See *ante*, p. 694.

(e) See *Graves v. Wright*, cit. 1 Dr. & War. 193.

(f) *Goldsmid v. Stonehewer*, 17 Jur. 199.

(g) *Draper v. Jennings*, 2 Vern. 518 ; *Sherman v. Cox*, 3 Rep. in Ch. 84. See 5 Bac. Abr. 102, tit. *Mortgages* [E. 7].

(h) See *Grenvold v. Marsham*, 2 Ch. Ca. 170. But see, *contra*, *Morret v. Westerne*, 2 Vern. 663.

(i) *Lomax v. Hide*, 2 Vern. 185 ; *Godfrey v. Chadwell*, 2 Vern. 601 ; *Morret v. Westerne*, 2 Vern. 663.

subsequent incumbrancers, of whose charges the plaintiff had no notice, will not be allowed to reopen the accounts upon a general charge of fraud or collusion, if such charge is denied; and that even if fraud or collusion be specifically alleged and proved, they can only unravel the accounts by proving particular errors (*k*). But subsequent incumbrancers, fraudulently introduced by the mortgagor to shield himself from foreclosure, need not be made parties (*l*).

Where a decree for foreclosure had been obtained but not drawn up, and it was discovered that there were puisne mortgagees, leave was given, under R. S. C., Ord. XVI. r. 11, to amend the writ and statement of claim by making the puisne mortgagees defendants (*m*).

Where an equity of redemption has been purchased by a joint stock company, which subsequently issues debentures charged on the property subject to the mortgage, all the debenture holders have an interest in the equity of redemption, and must be made parties to a foreclosure action, and not merely some as representing the whole class under Ord. XVI. r. 9 (*n*).

If the equity of redemption becomes vested in the Crown by forfeiture, the Attorney-General should be made a party to the foreclosure action (*o*).

The rule is general that all judgment creditors who have perfected their judgments and obtained a charge on the land are necessary parties (*p*).

Judgment creditors who have not issued legal or equitable execution or registered under 27 & 28 Vict. c. 112, are not necessary parties (*q*), although they might acquire a charge before the time fixed by the decree (*r*).

Judgment creditors not registered in a registry county are not necessary parties to an action for foreclosure of the equity of redemption in lands situate in such county (*s*).

(*k*) *Needler v. Dooble*, 1 Ch. Ca. 299; *Cockes v. Sherman*, Freem. Ch. 14.

(*l*) *Yates v. Hambly*, 2 Atk. 237. See *Smith v. Chichester*, 2 Dr. & War. 393, 404.

(*m*) *Keith v. Butcher*, 25 Ch. D. 750. See *Att.-Gen. v. Corporation of Birmingham*, 15 Ch. D. 423.

(*n*) *Griffith v. Pound*, 45 Ch. D. 553.

(*o*) *Lutwyche v. Att.-Gen.*, cit. 2 Atk. 223; *Pawlett v. Att.-Gen.*, Hard. 465.

(*p*) *Rolleston v. Morton*, 1 Dr. & War. 171; *Joyce v. Joyce*, 10 Ir. Eq. R. 128, 130; *Adams v. Paynter*, 1 Coll. 530; *Harrison v. Pennell*, 4 Jur. N. S. 682.

(*q*) *Earl of Cork v. Russell*, L. R. 13 Eq. 210.

(*r*) *Ibid.* See *Mildred v. Austin*, L. R. 8 Eq. 220. See also *Craddock v. Piper*, 14 Sim. 310.

(*s*) *Johnson v. Holdsworth*, 1 Sim. N. S. 106.

CHAP. XLIX.

Prior mortgagee in action by puisne mortgagee.

A puisne mortgagee may bring an action for foreclosure (*t*) or for sale (*u*) against all subsequent incumbrancers as well as the mortgagor, without making the prior mortgagee a party; but he must offer to redeem the prior incumbrancer (*x*); if, however, he fail to do so, the objection must be raised by the pleadings, and must not be left till the hearing (*y*).

Where there are successive incumbrancers, the puisne incumbrancers must redeem those prior to them or be foreclosed (*z*).

It seems, however, that subsequent mortgagees suing for an account and declaration of priority in their favour have a right to the presence of a prior mortgagee if the rights of the parties cannot be settled in his absence (*a*).

Assignees
pendente lite.

Under the general rule, "*pendente lite nihil innovetur*," the assignee, pending an action for foreclosure, cannot have greater rights than his assignor (*b*).

The decree will accordingly be binding on all creditors, by mortgage or judgment (*c*), and on assignees of the equity of redemption (*d*), subsequent to the action (*e*); and such persons need not generally be made parties unless for the purpose of getting hold of the legal estate (*f*). The rule applies equally to the assignees of plaintiffs and defendants (*g*).

The like will be the case, although the suit afterwards abate, and an action of revivor be brought to which these incumbrancers, &c., are not made parties (*h*). The same would probably be the consequence if the mortgage or purchase of the equity of redemption were made during an actual abatement of the suit (*i*).

An assignee of an incumbrancer, party to the suit, after a

(*t*) *Ross v. Page*, 2 Sim. 472; *Richards v. Cooper*, 5 Beav. 304; *Slade v. Rigg*, 3 Ha. 78.

(*u*) *Delabere v. Norwood*, 3 Swanst. 144, n.; *Parker v. Fuller*, 1 R. & My. 656.

(*x*) *Inman v. Waring*, 3 De G. & S. 729.

(*y*) *Balfe v. Lord*, 2 Dr. & War. 480.

(*z*) See *Whitbread v. Lyall*, 8 De G. M. & G. 383; *Moore v. Morton*, W. N. (1886) 196; *Webster v. Patteson*, 25 Ch. D. 626.

(*a*) *Feltham v. Clark*, 1 De G. & S. 307.

(*b*) Co. Lit. 102 b.; *Metcalf v. Pulvertoft*, 2 V. & B. 200; *Trye v. Earl of Aldborough*, 1 Ir. Ch. R. 666. As to this rule, see *ante*, p. 631; as to its

application in actions for redemption, see *ante*, p. 724.

(*c*) *Bishop of Winchester v. Beavor*, 3 Ves. 315; *Bishop of Winchester v. Paine*, 11 Ves. 198.

(*d*) *Garth v. Ward*, 2 Atk. 175. And see 11 Ves. 199.

(*e*) *Bishop of Winchester v. Paine*, *sup.*; which case overrules *Crisp v. Heath*, 7 Vin. Abr. 52.

(*f*) 1 Dan. Ch. Pr. 256, 257; *Daly v. Kelly*, 4 Dow. 437.

(*g*) *Eades v. Harris*, 1 Y. & C. C. C. 234.

(*h*) *Bishop of Winchester v. Paine*, *sup.*; *Drew v. Earl of Norbury*, 3 J. & L. 267. See Sug. V. & P. 758, ed. 14.

(*i*) *Style v. Martin*, 1 Ch. Ca. 150. But see 11 Ves. 200, 201.

decree, cannot bring an action to redeem and foreclose against the other parties to the suit, though as against the assignor the action will not be dismissed, and the assignee will be decreed to stand in his place in the former suit (*k*).

An assignment *pendente lite* not disclosed does not amount to fraud (*l*); and the assignee may be made a party after decree (*m*).

Assignees *pendente lite* can be brought before the Court by order under the Rules of the Supreme Court (*n*).

SECTION II.

JURISDICTION IN ACTIONS FOR FORECLOSURE.

I.—General Jurisdiction.—By the Judicature Act, 1873 (*o*), actions for foreclosure are assigned to the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice.

Assignment of foreclosure actions to Chancery Division.

By the County Courts Act, 1888 (*p*), the County Courts have jurisdiction in all actions for foreclosure where the mortgage or charge does not exceed 500*l.* in amount.

Jurisdiction of County Courts in foreclosure actions.

But the Act does not in any way prohibit or restrict a plaintiff, whose charge is for less than that amount, from suing in the High Court; and, if he do so, he will generally be entitled to the usual costs of a mortgagee suing in that Court (*q*); but where the plaintiff and defendant in a foreclosure action both lived at the same place, the plaintiff was allowed only such costs as he would have obtained in the County Court (*r*).

Concurrent jurisdiction of Chancery Division.

Subject-matter less than 10*l.*

The High Court will not, however, entertain an action or other proceeding to enforce a charge not exceeding 10*l.* in amount (*s*).

In what County Court action may be brought.

An action for foreclosure brought in a County Court must be commenced, where both the mortgagee and mortgagor dwell or carry on business within one or more of the metropolitan districts, either in the district in which the mortgagee dwells or carries on business, or in that in which the mortgagor dwells

(*k*) *Booth v. Creswicke*, 8 Sim. 352.

(*l*) *Patch v. Ward*, L. R. 3 Ch. A. 203.

(*m*) *Campbell v. Holyland*, 7 Ch. D. 166. See also *Higgins v. Shaw*, 2 Dr. & War. 362; *London v. Morris*, 6 Sim. 247, 269; *Massy v. Batwell*, 4 Dr. & War. 68, 80; *MacLeod v. Annesley*, 16 Beav. 607; *Wood v. Surr*, 19 Beav. 551. Some doubt was formerly entertained as to this rule. See *Johnson v.*

Thomas, 11 Beav. 501; *Solomon v. Solomon*, 13 Sim. 517.

(*n*) R. S. C. Ord. XVII. r. 4.

(*o*) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66, s. 34 (3).

(*p*) 51 & 52 Vict. c. 43, s. 67.

(*q*) *Brown v. Rye*, L. R. 17 Eq. 343.

(*r*) *Symons v. MacAdam*, L. R. 6 Eq. 324; *Crozier v. Dowsett*, 31 Ch. D. 67.

(*s*) *Westbury v. Meredith*, 30 Ch. D. 387, C. A.

CHAP. XLIX.

or carries on business (s). Elsewhere in England and Wales, the action must be commenced in the Court within the district of which the lands, tenements, or hereditaments, or any part thereof, are situate (t).

Jurisdiction
not affected
by bankruptcy
of mortgagor.

The jurisdiction of the Chancery Division is not affected by the mere fact that the mortgagor has become bankrupt. The rule is that where the trustee claims only the same right, the Court of Bankruptcy is not the proper Court to dispose of an action for foreclosure; but where the trustee, by the operation of the law of bankruptcy, has a higher and better title than the bankrupt himself, the action will be transferred into the Queen's Bench Division to be tried in bankruptcy (u).

So, also, the bankruptcy of the mortgagor does not affect the jurisdiction of the County Court to order foreclosure (x).

Sale instead
of foreclosure
in Ireland
and in the
colonies.

ii.—Jurisdiction to order Sale instead of Foreclosure.—In Ireland, it has long been the practice, instead of a foreclosure, to pray that the estate may be sold, and the moneys applied in satisfaction of the incumbrances, and the surplus paid to the mortgagor (y). If there is a deficiency, the mortgagee has his remedy for the difference. And this practice has been adopted in several British colonies.

Where, therefore, the mortgaged estate is situate in Ireland or the colonies, and a suit is instituted in the English Courts by the mortgagee, the proper course is to pray a sale (z).

When a mortgagee of an estate in the colonies has obtained a decree for a sale in the English Courts, whether right or wrong, he must do whatever is requisite on his part to prevent the colonial Court proceeding to sale (a).

Inherent
jurisdiction
to order sale
in certain
cases.

Before the passing of the Chancery Amendment Act (b), a mortgagee could not in general have obtained a sale of the mortgaged estate. There were, however, several cases in which he could, even in England, have done so (c); as if the mortgage were of a dry reversion (d), or of an advowson (e). The mort-

(s) 51 & 52 Vict. c. 43, s. 84. See *Reg. v. Bloomsbury County Court*, 24 Q. B. D. 309.

(t) 51 & 52 Vict. c. 43, s. 75.

(u) *Re Champagné, Exp. Kemp*, W. N. (1893) 153.

(x) *Madhurst v. Golder*, 16 L. T. N. S. 50.

(y) *Perry v. Barker*, 13 Ves. 205; *Hutton v. Mayne*, 3 J. & L. 586; *McDonough v. Shewbridge*, 2 Ba. & Be.

555; *Drew v. O'Hara*, 2 Ba. & Be. 562, n.; *Wilson v. Dunsany*, 18 Beav. 293.

(z) 2 Spence, Eq. Jur. 678; *Beckford v. Kemble*, 1 S. & St. 15. See *Gordon v. Horsfall*, 5 Moo. P. C. 393.

(a) *Willink v. Bontinck*, 1 C. P. Coop. t. Cottenham, 288.

(b) 15 & 16 Vict. c. 86, s. 48.

(c) 2 Spence, Eq. Jur. 676.

(d) *Hov v. Vigures*, 1 Rep. in Ch. 18.

(e) *Mackenzie v. Robinson*, 3 Atk. 559.

gagee, both of an advowson (*f*) and of a reversion (*g*), was entitled to foreclose or sell. CHAP. XLIX.

So a sale might be had of stock (*h*), or of chattels (*i*), or of a policy of insurance (*k*), unless there was a trust to apply the policy-moneys when received, in which case the mortgagee must wait till the death of the mortgagor (*k*). And a sale was the proper remedy where the mortgagor was himself one of the executors of the mortgagee (*l*). Sale of stock, chattels, &c.

Before Locke King's Act (*m*), if a person was both devisee of the mortgaged estate and personal representative of a deceased mortgagor, and admitted in his answer that the personal estate was deficient for payment of debts, a sale might have been obtained in the first instance, without a reference for an account of the personal estate (*n*). Sale in cases not within Locke King's Act.

Arrears of rent-charges and annuities charged on land may, in a proper case, be recovered by sale of the land charged (*o*); although there are powers of distress and entry (*p*); certainly where there is nothing to distrain upon, and no other means of satisfying the rent-charge or annuity (*p*); otherwise, it would seem, where there is sufficient to distrain upon (*q*). Recovery of arrears of annuity by sale.

Where the property charged was subject to a settlement, and no person seised in fee simple in possession, a sale was refused, as the arrears might be satisfied out of the future rents (*r*).

The Chancery Amendment Act, s. 48, which enabled the Court to sell in a foreclosure suit, is repealed by sect. 25 of the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (*s*), which, in effect, enacts that in any action for foreclosure the Court, on the request of the mortgagee, or of any person interested, and notwithstanding the dissent or non-appearance of any other person, and without allowing time for redemption, may direct a sale on such terms as it thinks fit, including the deposit in Statutory jurisdiction to order sale in lieu of foreclosure.

(*f*) *Gardiner v. Griffith*, 2 P. Wms. 403; *Long v. Storie*, 3 De G. & S. 308.

(*g*) *Slade v. Rigg*, 3 Ha. 35; *Wayne v. Hanham*, 9 Ha. 62.

(*h*) *Tucker v. Wilson*, 1 P. Wms. 261; *Lockwood v. Ever*, 2 Atk. 303.

(*i*) *Kemp v. Westbrook*, 1 Ves. sen. 278; *S. C. Bell's Supp.* 141; *Pigot v. Cusley*, 10 Jur. N.S. 318; see also *Story on Contracts*, s. 431.

(*k*) *Dyson v. Morris*, 1 Ha. 422.

(*l*) *Lucas v. Seale*, 2 Atk. 56; *Tenant v. Trenchard*, L. R. 4 Ch. A. 537.

(*m*) See *ante*, pp. 753 *et seq.*

(*n*) See *Daniel v. Skipwith*, 2 Bro. C. C. 155.

(*o*) *Cupit v. Jackson*, 13 Pri. 721; *Horton v. Hall*, L. R. 17 Eq. 437;

Scottish Widows' Fund v. Craig, 20 Ch. D. 208; *Northern Assurance Co. v. Harrison*, W. N. (1889) 74.

(*p*) *White v. James*, 26 Beav. 191; *Hall v. Hurt*, 2 J. & H. 76; *Dawson v. Robins*, 2 C. P. D. 37, 40, 42.

(*q*) See cases cited, *ante*, p. 870, note (*h*).

(*r*) *Graves v. Hicks*, 11 Sim. 536.

(*s*) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41. As to the power of the Court to order sale in redemption actions under this section, see *ante*, p. 725, where sect. 25 is set out in full.

CHAP. XLIX.

Court of a sum to meet the expenses of sale and to secure performance of the terms.

Jurisdiction not affected by power of sale.

The fact that the mortgagee might have sold the property in exercise of a power of sale contained in the mortgage deed will not prevent the mortgagee from applying for, or the Court from making an order for, sale (*t*).

Equitable mortgagee may obtain order for sale.

An equitable mortgagee by deposit of title deeds, with or without any memorandum of charge or agreement to execute a legal mortgage (*u*), or the holder of any other equitable mortgage or charge (*v*), may obtain an order for sale under this jurisdiction (*x*).

SECTION III.

PROCEDURE AND PRACTICE IN FORECLOSURE ACTIONS.

Form of indorsement of writ.

i.—How an Action for Foreclosure must be commenced.—The Rules of the Supreme Court prescribe a form of indorsement of writs in foreclosure actions, claiming an account of what is due for principal, interest, and costs on the mortgage, and that the mortgage may be enforced by foreclosure or sale (*y*).

Claim for delivery of possession.

Where delivery of possession is sought as well as foreclosure the writ should contain a claim for possession. But in several cases orders for delivery of possession have been made in foreclosure actions, though the writ or summons (*z*) did not ask for possession (*a*).

Sale.

An action for foreclosure is not now deemed to be an action for recovery of land so as to preclude the plaintiff from including a claim for delivery of possession in his writ (*b*).

It is, as a general rule, well to claim, in the alternative, a foreclosure or a sale (*c*).

Injunction against parting with legal estate.

An equitable mortgagee by deposit of deeds may claim by his writ on an injunction to restrain the defendant from parting

(*t*) *Hutton v. Sealy*, 4 Jur. N. S. 450.

(*u*) *Oldham v. Stringer*, W. N. (1884) 235; *York Union Banking Co. v. Artley*, 11 Ch. D. 205. See *Lister v. Turner*, 5 Ha. 281; *Woof v. Barron*, W. N. (1873) 71.

(*v*) *Grissell v. Money*, 38 L. J. Ch. 312.

(*x*) *Cripps v. Wood*, 51 L. J. Ch. 584.

(*y*) R. S. C. App. A. s. 1 (4).

(*z*) See *inf.* p. 1020.

(*a*) *Salt v. Edgar*, W. N. (1886) 47; *Craven Bank v. Hartley*, W. N. (1886) 189; *Best v. Applegate*, 37 Ch. D. 43; *Keith v. Day*, 39 Ch. D. 452; *Lacon v. Tyrell*, W. N. (1887) 71. See *Manchester Bank v. Parkinson*, W. N. (1889) 27; *Jenkins v. Ridgway*, 68 L. T. 671.

(*b*) R. S. C. Ord. XVIII. r. 2, set out *ante*, pp. 727, 728.

(*c*) *Jenkin v. Row*, 5 De G. & S. 110; *Kerrick v. Saffery*, 7 Sim. 317.

with or disposing of the legal estate in the property; and on showing sufficient grounds for apprehension that the security is in peril, the Court may grant an interim injunction till motion day *ex parte* (d). CHAP. XLIX.

A decree for foreclosure is not equivalent to a judgment for payment (e), but the plaintiff may claim that the mortgagor may be ordered personally to pay the amount found due and costs, and in default be foreclosed (f). Personal payment.

A mortgagee claiming by his writ in a foreclosure action personal payment by the mortgagor of what is due on the covenant is entitled, if the amount of debt and interest is proved, admitted, or agreed to, to judgment for immediate payment of the whole amount (g); or, otherwise, to an account of what is due to him for principal and interest and to judgment for payment of the amount found due immediately after such amount is certified, unless, in either case, the judge in his discretion gives time for payment (h). When a mortgagee is entitled to immediate payment.

Immediate payment cannot be claimed where, though the amount due for principal and interest is proved, it does not appear what sums the plaintiff had or might have received as mortgagee in possession (i). Mortgagee in possession.

Where a writ claiming foreclosure and personal payment was also indorsed with a claim for a specific sum alleged to be due under the covenant in the mortgage deed, it was held that, the defendant not having appeared, the plaintiff was entitled to sign judgment for the liquidated demand under Ord. XIII. r. 3, but not to an order for foreclosure *nisi* under Ord. XV. (k). Liquidated demand indorsed.

But a writ indorsed with a claim for the amount due under the covenant, and for foreclosure, is not a writ specially indorsed within the meaning of Ord. III. r. 6, so as to entitle the plaintiff to summary judgment under Ord. XIV. r. 1 (l). Writ specially indorsed.

Where mortgagees commenced an action for foreclosure, claiming in the writ a personal order for payment, it was held that they could not, while that action was pending, obtain an order for immediate judgment under Ord. XIV. in a second Subsequent action for liquidated sum.

(d) *London and County Bank v. Lewis*, 21 Ch. D. 490, C. A. See *Spiller v. Spiller*, 3 Swanst. 556.

(e) *Wilson v. Lady Dunsany*, 18 Beav. 293.

(f) *Dymond v. Croft*, 3 Ch. D. 512.

(g) *Instone v. Elmslie*, 54 L. T. 730.

(h) *Farrer v. Lacy, Hartland & Co.*, 31 Ch. D. 42, C. A. See *Greenough v.*

Little, 15 Ch. D. 93; *Faithfull v. Woodley*, 43 Ch. D. 287.

(i) *Brooking v. Skewis*, W. N. (1887) 250.

(k) *Bisett v. Jones*, 32 Ch. D. 635.

(l) *Imbert Terry v. Garver*, 34 Ch. D. 506. See *Hill v. Sidebottom*, 47 L. T. N. S. 224.

CHAP. XLIX.

action commenced by them against the mortgagor, indorsing their writ for a definite sum, being the amount of arrears of interest due on the mortgage (*m*).

Claim for liquidated sum after appointment of receiver.

The mere fact that a receiver has been appointed will not of itself prevent the writ being specially indorsed for the amount of principal and interest due under the covenant in a mortgage deed so as to enable the plaintiff to obtain an order under Ord. XIV. ; but such an order will not be made if there is any question as to the amount which has come into the hands of the receiver (*n*).

Extent of relief limited to claim in writ.

Where the writ claimed an account, foreclosure, and the appointment of a receiver, and the mortgagor not having appeared to the writ, the mortgagee delivered a statement of claim asking, in addition to the relief claimed by the writ, personal payment by the mortgagor pursuant to his covenant contained in the mortgage ; it was held that the provisions of Ord. XX. r. 4, that a plaintiff may, by his statement of claim, extend his claim without amending the writ, did not apply so as to enable him to obtain judgment in default of appearance for more than he had claimed by the writ (*o*).

What costs will be allowed.

Where personal payment is claimed in an action for foreclosure, the costs will be limited to such costs only as would have been incurred if action had been brought for personal payment of the debt only (*p*).

Originating summons for foreclosure.

By Ord. LV. r. 5A of the Rules of the Supreme Court, any mortgagee, whether legal or equitable, or any person having the right to foreclose any mortgage, whether legal or equitable, may take out, as of course, an originating summons, returnable in the chambers of a judge of the Chancery Division, for sale, foreclosure, and delivery of possession by the mortgagor.

Proceedings by a mortgagee for sale, foreclosure, and delivery of possession should generally be so commenced, under pain of the costs of an action being disallowed (*q*).

An order for foreclosure or sale can be made, at the instance of debenture holders of a joint stock company, on originating summons (*r*).

(*m*) *Earl Poulett v. Viscount Hill*, (1893) 1 Ch. 277, C. A.

(*n*) *Lynde v. Waithman*, (1895) 2 Q. B. 180, C. A. See *Earl Poulett v. Viscount Hill*, *sup.*

(*o*) *Gee v. Bell*, 35 Ch. D. 160. See *Kingdon v. Kirk*, 37 Ch. D. 141.

(*p*) *Farrer v. Lacy, Hartland & Co.*, 31 Ch. D. 42, C. A. See *Dymond v.*

Croft, 3 Ch. D. 512 ; *Bissett v. Jones*, 35 Ch. D. 635. For form of order, see *Seton*, 5th ed. 1575.

(*q*) *O'Kelly v. Culverhouse*, W. N. (1887) 36.

(*r*) *Oldrey v. Union Works*, W. N. (1895) 77 ; see also *Sadler v. Worley*, (1894) 2 Ch. 170.

The originating summons may also ask for the appointment of a receiver (s); or a receiver may be appointed on motion in a foreclosure action commenced by originating summons (t). CHAP. XLIX.
Receiver.

But the Court has apparently no jurisdiction to decide on originating summons a question of priorities between several incumbrancers (u). Determina-
tion of
priorities.

If it is desired to claim personal payment by the mortgagor, it would seem that the action must still be commenced by writ of summons (v). Claim for
personal
payment.

Service of an originating summons out of the jurisdiction cannot be ordered (w); if, therefore, a necessary defendant is out of the jurisdiction, the action for foreclosure must be commenced by writ. Service out of
jurisdiction.

ii.—Pleadings in Action for Foreclosure or Sale.—Where an order for personal payment against the mortgagor is claimed, the statement of claim must set out the mortgagor's covenant for payment of the mortgage debt; where such statement was omitted, the usual decree for foreclosure was made, but without any order for personal payment against the mortgagor (x). And conversely, a mortgagee cannot, by claiming personal payment in his statement of claim, enlarge the scope of a writ not endorsed with such a claim (y). Statement of
claim for
personal
payment.

If a mortgagee, who is in possession, suppresses the fact in his pleadings, and it turns out, on the account, that nothing was due to him, he will be ordered to pay the costs of the defendant (z). Possession of
mortgagee
must be
stated.

The defendant mortgagor must in his defence set out all facts and state all documents of title on which he relies (a). Statement of
material facts.

The mortgagor must plead, or bring to the attention of the Court, before the usual order for foreclosure is made, any special circumstance or fact affecting the amount due from him to the mortgagee; otherwise such circumstance or fact cannot afterwards be raised on taking the accounts (b).

(s) *Barr v. Harding*, 36 W. R. 216; *Johnson v. Evans*, 60 L. T. 69; *Oldrey v. Union Works*, *sup.*

(t) *Weston v. Levy*, W. N. (1887) 76. See *Gee v. Bell*, 35 Ch. D. 160; *Robson v. Homer*, W. N. (1893) 100.

(u) *Re Giles, Real and Personal Advances Co. v. Mitchell*, 43 Ch. D. 491, C. A.

(v) *Brooking v. Skewis*, W. N. (1887) 250. But see *Barr v. Harding*, W. N. (1887) 251.

(w) *Busfield, Re, Whaley v. Busfield*,

32 Ch. D. 123; *Re Bullen Smith, Berners v. Bullen Smith*, 57 L. T. 924.

(x) *Wethered v. Cox*, W. N. (1888) 165. See *Law v. Philby*, 56 L. T. 230; *Faithfull v. Woodley*, 43 Ch. D. 287.

(y) *Law v. Philby* (No. 2), 56 L. T. 522.

(z) *Bennington v. Harwood*, T. & R. 477.

(a) *Sutcliffe v. James*, 27 W. R. 750.

(b) *Sanguinetti v. Stuckey's Banking Co.*, (1896) 1 Ch. 502. See as to accounts, *post*, Chap. LIV. pp. 1137 *et seq.*

CHAP. XLIX.

Particulars
of accounts.

the equity of redemption, and leave the mortgagee to pursue his legal means to establish his rights (c).

As a general rule, where, in an action, an account is asked for, it is not the usual practice to give particulars, as to do so would be virtually to anticipate the account which must be taken (d).

But, in a foreclosure action, the mere fact that the plaintiff asks for an account is not a sufficient reason to refuse to give particulars. So where in a redemption action the mortgagee alleged that the mortgage comprised, among other things, a sum secured by bills of exchange, and a sum due on open account, and that he had received divers sums in respect thereof, and he counterclaimed for an account and foreclosure or sale, it was held that he must furnish particulars of the sums so received (e).

SECTION IV.

DECREE NISI FOR FORECLOSURE.

Decree *nisi*
always made
in first
instance.

i.—Form of Decree.—An immediate order for foreclosure absolute is not granted, even though such an order is claimed in the pleadings in the event, which happens, that the defendant makes default in appearance (f). For equity, regarding the mortgage as a security only, will always give to the mortgagor time to procure the money before foreclosing him, and will only in the first instance make a judgment *nisi* (g).

Common form
of decree *nisi*.

The ordinary form of judgment in a foreclosure action, in its simplest form, directs an account to be taken of what is due to the plaintiff under and by virtue of the mortgage, and for the costs of the action, such costs to be taxed, &c.; and that, upon the defendant paying to the plaintiff what shall be certified to be due to him within six months, the plaintiff shall reconvey the mortgaged property free from incumbrances, by him or persons claiming under him, and deliver up all deeds;

(c) *Anon.*, 2 Ch. Ca. 244. See 15 Vin. Abr. tit. *Mortgage*, 476, pl. 6.

(d) *Augustinus v. Nerinckx*, 16 Ch. D. 13, C. A.; *Blackie v. Osmaston*, 28 Ch. D. 119, C. A.

(e) *Kemp v. Goldberg*, 36 Ch. D. 505.

(f) *Patey v. Flint*, 48 L. J. Ch. 696. See *Brierley v. Ward*, 15 Jur. 277; see also Seton, 1656.

(g) See *Edwards v. Cunliffe*, 1 Madd. 287.

but that in default of payment within the time fixed the defendant is from thenceforth to stand absolutely debarred and foreclosed of and from all equity of redemption of, in, and to the mortgaged property, with liberty to apply (*h*).

Where the mortgage is by deposit of title deeds, the decree will declare that the plaintiff is, by virtue of the deposit, entitled to be considered a mortgagee of the hereditaments therein comprised, and will order accounts to be taken, and costs to be taxed, the principal, interest and costs found due to be a charge on the premises, and in default that the defendant shall convey the property to the plaintiff free from all equity of redemption (*i*). In the case of copyholds, the plaintiff will be declared to be entitled to an absolute surrender (*k*).

Declaration of charge in favour of mortgagee by deposit.

Where an action by a debenture holder is brought on as a short cause, a declaration of charge will properly be inserted in the judgment, provided the Court is satisfied that the official receiver or liquidator does not object to the validity of the debentures (*l*).

Declaration of charge in debenture holder's action.

Where, on the bankruptcy of the mortgagor, the mortgagee has assessed the value of the security, the decree for foreclosure ought to show on the face of it that the trustee in bankruptcy is entitled to redeem at a less sum than subsequent incumbrancers (*m*).

Assessment of security.

Whether the suit be by mortgagor or mortgagee, the price of redemption is the same (*n*). Each party, according as he may be plaintiff or defendant, may be subject to particular equities arising out of those characters, but no distinction is made as to the course and order of redemption between a suit in which the owner is seeking to clear his estate from incumbrances, and that in which the first (*o*) or a subsequent (*p*) mortgagee is seeking to get possession of the estate in satisfaction of his debt (*q*).

Price of redemption the same in actions for foreclosure as in actions for redemption.

(*h*) See Seton on Decrees, 5th ed. p. 1575. For form of decree where the debt is to be paid by instalments, see *Greenough v. Littler*, 15 Ch. D. 93; and where a personal judgment is taken on the mortgagor's covenant for payment of principal and interest, see *Lee v. Dunsford*, 54 L. J. Ch. 108; *Hunter v. Myatt*, 28 Ch. D. 181. For form of decree for foreclosure by consent without account, see *Boydell v. Manby*, 9 Ha. App. liii.

(*i*) See the form of decree in *Newton v. Aldous*, Seton, p. 1695; *Lees v. Fisher*, 22 Ch. D. 283, C. A.

(*k*) *Price v. Bury*, 2 Drew. 41; L. R. 16 Eq. 153, n.

(*l*) *Marwick v. Thurlow*, (1895) 1 Ch. 776; *Parkinson v. Wainwright*, W. N. (1895) 63.

(*m*) *Knowles v. Dibbs*, W. N. (1889) 53.

(*n*) *Du Vigier v. Lee*, 2 Ha. 326; *Watts v. Symes*, 1 De G. M. & G. 240.

(*o*) *Barnes v. Fox*, Seton, 5th ed. p. 1642.

(*p*) *Jackson v. Brettall*, Seton, 5th ed. p. 1644.

(*q*) See Fisher on Mortgages, 4th ed. p. 941.

CHAP. XLIX.

The form of the decree in foreclosure suits for the redemption of the different incumbrancers is therefore the same as in redemption suits, with the exception that, on failure to redeem, the order in the former suit is for foreclosure, and in the latter for dismissal, which operates as foreclosure (*r*).

Order on
default of
appearance.

ii.—Order for taking the Accounts.—Where the defendant did not appear at the trial, an affidavit of service of notice of trial was dispensed with, and the ordinary judgment *nisi* was made for accounts and foreclosure (*s*).

Application
on summons
for account.

By Ord. XV. rr. 1, 2, where the indorsement on a writ of summons involves taking an account, and the defendant fails to appear, or to satisfy the Court that there is some preliminary question to be tried, an order for an account, with all necessary inquiries, may be made on summons. Several orders were made in chambers under these Rules amounting in effect to orders *nisi* for foreclosure (*t*); but in consequence of an opinion expressed by Sir H. Cotton, L. J. (*u*), the practice appears to have been discontinued (*x*).

Right of
defendant to
insist on
plaintiff
bringing in
the accounts.

Where a mortgagee has obtained an order *nisi* for foreclosure, the defendant mortgagor is entitled to insist on the accounts being carried in, in order that the accounts directed by the decree may be taken, even though it is alleged that the estate is worth less than the amount due on the mortgage (*y*). But in a case where it clearly appeared that the value of the mortgaged property would be quite insufficient to meet the plaintiff's claim, and that the costs of taking the accounts would lead to useless expenses, the defendants having refused to give security for the costs of taking them, the prosecution of the accounts and inquiries directed by the foreclosure order were stayed (*z*).

Chief clerk's
certificate.

After the account has been taken pursuant to the direction in the judgment, the chief clerk makes his certificate of what is due to the plaintiff on his mortgage for principal, interest, and costs up to the day appointed for payment; the certificate is then submitted to the judge for confirmation, and, on default being made in payment, the mortgagee may obtain an absolute

(*r*) See *ante*, p. 736.

(*s*) *Chorlton v. Dickie*, 13 Ch. D. 160;
Baird v. East Riding Club and Race-
course Co., W. N. (1891) 144.

(*t*) *Smith v. Davies*, 28 Ch. D. 650;
Dyott v. Neville, W. N. (1887) 36.

(*u*) *Blake v. Harvey*, 29 Ch. D. 827,

C. A.

(*x*) *Bissett v. Jones*, 32 Ch. D. 635.

(*y*) *Taylor v. Mostyn*, 25 Ch. D. 48,
C. A.

(*z*) *Exchange and Hop Warehouses,*
Ltd. v. Association of Land Financiers,
34 Ch. D. 195.

order for foreclosure (*a*); the order is afterwards signed, and the foreclosure is complete. CHAP. XLIX.

If for any reason the judgment to account, instead of being made in the usual manner, proceed upon the undertaking of the mortgagor to pay what shall be found due, the mortgagee, relying upon this undertaking, cannot avail himself of the right to foreclose if default be made in the payment (*b*). Undertaking by mortgagor to pay amount found due.

If the mortgagor be entitled to set off in matters in respect of which he sues for an account, the Court may give him the benefit of his set off, and may either make one judgment in his suit and in that of the mortgagee, or may give a separate judgment for an account against the mortgagee personally; or upon payment into Court by the mortgagor of the principal and interest, a judgment may be given in both causes, and the foreclosure may be suspended until both accounts have been taken (*c*). Claim by mortgagor to set off.

iii.—Time allowed for Redemption.—To the person entitled to the first right to redeem, it is the practice to give six months from the date of the certificate, which fixes the amount of the debt, and the equitable as well as the legal mortgagor has a right to this time, whether the judgment be for foreclosure or sale (*d*); and although the security be given for a debt which does not carry interest (*e*). General rule.

The tendency of the Court is to prevent foreclosure suits continuing for years, with successive opportunities for redemption given to the parties. Ordinarily, it gives one period in the first instance, and no more (*f*). Only one time usually allowed.

Each of the persons entitled to a subsequent right of redemption may, however, if their respective priorities are proved or admitted, be given successively a period of three months from the date of the further certificate, and in the case of a derivative mortgage, this rule applies to the original mortgagee's right to redeem upon default of redemption by the mortgagor of the original and derivative mortgages (*g*).

But where there are conflicting claims as to priority between

(*a*) *Senhouse v. Earl*, 2 Ves. Sen. 450. *King v. Leach*, 2 Ha. 57; *Lloyd v. Whitley*, 17 Jur. 754.

(*b*) *Dunstan v. Patterson*, 2 Ph. 241. (*e*) *Mellor v. Woods*, 1 Keen, 16.

(*c*) *Dodd v. Lydall*, 1 Ha. 333. See (*f*) *Per North, J.*, in *Smithett v. Hesketh*, 44 Ch. D. at p. 164. See *Biddulph v. Billiter Street Offices Co.*, W. N. (1895) 98.

(*d*) *Parker v. Housefield*, 2 M. & K. 419; *Thorpe v. Garside*, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 730; *Lister v. Turner*, 5 Ha. 281, 293; (*g*) *Smithett v. Hesketh*, 44 Ch. D. 161.

CHAP. XLIX.

several subsequent incumbrancers, the general practice is to grant only one period for redemption (*i*).

Successive redemptions.

Successive periods were granted on the application of a second mortgagee of a reversionary interest, who stated that such interest was valuable and likely to fall in, though the priorities were not admitted or proved (*k*).

In one case, under special circumstances, where the plaintiffs, who were first mortgagees, claimed also as third mortgagees, and there were several subsequent mortgagees, successive periods of redemption were granted on the application of the plaintiffs, with the concurrence of the other incumbrancers, though the priorities were not admitted as proved (*l*).

Mortgagor not entitled to further time for redemption.

As a general rule, successive periods for redemption will be limited only at the request of the puisne incumbrancers, and not at the request of the mortgagor, who has of himself no right to more than the one period of six months for redemption (*m*). In one case, where a foreclosure action was brought on as a short cause on motion for judgment in default of pleading against a second mortgagee, and in default of appearance against the mortgagor, successive periods for redemption were allowed; but this decision appears to be an exception to the usual practice, and is, perhaps, attributable to the special circumstances of the case, which are not reported (*n*).

Prior mortgagee postponing security.

Where a foreclosure action was brought against the mortgagor and a mortgagee who had joined in the plaintiff's mortgage to postpone his own originally prior security, and as surety for the mortgagor, it was held that only one period of six months could be allowed to both defendants (*o*).

Judgment creditors.

Where there are several judgment creditors subsequent to the mortgage, and no intermediate incumbrancers, they will be allowed but one period for redemption; for, otherwise, the

(*i*) *Bartlett v. Rees*, L. R. 12 Eq. 395; *General Credit and Discount Co. v. Glegg*, 22 Ch. D. 549; *Smith v. Olding*, 25 Ch. D. 462; *Platt v. Mendel*, 27 Ch. D. 246; *Lewis v. Aberdare & Plymouth Co.*, W. N. (1884) 116; *Doble v. Manley*, 28 Ch. D. 664; *Mutual Life Assurance Soc. v. Langley*, 32 Ch. D. 460, C. A.; *Tufnell v. Nichols*, W. N. (1887) 52; *Smithett v. Hesketh*, 44 Ch. D. 161.

(*k*) *Bertlin v. Gordon*, W. N. (1886) 31.

(*l*) *Smithett v. Hesketh*, 44 Ch. D. 161.

(*m*) *Platt v. Mendel*, 27 Ch. D. 246, 248. See *Cave v. Foulkes*, 5 L. J. Ch. 206; *Whitworth v. Rhodes*, 20 L. J. Ch. 105; *Radcliff v. Salmon*, 4 De G. & S. 526; *Mutual Life Soc. v. Langley*, 26 Ch. D. 686; *Doble v. Manley*, 28 Ch. D. 664.

(*n*) *Sweet v. Combley*, 25 Ch. D. 463, n.

(*o*) *Smith v. Olding*, 25 Ch. D. 462.

process of successive foreclosures of judgment creditors would be interminable (p). CHAP. XLIX.

In an action for foreclosure by second mortgagees, on evidence that a very small margin would remain for third and fourth mortgagees of the same property after satisfaction of the first and second mortgages, the Court limited one time for all the persons entitled to redeem to do so (q).

Where several puisne incumbrances had been created on the same day, only one period was allowed in respect of them (r). Puisne incumbrances created same day.

So where different persons claimed under the same original puisne mortgagee, some claiming as his sub-mortgagees, and others as entitled under his will to the equity of redemption in the sub-mortgage, only one period was allowed (s). Persons claiming under puisne mortgage.

So, also, where several persons are entitled successively to the equity of redemption under the same instrument, as tenant for life and remainderman under a settlement, only one period is allowed (t). Tenant for life and remainderman.

These rules as to time apply to securities not only upon real estate but upon choses in action and other personalty, but not to the case of a mere pledge of chattels or to the falling in of a policy of insurance which constitutes the security. Rule as to personalty.

Where in an action by a first debenture holder, the plaintiff had obtained an order for sale which proved abortive, an order was made on the application of the plaintiff, with the consent of the other first debenture holders, defendants, staying proceedings for sale, and directing foreclosure in respect of their combined debts, with one period for redemption by the subsequent debenture holders and the company (u).

In matters relating to foreclosure, the computation of time is by calendar and not lunar months (x). Meaning of "month."

Where a judgment in a foreclosure action by a first mortgagee against puisne mortgagees and the mortgagor directs successive redemptions, and foreclosures in default of redemption, and a puisne mortgagee makes default and is foreclosed, the person next entitled to redeem must pay subsequent interest on the whole amount due from the puisne mortgagee in default for principal, interest, and costs (y). Computation of interest subsequent to certificate.

(p) *Bates v. Hilcoat*, 16 Beav. 139; N. S. 689.
Stead v. Banks, 5 De G. & S. 560. (r) *Bevor v. Luck*, L. R. 4 Eq. 537.
 (q) *Cripps v. Wood*, 51 L. J. Ch. (u) *Welch v. National Cycle Co.*, W. N. N. S. 584. (1886) 97.
 (r) *Long v. Storie*, 23 L. J. Ch. 200. (x) *Anon.*, Barn. Ch. R. 324.
 (s) *Loveday v. Chapman*, 32 L. T. (y) *Ellon v. Curteis*, 19 Ch. D. 49.

CHAP. XLIX.

Separate
redemptions
of successive
mortgages.

Where there are separate redemptions, the second mortgagee, as first assignee of the equity of redemption, acquires the rights of the mortgagor, and he has therefore the first right to redeem upon payment of what is due to the first mortgagee, who, upon such payment, is ordered to convey to the second mortgagee; but, in default of payment, the latter is foreclosed (*s*). The order to foreclose the second mortgagee must be made before the subsequent accounts are taken (*a*). The second mortgagee's right to redeem being thus removed out of the way by foreclosure, an account is taken of the first mortgagee's subsequent interest and costs, such subsequent interest being calculated upon the whole sum found due from the second mortgagee, that is, upon the principal, interest, and costs (*b*), and upon payment thereof with the amount originally found due, the third mortgagee may redeem him, and in default, is foreclosed (*c*); and this process is carried on as to all the successive incumbrancers, until the right of the mortgagor or ultimate owner of the equity of redemption alone remains, when he may, in like manner, redeem, and in default will stand dismissed or foreclosed, as the case may be, and in the latter case the estate remains to the first mortgagee free from all incumbrances.

Where there are several incumbrancers and the mortgagor's suit for redemption is dismissed (which is generally equivalent to foreclosure (*d*)), the last incumbrancer becomes *quasi* mortgagor, and the others become first and subsequent incumbrancers according to their priorities (*e*).

But the judgment also provides for the case of the second incumbrancer redeeming the first, and for subsequent account of what is due to the second incumbrancer, and so on, if there be several incumbrancers; and ultimately the last incumbrancer must be redeemed by the mortgagor, or, in default, he will be dismissed or foreclosed as the case may be.

Derivative or
sub-mort-
gagee.

When the mortgagee has incumbered his mortgage, redemption will be decreed on payment into Court by the mortgagor of the mortgage debt; and upon such payment the mortgagor is entitled to a conveyance from the mortgagee and sub-mort-

(*s*) *Whitworth v. Rhodes*, 20 L. J. Ch. 105; *Radcliff v. Salmon*, 4 De G. & S. 526; *Cave v. Foulks*, 5 L. J. Ch. 206.

(*a*) *Whitbread v. Lyall*, 8 De G. M. & G. 383, L. J.J.; *Webster v. Paterson*,

25 Ch. D. 626.

(*b*) *Elton v. Curteis*, 19 Ch. D. 49.

(*c*) *Bingham v. King*, 14 W. R. 414.

(*d*) See *ante*, p. 736.

(*e*) *Cottingham v. Earl of Shrewsbury*, 3 Ha. 637.

gagee, and delivery of the deeds at once, without waiting for the accounts to be taken between them (*f*). In the case of a derivative mortgage or sub-mortgage, the judgment directs (*g*) an account of what is due to the original mortgagee or his assignee, and then of what is due to the derivative or sub-mortgagee; and that upon payment to the latter of the sum due to him, not exceeding the sum found due to the original mortgagee, and on payment of the residue, if any, of what is due to the original mortgagee, both of them shall reconvey to the mortgagor. In case of default and foreclosure or dismissal, as the case may be, after the computation of the subsequent interest and costs due to the derivative or sub-mortgagee, he is ordered to reconvey on payment of that amount by the original mortgagee, and in default of payment the latter is foreclosed.

Where there are several mortgagees, and the first is also part owner of the equity of redemption, the judgment directs (*h*) that upon payment to the first mortgagee of all that is due to him by the second, the former shall convey the whole estate subject to his right to redeem the part in the equity of redemption whereof he is interested; on default of payment the second mortgagee is foreclosed in the usual manner. The owner of the residue of the equity of redemption redeems on payment of all that is due, but receives a conveyance only of that part in which he is interested. But it is suggested, that he ought to have a conveyance of all, subject to the right of the first mortgagee to redeem his share of the equity again upon payment of a proportion, on the principle that the mortgagee must be entirely redeemed or not at all, or that, to avoid such a circuitry, the part owner of the equity ought in the first instance to redeem the mortgagee on payment of a sum proportioned to the redeeming party's share (*i*). In case of redemption by the second or other subsequent mortgagee, he is redeemable in his turn by the first mortgagee being owner of part of the equity and by the owner of the residue of the equity on payment by each of a part of the mortgage debt proportioned to his share, and upon redemption the estate is conveyed to them in the proportions in which they

Mortgagee
part owner of
equity of
redemption.

(*f*) *Lysaght v. Westmacott*, 33 Beav. 417, L. JJ.

(*g*) *Dalton v. Wilson*, Seton, 5th ed. p. 1731. And see *Loot v. Thorpe* (12 Jan. 1876, B. 154), *ibid*.

(*h*) See the decrees in *Sober v. Kemp*, 6 Ha. 155; *Lloyd v. Douglas*, 4 Y. & C. Ex. 448.

(*i*) Fish. Mtg., 4th ed. p. 944.

CHAP. XLIX.

are entitled. If the first mortgagee do not make the payment in respect of his share in the equity, the suit in respect thereof is dismissed, and upon the like default by the other owner of the equity he is foreclosed.

Mortgage of distinct parts of estate to different mortgagees.

Where a puisne mortgagee of estates, distinct portions of which have been previously mortgaged to several persons, seeks redemption and to foreclose the mortgagor, he is entitled to a judgment that he may redeem both or either of the estates. If he redeem both, he may foreclose the mortgagor, unless he also redeem both. If he redeem but one, the mortgagor must redeem that one or be foreclosed; and as to that which the plaintiff does not redeem, his suit will be dismissed (*k*).

Inquiry as to priorities.

Where questions arise as to the priorities of several securities, an inquiry will be directed (*l*).

Enlargement of time for payment.

Although the Court, after default by the mortgagor in payment of the debt, will give the mortgagee relief by foreclosure, and in certain instances by sale, yet the Court, in accordance with its principle of considering the estate a security only, will grant the mortgagor every fair allowance of time to enable him to discharge the debt. The time for payment may be, therefore, renewed, on proper application to the Court, even after the decree is signed and inrolled (*m*); nor will it make a difference that the proceedings are under 7 Geo. II. c. 20, s. 2 (*n*), so that the time may be enlarged in the latter case (*o*).

Grounds for enlargement must be shown.

An order to enlarge the time for payment in a foreclosure suit is not a matter of course, and may be refused where no excuse for the default is stated, or where the security is not shown to be ample (*p*). But in a case where the default in payment by the mortgagor on the day fixed was occasioned by the act of the mortgagee preventing the mortgagor from receiving the rents of the property, the time was enlarged for three months on terms, notwithstanding there was doubt whether the value of the security was ample (*q*).

(*k*) *Pelly v. Wathen*, 7 Ha. 351, 363; app. on another point, 1 De G. M. & G. 16.

(*l*) *Duberly v. Day*, 14 Beav. 9. See *Bartlett v. Rees*, L. R. 12 Eq. 395.

(*m*) *Anon.*, Barn. 221; *Cocker v. Bevis*, 1 Ch. Ca. 61; *Ismoord v. Claypool*, 1 Rep. in Ch. 139; *Edwards v. Cunliffe*, 1 Madd. 287; *Ford v. Wastell*, 2 Ph. 591; *Thornhill v. Man-*

ning, 1 Sim. N. S. 451; *Press v. Coke*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 649.

(*n*) *Ante*, p. 873.

(*o*) *Wakerell v. Delight*, 9 Ves. 36.

(*p*) *Nanny v. Edwards*, 4 Russ. 124; *Eyre v. Hanson*, 2 Beav. 478; *Jones v. Crenwicke*, 9 Sim. 304; *Boothe v. Creswick*, Cr. & Ph. 361.

(*q*) *Geldard v. Hornby*, 1 Ha. 251.

The time may be enlarged on terms pending an appeal (*r*).

CHAP. XLIX.

Where the mortgagee has received the rents between the certificates and the time fixed for payment, the Court will, on motion, refer it back to chambers to continue the account, or, to save delay, will accept evidence of the exact amount which will be due to the plaintiffs; and in either case will fix a new day (*s*), unless under special circumstances (*t*). Where a mortgagee receives rents, after default has been made, on the day fixed by the order for redemption, but before an affidavit of such default is sworn, an order for final foreclosure will be made without any further account (*u*).

Enlargement pending appeal.

Receipt of rents by mortgagee after certificate.

Where, in a foreclosure suit, objections were taken to the certificate, and the time appointed for the payment of the mortgage money was likely to elapse before the objections were heard, it was held that the defendant should have applied to the Court, upon the objections being filed, to have the time enlarged until the objections were disposed of (*x*); the same would apply to the present practice after a summons to vary the certificate.

Where objections are made to the certificate.

The condition on which the order for enlargement of time is usually granted, is payment of the interest and costs reported due, on or before the time appointed for the payment of the whole, carrying on the account for subsequent interest and costs (*y*); but in some cases an additional time will be given for the payment of such interest and costs, as where, at the time of the application for enlargement of the redemption time, the time fixed for payment has nearly arrived (*z*), or the mortgagor has been prevented by the mortgagee from receiving the rents (*a*). Three months' enlargement of time has been granted upon the mortgagor's immediate payment of 5,000*l.*, being half the amount of interest due (*b*).

Conditions on enlargement.

A defendant applying for enlargement of time to redeem will be ordered at once to pay the costs of the application (*c*).

Costs of application.

(*r*) *Monkhouse v. Bedford Corp.*, 17 Ves. 380; *Finch v. Shaw*, 20 Beav. 555. See *Renvoize v. Cooper*, 1 S. & St. 365.

(*s*) *Garlick v. Jackson*, 4 Beav. 154; *Alden v. Foster*, 5 Beav. 592; *Ellis v. Griffiths*, 7 Beav. 83; *Constable v. Howick*, 5 Jur. N. S. 331; *Webster v. Patteson*, 25 Ch. D. 626; *Lacon v. Tyrell*, W. N. (1887) 71; *Jenner-Fust v. Needham*, 32 Ch. D. 582, O. A.; *Cheston v. Wells*, (1893) 2 Ch. 151. See *post*, p. 1044.

(*t*) *Coleman v. Llewellyn*, 34 Ch. D. 143, O. A.; *Welch v. National Cycle Works*, W. N. (1886) 196.

(*u*) *National Permanent, &c. Building Soc. v. Raper*, (1892) 1 Ch. 54.

(*x*) *Renvoize v. Cooper*, 1 S. & St. 364.

(*y*) *Eyre v. Hanson*, 2 Beav. 478; *Edwards v. Cunliffe*, 1 Madd. 287.

(*z*) *Eyre v. Hanson*, *sup.*

(*a*) *Geldard v. Hornby*, 1 Ha. 251, *sup.* p. 1032.

(*b*) *Forrest v. Shore*, 32 W. R. 366.

(*c*) *Holford v. Yate*, 1 K. & J. 677; *Finch v. Shaw*, 20 Beav. 555.

CHAP. XLIX.

Subsequent
interest.

After the time has been enlarged, subsequent interest on the principal and unpaid costs only is required, not interest on interest (*d*), unless the computation of subsequent interest on the amount of principal, interest and costs certified to be due are made the terms on which the extension of time is granted (*e*). This rule is adhered to even where the application for enlargement is made on behalf of infants (*f*).

Ability to
pay.

In order to obtain an enlargement of time, the applicant must show that there is some probability of his being able to pay the amount due at the end of the extended period (*g*).

Further
enlargement.

Although the interest and costs be not paid by the mortgagor on the appointed day, yet if he can give satisfactory reasons for his default, he may obtain a fresh enlargement (*h*). And, under special circumstances, a further enlargement of time was allowed after a final order for foreclosure had been made, but not drawn up (*i*). As a general rule, a further enlargement will not be granted after time has been once enlarged by consent (*k*).

Power of
attorney to
receive
payment.

iv.—Payment under Decree Nisi.—A power of attorney from the mortgagee is generally necessary to enable an agent to receive payment under a decree *nisi* for foreclosure (*l*). But in some cases where a mortgagor has made default in attending at a place and time appointed for payment of the money, foreclosure absolute has been ordered, though the agent of the mortgagee had no power of attorney with him when he attended to receive the money (*m*).

Attendance of the mortgagee's solicitor without a power at the time appointed, and of the mortgagee himself during part of the time, was held sufficient (*n*); and even such attendance after the time (*o*).

Payment to
joint mort-
gagees.

Payment of the mortgage money to one of several joint mortgagees is not sufficient (*p*). But in a case where two mortgagees,

(*d*) *Whitfield v. Roberts*, 17 Jur. N. S. 1268; *Wilkinson v. Charlesworth*, 2 Beav. 470; *Wharton v. Cradock*, 1 Keen, 267.

(*e*) *Brewin v. Austin*, 2 Keen, 212; *Bruere v. Wharton*, 7 Sim. 483.

(*f*) *Coombe v. Stewart*, 13 Beav. 111.

(*g*) *Wharton v. Cradock*, 1 Keen, 269; *Brewin v. Austin*, 2 Keen, 211; *Coombe v. Stewart*, 13 Beav. 111; *Holford v. Yate*, 1 K. & J. 677.

(*h*) *Edwards v. Cunliffe*, 1 Madd. 287.

(*i*) *Jones v. Creswicke*, 9 Sim. 304.

(*k*) *Campbell v. Moxhay*, 18 Jur. 641.

(*l*) *Gurney v. Jackson*, 1 Sm. & G. App. 28.

(*m*) *Cox v. Watson*, 7 Ch. D. 196;

Moore v. Horsfield, W. N. (1882) 43;

Crawley v. Fuller, W. N. (1890) 35;

King v. Hough, W. N. (1895) 90.

(*n*) *Letchmere v. Clamp*, 20 Beav. 218; S. C., 31 Beav. 578.

(*o*) *Barnard v. Norton*, 10 L. T. N. S. 183.

(*p*) *Matson v. Dennis*, 4 De G. J. & S. 345.

who were trustees, were living at a distance, payment out of Court was directed to one of them (*q*). CHAP. XLIX.

Where a mortgagee-trustee has committed a breach of trust, the Court will restrain him from receiving the mortgage money in a foreclosure suit, but will direct the money to be paid to the solicitor of the *cestuis que trust*, he undertaking to pay it into Court (*r*). Breach of trust by trustee-mortgagee.

v.—Order for Sale in lieu of Foreclosure.—Formerly, an order for sale must have been made at the hearing, except by consent (*s*). But under the present practice such order may be made at any stage of the action (*t*). So, the plaintiff, or any person interested in the equity of redemption, may now apply for a sale at any time before the hearing (*u*). And an order for sale may be made even after decree *nisi* for foreclosure and before foreclosure absolute (*x*). So, upon motion by a mortgagee for a decree absolute, a sale was ordered at the instance of the mortgagor, he paying the costs of the motion (*y*). When order for sale may be made.

An order for immediate sale will not be made on the application of a mortgagee, except under special circumstances (*z*). Generally, the accounts must first be certified (*a*), and then a period of from one month to six months, according to the circumstances of the particular case, will be allowed to the mortgagor for redemption (*b*). Order for immediate sale.

An order for immediate sale may be made with the consent of all parties interested (*c*). Order by consent.

An immediate sale has been ordered in an action for foreclosure against an infant mortgagor, where the Court has been satisfied on the evidence that such sale would be for the benefit of the infant (*d*). Property of infant.

Such an order may be made notwithstanding the dissent of Grounds for immediate sale.

(*q*) *Bradford v. Nettleship*, 10 W. R. 264.

(*r*) *Snare v. Baker*, 13 Jur. 203.

(*s*) *Wayne v. Lewis*, 1 Drew. 487; *Campbell v. Mozhay*, 18 Jur. 641; *Woodford v. Brooking*, L. R. 17 Eq. 425.

(*t*) See 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 25.

(*u*) *Woolley v. Coleman*, 21 Ch. D. 169.

(*x*) *Union Bank of London v. Ingram*, 20 Ch. D. 463, C. A.

(*y*) *Weston v. Davidson*, W. N. (1882) 28.

(*z*) *Green v. Biggs*, W. N. (1886) 128.

(*a*) *Wade v. Wilson*, 22 Ch. D. 235.

(*b*) *Staines v. Rudlin*, 9 Hare, App. lii.; *Smith v. Robinson*, 1 Sm. & G. 140; *Lloyd v. Whittey*, 17 Jur. 754; *Whitfield v. Roberts*, 5 Jur. N. S. 113; *Green v. Biggs*, *sup.*; *Wade v. Wilson*, *sup.*; *Jones v. Harris*, W. N. (1887) 10.

(*c*) *Wigham v. Measor*, 5 W. R. 394.

(*d*) *Mears v. Best*, 10 Ha. App. li.; *Siffkin v. Davis*, Kay, App. xxi.

CHAP. XLIX.

the mortgagor, if the property is wholly unproductive (*e*); or where the rents are insufficient to keep down the interest (*f*); or if the arrears of interest are considerable (*g*); or on other sufficient grounds, if all parties interested are before the Court (*h*).

Sale before trial.

A plaintiff mortgagee may be entitled to a sale even before trial, if he show that there is urgent necessity (*i*). But the Court will not, as a general rule, direct a sale upon an interlocutory application (*k*).

The sale may be ordered to be made out of Court subject to such terms as to security for costs or otherwise as the Court may direct (*l*).

An order for sale or for foreclosure, if a sale could not be effected, was made at the request of a second mortgagee (*m*). And such an order may be made though the first mortgagee is not a party to the action (*n*).

Purchaser of equity of redemption.

Under sect. 48 of the stat. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 86, leave was given to a purchaser of the equity of redemption, who was a defendant to the foreclosure action, to apply in chambers for a sale of the property (*o*).

The jurisdiction to order sale in lieu of foreclosure is discretionary.

No sale could formerly be had against a mortgagee with a paramount title except with his consent (*p*).

And now, although the Court may make an order for sale notwithstanding the dissent or non-appearance of any party (*q*), yet such an order is entirely in the discretion of the Court, and will not be made as a matter of course, or without good reasons being shown why such an order should be made instead of the usual foreclosure decree (*r*); *a fortiori*, an order for sale will not be made where the result of a sale might be oppressive to any person interested (*s*). So, where a first mortgagee prayed for foreclosure, an application by second mortgagees for a sale of

(*e*) *Foster v. Harvey*, 4 De G. J. & S. 59.

(*f*) *Phillips v. Gutteridge*, 4 De G. & J. 531.

(*g*) *Smith v. Robinson*, 1 Sm. & G. 140; *Newman v. Selfe*, 33 Beav. 522.

(*h*) *Marriott v. Kirkham*, 3 Giff. 536.

(*i*) *Davis v. Ashwyn*, 47 L. J. Ch. 70.

(*k*) *London and County Banking Co. v. Dover*, 11 Ch. D. 204.

(*l*) *Brewer v. Square*, (1892) 2 Ch. 111, 118. For form of order, see *Cumberland Union Banking Co. v. Cumberland Maryport Iron Co.*, (1892) 1 Ch. 92; Seton, p. 1590.

(*m*) *Saul v. Pattinson*, 55 L. J. Ch.

831.

(*n*) *Cripps v. Wood*, 51 L. J. Ch. 584.

(*o*) *Greenough v. Littler*, 15 Ch. D. 93.

(*p*) *Langton v. Langton*, 7 De G. M. & G. 30.

(*q*) See *Wade v. Wilson*, 22 Ch. D. 235.

(*r*) *Heath v. Crealock*, L. R. 10 Ch. A. 22. See *Roberts v. Price*, 1 W. R. 303; *Hiorns v. Holton*, 16 Jur. 1077; *Wickham v. Nicholson*, 19 Beav. 38; *Provident Clerks' Mutual Life Assur. Assoc. v. Lewis*, W. N. (1892) 164.

(*s*) *Hurst v. Hurst*, 16 Beav. 372.

a building estate then of insufficient value to cover the first mortgage, but alleged to be likely to increase in value, was refused (t). CHAP. XLIX.

Where foreclosure only was claimed, the Court refused to order a sale of the mortgaged property in the absence of the mortgagor, who had no notice of the application for a sale (u). Notice of application for sale.

The Court will not necessarily make an order for the sale of the whole mortgaged property, but may limit the operation of the order to so much as will be sufficient to satisfy the amount found due on the mortgage (x). Order for sale of part of property.

Lord Hardwicke seems to have thought that deficiency in point of value of the security was a sufficient ground for making an order for sale (y). Deficiency of security.

It seems that a sale will generally be ordered where the action is brought against the representatives of a deceased mortgagor (z), in which case the mortgagee will be entitled to his costs against the representatives (a). Estate of deceased mortgagor.

A sale of an undertaking which is of public benefit cannot generally be made except under the authority of an Act of Parliament (b). But in a recent case, Sir F. North, J., at the instance of debenture holders of a tramways company, made an order for the sale of the undertaking on the ground that, by sect. 44 of the Tramways Act, 1870 (c), which was incorporated with the special Act, the company itself was empowered to sell its undertaking (d). A mortgage of a volunteer's drill-hall has been enforced by sale (e). Public undertaking.

Where land is mortgaged with stock or other personal chattels, the proper order is a sale of the stock or personal chattels in the first instance, and the usual decree as to the land for the deficiency (f).

In case of a mortgage of a policy, a trust being declared of the policy moneys, but no right to sell the policy given, upon the death of the assured the judgment is simply for payment of the mortgage debt out of the policy moneys; but in a suit before such death the only remedy seems to be foreclosure (g).

(t) *Merchant Banking Co. of London v. London and Hanseatic Bank*, W. N. (1886) 5.

(u) *South Western District Bank v. Turner*, 31 W. R. 113.

(x) *Wade v. Wilson*, 22 Ch. D. 235.

(y) *Earl of Kinnoul v. Money*, 3 Swanst. 208, n. See *Dashwood v. Bithazay*, Mos. 196.

(z) *Brooklehurst v. Jessop*, 7 Sim. 438.

(a) *Connell v. Hardie*, 3 Y. & C. Ex. 582.

(b) *Blaker v. Herts and Essex Water Co.*, 41 Ch. D. 399; *Re Barton-on-Humber Water Co.*, 42 Ch. D. 586.

(c) 33 & 34 Vict. c. 78.

(d) *Bartlett v. West Metropolitan Tramways Co.*, (1894) 2 Ch. 286.

(e) *Grissell v. Money*, 38 L. J. Ch. 312.

(f) Seton, 4th ed., pp. 1092 *et seq.*

(g) *Dyson v. Morris*, 1 Ha. 413.

CHAP. XLIX.

Order for sale does not stay proceedings on personal judgment.

Power to order sale free from incumbrances.

Deposit in Court to meet expenses, &c.

Conduct of sale.

Where, in a foreclosure action, a mortgagee had obtained a personal judgment for payment of the mortgage debt, and a decree *nisi* for foreclosure, with liberty to apply for a sale, it was held that a subsequent order for sale was not equivalent to a stay of execution on the personal judgment, so as to prevent a bankruptcy notice from issuing thereon (*g*).

According to the former practice, where an order was made for sale in lieu of foreclosure, the sale was ordered to be made free from the securities of such incumbrancers as concurred, but subject to the securities of such incumbrancers as did not concur (*h*). The Court may now (*i*) direct the applicant to lodge in Court the amount required to meet the amount due to dissenting incumbrancers, and declare the lands free from their charges upon such lodgment (*k*).

Where a mortgagee objects to a sale, the Court may order the applicant to deposit in Court also a sufficient amount to cover the probable expenses of an abortive sale, and may fix a reserve price sufficient to cover the amounts due to the dissenting mortgagees (*l*).

The conduct of the sale in a foreclosure action, as in a redemption action, is a matter for the discretion of the Court (*m*). In the former case, however, it would appear that, as a general rule, the conduct will be given to the first mortgagee whether legal or equitable, if he insists upon it, especially if the security appears to be insufficient (*n*). But the Court has given the conduct of the sale to a puisne incumbrancer on terms, notwithstanding the objection of the first mortgagee (*o*). And, in the absence of objection, the conduct has been given to the mortgagor, as being the person whose interest it was to obtain the best price (*p*); but the mortgagor may be required to deposit in Court a sum sufficient to meet the costs of the sale (*q*), or to

(*g*) *Re Kelday, Exp. Meston*, W. N. (1888) 94.

(*h*) *Wickenden v. Ragoon*, 6 De G. M. & G. 210; *Ward v. Mackinlay*, 2 De G. J. & S. 358.

(*i*) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 5, set out *ante*, p. 633.

(*k*) Seton, Decrees, 5th ed. p. 1589. See *Woolley v. Coleman*, 21 Ch. D. 169.

(*l*) *Whitfield v. Roberts*, 5 Jur. N. S. 113; *Bellamy v. Cockle*, 18 Jur. 465; *Burmaster v. Moxon*, 35 Beav. 310; *Watts v. Young*, W. N. (1870) 172.

See *Corsellis v. Patman*, L. R. 4 Eq. 156.

(*m*) *Ante*, p. 738. See *Exp. Harrison, Re Jordan*, 13 Q. B. D. 228.

(*n*) *Hewitt v. Nanson*, 28 L. J. Ch. 49; *Christy v. Van Tromp*, W. N. (1886) 111.

(*o*) *Norman v. Beaumont*, W. N. (1893) 45. See *Re Jordan, Exp. Harrison*, 13 Q. B. D. 228.

(*p*) *Davies v. Wright*, 32 Ch. D. 220.

(*q*) *Brewer v. Square*, (1892) 2 Ch. 111.

give security for such costs if there is any doubt that the proceeds of sale will be sufficient to meet them (*r*).

CHAP. XLIX.

A sale may be ordered to be made altogether out of Court by consent, but the purchase-money will be ordered to be paid into Court (*s*). A sale altogether out of Court will not be ordered unless the Court is satisfied that all persons interested in the property to be sold are before the Court.

The reserved biddings and other matters relating to the sale may be fixed by the judge (*t*). Biddings.

Where the mortgagee has leave to bid, a reserved bidding is fixed (*u*); he will not be given the conduct of the sale (*v*); and he must abandon his right to sell under his power (*x*).

Where the mortgagee has advanced money on the security of an estate of which he is trustee, he will not be allowed to bid at a sale of the mortgaged property directed by the Court, if any of the *cestuis que trust* object (*y*). Trustee-mortgagee.

Formerly, where property in mortgage was sold by the direction of the Court, the biddings were liable to be opened at any time before the report had been confirmed absolute; this period was by statute (*z*) limited to eight days after the certificate of the purchase had been signed by the judge in chambers. Biddings cannot now be opened after a sale by auction or by private contract under the sanction of the Court, unless on the ground of fraud, or misconduct of the sale (*a*). Opening biddings.

On a sale by mortgagees under an order of the Court in a foreclosure action, the mortgagees are ordinary vendors, and are not liable for the acts of other parties to the action (*b*). Liability of mortgagees on sale by Court.

Where a sale has been ordered in lieu of foreclosure, the sale takes place in default of payment at the appointed time, and the proceeds of sale are paid into Court, with liberty to the parties interested to apply by summons in chambers for distribution of the money amongst the incumbrancers according to their several rights and priorities, and for payment of the Payment of proceeds of sale into Court.

(*r*) *Woolley v. Coleman*, 21 Ch. D. 169. See *Davies v. Wright*, 32 Ch. D. 220.

(*s*) *Ralph v. Horton*, 19 W. R. 220; *Davies v. Wright*, *sup.*

(*t*) *Cumberland Union Banking Co. v. Maryport Hematite, &c. Co.*, (1892) 1 Ch. 92. See R. S. C. Ord. XLI. r. 1 (*a*).

(*u*) *Re Commercial Bank of London*, 9 L. T. N. S. 782, Bkcy.

(*v*) *Domville v. Berrington*, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 723.

(*x*) *Exp. Davies*, 3 D. & C. 504.

(*y*) *Tennant v. Trenchard*, L. R. 4 Ch. A. 537.

(*z*) 15 & 16 Vict. c. 80, s. 34.

(*a*) 30 & 31 Vict. c. 48, s. 7; *Re Bartlett, Newman v. Hoole*, 16 Ch. D. 561.

(*b*) *Union Bank v. Munster*, 37 Ch. D. 51.

| | |
|--|---|
| CHAP. XLIX. | surplus, if any, to the ultimate owner of the equity of redemption (c). |
| Application of proceeds of sale. | The proceeds of a sale under the order of the Court will be applied in the same order as in the case of a sale by a mortgagee under his power (d). |
| Costs of mortgagee. | Where a plaintiff mortgagee prays for or assents to a sale in lieu of foreclosure, he does not thereby lose his right to be paid his costs of the action in priority to the defendants (e). |
| Paramount right of first mortgagee to payment in full. | Where a first mortgagee obtains an order for sale in lieu of foreclosure, a puisne incumbrancer concurring in the sale is not entitled to any costs until the first mortgagee has been paid in full (f). |
| Payment to subsequent incumbrancers. | The Court may order proceeds of sale to be paid out to incumbrancers upon affidavit of the exact amount due to them without an account (g), and the surplus proceeds (if any) to be paid to the mortgagor. |
| Payment of surplus to mortgagor. | Where by a marriage settlement of land a husband and wife had a joint power of appointment overriding the uses in favour of themselves and their issue, and in exercise of their power they mortgaged the property by deed containing a proviso for reconveyance of the property to the uses of the settlement, and a power of sale with a declaration that surplus proceeds of sale should be paid to the husband, the mortgagee having sold under his power, it was held that there was no resulting trust of the surplus which belonged to the personal representatives of the husband as part of his personal estate (h). |
| Reversion on mortgage term included in sale. | Although the value of the reversion in fee expectant on a mortgage for a long term of years is nominal, yet if, in a foreclosure suit, the fee simple is sold under a decree by consent, the Court will direct a reference, to ascertain the difference in value between the value of the property sold in that way, or as a term, and will give the mortgagor or his representatives the benefit of such difference, as representing value of an interest not included in the mortgagee's security (i). |
| Deficiency in proceeds of sale. | If mortgaged property is sold in a foreclosure action, and the proceeds of sale are insufficient to satisfy what is due on the security, the decree for sale is not a judgment for payment of |

(c) Seton, Decrees, 5th ed. p. 1593.

(d) See *ante*, pp. 910 *et seq.*(e) *Cook v. Hart*, L. R. 12 Eq. 459. See *Pinchard v. Fellows*, L. R. 17 Eq. 421.(f) *Wonham v. Machin*, L. R. 10Eq. 447, notwithstanding *Kenobel v. Sraffton*, 18 Ves. 370.(g) *Bigham v. King*, 14 W. R. 414.(h) *Jones v. Davies*, 8 Ch. D. 205.(i) *Foster v. Eddy*, 13 Jur. 761; *Outfield v. Richards*, 26 Beav. 241.

the balance due after realizing the security so as to constitute the mortgagee a judgment creditor for that amount, but he will be merely a specialty or simple contract creditor for the balance according as the mortgage deed contains or does not contain a personal covenant by the mortgagor for payment of principal and interest (*k*).

CHAP. XLIX.

In case of a sale, the investment of the purchase-money is not at the risk of the mortgagee; his interest still runs on, and if there is any deficiency arising from the investment, he will be repaid out of the assets in the administration suit in which he has proved his debt (*l*). Neither is the investment made for his benefit, so that he cannot claim accumulations arising from the purchase-moneys, unless they have been carried to his separate account (*m*). So where the Crown has sold extended lands, the proceeds of which have been paid into Court under an order obtained by the purchaser and invested, the Crown will receive only its principal, interest, and costs, and not a share of the accumulations (*n*).

Investment of
purchase-
money, at
whose risk.

Where mortgaged property has been sold by the Court, and the purchase-money has been invested, and the mortgage debt paid out of it, the investment and accumulations belong to the mortgagor; and if the money is blended with other funds, an inquiry will be directed to ascertain what has arisen from the investment of the mortgage fund, and of the dividends of the stock purchased with it (*o*).

Investments
after pay-
ment belong
to mortgagor.

SECTION V.

FORECLOSURE ABSOLUTE.

i.—Final Order for Foreclosure.—Formerly, on default in payment of the money at the appointed time, the mortgagor stood absolutely foreclosed without further order; but now a final order for foreclosure absolute is required (*p*).

(*k*) *Wilson v. Lady Dunsany*, 18 Beav. 293; *Brookhurst v. Jessop*, 7 Sim. 438.

(*l*) *Tompsett v. Wickens*, 3 Sm. & G. 171.

(*m*) *Irby v. Irby*, 22 Beav. 217.

(*n*) *Rez v. De la Motte*, 2 H. & N. 589. And see 25 Geo. III. c. 35.

(*o*) *Taylor v. Waters*, 1 My. & Cr. 266.

(*p*) *Sheriff v. Spark*, West, 130.

CHAP. XLIX.

Final order
necessary to
complete
foreclosure.

The final order to a decree of foreclosure is absolutely necessary to its perfection, so much so that without its being obtained, a decree of foreclosure is not a good defence to an action to redeem (*q*); but a release by the mortgagor, after the first decree, would, apparently, be tantamount for the purpose to a final order (*r*).

Application
for final
order.

Upon an affidavit of non-payment of the money at the appointed time and place, or subsequently, to the person to whom it is directed to be paid or his agent, the order for foreclosure contained in the original judgment will be made absolute by an order which may be obtained as of course on motion (*s*). The application is now frequently made *ex parte* by summons in chambers, whether proceedings were originally commenced by writ or by originating summons.

Affidavit of
default.

The fact that the mortgagor has made default in payment on the day fixed for redemption must be proved by affidavit; the mere fact that the defendant has made default in appearance will not entitle the mortgagee to a final order for foreclosure (*t*).

By whom
the affidavit
must be made.

It has been repeatedly held that an affidavit by the mortgagee himself is necessary, though he has not attended personally, as well as by any person attending on his behalf, of attendance to receive the money, and of non-payment by the mortgagor (*u*). And this rule has been carried so far that, where one of several mortgagees, of whom one was out of the jurisdiction, made an affidavit for himself positively, and for his co-mortgagees to the best of his knowledge and belief, that the money had not been paid, an order for foreclosure absolute was refused until production of an affidavit by all the mortgagees who were within the jurisdiction (*x*). But where one of two co-mortgagees was abroad, and had given a power of attorney to an agent in this country, an affidavit by the mortgagee who was in England and by the attorney that neither they nor, to the best of their knowledge and belief, the mortgagee who was abroad, had received anything, was held to be sufficient (*y*).

(*q*) *Senhouse v. Earl*, 2 Ves. Sen. 450.
And see *Ford v. Wastell*, 2 Ph. 591.

(*r*) *Reynoldson v. Perkins*, Amb. 564.

(*s*) For form of affidavit, see *National Permanent Benefit Building Soc. v. Raper*, (1892) 1 Ch. 54.

(*t*) *Patey v. Flint*, 48 L. J. Ch. 696.

(*u*) *Moore v. Horsfield*, W. N. (1882) 43; *Barrow v. Smith*, W. N. (1885) 136.

See *contra*, *Frith v. Cook*, W. N. (1885) 129.

(*x*) *Kinnaird v. Yorks*, 60 L. T. 380.

(*y*) *Docksey v. Elze*, W. N. (1891) 65.

On affidavit of attendance of the mortgagee or his agent and of the mortgagor's default, the judgment will generally be made absolute as a matter of course (s).

CHAP. XLIX.

Mortgagor
not attending
for payment.

Foreclosure absolute has been decreed where the mortgagor attended at the appointed place, but during part only of the time appointed (a).

In a foreclosure action against a puisne mortgagee and the mortgagor, the final order for foreclosure must be obtained against the puisne mortgagee before an account is taken of subsequent interest and costs, and a time appointed for the exercise of the mortgagor's right of redemption (b); and if great delay take place in obtaining it, the Court will require an explanation.

When order
should be
obtained.

The owner of the equity of redemption must be served (c).

Service.

A judgment for foreclosure *nisi* is a "proceeding" within the meaning of Ord. LXIV. r. 13, of the Rules of the Supreme Court; so that if more than a year has expired since the date of the judgment, the plaintiff, before moving for an order for foreclosure absolute, must give to the defendant one month's notice of his intention to proceed (d).

Motion for
final order
after one
year from
judgment.

The Court will not make a foreclosure absolute in the absence of a properly-constituted representative of a deceased mortgagor. So, where the defendant to a foreclosure action died insolvent before foreclosure absolute, and there was no legal representative of his estate, and an order had been made appointing one of his next of kin a representative of the deceased for the purposes of the action, the Court refused to make the foreclosure absolute (e).

Death of
mortgagor
before final
order.

Where joint mortgagees commenced a foreclosure action and obtained a decree *nisi* appointing a day for payment, and foreclosure in default, but not expressly declaring that the money was payable to the plaintiffs or the survivor of them, and one of the plaintiffs died before the day appointed, it was held that the survivor could not obtain an order for foreclosure absolute, but that a new day must be given (f).

Death of one
of joint
mortgagees.

(s) As to attendance to receive the money, see *ante*, p. 1034.

(a) *Anon.*, 1 Coll. 273; *Lechmere v. Clapp*, 31 Beav. 578; *Bernard v. Norton*, 10 L. T. N. S. 183.

(b) *Whitbread v. Lyall*, 8 De G. M. & G. 383; *Webster v. Patteson*, 25 Ch. D. 626.

(c) *Press v. Coke*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 645.

(d) *Blake v. Summersby*, W. N. (1889) 39.

(e) *Aylward v. Lewis*, (1891) 2 Ch. 81. See R. S. C. Ord. XVI. r. 46.

(f) *Blackburn v. Caine*, 22 Beav. 614; *Kingsford v. Poile*, 8 W. R. 110. But see *contra*, *Browell v. Pledge*, W. N. (1888) 166.

CHAP. XLIX.

Foreclosure absolute of parts of property not sold.

Where, pursuant to an order for sale in lieu of foreclosure, the mortgaged property was put up for sale in lots, some only of which were sold, an order absolute for foreclosure of the unsold lots was made on the undertaking of the plaintiffs to carry out the contracts for sale of the sold lots; and, by consent, it was ordered that possession of the unsold lots be given to the plaintiffs (g).

Receipt of rents by mortgagees.

A mortgagee who has obtained a judgment *nisi* for foreclosure fixing a day for redemption, cannot obtain a final order, if he has entered and received the rents and profits before default (h).

In such cases, there must be further accounts and a new day for payment (i). And the mortgagor will not be required forthwith to pay arrears of interest and costs (k), as is the rule where the mortgagor applies for extension of time for payment (l).

Receipt of rents after default.

This rule does not apply where the mortgagee has received rents after default but before the affidavit of such default has been sworn (m).

Further account dispensed with.

An order for foreclosure absolute has been made without a further account at the mortgagee's risk (n).

Delivery of indorsed brief to registrar.

In the case of an ordinary motion for a foreclosure absolute, it is sufficient for counsel to hand in a brief, duly indorsed, to the registrar of the day, unless there are special circumstances to be mentioned to the judge (o).

No joinder of parties after final decree.

After the final decree is entered up, parties cannot be added (p); but they may be added by amendment before the decree is drawn up and entered (q).

Final decree puts an end to foreclosure action.

A foreclosure absolute, subject to the right of the defendant to apply that the foreclosure may be opened, puts an end to the action, with the exception of the settlement by the judge of the conveyance, if the parties differ; the Court has no power to add to a final foreclosure order by appointing a receiver or otherwise (r).

Foreclosure order does not require registration.

An order for foreclosure absolute for lands in Middlesex, not being either a common law judgment within sect. 18 of the

(g) *Re Kilham, Kilham v. Kilham*, W. N. (1888) 224.

(h) *Prees v. Coke*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 646, 650. See *Garlick v. Jackson*, 4 Beav. 154.

(i) *Alden v. Foster*, 5 Beav. 592; *Ellis v. Griffiths*, 7 Beav. 83; *Allen v. Edwards*, 42 L. J. Ch. 455.

(k) *Buchanan v. Greenway*, 12 Beav. 355.

(l) *Ante*, p. 1033.

(m) *Constable v. Howick*, 5 Jur. N. S.

331; and see *National Permanent, &c. Building Soc. v. Raper*, (1892) 1 Ch. 54, not following *Ross Improvement Commissioners v. Osborne*, W. N. (1890) 92.

(n) *Farquhar v. Young*, W. N. (1886) 40.

(o) W. N. (1883) 40.

(p) *Att.-Gen. v. Corp. Birmingham*, 15 Ch. D. 422, C. A.

(q) *Keith v. Butcher*, 25 Ch. D. 751.

(r) *Wills v. Luff*, 38 Ch. D. 197.

Middlesex Registry Act (s), nor a decree or order of a Court in equity within sect. 18 of the stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, need not be entered at the Middlesex Registry (t).

CHAP. XLIX.

ii.—Order for Delivery of Possession.—A conditional order for delivery of possession should be applied for at the same time as the order *nisi* for foreclosure. The decree will then direct that on default of redemption within the fixed period, the defendant shall stand foreclosed and deliver up possession to the plaintiff (u).

Conditional order for possession.

An order for foreclosure absolute does not of itself entitle the plaintiff to delivery of possession of the land enforceable by writ of possession under Ord. XLII. r. 5 (x); but delivery of possession will be ordered, if asked for in the pleadings (y).

Absolute order for possession made if claimed in pleadings.

So, where an originating summons for foreclosure asked for delivery of possession in the event of foreclosure, and a decree *nisi* for foreclosure was made in the usual form, not directing delivery of possession; it was held, on motion for foreclosure absolute, that the final order ought to direct the mortgagor to deliver up possession of the property, and that the mortgagee ought not to be put to the delay and expense of bringing a new action for recovery of possession (z).

When decree *nisi* does not order delivery of possession.

But an order for delivery of possession may be made on the motion to make absolute the order *nisi* for foreclosure, though delivery of possession is not directed therein (a), and though the pleadings do not ask for possession (b).

When delivery not claimed in pleadings.

So where an action was commenced by summons claiming foreclosure of a sum of stock charged, but not a transfer of the stock, it was held that the order for foreclosure absolute might include a direction for transfer of the stock to the mortgagee (c).

Order to transfer stock.

Where a receiver and manager of a business has been appointed in a foreclosure action, the Court refused to make an order to deliver up possession before trial, though it was

Order for possession refused before trial.

(s) 7 Anne, c. 20.

(t) *Burrows v. Holley*, 35 Ch. D. 123.

(u) *Williamson v. Burrage*, 56 L. T. 702.

(x) *Wood v. Wheeler*, 22 Ch. D. 282.

(y) See *ante*, pp. 1019, 1021.

(z) *Keith v. Day*, 39 Ch. D. 452.

(a) *Salt v. Edgar*, W. N. (1886) 47.

(b) *Craven Bank v. Hartley*, W. N. (1886) 189; *Lacon v. Tyrrell*, W. N. (1887) 71; *Best v. Applegate*, 37 Ch. D. 42; *Manchester Bank v. Parkinson*, W. N. (1889) 27.

(c) *Ricketts v. Ricketts*, W. N. (1891) 29.

CHAP. XLIX.

shown that the management of the receiver was being interfered with to the injury of the property (*d*).

Vesting order refused before foreclosure absolute.

When the mortgage was of leaseholds by demise, with a declaration of trust of the nominal reversion, the Court made a decree *nisi* for foreclosure of the term and reversion, but refused to make a vesting order till the final order for foreclosure absolute (*e*).

When order for delivery of possession may be made *ex parte*.

Where an order *nisi* for foreclosure and possession has been made, an order absolute also providing for possession may be made *ex parte* (*f*). Where, however, possession was not asked for in the summons nor provided for in the decree *nisi*, it was held that an order for foreclosure absolute and possession ought not to be made *ex parte* (*g*).

Order for delivery made after foreclosure absolute.

An order for delivery of possession has been made even after an order for foreclosure absolute (*h*). But as the plaintiff has made two applications for what might have been done in one, he will be refused his costs (*i*).

Writ of possession.

An order for delivery of possession will entitle the mortgagee to a writ of possession, though the order does not name any time at which possession is to be given, and will be nevertheless enforceable by attachment (*k*).

Description of property in order for delivery of possession.

An order for delivery of possession included in a judgment for foreclosure absolute should contain a description of the property as set forth in the mortgage deed, so that the sheriff may identify the property of which he is directed to deliver possession (*l*).

Mortgagee entitled to delivery of deeds.

iii.—Order for delivery of Title Deeds.—In an ordinary foreclosure suit, it is the practice of the Court to order such deeds as remain in the hands of the mortgagor to be delivered up to the mortgagee (*m*). Of course the mortgagee for a term of years cannot claim the title deeds of the freehold without an express agreement (*n*).

(*d*) *Taylor v. Sopers*, W. N. (1890) 121.

(*e*) *British Empire Assur. Co. v. Sugden*, 47 L. J. Ch. 691.

(*f*) *Withall v. Nixon*, 28 Ch. D. 413. See *Craven Bank v. Hartley*, W. N. (1886) 189.

(*g*) *Le Bas v. Grant*, W. N. (1895) 28.

(*h*) *Keith v. Day*, 39 Ch. D. 452, C. A.; *Jenkins v. Ridgway*, 68 L. T. 671.

(*i*) *Keith v. Day*, *sup.*, at p. 464.

(*k*) *Re Higg's Mortgage*, *Goddard v. Higg*, W. N. (1894) 73.

(*l*) *Thynne v. Sarl*, (1891) 2 Ch. 79.

(*m*) *Holmes v. Turner*, 7 Ha. 370, n.; *Heath v. Crealock*, L. R. 18 Eq. 215 (affirmed on other points, L. R. 10 Ch. A. 22); notwithstanding the old cases of *Fraser v. Jones*, 17 L. J. Ch. 353; *Wiseman v. Westland*, 1 Y. & J. 117.

(*n*) *Wiseman v. Westland*, *sup.*

CHAP. XLIX.

A judgment of foreclosure may be made at the suit of a first mortgagee without any order against the holder of the deeds for the delivery of them, as where they are in the hands of a person who has taken them *bond fide* and without actual or constructive notice of fraud from a person without title (*o*).

Bond fide
holder.

The plaintiff is not entitled, on foreclosure, to an order for delivery to him of deeds subsequent in date to his own mortgage and relating only to the title to the equity of redemption (*p*).

To what
deeds mort-
gagee is
entitled.

Where in a foreclosure action personal judgment for the mortgage debt is given against the mortgagor, and a foreclosure decree is also obtained against the mortgagor and a purchaser from him, the purchaser paying off the mortgage is entitled to have the personal judgment transferred to him as one of his securities (*q*).

Purchaser
from mort-
gagor entitled
to transfer of
securities.

Where in an action by a mortgagee to enforce a mortgage debt, the defendant has paid the amount claimed into Court, he cannot obtain a delivery of the title deeds to him on payment into Court of the amount claimed for costs. Ord. L. r. 8, does not apply (*r*).

Payment into
Court of
costs.

iv.—Opening the Foreclosure.—Even after a decree of foreclosure absolute, and although the mortgagee has been in possession for many years, the Court will, under special circumstances, open the decree (*s*).

In some cases, where there was evidence of fraud or oppression, the foreclosure was opened after many years (*t*); and in other cases the Court has granted the relief on fresh evidence adduced on the mortgagor's behalf (*u*).

Grounds for
opening fore-
closure.

A foreclosure was opened at the instance of the heir of the mortgagor, though the mortgagor had consented to the order and signed the registrar's book (*x*).

Foreclosure
opened in
favour of heir.

A judgment for sale in lieu of foreclosure obtained against a person who was alleged to be the heir of the deceased mortgagor, was set aside where it turned out that the heirship had

(*o*) *Kendall v. Hulls*, 11 Jur. 864.

(*p*) *Greene v. Foster*, 22 Ch. D. 566.

(*q*) *Greenough v. Littler*, 15 Ch. D.

93. (*r*) *Morgan v. Greatrex*, W. N. (1884)

2. (*s*) See *Ford v. Wastell*, 2 Ph. 591,

and cases cited *inf*.

(*t*) *Burgh v. Langton*, 5 Bro. P. C.

213; *Morley v. Elways*, 1 Ch. Ca. 107.

(*u*) *Cocker v. Bevis*, 1 Ch. Ca. 61;

Immoord v. Claypool, 1 Rep. in Ch. 139.

(*x*) *Abney v. Wordsworth*, 9 Sim.

317, n.

CHAP. XLIX.

Life policy
falling in
before fore-
closure
absolute.

Receipt of
rents by
mortgagee.

Receipt of
rents by re-
ceiver after
certificate.

not been proved (y) ; and the same rule would doubtless be applied so as to open a foreclosure absolute.

Where the mortgage was of a policy of life assurance, the value of which had been increased by the death of the assured after the day for payment, but before foreclosure absolute, an order for foreclosure absolute made by the chief clerk with knowledge of the death was re-opened with subsequent accounts, and a further period for redemption (s).

If the mortgagee has received rents and profits since the account was taken, but before default has been made in payment on the day fixed for redemption, the foreclosure will be opened (a). But if the rents are received after default has actually been made in payment on the day fixed, the mortgagee may obtain a final order for foreclosure without a further account (b), unless there are special circumstances to account for the default (c).

So, where in a foreclosure action a receiver has been appointed, and has received moneys not included in the certificate, the foreclosure will be liable to be opened (d). The mortgagee will not be allowed the benefit of rents so received, except on the terms of bringing them into account, and consenting to a fresh period of redemption (e). And if a mortgagee, in order to avoid opening the foreclosure, submits to be charged with the moneys in the hands of the receiver, the judgment should reserve liberty to either party to apply for payment of any money paid into Court, or in the hands of the receiver (f).

Where the rents received by a receiver appointed in a foreclosure action were stated to be insufficient to pay his expenses and remuneration, the Court, upon the mortgagee's submission to have the foreclosure opened if it should appear that there were any surplus moneys in the hands of the receiver, allowed the receiver's account to be taken at once, postponing the question of the receiver's discharge until after the account (g).

(y) *Lancaster Banking Co. v. Cooper*, 9 Ch. D. 594.

(z) *Beaton v. Boulton*, W. N. (1891) 30.

(a) *Prees v. Coke*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 645. See *Garlick v. Jackson*, 4 Beav. 154.

(b) *Constable v. Howick*, 5 Jur. N. S. 331; *National Permanent Building Soc. v. Raper*, (1892) 1 Ch. 54.

(c) *Webster v. Patteson*, 25 Ch. D.

126.

(d) *Barber v. Jeckells*, W. N. (1883) 91. But see *infra*, p. 1057.

(e) *Jenner-Fust v. Needham*, 32 Ch. D. 582, C. A.

(f) *Lusk v. Sobright*, W. N. (1884) 134. See also *Holt v. Beagle*, 55 L. T. 592; *Smith v. Pearman*, W. N. (1888) 131.

(g) *Ellenor v. Ugle*, W. N. (1895) 161.

There are also certain acts of the mortgagee which will of themselves open the decree, as if there has been false evidence, unfair conduct, or collusion on his part in obtaining the decree (*h*); or if, after foreclosure, he proceed against the mortgagor on his bond or other collateral security (*i*), which he may lawfully do (*k*). The Court will grant an injunction against such proceedings, if the mortgagee has sold the estate or any part thereof (*l*), and deprived himself of the means of letting the mortgagor redeem (*m*).

CHAP. XLIX.

Conduct of mortgagee opening foreclosure.

A sale of the estate after foreclosure absolute to one of the persons entitled to redeem at a price equal to the amount of principal, interest, and costs up to foreclosure, was held not to open the foreclosure (*n*).

Sale to person entitled to redeem.

The foreclosure will not be opened unless the mortgagor satisfies the Court that he will be able to redeem if further time is given; and he may be required to give security for costs in the event of his default (*o*).

If the foreclosure is opened, there must be a further account and a fresh period for redemption (*p*); and in this case, the mortgagor will not be required, as in the case of an application by him for enlargement of time, to pay forthwith the interest and costs reported due (*q*).

Further account, &c. on opening foreclosure.

A foreclosure may be opened as against a purchaser from the mortgagee after the date of the order for foreclosure absolute; and for the purposes of an application to open the foreclosure, the purchaser may be added as a party to the action (*r*).

Opening foreclosure against purchaser from mortgagee.

Where a mortgagee with a power of sale obtains a decree for foreclosure and then sells, he will not be compelled to sell under his power, as he might thereby incur the risk of opening the foreclosure (*s*).

Sale under power after foreclosure.

(*h*) *Burgh v. Langton*, 5 Bro. P. C. 213; *Lloyd v. Mansell*, 2 P. Wms. 73; *Gere v. Staepools*, 1 Dow, 18; *Harvey v. Tebbutt*, 1 J. & W. 197.

(*i*) *Dashwood v. Blythway*, 1 Eq. Ca. Abr. 317 (D. 3). See *Perry v. Barker*, 13 Ves. 198; *Dyson v. Morris*, 1 Ha. 413, at p. 427.

(*k*) *Tooke v. Hartley*, 2 Bro. C. C. 126.

(*l*) *Palmer v. Hendrie*, 27 Beav. 349; *S. C.*, 28 Beav. 341.

(*m*) See *Tooke v. Hartley*, *sup.*; *Perry v. Barker*, 8 Ves. 527; *Palmer v. Hendrie*, *sup.*; *Walker v. Jones*, L. R.

1 P. C. 50; *Re Burrell*, L. R. 7 Eq. 399.

(*n*) *Re Power and Carton's Contract*, 26 L. R. Ir. 459.

(*o*) *Bird v. Gandy*, 7 Vin. Abr. tit. "Mortgages," 45, pl. 20. See *Stevens v. Williams*, 1 Sim. N. S. 545.

(*p*) *Alden v. Foster*, 5 Beav. 592; *Ellis v. Griffiths*, 7 Beav. 83.

(*q*) *Buchanan v. Greenway*, 12 Beav. 355.

(*r*) *Campbell v. Holyland*, 7 Ch. D. 166.

(*s*) *Watson v. Marston*, 4 De G. M. & G. 230.

CHAP. XLIX.

Where creditor was attorney of debtor.

In one case, after the enrolment of an order of foreclosure absolute obtained by a judgment creditor, a further time for redemption was directed on the ground that the debt consisted of the costs of the judgment debtor incurred in an administration suit, in which the judgment creditor acted as her attorney, that the interest of the debtor in the administration suit was five or six times the amount of the debt, that the debtor had no other means of payment, and that the delay which had taken place in that administration suit had not been caused by any fault on her part, but in some degree by the creditor insisting on being made a party to that suit in respect of his charge under the judgment, which he was attempting to enforce in the foreclosure suit (*t*).

When foreclosure not opened.

A decree of foreclosure, however, will not be opened by reason of the overvalue of the estate, and a parol agreement to permit a redemption (*u*); and after many years' possession, the Court will not set aside the foreclosure for mere form (*x*); nor will an action of revivor and supplement be a waiver of the decree (*y*); nor will the mere fact of the mortgagee devising the estate as money (*z*), or noticing it, for a collateral purpose, as a debt, open the foreclosure (*a*); and if there have been considerable alterations made in the estate, accompanied with length of possession, the decree will not be opened (*b*). No general rule can, however, be laid down for opening a foreclosure; each individual case must rest on its own merits (*c*).

No partial opening.

Principles on which the Court acts as to opening foreclosure.

Application must be within reasonable time.

A foreclosure absolute cannot be opened in part (*d*).

For an elaborate and lucid explanation of the principles on which the Court acts in opening foreclosures, reference may be made to the judgment of Jessel, M. R., in *Campbell v. Holyland* (*e*). The principles are shortly as follows:—

The mortgagor must make his application to open the foreclosure promptly, that is, within a reasonable time. What is a reasonable time must depend upon the nature of the property. A mortgagor must come in more promptly where a mortgagee

(*t*) *Ford v. Wastell*, 2 Ph. 591.

(*u*) *Wishall v. Short*, 3 Bro. P. C. 558.

(*x*) *Jones v. Kenrick*, 5 Bro. P. C. 244.

(*y*) *Birch's Case*, Gilb. Eq. Rep. 186.

(*z*) *Silberschildt v. Schiott*, 3 V. & B. 45; *Stuckville v. Dolben*, Sel. Ca.

in Ch. 10.

(*a*) *Tooke v. Bishop of Ely*, 5 Bro. P. C. 181.

(*b*) *Lant v. Criepe*, 5 Bro. P. C. 200; *Tooke v. Bishop of Ely*, *sup*.

(*c*) *Thornhill v. Manning*, 1 Sim. N. S. 451, 454.

(*d*) *Patch v. Ward*, 4 Giff. 96.

(*e*) 7 Ch. D. 166, 170.

can enter into immediate possession of and alter the property, than where the property is reversionary which he can only sell.

CHAP. XLIX.

It must be shown that the mortgagor had reasonable expectations of obtaining money for payment on the day appointed, and intended to do so, but was prevented by accident (*f*). The amount of the sum required and the difficulty in raising it must be considered in determining what is a reasonable time, whether the difficulty arises from the largeness of the sum, or from the property mortgaged being of a special value to the mortgagor beyond any actual money value which can be set upon it (*g*).

Ability of mortgagor to make payment must be shown.

If the property has been sold after foreclosure absolute, the length of time which has elapsed between the date of the order for foreclosure absolute and that of purchase will be taken into consideration. The Court will be loth to disturb a person who purchases many years after the date of the order with no notice of any circumstances which might lead to opening the foreclosure. But the case is otherwise with a purchaser who buys the estate shortly after the date of the order, especially if he has notice of any such circumstances; in such a case the purchaser must be taken to know that the foreclosure is liable to be opened.

Purchaser from mortgagor will not be disturbed except on strong grounds.

Finally, the Court will consider whether the default of the mortgagor in paying the money at the time appointed on which the final order was made, was owing to intermediate negotiations or conduct on the part of the mortgagee which led the mortgagor to think that payment on that day would not be required.

Court will consider conduct of mortgagee.

A foreclosure will not be opened merely on the ground that a receiver appointed by the Court in the action has omitted from his account by mistake rents which he has received (*h*).

Omission from receiver's accounts.

(*f*) *Patch v. Ward*, L. R. 3 Ch. A. 203, 212.

(*g*) See *Joachim v. M'Donnell*, 9 Sim. 314, n.

(*h*) *Ingham v. Sutherland*, 63 L. T. 614. For forms of orders for bringing

into account in foreclosure actions sums in the hands of receivers, see *Barber v. Jeckells*, W. N. (1893) 91; *Lusk v. Sebright*, W. N. (1894) 134; *Simmons v. Blandy*, (1897) 1 Ch. 19. See also Seton, 5th ed. p. 2143.



CHAP. XLIX.

SECTION VI.

FORECLOSURE OR SALE IN SPECIAL CASES.

Foreclosure
may be ob-
tained against
infant.

i.—Foreclosure or Sale against Infants.—If the heir or devisee of the mortgagor is an infant, a foreclosure or sale in the alternative is prayed (*i*); and if a foreclosure alone is prayed, the Court will, with the mortgagee's consent, refer it to chambers to inquire whether it will not be for the infant's benefit that a sale should be made (*k*); but the mortgagee may object to such a reference and insist on a foreclosure, and it is clear that he may obtain a decree of foreclosure against an infant (*l*).

Usual order
for fore-
closure.

The usual order on an action of foreclosure against an infant is for a reference to chambers, to take the account in the usual way, and appoint a day for payment, and in default of payment, the defendant is to be foreclosed; and the decree is to be binding on the infant, unless, on being served with a subpoena to show cause against the same, he shall, by a day six months after he shall have attained the age of twenty-one years, show to the Court good cause to the contrary (*m*). This does not apply when the infant is a trustee for himself and others (*n*).

Infant not
entitled to
stay of pro-
ceedings.

An infant, being defendant in a foreclosure suit, is not entitled to a decretal order on motion under 7 Geo. II. c. 20, or under the general jurisdiction of the Court, to take an account of what is due, and for a reconveyance on payment of the sum found due, with a stay of proceedings in the meantime (*o*).

Foreclosure
absolute.

Where the property was not worth the mortgage money, and the plaintiff offered to pay the infant's costs, an immediate order for foreclosure absolute was made without giving a day to show cause (*p*).

Foreclosure was made absolute in an action by a legal mortgagee against an infant whose interest had accrued after the

(*i*) *Booth v. Rich*, 1 Vern. 295; *Scholefield v. Heafield*, 7 Sim. 669; *S. C.*, 8 Sim. 470. See *Hutton v. Mayne*, 3 J. & L. 586.

(*k*) *Adkins v. Graves*, 3 L. J. Ch. 62; *Monday v. Monday*, 1 V. & B. 223; overruling *Goodier v. Ashton*, 18 Ves. 83. As to the parol not demurring, see *Price v. Carver*, 3 My. & Cr. 157.

(*l*) *Williamson v. Gordon*, 19 Ves. 114; *Mallack v. Gallon*, 3 P. Wms. 352; *Lyne v. Willis*, 3 P. Wms. 352, n.; *Bishop of Winchester v. Beavor*, 3 Ves. 317; *Booth v. Rich*, *sup.*; *Gundry v. Baynard*, 2 Vern. 479; *Taylor v. Phil-*

lips, 2 Ves. Sen. 23. And see *Price v. Carver*, 3 My. & Cr. 157.

(*m*) 3 Bac. Abr. 615; *Bennett v. Edwards*, 2 Vern. 392; *Loring v. Lady Caverley*, Prec. Ch. 229; *Newbury v. Marten*, 15 Jur. 166; *Bennett v. Harfoot*, 19 W. R. 428; *Gray v. Bell*, 30 W. R. 606; *Mellor v. Porter*, 25 Ch. D. 158.

(*n*) *Foster v. Parker*, 8 Ch. D. 147, R. (o) *Taylor v. Coates*, 3 Ha. 263.

(*p*) *Crozon v. Lever*, 12 W. R. 237; *Bennett v. Harfoot*, 19 W. R. 428; *Wolverhampton, &c. Co. v. George*, 24 Ch. D. 707.

judgment, the infant's guardian consenting, and the mortgagee offering to pay the infant's costs as between solicitor and client (*q*).

If there are several defendants and default is made in payment, the foreclosure will be made absolute on such of the defendants as are adult, but as to the infants, the clause *nisi* may be repeated (*r*).

The infant, however, need not wait until twenty-one to show cause against the decree. He may, by his next friend, show cause at any time (*s*). If he shows cause, he may put in a new defence (*t*). He cannot, however, go into the account or redeem, but must show error in the decree (*u*); and if he does not show cause within the limited time, he will be bound (*x*). The process by subpoena is to be served on the defendant on his coming of age, and is a judicial writ (*y*). And no alteration has been made in this practice since the passing of 1 Will. IV. c. 47, s. 10, which has provided that the parol shall not demur by reason of infancy (*z*), or, in other words, that proceedings shall not be stayed till he attains full age.

Infant showing cause.

In *Price v. Carver* (*a*), Lord Cottenham, C., said, with reference to the last-mentioned Act, "I have always conceived that the parol demurred in equity in those cases only in which it would have demurred at law; the origin and limits of this course at law are well explained in *Plasket v. Beeby* (*b*), and the cases there put, of the parol demurring, have no reference to the cases in equity, in which a day is given an infant to show cause; indeed, the shape of the decree in the two cases is perfectly different; when the parol demurs in equity nothing is done to affect the infant; but when a day is given the decree is complete; but the infant has a day given to show cause against it, and if he do not show good cause within the time specified, he is bound. In some cases, indeed, the distinction is most apparent, the Court deciding that the parol did not

Parol demurring.

(*q*) *Young v. Cocker*, 32 W. R. 359.

(*r*) *Williamson v. Gordon*, 19 Ves. 114.

(*s*) *Richmond v. Taylor*, 1 P. Wms. 736; *Bennett v. Lee*, 2 Atk. 531.

(*t*) *Bennett v. Lee*, *sup.*; *Fountain v. Caine*, 1 P. Wms. 504; *Napier v. Evingham*, 2 P. Wms. 401.

(*u*) *Mallack v. Galton*, 3 P. Wms. 352; *Lyme v. Willis*, 3 P. Wms. 352, n.;

Bishop of Winchester v. Beavor, 3 Ves.

317; *Williamson v. Gordon*, *sup.*; *Kelsall v. Kelsall*, 2 My. & K. 409, 414.

(*x*) 3 Bac. Abr. 615.

(*y*) 1 Dan. Ch. Pr. 6th ed. p. 179.

(*z*) *Price v. Carver*, 3 My. & Cr. 157; *Scholefield v. Heafeld*, 7 Sim. 669; *S. C.*, 8 Sim. 470.

(*a*) 3 My. & Cr. 157. See *Scholefield v. Heafeld*, *sup.*

(*b*) 4 East, 485.

CHAP. XLIX.

demur, and therefore making the decree, but giving the infant a day to show cause. All cases of foreclosure and partition, and all others in which a conveyance is required from an heir, except those in which the parol would demur at law, are cases in which a day is given, but the parol does not demur. Of all such cases the statute takes no notice, and affords no remedy for them, except that by the 11th section it enables the Court to take from the infant the legal estate of property decreed to be sold for the payment of debts, but for that purpose only. In all other cases in which a conveyance is required from an infant, the law remains as before, and the practice, therefore, must remain the same; there must be a decree for the infant to convey at twenty-one, and he must have a day to show cause as before."

Refusal of
order for
foreclosure.

Under special circumstances, the Court will refuse a decree of foreclosure against an infant, as in a case in which a mortgage was assumed to be made under a power, and it was a question whether the power was well exercised (*c*); and in another case (*d*), in which the security was defective, but the heir was bound to make further assurance by his ancestor's covenant. In the latter case the Court ordered the account to be taken, and a day appointed for payment, and in case of default the mortgagee was to be let into possession, and the infant, on attaining twenty-one, was to convey, unless, within six months, he showed good cause to the contrary.

Right to
show cause
not affected
by Trustee
Acts.

The right of an infant in a foreclosure action to a day to show cause is not affected by sect. 30 of the Trustee Act, 1850 (*e*), repealed but virtually re-enacted by the Trustee Act, 1893 (*f*), whereby a vesting order consequential on a judgment against an infant for the conveyance of land is made binding on the rights, legal or equitable, of an infant, inasmuch as a judgment for foreclosure is not made in the form of a judgment for conveyance (*g*).

Order for sale
now usually
made.

It is now the usual practice to order a sale where the Court is satisfied, by reference to chambers, that this course will be best in the interests of the infant. And where it appears clear, from the circumstances of the case, that a sale would be for the

(*c*) *Sayle v. Freeland*, 2 Vent. 350.

(*d*) *Spencer v. Boyes*, 4 Ves. 370.

See *Oldaker v. Petford*, 2 L. J. Ch. 47.

(*e*) 13 & 14 Vict. c. 60.

(*f*) 56 & 57 Vict. c. 53, s. 29.

(*g*) *Newbury v. Marten*, 15 Jur. 166;
Gray v. Bell, 30 W. R. 606; *Mellor v.*
Porter, 25 Ch. D. 158.

benefit of the infant, the Court will direct a sale without the usual reference (*h*). CHAP. XLIX.

As to the mortgagee's consent to a sale in lieu of foreclosure of an infant's lands, which was formerly required (*i*), it must be borne in mind that the Court has now, by statute (*k*), a judicial discretion to order a sale of mortgaged lands on the application of any person interested, without the consent of any other person. Jurisdiction to order sale in discretion of Court.

Where the infant attains his majority previous to the date of the decree directing a reference to chambers, and he makes no application to the Court, the mortgagee may obtain an immediate sale (*l*). Infant of age before decree.

And where the mortgage was for a long term of years, the Court directed a reference to ascertain whether a sale of the whole fee would be beneficial to infants who were interested in the equity of redemption and the reversion, and if so, to sell accordingly (*m*). Mortgage for term.

The infant will be bound by the order for sale (*n*), and the practice has been that if the infant is decreed to join in the conveyance when of age, a day will be given him after he comes of age within which he may show cause to the contrary (*o*). But if the mortgagee is willing to dispense with the infant's concurrence, a sale may be made without him, and consequently a day need not be given him (*p*); and according to a decision of Sir L. Shadwell, V.-C., the infant heir may be decreed to join in the conveyance under sect. 11 of 1 Will. IV. c. 47, without having a day to show cause, though the suit be not for administration, but merely to compel a sale of the property (*q*). Concurrence of infant in conveyance.

It would seem that a day to show cause is not required, unless a conveyance is directed, either in form or substance (*r*); No day to show cause unless con-

(*h*) *Davis v. Dowding*, 2 Keen, 247; *Siffin v. Davis*, Kay, App. xxi.; *Mears v. Best*, 10 Ha. App. li.; *Scholesfield v. Heafeld*, 7 Sim. 669; *S. C.*, 8 Sim. 470; *Redshaw v. Newbold*, 12 Jur. 833; *Cockburn v. Ankett*, 3 W. R. 641; *Clinton v. Bernard*, Dru. 287.

(*i*) See cases cited *ante*, p. 1052, note (*l*).

(*k*) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 25, *ante*, p. 725.

(*l*) *Davis v. Dowding*, 2 Keen, 247.

(*m*) *Foster v. Eddy*, 13 Jur. 761.

(*n*) *Booth v. Rich*, 1 Vern. 295;

Scholesfield v. Heafeld, 7 Sim. 669; *S. C.*, 8 Sim. 470.

(*o*) *Cooke v. Parsons*, Prec. Ch. 184; *Fountaine v. Caine*, 1 P. Wms. 504; *Adams v. Gould*, 2 Dick. 443; and *Price v. Carver*, 3 My. & Cr. 157.

(*p*) *Cooke v. Parsons*, *sup.*

(*q*) *Scholesfield v. Heafeld*, 7 Sim. 669; *S. C.*, 8 Sim. 470. But see the judgment in *Price v. Carver*, *sup.*

(*r*) *Sheffield v. Duchess of Buckingham*, West, t. Hard. 682; *Clinton v. Bernard*, Dru. 287; *Hutton v. Mayne*, 3 J. & L. 586; *Tilson v. Lawder*, 2 Dr. & War.

CHAP. XLIX.

veyance
required.

Sale for pay-
ment of debts,
&c.

No day to
show cause
allowed to
married
woman.

Usual decree.

Right of
redemption
where hus-
band in-
solvent.

Indemnity.

or where the estate descended to the infant heir, subject to a lien or equitable charge (s).

And with respect to sales of mortgaged estates, made in suits for the payment of debts under 1 Will. IV. c. 47, s. 12, 2 & 3 Vict. c. 60, and 11 & 12 Vict. c. 87, it is clear that the infant has no longer a day to show cause. And under the Trustee Act, 1893 (t), which enables the Court to make a conveyance in the case of an infant heir or devisee, a day to show cause would seem not to be required (u).

ii.—Foreclosure against Married Women.—Even apart from the Married Women's Property Act, 1882 (x), the same reasoning did not apply in the case of coverture as of infancy, for the law considered that a woman, on her marriage, reposed in her husband sufficient authority to protect her interests, and therefore a decree of foreclosure against a married woman and her husband, of the right of redemption in the wife, was binding, and she was not allowed a day after discovery (y). Where, however, the mortgaged estate (the mortgage being an equitable one) was sold under a decree, the Court had formerly no power to compel the *feme covert* mortgagor to convey to the purchaser, though it seems she could have become a trustee within the repealed statute 1 Will. IV. c. 60 (s).

The usual decree is made in the case of a married woman; it cannot be immediate even by consent (a).

In a suit for foreclosure of the wife's leaseholds, where the husband had become insolvent, a right of redemption was given to the wife, as well as to the assignees of the husband; and the same right was given in the case of a mortgage of the wife's real estate (b).

The estate of the husband or wife, as the case may be, will be indemnified out of the estate of the other of them for whose benefit the money was raised (c).

285; *Mahon v. Dawson*, 2 Dr. & War. 286, n.; *Walsh v. Trevannion*, 16 Sim. 180. And see *Re Williams' Estate*, 5 De G. & S. 515.

(s) *Brookfield v. Bradley*, Jac. 632.

(t) 56 & 57 Vict. c. 53, s. 29, re-enacting the repealed stat. 13 & 14 Vict. c. 60, s. 30.

(u) *Bowra v. Wright*, 4 De G. & S. 265. See, however, *Newbury v. Marten*, 15 Jur. 166; and *Hancock v. Hancock*, Set. Dec., 2nd ed. p. 387; 3rd ed. pp. 577, 689.

(x) 45 & 46 Vict. c. 75.

(y) *Mallack v. Galton*, 3 P. Wms. 352.

(z) *Jordan v. Jones*, 2 Ph. 170. And see *Rees v. Keith*, 11 Sim. 388.

(a) *Harrison v. Kennedy*, 10 Ha. App. li.

(b) *Gleaves v. Paine*, 1 De G. J. & S. 87. And see *Exp. Paine*, 3 De G. J. & S. 458. And see *Lewis v. Poole*, 3 Giff. 636.

(c) *Wilkinson v. Beale*, 1 L. J. Ch. 89; *Gray v. Dowman*, 27 L. J. Ch. 702.

iii.—Foreclosure or Sale against the Crown.—Where the equity of redemption escheats or becomes forfeited to the Crown, a decree of foreclosure cannot be obtained, and if the legal estate has escheated or become forfeited, the Court will not, as a general rule, order a sale, unless in an administration suit (*d*). But the Court will give substantial relief by decreeing that the mortgagee shall hold until the security is satisfied or redeemed by the Crown (*e*), or the mortgagee may memorialise the Treasury. And if the estate has been sold under an extent of the Crown, the Court will order the equitable incumbrances to be paid out of the proceeds (*f*). If the estate is decreed to be sold in an administration suit, as against the Crown having the legal estate by escheat, liberty will be given to the purchaser to apply to the Crown for a grant, and he will be decreed to hold the property in the meantime as his own (*g*).

CHAP. XLIX.

No foreclosure where escheat or forfeiture of equity of redemption.

If the mortgagor dies without heir, and the legal estate is in a trustee, then there is no foundation for any claim of the Crown by escheat, and the Court will decree a sale in favour of an equitable mortgagee (*h*).

Legal estate in trustee.

Where the mortgagor's interest in leaseholds was forfeited to the Crown for felony, the decree against the Crown was sale, not foreclosure (*i*).

Leaseholds.

33 & 34 Vict. c. 23 (*k*), abolishes forfeiture for treason or felony, and vests the real and personal property in administrators appointed by the Crown (*l*); and until an administrator is appointed, it enables an interim curator appointed by justices (*m*) to sue and defend actions (*n*). It has not yet been decided whether a decree for foreclosure can now be made against the administrators or interim curator in the usual form, but it would seem that they cannot be foreclosed (*o*).

Forfeiture for treason, &c. abolished.

(*d*) *Hodge v. Att.-Gen.*, 3 Y. & C. Ex. 342; *Rogers v. Maule*, 1 Y. & C. O. C. 4. But see *Prescott v. Tyler*, 1 Jur. 470; *S. C.*, 2 Jur. 870.

(*e*) *Hodge v. Att.-Gen.*, *sup.* See *Hancock v. Att.-Gen.*, 12 W. R. 569; *Sutton v. Smith*, cited 10 Jur. N. S. 557, n.; *Reeve v. Att.-Gen.*, 2 Atk. 223.

(*f*) *Casberd v. Ward*, 6 Pri. 411, 478.

(*g*) *Rogers v. Maule*, 1 Y. & C. C.

O. 4.

(*h*) *Prescott v. Tyler*, 1 Jur. 470; *S. C.*, 2 Jur. 870. See *Scott v. Roberts*, 4 W. R. 499.

(*i*) *Bartlett v. Rees*, L. R. 12 Eq. 395. See also *Hancock v. Att.-Gen.*, 12 W. R. 569.

(*k*) *Ante*, p. 643.

(*l*) Sects. 9, 10.

(*m*) Sect. 21.

(*n*) Sect. 24.

(*o*) See Seton, 5th ed. p. 1585.

CHAPTER L.

OF THE STATUTES OF LIMITATION IN BAR OF FORECLOSURE.

Statutory
limit to entry
on lands
under stat. 21
Jac. I. c. 16.

i.—Application of the Statutes to Actions for Foreclosure.— Formerly, before the passing of the Statute for the Limitation of Actions relating to Real Property (*a*), there was no statutory limitation of time within which a suit in equity might have been brought for foreclosure of the equity of redemption in mortgaged land. But the stat. 21 Jac. I. c. 16, s. 1 (*b*), enacted that no entry into any lands, tenements, or hereditaments should be made but within *twenty* years after the right or title to the same should have accrued or descended.

Analogous
principle
adopted in
equity to bar
actions for
foreclosure.

The Courts of equity, following the maxim "*expedit reipublicæ ut sit finis litium*," adopted the statutory rule by way of analogy, and applied it to similar cases in equity; and accordingly precluded a mortgagee of land from bringing a suit in equity for foreclosure more than twenty years after his cause of action at law for ejectment had arisen (*c*).

Presumption
of satis-
faction.

Independently of statute, in case twenty years had elapsed without payment or demand of interest, a Court would in general have presumed the mortgage was satisfied (*d*).

Stat. 3 & 4
Will. IV.
c. 27, s. 2.

By the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, s. 2, the period within which actions for the recovery of any land or rent must be brought was fixed at twenty years next after the time at which the right to bring such action should have first accrued; and by sect. 24 of the same Act it was provided that no suit in equity should be brought after the time when the plaintiff, if entitled at law, might have brought an action.

(*a*) 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27.

(*b*) This section is repealed by the Stat. Law Rev. Act, 1863.

(*c*) *Smith v. Clay*, 3 Bro. C. C. 639, n.; *Aggas v. Pickerell*, 3 Atk. 224; *Hoven-den v. Lord Annesley*, 2 Sch. & L. 607. See *per* Sugden, C., in *Incorporated Society v. Richards*, 1 Dr. & War. 258 at p. 287.

(*d*) *Trash v. White*, 3 Bro. C. C. 289; *Christophers v. Sparke*, 2 J. & W. 228. But see *Hales v. Hales*, 1 Rep. in Ch. 105; *Sibson v. Fletcher*, 1 Rep. in Ch. 59; *Toplis v. Bate*, 2 Cox, 118; *Leman v. Newnham*, 1 Ves. Sen. 51. See *per* Jessel, M. R., in *Sutton v. Sutton*, 22 Ch. D. at p. 515, C. A.

Sect. 2 of the above statute has been repealed, and sect. 24 has been virtually superseded by the Real Property Limitation Act, 1874, but the provisions of the earlier Act have been substantially re-enacted; except that the general period for foreclosure has been fixed at twelve years.

CHAP. L.
Repeal.

There is no statutory limitation of time as regards suits (which must, under the former practice, have been brought in a Court of equity) for foreclosure or recovery by a mortgagee of personal estate included in his mortgage. It has been seen (*e*) that, prior to the passing of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, the Courts of Equity applied a rule of equity analogous to that laid down by the stat. 21 Jac. I. c. 16, with regard to actions at law for the recovery of land, and accordingly limited suits in equity respecting land to twenty years. As the Act of Jac. I. imposes a limit of six years on actions at law for the recovery of personal property, it would seem that, if the question should arise, the right of a mortgagee to bring foreclosure in respect of such property would be deemed to be barred after six years from the time when the cause of action or suit arose (*f*). But there appears to be no judicial decision on the point.

No statutory limit to actions for foreclosure of mortgaged personalty

ii.—Bar of Action for Foreclosure Twelve Years after Right of Action accrued.—By the Real Property Limitation Act, 1874 (*g*), it is enacted as follows:—

R. P. L. Act, 1874, s. 1.

Sect. 1. "After the commencement of this Act no person shall make an entry or distress, or bring an action or suit, to recover any land or rent, but within twelve years next after the time at which the right to make such entry or distress, or to bring such action or suit, shall have first accrued to some person through whom he claims; or if such right shall not have accrued to any person through whom he claims, then within twelve years next after the time at which the right to make such entry or distress, or to bring such action or suit, shall have first accrued to the person making or bringing the same."

No land or rent to be recovered but within twelve years after the right of action accrued.

After some difference of judicial opinion (*h*), it has been determined that a suit for foreclosure is a suit for the recovery of land within the meaning of sect. 24 of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27 (*i*), and not an action for the recovery of money

Action for foreclosure is an action for recovery of land.

(*e*) *Supra*, p. 1058.

(*f*) See *per* Lord Clare, in *Hovenden v. Lord Annesley*, 2 Sch. & L. 607, at p. 630.

(*g*) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 57.

(*h*) See *Dearman v. Wyche*, 9 Sim. 570; *Du Vigier v. Lee*, 2 Ha. 326.

(*i*) *Wrixon v. Vize*, 3 Dr. & War.

CHAP. I.

charged on land within sect. 40 of the same Act. As the period within which actions and suits for the recovery of land must be brought has now been reduced to twelve years, an action for foreclosure of land must now be brought within twelve years after the right to bring such action first accrued, unless there are special circumstances bringing the case within the saving clauses of the Act of Will. IV.

Saving provisions.

The stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27 contains saving provisions whereby the period within which actions or suits to recover lands or rents is kept alive or extended in cases of acknowledgment of the debt, disability, concealed fraud, and express trust. And by sect. 9 of the stat. 37 & 38 Vict. c. 57, whereby certain parts of the stat. of Will. IV. are repealed, it is provided that the remainder of that statute (including the saving clauses here referred to) shall remain in full force and be construed and take effect with reference to the alterations as to limits of time introduced by the later Act.

Doubts having arisen as to whether, under the Act of Will. IV., a mortgagee was not barred of his right to bring a suit for foreclosure in every case, after the lapse of twenty years from the date of the mortgage deed, the stat. 7 Will. IV. & 1 Vict. c. 28, was passed, which enacted that:—

Mortgagees may bring actions to recover land within twenty years after last payment of principal or interest.

“It shall and may be lawful for any person entitled to or claiming under any mortgage of land, being land within the definition contained in the first section of the said Act (k), to make an entry or bring an action at law, or suit in equity, to recover such land at any time within twenty years next after the last payment of any part of the principal money or interest secured by such mortgage, although more than twenty years may have elapsed since the time at which the right to make such entry, or to bring such action or suit in equity, shall have first accrued, anything in the said Act to the contrary notwithstanding.”

Reduction of this period to twelve years.

By sect. 9 of the stat. 37 & 38 Vict. c. 57 it is provided that the above enactment shall remain in full force and be construed, together with the last mentioned Act, as if the period of *twelve* years had been therein mentioned instead of the period of twenty years.

Result of these enactments.

The general effect of the enactments above referred to in barring the rights and remedies of a mortgagee as against the land charged, may be thus briefly stated.

104; *Heath v. Pugh*, 6 Q. B. D. 340, C. A.; *S. C.*, *sub nom. Pugh v. Heath*, 7 App. Cas. 235; *Harlock v. Ashberry*,

19 Ch. D. 539, C. A. But see as to joinder of other claims, *ante*, p. 1019. (k) *I.e.*, 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27.

CHAP. L.

Sects. 2 to 23 inclusive of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, apply to remedies which a mortgagee could have only enforced in a court of law. Sect. 2 of that Act has been repealed by sect. 9 of the stat. 37 & 38 Vict. c. 57, but has been re-enacted in almost identical terms by sect. 1 of the Act, except that the statutory limitation is thereby extended to suits in equity as well as to actions at law, and that the limit of time within which an action or suit must be brought is reduced to twelve years. The effect of sect. 1 of the later Act is, therefore (subject to the provisions contained in sects. 3 to 23 inclusive of the Act of Will. IV., which have been in part perpetuated and in part repealed, but substantially re-enacted by the Act of 1874), to bar the mortgagee's right to enter on the mortgaged lands or to bring an action of ejectment (*l*) or of foreclosure, or to enforce any other legal or equitable remedy against the land after the expiration of twelve years from the time when the right to make the entry or to bring the action or suit first accrued.

Extension of statutory limit to all actions or suits at law, or in equity for enforcing securities against land.

The limitation created by the statute applies equally whether the interest mortgaged be reversionary or in possession, a mortgage of a reversionary interest being subject, so far as its nature admits, to the same rules as any other security (*m*).

Application of the limit to mortgages of reversionary interests.

The defence of the statute must be specially pleaded (*n*). The prescribed form (which should be followed so far as the case admits) in an action for foreclosure is "The debt is barred by the Statute of Limitations" (*o*).

Statute must be pleaded.

Persons who have neglected to avail themselves of the Statute of Limitations, and who have been held liable to debts which the statute, if it had been set up, would have barred, cannot insist on any right of contribution as against other parties, who, by means of the statute, have repelled the demand against them (*p*).

Contribution.

Nor will the right to marshal assets be in general kept on foot for the purpose of indirectly giving a creditor a right to come upon real estate after his remedy against it has been otherwise barred by the statute (*p*); though under special circum-

Marshalling assets.

(*l*) Under the present practice, an action for the recovery of land is substituted for the old common law action of ejectment. See the remarks of Jessel, M. R., in *Gledhill v. Hunter*, 14 Ch. D. 492.

(*m*) *Sinclair v. Jackson*, 17 Beav. 406; *Humble v. Humble*, 24 Beav. 535.

But see *infra*, p. 1062, as to when the right of action first accrues, p. 1063.

(*n*) *Stile v. Finch*, Cro. Car. 381; *Hawkings v. Billhead*, Cro. Car. 404. See now R. S. C., Ord. XIX. r. 15; R. S. C. Ir., 1891, Ord. XIX. r. 16.

(*o*) R. S. C., App. D.

(*p*) *Fordham v. Wallis*, 10 Ha. 217.

CHAP. I.

stances, as where a suit had miscarried by no fault of the plaintiff, but by a general misapprehension of the rights of the parties, and the bill had been properly framed for marshalling, a simple contract creditor was, by virtue of the equity of marshalling, held not to be barred by the statute (g).

When the right shall be deemed to have accrued in case of alienation *inter vivos*, and when the claim is on forfeiture or breach of condition.

iii.—When the Right of Action first accrues.—Sect. 3 of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. provides that the right of entry or distress, or to bring an action to recover any land or rent, shall be deemed to have first accrued so as to cause the statute to begin to run in the case of an estate claimed under an assurance (other than a will) at the time when the person who claims the land or rent, but who has never been in possession or receipt of the rents, first became entitled to such possession or receipt under the assurance; and further, that “when the person claiming, or the person through whom he claims, shall have become entitled by reason of any forfeiture or breach of condition, then such rights shall be deemed to have first accrued when such forfeiture was incurred or such condition broken.”

No adverse possession by mortgagor.

The possession of a mortgagor is consistent with, and not adverse to, the rights of the mortgagee, unless it is found, as a fact, that, by reason of the renunciation by the mortgagee of his rights, or other circumstances, the possession has become adverse (r).

Statute runs from the time when, by the terms of the deed, the right to bring action arises.

The statute does not, therefore, run so as to bar the mortgagee's remedy against the land until his legal right to bring an action arises, or, if he have not the legal estate, until he would have had that right if his estate had been a legal instead of an equitable one (s). The right, therefore, only arises on some breach by the mortgagor of the mortgage contract; and accordingly cannot arise in the case of a mortgage in the ordinary form before the day appointed for payment, and default then made in payment of principal and interest.

Effect of mortgage giving immediate right of action.

Where the terms of the mortgage deed are such as to give to the mortgagee an immediate right of entry on the execution of the deed, the statute will begin to run against the mortgagee as from that time if there be no subsequent payment of prin-

(g) *Vickers v. Oliver*, 1 Y. & C. C. C. 211. See *Busby v. Seymour*, 1 J. & L. 527, 534.

(r) *Doe v. Williams*, 5 A. & E. 254.
(s) *Wrixon v. Vise*, 3 Dr. & War. 106. See Sug. R. P. St. 32.

cipal or interest, or acknowledgment in writing (*t*). So, if a mortgage debt be made payable on demand, the right of action accrues immediately on the execution of the deed, the demand on the mortgagor not being considered to be a condition precedent to the bringing of the action, as it would be in the case of a promise by a surety to pay a collateral sum on demand (*u*).

CHAP. I.

The right to bring a foreclosure action on an equitable charge on a reversionary interest in land continues until the expiration of twelve years from the time at which the interest has fallen into possession, though the personal remedy for enforcing payment of the debt may have been previously statute-barred (*x*).

When the right arises in case of a mortgage of a reversion.

iv.—Payment of Principal or Interest.—The stat. 1 Will. IV. & 1 Vict. c. 28 provides that a mortgagee must bring his remedy against the mortgaged land within twenty (now twelve (*y*)) years next after the last payment of any part of the principal money or interest secured by the mortgage.

Payment of part of principal or of interest keeps alive remedy against the land.

This enactment does not state by whom the payment is to be made, but it has been laid down that the payment, in order to keep alive the remedy against the land, must be by the mortgagor or some person bound to pay principal or interest on his behalf (*z*).

By whom payment must be made.

The receipt of rents and profits by the mortgagee is not a payment by the mortgagor or by any one on his behalf (*a*).

Mortgagee in possession.

A payment of rent made by a tenant of the mortgaged property to the mortgagee, in consequence of a notice by the mortgagee requiring the rent to be paid by him, is not such a payment, so as to extend the period within which an action for foreclosure may be brought (*b*). The payment in such a case is made by the tenant as rent, and not by an agent of the mortgagor as interest.

Payment of rent to mortgagee by tenant not sufficient.

In a case under the corresponding statute of New Brunswick (*c*), where two persons had each mortgaged property to secure the debt of one of them, who, as between the debtors,

Payment by principal mortgagee keeps alive remedy against surety.

(*t*) *Doe v. Lightfoot*, 8 M. & W. 553.

(*u*) *Re Brown's Estate, Brown v. Brown*, (1893) 2 Ch. D. 300, at p. 305.

(*x*) *Hugill v. Wilkinson*, 38 Ch. D. 480; *Re Lake's Trusts*, 63 L. T. 416.

(*y*) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 12, s. 8.

(*z*) *Harlock v. Ashberry*, 19 Ch. D. 539, C. A. See also the decisions,

cited *ante*, pp. 978, 979, as to what is sufficient "payment" under 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42, s. 5.

(*a*) *Per* Jessel, M. R., in *Cockburn v. Edwards*, 18 Ch. D. 449, at p. 457, C. A.

(*b*) *Harlock v. Ashberry, sup.*

(*c*) Cons. Stat. c. 84, s. 30.

CHAP. I.

was to be deemed to be the principal, the other being merely surety, and the surety's mortgage deed expressly provided that the principal should be entitled to pay the interest and to redeem the mortgage, it was held, in an action for foreclosure, that the statute began to run as from the date of the last payment by the principal debtor (*d*).

Payment by
tenant for
life.

Where a mortgagee tenant for life of the mortgaged estate is alone in possession of the rents, the statute does not run against the mortgage title; the interest is deemed to be paid out of the rents (*e*). So, where a tenant for life pays off a charge on the settled estates, and receives the rents for more than the statutory period, the charge on the estates will not be barred in favour of the remainderman, though there has been no part payment or acknowledgment (*f*).

Tenant in
common.

The same principle applies where the mortgagee is a tenant in common of the mortgaged premises (*g*).

Payment of
interest by
mortgagor
binds pur-
chaser.

Where a mortgagor sells part of the mortgaged premises, and the purchaser remains in possession for twelve years, the property in his hands is still liable to the mortgagee if interest has been paid out of the part not sold (*h*).

Payment by
adult party to
suit held not
to affect in-
fant parties.

Where by a consent order in a foreclosure suit in which the Statute of Limitations had been set up, a payment was made to the plaintiff in part discharge of his claim, it was held that though the payment would have defeated the bar created by the statute as between adults, the rights of infants who were parties to the suit were not affected (*i*).

How far
actions pre-
vent the
statute from
running.

v.—Institution of Action by Mortgagee.—An action properly instituted bars the statute, and during its pendency, time does not run (*k*); so that where a decree for foreclosure was made before the Judicature Act, against a purchaser for value from the mortgagor without notice, but the mortgagee was left to his remedy at law for recovery of possession, time began to run only from the date of the decree (*l*).

(*d*) *Levin v. Wilson*, 11 App. Cas. 639, P. C.

(*e*) *Wynne v. Styan*, 2 Ph. 303; *Lord Carbery v. Preston*, 13 Ir. Eq. R. 455.

(*f*) *Burrell v. Earl of Egremont*, 7 Beav. 205. See *ante*, p. 981.

(*g*) *Wynne v. Styan*, *sup.*

(*h*) *Re Lord Muskerry*, 9 Ir. Ch. R. 94.

(*i*) *Thwaites v. McDonough*, 2 Ir. Eq. R. 97.

(*k*) *Wrixon v. Vice*, 3 Dr. & War. 104, 123; *Re Ebb's Estate*, 31 L. R. Ir. 95.

(*l*) *Heath v. Pugh*, 7 App. Cas. 235; affirming 6 Q. B. D. 345.

But if a writ, issued within the statutory period, is not continued and a fresh writ is issued, the last writ issued is the commencement of the suit, and if this is after the expiration of the statutory limit, the plaintiff is barred (*m*).

CHAP. L.

Issue of fresh writ after discontinuance.

If a writ which has not been served on a defendant within twelve months from the date thereof is not renewed within that period (*n*), the Court will not allow the writ to be afterwards renewed so as to prevent the plaintiff's claim from being barred by the statute (*o*), unless under exceptional circumstances (*p*).

Renewal of writ.

After long lapse of time, revivor of an action which has become defective by the death of a party or otherwise, is subject to the discretion of the Court, and will be refused in cases of great delay, gross negligence, laches, or change in the situation of the parties (*q*).

Revivor of action.

The question as to whether an incumbrancer, who is made a defendant to a suit, in which he could claim payment of his charge, is exonerated from taking proceedings so as to prevent the statute from running against him, is not free from doubt (*r*). In such a case the safest course appears to be for the defendant to counterclaim (*s*).

Whether rights of mortgagee defendant are kept alive by suit.

It is a question how far a suit by one creditor prevents the statute from running against other creditors. It was held that a judgment debt is barred by the lapse of twenty years, notwithstanding a creditor's suit was in the meantime instituted, and a decree made, and though a sufficient sum remained in Court for payment of the debt (*t*); and the existence of a creditor's administration suit was held not to prevent the statute from running in respect of a debt not claimed under the decree (*u*).

Creditors' suits.

A revival by motion since the Judicature Act is sufficient to Judgments.

(*m*) *Pratt v. Hawkins*, 15 M. & W. 399. See also *Manby v. Manby*, 3 Ch. D. 101.

(*n*) See R. S. C., Ord. VIII. r. 1; R. S. C. Ir., Ord. VIII. r. 1.

(*o*) *Bailey v. Owen*, 9 W. R. 128; *Doyle v. Kaufman*, 3 Q. B. D. 340; *Meege v. Hastings*, 28 L. R. Ir. 288.

(*p*) *Hewett v. Barr*, (1891) 1 Q. B. 98.

(*q*) *Curtis v. Sheffield*, 20 Ch. D. 398.

See *Bland v. Davison*, 21 Beav. 312;

Atop v. Bell, 24 Beav. 451; *Earl of*

Egremont v. Hamilton, 1 Ba. & Be. 516;

Higgins v. Shaw, 2 Dr. & War. 356;

Deeks v. Stanhope, 1 Jur. N. S. 413.

(*r*) Compare *Humble v. Humble*, 24 Beav. 535, with *Watson v. Birch*, 15

Sim. 523. See also Fish. Mtg. 4th ed. p. 332, citing *Murphy v. Sterne*, 1 Dr. & War. 236.

(*s*) See *Darby & Bosanquet*, St. Lim. p. 567.

(*t*) *Berrington v. Evans*, 1 Y. & C. Ex. 434. A different rule was laid down in the old case of *Sterndale v. Hawkinson*, 1 Sim. 393, where a creditor instituted an administration suit on behalf of himself and all other creditors; but this decision is quite inapplicable under the present practice. See *Re Greaves*, *Bray v. Tufield*, 18 Ch. D. 551, 554.

(*u*) *Tatam v. Williams*, 3 Ha. 347.

CHAP. L.

possession, whilst he did not admit the right of the plaintiffs to be free from doubt, stated that he was ready to account, and that he only claimed the estate subject to the amount which might be found due on the footing of the account, was held to be sufficient (*m*). But the document must contain expressions which clearly admit the title, or otherwise there will be no sufficient acknowledgment (*n*).

As to whether an admission by a debtor in bankruptcy proceedings signed by him is a sufficient acknowledgment, see the cases cited falling under sect. 40 of this Act, which would seem equally applicable under sect. 14 (*o*).

Sufficiency is a question of law.

Whether a document is a sufficient acknowledgment of title within this section is a question for the judge, and not for the jury, to decide (*p*).

Time of giving acknowledgment.

The "time of giving the same" means the signing of the writing where it differs from the time at which it is dated (*q*).

Acknowledgment by mortgagee binds occupier.

Where the mortgagor has paid interest or made acknowledgment, the mortgagee can recover against the occupier under the mortgagor, though the occupier has been in adverse possession twelve years (*r*).

Stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, s. 16 (repealed).

vii.—Savings in Case of Disabilities.—By sect. 16 of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, it was provided that persons under disability of infancy, coverture, or lunacy, or who were beyond seas, and the representatives of such person, were to be allowed ten years from the determination of their disability or death.

R. P. L. Act, 1874, s. 3.

This enactment is repealed by sect. 9 of the stat. 37 & 38 Vict. c. 57, whereby it is enacted as follows:—

In cases of infancy, coverture or lunacy at the time when the right of action accrues, then six years to be allowed from the termination of the disability or

Sect. 3. "If at the time at which the right of any person to make an entry or distress, or to bring an action or suit to recover any land or rent shall have first accrued as aforesaid, such person shall have been under any of the disabilities hereinafter mentioned (that is to say), infancy, coverture, idiocy, lunacy, or unsoundness of mind, then such person, or the person claiming through him, may, notwithstanding the period of twelve years, or six years (as the case may be) hereinbefore limited, shall have expired, make an entry or distress, or bring an action or suit to recover such land or rent, at any time within six years next after the time at which the person

(*m*) *Incorporated Society v. Richards*, 1 Dr. & War. 258.

(*n*) *Doe v. Edmunds*, 6 M. & W. 295. See *Morrell v. Frith*, 3 M. & W. 402.

(*o*) See *ante*, p. 986.

(*p*) *Doe v. Edmunds*, *sup.*; *Morrell v. Frith*, *sup.* See *Routledge v. Ramsay*, 8

A. & E. 221; *Collis v. Stack*, 1 H. & N. 605. But see *Incorporated Society v. Richards*, 1 Dr. & War. 258.

(*q*) *Jayne v. Hughes*, 10 Exch. 430.

(*r*) *Doe v. Eyre*, 17 Q. B. 366; *Forsyth v. Bristowe*, 8 Exch. 716, 722; *Eyre v. Welsh*, 10 Ir. Com. L. R. 346.

to whom such rights shall have first accrued shall have ceased to be under any disability, or shall have died, whichever of those two events shall have first happened."

CHAP. L.

person's death.

Sect. 4. "The time within which such entry may be made, or any such action or suit may be brought as aforesaid, shall not in any case after the commencement of this Act be extended or enlarged by reason of the absence beyond seas during all or any part of that time of the person having the right to make such entry or to bring such action or suit, or of any person through whom he claims."

No time to be allowed for absence beyond seas.

The effect of the two sections above set out is to reduce the period of limitation, after termination of the liability, from ten to six years, and to exclude absence beyond seas altogether from the causes of disability.

Effect of these enactments.

Sect. 3 applies to cases where there is a continuous succession of disabilities. Where a person is under a disability when his right to bring an action for the recovery of land accrues, and, before the removal of that disability, he falls under another disability, his right of action is preserved until the removal of the latter disability (s).

Successive disabilities.

But when once the time begins to run, no subsequent disability on the part of the person to whom the right of action originally accrued, or of anyone claiming under him, will stop the time from running (t).

Inasmuch as mortgage estates in freehold or leasehold lands of a mortgagee dying on or after the 1st January, 1882, devolve on his personal representatives, the disability of infancy can now keep alive the right of action only where the mortgagee died before that date, except in the case of copyholds to which the mortgagee had been admitted (u).

Extent of saving of disability of infancy.

In order to keep alive the right of the mortgagee and those claiming under him, the disability must be that of the mortgagee himself, otherwise the general limitation of twelve (formerly twenty) years is an absolute bar, and cannot be extended by reason of the mortgagor being under disability (x).

Mortgagor's disability does not prevent statute from running.

The possession of an infant's estate by his father (y), or other near relative (z), will generally be regarded as the possession of the infant by his bailiff so as to prevent the statute from running.

Possession of infant.

(s) *Borroves v. Ellison*, L. R. 6 Ex. 128.

58 Vict. c. 46, s. 88; see *ante*, pp. 841, 842.

(t) *Doe v. Jones*, 4 T. R. 300; *Cottrell v. Dutton*, 4 Taunt. 826; *Doe v. Jason*, 6 East, 80; *Murray v. Watkin*, 63 L. T. 796. See also *St. John v. Turner*, 2 Vern. 418; *Anon.*, 2 Atk. 333.

(x) *Forster v. Patterson*, 17 Ch. D. 132.

(y) *Thomas v. Thomas*, 2 K. & J. 79; *Re Hobbs*, *Hobbs v. Wade*, 36 Ch. D. 553.

(u) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 30; 57 &

(z) *Pelly v. Bascombe*, 4 Giff. 79.

CHAP. L.
Possession
of married
woman.

Complete and unfettered rights of action being given by the Married Women's Property Act, 1882 (*a*), coverture is no longer a disability within the meaning of the Statutes of Limitation, at all events so far as regards cases falling within the former Act, that is to say, where the woman was married on or after the 1st January, 1883, or where she was married before, but her title accrued after that date. As regards cases where the woman was married and her title accrued before the 1st January, 1883, the question whether coverture is a disability so as to keep alive her right to bring an action for foreclosure is perhaps not free from doubt (*b*). But it is conceived that the effect of sect. 1 (ii) of the Act enabling married women to sue alone is to put an end to the disability of coverture as from the date of the commencement of that Act, so as to cause the Statutes of Limitation to run as against a *coverte* mortgagee in like manner as if she had become *discoverte* on that date (*c*).

Absence
beyond seas,
whether still
a disability
in the case of
a mortgagee
of personalty.

As the stats. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, and 37 & 38 Vict. c. 57, apply only where a mortgage is of land or rent, and as sect. 10 of the Mercantile Law Amendment Act (*d*), whereby absence beyond seas no longer extends rights of action, applies only to personal remedies, and not to remedies *in rem*, it would seem that on the analogy of the provision, saving disabilities in the case of legal remedies contained in sect. 7 of the stat. 21 Jac. I. c. 16, absence beyond seas might still be deemed to be a disability keeping alive the equitable right to bring an action for foreclosure in the case of a mortgagee of personalty (other than leaseholds) who is beyond seas, and to prevent the period of limitation from beginning to run till after his return. It was, however, held that sect. 16 of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, saving rights of persons beyond seas did not apply as between mortgagor and mortgagee of land (*e*), and possibly the principle of this decision might be extended so as to cover the case of a mortgagee of personalty.

Disability if
relied on must
be pleaded.

Where disability is relied on as an excuse for not coming to the Court, it must be clearly stated. It is not enough to say generally that there have been infancies, or other disabilities

(*a*) 45 & 46 Vict. c. 75.

(*b*) See *Darby & Bosanquet*, St. Lim. 392.

(*c*) See *Weldon v. Neal*, W. N. (1884) 153 (slander); *Weldon v. Winslow*, 13 Q. B. D. 784, C. A. (tort); *Re*

Isaac, Jacob v. Isaac, 30 Ch. D. 418, C. A. (breach of trust).

(*d*) 19 & 20 Vict. c. 97, *ante*, p. 988.

(*e*) *Kinsman v. Rouse*, 17 Ch. D. 104.

owing to which the plaintiff, during part of the time, has been unable to assert or prosecute his right (*f*).

CHAP. I.

By sect. 17 of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, it was provided that no action should be brought by any person under disability when his right first accrued, but within forty years next after the accruer of the right, though such disability might have lasted during the whole of that period, or though the term of ten years from the cessation of any such disability should not have expired.

Utmost allowance for disabilities under 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, s. 17 (repealed).

This enactment is repealed by sect. 9 of the stat. 37 & 38 Vict. c. 57, which enacts as follows:—

Sect. 5. “No entry, distress, action, or suit shall be made or brought by any person who at the time at which his right to make any entry or distress or to bring an action or suit to recover any land or rent shall have first accrued, shall be under any of the disabilities hereinbefore mentioned, or by any person claiming through him, but within *thirty* years next after the time at which such right shall have first accrued, although the person under disability at such time may have remained under one or more of such disabilities during the whole of such thirty years, or although the term of six years from the time at which he shall have ceased to be under such disability or have died, shall not have expired.”

Thirty years utmost allowance for disabilities.

By sect. 18 of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, it is provided that where a person under disability at the accruer of the right shall die during the disability, no time to sue beyond the twenty years next after the accruer of the right, or the ten years next after the death of the person under disability, shall be allowed by reason of the disability of any other person. This enactment is preserved in full force by sect. 9 of the stat. 37 & 38 Vict. c. 57, except that the periods are reduced to twelve and six years respectively on and after the 1st January, 1879.

No further time to be allowed for successive disabilities.

viii.—Fraud.—There may be circumstances, besides the legal disabilities before noticed, which would take the case out of the statute; as if there was fraud in the transaction (*g*); as if the mortgagor, being the mortgagee's executor, concealed the mortgage, or the like (*h*).

(*f*) *Blewitt v. Thomas*, 2 Ves. Jun. 669, a decision under the old law in a case of redemption.

(*g*) *Spurgeon v. Collier*, 1 Ed. 55, a case of fraud on the part of a mortgagee, but the principle would seem

to apply equally to a mortgagor.

(*h*) See *ante*, p. 45, as to forfeiture of the right of redemption as against a *quintus* mortgagor by concealment of prior incumbrances.

CHAP. I.

By sect. 26 of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, it is enacted that—

In cases of fraud, no time shall run while the fraud remains concealed.

“In every case of a concealed fraud, the right of any person to bring a suit in equity for the recovery of any land or rent, of which he, or any person through whom he claims, may have been deprived by such fraud, shall be deemed to have first accrued at, and not before, the time at which such fraud shall or with reasonable diligence might have been first known or discovered: Provided that nothing herein contained shall enable any owner of lands or rents to have a suit in equity for recovery of such lands or rents, or for setting aside any conveyance of such lands or rents on account of fraud, against any *bond fide* purchaser for valuable consideration, who has not assisted in the commission of such fraud, and who at the time that he made the purchase did not know, and had no reason to believe, that any such fraud had been committed” (i).

Bond fide purchaser without notice.

In the exception in the Statute of Limitations in favour of *bond fide* purchasers for valuable consideration without notice of any fraud, the purchaser is not protected if he contracts through an agent cognisant of the fraud (k).

But fraud, or the non-discovery of fraud, cannot be relied on to take the case out of the Statutes of Limitation, unless committed either personally by the person who relies on the statute, or by his agent while acting within the scope of his authority (l).

Mistake.

In cases of mistake, time did not formerly run until the discovery of the mistake (m); but the present statutes have no saving for such cases.

Saving in case of express trusts under 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, s. 25.

ix.—Express Trusts.—By sect. 25 of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, it was provided that, in cases of express trust, that is to say, trusts expressly declared by a deed or other instrument, the right of the *cestui que trust* to bring a suit against the trustee to recover land or rent vested in him should not be deemed to have accrued until the land or rent should have been conveyed to a purchaser for valuable consideration.

R. P. L. Act, 1874, s. 10.

But now express trusts of money charged on land or rent are

(i) As to what is “concealed fraud,” see *Petrie v. Petrie*, 1 Drew. 397; *Sturgis v. Morse*, 24 Beav. 541; *Vane v. Vane*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 383; *Metro-politan Bank v. Heiron*, 5 Ex. D. 319; *Trotter v. Maclean*, 13 Ch. D. 584; *Rains v. Buxton*, 14 Ch. D. 537; *Gibbs v. Guild*, 9 Q. B. D. 59, C. A.; *Laurance v. Lord Norreys*, 15 App. Cas.

213; *Willis v. Earl Howe*, (1893) 2 Ch. 545, C. A.; *Thorne v. Heard*, (1895) A. C. 495.

(k) *Smith v. Chichester*, 2 Dr. & War. 393; *Vane v. Vane*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 383.

(l) *Thorne v. Heard*, (1895) A. C. 495.

(m) *Brookesbank v. Smith*, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 58.

brought within the Statute of Limitations by the stat. 37 & 38 Vict. c. 57, which enacts as follows:—

CHAP. I.

Sect. 10. "After the commencement of this Act, no action, suit, or other proceeding shall be brought to recover any sum of money or legacy charged upon or payable out of any land or rent at law or in equity, and secured by an express trust, or to recover any arrears of rent or of interest in respect of any sum of money or legacy so charged or payable, and so secured, or any damages in respect to such arrears, except within the time within which the same would be recoverable if there were not any such trust."

Time for recovering charges, &c., not to be enlarged by express trusts for raising same.

The effect of this enactment is to render it immaterial whether a security on land for a loan or debt is by way of mortgage in the ordinary form, or by way of trust for sale.

So a trust to pay an annuity out of rents and profits of land will not take the annuity out of the operation of sect. 1 of this Act (*n*), so as to enable any arrears of the annuity to be recovered after twelve years from the time when the present right to receive payment of the annuity first accrued (*o*).

Annuity deed.

X.—Extinguishment of Right of Party out of Possession.—By sect. 34 of the Act it is provided that at the determination of the period for making an entry or distress, or bringing any action or suit, the right and title to the land or other property claimed is extinguished (*p*).

The effect of this extinguishment would seem to be to vest the land or other property in the mortgagor, freed from any mortgage rights, as if a release had been executed (*q*); and this as against a person who previously had the legal estate (*r*).

Effect of extinguishment.

If the mortgagor has been in possession without acknowledgment or payment of interest during the statutory period, the fact that a prior mortgage was in existence during part of that period will not prevent the legal estate from passing to the mortgagor, and, through him, to a subsequent mortgagee or purchaser, on the expiration of the period, even though the mortgagor has, after such expiration, acknowledged the debt (*s*).

The distinction between the old Statutes of Limitation and the present enactment in this respect has been said to be that

(*n*) Set out *sup.*, p. 1059.

(*o*) *Lyell v. Kennedy*, 14 App. Cas. 437. See *Hughes v. Coles*, W. N. (1884) 180.

(*p*) 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, s. 34.

(*q*) *Doe v. Sumner*, 14 M. & W. 39;

Incorporated Soc. v. Richards, 1 Dr. & War. 289.

(*r*) *Doe v. Barnard*, 13 Q. B. 952; and see 11 Jur. N. S. pt. 2, p. 151.

(*s*) *Kibble v. Fairthorne*, (1895) 1 Ch. 219.

CHAP. I.

the former barred the remedy, but did not extinguish the rights, but under the latter, when the remedy is barred, the right and title of the real owner are extinguished and transferred to the person whose possession is a bar (*t*).

It has also been said that the effect of the Act is to make a Parliamentary conveyance of the land to the person in possession, after the statutory period has elapsed (*u*).

Legal estate
outstanding
in paid off
mortgagee.

So, the legal estate outstanding in a mortgagee who had been paid off, but had never executed a reconveyance, was held to be extinguished after thirteen years' possession by the mortgagor from the date of such payment (*x*).

No revivor of
extinguished
right.

A title once extinguished by the statute cannot be revived or revested by acknowledgment (*y*), or by re-entry (*z*).

Extinguish-
ment need not
be pleaded.

The extinguishment of a plaintiff's right by virtue of sect. 34 may be raised by the defendant, though he has not expressly pleaded it (*a*).

(*t*) *Per* Sugden, C., in *Incorporated Soc. v. Richards*, 1 Dr. & War. 258, at p. 289. See *Re Alison, Johnson v. Mounsey*, 11 Ch. D. 284, 296, C. A.

(*u*) *Per* Parke, B., in *Doe v. Sumner*, 14 M. & W. 39, at p. 42.

(*x*) *Sands to Thompson*, 22 Ch. D. 614. See *Bolling v. Hobday*, 31 W. R. 9.

(*y*) See *supra*, p. 1074. As to revivor

of a mortgagor's right of redemption by the mortgagee's acknowledgment after the right is statute-barred, see *ante*, pp. 748 *et seq.*

(*z*) *Bryan v. Cowdal*, 21 W. R. 693; *Brassington v. Llewellyn*, 27 L. J. Ex. 297.

(*a*) *Dawkins v. Lord Penrhyn*, 4 App. Cas. 59.

CHAPTER LI.

OF THE REMEDIES OF A MORTGAGEE ON THE BANKRUPTCY
OF THE MORTGAGOR.

i.—General Jurisdiction of the Courts in Bankruptcy.—By the Bankruptcy Act, 1883, it is provided that the jurisdiction in bankruptcy matters is to be exercised by the High Court and County Courts (*a*). The old London Bankruptcy Court is united with the Supreme Court of Judicature, and its jurisdiction is transferred to the High Court (*b*). All matters in respect of which jurisdiction is given to the High Court by this Act are to be assigned to such Division, and to such judge, as the Lord Chancellor may from time to time direct (*c*). The business in bankruptcy has been assigned to the Queen's Bench Division, and to Sir L. Cave, J., as the special judge (*d*).

Jurisdiction
to be exer-
cised by High
Court and
County
Courts.

By the same Act it is enacted that—

Sect. 100. "A County Court shall, for the purposes of its jurisdiction, in addition to the ordinary powers of the Court, have all the powers and jurisdiction of the High Court, and the orders of the Court may be enforced accordingly in manner prescribed."

Powers of
County
Court.

The general jurisdiction (so far as material to the present purposes) of the Courts in bankruptcy is thus stated by the Act:—

Sect. 102. "(1.) Subject to the provisions of this Act, every Court having jurisdiction in bankruptcy under this Act shall have full power to decide all questions of priorities and all other questions whatsoever, whether of law or fact, which may arise in any case of bankruptcy coming within the cognizance of the Court, or which the Court may deem it expedient or necessary to decide for the purpose of doing complete justice, or making a complete distribution of property in any such case: Provided that the jurisdiction hereby given shall not be exercised by the County Court for the purpose of adjudicating upon any claim, not arising out of the bankruptcy,

General power
of Bankruptcy
Courts.

(*a*) 46 & 47 Vict. c. 52, s. 92.

(*b*) *Ibid.* s. 93.

(*c*) *Ibid.* s. 94.

(*d*) *Ibid.* s. 94 (i), (ii).

CHAP. LI.

which might, heretofore have been enforced by action in the High Court, unless all parties to the proceeding consent thereto, or the money, money's worth, or right in dispute does not, in the opinion of the judge, exceed in value two hundred pounds.

"(2.) A Court having jurisdiction in bankruptcy under this Act shall not be subject to be restrained in the execution of its powers under this Act by the order of any other Court, nor shall any appeal lie from its decisions, except in manner directed by this Act."

Jurisdiction of Bankruptcy Court to restrain proceedings in other Courts.

It is well settled that, with a view to giving effect to this jurisdiction, the Court having jurisdiction in bankruptcy may restrain proceedings in the High Court (*e*), or out of the jurisdiction (*f*). The Judicature Act, 1873 (*g*), does not affect the power of the Bankruptcy Court to restrain actions in other Courts (*h*).

County Courts.

A County Court has no power to restrain an action in the High Court (*i*).

Grounds on which Bankruptcy Court will interfere.

The Bankruptcy Court will not interfere except where the trustee has, by the operation of the law of bankruptcy, a higher and better title than the bankrupt himself, and that even though the trustee impugns the validity of the security (*k*). And this power can only be properly exercised where the Court is competent to give complete relief (*l*). A mortgagee, therefore, cannot generally be restrained from proceeding in the Chancery Division to foreclose his mortgage, this being a remedy which the Court in bankruptcy cannot give (*m*); but the parties may submit to the jurisdiction (*n*), in which case there will be the usual six months in which to redeem (*o*).

It is, moreover, enacted by the Bankruptcy Act, 1883, that—

Discretionary power as to stay of proceedings.

Sect. 10 (2.) "The Court may at any time after the presentation of the bankruptcy petition stay any action, execution, or other legal process against the property or person of the debtor, and any Court in which proceedings are pending against a debtor may, on proof that a bankruptcy petition has been presented by or against the debtor, either stay the proceedings or allow them to continue on such terms as it may think just."

(*e*) *Exp. Rumboll, Re Rumboll and Taylor*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 842.

(*f*) *Exp. Tait, Re Tait & Co.*, L. R. 13 Eq. 311; *Exp. Ormiston*, 24 L. T. N. S. 197.

(*g*) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66.

(*h*) *Exp. Ditton, Re Woods*, 1 Ch. D. 557, C. A.

(*i*) *Exp. Reynolds, Re Barnett*, 15 Q. B. D. 169, C. A.

(*k*) *Re Champaign, Exp. Kemp*, W. N. (1893) 163.

(*l*) *Exp. Rumboll*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 842; *White v. Simmons*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 555.

(*m*) *White v. Simmons, sup.*

(*n*) *Exp. Fletcher, Re Hart*, 9 Ch. D. 381, C. A.; *Exp. Davies, Re Sadler*, 19 Ch. D. 86.

(*o*) *Exp. Fletcher, Re Hart*, 10 Ch. D. 610, C. A.

Sect. 11. "Where the Court makes an order staying any action or proceeding, or staying proceedings generally, the order may be served by sending a copy thereof, under the seal of the Court, by prepaid post letter to the address for service of the plaintiff or other party prosecuting such proceeding."

CHAP. LI.

Service of order staying proceedings.

But this jurisdiction is not intended to affect or interfere with the rights of a mortgagee to realize his security by the exercise of his remedies at law, or in equity, and does not give to the Bankruptcy Court any authority to restrain the mortgagee from proceeding with an action in the Chancery Division to establish and enforce his right to foreclose (p).

Extent of this jurisdiction.

The mortgagee may, therefore, instead of proceeding in bankruptcy, proceed in the Chancery Division against the trustee for the purpose of having the security realized, the jurisdiction in that Division not being taken away either by the Bankruptcy Act, 1869, or by the Act of 1883 (q). But the Chancery Division will not generally exercise its jurisdiction, except in cases where the Court in bankruptcy is unable to give adequate relief (r). Where, in a creditor's action for the administration of the estate of a deceased compounding debtor, certain secured creditors claimed to value their securities and claim for the deficiency, which claim had been admitted by the trustee in the composition; it was held that, in strictness, the applicants ought to proceed in bankruptcy, but, the plaintiffs consenting, the claims were allowed (s).

Jurisdiction of Chancery Division to order foreclosure not excluded.

The trustee of a bankrupt mortgagee may bring an action of foreclosure in the Chancery Division against the trustee of the bankrupt mortgagor, and need not apply in bankruptcy (t).

Trustee of bankrupt mortgagee may foreclose.

This rule applies to equitable no less than to legal mortgagees. So where a second equitable mortgagee commenced an action in the Chancery Division against the first mortgagee and the trustee of the bankrupt mortgagor to redeem and foreclose, an injunction by the Bankruptcy Court was discharged (u).

Where an action is brought by the mortgagee against the

(p) *Exp. Hirst, Re Wherly*, 11 Ch. D. 278. See *Exp. Bayly, Re Hart*, 15 Ch. D. 223, C. A.; *Sharp v. McHenry*, 55 L. T. 747. See as to action by a mortgagee to realize his security on property situate in a British colony or dependency, *Exp. Rogers, Re Boustead*, 16 Ch. D. 665, C. A.

(q) *White v. Simmons*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 555; *Ellis v. Silber*, L. R. 8 Ch.

A. 83; *Jenny v. Bell*, 2 Ch. D. 547.

(r) *Stone v. Thomas*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 219.

(s) *Re Hardy, Hardy v. Farmer*, (1896) 1 Ch. 904.

(t) *Waddell v. Toleman*, 9 Ch. D. 212.

(u) *Exp. Hirst, Re Wherly*, 11 Ch. D. 278.

CHAP. II.

Restraint on
action for
foreclosure.

trustee in the Chancery Division, the Court of Bankruptcy will not order the mortgagee to deliver up the deeds unless he is paid off, even upon an allegation of fraud (*x*).

Under special circumstances, however, the Court of Bankruptcy will restrain a secured creditor from pursuing his remedies in the High Court. So, where a mortgagee commenced an action in Chancery for foreclosure after the trustee in the bankruptcy of the mortgagor had entered into a provisional contract for the sale of the mortgaged property upon very advantageous terms, the registrar made an order for sale and restrained the mortgagee from proceeding with his suit in Chancery; and the order was affirmed in this respect by the Court of Appeal (*y*).

Restraint on
action of
trover.

So, also, in two cases where the validity of a bill of sale was impeached by the trustee in bankruptcy, who refused to give up the goods on that ground, the grantee was restrained from proceeding with an action of trover against the trustee (*z*).

Mortgagee in
possession.

Where a mortgagee is in possession, an injunction will not be granted on the mere possibility of the trustee finding out something to invalidate the mortgage; in order to justify such an interference with the legal rights of the mortgagee, the applicant for the injunction must swear that, to the best of his belief, there are facts which, if established, would invalidate the deed (*a*).

Objection to
jurisdiction.

The objection to the jurisdiction of the Court of Bankruptcy to restrain proceedings in another Court should be taken at the earliest opportunity (*b*). But the Court may, at any time if it thinks fit, refuse to exercise its jurisdiction (*c*).

Foreclosure.

It is doubtful whether the Court of Bankruptcy has jurisdiction to make an order for foreclosure (*d*); but where an equitable mortgagee came in and submitted to the jurisdiction, an order was made for delivery to him of the property comprised in his security, unless the trustee in the bankruptcy should redeem him by a short date (*e*).

(*x*) *Exp. Pannell, Re England*, 6 Ch. D. 336, C. A. See also *Exp. Ditton, Re Woods*, 1 Ch. D. 557, C. A.

(*y*) *Exp. Ditton, Re Woods, sup.*

(*z*) *Exp. Cohen, Re Spark*, L. R. 7 Ch. A. 20; *Exp. Macdonald, Re Beveridge*, 24 L. T. 475.

(*a*) *Exp. Bayly, Re Hart*, 15 Ch. D. 223, C. A.

(*b*) *Exp. Swinbanks, Re Shanks*, 11 Ch. D. 525, C. A.; *Exp. Butters, Re Harrison*, 14 Ch. D. 265, C. A.

(*c*) *Exp. Swinbanks, Re Shanks, sup.*
(*d*) *White v. Simmons*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. at p. 558.

(*e*) *Exp. Fletcher, Re Hart*, 9 Ch. D. 381, C. A.

ii.—Effect of Receiving Order on Rights of Secured Creditors.

CHAP. II.

—By the Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (*f*), it is enacted that :—

Sect. 5. "Subject to the conditions in the Act specified, if a debtor commits an act of bankruptcy the Court may, on a bankruptcy petition being presented either by a creditor or by the debtor, make an order, in the Act called a receiving order, for the protection of the estate."

Jurisdiction to make receiving order.

By sect. 9 of this Act it is enacted as follows :—

"(1.) On the making of a receiving order, an official receiver shall be thereby constituted receiver of the property of the debtor, and thereafter, except as directed by this Act, no creditor, to whom the debtor is indebted in respect of any debt provable in the bankruptcy, shall have any remedy against the property or person of the debtor in respect of the debt, or shall commence any action or other legal proceedings, unless with the leave of the Court and on such terms as the Court may impose."

Effect of receiving order.

"(2.) But this section shall not affect the power of any secured creditor to realize or otherwise deal with his security in the same manner as he would have been entitled to realize or deal with it if this section had not been passed."

By sect. 168 of the Act, the expression "secured creditor" is defined as meaning "a person holding a mortgage, charge, or lien on the property of the debtor, or any part thereof, as a security for a debt due to him from the debtor."

Definition of expression "secured creditor."

So an equitable mortgagee by deposit of deeds is a "secured creditor" within the meaning of the Bankruptcy Acts (*g*).

Equitable mortgagee by deposit.

If there is a mere licence to seize conferring no interest in the property of the debtor, the licence is determined by the commencement of the bankruptcy, so as no longer to render the holder thereof a "secured creditor" unless he has previously seized the property (*h*). But a power or licence to seize may be so framed as, in equity, to operate as a present assignment of property if it appears from the instrument that such was the intention of the parties (*i*). Of course, having regard to the provisions of the Bills of Sale Acts, questions of this kind cannot now arise as to powers or licences to seize chattels (*k*).

Licence to seize goods.

Where a consignee accepted a bill of exchange payable on delivery up of a bill of lading, the holder of the bill of exchange, who also held the bill of lading, was held to be a "secured creditor" on the assignee's bankruptcy (*l*).

Consignee.

(*f*) 46 & 47 Vict. c. 52, s. 5.

(*g*) *Exp. Mountfort*, 14 Ves. 606.

(*h*) *Thompson v. Cohen*, L. R. 7 Q. B. 627; *Cole v. Kernot*, L. R. 7 Q. B. 634.

(*i*) *Holroyd v. Marshall*, 10 H. L. C. 191.

(*k*) See *ante*, p. 198.

(*l*) *Exp. Brett, Re Howe*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 838.

CHAP. LI.

Security must be subsisting at date of bankruptcy.

Property charged must be in existence at date of bankruptcy.

Mortgagee's right to realize security for loan to partnership.

Mortgagee may rest on security.

Creditor not compellable to give up securities.

Creditor not valuing security, &c., does not lose benefit thereof.

A "secured creditor" within the meaning of sect. 9 is a creditor who holds a security for his debt at the date of the bankruptcy (*m*).

The property charged, or agreed to be charged, must be in existence at the commencement of the bankruptcy. So where a trader assigned for value the future profits of his business, it was held that, as regards profits accrued after the commencement of his subsequent bankruptcy, the assignee was not a secured creditor (*n*). But a distinction has been taken in the case of an assignment of a debt due at the commencement of the bankruptcy, but not payable till afterwards (*o*).

The right of a mortgagee to realize or otherwise deal with his security was held not to be affected by the fact that the mortgage was to secure a loan made by him to a partnership firm of which he was a member, with interest varying with the profits of the business (*p*); but, in such a case, if the amount realized by sale of the mortgaged property is not sufficient to pay the debt in full, the mortgagee will not be allowed to prove for the balance until the other creditors have been paid in full (*q*).

A mortgagee, on the bankruptcy of his mortgagor, has several courses open to him. He may either (1) rest on his security; or (2) surrender his security and prove for the whole debt; or (3) realize his security and prove for the deficiency, if any; or (4) put a value on his security and prove for the balance.

First, then, a mortgagee may rest on his security and compel the trustee in the bankruptcy to redeem him or be foreclosed.

A majority of the creditors cannot compel a dissentient creditor to give up securities which he holds and accept something else instead (*r*).

There is no rule in bankruptcy that a petitioning creditor, who omits in his petition either to give an estimate of the value of his security, or to state that he will be ready to give up his security for the benefit of the creditors in the event of his debtor

(*m*) *Quartermaine's Case*, (1892) 1 Ch. 639, 641 (winding-up).

(*n*) *Exp. Nicholls, Re Jones*, 22 Ch. D. 782. See as to bills of sale of future chattels, *ante*, p. 210.

(*o*) *Re Davis & Co., Exp. Rawlings*, 22 Q. B. D. 193, 199, C. A. See *Exp. Moss, Re Toward*, 14 Q. B. D. 310.

(*p*) *Exp. Sheil, Re Lomergan*, 4 Ch. D. 789, C. A.; *Badeley v. Consolidated Bank*, 38 Ch. D. 238, C. A.

(*q*) 53 & 54 Vict. c. 63, s. 3.

(*r*) *Exp. Jones*, L. R. 10 Ch. A. 665; *Re Chidley*, 1 Ch. D. 177, 180, C. A.

being adjudicated bankrupt, thereby forfeits the benefit of his security (s). CHAP. XL.

If the mortgage is of leaseholds by demise, if the lease is disclaimed by the trustee in the bankruptcy of the mortgagor, the right of the mortgagee to enforce his security will depend on his acceptance of an order vesting in him the original lease subject to the liabilities and obligations to which the mortgagor was subject under the lease, as otherwise the benefit of the security will be forfeited (t). Effect of disclaimer by trustee of lease on mortgage by underlease.

Secondly, the mortgagee may surrender his security to the official receiver or trustee for the general benefit of creditors; and if he adopts this course he may prove in the bankruptcy for his whole debt (u). Mortgagee may surrender security and prove for whole debt.

A petitioning creditor having security, and being willing to give it up, should state the fact in his petition, but he may amend his petition by adding a statement of the particulars of his security and of his willingness to surrender it (x). Statement of readiness to give up security.

In order to entitle a creditor to prove for the whole debt, he must altogether surrender all benefit of his security. This rule prevents the creditor from retaining his security, and at the same time proving on a note, part of the consideration for which was interest on a debt covered by the security (y). Surrender must be complete.

So where goods were deposited as security for advances, and bills were drawn by the lender and accepted by the borrower for the amount, which were indorsed over by the lender to a third party for value; during the currency of the bills, the borrower filed a petition for liquidation under the Bankruptcy Act, 1869, and the creditors accepted a composition; by arrangement between the lender and the holder of the bills, the latter, without giving up the bills, received a composition on the total amount of the bills, receiving the balance from the lender; the lender then, without proving, realized his security, and claimed to hold the proceeds against the balance paid by him; but it was held that he was bound to account to the borrower for the amount by which the composition paid on Composition paid to indorsee of bills without surrender of security.

(s) *Moore v. Anglo-Italian Bank*, 10 Ch. D. 681. Sched. rule 10.

(t) Bankruptcy Act, 1883, s. 55 (6), considered *ante*, p. 160. (x) *Exp. Vanderlinden, Re Pogose*, 20 Ch. D. 289, C. A.

(u) Bankruptcy Act, 1883, 2nd (y) *Exp. Clarke*, 1 M. D. & De G. 622.

CHAP. II.

Proof on securities of third persons indebted to bankrupt.

Surrender will not prejudice claim against surety.

Security of surety need not be surrendered.

Creditor may retain separate security of one of several co-debtors, and prove against joint estate.

the bills exceeded what would have been paid if the value of the security had been previously deducted (z).

But a creditor holding securities of third persons, indebted to the debtor, of greater value than the amount due from the bankrupt to himself, may prove and receive dividends upon the full amount of the securities to the extent of twenty shillings in the pound upon the actual debt (a).

The surrender of the security will not prejudice any claim which the mortgagee may have on a surety for the debt (b).

The creditor is not bound to elect where the security is not on the estate of a bankrupt, but of a surety (c). In such cases, it is said that the proper course, having regard to the equity of the surety, is for the creditor to prove first for his whole debt against the estate of the bankrupt debtor, and then to come upon the surety for the deficiency, on the ground that if the principal security be first realized, and the surety have paid nothing before the bankruptcy, he cannot prove in respect of his loss, even on bringing an action (d); but it would seem that a surety can now prove on a payment made after the bankruptcy (e).

It has been seen that "secured creditor" means a creditor holding a security "over the property of the debtor" (f); it follows that only such securities need be taken into account in reduction of proof for the whole debt or balance, as the case may be. Thus, where the debt is joint, if the creditor has a security over the separate estate, he is entitled to prove against the joint estate, without giving up his security, on the ground that it is a different estate (g). So in a composition, one of the joint creditors of a partnership, whose debt was collaterally secured by an equitable mortgage by deposit upon the property

(z) *Baines v. Wright*, 16 Q. B. D. 330, C. A.

(a) *Re Bloxham*, 6 Ves. 449. See *Exp. Crossley*, 3 Bro. C. C. 237; *Exp. Bennett*, 2 Atk. 527; *Exp. Goodman*, 3 Madd. 373; *Exp. Parr*, 18 Ves. 55. See also *Exp. Schofield*, 12 Ch. D. 337, C. A.

(b) *Exp. Wildman*, 1 Atk. 109; *Exp. Bennett*, 2 Atk. 528; *Rainbow v. Jugins*, 5 Q. B. D. 422.

(c) *Exp. Thornton*, 3 De G. & J. 454; *Exp. Goodman*, 3 Madd. 373; *Exp. Hedderly, Re Hicklin*, 2 M. D. & De G. 487; *Exp. Brett*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 838.

(d) *Fish. Mtg.* (4th ed.) 503. See *Kittier v. Raynes*, 1 Cox, 105.

(e) See *Breslauser v. Brown*, 3 App. Cas. 672.

(f) See *ante*, p. 1079.

(g) *Exp. Shepherd, Re Plumer*, 1 Ph. 56; *Exp. Peacock*, 2 Gl. & J. 27; *Exp. Bowden, Re Brettell*, 1 D. & C. 135; *Exp. Halifax, Re Ridge*, 2 M. D. & De G. 544; *Bank of Australasia v. Flower, & Co.*, L. R. 1 P. C. 29; *Exp. Ogle*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 711; *Exp. Caldicott*, 25 Ch. D. 716, C. A. See *Exp. West Riding Union Banking Co., Re Turner*, 19 Ch. D. 105, C. A.

of one of the partners, was held to be entitled to prove for his whole debt against the joint estate without giving up his security (*h*).

Where a creditor under the Bankruptcy Act, 1869, by mistake included in the valuation a security on the separate estate, as well as a joint security, and the two securities realized more than the valuation, the trustee was held entitled to the excess (*i*).

Security on
separate estate
undervalued.

On the principle above stated, it was held that where a father and son were jointly and severally liable, and the father mortgaged, as further security, his real estate, which descended to the son, the mortgagee was held entitled to prove in the son's bankruptcy, without giving up his security (*k*): but the contrary was held in the case of a devised estate (*l*).

So where a bankrupt and his wife executed a power of appointment of the wife's estate as security for a debt due from the bankrupt, it was held that the creditor was entitled to prove for the whole debt without giving up the security (*m*).

Similarly, where trust money was drawn out of a bank by one of the partners who was interested therein, and placed upon an unauthorized security, the *cestuis que trust* had a right to prove against the bankrupt's estate without giving up the security (*n*).

But where securities, though apparently belonging to the separate estate of a partner, are really the joint property of the firm, the creditor must give up the securities before proving against the joint estate. So, where, by the deed of a banking company, it was provided that no shares should be held jointly, and partners in a firm who held, each in their separate names, several shares which were really the property of the firm, borrowed money from the company on their joint account, it was held, upon the joint bankruptcy of the partners, that the shares must be deemed to be held as a joint security by the company, who could not be allowed to prove for the joint debt without deducting the value of their security (*o*).

So, the interest of partners, where real estate is purchased with partnership moneys, and mortgaged by the firm for a joint

(*h*) *Exp. Manchester and Liverpool District Banking Co., Re Littler*, L. R. 18 Eq. 249.

(*i*) *Coultery v. Bartrum*, 19 Ch. D. 394, C. A.

(*k*) *Exp. Turney*, 3 M. D. & De G. 576.

(*l*) *Exp. Bowden, Re Brettell*, 1 D. & C. 135.

(*m*) *Exp. Hedderley, Re Hicklin*, 2 M. D. & De G. 487.

(*n*) *Exp. Biddulph*, 3 De G. & S. 587.

(*o*) *Exp. Connell*, 3 Deac. 201.

| | |
|--|---|
| CHAP. LI. | debt, is a joint security, though the conveyance was made to the partners as tenants in common (<i>p</i>). |
| Joint and several covenant. | Conversely, where there is a joint and several covenant to pay, the creditor may prove against the separate estate of each partner without surrendering a mortgage to secure the debt on the joint property of the partnership (<i>q</i>). |
| Joint security after dissolution. | But where, on the bankruptcy of a partner after dissolution, a creditor sought to prove without deducting the security on property of both partners, as being a joint security, the creditor was only allowed to prove on deducting a moiety of the property, as the security was on each moiety, and not joint (<i>r</i>). |
| There must be separate debt. | Though a creditor is entitled to the benefit of a separate security given by a partner for a joint debt, yet, if the partner becomes bankrupt, the other partners remaining solvent, the creditor will not be allowed to prove against the separate estate, there being no separate debt (<i>s</i>). |
| Election between joint and separate estates. | A joint and separate creditor must elect against which estate he will go in the first instance; and, if he elect to go against the joint estate, he has no preference against the other joint creditors upon the surplus of the separate estate beyond the separate debts (<i>t</i>). |
| What amounts to abandonment of security. | The proving of the debt, without disclosing the security, is an election to abandon it (<i>u</i>), and the creditor after proof in ignorance of a lien was not allowed to reduce his proof and set up the lien (<i>v</i>). |
| Dispute as to title of mortgagee. | Where, however, the validity of the security is in dispute, a mortgagee may be allowed to enter a claim to prove for the whole amount of his debt, subject to the determination of the question of right (<i>x</i>). |
| Partial proof. | Partial proof is no election to give up the security (<i>y</i>). |
| Proof for whole debt. | If a creditor votes for his whole debt without stating his security in his proof, he will generally be deemed to have abandoned his security (<i>z</i>). The question has not yet been decided whether, if a creditor who states his security afterwards votes |

(*p*) *Exp. Free*, 2 Gl. & J. 250.

(*q*) *Exp. Shepherd, Re Plumer*, 1 Ph. 56; *Bank of Australasia v. Flower, &c. Co.*, L. R. 1 P. C. 27. See *Exp. Davenport*, 1 M. D. & De G. 313; *Exp. English and American Bank*, L. R. 4 Ch. A. 49.

(*r*) *Exp. West Riding Union Banking Co., Re Turner*, 19 Ch. D. 105, C. A.

(*s*) *Exp. Leicestershire Banking Co.*,

De G. 292; *Exp. Lloyd*, 3 Deac. 305; *Exp. Biddulph*, 3 De G. & S. 587.

(*t*) *Exp. Bevan*, 10 Ves. 107.

(*u*) *Exp. Rolfe*, 2 Deac. 421; *Re Balbirnie*, 3 Ch. D. 488, C. A.

(*v*) *Exp. Spottiswoode, Fonb. Bky.* 20.

(*x*) *Exp. Bignold*, 1 Deac. 615.

(*y*) *Elder v. Beaumont*, 4 Jur. N. S. 23.

(*z*) See Bankruptcy Act, 1st Sched. r. 10.

for his whole debt, this amounts to an abandonment of the security (a). CHAP. LI.

Where a first mortgagee gives up his security, the effect is to put the trustee in his place, and not to accelerate the rights of subsequent mortgagees (b). Effect of surrender.

Where the creditor has neither proved nor assessed the value of his security, and it turns out afterwards that his security was of no value, he may prove, giving up his security, and not disturbing previous distribution (c). Right to prove on failure of security.

A secured creditor will be relieved from being deemed to have surrendered his security, and will be allowed to withdraw his proof, unless it clearly appears that he has deliberately and purposely abandoned his security (d). But a creditor, having once made his election to give up his securities, cannot afterwards retract (e). Retraction of surrender.

A creditor who surrenders to the trustee his securities must be taken to do so on the footing that the bankruptcy will be prosecuted so as to give him a right to prove therein; and, accordingly, if the bankruptcy is annulled, he is entitled to a return of his securities (f). Return of securities if bankruptcy annulled.

Thirdly, a mortgagee, having power to sell the property comprised in his security, may realize it by sale without applying to the Court, or he may apply to have his security realized under an order of the Court, whether he has a power of sale or not (g). If a secured creditor realizes his security, he may prove for the balance due to him after deducting the net amount realized (h). Mortgagee may realize security and prove for balance.

This rule also applies to a composition, so that a secured creditor need not take any part in the composition proceeding; but when he has realized his security he may prove his debt, and receive the composition upon the balance which remains due to him (i). Rule applies to compositions.

If part of the debt have been realized by sale under a decree, and the remainder of the security be unsaleable, the mortgagee Proving for deficiency.

(a) *Exp. Wood, Re Wright*, 10 Ch. D. 554, C. A.

(b) *Cracknell v. Janson*, 6 Ch. D. 735.

(c) *Re Kit-Hill Tunnel, Exp. Williams*, 16 Ch. D. 590 (winding-up).

(d) *Re Burr, Exp. Clarke*, W. N. (1892) 138, C. A.

(e) *Exp. Downes*, 18 Ves. 290; *Exp. Solomon*, 1 Gl. & J. 25; *Exp. Eggington*, Mont. 72; *Exp. Hornby*, Buok. 351; *Grurgeon v. Gerrard*, 4 Y. & C.

Ex. 119, 131.

(f) *Exp. Morris, Re Tyrie*, 14 L. T. N. S. 606.

(g) See as to sales under order of Court in bankruptcy, *post*, pp. 1094 *et seq.*

(h) Rule 9.

(i) *Re Bestwick, Exp. Bestwick*, 2 Ch. D. 485, C. A. See *Exp. Birmingham Gas Light and Coke Co., Re Adams*, L. R. 11 Eq. 204; and *Bolton v. Ferro*, 14 Ch. D. 171.

CHAP. LI.

may prove for the deficiency, upon giving up the remaining benefit of the decree (*j*). So it seems he may come in and prove if the security fail before the estate is distributed (*k*). And a creditor who has a mortgage for a principal sum, and a further charge secured by the same and other securities, may retain the mortgage for the original debt and prove for the other debts, upon giving up all the other securities (*l*).

Postpone-
ment of
charge at
request of
bankrupt.

If a second mortgagee postpones his security to a further advance by the first mortgagee, and on a sale of the property by, or at the instance of, the first mortgagee, the proceeds are not sufficient to satisfy the postponed charge, the second mortgagee may prove in the bankruptcy of the debtor for the deficiency on the ground of an implied promise by the debtor to indemnify him against any loss arising from the postponement (*m*).

Mortgagee
may put a
value on
security and
prove for
balance.

Fourthly, the mortgagee may put a value on his security, and after deducting such value from the amount of his debt, he may prove for the balance along with the other creditors.

It is enacted by the Bankruptcy Act, 1883, that:—

Estimate of
security by
petitioning
creditor.

Sect. 6 (2.) "If the petitioning creditor is a secured creditor, he must, in his petition, either state that he is willing to give up his security for the benefit of the creditors in the event of the debtor being adjudged bankrupt, or give an estimate of the value of his security. In the latter case, he may be admitted as a petitioning creditor to the extent of the balance of the debt due to him, after deducting the value so estimated in the same manner as if he were an unsecured creditor."

The penalty of non-compliance with the requirements of this section is exclusion from proof, not forfeiture of the security (*n*).

Bankruptcy
rules as to
estimates.

The Second Schedule to the Act sets out the following rules which are to be complied with if this course is adopted.

R. 11. "If a secured creditor does not either realize or surrender his security, he shall, before ranking for dividend, state in his proof the particulars of his security, the date when it was given, and the value at which he assesses it, and shall be entitled to receive a dividend only in respect of the balance due to him after deducting the value so assessed."

R. 12. "(a) Where a security is so valued, the trustee may at any time redeem it, on payment to the creditor of the assessed value.

"(b) If the trustee is dissatisfied with the value at which a security is assessed, he may require that the property comprised in any

(*j*) *Exp. Wyly, Vern. & Scriv.* 518;
Exp. Greaves, De G. 119.

(*k*) *Exp. Peake, Re Brodie*, L. R. 2
Ch. A. 453.

(*l*) *Re Allison*, Fonb. Bky. 26.

(*m*) *Exp. Ford, Re Chappell*, 16 Q. B.
D. 306, C. A.

(*n*) *Moore v. Anglo-Italian Bank*, 10
Ch. D. 681.

security so valued be offered for sale at such times and on such terms and conditions as may be agreed on between the creditor and the trustee, or as, in default of such agreement, the Court may direct. If the sale be by public auction the creditor, or the trustee on behalf of the estate, may bid or purchase.

"(c) Provided that the creditor may at any time, by notice in writing, require the trustee to elect whether he will or will not exercise his power of redeeming the security or requiring it to be realized, and if the trustee does not, within six months after receiving the notice, signify in writing to the creditor his election to exercise the power, he shall not be entitled to exercise it; and the equity of redemption, or any other interest in the property comprised in the security which is vested in the trustee, shall vest in the creditor, and the amount of his debt shall be reduced by the amount at which the security has been valued."

R. 13. "Where a creditor has so valued his security, he may at any time amend the valuation and proof on showing to the satisfaction of the trustee, or the Court, that the valuation and proof were made *bond fide* on a mistaken estimate, or that the security has diminished or increased in value since its previous valuation: but every such amendment shall be made at the cost of the creditor, and upon such terms as the Court shall order, unless the trustee shall allow the amendment without application to the Court."

R. 14. "Where a valuation has been amended in accordance with the foregoing rule, the creditor shall forthwith repay any surplus dividend which he may have received in excess of that to which he would have been entitled on the amended valuation, or, as the case may be, shall be entitled to be paid out of any money for the time being available for dividend, any dividend or share of dividend which he may have failed to receive by reason of the inaccuracy of the original valuation, before that money is made applicable to the payment of any future dividend, but he shall not be entitled to disturb the distribution of any dividend declared before the date of the amendment."

R. 15. "If a creditor, after having valued his security, subsequently realizes it, or if it is realized under the provisions of rule 12, the net amount realized shall be substituted for the amount of any valuation previously made by the creditor, and shall be treated in all respects as an amended valuation made by the creditor."

R. 16. "If a secured creditor does not comply with the foregoing rules, he shall be excluded from all share in any dividend."

R. 17. "Subject to the provisions of rule 12, a creditor shall in no case receive more than twenty shillings in the pound and interest as provided by this Act."

With regard to the right of mortgagees to vote at meetings of creditors, the First Schedule to the Bankruptcy Act, 1883, provides as follows:—

Right of mortgagees to vote.

R. 10. "For the purpose of voting, a secured creditor shall, unless he surrenders his security, state in his proof the particulars of his security, the date when it was given, and the value at which he assesses it, and shall be entitled to vote only in respect of the

CHAP. LX.

balance (if any) due to him, after deducting the value of his security. If he votes in respect of his whole debt he shall be deemed to have surrendered his security, unless the Court on application is satisfied that the omission to value the security has arisen from inadvertence."

Voting for composition.

If a secured creditor votes in favour of a composition, without preferring any claim as a secured creditor, he will be deemed to have abandoned his security (o). But a creditor of a partnership firm may vote in respect of his whole debt for a composition by the firm, and yet retain a separate security held by him on the estate of one of the partners (p).

Evidence of right to vote.

It is sufficient for a mortgagee, in order to establish his right to vote, to produce the mortgage deed, without producing the title deeds to the mortgaged property (q).

Creditor not bound by debtor's estimate.

A secured creditor is in no way bound by his debtor's estimate of the value of the security (r).

Trustee entitled to redeem at estimated value.

Where a secured creditor presents a bankruptcy petition against his debtor, and undervalues his security, he will be bound by such undervalue, so that the trustee will be entitled to redeem the security at the value stated (s).

Assessment of several securities as a whole.

A creditor holding several securities for debts due from the bankrupt may assess them as a whole; and, unless the trustee elects within the prescribed time to redeem them as a whole at such assessed value, the property comprised in the securities so assessed will vest in the creditor (t).

Right of foreclosure notwithstanding estimate.

The assessment of the security by the mortgagee will not preclude him from bringing an action for foreclosure unless the trustee has previously elected to redeem the security; in such a case the trustee must plead the valuation of the security, or otherwise bring it to the notice of the Court before the usual order for foreclosure is made, in order that regard may be paid to the fact on taking the accounts; if this is not done at the hearing, the matter cannot be subsequently raised so as to deprive the mortgagee of the full benefit of the foreclosure order (u).

Mortgagee must pay over

A secured creditor who assesses his security at an undervalue

(o) *Re Balbirnie, Exp. Jameson*, 3 Ch. D. 488.

(p) *Exp. Manchester and Liverpool Bank, Re Littler*, L. R. 18 Eq. 249.

(q) *Exp. Cass, Re Dunkley*, 45 L. T. 560.

(r) *Re Bestwick, Exp. Bestwick*, 2

Ch. D. 485, C. A.

(s) *Exp. Taylor, Re Lacey*, 13 Q. B. D. 128.

(t) *Re Smith, Exp. Logan*, 72 L. T. 362.

(u) *Sanguinetti v. Stuckey's Banking Co.* (No. 2), (1896) 1 Ch. 502.

and proves for the balance of his debt, is bound to pay over to the trustee any excess realized from the security beyond the assessed value, even though the trustee does not object to the assessment, or offer to redeem (*x*).

CHAP. II.

to trustee
excess over
estimate.

If, however, a creditor who has undervalued his security can satisfy the trustees or the Court that the assessment and proof were made *bonâ fide* on a mistaken estimate, he may amend his assessment and proof (*y*). A mortgagee may amend notwithstanding the opposition of a subsequent mortgagee (*z*).

Amendment
of estimate.

A creditor may amend notwithstanding that the trustee has given notice of intention to redeem; but not, as it would seem, after the trustee has paid the assessed value to the creditor, or even definitely elected to redeem at the assessed value (*a*).

Leave to amend was refused where a creditor had received in full the estimated value of his security, and had proved for and accepted a composition on the balance (*b*).

Where the secured creditor has valued his security and proved for the difference, but his proof has been rejected, he is remitted to all his rights and can recover more than his valuation (*c*).

Effect of
rejection of
proof.

iii.—Proof by Secured Creditors.—Subject to the provisions of the Bankruptcy Act, all debts proved in a bankruptcy are to be paid *pari passu* (*d*).

Debts pay-
able *pari*
passu.

A secured creditor may prove at any time after he has valued or even without valuing his security, but not so as to disturb a previous dividend (*e*). Until, however, an unsurrendered security has been valued or realized, he has no debt provable in respect of which the trustee is bound to make any reserve on declaring a dividend (*f*).

Reservation
of dividend
by trustee.

So an annuity creditor who has a policy of insurance cannot prove until the policy has been sold (*g*).

Annuity
secured by
policy.

In estimating the amount of the balance for which a creditor who has valued or realized his security may prove, all costs,

Proof for
costs of
maintaining
security
allowed.

(*x*) *Exp. King, Re Palathorp*, L. R. 20 Eq. 273; *Société Générale de Paris*, 8 App. Cas. 606.

(*y*) Rr. 13—15, set out *sup.* See *Exp. Adamson, Re Collie*, 8 Ch. D. 107, C. A.; *Exp. Schofield, Re Firth*, 12 Ch. D. 337, C. A.; *Exp. Bagshaw, Re Ker*, 13 Ch. D. 304, C. A.

(*z*) *Exp. Arden*, 14 Q. B. D. 121.

(*a*) *Exp. Norris, Re Sadler*, 17 Q. B.

D. 728, C. A.

(*b*) *Couldery v. Bartram*, 19 Ch. D. 394, C. A.

(*c*) *Williams v. Hopkins*, W. N. (1883) 53.

(*d*) 46 & 47 Vict. c. 52, s. 40 (4).

(*e*) *Re Kit-Hill Tunnel, Exp. Williams*, W. N. (1881) 21.

(*f*) *Exp. Good, Re Lee*, 14 Ch. D. 82.

(*g*) *Exp. Tierney*, 1 Mont. 78.

CHAP. LI.

charges and expenses properly incurred in relation to the security, including costs of maintaining and protecting the same, are to be taken into account (*h*).

Proof on
stock mort-
gage.

A secured creditor having a mortgage for the retransfer of stock may prove for the amount of the dividends due prior to the bankruptcy, and for the value of the stock at the date of the petition (*i*). So where, on a loan of stock, the borrower gave a bond to retransfer the stock in three years, and in the meantime to pay the dividends to the lender, and agreed to convey real estates as a security; default having been made in payment of dividends, and the borrower having become bankrupt within the three years, it was held that the lender was entitled to have the security sold, and the proceeds applied in payment of the dividends and replacing the stock, and to prove for any deficiency (*k*).

Mortgagee
realizing
security en-
titled to pay-
ment in full
out of pro-
ceeds, if
sufficient.

A mortgagee who has realized his security on the bankruptcy of the mortgagor is entitled to apply the proceeds of sale, if sufficient for the purpose, in payment to himself of the full amount of his debt with interest and costs, handing over the surplus, if any, to the trustee in the bankruptcy for the benefit of the creditors generally.

Proof for
deficiency.

If, however, the proceeds of sale are insufficient, or if the mortgagee assesses his security, and, in either case, claims to prove for the residue, or if he abandons his security and claims to prove for the whole debt, the question arises what his rights are as regards proof for interest.

Interest after
receiving
order not
allowed unless
there is
surplus.

In all these cases, the general rule is that the mortgagee cannot charge interest beyond the date of the receiving order until there is a surplus (*l*), and this applies to a mortgage to a building society where instalments are made up partly of principal and partly of interest (*m*), and interest wrongly paid must be refunded (*n*); but, under special circumstances, as where the mortgagee has postponed the sale at the request of the assignees, or has made some special agreement, interest after the receiving order may be allowed (*o*).

(*h*) *Exp. Carr, Re Hofman*, 11 Ch. D. 62.

(*i*) *Exp. Day*, 7 Ves. 301.

(*k*) *Exp. Fisher, Re Barker*, 3 Madd. 160.

(*l*) *Exp. Badger*, 4 Ves. 165; *Exp. Lubbock*, 4 De G. J. & S. 516; *Exp. Kensington*, 2 M. & A. 300.

(*m*) *Exp. Bath, Re Phillips*, 22 Ch. D. 450, C. A.

(*n*) *Exp. Lubbock*, 4 De G. J. & S. 516.

(*o*) *Exp. Kensington*, 2 M. & A. 300; Griffith & Holmes, Bky. 641. But see *Exp. Pollard*, 1 M. D. & De G. 270; *Exp. Burrell*, 3 M. & A. 440. See *Re Savin*, L. R. 7 Ch. A. 760 (in liquidation).

CHAP. LI.

A mortgagee may, however, prove for interest overdue at the date of the receiving order, such interest being calculated, for the purposes of dividend, at the rate at which interest is reserved by the mortgage, if not exceeding five per cent., or otherwise at five per cent., without prejudice to his right to receive the higher rate of interest in full out of surplus, if any (*p*); or if interest is not reserved by the mortgage (*q*), then at a rate not exceeding four per cent. from the time when the principal was payable (*r*).

Proof for arrears of interest due at date of receiving order.

By sect. 40 of the Bankruptcy Act, 1883, it is enacted that—

“(5.) If there is any surplus after payment of the foregoing debts (*s*), it shall be applied in payment of interest from the date of the receiving order at the rate of four pounds per centum per annum on all debts proved in the bankruptcy.”

Payment of subsequent interest out of surplus.

Interest after the date of adjudication is in no case allowed in the case of a debt immediately payable (*t*).

Interest after adjudication.

Where, however, a mortgagee has realized or valued his security at an amount insufficient for full payment of his principal, interest and costs, he may allocate the realized or estimated value of his security to such part of his debt as he may think proper, so that he is entitled to pay or allow himself in account out of such value, in the first place, the full amount of the interest due to him up to the date of the order for adjudication, for which he could not prove, and in the next place, so much of the principal as such realized or estimated value is sufficient to meet, and then to prove for the balance of such principal *pari passu* with the other creditors (*u*). And it makes no difference in this respect whether the mortgagee has valued or realized his security (*x*).

Realized or assessed value of security may be allocated to payment of interest.

But where a mortgagee invokes the aid of the Court of Bankruptcy to realize his security, the right of allocation does not arise, and in such a case a secured creditor cannot apply the moneys produced by realizing his security in payment of interest accrued due after the date of the receiving order, so as to increase his proof (*y*); his proof must therefore be limited to what was due

Distinction where security realized by Court.
Interest after receiving order.

(*p*) 53 & 54 Vict. c. 71, s. 23.

(*q*) See as to this, *post*, p. 1157.

(*r*) 46 & 47 Vict. c. 52, 2nd Sched. r. 20.

(*s*) *I.e.*, “debts proved in the bankruptcy.” See sub-sect. 4.

(*t*) *Exp. Badger*, 4 Ves. 165. See *Re Browne and Wingrove, Exp. Ador*, (1891) 2 Q. B. 574, 578.

(*u*) *Exp. Hunter*, 6 Ves. 94; *Exp. Glyn*, 1 M. D. & De G. 25; *Re Fox and Jacobs*, (1894) 1 Q. B. 438, 442. See *Re Holland, Exp. Parker and Young*, 71 L. T. 435.

(*x*) *Re Fox and Jacobs, sup.*, at p. 441.

(*y*) *Re Bonacino, Exp. Discount Banking Co.*, 1 Mans. 59.

CHAP. LI.

for principal and interest at the commencement of the bankruptcy after deducting therefrom the proceeds of sale received in respect of the security. But the mortgagee is entitled, in such a case, to set off profits realized from his security after the date of the receiving order in keeping down the subsequent interest (z).

Income during proceedings in Chancery.

And where mortgagees realized their security by proceedings in Chancery and proved for the deficiency, they were allowed to apply the income arising from their security subsequent to the bankruptcy towards paying interest on their debt during the same period (a).

Right of mortgagee in possession to charge interest.

If the mortgagee is in possession of the premises, though he cannot prove for the interest, yet he may calculate interest as against his security up to the time of taking the accounts (b).

Security by way of indemnity.

In the case of a security by way of indemnity, proof for interest will be allowed up to the time of payment (c).

Proof against bankrupt assignee of equity of redemption.

Where the mortgagor had assigned the equity of redemption, and the assignee, after paying interest for some time to the transferee of the mortgage, fell into arrear and became bankrupt, it was held that, there being no privity of contract between the bankrupt and the transferee of the mortgage, and no personal liability on the part of the former to pay interest, proof for the arrears could not be allowed (d).

Debts payable at future time.

With regard to debts payable at a future time, the old rule was that such debts were to be treated as if the principal sums were presently due without interest (e). By sect. 37 of the Bankruptcy Act, 1883, which provides that all liabilities, present or future, certain or contingent, of the debtor, shall be debts provable in bankruptcy, the expression "liability" is defined as including, amongst other things, any express or implied engagement, agreement, or undertaking, to pay money. And by the Second Schedule to the Act, r. 21, it is provided that any creditor may prove for a debt not payable when the debtor committed an act of bankruptcy as if it were payable presently, and may receive dividends equally with the other creditors, deducting only thereout a rebate of interest at the rate of 5%.

(z) *Re London, Windsor, and Greenwich Hotels Co., Quartermaine's Case*, (1892) 1 Ch. 639 (winding-up).

(a) *Exp. Penfold*, 4 De G. & S. 282.

(b) *Exp. Ramsbottom*, 2 M. & A. 79.

(c) *Exp. Pollard*, 1 M. D. & De G.

270; *Exp. Lubbock*, 4 De G. J. & S. 516.

(d) *Re Errington, Exp. Mason*, (1894) 1 Q. B. 11.

(e) *Clayton v. Gosling*, 5 B. & C. 360; *Exp. Elgar*, 2 Gl. & J. 1; *Exp. Donovan*, 2 Gl. & J. 141.

per cent. per annum, computed from the declaration of a dividend from the time when the debt would have become payable according to the terms on which it was contracted.

The combined effect of the section and rule above referred to was fully considered by the Court of Appeal in a recent case (*f*), and it was there decided that the proper course in such cases is, first, to prove the debt as a present debt and apply r. 21, so as to deduct a rebate of interest at 5 per cent. from the dividends upon it, and then to value the liability to pay interest and prove for that value, and pay a dividend on that without rebate. If by contract the debt bears interest at 5 per cent., then as, under the rule, interest is to be calculated at 5 per cent. for the purposes of rebate, the result will be the same as if the principal sum is treated as a present debt not bearing interest, and is proved and paid accordingly. But where the interest is more or less than 5 per cent., the value of the liability to pay interest and the rebate under the rule will not be equal, and will not therefore neutralize each other. It would seem to follow, though some doubt was expressed upon the point in that case, that if the interest contracted for is more than 5 per cent., the proof for future interest would be allowed for the amount beyond the rebate.

It remains to consider briefly the rights and position of a mortgagee where a sufficient majority of the creditors, with the approval of the Court, have passed a resolution to accept a debtor's proposal for a composition, instead of proceeding to an adjudication in bankruptcy (*g*). Rights of secured creditors under a composition.

A mortgagee cannot avail himself of the benefit of a trust deed for creditors for the purpose of proving for his debt, or for the deficiency after realizing or valuing his security, unless he has executed the deed or shown within a reasonable time a clear intention of coming in under it (*h*). A lapse of ten years is too long (*i*). But a creditor who has not executed or come in under the deed cannot sue for the performance of the trusts and establish a charge upon the trust estate for money which he has advanced to the trustee for the purposes of the trust (*k*). Trust deed for creditors.

(*f*) *Re Broune and Wingrove, Ex p. Ador*, (1891) 2 Q. B. 574, C. A.

(*g*) Proceedings for a composition or scheme of arrangement are now regulated by sect. 3 of the Bankruptcy Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 71).

(*h*) *Gould v. Robertson*, 4 De G. & S. 509.

(*i*) *Lane v. Holland*, 14 Sim. 656; 9 Jur. 1001. See *Brandling v. Plummer*, 27 L. J. Ch. 188.

(*k*) *De la Touche v. Lord Lucan*, 7 Cl. & F. 772.

CHAP. LI.

Acquiescence
in deed.

Creditor
coming in
after dividend.

Effect of
composition.

If a creditor acquiesces in the deed he will have the same benefit as if he had executed it (*l*).

Where a creditor comes in and executes the deed after a dividend has been paid, he will be allowed to participate in future assets, but past dividends will not be disturbed (*m*).

A composition duly accepted and approved binds all the creditors so far as relates to any debts due to them from the debtor and provable in bankruptcy (*n*); but is not binding on any creditor so far as regards a debt or liability from which the debtor would not be discharged by an order of discharge in bankruptcy, unless the creditor assents thereto (*o*).

Bankruptcy
Rules, 1890.

iv.—Sale in Bankruptcy.—With regard to the power of the Court of Bankruptcy to order a sale of mortgaged property of a bankrupt, the Bankruptcy Rules, 1890 (*p*), provide as follows:—

Inquiry into
mortgage, &c.

R. 73. "Upon application by motion by any person claiming to be a mortgagee of any part of the bankrupt's real or leasehold estate, and whether such mortgage shall be by deed or otherwise, and whether the same shall be of a legal or equitable nature, the Court shall proceed to inquire whether such person is such mortgagee, and for what consideration and under what circumstances; and if it shall be found that such person is such mortgagee, and if no sufficient objection shall appear to the title of such person to the sum claimed by him under such mortgage, the Court shall direct such accounts and inquiries to be taken as may be necessary for ascertaining the principal, interest, and costs due upon such mortgage, and of the rents and profits, or dividends, interest, or other proceeds received by such person, or by any other person by his order or for his use in case he shall have been in possession of the property over which the mortgage shall extend, or any part thereof, and the Court, if satisfied that there ought to be a sale, shall direct notice to be given in such newspapers as the Court shall think fit, when and where, and by whom and in what way the said premises or property, or the interest therein so mortgaged, are to be sold, and that such sale shall be made accordingly, and that the trustee (unless it be otherwise ordered), shall have the conduct of such sale. But it shall not be imperative on any such mortgagee to make such application. At any such sale the mortgagee may bid and purchase."

(*l*) *Spottiswood v. Stockdale*, G. Coop. 102, 105; *Re Barber's Trusts*, L. R. 10 Eq. 554. See *Collins v. Reece*, 1 Coll. 678; *Raworth v. Parker*, 2 K. & J. 163.

(*m*) *Broadbent v. Thornton*, 4 De G. & S. 65; *Field v. Cook*, 23 Beav. 600; *Graves v. Davies*, 17 Ir. Ch. R. 90.

(*n*) Bankruptcy Act, 1890, s. 3 (12).

(*o*) Bankruptcy Act, 1883, s. 19.

(*p*) Lord Loughborough's Order, 8th March, 1794, was superseded by rules 78—81 of 1869, which in their turn were superseded by rules 65—69 of 1883, which are now replaced by the above rules.

R. 74. "All proper parties shall join in the conveyance to the purchaser as the Court shall direct."

CHAP. XL.

R. 75. "The moneys to arise from such sale shall be applied in the first place in payment of the costs, charges, and expenses of the trustee, of and occasioned by the application to the Court, and of such sale, and attendance thereat, and (in the next place) in payment and satisfaction, so far as the same shall extend, of what shall be found due to such mortgagee for principal, interest, and costs, and the surplus of the said moneys (if any) shall then be paid to the trustee. But in case the moneys to arise from such sale shall be insufficient to pay and satisfy what shall be so found due to such mortgagee, then he shall be entitled to prove as a creditor for such deficiency, and receive dividends thereon rateably with the other creditors, but so as not to disturb any dividend then already declared."

Conveyance.
Proceeds
of sale.

R. 76. "For the better taking of such inquiries and accounts, and making a title to the purchaser, all parties may be examined by the Court upon interrogatories, or otherwise as the Court shall think fit, and shall produce before the Court upon oath all deeds, papers, books, and writings in their respective custody or power relating to the estate or effects of the bankrupt, as the Court shall direct."

Proceedings
on inquiry.

R. 77. "In any proceedings between a mortgagor and mortgagee, or the trustee of either of them, the Court may order all such inquiries and accounts to be taken in like manner as in the Chancery Division of the High Court."

Accounts, &c.

An application under these rules may be made by any person claiming to be a mortgagee, and should be made in any case if such claim is contested.

Who may
apply for
order for sale.

An application for a sale by a mortgagee whose mortgage deed gives to him expressly or by statute a power of sale is, in general, unnecessary, but the Court has jurisdiction upon such an application, and may order a sale if it is for the benefit of the bankrupt's estate (*q*); and the mortgagee may waive his special power of sale, and apply to the Court for an order for sale in his general character of mortgagee (*r*). A sale by order of the Court gives to the mortgagee some advantages over a sale in exercise of his power; for the mortgagee, selling under his power, cannot purchase, or employ any person to purchase, the property on his own account, which he may generally obtain leave to do at a sale by order of the Court (*s*); but if a mortgagee, selling under his power, buys, the Court will order the property to be put up again, at the price bid by the mortgagee;

Mortgagee
with power
of sale may
apply.

(*q*) *Exp. Bacon*, 2 D. & C. 181. See *Exp. Moore*, 2 D. & C. 7.

Exp. Bacon, 2 D. & C. 181; *Exp. Barnes*, 3 M. & A. 497.

(*r*) *Exp. Hodgson*, 1 Gl. & J. 12; *Exp. Drake*, 1 M. D. & De G. 539;

(*s*) *Post*, p. 1100.

CHAP. LI.

Privity of
contract
necessary.

and if no more is offered it will hold him to his bargain (*t*). The same incapacity to purchase applies also to any creditor having a pledge, who sells it independently of the Court.

There must be privity of contract between the owner of the equity of redemption and the mortgagee in order to entitle the latter to the usual order for sale. So, where the bankrupt was a purchaser of the equity of redemption, and not personally liable for the debt to the mortgagee, an order for sale was refused on the ground that there was no contract between the mortgagee and the bankrupt (*u*).

Sub-mort-
gagees, &c.

A sub-mortgagee may, on the bankruptcy of the original mortgagee, obtain a sale of the bankrupt's interest in the original security (*x*); and if the original mortgagee purchases the equity of redemption, and the trustee rejects it, the sub-mortgagee may include it in his sale (*y*).

Order for sale
of mortgaged
leaseholds.

A lessee's covenant not to assign without the licence of the lessor does not affect the right to a sale; though it would be so if the lease were determinable on the committal of an act of bankruptcy (*z*). And on a sale the mortgagee will not be ordered to indemnify the trustee against the covenants, he having had the option of rejecting the lease (*a*).

Postpone-
ment of right
to call in
money does
not affect
right to sale.

A proviso in a mortgage deed that the mortgage money shall not be called in for a specified time, will not, in the event of the mortgagor's bankruptcy, whereby he becomes unable to keep down the interest, preclude the mortgagee from making an application for a sale (*b*).

Effect of
fraud, &c.

No order for sale will be made at the instance of the mortgagee if the transaction is tainted by fraud or other impropriety (*c*). So, also, an order for sale cannot be made where the security is invalidated by reason of non-compliance with some formality prescribed by law (*d*), or where a sale of the mortgaged property would prejudice the general realization of the bankrupt's estate (*e*).

(*t*) *Exp. Francis*, 1 D. & C. 274; *Exp. Pedder*, 3 D. & C. 622.

(*u*) *Exp. Keightley*, 3 De G. & S. 583.

(*x*) *Exp. Mackay*, 1 M. D. & De G. 550; *Exp. Powell*, De G. 435.

(*y*) *Exp. Tuffnell*, 4 D. & C. 29.

(*z*) *Exp. Sherman*, Buok, 452; *Exp. Drake*, 1 M. D. & De G. 539; *Exp. Baglehole*, 1 Rose, 432.

(*a*) *Exp. Fletcher*, 1 D. & C. 318.

(*b*) *Exp. Bignold*, 3 Deac. 151; *Seaton v. Tayford*, L. R. 11 Eq. 691.

(*c*) *Exp. Turner*, 9 Mod. 418; *Exp. Wake*, 2 Deac. 352. See *Exp. Nunn*, 1 Deac. 393.

(*d*) *Exp. Miller*, 3 De G. & S. 553.

(*e*) *Exp. Broadbent*, 4 D. & C. 3; *Exp. Attwood*, 2 M. & A. 24; *Exp. Sykes*, 13 Jur. 486, Bky.

Where the validity of a security is in dispute, but only part of the mortgaged property is the subject of litigation, the Court may order sale of the other part without prejudice to the mortgagee's rights in respect of the part not ordered to be sold (f).

CHAP. LI.

Dispute as to title of mortgagee.

Where a partner gave an equitable mortgage over his separate property as a security for a partnership debt, and alone became bankrupt, an order for sale was made, but no proof was allowed against his separate estate (g).

Separate security of bankrupt partner.

Under the Bankruptcy Act, 1869 (h), it was held that, in a case of composition, the Court of Bankruptcy had no jurisdiction over a compounding debtor, and accordingly an order for sale at the instance of an equitable mortgagee of the estate of the debtor was refused (i). The same principle would seem to hold good under the present law, as a receiving order does not divest a debtor of his property (k), and on the approval of a composition, the debtor or the trustee in the composition (as the case may be) is entitled to be put into possession of the debtor's property (l).

No jurisdiction to order sale in composition.

A mortgagee by deposit of title deeds may obtain an order for sale under the Bankruptcy Rules (m), and a memorandum of deposit is not necessary to enable him to do so (n); but if there is written evidence attending the deposit, he will be entitled to the costs of his petition for sale, but not otherwise (o).

Mortgagor by deposit may apply for sale.

In the case of a mortgage by deposit without any memorandum or other evidence in writing, the Court refused to order a sale, the bankrupt being dead and twelve years having elapsed between the deposit and the application for the sale (p).

Refusal after lapse of time.

An application by a deposit for a sale was dismissed with costs where the bankruptcy took place within so short a time after the deposit was made as to raise a presumption of fraudulent preference which was not rebutted by sufficient evidence of the *bona fides* of the transaction (q). And the Court always

Refusal on ground of fraudulent preference.

(f) *Exp. Wace*, 2 M. D. & De G. 730.

(1893) 1 Q. B. 323.

(g) *Exp. Lloyd, Re Ireland*, 3 Deac. 305.

(l) Bankruptcy Act, 1890, 53 & 54 Vict. c. 71. R. 30.

(h) 32 & 33 Vict. c. 71.

(m) *Exp. Powell*, De G. 435.

(i) *Exp. Manchester and Liverpool Banking Co., Re Littler*, L. R. 18 Eq. 249.

(n) *Exp. Drake*, 1 M. D. & De G. 439.

(k) *Rhodes v. Dawson*, 16 Q. B. D. 553, C. A.; *Re Smith, Exp. Mason*, 116.

(o) See post, p. 1101.

(p) *Exp. Jones*, 3 M. & A. 152.

(q) *Exp. Morgan*, 1 M. D. & De G.

CHAP. II.

Inquiry as to bankrupt's interest and extent of security.

Determination of validity of mortgagee's claim.

Effect of imperfect memorandum of deposit.

regards advances made under such circumstances with suspicion, and will, at the request of the trustee, direct an inquiry as to the circumstances under which the security was given (*r*). In such cases the Court, unless satisfied that the security was not made in contemplation of bankruptcy, may order the mortgagee to deliver up the deeds to the trustee in the bankruptcy (*s*).

If a security is created by the deposit of mortgage securities, and there is any doubt as to the nature or amount of the bankrupt's interest under the mortgage, this ought to be ascertained before a sale is directed (*t*). So, if the mortgagee insists that the deposit was made as a security for future advances, as well as for the debt then due, and the debtor, by affidavit, deny the fact, the Court will direct an inquiry in respect of what debt the deposit was made (*u*). If the deposit was made by the solicitor of the bankrupt, it must be shown that he had authority to make it (*x*).

In questions respecting the existence of equitable mortgages, as by deposit of deeds and the like, the Court will not in future refer the question, but will itself decide the point (*y*). If the Court decide, in the first instance, on the validity of the claim of the mortgagee, the decision is conclusive in bankruptcy (*z*).

The right of the depositor to a sale is not affected either by an imperfection in the memorandum or in the deposit, provided the intention to complete the security be shown. Freeholds and leaseholds have alike been ordered to be sold where the deposit of the deeds relating to both was complete, though the memorandum related to one only (*a*), and where both were specified in the memorandum, but the deeds deposited related to one only (*b*). Nor is it affected by an arrangement made subsequent to the security between a mortgagor and a third person, under which the latter acquires an interest in the mortgaged property (*c*).

(*r*) *Exp. Wake*, 2 Deac. 352; *Exp. Dewdney*, 4 D. & C. 181; *Exp. Clouton*, 3 M. D. & De G. 187.

(*s*) *Exp. Ainsworth*, 2 Deac. 563.

(*t*) *Exp. Bignold*, 1 Deac. 515; *Exp. Mackay*, 1 M. D. & De G. 550.

(*u*) *Exp. Mountfort*, 14 Ves. 606; *Exp. Martin*, 4 D. & C. 457. And see *v. Re May*, Fonb. Bky. 243; *Ferrie Mullins*, 2 Sm. & G. 378; *Basket v. Skeel*, 11 W. R. 1019; *Maugham v.*

Ridley, 8 L. T. N. S. 309; *Shaw v. Foster*, L. R. 5 H. L. 321; affirming *McCreight v. Foster*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 604.

(*x*) *Exp. Coleman*, 4 Deac. 242.

(*y*) *Exp. Smith*, 1 D. & C. 441.

(*z*) *Exp. Jennings*, 2 Swanst. 360.

(*a*) *Exp. Robinson*, 1 D. & C. 119.

(*b*) *Exp. Leathes*, 3 D. & C. 112.

(*c*) *Exp. Booth*, 2 D. & C. 59.

If an equitable mortgagee take a legal mortgage with notice of the bankruptcy, and on that account void, his right to a sale under the equitable mortgage is only suspended, and revives when the legal security is declared to be inoperative (*d*).

It is doubtful whether the Court of Bankruptcy can direct a sale at the instance of a puisne mortgagee, if the prior mortgagee does not consent (*e*).

Puisne mortgagee.

The mortgagee who applies for a sale must bring before the Court all persons with whom deeds relating to the property have been deposited by the bankrupt (*f*).

What parties must be before Court.

Where the equitable mortgagee applying for a sale is also the petitioning creditor and the trustee, the order for sale will not be made *ex parte*, but will be served on the bankrupt and on one of the creditors, with notice that the service is by order of the Court (*g*). And where the applicant was the assignee of another estate, the creditors of which were interested in the security, no order for sale was made until the appointment of persons in the nature of assignees to protect such interest (*h*).

The Court will not, as a general rule, postpone the sale on the application of the trustee, without the mortgagee's consent, the right of the trustee being only to redeem the mortgagee (*i*).

Postponement of sale.

The conduct of the sale is in the discretion of the Court. As a general rule, where the security is sufficient, the conduct of the sale will be given to the trustee; but where the security is insufficient, it will be given to the mortgagee (*k*). It makes no difference in this respect that the mortgage deed contains a power of sale (*l*). But if the creditor entitled to the security happens to be the trustee under the bankruptcy, or he and the trustee have the same solicitor, some independent solicitor ought to be employed to make the necessary inquiries as to the security, and to take the account, as well as to conduct the sale (*m*).

Conduct of sale.

Although the trustee delays selling under an order obtained

(*d*) *Exp. Harvey*, 3 Deac. 547.

(*e*) *Re Thomson*, 1 Fomb. Bky. 29.

(*f*) *Exp. Burt*, 1 M. D. & De G. 191.

(*g*) *Re Parker*, M. & Bli. 394.

(*h*) *Exp. Haines*, 4 Deac. 20.

(*i*) *Exp. Belcher*, 2 D. & C. 587.

(*k*) *Re Jordan*, 13 Q. B. D. 228. See *Exp. Smith*, 2 D. & C. 60; *Exp.*

McGregor, 4 De G. & S. 603; *Exp. Cuddon*, 3 M. D. & De G. 302.

(*l*) *Exp. Davis, Re Hagley*, 3 D. & C. 504; *Exp. Hodgson*, 1 Gl. & J. 12.

(*m*) *Exp. Cowdry*, 2 Gl. & J. 272; *Exp. Rolfe*, 1 D. & C. 77; *Exp. Greenwood*, 1 D. & C. 542; *Exp. Lees*, 2 D. & C. 360. See *Exp. Haines*, 4 Deac. 20; *Exp. Bromage*, De G. 375.

CHAP. II.

by the mortgagee for sale of the mortgaged premises, with liberty for him to bid, the Court will not give the mortgagee the conduct of the sale (*n*).

Reserve price. A trustee having the conduct of the sale is not entitled to fix a reserve price except with the mortgagee's consent, or upon an undertaking to pay the mortgagee his principal, interest, and costs (*o*).

Leave to mortgagee to bid.

If the mortgagee desires to bid at any sale, he may do so, with the leave of the Court. Such permission will generally be given, although the mortgage contains a power of sale, as it is for the advantage of the creditors that there should be as many bidders as possible (*p*).

Mortgagee also trustee cannot bid.

But if the mortgagee is also the trustee, the value of the property should be previously ascertained, and directions given for it not to be sold for less than a specified sum (*q*).

If the trustee himself become the purchaser, being also a mortgagee, the property will be ordered to be resold, subject to his claim as mortgagee (*r*), though the sale was sanctioned by a resolution of creditors (*s*). A sale to a relative of the trustee is also void (*t*).

If a mortgagee applies for leave to bid, he must come before the Court in the character of a mere mortgagee, and waive any power of sale vested in him (*u*), and must, it seems, pay the costs of the petition (*x*). But they will be payable out of the proceeds of the sale, if the trustee consents (*y*); or if the application is for a sale and for leave to bid together (*z*).

An equitable mortgagee must pay the costs of the order on a separate petition for leave to bid unless the trustee consents to their being paid out of the estate (*a*).

If the property be bought in by the trustee, the mortgagee, by applying for a second sale, waives all claim against the trustee

(*n*) *Exp. McGregor*, 4 De G. & S. 603.

(*o*) *Exp. Skinner*, 1 M. & A. 81; *Exp. Barnard*, 3 D. & C. 291.

(*p*) *Exp. Hodgson*, 1 Gl. & J. 12; *Exp. Davis, Re Hagley*, 3 D. & C. 504; *Exp. Bacon*, 2 D. & C. 181.

(*q*) *Exp. Young*, De G. 146; *Exp. Holyman*, 8 Jur. 156.

(*r*) *Exp. Turvill*, 3 D. & C. 346; *Exp. Reynolds*, 5 Ves. 707; *Exp. Hodgson*, 1 Gl. & J. 12; *Exp. Lewis*, 1 Gl. & J. 69; *Exp. Buxton*, 1 Gl. & J. 355.

(*s*) *Re Wainwright*, 19 Ch. D. 140,

147.

(*t*) *Exp. Forder*, W. N. (1881) 117, C. A.; *Re Moore*, W. N. (1881) 151.

(*u*) *Exp. Davis, Re Hagley*, 3 D. & C. 504; *Downes v. Grasebrook*, 3 Mer. 200.

(*x*) *Exp. Williams*, 1 D. & C. 489; *Exp. Hammond*, Buck, 464; *Exp. Robinson*, M. & Mo. A. 261.

(*y*) *Exp. Say*, 1 Mont. 364; *Exp. Williams, sup.*; *Exp. Berkeley*, 2 M. & A. 54; *Anon.*, 3 M. D. & De G. 339.

(*z*) *Exp. Brown*, 1 D. & C. 34.

(*a*) *M. & A. Bky.*, by Miller & Koe, 254.

for any difference in the amount of biddings between the first and second sales (*b*).

In some cases a purchase by a mortgagee, bidding without leave of the Court, has been supported (*c*); and if the trustee consents, an order may be obtained, giving the mortgagee leave to bid *nunc pro tunc* (*d*).

A mortgagee who obtains leave to bid will, if he becomes the purchaser, be subject to the same rule as any other purchaser as to payment of a deposit and costs (*e*).

The Court cannot compel a second mortgagee who does not claim under the bankruptcy but rests on his security, to concur in a sale obtained by a prior mortgagee (*f*). Where there are several incumbrancers, the Court can only sell either with the concurrence of all or subject to the rights of those who refuse to concur (*g*).

Where a mortgagee purchased under an order of the Court, and his principal and interest, calculated up to the 24th of March, exceeded the purchase-money, it was held that he was entitled to be let into possession from the preceding Christmas (*h*).

Whether the conduct of the sale is given to the trustee or to the mortgagee, the costs, charges, and expenses of the trustee properly incurred will be a first charge on the proceeds of sale (*i*). Costs.

On the other hand, if the trustee raise objections on frivolous or mistaken grounds, he will only have costs out of the general estate, or may be made to pay the costs which arise out of his improper opposition (*k*).

A mortgagee will generally be allowed the costs of his application out of the proceeds of sale (*l*). But it is a settled rule that an equitable mortgagee by deposit, unaccompanied by any memorandum or evidence in writing of the purpose of the deposit, will not be entitled to his costs out of the proceeds of

(*b*) *Exp. Baldock*, 2 D. & C. 60.

(*c*) *Exp. Ashley*, 3 D. & C. 510; *Exp. Geller*, 2 Madd. 262; *Exp. Pedder*, 3 D. & C. 622.

(*d*) *Exp. Yorke*, 3 M. D. & De G. 329; *Exp. Pedder*, *sup.*

(*e*) *Exp. Tatham*, 1 M. & A. 335; *Exp. Stephens*, 2 M. & A. 31; *Exp. Wilson*, M. & Chit. 110; *Bowles v. Parry*, 5 Moo. 290.

(*f*) *Exp. Jackson*, 5 Ves. 357.

(*g*) *Exp. Wright*, 3 M. & A. 49; *Exp. Topham*, 1 Madd. 38; *Exp. Burt*, 1 M. D. & De G. 191.

(*h*) *Bates v. Bonnor*, 7 Sim. 427.

(*i*) *Re Jordan*, *Exp. Harrison*, 13 Q. B. D. 228.

(*k*) *Exp. Horne*, 1 Madd. 622. See *Exp. Bate*, 1 M. & Chit. 58.

(*l*) *Exp. Brown*, 1 D. & C. 34; *Exp. Berkeley*, 2 M. & A. 54.

CHAP. II.

sale, but must pay them personally (*m*). This rule does not apply in the case of deposits of securities with bankers, it being the ordinary custom of their business to take deposits without memoranda (*n*).

If the same mortgagee takes a deposit of deeds relating to freeholds and leaseholds, or several deposits, with a memorandum accompanying only the deposit of one set of deeds, the costs will be apportioned (*o*).

A subsequent memorandum may entitle the mortgagee to his costs, if it clearly indicates the purpose of the deposit (*p*), but not otherwise (*q*).

A mortgagee who has lost his memorandum must bear all costs occasioned by the loss (*r*).

If a surety applies for the sale of property mortgaged to him as an indemnity against the debt which he has guaranteed, the proceeds of the sale will not be applied either in payment of the creditor, or for the indemnity of the surety, until so much of the creditor's proof as is equal to the amount of the proceeds has been expunged (*s*).

Order for sale
of property
charged with
annuity.

A security for the payment of an annuity may be realized under the direction of the Court, in the same way as in the case of an ordinary mortgage. In such cases, the proceeds will be applied, after paying the expenses, in payment of any arrears due at the date of the bankruptcy, and the value of the annuity (*t*). If the annuity is secured by a policy of insurance, the proceeds of the policy will be applied, after paying expenses, first, in payment of past premiums paid by the creditor and interest thereon, and then in paying the arrears and value of the annuity (*u*).

Right to rents
after order
for sale.

The effect of an order of a Court of Bankruptcy for the sale of mortgaged property on the rights of the mortgagee during the interval between the date of the order and the completion

(*m*) *Exp. Brightens*, 1 Swanst. 3; *Exp. Treco*, 3 Madd. 372. And see *Anon.*, 2 Madd. 281; *Exp. Sykes*, Buck, 349; *Exp. Moss*, 3 De G. & S. 699; *Exp. Robinson*, 1 D. & C. 119; *Exp. Anderson*, 3 De G. & S. 600; *Exp. Barclay*, 5 De G. M. & G. 403, L. C. & J.; *Exp. Dingwall*, 6 L. T. N. S. 915.

(*n*) *Exp. Moss*, 3 De G. & S. 599.

(*o*) *Exp. Robinson*, 1 D. & C. 119; *Exp. Ford*, 3 M. D. & De G. 457; *Exp. Thorpe*, 3 M. & A. 441.

(*p*) *Exp. Reynolds*, 2 M. & A. 104.

(*q*) *Exp. Smith*, 1 M. D. & De G. 165.

(*r*) *Exp. Rogers, Re Gregory*, 3 M. D. & De G. 297.

(*s*) *Exp. Sherrington*, 1 M. D. & De G. 195.

(*t*) *Exp. Slack*, 1 Gl. & J. 346; *Exp. Price*, Buck, 221; *Exp. Webb*, 2 Gl. & J. 29; *Exp. Fisher*, 2 Gl. & J. 102; *Exp. Key*, 1 Madd. 428.

(*u*) *Exp. Tverney*, Mont. 78; *Exp. Varnaah*, 1 M. D. & De G. 614.

of the sale is different according as the mortgage is legal or only equitable. A legal mortgagee may enter into possession at any time, and has a legal right to receive the rents of the mortgaged property, which he may enforce by requiring the tenants to pay the rents to him (*x*). An order for sale does not in any way affect this legal right, and if he neglect to enforce it by his own action the order will not entitle him to receive the rents (*y*), unless, indeed, the mortgagee refrains from giving notice to the tenants by arrangement with the trustee for their mutual convenience in dealing with the bankrupt's estate (*z*).

If the tenants, after notice by a legal mortgagee to pay their rents to him, pay them to the trustee, the latter will be entitled to retain them, but the mortgagee may recover from the tenants all rents so paid (*a*).

An equitable mortgagee, however, has no legal right to receive rents, and cannot entitle himself to do so by giving notice to tenants (*b*). In order to entitle himself to the rents, he must take out equitable execution, as by obtaining the appointment of a receiver (*c*). The effect of an order for sale in bankruptcy is equivalent to an order for a receiver; and accordingly, from the time when the Court takes the property into its own hands, the rent follows the title to the property, just as the appointment of a receiver would give the rents to the equitable mortgagee (*d*).

An equitable mortgagee who has received rents from tenants cannot be compelled to refund them to the trustee (*e*).

Similarly, a legal mortgagee is not entitled to the growing crops unless he actually takes possession (*f*). And he cannot recover in respect of crops which, before he obtains possession, have been carried off by the mortgagor or his trustee (*g*). So where a mortgagee obtained the usual order for sale, and subsequently, before the crops were sold, applied to the assignees for

Growing
crops.

(*z*) *Ante*, p. 796.

(*y*) *Pope v. Biggs*, 9 B. & Cr. 245; *Exp. Living, Re Tombs*, 2 M. & A. 223.

(*x*) *Exp. Barnes*, 3 M. & A. 497; see *Exp. Carr*, 2 M. D. & De G. 534.

(*a*) *Exp. Wilson*, 2 V. & B. 252; see *Re Gordon*, 61 L. T. 30.

(*b*) *Exp. Burrell*, 3 M. & A. 440; *Exp. Scott*, 3 M. & A. 592; and see *ante*, p. 797.

(*c*) *Ante*, p. 798.

(*d*) *Exp. Thorpe*, 3 M. & A. 441.

See also *Exp. Bignold*, 2 M. & A. 214; *Exp. Smith*, 3 M. D. & De G. 680; *Exp. Ramsbottom*, 2 M. & A. 79; *Exp. Penfold*, 4 De G. & S. 282; *Exp. Pollard*, 1 M. D. & De G. 270.

(*e*) *Sumpter v. Cooper*, 2 B. & Ad. 223; *Garry v. Sharratt*, 10 B. & Cr. 716; *Pope v. Biggs*, 9 B. & Cr. 245.

(*f*) *Ante*, p. 800.

(*g*) *Exp. Temple*, 1 Gl. & J. 216; *Exp. National Mercantile Bank, Re Phillips*, 16 Ch. D. 104, C. A.

CHAP. LI.

possession in order to take and sell the crops, it was held that he was not entitled to an account against the assignees in respect of the crops which were disposed of by them, or for delivery up of those which were not sold (*h*). But where in a liquidation the mortgagee put a man in possession and applied to the trustee for the crops, the latter was restrained from cutting the growing crops (*i*).

The right, however, of an equitable mortgagee to growing crops on land in the mortgagor's occupation, just as his right to the rents of the land (*k*), attaches from the date of the order for sale (*l*).

(*h*) *Exp. Temple*, 1 Gl. & J. 216. See *Hodgson v. Gascoigne*, 5 B. & Ald. 88; *Partridge v. Bere*, 5 B. & Ald. 604.

(*i*) *Bagnall v. Villar*, 12 Ch. D. 812, V.-C. Hall. See *Re Gordon*, 61 L. T.

299.

(*k*) *Supra*, p. 1103.

(*l*) *Exp. Bignold*, 2 Gl. & J. 273. See *Exp. Alexander*, 2 Gl. & J. 275.

CHAPTER LII.

OF THE REMEDIES OF A MORTGAGEE IN ADMINISTRATION OF
THE ESTATE OF A DECEASED MORTGAGOR.

i.—Creditor's Administration Action in Chancery Division.—By the Judicature Act, 1873 (*a*), s. 34, all causes and matters relating to the administration of the estates of deceased persons are assigned to the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice.

Assignment of administration actions to Chancery Division.

By the County Courts Act, 1888 (*b*), s. 67, it is enacted that—

“The Court shall have and exercise all the powers and authority of the High Court in actions . . . by creditors, legatees (whether specific, pecuniary or residuary), devisees (whether in trust or otherwise), heirs-at-law or next of kin, in which the personal or real, or personal and real estate, against or for an account or administration of which the demand may be made, shall not exceed in amount or value the sum of 500*l*.”

Jurisdiction of County Courts.

A County Court before which an administration suit is pending has no power to stay proceedings in the High Court in respect of claims provable in the administration suit (*c*).

No jurisdiction to stay action in High Court.

It is clear that a mortgagee may bring an action in the Chancery Division against the representatives of a deceased mortgagor for administration of the estate of the latter.

Mortgagee may bring administration action.

The action may be commenced either by writ or by originating summons under R. S. C., Ord. LV. r. 4. A writ is necessary if the debt is disputed (*d*).

Commencement of action.

If the plaintiff seeks to prove against the general assets for his debt or for the balance, after deducting the amount actually realized by his security, or the assessed value thereof, he must sue on behalf of himself and the other creditors (*e*). But it is

(*a*) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66.

(*b*) 51 & 52 Vict. c. 43.

(*c*) *Cobbold v. Pryke*, 4 Ex. D. 315.

(*d*) *Re Powers*, *Lindsell v. Phillips*, 39 Ch. D. 291, C. A.

(*e*) *Skey v. Bennet*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 405; *Tipping v. Power*, 1 Ha. 410; *King v. Smith*, 2 Ha. 239; *Brocklehurst v. Jessop*, 7 Sim. 438; *Blain v. Ormond*, 1 Dr. & S. 428.

CHAP. LII.

Right of
annuitant to
bring action.

sufficient, if it appears from the statement of claim that he so sues (*f*); and leave to amend by making the suit on behalf of all the creditors may be given at the hearing (*g*).

The grantee of an annuity specifically charged on realty may, after the death of the grantor, bring an action claiming payment of arrears of the annuity out of the estates charged, and if the annuity deed contains a covenant by the grantor for personal payment, he may claim, as specialty creditor, payment of the deficiency out of the general assets (*h*). An annuitant, whose annuity is not in arrear, is not entitled to take proceedings for administration (*i*); but, if an administration decree is obtained by some one else, the annuitant will be allowed to prove for the value of the future annuity in competition with the other creditors (*k*).

Conduct of
action.

A creditor suing for himself and all other creditors may dismiss his action at his pleasure until judgment, but not afterwards (*l*). But he may dismiss his own action after judgment in another creditor's action (*m*).

A defendant may also, before judgment, have the action dismissed on motion, on payment of the debt, with interest and costs (*n*), together with the costs of other defendants (*o*).

But the Court refused to stay proceedings in a case where it appeared that the interests of an infant defendant might be prejudiced (*p*).

Concurrent
actions.

Where there are two administration actions, and judgment has been first obtained in the second action, the mortgagee who has first taken proceedings will have the conduct of the action (*q*).

Personal
representa-
tives are
necessary
parties to
action.

The legal personal representatives of the deceased debtor are necessary parties to an administration action, and must have been duly constituted by having proved the will or obtained

(*f*) *Eyre v. Cox*, 24 W. R. 317.

(*g*) *Woods v. Sowerby*, 14 W. R. 9.
See *Woodbridge v. Norris*, L. R. 6 Eq.
410.

(*h*) *Booth v. Leycester*, 3 My. & Cr.
463.

(*i*) *Re Hargreaves, Dicks v. Hare*, 44
Ch. D. 236, C. A.

(*k*) *Re Beeman, Fowler v. James*, (1896)
1 Ch. 48.

(*l*) *Handford v. Storie*, 2 S. & St.
196; *Wood v. Westall*, Yo. 305.

(*m*) *Armstrong v. Storer*, 9 Beav.
277.

(*n*) *Manton v. Roe*, 14 Sim. 353;
Wainwright v. Sewell, 11 W. R. 560.
See *Damer v. Earl of Portarlington*, 2
Ph. 30.

(*o*) *Pemberton v. Topham*, 1 Beav.
316. But see *Holden v. Kynaston*, 2
Beav. 204, where the circumstances
were special.

(*p*) *Clegg v. Clegg*, 17 L. R. Ir.
118.

(*q*) *Re Matthews' Estate, Matthews v.
Matthews*, W. N. (1876) 176.

letters of administration (*r*); and a general order for administration cannot be made in the absence of such representatives (*s*). So, it was held that a creditor could not issue an originating summons for administration until a legal personal representative had been duly constituted (*t*).

The general personal representative of a debtor sufficiently represents his estate for the purposes of an administration action (*u*); but not so a mere administrator *ad litem* (*x*).

The executor of a mortgagor, who distributes the assets without providing for the mortgage debt, is personally liable for any deficiency; but his liability is determined at the expiration of six years, and so, *a fortiori*, is that of an executor of an executor (*y*).

Personal liability of executor.

A mortgagee, suing on behalf of himself and all other creditors in an administration action, may apply to have his security realized by sale under order of the Court, and to have any deficiency made good out of the general assets (*z*). It makes no difference in this respect that the security is merely equitable (*a*); and a sale may be directed on the application of a mortgagee in an administration action brought by another creditor (*b*).

Order for sale in administration action.

Where the Court orders a sale of the mortgaged property belonging to a deceased debtor, six months will generally be given to his representatives for redemption (*c*). But an immediate sale will be directed under special circumstances, as if such sale is shown to be clearly for the benefit of an infant (*d*).

Time allowed for redemption.

According to the present practice, though the rule is to administer the personalty, and in case of deficiency to have recourse to the realty, a sale of the latter is directed in case the personalty should be deficient (*e*). The Court has, however,

Form of order for sale of realty.

(*r*) *Penny v. Watts*, 2 Ph. 149; *Simons v. Milman*, 2 Sim. 241; *Lovry v. Fallon*, 9 Sim. 104; *Beardmore v. Gregory*, 2 H. & M. 491; *Cary v. Hills*, L. R. 15 Eq. 79; *Rowse v. Morris*, L. R. 17 Eq. 20. And see R. S. C. Ord. LV. r. 5 b.

(*s*) *Groves v. Lane*, 16 Jur. 1061; *Dowdswell v. Dowdswell*, 9 Ch. D. 294, C. A.

(*t*) *Re Leask, Richardson v. Leask*, W. N. (1891) 159.

(*u*) *Re Youngs, Doggett v. Revett*, 30 Ch. D. 421, C. A.

(*x*) *Dowdswell v. Dowdswell*, 9 Ch. D. 294, C. A.

(*y*) *Re Gale, Blake v. Gale*, 22 Ch. D. 820; *Re Marsden, Bowden v. Leyland*, 26 Ch. D. 783; *Re Hyatt, Bowles v. Hyatt*, 38 Ch. D. 609.

(*z*) *Daniel v. Skipwith*, 2 Bro. C. C. 155; *Monday v. Monday*, 1 V. & B. 223; *Marshall v. McAravey*, 3 Dr. & War. 232. See *Bedford v. Leigh*, 2 Dick. 107.

(*a*) *Brookhurst v. Jessop*, 7 Sim. 438.

(*b*) *Greenwood v. Taylor*, 1 R. & My. 185.

(*c*) *Bell v. Carter*, 17 Beav. 11.

(*d*) *Davis v. Dowding*, 2 Keen, 245.

(*e*) *Seton*, 5th ed. 1206.

CHAP. LII.

power, under R. S. C., Ord. LI. r. 1, to order an immediate sale of the realty if it is necessary or expedient for the purposes of the action (*f*).

Sale subject to mortgage.

Where in an administration action the first mortgagee does not consent to a sale, the sale will be made subject to his mortgage (*g*); and where a mortgagee's lien is claimed in an administration suit, but the mortgagee refuses a tender of the amount found due to him as not including interest, the sale is made subject to the lien, and the mortgagee will not be allowed to share in the general assets (*h*).

Assent of mortgagee to sale.

If the mortgagee consents to the sale of the property comprised in his mortgage free therefrom, he must produce and deposit in Court the title deeds necessary to complete the sale (*i*).

Right to interest.

If a mortgagee consents to the sale in an administration action of the mortgaged property free from his mortgage, he is only entitled to six months' interest from the date of such consent, and if the mortgage debt is paid off within that time, or otherwise, to interest till payment (*k*).

Sale to mortgagee.

In a case where the equity of redemption in a mortgaged estate escheated to the Crown on death of the mortgagor intestate, unmarried and illegitimate, and the estate was not worth the mortgage money, it was ordered, in the administration of assets, that the estate should be sold to the mortgagee on his agreeing to accept it in full discharge of his debt, with liberty to apply to the Crown for a grant of the fee simple (*l*).

Jurisdiction of the Court of Bankruptcy.

ii.—Administration of Insolvent Estates in Bankruptcy.—By the Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (*m*), as amended by the Bankruptcy Act, 1890 (*n*), a jurisdiction concurrent with that of the Chancery Division and the County Courts is conferred upon the Court of Bankruptcy to administer the estates of debtors who have died insolvent.

By the Act of 1883, it is enacted as follows:—

Administration in bankruptcy of

Sect. 125. “(1.) Any creditor of a deceased debtor whose debt would have been sufficient to support a bankruptcy petition against

(*f*) *Re Robinson, Pickard v. Wheeler*, 31 Ch. D, 247.

(*g*) *Langton v. Langton*, 7 De G. M. & G. 30; *Wickenden v. Rayson*, 6 De G. M. & G. 210. But see 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 5, ante, p. 633.

(*h*) *Hempstead v. Hempstead*, 4 Beav.

423.

(*i*) *Livsey v. Harding*, 1 Beav. 343.

(*k*) *Day v. Day*, 31 Beav. 270.

(*l*) *Rogers v. Maule*, 1 Y. & O. C. O. 6.

(*m*) 46 & 47 Vict. c. 52.

(*n*) 53 & 54 Vict. c. 71.

such debtor, had he been alive, may present to the Court (*o*) a petition in the prescribed form (*p*), praying for an order for the administration of the estate of the deceased debtor, according to the law of bankruptcy.

CHAP. LII.

estate of person dying insolvent.

"(2.) Upon the prescribed notice (*q*) being given to the legal personal representative of the deceased debtor, the Court may, in the prescribed manner (*r*), upon proof of the petitioner's debt, unless the Court is satisfied that there is a reasonable probability that the estate will be sufficient for the payment of the debts owing by the deceased, make an order for the administration in bankruptcy of the deceased debtor's estate, or may, upon cause shown, dismiss such petition with or without costs."

By sub-sect. 3 of this section, an order could not be made until after two months from probate or grant of administration, except with the concurrence of the personal representative of the deceased, or upon proof that the deceased had committed an act of bankruptcy within three months before his decease. But these restrictions are removed by the Act of 1890, which enacts as follows:—

When order for administration in bankruptcy may be made.

Sect. 21 (1.) "An order for the administration of a deceased person's estate may be made under section 125 of the principal Act before the expiration of two months from the date of the grant of probate or letters of administration, without the concurrence or proof mentioned in sub-section (3) of that section."

Administration in bankruptcy of estate of person dying insolvent.

No valid objection can be raised to a petition under these sections on the ground that at the date of its service probate or administration to the estate of the deceased has not yet been granted, provided that such probate or administration has been granted before the hearing of the petition (*s*).

Petition before probate.

With regard to cases where proceedings for administration of a deceased debtor's estate have already been commenced in the Chancery Division, the Act of 1883 enacts as follows:—

Transfer to Court of Bankruptcy of administration action commenced in Chancery Division.

Sect. 125. "(4.) A petition for administration under this section shall not be presented to the Court after proceedings have been commenced in any court of justice for the administration of a

(*o*) The "Court" means the Court having jurisdiction in bankruptcy under this Act: sect. 168. And the word "Court" in this section means the Court within the jurisdiction of which the debtor resided or carried on business for the greater part of the six months immediately prior to his decease: sect. 125 (10).

(*p*) This is the Form given in the Appendix of Forms, No. 11, and must be verified by affidavit. See B. R.

1886, r. 274.

(*q*) See indorsement on Form No. 11. This notice is equivalent to notice of an act of bankruptcy. See sect. 125 (9).

(*r*) An administration order under this section is to be in the Form No. 42 in the Appendix. See B. R., 1886, r. 277.

(*s*) *Re Sleet, Exp. Sleet*, (1894) 2 Q. B. 797.

CHAP. LII.

deceased debtor's estate, but that Court may [in such a case, on the application of any creditor, and] on proof that the estate is insufficient to pay its debts, transfer the proceedings to the Court exercising jurisdiction in bankruptcy, and thereupon such last-mentioned Court may, in the prescribed manner, make an order for the administration of the estate of the deceased debtor, and the like consequences shall ensue as under an administration order made on the petition of a creditor."

The words in sect. 125 enclosed in brackets are repealed by sect. 29 of the Act of 1890, whereby it is enacted that—

Sect. 21. "(2.) The power under the same section to transfer to a Court exercising jurisdiction in bankruptcy proceedings commenced in any other Court for the administration of a deceased debtor's estate may be exercised without the application of any creditor, and whenever the latter Court is satisfied that the estate is insufficient to pay its debts."

Power of transfer is discretionary.

The power of the Chancery Division to transfer the administration of an insolvent estate to the Court of Bankruptcy is a discretionary power, and is not exercised as a matter of course because the estate is shown to be insufficient to pay its debts (*t*).

Transfer to County Court.

Under sect. 125 of the Act of 1883, the Chancery Division may, even after judgment and further subsequent proceedings, transfer the administration of the estate of an insolvent debtor to a County Court (*u*).

No distinction in administration between debts by simple contract and specialty.

iii.—Proof by secured Creditors in Administration.—There being now no distinction in the administration of assets between debts by simple contract and debts by specialty, a mortgagee will gain no advantage, as regards proof for his debt, or for the balance thereof, after realizing or assessing his security, by reason of a covenant for personal payment contained in his mortgage (*x*).

Judgment creditor.

If the mortgagee has recovered judgment against the deceased, and registered his judgment under the stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 38, s. 3, he will gain priority over judgment creditors whose judgments are not registered, as well as over other creditors by simple contract or specialty (*y*). But a foreclosure

(*t*) *Re Baker, Nichols v. Baker*, 44 Ch. D. 262, C. A. As to grounds on which a transfer has been ordered, see *Re Weaver, Higgs v. Weaver*, 29 Ch. D. 236; *Senhouse v. Maconson*, 52 L. T. 745.

(*u*) *Re York, Atkinson v. Powell*, 36 Ch. D. 233.

(*x*) Stat. 32 & 33 Vict. c. 46.

(*y*) *Van Gheluwe v. Nerincks*, 21 Ch. D. 189; *Re Illidge, Dandson v. Illidge*, 27 Ch. D. 478, C. A.

decree is not a judgment (z); nor an order for account and payment of what may be found due (a), though in pursuance of such order the amount due has been found by the Chief Clerk's certificate (b).

CHAP. LII.

According to the settled practice in equity, which has been adopted and is followed by the Chancery Division, the rule prevails that, in the administration of the estate of a deceased mortgagor, if the estate is solvent, the mortgagee is entitled to avail himself of all his rights against the mortgagor's general estate, and also of his security; he is allowed to prove for his whole debt, and also to make what he can of his security, not receiving more than twenty shillings in the pound, and the debt of the secured creditor is taken as it stood when the claim was sent in, although the security may have been partly realized before adjudication (c).

Right of mortgagee where the estate is solvent.

Before the Judicature Act, 1875 (d), in the administration of an estate of a deceased debtor, the fact of insolvency made no difference in the payment of debts, which were payable according to their legal, or equitable, priority; but in sect. 10 thereof the following provision is made:—

Rule where the estate is insolvent.

"In the administration by the Court of the assets of any person who may die after the commencement of this Act, and whose estate may prove to be insufficient for the payment in full of his debts and liabilities, and in the winding up of any company under the Companies Acts, 1862 and 1867, whose assets may prove to be insufficient for the payment of its debts and liabilities and the costs of winding up, the same rules shall prevail and be observed, as to the respective rights of secured and unsecured creditors, and as to debts and liabilities provable, and as to the valuation of annuities and future and contingent liabilities respectively, as may be in force for the time being under the law of bankruptcy, with respect to the estates of persons adjudged bankrupt; and all persons who in any such case would be entitled to prove for, and receive, dividends out of the estate of any such deceased person, or out of the assets of any such company, may come in under the decree or order for the administration of such estate, or under the winding up of such company, and make such claims against the same as they may respectively be entitled to by virtue of this Act."

The words "may prove to be insufficient" mean where there

(z) *Wilson v. Lady Dunsany*, 18 Beav. 299.

(a) *Chadwick v. Holt*, 8 De G. M. & G. 584. See *Widgery v. Tepper*, 6 Ch. D. 354, C. A.

(b) *Earl of Mansfield v. Ogle*, 4 De G. & J. 38.

(c) *Mason v. Bogg*, 2 My. & Cr. 443.

(d) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 77.

CHAP. LII.

is sufficient reason to believe that the estate will prove insolvent (e).

This section does not affect the rights of secured creditors as amongst themselves, but only affects the rights of secured creditors as between them and the unsecured creditors, by regulating the mode of proof by creditors, according as they are secured or unsecured, in conformity with the rules of the bankruptcy law (f).

Mortgagee may realize security notwithstanding administration.

Where an insolvent estate is being administered in the Chancery Division, the effect of this section is to render applicable the provisions of sect. 9 of the Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (g), so that the institution of the proceedings do not affect the power of any "secured creditor" within the meaning of that Act to realize or otherwise deal with his security, and to prove for the balance after deducting the net amount realized (h).

Proof by sub-mortgagee.

A sub-mortgagee may prove against the estate of the mortgagor for the whole amount of the debt secured by the original mortgage, but so as not to receive more than twenty shillings in the pound in respect of principal, interest and costs of his own debt (i).

Time when mortgagee must elect.

The creditor must elect how he will deal with his security at the time when he sends in his claim under the decree, which is equivalent to proof of his debt in bankruptcy (j).

Unregistered bill of sale good in administration.

Sect. 10 is not intended to enlarge the assets to be administered, but only to vary the rights of the persons entitled to the assets; and therefore it does not apply the rules of bankruptcy so as to make an unregistered bill of sale void as against unsecured creditors of the insolvent estate of the deceased grantor (k).

No interest allowed after judgment.

A creditor on the insolvent estate, whose debt bears interest, is not entitled to prove for interest up to the day of payment, but only to the date of the judgment for administration, which is equivalent to the adjudication in bankruptcy (l). Not more

(e) *Per Jessel, M. R., in Re Hopkins, Williams v. Hopkins*, 18 Ch. D. 370, at p. 377, C. A.

(f) *Smith v. Morgan*, 5 C. P. D. 337; *Re Maggi, Winehouse v. Winehouse*, 20 Ch. D. 545. As to proof by secured creditors in bankruptcy, see ante, pp. 1089 et seq.

(g) 46 & 47 Vict. c. 52.

(h) *Re London, Windsor, &c. Hotels Co., Quartermaine's Case*, (1892) 1 Ch. 639.

(i) *Re Burrell, Burrell v. Smith*, L. R. 7 Eq. 399.

(j) *Per Jessel, M. R., in Re Hopkins, Williams v. Hopkins*, 18 Ch. D. at p. 378, C. A.

(k) *Re Count D'Epineuil, Tudman v. D'Epineuil*, 20 Ch. D. 217.

(l) *Re Trott's Estate*, Seton, 6th ed. 1205; *Re Summers*, 13 Ch. D. 136; *Re Talbott*, 39 Ch. D. 567. But see *Re Savin*, L. R. 7 Ch. A. 760.

than five per cent. interest can generally be allowed in the administration of insolvent estates (*m*). CHAP. LII.

The effect of an administration order made by the Court of Bankruptcy is to vest the estate of the deceased debtor in the official receiver as trustee, and to render it distributable for the benefit of creditors in like manner as under an adjudication in bankruptcy (*n*). Effect of administration order in bankruptcy.

The general result of the enactments above referred to is that if the estate of a deceased mortgagor is insolvent, the mortgagee will no longer be entitled, as under the former law, to prove for the whole of his debt; but, whether the administration is commenced and continued in the Chancery Division, or transferred to or originally commenced in the Court of Bankruptcy, he will be allowed to prove only for the amount remaining unsatisfied after deducting the amount actually realized by the sale, or the assessed value of the security according to the rules prevailing in bankruptcy.

Although a mortgagee who has foreclosed cannot afterwards enforce his personal remedies against the mortgagor or his estate (*o*), yet a mortgagee, after foreclosure and an abortive attempt at sale, was admitted to prove in an administration suit upon giving up the property, but was not allowed the costs of foreclosure (*p*). But where a mortgagee of leaseholds was ousted by reason of breach of lessee's covenants by the mortgagor's executors, he was allowed to prove for the whole amount due to him for principal, interest and costs (*q*). Proof after foreclosure.

iv.—Costs.—The commencement of an administration action, either by a creditor other than the mortgagee, or by a person interested in the estate, does not interfere with the exercise of the mortgagee's remedies by foreclosure, or by exercise of his power of sale. A mortgagee, therefore, whether he be legal or equitable mortgagee, is entitled, on a sale under his power, to receive out of the proceeds of sale the whole amount due to him under his mortgage for principal, interest, and taxed costs, including costs of the sale, in priority to the costs of the administration action (*r*). Mortgagee selling under power may retain whole debt out of proceeds, including costs of sale.

(*m*) See Bankruptcy Act, 1890, s. 23.

(*n*) Bankruptcy Act, 1883, s. 125

(5), (6).

(*o*) *Lockhart v. Hardy*, 9 Beav. 349.

(*p*) *Haynes v. Haynes*, 3 Jur. N. S. 504.

(*q*) *Re Burrell, Burrell v. Smith*, L. R. 7 Eq. 399.

(*r*) *Armstrong v. Storer*, 14 Beav. 535. See *Blair v. Ormond*, 1 De G. & S. 428.

CHAP. LII.

Payment of
proceeds into
Court.

Rule where
mortgagee
brings or
adopts ad-
ministration
action.

Right of
equitable
mortgagee
to costs.

A mortgagee, after an administration suit, selling under his power and paying the sale moneys into Court, is entitled to an order for his debt and costs without account, unless the amount is disputed (s).

But if a mortgagee, instead of foreclosing or selling under his power, institutes as plaintiff an action for the administration and sale of the deceased mortgagor's estate (t), or if he adopts such an action and claims the benefit of it (u), then, as he does not rest exclusively on his contract, but seeks something beyond it, the costs of the action are in that case considered as costs of administration, and must be paid in the first instance in priority to the mortgage debt if the estate proves deficient. But in a recent case, where a mortgagee claimed general administration in addition to ordinary mortgagee's relief, he was held to be entitled to the amount due on his mortgages, including the costs relating to the mortgage security and the administration costs in priority to the costs of the legal personal representatives of the mortgagor (v).

It was formerly held that an equitable mortgagee might bring an action for administration and sale without losing his priority for costs, on the ground that, not being entitled to foreclosure, his only means of realizing the security was to apply to the Court for a sale (w); but, now that it is settled that foreclosure is a right incident to an equitable mortgage (x), there appears to be no distinction between the rights of a legal and an equitable mortgagee instituting or adopting proceedings for administration in respect of the priority of the costs over his mortgage debt.

Where a mortgagee was also a simple contract creditor, and filed a bill for administration, he was held entitled to payment of his mortgage money out of the mortgaged estate before the payment of any part of the costs of the suit (y).

Where a mortgagee commences his foreclosure suit and gets

(s) *Bingham v. King*, 14 W. R. 414.
(t) *Kenebel v. Scrofton*, 13 Ves. 370;
Wontner v. Wright, 2 Sim. 543; *Cook v. Brown*, 4 Y. & C. Ex. 227; *Alston v. Parker*, 5 L. J. N. S. Ch. 3; *Macrae v. Ellerton*, 4 Jur. N. S. 967; *Re Spensley's Estate*, L. R. 15 Eq. 16. But see *Prichard v. Fellows*, L. R. 17 Eq. 421, a decision which appears to be contrary to the current of authority.

(u) *White v. Bishop of Peterborough*, 3 Swanst. 109; Jac. 402; *Armstrong v. Storer*, 14 Beav. 535.

(v) *Re Banks, Daws v. Banks*, 45 W. R. 206.

(w) *Tipping v. Power*, 1 Ha. 405. But see *Wade v. Ward*, 4 Drew. 602.

(x) *James v. James*, L. R. 16 Eq. 153.

(y) *Aldridge v. Westbrook*, 5 Beav. 188; *Walter v. Stanton*, 10 W. R. 570.

paid in an administration suit, he is allowed to stay proceedings in his own suit, and receives the costs thereof (z).

CHAP. LII.

If a mortgagee, whether legal (a) or equitable (b), and whether originally made a defendant or not, to an administration action commenced by another person, simply consents to a sale in the action of the mortgaged property, he does not thereby forfeit his right to payment of his principal, interest, and costs, including his costs of the sale, in priority to the costs of other parties to the action (c); except that, if he is a defendant and concurs, he is apparently regarded as to some extent adopting the action, and therefore will not be allowed any costs incurred by him as a party to the action until after payment of the plaintiff's costs of sale; but a defendant mortgagee will be allowed his costs of the action out of the general assets, if any (d).

Priority not lost by consent to sale.

If, however, a mortgagee-defendant increases the costs of the administration action by improper conduct, as by claiming a greater amount under his security than is found to be due to him on taking the accounts, the costs of all parties in the action may be ordered to be paid in priority to the mortgage debt (e).

Effect of misconduct of mortgagee.

A creditor failing to prove his debt may be ordered to pay the costs occasioned by his claim (f). But a mortgagee who brings in his accounts in an administration action will not be deprived of his costs merely because he claims *bond fide* more than the Court holds him to be entitled to (g).

Costs of failing to prove debt.

Where an administration suit is instituted to which the mortgagee is a party, he will receive his costs in priority to the costs of proceedings directed to be taken by the receiver in the suit, he, the mortgagee, having taken no part in the proceedings (h).

Costs of defendant-mortgagee.

Where administration had been taken out to the effects of a deceased mortgagor of an undivided share of a fund, for the

(z) *Brookesbank v. Higgingbottom*, 31 Beav. 35.

(a) *Hepworth v. Haslop*, 3 Ha. 485; *Carr v. Henderson*, 11 Beav. 415; *Cutfield v. Richards*, 26 Beav. 241; *Dighton v. Withers*, 31 Beav. 423; *Cook v. Hart*, L. R. 12 Eq. 459.

(b) *Barnes v. Racster*, 1 Y. & C. C. C. 401. See *Wild v. Lockhart*, 10 Beav. 320.

(c) *Chissum v. Dewes*, 5 Russ. 29; *Carr v. Henderson*, *sup.*; *Langton v. Langton*, 7 De G. M. & G. 30; *Parker*

v. Watkins, 2 John. 133; *Hilliard v. Moriarty*, (1894) 1 Ir. R. 316.

(d) *Berry v. Hebblethwaite*, 4 K. & J. 80.

(e) *White v. Gudgeon*, 30 Beav. 545.

(f) *Hatch v. Searles*, 2 Sm. & G. 147; *Yeomans v. Haynes*, 24 Beav. 127; *Colyer v. Colyer*, 10 W. R. 748; *Wright v. Larmath*, W. N. (1869) 36.

(g) *Re Watts, Smith v. Watts*, 22 Ch. D. 1, C. A.

(h) *Langton v. Langton*, 7 De G. M. & G. 30.

CHAP. LII.

purpose of a suit which had been instituted by a third party to obtain distribution of the fund, the costs occasioned by such administration were thrown upon the general fund, though the mortgagee claimed the whole produce of his share free from all the costs of the administration (i).

In a suit for the administration of the trusts of a settlement, the costs of the defendant-mortgagee and all parties come out of the fund (k).

Costs of
puisne
mortgagee.

Where a decree was made in an administration suit, at the instance of the first mortgagee as plaintiff, for the sale of the mortgaged property, and a puisne mortgagee concurred in the conveyance to the purchaser, it was held that the latter was not entitled to his costs of conveyance until the first mortgagee had been paid in full (l).

Where a puisne mortgagee brought an action against other mortgagees and the trustees of a will for administration of the testator's estate, it was held that the plaintiff was entitled to his costs of the action, so far as the proceedings therein were proper and for the general benefit of all the mortgagees, out of the estate in priority to the prior mortgagees, but that he must add the rest of his costs to his security; also that the trustee's costs must be allowed and paid out of the estate as between solicitor and client (m).

(i) *Cotton v. Penrose*, 18 L. J. Ch. 128.

(k) *Bryant v. Blackwell*, 15 Beav. 44.

(l) *Wonham v. Machin*, L. R. 10 Eq. 447.

(m) *Re Barnes, Lee v. Barnes*, 62 L. T. 922. See *Ford v. Earl of Chesterfield*, 21 Beav. 426.

CHAPTER LIII.

OF THE REMEDIES OF DEBENTURE HOLDERS AND
MORTGAGEES OF COMPANIES.

i.—Actions by Debenture Holders, &c., to enforce Securities before Winding-up.—A security, whether in the form of a mortgage or of a debenture, on the property of a company which is carrying on its business as a going concern, may, as a general rule, be enforced by the same remedies as one available in the case of a mortgage of the property of an individual. The mortgagee or debenture holder may accordingly either realize the property under his power of sale, if such power is incident to the security (a), or he may obtain the appointment of a receiver; or he may sue for principal and interest on the covenant; or he may (except as against a railway company or other company whose undertaking is of a public nature (b)) bring an action for foreclosure or sale of the property charged by his security (c). He is also entitled, upon the winding-up of the company, to rights of proving against the general assets, and other rights which will be hereafter considered.

Remedies of mortgagees and debenture holders generally.

Where a trust deed to secure debentures contained a proviso that if the company should make default in payment the trustees might, on the request of a majority of the debenture holders, sell the property charged, it was held that a single debenture holder could not compel the trustees to exercise their power of sale against the wishes of the majority (d).

Holder of debentures secured by trust deed.

The secured creditor of a company not in liquidation, like any other mortgagee, cannot enforce his security, unless default has been made in payment of principal and interest. So, if a debenture contains a condition for payment of principal at a future date and of interest, and that principal and interest should be paid at a specified place, a half year's interest having fallen into arrear, it was held that no default had been made in payment of interest so as to entitle the debenture holder to enforce his security until demand had been made for payment

Mortgagees, &c. cannot sue before default.

(a) The power of sale conferred on mortgagees by the Conv. &c. Act, 1881, s. 19, does not apply to debenture holders. *Blaker v. Herts and Essex Waterworks Co.*, 41 Ch. D. 399.

(b) See *ante*, p. 1001.

(c) See as to enforcing securities against companies by appointment of a receiver, *ante*, pp. 933 *et seq.* And as to foreclosure or sale, see *ante*, pp. 1001 *et seq.*

(d) *Kempe v. Jones*, W. N. (1884) 214.

| | |
|--|--|
| CHAP. LIII. | at the specified place, though it appeared that the interest had been previously paid by cheques sent through the post (e). |
| Court may grant relief before default under special circumstances. | The Court has, however, in some cases, granted relief to a debenture holder, where it has been shown that the security was in jeopardy through the insolvency of the company (f), or that the company was dealing improperly with the property charged to the prejudice of the debenture holder (g). |
| Plaintiff must sue on behalf of all debenture holders. | The writ in an action commenced by a debenture holder must be issued on behalf of himself and all other the holders of similar debentures of the company (h); but leave to amend may be given at the hearing (i). A plaintiff suing on behalf of debenture holders of a particular class should describe that class as accurately as possible (j). |
| Parties. | The defendants, when there is no trust deed, will be the company, and if there are several classes of debentures, holders of a debenture of each class, as representing other holders of that class. |
| Absence of debenture holder. | When debentures constitute a charge on the property of the company by way of floating security, the Court will not make a foreclosure order in a debenture holder's action in the absence of any debenture holder (k). |
| Dissentient debenture holder to be made defendant. | If any debenture holder dissents from the plaintiff's claim, he is entitled to be made a defendant, either as representing the other dissentient debenture holders, if any there be, or alone if there be no other dissentients (l); or the plaintiff may make all the dissentient debenture holders defendants, or, if they are numerous, may apply by summons for an order under R. S. C., Ord. XVI., r. 9, that one of their number may be sued as representing the rest. |
| No appeal by person not named a party. | A debenture holder who is not a defendant cannot appeal from an order obtained by a plaintiff suing on behalf of all the debenture holders (m), for all who are represented by the plaintiff are bound by the order (n). The proper course is to apply to the Court below to be made a defendant, before appealing against the order (o). |

(e) *Thorn v. City Rice Mills*, 40 Ch. D. 357.

(f) *McMahon v. North Kent Ironworks Co.*, (1891) 2 Ch. 148; *Thorn v. Nine Reefs*, 67 L. T. 93; *Edwards v. Standard Rolling Stock Syndicate*, (1893) 1 Ch. 574.

(g) *Hubbuck v. Helms*, W. N. (1887) 45.

(h) *Bowen v. Brecon Rail. Co.*, L. R. 3 Eq. 541.

(i) *Reese River Silver Mining Co. v. Attwell*, L. R. 7 Eq. 347.

(j) *Marshall v. South Staffordshire Tramways Co.*, (1895) 2 Ch. 36, C. A.

(k) *Re Continental Oxygen Co.*, *Elias v. Continental Oxygen Co.*, (1897) 1 Ch. 511.

(l) *Wilson v. Church*, 9 Ch. D. 552; *Fraser v. Cooper*, 21 Ch. D. 718.

(m) *Watson v. Cave* (No. 1), 17 Ch. D. 19, C. A.

(n) *Commissioners of Sewers v. Gellatly*, 3 Ch. D. 610.

(o) *Watson v. Cave* (No. 1), 17 Ch. D. 19.

Debenture holders who determine to bring an action to enforce their securities should be careful in selecting a plaintiff to sue on their behalf; for if he is one against whom the company can assert a right by way of counterclaim, the action may be delayed (*p*); and if the plaintiff is personally precluded from suing, the action cannot proceed (*q*).

CHAP. LIII.
Choice of
plaintiff.

The writ should claim a declaration of charge, all necessary accounts and inquiries, payment, foreclosure or sale, and a receiver and manager (*r*).

It is the usual practice to allow the judgment to contain a declaration that the debenture holders are entitled to a charge on the assets of the company purporting to be charged by the debentures, even where the action is heard as a short cause; but the Court may refuse to make such a declaration, if it appears that there ought to be an inquiry into the validity of the debentures (*s*).

Declaration
of charge.

Where the plaintiff is the registered holder of all the debentures of a series, he may bring an action for foreclosure, like an ordinary mortgagee (*t*), by originating summons (*u*).

Originating
summons for
foreclosure.

Where debentures are secured by a covering trust deed, the trustees are the proper persons to bring an action to enforce the security, but if they refuse to do so, an action may be brought by a debenture holder suing on behalf of himself and all the other debenture holders, and making the trustees parties to his action (*x*). In the latter case the claim should be that the trusts of the deed may be carried into execution (*y*).

Who may
sue under
trust deed.

If the trustees bring an action against the company, they will, as a general rule, sufficiently represent the holders secured by the trust deed for the purposes of the action (*z*). But if questions are likely to arise as to priorities between the holders of several series of debentures, the proper course is to make a debenture holder of each class a defendant as representing the holders of the same class (*a*).

Trustees
represent
their debenture
holders.

(*p*) *Huggons v. Tweed*, 10 Ch. D. 359, C. A.

(*q*) *Burt v. British Nation Life Ass. Soc.*, 4 De G. & J. 158.

(*r*) For form of writ, see Emden on Winding-up, p. 615.

(*s*) *Marwick v. Thurlow*, (1895) 1 Ch. 776. See also *Charlwood v. Leasehold Investment Co.*, W. N. (1895) 47; *Brinsley v. Lynton and Lynmouth Hotel, &c. Co.*, W. N. (1895) 53; *Parkinson v. Wainwright & Co.*, W. N. (1895) 63.

(*t*) See *ante*, p. 1020.

(*u*) *Sadler v. Worley*, (1894) 2 Ch. 170.

(*x*) *Wood v. Williams*, 4 Madd. 186; *Troughton v. Binckes*, 6 Ves. 573.

(*y*) *Chadwyck-Healey on Companies*, 3rd ed., p. 369.

(*z*) R. S. C., Ord. XVI. r. 8. See *Luke v. South Kensington Hotel*, 11 Ch. D. 121; *Mills v. Jennings*, 13 Ch. D. 639, C. A.

(*a*) *Griffith v. Pound*, 45 Ch. D. 553. See *Newton v. Earl of Egmont*, 4 Sim. 574; *S.C.*, 5 Sim. 130. See R. S. C., Ord. XVI. r. 9.

CHAP. LIII.

Injunction
against
execution
creditor.

Mortgagees of
general assets
not entitled
to books of
company.

A debenture holder, whose charge is specific, is entitled to an injunction against a judgment creditor who has issued execution against property charged by the debenture, although the time for the payment of the moneys secured thereby has not arrived (*b*).

A mortgagee of the general assets of a company is not entitled under his security to seize the books of the company; and, if he has done so, and the company is wound up, he must deliver them up to the liquidator (*c*).

By the Rules of the Supreme Court, Ord. LI., it is provided as follows:—

Power to
make order
for sale in
debenture
holders' action
at any time.

R. 1b. "In debenture holders' actions, where the debenture holders are entitled to a charge by virtue of their debentures, or of a trust deed, or otherwise, and the plaintiff is suing on behalf of himself and other debenture holders, and where the judge in person is of opinion that there must eventually be a sale, he may in his discretion direct a sale before judgment, and also after judgment, before all the persons interested are ascertained, whether served or not."

General
jurisdiction.

ii.—Jurisdiction in the Winding-up of Companies.—By the Companies (Winding-up) Act, 1890 (*d*), the jurisdiction to wind up companies in England and Wales is committed to the High Court of Justice, the Chancery Courts of the Counties Palatine of Lancaster and Durham, the Stannaries Court, and the County Courts.

Jurisdiction
of High
Court.

The jurisdiction of the High Court is exerciseable, as the Lord Chancellor may by order direct, by such judge or judges of the Chancery Division as the Lord Chancellor may assign to exercise that jurisdiction, or by the judge exercising jurisdiction in Bankruptcy (*e*).

By an order made under this section, dated the 29th of November, 1890, the Lord Chancellor assigned the jurisdiction of the High Court under the Act to the judges of the High Court to whom chambers were attached; and by another order, dated the 26th March, 1892, the business was assigned to Sir R. Vaughan Williams, J.

Palatine
Courts.

Where the paid-up capital of a company exceeds 10,000*l.*, a petition to wind up the company must be presented to the High Court; or, if the company is within the jurisdiction of either of

(*b*) *Legg v. Mathieson*, 2 Giff. 71;
Wildy v. Mid-Hants Rail. Co., 16 W. R.
409.

(*c*) *Re Glyne Tin Plate Co.*, 47 L. T.

439.

(*d*) 53 & 54 Vict. c. 63, s. 1, sub-
s. 1.

(*e*) *Ibid.*, s. 2.

the Palatine Courts, then either in that Court or in the High Court (f). CHAP. LIII.

The Stannaries Court is now abolished (g).

Stannaries
Court.
County
Courts.

Where the paid-up capital does not exceed 10,000*l.*, the petition must be presented to the County Court of the district within which the registered office of the company is situate, unless such County Court is excluded by order of the Lord Chancellor from having jurisdiction under the Act (h).

iii.—Petitions for Winding up.—By sect. 79 of the Companies Act, 1862 (i), it is enacted that:—

“A company under this Act may be wound up by the Court as hereinafter defined, under the following circumstances (that is to say)—

Circumstances
under which
company may
be wound up
by the Court.

- (1) When the company has passed a special resolution requiring the company to be wound up by the Court;
- (2) Whenever the company does not commence its business within a year from its incorporation, or suspends its business for the space of a whole year;
- (3) Whenever the members are reduced in number to less than seven;
- (4) Whenever the company is unable to pay its debts;
- (5) Whenever the Court is of opinion that it is just and equitable that the company should be wound up.”

And by sect. 199 of the same Act, an unregistered company, except a railway company incorporated by Act of Parliament, may be wound up under the Act under the following circumstances, that is to say:—

As to un-
registered
companies.

“(a) Whenever the company has dissolved or has ceased to carry on business, or is carrying on business only for the purpose of winding up its affairs;

“(b) Whenever the company is unable to pay its debts;

“(c) Whenever the Court is of opinion that it is just and equitable that the company shall be wound up.”

It is well settled that the exception of railway companies from the operation of the winding-up provisions of the Act applies only to companies whose principal object is the construction of a railway, and not to a company having power to construct a railway or tramway for purposes connected with some other undertaking, which is its principal object. In two cases Sir R. Malins, V.-C., while adopting the above construction of the Act, held that a debenture holder of any company carrying on

Exception of
“railway
companies.”

(f) *Ibid.*, s. 1 (ii).
(g) 59 & 60 Vict. c. 45.

(h) 53 & 54 Vict. c. 63, s. 1 (3), (5).
(i) 25 & 26 Vict. c. 89.

CHAP. LIII.

an undertaking of a public nature must, as a general rule, be content with the obtaining the appointment of a receiver, and that a winding-up order ought not to be made on his application, at all events until a receiver had been actually appointed, and had failed to obtain payment (*k*). But these decisions have not been approved of in later cases; and immediate winding-up orders have been made in the case of a tramway company (*l*), and a water company (*m*).

Summons by debenture holder in winding-up.

A petition to wind up a company may be presented either by a shareholder or creditor. A debenture holder or mortgagee may, in addition to his other remedies, apply by summons in a winding-up commenced on the petition of a shareholder or some other creditor claiming a declaration of charge and payment of the money owing under the security, and will be entitled to his costs, as well as to principal and interest, out of the property charged (*n*).

Opposition of creditors to winding-up petition.

The Court has a judicial discretion as to granting a winding-up order on the petition of a shareholder (*o*), and in the exercise of such discretion the Court will give due weight to opposition on the part of the creditors of the company (*p*).

Petition by debenture holder to wind up company.

A mortgagee or holder of a debenture charging the property or undertaking of any company except a railway company, may, like any other creditor, present a petition for winding up the company (*q*); and it makes no difference in this respect whether the charge is specific or is a floating security (*r*).

Right of creditor to winding-up order.

The petition of a creditor, secured or unsecured, stands on a different footing to one presented by a shareholder, inasmuch as a creditor, secured or otherwise, is, as a general rule, entitled to a winding up *ex debito justitiæ*. So that the Court has no discretion absolutely to refuse such an order, provided the creditor shows that the case comes within the Act (*s*). "It is not a discretionary matter with the Court, when a debt is

(*k*) *Re Ermouth Docks Co.*, L. R. 17 Eq. 181; *Re Herve Bay Waterworks Co.*, 10 Ch. D. 42.

(*l*) *Re Brentford and Isleworth Tramways Co.*, 26 Ch. D. 527; *Re Portsmouth Tramways Co.*, (1892) 2 Ch. 362.

(*m*) *Re Barton-upon-Humber, &c. Water Co.*, 42 Ch. D. 585.

(*n*) *Re Marine Mansions Co.*, L. R. 4 Eq. 601; *Re Hamilton Windsor Ironworks*, 12 Ch. D. 707.

(*o*) *Re Planet Benefit Soc.*, L. R. 14 Eq. 441; *Re Middlesboro' Assembly*

Rooms, 14 Ch. D. 104.

(*p*) *Re Professional, &c. Building Soc.*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 856; *Re City and County Bank*, L. R. 10 Ch. A. 470. See *Re London Permanent, &c. Soc.*, 21 L. T. 8.

(*q*) *Moor v. Anglo-Italian Bank*, 10 Ch. D. 681, 689; *Re Great Western Coal Consumers' Assoc.*, 21 Ch. D. 769.

(*r*) *Re Henry Pound, Son & Hutchins*, 42 Ch. D. 402, C. A.

(*s*) *Re London Suburban Bank*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 641, 643; *Western of Canada Oil Co.*, L. R. 17 Eq. 1.

established and not satisfied, to say whether the company shall be wound up or not; that is to say, if there be a valid debt established, valid both at law and in equity. One does not like to say positively that no case could occur in which it would be right to refuse it, but, ordinarily speaking, it is the duty of the Court to direct a winding-up" (t).

CHAP. LIII.

This rule, however, applies only as between the creditors and the company, and if a creditor presents a petition to wind up the company, the Court will pay attention to objections which may be made by dissentient creditors (u).

Objections of dissentient creditors.

Where a petition by a debenture holder to wind up the company is opposed, the opponent should state by affidavit all such matters within his knowledge, as to the promotion, formation, and failure of the company, as go to negative the necessity or desirability of inquiry into such matters; he should also state the date of such issue of the debentures, and the consideration for such debentures (x).

Grounds of objection to be stated.

A debenture holder is not entitled to petition for the winding up of a company where the payment of debentures is secured only by a trust deed whereby the covenant for payment of principal and interest is made with the trustees of that deed (y); but he will be entitled to do so if the debenture contains a covenant with the holder for payment to him (z).

Petition by holder of debenture secured by trust deed.

But though a creditor is, as a general rule, entitled to a winding-up order as of right, the Court has power, if it appears doubtful whether there are any assets which can be reached by the order, to direct an inquiry, and that the petition shall stand over till the inquiry is answered (a); and generally the Court may, under sects. 86 and 91 of the Act of 1862, order the petition to stand over where there is any prospect of an arrangement being made by the company for payment if that course is adopted (b).

When the Court may order the petition to stand over.

(t) *Per* Lord Cranworth in *Bowes v. The Hope, &c. Soc.*, 11 H. L. C. 389; *Re General Company for Promotion of Land Credit*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 363; *S.C.* in *D. P.*, *sub nom. Princess of Rouss v. Bos*, L. R. 5 H. L. 176.

(u) See Companies Act, 1862, ss. 91, 149. See also *Re Langley Mill Co.*, L. R. 12 Eq. 26; *Re West Hartlepool Co.*, L. R. 10 Ch. A. 618; *Re Uruguay Central Rail. Co.*, 11 Ch. D. 372; *Re Chapel House Colliery Co.*, 24 Ch. D. 249, C. A.; *Re New York Exchange*, 39 Ch. D. 415, C. A.

(x) *Re J. H. Evans & Co.*, W. N. (1892) 126.

(y) *Re Uruguay, &c. Rail. Co. of Monte Video*, 11 Ch. D. 372.

(z) *Re Olathe Silver Mining Co.*, 27 Ch. D. 278.

(a) *Re St. Thomas' Dock Co.*, 2 Ch. D. 116; *Re Olathe Silver Mining Co.*, 27 Ch. D. 278.

(b) *Re Brighton Hotel Co.*, L. R. 6 Eq. 339; *Re Western of Canada Oil Co.*, L. R. 17 Eq. 1; *Re New York Exchange*, 39 Ch. D. 415, C. A.

CHAP. LIII.

So, also, where a mortgagee of a colliery presented a petition for winding up the mortgagor company which was opposed by a secured mortgagee of the colliery, and by holders of debentures charged upon the colliery (subject to the mortgages), and all other the property of the company, the amounts of whose claims largely exceeded the first mortgage debt, and it was shown that there was a reasonable prospect of payment of all claims if time was given, an immediate winding-up order was refused (c).

Disputed
debt.

Attempts to enforce, by means of a winding-up petition, the payment of a debt, the liability for which is *bond fide* disputed by the company, are not to be encouraged, and in such a case the petition may be ordered to stand over (d).

If there is no proof of the company being insolvent, and the alleged debt is *bond fide* in dispute, the creditor will be restrained from presenting a petition to wind up the company (e). And in such a case if a petition has been presented, the Court has jurisdiction on motion to stay all proceedings under it or dismiss it (f).

Leave to
bring action
to establish
debt.

Where the debt on which the petition is founded is disputed, the usual course is to order the dispute to stand over, with leave to bring an action to establish the debt (g). But the Court is bound before doing so to see that the debt is disputed on some substantial ground (h).

Court may
decide dispute
in the wind-
ing-up.

The Court may, however, itself decide the dispute at the hearing of the petition, and may make a winding-up order accordingly either to take effect immediately (i), or with a direction that the order be not drawn up for a certain time so as to enable the company to make arrangements for payment (j).

(c) *Re Great Western Coal Co.*, 21 Ch. D. 769.

(d) *Per* Turner, L. J., in *Re Catholic Publishing, &c. Co.*, 2 De G. J. & S. 116; *Exp. Rhydyfedd Colliery Co.*, 3 De G. & J. 80; *Re Imperial Guardian, &c. Co.*, L. R. 9 Eq. 447; *Re London and Paris Banking Co.*, L. R. 19 Eq. 444; *Re General Exchange Bank*, 12 Jur. N. S. 465; *Re British Alliance Co.*, W. N. (1887) 261.

(e) *Cadiz Waterworks Co. v. Barnett*, L. R. 19 Eq. 182; *Niger Merchants' Co. v. Capper*, 18 Ch. D. 557, n.; *Cerole Restaurant Co. v. Lavery*, 18 Ch. D. 557; *Merchant Banking Co. v. Hough*, W. N. (1874) 230; *John Brown*

& Co. v. Keeble, W. N. (1879) 173.

(f) *Re Gold Hill Mines*, 23 Ch. D. 210; *Re Compagnie Générale, Exp. Neuchatel*, W. N. (1883) 17.

(g) *Re Catholic, &c. Publishing, &c. Co.*, 2 De G. J. & S. 116; *Re Universal Bank*, 14 L. T. 691. See *Re Imperial Guardian, &c. Soc.*, L. R. 9 Eq. 447.

(h) *Re King's Cross Industrial Dwellings Co.*, L. R. 11 Eq. 149.

(i) *Re Imperial Silver Quarries Co.*, 16 W. R. 1220. See *Re Brighton Club, &c. Co.*, 35 Beav. 204; *Re Great Britain Mutual Soc.*, 16 Ch. D. 246.

(j) *Re King's Cross Industrial Dwellings Co.*, L. R. 11 Eq. 149.

iv.—Effect of Winding-up Proceedings on Rights of Action of Debenture Holders and Mortgagees of Companies.—With regard to the enforcement by a creditor of a company of his remedies for the recovery of his debt, the Companies Act, 1862 (*k*), contains the following enactments:—

CHAP. LIII.

Sect. 85. "The Court may, at any time after the presentation of a petition for winding up a company under this Act, and before making an order for winding up the company upon the application of the company, or of any creditor or contributory of the company, restrain further proceedings in any action, suit, or proceeding against the company upon such terms as the Court thinks fit."

Court may grant injunction.

Sect. 87. "When an order has been made for winding up a company, no suit, action, or other proceeding shall be proceeded with or commenced against the company except with the leave of the Court, and subject to such terms as the Court may impose."

Actions and suits to be stayed after winding-up.

Sect. 163. "When any company is being wound up by the Court, or subject to the supervision of the Court, any attachment, sequestration, distress, or execution, put in force against the estate or effects of the company after the commencement of the winding-up, shall be void to all intents."

Certain attachments, &c. to be void.

Sect. 201. "The Court may, at any time after the presentation of a petition for winding up an unregistered company, and before an order, upon the application of any creditor, restrain further proceedings in any action, suit, or proceeding, against any contributory of the company, or against the company, upon such terms as the Court thinks fit."

Power of Court to restrain further proceedings.

Sects. 87 and 163 must be read together; the latter section does not apply where leave has been given under the former (*l*). Leave may be given to proceed with the action to judgment, but the judgment not to be put in force against the assets of the company without further leave (*m*).

Leave to bring or proceed with action.

Where a mortgagee has commenced an action against a company before the winding-up, he ought to obtain leave to proceed with his action, except under special circumstances, or unless the same relief is given to him in the winding-up as he would obtain in the action (*n*).

The Court has a judicial discretion as to granting or refusing leave to bring or proceed with an action against the company to enforce the security. So, leave to institute a suit of foreclosure was refused where it appeared that the mortgagee could

Discretion as to granting leave to bring or proceed with actions.

(*k*) 25 & 26 Vict. c. 89.

(*l*) *Re Exhall Coal Co.*, 4 De G. J. & S. 377.

(*m*) *United English, &c. Co.*, L. R. 5 Eq. 300.

(*n*) *Re David Lloyd & Co.*, 6 Ch. D. 339, C. A.

CHAP. LIII.

obtain full relief by an order in Chambers in the winding-up without the necessity for a suit (*o*). So, where an order for winding-up had been made, in the presence of persons claiming to be first mortgagees, directing as to priorities of incumbrancers, and that the costs of such claimants should be costs in the winding-up, leave was given to them to bring an action for foreclosure on the terms that the costs of attending the proceedings should be in the discretion of the Court (*p*).

Distress
under attorn-
ment clause.

Mortgagees with an attornment clause were refused leave to distrain where they had acquiesced in the possession of the mortgagor company's property by the liquidator, and it appeared that such possession was for the benefit of the mortgagees as well as of the company (*q*).

How leave is
obtained.

Leave to commence an action against a company in liquidation should not be given upon *ex parte* application (*r*). The leave is given by the judge who has the conduct of the winding-up (*s*).

Appeal
against grant
or refusal of
leave.

When the judge has given leave to a plaintiff to proceed with a suit against a company, the Court of Appeal will not interfere with his discretion (*t*). But in some cases, where the judge has refused leave, it has nevertheless been granted by the Court of Appeal (*u*).

Costs of
application.

As a general rule, if a creditor obtains leave to bring or continue an action against a company in liquidation, his costs of the application will be ordered to be paid out of the assets of the company (*v*). But if leave is refused, he will have to pay the costs (*x*), unless under special circumstances, as in a case where the Court was of opinion that the creditor had been hardly dealt with by the company (*y*).

If an action against a company is continued after a winding-

(*o*) *Re St. Cuthbert Lead Smelting Co.*, 36 Beav. 384. See also *Re A Company*, (1894) 2 Ch. 349, where the proceedings were an abuse of process.

(*p*) *Exp. General Credit and Discount Co.*, *Re Hamilton's, &c. Co.*, 39 L. T. 658.

(*q*) *Exp. Carnelly, Re Lancashire Cotton Spinning Co.*, 35 Ch. D. 656, C. A.

(*r*) *Western and Brazilian Telegraph Co. v. Bibby*, 42 L. T. 821. But see *Williams v. Bristol Marine Insur. Co.*, 39 L. J. Ch. 604.

(*s*) *Wilson v. Natal Investment Co.*, 36 L. J. Ch. 312; *Re Rio Grande, &c.*

Co., 5 Ch. D. 282, C. A.

(*t*) *Thames Plate Glass Co. v. Land and Sea Telegraph Co.*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 643. See *Re Joshua Stubbs*, (1891) 1 Ch. 475.

(*u*) *McEwen v. London, Bombay, &c. Bank*, 15 L. T. 495. See *Strong v. Carlyle Press*, (1893) 1 Ch. 268.

(*v*) *Re Trent and Humber, &c. Co.*, L. R. 8 Eq. 94.

(*x*) *Exp. Railway Steel and Plant Co.*, 8 Ch. D. 183; *Re Oak Pits Colliery Co.*, 21 Ch. D. 322, C. A.

(*y*) *Re Dimson's, &c. Co.*, L. R. 19 Eq. 202.

up order without leave, the plaintiff would be liable to have his costs in the winding-up disallowed (s). CHAP. LIII.

Sometimes, instead of giving the debenture holder or mortgagee leave to proceed, the liquidator is directed to realize the securities (a). Realization of security by liquidator.

The mere fact that an order has been made for winding up a company does not absolutely of itself prevent a mortgagee or debenture holder from bringing or maintaining an action to enforce his security, or confer on the liquidator the right to obtain an injunction to restrain such action, but, as a general rule, he will have leave to proceed with his action, unless under special circumstances, or if it appears that he can obtain adequate relief in the winding-up (b). "The fact that a mortgagor is a company which has since been ordered to be wound up does not in any way affect the rights of the mortgagees" (c). Effect of winding-up order on mortgagee's remedies.

But the holder of a mere equitable charge on the "funds, assets, and effects" of a company was restrained from continuing an action for foreclosure after the commencement of winding-up proceedings (d).

The jurisdiction of the Court to stay actions against a company in liquidation extends to cases where the company is being wound up voluntarily (e). Voluntary winding-up.

Where a company is in liquidation, a mortgagee or debenture holder should apply for leave before proceeding to realize his security under his power of sale. If he has himself filed a petition to wind up the company, he will not be allowed to sell till the order for winding-up (f). Mortgagee selling under power.

But the Court has no jurisdiction to restrain a creditor of a joint stock company from suing the directors of a company, or an individual promoter who is liable for the debt, on the ground that an order has been made for winding up the company (g). Action against director or promoter.

Where a company is being wound up in this country, the Court may restrain a creditor from proceeding in the Courts of Action in foreign country.

(s) *Re Hull Central Drapery*, 15 Ch. D. 326, C. A.

(e) *Emden on Winding-up*, p. 99.

(b) *Re David Lloyd & Co.*, 6 Ch. D. 339, C. A.; *Re Longdenale Cotton, &c.* Co., 8 Ch. D. 150.

(c) *Per Lindley, L. J.*, in *Strong v. Carlyle Press*, (1893) 1 Ch. 268, at p. 274, C. A. See *Re Henry Pound, Son & Hutchins*, 42 Ch. D. 402; *Re Joshua Stubbs*, (1891) 1 Ch. 475.

(d) *Jones v. Swansea Cambrian Benefit Building Soc.*, 50 L. J. Q. B. 428.

(e) *Re Keynham Co.*, 33 Beav. 123; *Re Life Assoc. of England*, 10 Jur. N. S. 762.

(f) *Re Cambrian Mining Co.*, W. N. (1881) 125. See *Engel v. South Metropolitan Brewing, &c. Co.*, (1892) 1 Ch. 442.

(g) *Re Dover and Deal Rail. Co.*, 17 Sim. 11; *Re New Zealand Banking Co.*, 39 L. J. Ch. 128.

CHAP. LIII.

Ireland (*h*), Scotland (*i*), or in any foreign country (*k*). But a secured creditor will not be restrained from bringing or maintaining proceedings against the company in the Courts of another country if it appears that he could not enforce his security by proceeding in the winding-up (*l*).

Application
ex parte to
restrain
action by
creditor.

An application to restrain a creditor from commencing or proceeding with an action against the company ought not, generally, to be made *ex parte*. But in several cases actions by creditors against a company have been restrained on motion *ex parte*, until after the petition was disposed of, on the applicants giving the usual undertaking as to damages (*m*).

To whom
application
should be
made.

The application must be made in that Division of the High Court in which the action or proceeding which it is desired to restrain is pending (*n*).

Costs of
application.

Where an injunction is granted on the application of a company in liquidation restraining an action or proceeding by a creditor, the general rule is, that the company pays its own costs of the application, and the creditor adds his costs thereof to his security (*o*).

Transfer of
action.

An action by a debenture holder or mortgagee to enforce his security, whether commenced before or after a company goes into liquidation, will be transferred, as a matter of course, to the Judge in Bankruptcy (*p*).

Rules as to
proof in bank-
ruptcy ap-
plicable to
winding-up
of insolvent
companies.

v.—Proof by Secured Creditors in a Winding-up.—By sect. 10 of the Judicature Act, 1875 (*q*), it is enacted, that in the winding up of any company under the Companies Acts, 1862 and 1867, the assets of which may prove to be insufficient for the payment of its debts and liabilities, and the costs of winding-up, the same rules shall prevail and be observed as to the respective rights of secured and unsecured creditors, and as to debts and

(*h*) *Re International Pulp Co.*, 3 Ch. D. 594.

(*i*) *Re Middleboro' Firebrick Co.*, 52 L. T. 98; *Re Queensland, &c. Agency*, 58 L. T. 878; *Re Hermann Loog*, 36 Ch. D. 502.

(*k*) *Re North Carolina Estate Co.*, W. N. (1889) 53.

(*l*) *Re West Cumberland Iron and Steel Co.*, (1893) 1 Ch. 713. See *South Eastern of Portugal Rail. Co.*, 17 W. R. 982; *Norton v. Florence Land, &c. Co.*, 7 Ch. D. 332; *Moor v. Anglo-Italian Bank*, 10 Ch. D. 681.

(*m*) *Re London and Suburban Bank*, 25 L. T. N. S. 23; *Masbach v. Anderson & Co.*, 26 W. R. 100; *Everingham v. Co-operative Pure Family Beer Co.*, W. N. (1880) 99; *Re Artistic Colour Printing Co.*, 14 Ch. D. 502.

(*n*) *Re Artistic Colour, &c. Co., sup.*; *Re General Service Co-operative Stores*, (1891) 1 Ch. 496.

(*o*) *Re Hill Pottery Co.*, L. R. 1 Eq. 649; *Re Plas-yn Mhoywys Coal Co.*, L. R. 4 Eq. 689.

(*p*) See *Companies Winding-up Rules*, r. 14.

(*q*) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 77.

liabilities proveable, and as to the valuation of annuities and future and contingent liabilities respectively, as may be enforced for the time being under the law of bankruptcy with respect to the estates of persons adjudged bankrupt (r).

CHAP. LIII.

The rules regulating the rights of secured creditors to prove in bankruptcy have been already considered (s). Proof in bankruptcy.

Where a company in liquidation is solvent, the old rule still applies which formerly applied to proof in winding-up, whether solvent or insolvent, that is to say, that a secured creditor is entitled to prove for the whole amount that is due to him without realizing or valuing his security, but so as not to receive more than twenty shillings in the pound on his debt from all sources, and not, as in bankruptcy, only for the balance remaining due after realizing or valuing his security (t). Rule as to proof where the company is solvent.

Sect. 10 only applies to cases where it is either proved, or there is sufficient reason to believe, that the estate of the company will turn out insufficient for the payment in full of its debts and liabilities, including the costs of winding-up (u). If there is reason to believe that a company in liquidation is insolvent, the section must be treated as applicable, until it is shown that the assets are sufficient for payment of the debts in full (v). The section, in effect, enacts that, on the winding-up of an insolvent joint stock company, such rules shall prevail as to the respective rights of the secured and unsecured creditors, and as to debts and liabilities proveable, and other matters, as may be enforced for the time being under the law of bankruptcy with respect to the estates of persons adjudged bankrupt (x). Effect of the section.

The secured creditor of a company in liquidation may, therefore, in accordance with the present rules in bankruptcy, either (1) rest on his security, giving up all claim to proof; (2) give up his security on the property of the company and prove for the whole debt; (3) realize his security and prove for any deficiency; or (4) assess its value and prove for the balance of the debt. Courses open to secured creditor in winding-up.

(r) See this section set out in full, *ante*, p. 1111.

(s) *Ante*, p. 1089.

(t) *Re Milan Tramways Co., Exp. Theys*, 25 Ch. D. 587, 591, C. A.

(u) *Re Hopkins, Williams v. Hopkins*,

18 Ch. D. 370, 377.

(v) *Re Kellock's Case*, L. R. 3 Ch. A. 769.

(x) *Per Lindley, L. J., in Morsey Steel and Iron Co. v. Naylor*, 9 Q. B. D. 648, at p. 668, C. A.

CHAP. LIII.

Delivery up of securities cannot be compelled till payment in full.

Election as to proof of debt.

Right to appear before election.

Proof after dividend.

Realization of security by debenture holder.

Application of proceeds of security in discharge of interest since winding-up.

A secured creditor of a company in liquidation cannot be deprived of his security until he has been paid in full the principal, interest, and costs due thereon (*s*). So, where the principal was made repayable by instalments, which fell into arrear, it was held that the mortgagee was entitled, on the winding up of the mortgagor company, to keep the mortgage as a security for the payment of future instalments, and to prove in the winding-up for instalments in arrear (*a*).

A secured creditor, in deciding whether he will simply rest on his security, should bear in mind that he cannot maintain any action or proceeding to enforce his security without the leave of the Court (*b*); but he need not elect upon the course to be pursued until the certificate of debts is made (*c*).

Secured creditors have a right to appear on the hearing of a winding-up petition without having elected between their security and the assets (*d*).

If a creditor of a company, believing himself to be fully secured, makes no claim for his debt in the winding-up, he may subsequently, on the security turning out defective, come in and prove for the unsecured balance of his debt on the terms of his disturbing no past dividend (*e*).

Debenture holders may, immediately upon the commencement of the winding-up, proceed to realize their security though the time specified in the debentures for the repayment of the money borrowed has not arrived (*f*), and though no interest is in arrear (*g*).

A secured creditor of a company which is in liquidation, who has realized his security in a foreclosure action without satisfying his debt, is not allowed to apply the proceeds of the security in payment, first, of interest subsequent to the winding-up, and then in reduction of principal, so as to increase the balance for which he claims to prove in the winding-up; he may, however, set off profits arising from the property since the winding-up order against interest accrued during the same period (*h*).

(*s*) *Warrant Finance Co.'s Case*, L. R. 10 Eq. 11.

(*a*) *Re Land Securities Co.* (No. 2), 44 W. R. 611, C. A.

(*b*) See *ante*, p. 1125.

(*c*) *Re Hopkins, Williams v. Hopkins*, 18 Ch. D. 370, 378. See *Carmarthen Coal, &c. Co.*, 45 L. J. Ch. 200.

(*d*) *Re Carmarthen Coal, &c. Co.*, 45

L. J. Ch. 200.

(*e*) *Re Kit Hill Tunnel, Exp. Williams*, 18 Ch. D. 590.

(*f*) *Re Panama, &c. Royal Mail Co.*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 318; *Hodson v. Tea Co.*, 14 Ch. D. 859.

(*g*) *Wallace v. Universal Automatic Machines Co.*, (1894) 2 Ch. 547.

(*h*) *Exp. Penfold*, 2 De G. & S.

According to the rule laid down in bankruptcy, the doctrine of fraudulent preference can be enforced in the winding up of a company only for the benefit of the creditors in general, and not for the benefit of a single debenture holder, or of one class of debenture holders (i):

CHAP. LXX.

Fraudulent preference.

Sect. 10 of the Judicature Act, 1875, imports into the winding up of a company the rules as to set-off in bankruptcy (k).

Set-off.

But this section is not a general enactment applying all the rules of bankruptcy to a winding-up. It merely deals with certain special differences between the rules observed formerly in certain cases in the Courts of Chancery and Bankruptcy respectively, and enacts that in these cases the rules of bankruptcy shall prevail (l).

Extent of application of bankruptcy rules.

The Bankruptcy Rules as to reputed ownership are not to be applied under the Judicature Act, 1875, s. 10, in the winding up of a limited company (m).

Reputed ownership.

Nor does the section include the obligations of a company in liquidation under covenants in a lease to pay rent, no breach having taken place; and, accordingly, until breach, the mortgagee in possession of leaseholds is not entitled to have assets impounded to meet future rents (n).

Moreover, sect. 6 (2) of the Bankruptcy Act, 1883, requiring a secured creditor in his petition to state his security and its value, does not apply in the case of a petition to wind up a company (o).

Assessment of security.

It was held by Lord Romilly, M. R., that where a creditor holds debentures as a security for his debt, he cannot prove for the amount of the debentures, but only for the amount of his debt (p). But in a recent case, where a debenture stock certificate for 8,000*l.* was deposited as security for an advance of 6,000*l.* to the company by which the stock was issued, Sir R. Vaughan Williams, J., held that the lender was entitled,

Proof by creditor holding debentures as security.

282; *Re London, Windsor and Greenwich Hotels Co., Quartermaine's Case*, (1892) 1 Ch. 639. See as to allocation of proceeds to interest in cases of bankruptcy, *ante*, p. 1091.

(i) Companies Act, 1862, s. 164. See as to fraudulent preference in winding-up, *ante*, p. 598, where this section is set out.

(k) *Mersey Steel and Iron Co. v. Naylor, Benson & Co.*, 9 App. Cas. 434.

(l) *Re Coal Consumers' Assoc.*, 14 Ch. D. 625. See *Thomas v. Patent Leatite Co.*, 17 Ch. D. 250, O. A.

(m) *Re Crumlin Viaduct Works Co.*, L. R. 11 Eq. 755.

(n) *Re Westbourne Grove Drapery Co.*, 5 Ch. D. 248.

(o) *Moor v. Anglo-Italian Bank*, 10 Ch. D. 681.

(p) *Re Blakely Ordnance Co.*, L. R. 8 Eq. 244.

CHAP. LIII.

in the winding up of the company, to prove for the whole 8,000*l.*, provided he did not receive dividends exceeding 6,000*l.* and interest (*q*).

Proof in winding-up after contract for sale.

Where a mortgagee has contracted to sell the mortgage premises, he will, in a winding-up, be entitled to prove for his whole debt, less the amount of the purchase-money mentioned in the contract, without prejudice to the right to increase the proof in case the contract should go off, or to the right of further proof in respect of costs, charges, and expenses (*r*).

Railway arrangement schemes.

vi.—Schemes of Arrangement.—By the Railway Companies Act, 1867 (*s*), mortgagees and debenture holders of railway companies which are unable to meet their engagements with their creditors, are bound by arrangement schemes, which have been duly filed and adopted by majorities of each class after due advertisement in the manner pointed out in the Act.

Restraint of actions against company after filing of scheme.

After the filing of the scheme, the Court may, on the application of the company on summons or motion in a summary way, restrain any action against the company on such terms as the Court thinks fit (*t*).

After publication of notice of the filing of the scheme in the Gazette, no execution, attachment, or other process against the property of the company is to be available without leave of the Court (*u*).

Adoption of scheme by majority.

The majority of mortgagees, holders of debenture stock, holders of rentcharges, preference shareholders, and ordinary shareholders, requisite to render valid and effectual an assent to a scheme, is a majority of three-fourths in value of each class (*x*).

Confirmation of scheme.

At any time within three months after the filing of the scheme, or such extended time as the Court may allow, the directors may apply for confirmation of the scheme (*y*). The scheme will be confirmed, unless the assent of the statutory majority has been obtained by fraud (*z*).

Enrolment of scheme.

The scheme when confirmed is to be enrolled in the Court, and thenceforth, as against and in favour of the company and all

(*q*) *Robinson v. Montgomery Brewery Co.*, (1896) 2 Ch. 841; *Re Blakely Ordnance Co.* does not appear to have been cited.

(*r*) *Re Oxford and Canterbury Hall Co.*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 433.

(*s*) 30 & 31 Vict. c. 127, s. 6.

(*t*) *Ibid.*, s. 7.

(*u*) *Ibid.*, ss. 8, 9.

(*x*) *Ibid.*, ss. 10—13.

(*y*) *Ibid.*, ss. 16, 17.

(*z*) *Re East and West Junction Rail. Co.*, L. R. 8 Eq. 87.

parties assenting thereto or bound thereby, is to have the like effect as if enacted by Parliament (a). CHAP. LIII.

Debenture holders who have taken judgment cannot thereby be placed in a better position than the other debenture holders (b); although they have taken judgment, they are still debenture holders, and, as such, bound by the scheme (c). Debenture holders taking judgment.

Judgment creditors generally, not being bound by the scheme, cannot derive any benefit from its provisions (d). Thus, mortgagees and vendors, who have accepted rentcharges under the scheme in satisfaction of their mortgages and vendors' lien, do not lose their priority by merger as against such judgment creditors (d). Judgment creditors.

Landowners and outside creditors, whose assent is not required by the Act, are not bound by the scheme (e): but the inrolment will be stayed at the instance of outside creditors who desire to have the petition re-heard (f). Landowners, &c. not bound.

The scheme may be amended by the Court, although the amendment may affect the priorities of debenture holders (g). Amendment of scheme.

Debentures and mortgages may be changed into debenture stock under a scheme (h).

The Companies Act, 1862 (i), provided for arrangements between companies in liquidation and their creditors; but the power of binding minorities of creditors was very restricted, and its applicability to secured creditors was not clear. But by the Joint Stock Companies Arrangement Act, 1870 (k), it is enacted that:— Schemes of arrangement of joint stock companies.

“Where any compromise or arrangement shall be proposed between a company which is, at the time of the passing of this Act or afterwards, in the course of being wound up, either voluntarily or by or under the supervision of the Court, under the Companies Acts, 1862 and 1867, or either of them, and the creditors of such company, or any class of such creditors, it shall be lawful for the Court, in addition to any other of its powers, on the application in a summary Where compromise proposed, Court of Chancery may order a meeting of creditors, &c. to decide as to such compromise.

(a) *Re East and West Junction Rail. Co.*, L. R. 8 Eq. 87.

(b) *Re Potteries Co.*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 67; *Potteries, &c. Co. v. Minor*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 621.

(c) *Potteries, &c. Co. v. Minor*, *sup.*

(d) *Stevens v. Mid Hants Rail. Co.*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 1064.

(e) *Re Cambrian Rail. Scheme*, L. R. 3 Ch. A. 278; *Re East and West Juno-*

tion Rail. Co., *sup.*; *Munn v. Isle of Wight Rail. Co.*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 414.

(f) *Devon and Somerset Rail. Co.*, L. R. 6 Eq. 615.

(g) *Re Manchester and Milford Rail. Co.*, W. N. (1881) 121.

(h) *Re Irish North Western Rail. Co.*, Ir. R. 2 Eq. 425.

(i) 25 & 26 Vict. c. 69, ss. 136, 159.

(k) 33 & 34 Vict. c. 104, s. 2.

CHAP. LIII.

way of any creditor or the liquidator, to order that a meeting of such creditors or class of creditors shall be summoned in such manner as the Court shall direct, and if a majority in number, representing three-fourths in value of such creditors or class of creditors present either in person or by proxy at such meeting, shall agree to any arrangement or compromise, such arrangement or compromise shall, if sanctioned by an order of the Court, be binding on all such creditors or class of creditors, as the case may be, and also on the liquidator and contributories of the said company."

Effect of
scheme on
rights of
creditors.

Every class of creditors is bound by a scheme under this Act (*l*). The power given thereby of sanctioning a scheme of arrangement between a company in liquidation and its creditors extends to holders of debentures and other securities, and enables the Court to sanction a scheme so as wholly or in part to take away from a minority the security which they hold (*m*). The Court will not sanction a scheme, though duly adopted, if it is shown to be improvident and unfair to the creditors, or that the assent of the creditors has been wrongfully obtained by mistake or fraud (*n*), or if the arrangement will prejudice a creditor whose rights would be preferential if the winding-up petition were carried on (*o*).

Formal
defects in
proceedings.

If the terms of an arrangement are fair and reasonable and likely to be beneficial to all parties, the Court ought not to be astute to find out any technical defect, if any, in the proceedings; so where an order was made sanctioning an arrangement which had been only provisionally approved of by a majority of the creditors, and the extraordinary resolution absolutely sanctioning the arrangement was passed only after the order had been made, it was held, as the sanction prescribed by statute had been obtained, it was not material in what order they had been obtained (*p*).

Debentures
to bearer.

The holders of debentures passing by delivery are not entitled to vote upon a resolution for an arrangement unless they produce their debentures at or before the meeting (*q*).

(*l*) *Re Midland Coal, &c. Co.*, 42 W. R. 622.

(*m*) *Re Alabama, &c. Rail. Co.*, (1891) 1 Ch. 213, C. A. See *Slater v. Darlaston Steel Co.*, W. N. (1877) 139; *Re Dynevor Collieries Co.*, 11 Ch. D. 605; *Re Empire Mining Co.*, 44 Ch. D. 402.

(*n*) *Re Alabama Rail. Co.*, *sup.*; *Re English, Scottish and Australian Char-*

tered Bank, (1893) 3 Ch. 385, C. A.; *Re London Chartered Bank of Australia*, (1893) 3 Ch. 540; *Re Empire Mining Co.*, *sup.*

(*o*) *Re Richards & Co.*, 11 Ch. D. 676, 679.

(*p*) *Re Dynevor, &c. Collieries Co.*, 11 Ch. D. 605, C. A.

(*q*) *Re Wedgwood Coal Co.*, 6 Ch. D. 627.

It is sufficient to secure the adoption of a resolution for an arrangement so as to render it binding that three-fourths in value of the creditors present at the meeting, personally or by proxy, vote in its favour (r).

CHAP. LIII.

In the arrangement schemes of railways and other companies, a suspension clause is often introduced preventing the existing creditors from taking steps against the company during a limited period.

Suspension clauses.

It has been held that the mortgagees who, under the Act, have been compelled to accept new debentures, with different rights and priorities, in satisfaction of their old debentures, are, notwithstanding, still "existing creditors" within the meaning of the suspension clause (s).

Non-assenting creditors will not, during the suspense, obtain leave to sue without special circumstances (t).

The effect of the Act of 1870 is, however, to provide an alternative mode of liquidation to a winding-up, so that the arrangement discharges the company and its contributories by operation of law; it is therefore generally unnecessary, when an arrangement is arrived at pending a winding-up by the Court or under supervision, to introduce into the order sanctioning the scheme any express words staying proceedings by creditors or discharging contributories from further liability beyond that imposed by the scheme (u).

Discharge of company by scheme.

But a scheme of arrangement, though binding on all creditors as between them and the company, will not deprive a creditor of his remedies against a surety for the debt owing to him by the company (x).

Surety.

The Court could not compel the liquidator of a company to assent to a scheme of arrangement under sect. 159 of the Act of 1862 (y); but by sect. 2 of the Act of 1870, an arrangement under that Act, if sanctioned by the Court, is expressly made binding on the liquidator.

Liquidator bound by scheme.

For instances of schemes of arrangement which have been sanctioned by the Court, see the cases cited below (z).

Approval of schemes.

(r) *Re Bessemer Steel Co.*, 1 Ch. D. 251. See *Labouchere v. Wharmcliffe*, 13 Ch. D. 346, 354.

(s) *London Financial Co. v. Wrexham, &c. Co.*, L. R. 18 Eq. 566.

(t) *Re Trign Valley Rail. Co.*, W. N. (1881) 172.

(u) *Re London Chartered Bank of Australia*, (1893) 3 Ch. 540, 547.

(x) *Dane v. Mortgage Insur. Corporation*, (1894) 1 Q. B. 64, C. A.

(y) *Re International Contract Co., Hawkey's Case*, 26 L. T. 358.

(z) *Re Western of Canada Oil, &c. Co.*,

CHAP. LIII.

The Court will not sanction a scheme of arrangement purporting to invest a majority of the debenture holders with powers which would oust the jurisdiction of the Court (a); nor one providing for costs and remuneration of persons employed to carry out the scheme, unless such payments are made subject to taxation by the Court (b).

W. N. (1874) 148; *Re Tunis Rail. Co.*, 10 Ch. D. 270, n.; *Re Dynevor, &c. Collieries Co.*, 11 Ch. D. 605, C. A.; *Slater v. Darlaston Steel and Iron Co.*, W. N. (1877) 139, 165; *Re Empire*

Mining Co., 44 Ch. D. 402.

(a) *Re Land Mortgage Bank of Florida*, W. N. (1896) 48.

(b) *Re Mortgage Insur. Corporation*, W. N. (1896) 4.

CHAPTER LIV.

OF THE RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF A MORTGAGEE WITH
RESPECT TO TAKING THE ACCOUNTS BETWEEN HIM AND
THE MORTGAGOR.

SECTION I.

OF ACCOUNTS BETWEEN MORTGAGEES AND MORTGAGORS
GENERALLY.

i.—Reference of Accounts to Chambers.—In all actions relating to mortgages brought either by the mortgagor or those claiming under him for redemption, or by the mortgagee for foreclosure, and whether the mortgagee has entered into possession of the mortgaged property or not (*a*), the usual order of the Court is that it be referred to Chambers to take an account of what is due to the mortgagee for principal, interest, and costs (*b*).

Order for taking the accounts.

But if the mortgagor has applied under the stat. 7 Geo. II. c. 20 (*c*), for reconveyance of the mortgaged lands on payment to the mortgagee of what shall be ascertained by the Court to be due to him for principal, interest, and costs, the reference to Chambers must proceed upon admission by the mortgagor of the amount claimed in the action by the mortgagee for principal and interest due on the mortgage, and it will not be open to the chief clerk to admit evidence to the contrary (*d*).

Admission of accounts by mortgagor.

The plaintiff in a foreclosure action is, as a general rule, entitled to claim in account only the principal and interest due on his security, and the costs of the action; he must show special grounds for claiming any other costs (*e*).

Extent of mortgagee's claim in accounts in foreclosure action.

Any special matter affecting the state of account between the mortgagee and mortgagor in a foreclosure action, such as a claim by the mortgagor to redeem on payment of the amount

Special matters affecting accounts.

(*a*) As to accounts in the case of a mortgagee in possession, see *post*, pp. 1200 *et seq.*

(*b*) See *ante*, pp. 1024 *et seq.*

(*c*) See *ante*, pp. 873 *et seq.*

(*d*) *Huson v. Huson*, 4 Ves. 106.

(*e*) *Bolingbroke v. Hinde*, 25 Ch. D.

CHAP. LIV.

at which the mortgagee had valued the security on the mortgagor's bankruptcy, should be pleaded or brought to the notice of the Court at the trial before the usual decree for foreclosure *nisi* is made, in order that directions may be given to the chief clerk to have regard to such matter in taking the accounts, otherwise no such matter can be raised subsequently on taking the accounts (*f*).

Questions in action cannot be tried on taking the accounts.

A plaintiff in an action for foreclosure or for administration cannot, on an application under R. S. C., Ord. XV. r. 1, for accounts and inquiries on default of the defendant to appear, obtain directions for special inquiries which would cause the questions arising in the action to be determined by the reference to Chambers (*g*). And the same rule applies to a plaintiff in an action for redemption (*h*).

Preliminary accounts, &c.

So, also, if the Court orders preliminary accounts and inquiries to be taken before trial under Ord. XXXIII. r. 2, directions cannot be given which would have the effect of sending the questions in the action to be tried at Chambers (*i*).

Mortgagor's right of set-off.

ii.—Set-off.—In taking the accounts between a mortgagee and mortgagor, the latter may be given the benefit of any matters which he is entitled to set off against the amount claimed by the former (*k*).

Surety.

So, a surety who is being sued by the mortgagee may set off a debt which is due by the mortgagee to the principal debtor arising out of the transaction on which the liability arises (*l*).

Set-off in bankruptcy.

The right of set-off in bankruptcy has been extended under successive statutes by the mutual credit clauses.

Under sect. 38 of the Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (*m*), if there is no right of set-off at the time of bankruptcy, subsequent dealings cannot create it (*n*).

Set off of mortgage debt owing by bankrupt against claims for salary, &c.

Where a director of a company assigned his shares and salary to the company by way of security for debts owing by him to the company on his private account, and empowered the company to retain his salary and dividends, and sell his shares, but

(*f*) *Sanguinetti v. Stuckey's Bank*, (1896) 1 Ch. 502.

(*g*) *Gatti v. Webster*, 12 Ch. D. 771; *Re Gyhon, Allen v. Taylor*, 29 Ch. D. 834, C. A.

(*h*) *Clover v. Wilts, &c. Building Soc.*, W. N. (1884) 110.

(*i*) *Garnham v. Skipper*, 29 Ch. D. 566; 52 L. T. 239, C. A.

(*k*) *Dodd v. Lydall*, 1 Ha. 333.

(*l*) *Becherovaise v. Lewis*, L. R. 7 C. P. 372.

(*m*) 46 & 47 Vict. c. 52.

(*n*) See *Re Milan Tramways Co.*, 25 Ch. D. 587, C. A.

until an order directing otherwise the director was to be deemed to be the owner of the shares; the director having become bankrupt, it was held that his shares passed as in the order and disposition of the bankrupt, but that the company was entitled to set off the bankrupt's debt as against the bankruptcy trustee's claim for dividends and salary (o).

The rules as to set-off which prevail in bankruptcy (p) are applicable to joint stock companies in liquidation under sect. 164 of the Companies Act, 1862 (q), only to such extent as is consistent with the special legislation in regard to companies (r). So a contributory cannot set off a judgment debt against calls (s).

Set-off in winding up of companies.

Where a shareholder holding debentures in a company deposited the debentures with his bankers by way of security, and subsequently calls were made on the shares; the bankers gave notice to the company of the equitable assignment of the debentures, which was entered on the register of debentures; the bankers then commenced a debenture holders' action against the company, and the company went into voluntary liquidation; it was held that the company were entitled to set off the calls made on the shares before the time of the winding-up against the sum due on the debentures, but not calls made in the winding-up (t).

Set off of calls against debenture debt.

Where the defendant in an action by a life assurance company to recover the amount due on a mortgage of policies effected by defendant with the company, paid the premiums, but did not receive the policy moneys upon the happening of the event upon which the same became payable; the company having gone into liquidation, it was held that the defendant was entitled to set off the sums payable under the policies against the amount due by him on the mortgage (u).

Set off of mortgage debt against moneys payable under policy of assurance.

iii.—Further Accounts.—If an account be taken in chambers, and no further proceedings are had, and afterwards a second account is directed, it will be taken from the foot of the first account (x).

Further accounts.

(o) *Nelson v. London Assurance Co.*, 2 S. & St. 292.

(p) 46 & 47 Vict. c. 52, s. 48.

(q) 25 & 26 Vict. c. 89.

(r) *Re Washington Diamond Mining Co.*, (1893) 3 Ch. 95, C. A.

(s) *Gill's Case*, 12 Ch. D. 755.

(t) *Christie v. Taunton & Co.*, (1893) 2 Ch. 175.

(u) *Sovereign Life Assurance Co. v. Dodd*, (1892) 2 Q. B. 573, C. A.

(x) *Procter v. Cowper*, 2 Vern. 377; *Morris v. Islip*, 20 Beav. 654.

CHAP. LIV.

And where fraud and imposition are proved, an account may be opened many years after it has been closed, and even after the death of the person guilty of the fraud (s). A single fraudulent item is sufficient ground for opening an entire account (t).

Gross errors amounting to fraud.

It would seem, however, that it is not necessary to prove actual fraud if the errors proved in the account are sufficient in number and importance to show such gross negligence as constructively to amount to fraud (u).

Mutual mistake.

Under special circumstances a settled account may be reopened upon the ground of mutual mistake. So where a mortgage account had been settled on the footing of compound interest, with half-yearly rests, both parties wrongly understanding that this was in accordance with the provisions of the mortgage deed, it was held that the account might be opened (x).

Fiduciary relation between parties.

If the mortgagee stands in a fiduciary relation to the mortgagor, e.g., as trustee or solicitor, it is obvious that it is especially incumbent upon him to be careful that no errors appear in the accounts brought in by him; and in such a case a less amount of error will justify the Court in opening the account (y).

Liberty to surcharge and falsify.

vi.—Surcharge and Falsification of Accounts.—As a general rule, unless fraud is proved, or unless the parties stand in a fiduciary relation to each other, a settled account will not be opened for mere mistakes or omissions, but the party impugning the account will have liberty to surcharge or falsify (z).

Fiduciary relation.

Even where a fiduciary relation exists, unless actual fraud is proved, the Court will not readily open an account which has long been settled, but will make a decree that the objecting party may surcharge and falsify (a).

(s) *Roberts v. Kuffin*, 2 Atk. 113; *Vernon v. Vaudrey*, 2 Atk. 119; *Wedderburn v. Wedderburn*, 4 My. & Cr. 41; *Alfrey v. Alfrey*, 1 Mac. & G. 87; *Williamson v. Barbour*, 9 Ch. D. 529.

(t) *Taylor v. Haylin*, 2 Bro. C. C. 310; *Pritt v. Clay*, 6 Beav. 503; *Alfrey v. Alfrey*, 1 Mac. & G. 87; *Coleman v. Mellersh*, 2 Mac. & G. 309. See *Gething v. Keighly*, 9 Ch. D. 547, at p. 550.

(u) *Clarke v. Tipping*, 9 Beav. 284; *Williamson v. Barbour*, *sup.* at p. 532; *Holgate v. Shutt*, 28 Ch. D. 111, C. A.

(z) *Daniell v. Sinclair*, 6 App. Cas. 181, P. C.

(y) *Williamson v. Barbour*, 9 Ch. D. 529, at p. 532. See *Lawless v. Mansfield*, 1 Dr. & War. 557; *Coleman v. Mellersh*, 2 Mac. & G. 309, at p. 314; *Re Webb, Lambert v. Still*, (1894) 1 Ch. 73, at p. 84.

(e) *Re Webb, Lambert v. Still*, (1894) 1 Ch. 73, at p. 84, C. A. See *Vernon v. Vaudrey*, 2 Atk. 119.

(a) *Millar v. Craig*, 6 Beav. 433; *Gething v. Keighly*, 9 Ch. D. 547; *Eyre v. Wynn-Mackenzie*, (1894) 1 Ch. 218.

If a solicitor, having taken a mortgage security, charges poundage in his account on the amount of rents received, without informing his client that he has legally no right so to do, the mortgagor will be allowed to surcharge and falsify, notwithstanding his acquiescence in the charge (b). CHAP. LIV.
Illegal charges by solicitor.

By the Rules of the Supreme Court, Ord. XXXIII. r. 5, it is provided as follows :—

“ Any party seeking to charge any accounting party beyond what he has by his account admitted to have received shall give notice thereof to the accounting party, stating, so far as he is able, the amount sought to be charged and the particulars thereof in a short and succinct manner.” Surcharge.

The expressions to “ surcharge ” and to “ falsify ” have been thus defined : “ If any of the parties can show an omission, for which credit ought to be, that is a surcharge ; or, if anything is inserted that is a wrong charge, he is at liberty to show it, and that is falsification ” (c). Definition of expressions “ surcharge ” and “ falsify.”

Where a party is at liberty to surcharge and falsify, he is not merely confined to errors in fact, but may take advantage of errors in law (d). Errors in law.

The proper mode of proceeding for a mortgagor who makes claims against a mortgagee in possession on the ground of alleged wilful default, is to surcharge and falsify the accounts brought in by the mortgagee (e). So where a mortgagee in possession made in his accounts a charge for receiving the rents personally, liberty was given to the mortgagor to surcharge and falsify (f). Surcharge, &c. against mortgagee in possession.

If a party seeks to open a settled account, or liberty to surcharge and falsify, he must in his pleadings specifically aver some direct and definite act of fraud or error, and support that averment with evidence (g). The charge may be set up by defence or counterclaim (h). Pleading fraud or error.

Where accounts were taken under a purchase by the mortgagee, reserving to the mortgagor a right of re-purchase within a limited period, the mortgagor remaining manager, books kept by the mortgagee were taken as *prima facie* evidence of the amount of all moneys received and paid by him, with liberty to Evidence.

(b) *Langstaffe v. Fenwick*, 10 Ves. 405. And see *Wragg v. Denham*, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 117.

(c) *Pitt v. Cholmondeley*, 2 Ves. Sen. 565.

(d) *Roberts v. Kuffin*, 2 Atk. 112. See *Daniell v. Sinclair*, 6 App. Cas. 181.

(e) *Noyes v. Pollock*, 30 Ch. D. 336, at p. 342, C. A.

(f) *Langstaffe v. Fenwick*, 10 Ves. 404.

(g) *Parkinson v. Hanbury*, L. R. 2 H. L. 1, at pp. 11, 19.

(h) *Eyre v. Hughes*, 2 Ch. D. 148.

CHAP. LIV.

surcharge and falsify ; but such books are not binding on the mortgagor (i).

Mortgage to
solicitor for
costs.

vii.—Action to Open, &c. Accounts of Costs for which a Mortgage is given to a Solicitor.—There appears no legal objection to a solicitor taking a mortgage security for his bill of costs actually due, pending the litigation ; but if the security be taken for an unsettled account, an action for a general account will at any time lie against him (k) ; and although it is a rule that a settled account shall not be opened unless particular errors are pointed out, yet if, on an action brought by a client against his solicitor, alleging error generally in an account settled between them, the solicitor admit the fact, the account will be opened (l).

Taxation of
costs after
payment.

If a client has paid or given a mortgage for his solicitor's bill of costs without pressure or undue influence, and afterwards wishes to have it taxed, he must state and prove that the bill contains such grossly improper charges as furnish evidence of fraud (m).

Opening set-
tled account
between
solicitor and
client.

When the security is given after a settlement of accounts, such accounts are subject to be opened even after the lapse of many years. So accounts stated and signed thirty years previous were opened generally, and not merely with leave to surcharge and falsify, when it appeared that the accounts contained improper charges, and that the client signed them without independent advice or proper explanation (n).

Surcharge,
&c.

But if there have been only mistakes and omissions, there will be only liberty to surcharge and falsify (o) ; and if the amount due for costs or advances has never been fixed, or no bills have been delivered, the amount must be ascertained by taxation (p), notwithstanding the securities (q) ; but this of course is subject to the late Acts (r), by which the remuneration of solicitors may be fixed by agreement in writing signed by both parties (s).

(i) *Ogden v. Battams*, 1 Jur. N. S. 191.

(k) *Detillin v. Gale*, 7 Ves. 583. See *Williams v. Piggott*, Jac. 598 ; *Jones v. Tripp*, Jac. 322 ; *Pitcher v. Rigby*, 9 Pri. 79 ; *Hiles v. Moore*, 17 L. J. Ch. 385.

(l) *Mattheus v. Wallwyn*, 4 Ves. 118. And see *Lawless v. Mansfield*, 1 Dr. & War. 557, 559 ; *Jones v. Moffett*, 3 J. & L. 636 ; *Gomley v. Wood*, 3 J. & L. 678 ; *Coleman v. Mellersh*, 2 Mac. & G. 309 ; *Holland v. Holland*, 1 Dru. 391 ; *Blagrave v. Routh*, 8 De G. M. & G. 620 ; *Morgan v. Higgins*, 1 Giff. 270 ; *Judd v. Ollard*, 5 Jur. N. S. 755 ; *Earl Dundonald v. Masterman*, L. R.

7 Eq. 518 ; *Eyre v. Hughes*, 2 Ch. D. 148.

(m) *Horlock v. Smith*, 2 My. & Cr. 496 ; *Re Lacey & Son*, 25 Ch. D. 301, C. A.

(n) *Ward v. Sharp*, W. N. (1884) 5.

(o) *Vernon v. Vawdry*, 2 Atk. 119 ; *Jones v. Moffett*, 3 J. & L. 636.

(p) *Harrison v. Wiltshire*, 2 Jur. 679 ; *Sandon v. Hooper*, 6 Beav. 246.

(q) *Lawless v. Mansfield*, 1 Dr. & War. 557.

(r) 33 & 34 Vict. c. 28, s. 4 ; 44 & 45 Vict. c. 44, s. 8. See as to profit-costs, 58 & 59 Vict. c. 25.

(s) *Re Lewis*, 1 Q. B. D. 724.

An agreement, however, not to charge any costs need not be in writing (t). CHAP. LIV.

The rule as to mortgage for costs applies to legal and equitable securities equally (u).

If, however, the accounts have been properly investigated and settled, the mortgage will not be disturbed (x); and where the relation of solicitor and client has ceased for several years, and no fraud or special error is alleged, the accounts will not be opened, although no bills were delivered until after the date of the mortgage (y); and it will be no objection to the security, if *bonâ fide*, that it was obtained under pressure from the solicitor, and when money was wanted to meet the urgent necessities of the client (z).

Mortgage for costs not lightly disturbed.

If no accounts have been kept, or there has been any misstatement on the part of the solicitor, or any overcharges in his unsettled account, he will be fixed with the costs (a).

Costs where accounts not properly kept.

SECTION II.

OF ACCOUNTS OF PRINCIPAL.

i.—General Right of Mortgagee to Payment of Principal.—A mortgagee will be allowed in account the principal sum originally advanced, or so much thereof as remains unpaid, unless the mortgagee has agreed to accept a less sum in satisfaction of his claim in respect of principal.

Where a mortgagee agrees to take a portion of his debt in satisfaction of the whole upon payment on a fixed day, the Court will not relieve against the effect of non-payment on that day (b).

Acceptance of part payment in full if made by certain date.

ii.—Bonus or Commission for Loan.—As a general rule the mortgagee will not be allowed to increase the principal moneys secured by the mortgage by adding thereto a sum charged by

Bonus for loan not allowed.

(t) *Jennings v. Johnson*, L. R. 8 C. P. 425.

(u) *Bristol v. Warner*, 10 Ir. Eq. R. 246; notwithstanding *Exp. Bovill*, 2 M. & A. 382, n. And see *Philby v. Hazle*, 29 L. J. C. P. 370.

(x) *Judd v. Ollard*, 5 Jur. N. S. 755; *Jones v. Roberts*, 9 Beav. 419; *Nelson v. Booth*, 5 W. R. 722.

(y) *Blagrove v. Bouth*, 8 De G. M. &

G. 621; but see *Lyddon v. Moss*, 4 De G. & J. 104.

(z) *Johnson v. Fesemeyer*, 3 De G. & J. 13; *Pearson v. Benson*, 28 Beav. 598; *Cheslyn v. Dalby*, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 170.

(a) *Davis v. Parry*, 1 Giff. 174; *Detillin v. Gale*, 7 Ves. 583.

(b) *Ford v. Earl of Chesterfield*, 19 Beav. 428; *Thompson v. Hudson*, L. R. 4 H. L. 1.

CHAP. LIV.

way of bonus or commission in consideration of making the advance pursuant to stipulations made at the time of the advance, for to allow such addition would be to permit the mortgagee to obtain a collateral advantage beyond his principal, interest, and proper costs, and thereby to clog the equity of redemption (c). And a settled account including and allowing a bonus may be set aside (d).

Agreement
for bonus out
of proceeds
of sale.

Thus, where a mortgagee stipulated with the mortgagor that, on sale of the mortgaged property, he should be entitled to a bonus or commission on the amount realized by the sale, in addition to his principal and interest and the expenses of effecting the sale, the charge was disallowed (e).

Agreement
for bonus if
title to
mortgaged
property is
established.

So, also, where by a mortgage deed, charging the mortgagor's interest in certain property, the title to which was being disputed in a pending action, it was agreed that if the title should be established, the mortgagor should pay a sum to the mortgagee by way of bonus, it was held that the bonus was illegal, as being a collateral advantage stipulated for by the mortgagee (f).

Distinction
where bonus
actually
deducted.

Where, however, the mortgagee, pursuant to an agreement proved to have been entered into by the parties, and as part payment of the mortgage contract, deliberately, without improper pressure, and perfectly understood by the mortgagor, actually deducted at the time of the advance a sum for commission or bonus out of the sum advanced, the Court directed that, in taking the account, the sums actually deducted for commission at the time of the advance should be allowed, but that all other sums charged for commission should be disallowed (g).

Agreement
for payment
of larger sum
on falling in
of mortgaged
reversion.

Even though no sum is actually deducted at the time of the loan, it is competent for the parties to stipulate by the mortgage deed that the mortgage shall be redeemable only on payment at a future time, or on the happening of a given event, of a much larger sum than that originally advanced, even though interest is made payable in the meantime; and it may also be stipulated that if a smaller sum is paid at an earlier date, or before the event happens, the mortgagee shall accept it in satisfaction of the larger sum (h).

(c) See *ante*, pp. 14 *et seq.*

(d) *Barrett v. Hartley*, L. R. 2 Eq. 789.

(e) *Broad v. Selfe*, 9 Jur. N. S. 885.

(f) *James v. Kerr*, 40 Ch. D. 449.

(g) *Mainland v. Upjohn*, 41 Ch. D. 126. See also *Bucknell v. Vicary*, 64

L. T. 701, P. C.; *Eyre v. Winn-Mackenzie*, (1894) 1 Ch. 218, 227, appealed on another point, (1896) 1 Ch. 135, C. A.

(h) *Webster v. Cook*, L. R. 2 Ch. A. 542.

An exception is, however, made in this respect in the case of a mortgage by an expectant heir of a reversionary interest, where undue advantage is taken by the mortgagee of the necessities of the mortgagor, in which case the mortgagee will be allowed only the sum actually advanced with simple interest at five per cent. per annum (i). CHAP. LIV.
Exception of unconscionable dealing with expectant heir.

Where a mortgage debt was made repayable at specified dates by instalments, and it was agreed that, if default was made in payment of any instalment, "a commission" of 1l. per cent. should be paid for every month from the date at which such instalment became payable till actual payment thereof: it was held, in a foreclosure action, that this commission was not a contract to pay a higher rate of interest (k), nor of the nature of a penalty, and that the mortgagee was entitled to charge for it on taking the account (l). Commission on instalments not duly repaid.

Where a mortgagee is entitled to bonus or commission for a loan, he may claim it either in taking the account of what is due on the mortgage, or under the head of "just allowances" (m). Form of claim for bonus.

iii.—Further Advances.—The next question to be considered is how far a mortgagee will be allowed to charge in account further advances made by him to the mortgagor as against the mortgagor himself and those claiming under him, other than subsequent incumbrancers and assignees for value of the equity of redemption (n).

It is a settled rule of equity that a mortgagee, whether his security is legal or equitable, shall not be deprived thereof without payment of all sums of money due to him from the mortgagor which form a general or specific lien on the land; and therefore if the mortgagee advance other sums of money to the mortgagor expressly by way of further charge, thereby creating a specific lien, or on a judgment whereby an actual charge is created, or on statute, thereby creating a general lien, neither the mortgagor nor, generally speaking, anyone claiming under him, though for valuable consideration and without Right of mortgagees to charge in account further advances made on credit of the land.

(i) *Beynon v. Cook*, L. R. 10 Ch. A. 389. See further, as to dealings with expectant heirs, *ante*, p. 612.

(k) See *ante*, p. 129.

(l) *General Credit & Discount Co. v. Glegg*, 22 Ch. D. 548. See also *The Benwell Tower*, 72 L. T. 664.

(m) *Bucknell v. Vickery*, 64 L. T. 701, P. C.

(n) As to the right of a mortgagee having the legal estate to tack further advances as against puisne incumbrancers and assignees of the equity of redemption, see *post*, pp. 1230 *et seq.*

the mortgagee is allowed to redeem without payment to the full amount advanced.

Principle of the account. The general principle governing the question as to when a mortgagee will be allowed to charge further advances in account appears to be that such advances must have been made on the faith of an actual charge on the land, and not on merely personal security.

Presumption that further advances by mortgagee are made on the credit of the land. In the case of an original advance, the fact that it was made on the credit of the land can only appear from the nature of the security taken at the time, for there is no antecedent transaction from which any presumption of intention to charge the land can be raised; but in the case of a further advance by a lender who has already either a legal mortgage or an equitable charge on the land, it is reasonably to be presumed that the further advance is made on the credit of the land over which the lender has already a hold in respect of the original transaction, provided a security of some sort is taken which, though not an actual charge on the land, may ripen into such a charge.

Further advance on equitable charge. Further advances can accordingly be charged in account and added to the principal sum secured by the mortgage, if such advances are made on the security of an equitable mortgage of the same lands by deed in the ordinary form (*p*).

Informal agreement for charge. A mortgagee can also charge in account, as against the mortgagor or his heir or devisee, all further advances made on the security of an agreement in writing, however informal, whereby an equitable charge on the land is created (*q*).

Deposit of deeds. So also a mortgagee was allowed to charge in account further advances made on the security of a deposit of deeds (*r*).

Further advance on invalid security. But a further advance cannot be allowed in account if made on the security of a charge which proves to be invalid, or is made on the security of a verbal agreement for the deposit of a lease when granted, as it does not constitute an equitable mortgage (*s*), or of a verbal agreement for a security on future rent, though coupled with a written order on the tenant to pay it to the lender, without stating the consideration, as it is void under the Statute of Frauds (*t*).

It has been seen that a judgment does not now create an

(*p*) Fomb. Eq. 5th ed. Vol. II. p. 270; Storey's Eq. Juris. Vol. I. p. 334. See *Cairncross v. Bradley*, 2 Dr. & Wal. 482.

(*q*) *Goddard v. Complin*, 1 Ch. Ca. 119.

(*q*) *Hibernian Bank v. Gilbert*, 23 L. R. Ir. 321. As to what informal instruments will create an equitable charge on land, see *ante*, pp. 60 *et seq.*

(*r*) *Cooke v. Willton*, 29 Beav. 100.

(*s*) *Exp. Coombe*, 4 Madd. 249.

(*t*) *Exp. Hall*, 10 Ch. D. 616, C. A.

actual charge on land, unless perfected by legal or equitable execution (u). It is quite clear that a mortgagee may charge in account, as against the mortgagor and those claiming under him by descent or demise, and may even tack as against puisne incumbrancers and assignees of the equity of redemption, of whose claims he has no notice (x), moneys owing to him on the security of a subsequent judgment which forms an actual charge on the land (y).

CHAP. LIV.
Further advance secured by judgment.

In one case (z) a question arose between the mortgagees and the assignees in the bankruptcy of the mortgagor whether a docketed judgment, on which no execution had issued at the time of the bankruptcy of the debtor, could be tacked to a mortgage executed by him of his estate; and this depended on the construction of the repealed statute 21 Jac. I. c. 19 (a), which declared that all creditors having security by judgment, &c., whereof there was no execution or extent served and executed upon the lands or goods, &c., of such bankrupt before such time as he should become bankrupt, should not be relieved upon any such judgment, &c., for any more than a rateable part of their just debts with the other creditors. It was argued for the assignees, that the statute was peremptory, and the judgment creditor could not tack; but the Master of the Rolls observed, that it appeared to him very difficult to conceive how a supervening bankruptcy could affect the right of the first mortgagee; the statute, he thought, related to judgments that continued merely such at the time of the bankruptcy, and not to such as acquired all the effect of an actual mortgage, as in the present case of a judgment obtained by a party having an antecedent mortgage; and he decided accordingly.

Right to charge further advance secured by judgment in mortgagor's bankruptcy.

The Acts 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, and 2 Vict. c. 11, which required registration of judgments in the Common Pleas (now the Central Office) in the place of docketing, did not appear to have altered the case, save that it was provided that the charge, given to the judgment creditor under sect. 13 of the first-mentioned Act, should not operate to give the creditor any preference in case of the bankruptcy of the debtor, unless the judgment had been entered up one year at least before the bankruptcy.

It is submitted that a mortgagee is still entitled to charge in account a subsequent judgment under the present bankruptcy

(u) See *post*, p. 1359.

(z) See as to this, *post*, pp. 1230 *et seq.*

(y) *Brace v. Duchess of Marlborough*, 2 P. Wms. 491, 493; *Anon.*, 2 Ves.

Sen. 662; *Exp. Knott*, 11 Ves. 609, 617.

(x) *Baker v. Harris*, 16 Ves. 397.

(a) See sect. 9.

CHAP. LIV.

law, notwithstanding the bankruptcy of the judgment debtor; and this, of course, if execution had issued prior to the date of the receiving order, and without notice of an act of bankruptcy (b).

Judgment must have issued before original mortgage debt is paid off.

In order to entitle a mortgagee to add to the original debt a sum secured by a judgment, the judgment must have been taken before redemption of the mortgage. So, where land was mortgaged to secure a certain sum, and a further sum was due to the mortgagee on the personal covenant of the mortgagor; the mortgagee brought an action to recover both sums, and the mortgagor paid the mortgage debt only into Court; the mortgagee took this sum out of Court, and he proceeded in the action and recovered judgment on the covenant; it was held that, the mortgage having been discharged by the payment out of Court, the mortgagee was not entitled to add the judgment debt to his security (c).

Moneys paid by mortgagee as surety to Crown treated as further advances.

Where a mortgage of a fund in Court was given to secure a debt by a person who was largely indebted to the Crown, it was held that the sums paid by the executor of the mortgagee, who was surety to the Crown for the mortgagor, ought to be treated as further advances and added to the mortgage security (d).

A mortgage to cover advances to the mortgagor and his assigns will cover advances to a tenant for life under the mortgagor's will (e).

A mortgage to secure a balance of accounts not to exceed a certain sum does not include further advances (f).

Where a mortgage is construed as a running security, the mortgagor can only be charged to the extent of his own admissions, unless the mortgagee proves a larger amount to have been advanced (g).

Interest.

It is elsewhere noticed that interest cannot be converted into principal as against a *puisne* incumbrance of which the first mortgagee has notice (h).

Costs.

Costs, charges, and expenses which properly fall within the security are not treated as "further advances," but will be added to the security, even against *puisne* incumbrancers (i).

Further advances on personal security.

The general rule that a mortgagee may charge further

(b) See *Baker v. Harris*, 16 Ves. 397; *Exp. Boyle*, 3 De G. M. & G. 515. See Bankruptcy Act, 1869, ss. 12 and 40; *ibid.* 1883, s. 9.

(c) *Mayor of Brecon v. Seymour*, 26 Beav. 548.

(d) *Poster v. Hargreaves*, 1 Keen,

281.

(e) *Re Watts*, 22 Ch. D. 1, C. A.

(f) *Re Meadows*, 5 Jur. N. S. 421.

(g) *Molland v. Gray*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 199.

(h) *Post*, p. 1164.

(i) *Post*, p. 1176.

advances does not apply in account if the further advance was not made on the security of the land so as to create a lien thereon, either specifically or generally (*k*); and, therefore, as copyholds were not, prior to the stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, liable at law to an extent, a judgment debt could not have been added to a mortgage of copyhold land (*l*).

CHAP. LIV.

iv.—Bond Debts.—Notwithstanding some difference of opinion in early cases (*m*), it is now settled that the mortgagee cannot charge in account against the mortgagor sums secured by bond or other specialty (*n*).

Bond debt cannot be added against mortgagor.

No difference between prior debt and further advance.

It makes no difference in the right of the mortgagee in this respect, whether the bond debt is prior or subsequent to the mortgage (*o*), or whether the mortgage be made to the bond creditor originally, or taken by assignment (*p*).

As under the Statute of Fraudulent Devises (*q*), the heir and beneficial devisee became liable to the extent and in the manner therein provided, the right to add the bond debt to the mortgage debt was confined to these only, for this reason, viz., for the sole purpose of preventing a circuitry of action (*r*).

Right to add bond debt against heir or devisee.

It is accordingly well settled that where a mortgagee makes a further advance on a bond binding the heir of the latter, his heir (*s*), or beneficial devisee (*t*) shall not redeem without paying off the bond as well as the mortgage. And now that, by the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 104 (*u*), the lands of a debtor are made liable in the hands of his heir or devisee as assets in an administration action for the payment of simple contract as well as specialty debts, it would seem that, in order to prevent the necessity of bringing two actions, one for foreclosure and the other for administration, the heir or devisee would not be allowed to redeem the mortgage without also paying off the debt secured by the bond.

(*k*) *Exp. Knott*, 11 Ves. 617; *Lacey v. Ingle*, 2 Ph. 413.

(*l*) *Heir of Cannon v. Pack*, 6 Vin. Abr. 222, pl. vi. See Coke's Copyholds, s. 21, pl. 103.

(*m*) *Baxter v. Manning*, 1 Vern. 244; *Halliley v. Kirtland*, 2 Rep. in Oh. 360; *Anon.*, 3 Salk. 84.

(*n*) *Challis v. Casborn*, Prec. Ch. 407; *Coleman v. Winch*, 1 P. Wms. 775; *Morret v. Paske*, 2 Atk. 53; *Lowthian v. Hasel*, 3 Bro. C. C. 162; *Archer v. Snatt*, 2 Stra. 1107; *Jones v. Smith*, 2 Ves. Jun. 376. In *Sharpnell v. Blake*, 2 Eq. Ca. Abr. 603, the word "can" must be a misprint for "cannot."

(*o*) *Windham v. Jennings*, 2 Rep. in Ch. 247.

(*p*) *Halliley v. Kirtland*, 2 Rep. in Ch. 360.

(*q*) 3 & 4 W. & M. c. 14. See *sup.* p. 967.

(*r*) See *Heams v. Bance*, 3 Atk. 630; *Lowthian v. Hasel*, 3 Bro. C. C. 162.

(*s*) *Shuttleworth v. Laycock*, 1 Vern. 245; *Coleman v. Winch*, 1 P. Wms. 777; *Windham v. Jennings*, 2 Rep. in Ch. 228; *Eloy v. Norwood*, 5 De G. & S. 240; 16 Jur. 493.

(*t*) *Challis v. Casborn*, 1 Eq. Ca. Abr. 325, pl. 9. See *Du Vigier v. Lee*, 2 Ha. 326.

(*u*) See *ante*, p. 652.

CHAP. LIV.

Exception
where lands
are charged
with payment
of debts.

The last-mentioned statute does not, however, apply where the mortgagor's real estate is, by his will, charged with or devised subject to his debts. Thus, if the devise be for payment of debts generally, the mortgagee must, as to his bond debt, come in rateably with the other creditors (*s*). So, also, it was held, in a case before the statute, that a mortgagee who lent a further sum upon a bond was not entitled to add it to his mortgage in preference to creditors under a trust created by the will of the mortgagor for payment of debts (*t*); and the result is now the same, whether there be an express trust or only a charge for the payment of debts (*u*).

Creditors.

It is well settled that a debt secured by bond cannot be added to a mortgage debt as against creditors, whether secured or unsecured (*x*).

Assignees.

An assignee from the mortgagor may, of course, redeem without payment of the bond debt; this is distinctly laid down by Lord Somers, who says, "If the mortgagor mortgage his equity of redemption to another, the second mortgagee shall not be affected with the bond; for it is but a personal charge on the mortgagor" (*y*).

The mortgagee cannot add a bond debt against the assignee of the heir (*z*), or of the beneficial devisee, or of the executor (*a*).

Former rule
as to adding
simple contract
debts to
mortgage.

V.—Simple Contract Debts.—Notwithstanding certain authorities to the contrary (*b*), it was settled that a mortgagee could not, prior to the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 104, have added or tacked to his mortgage debt a mere simple contract debt against a mortgagor, or his heir or devisee (*c*).

Debt cannot
be added
against
mortgagor.

This rule still holds good as regards the mortgagor. So, a beer account cannot be added or tacked as against puisne incumbrancers to a debt secured by a deposit of a brewer's lease (*d*).

The balance in hand after sale of the mortgaged premises cannot be retained after the death of the mortgagor against

(*s*) *Powis v. Corbet*, 3 Atk. 556; *Irby v. Irby*, 22 Beav. 217.

(*t*) *Heams v. Bance*, 3 Atk. 630.

(*u*) *Price v. Fastnedge*, Amb. 685.

(*x*) *Adams v. Winch*, 6 Ves. 226;

Coleman v. Winch, 1 P. Wms. 777;

Hamerton v. Rogers, 1 Ves. Jun. 513.

As to tacking bond debts against

puisne incumbrancers, see *post*, p. 1234.

(*y*) *Anon.*, 3 Salk. 84. And see

Anon., 2 Ves. Sen. 663; *Sharpnell v.*

Blake, 2 Eq. Ca. Abr. 603.

(*z*) *Bayly v. Robson*, Prec. Ch. 89;

Coleman v. Winch, 1 P. Wms. 776;

Troughton v. Troughton, 1 Ves. Sen. 87;

Morret v. Paske, 2 Atk. 53.

(*a*) *Coleman v. Winch*, *sup.*; *Vanderzee*

v. Willis, 3 Bro. C. C. 20.

(*b*) *Demainbray v. Metcalf*, 2 Vern. 691.

(*c*) *Newby v. Cooper*, Finch, 379; and

see *Jones v. Smith*, 2 Ves. Jun. 378;

Exp. Hooper, 19 Ves. 477.

(*d*) *Chilton v. Carrington*, 1 Jur.

N. S. 89; *Dunn v. City of London*

Brewery Co., L. R. 8 Eq. 155; *Mansie*

v. Lightfoot, L. R. 11 Eq. 459.

another debt due to the mortgagee, so as to give himself a preference over other creditors (e). CHAP. LIV.

A mortgagee of a lease or other chattel interest may add to his mortgage debt a simple contract debt against the executor (f), but not against creditor (g). Right as against executor of mortgagor.

Since 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 104, a simple contract debt can be added to a mortgage debt against the heir or devisee, in cases in which there is not a devise for payment of debts. Thus, a mortgagee of copyhold may tack a simple contract against the heir or devisee, wherever the equity of redemption is assets in his hands for payment of simple contract debts, though the personal representative is absent (h). Right as against heir or devisee.

Prior to the stat. 32 & 33 Vict. c. 46, this right against the heir or devisee could not be exercised to the prejudice of specialty creditors (i). Now that, by that statute, in the administration of assets of deceased persons, specialty and simple contract debts are treated as standing in equal degree, it is considered that the Act has not enlarged the right (k), and the mortgagee must, as regards his simple contract debt, come in rateably with the other creditors of the same degree (l). No right as against creditors.

SECTION III.

OF ACCOUNTS OF INTEREST.

I.—Right of Mortgagee to Interest generally.—Interest on a mortgage, though fixed annually, accrues due from day to day (m). Accruer of interest.

As between persons beneficially entitled in succession to interest under a mortgage, there must be an apportionment upon the determination of the particular interest, and a proportionate part must be paid to the owner of that interest or to his legal personal representatives, if dead (n). Apportionment.

(e) *Talbot v. Frere*, 9 Ch. D. 568, 571, disapproving of *Spalding v. Thompson*, 26 Beav. 637. See *Re Haselfoot's Estate*, L. R. 13 Eq. 327; *Re General Provincial Assur. Co., Exp. National Bank*, L. R. 14 Eq. 507.

(f) *Coleman v. Winch*, 1 P. Wms. 775; *Eccles v. Thawill*, Prec. Ch. 18; *Anon.*, 2 Vern. 177; *Rolfe v. Chester*, 20 Beav. 613.

(g) *Adams v. Claxton*, 6 Ves. 226.

(h) *Rolfe v. Chester*, 20 Beav. 610;

Thomas v. Thomas, 22 Beav. 341.

(i) *Ibid.* See *Talbot v. Frere*, 9 Ch. D. 571.

(k) *Fish. Mtg.* 4th ed. p. 574.

(l) *Will. Real Assets*, 26.

(m) *Re Rogers' Trusts*, 1 Dr. & S. 338. It was said in an early case that interest on mortgages ought not to run during a general national calamity: *Basil v. Acheson*, 4 Bro. P. C. 503.

(n) *Edwards v. Countess of Warwick*, 2 P. Wms. 171.

CHAP. LIV.

As to annuitants.

Mortgagee of life estate.

Interest in lieu of notice to pay off.

Tender.

Set off of interest on mortgage against interest on legacy.

Before the Apportionment Acts (o), an annuity was not generally apportionable; but now annuitants are entitled to an apportionment (p).

A mortgagee of a life interest is not an assign of the mortgagor within the meaning of the Act of Will. IV., so as to entitle him to receive an apportioned part of the rents of the estate (q).

It is a general rule that a mortgagee is entitled to six months' notice from the mortgagor before being paid off, or to six months' interest in lieu thereof. This rule applies where the mortgagee has required payment on a particular day, and the money is not then paid (r). But the rule does not apply when the mortgagee himself takes proceedings to compel payment or enforce his security (s); and it has been held in a recent case that taking possession amounts to taking proceedings to compel payment (t).

On the expiration of a six months' notice given by the mortgagor, if he has his money ready, and tenders the amount due (u), interest will stop (v), provided the mortgagor keeps the money ready to pay to the mortgagee (w).

Proof of strict tender on the very day on which the six months expire will be requisite; for if strict tender is not made, the Court cannot stop interest (x).

The mortgagor should also, it is said (y), be ready to make oath that the money has always been ready, and no profit made of it, which fact may be controverted by the mortgagee, who may prove the contrary, in which case the interest will run on (z).

In one case (a) a question arose whether the devisee of an estate in mortgage was entitled to set off arrears of interest due at the date of the death of the mortgagor against the arrears of interest due on a legacy given by the mortgagee to the mortgagor for life, and not received by the mortgagor, who was one of the executors of the mortgagee; and it was decided, with some

(o) 4 & 5 Will. IV. c. 22, s. 2; 33 & 34 Vict. c. 35.

(p) *Warden v. Ashburner*, 2 De G. & S. 366; *Trimmer v. Danby*, 23 L. J. Ch. 979; *Reg. v. Lords Commissioners, &c.*, 15 Jur. 767; *Williams v. Wilson*, 5 N. R. 267.

(q) *Re Marquis of Anglesey's Estate*, *Paget v. Anglesey*, L. R. 17 Eq. 283.

(r) *Bartlett v. Franklin*, 36 L. J. Ch. 671.

(s) See *ante*, pp. 708, 710.

(t) *Borill v. Endle*, (1896) 1 Ch. 648, per Kekewich, J.

(u) See further as to tender, *ante*,

pp. 710 *et seq.*

(v) *Manning v. Burges*, 1 Ch. Ca. 29; *Lord Middleton v. Elliott*, 15 Sim. 531; *Woodman v. Higgins*, 14 Jur. 846.

(w) *Gyles v. Hall*, 2 P. Wms. 377; *Bank of New South Wales v. O'Connor*, 14 App. Cas. 273.

(x) *Bishop v. Church*, 2 Ves. Sen. 371. The requisites of tender have been already fully considered *ante*, pp. 710 *et seq.*

(y) *Lutton v. Rodd*, 2 Ch. Ca. 206.

(z) *Gyles v. Hall*, 2 P. Wms. 378.

(a) *Pettit v. Ellis*, 9 Ves. 563.

appearance of hardship, that he was not, on the principle that until adjustment the debt still subsisted; and the debt and legacy might be separately assigned, for they were not extinguished.

CHAP. LIV.

A sum of money bequeathed by the mortgagor to the same person to whom the estate in mortgage is devised, for the purpose of exonerating the mortgaged estate, will only carry interest as a legacy, though the mortgage intended to be satisfied therewith carry a higher rate of interest (*b*).

Interest on legacy to pay off mortgage.

Where judgment had been taken for less interest than was due, a bill to recover the omitted interest was dismissed (*c*).

Judgment omitting interest.

Where a debt is to be ascertained under a compromise, interest does not run except from the time when the debt is ascertained (*d*).

Compromise.

Where a mortgage contained a proviso that the total amount to be recovered by the mortgagee under a mortgage should not exceed 900*l.*, it was held that the proviso did not apply to interest, but only to the principal moneys due on the mortgage, and did not prevent the mortgagees from claiming interest over and above the 900*l.* (*e*).

Proviso limiting total amount to be recovered under mortgage.

Where a mortgage and a bond were given to secure the same debt, it was held that the interest recoverable by the mortgagee was not limited to the amount of the penalty of the bond (*f*). But not so, where the mortgage is made a security only for the bond debt and the interest to become due on the bond (*g*).

Interest on collateral bond beyond penalty.

And it is said that if the bond debt be tacked to another security, interest will be allowed beyond the penalty (*h*).

Interest on tacked bond debt.

ii.—Rate of Interest.—The mortgage deed usually contains a covenant for payment of interest at a specified rate; and where this is the case questions can seldom arise, in taking the accounts, as to what is to be allowed in respect of interest, unless, indeed, the mortgagor claims to have made payments of interest which the mortgagee alleges to be still in arrear.

(*b*) *Lockhart v. Hardy*, 10 Beav. 292. It seems that in this case the mortgagee had foreclosed since the testator's death. And see 9 Beav. 379.

(*c*) *Darlow v. Cooper*, 31 Beav. 281.

(*d*) *Fowler v. Fowler*, 4 De G. & J. 250; *Wallington v. Willes*, 10 Jur. N. S. 906, C. P.; *Caledonian Rail. Co. v. Carmichael*, L. R. 2 H. L. So. 56.

(*e*) *White v. City of London Brewery Co.*, 42 Ch. D. 237, C. A.

(*f*) *Clarke v. Lord Abingdon*, 17 Ves. 106.

(*g*) See *Hughes v. Wynne*, 1 My. & K. 20. See also *Lloyd v. Haskhall*, Anst. 525; *Clowes v. Waters*, 16 Jur. 632.

(*h*) *Peers v. Baldwin*, 2 Eq. Ca. Ab. 611; *Powell on Mortgages*, 6th ed. p. 355, n. (*g*); *Fisher on Mortgages*, 4th ed. p. 391.

CHAP. LIV.

Repeal of
usury laws.

Interest, at
what rate now
allowable.

Exorbitant
rate of
interest.

Interest at
market rate.

Deduction of
income tax.

Usury is no longer an offence against the law of England, all existing laws against usury having been repealed (i).

Generally, the interest may now be made payable at any rate, however high, which may be agreed upon by the parties (k).

In setting aside dealings with expectant heirs, the exacting of an exorbitant rate of interest is an important consideration, notwithstanding the repeal of the usury laws (l).

Sometimes a provision is inserted in the mortgage deed regulating the rate of interest according to the market rate; and in some instances the rate of interest is made to fluctuate according to fixed rules with the price of stock.

Income tax must be deducted from the interest, whether provided for in the mortgage or not (m); and such deduction must be allowed under a penalty (n); and all agreements for payment of any interest in full, without allowing the deduction of the income tax, are void (o).

But not only has the mortgagor the right to make the deduction of income tax without express contract, he cannot even by contract deprive himself of this right; but though an express agreement that the deduction of the income tax shall not be allowed would be void under the Acts, the object of receiving the interest in full at a given rate is attainable indirectly. For this purpose the rate of interest contracted for must be such that after deduction of income tax the remainder will be of the required amount. Hence, if the interest received is to preserve a uniform rate, that contracted for should be made to vary with the income tax. Should it be desired to stipulate for interest at a given rate—say, 4l. per cent., clear of income tax under all variations of the tax—perhaps the most convenient mode of framing the deed would be to require the mortgagor to covenant for payment of interest at 5l. per cent., and to add an agreement that upon payment within a specified time after each half-yearly day, interest shall be accepted at such a rate as, after deduction of income tax, will leave a clear remainder

(i) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 90.

(k) *Webster v. Cook*, L. R. 2 Ch. A. 542.

(l) *Croft v. Graham*, 2 De G. J. & S. 155; *Miller v. Cook*, L. R. 10 Eq. 641; *Tyler v. Yates*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 667; *Earl of Aylesford v. Morris*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 484; *Beynon v. Cook*, L. R.

10 Ch. A. 389; *O'Rourke v. Bolingbroke*, 2 App. Cas. 814; *Novill v. Snelling*, 16 Ch. D. 697. See further as to dealings with expectant heirs, *ante*, p. 612.

(m) 16 & 17 Vict. c. 34, s. 40.

(n) 27 Vict. c. 18, s. 15.

(o) 5 & 6 Vict. c. 35, s. 103.

equal to a half-year's interest at 4l. per cent. per annum upon the principal sum secured (*p*). CHAP. LIV.

It has been seen (*q*), that stipulations in a mortgage deed that the rate of interest shall be raised if not punctually paid are void as being in the nature of a penalty, but that a subsequent agreement for interest at an increased rate in consideration of forbearance of the mortgagee to call in the debt may be supported. Interest at increased rate.

So, where by subsequent agreement between a first mortgagee and the mortgagor, to which a second mortgagee was not a party, the rate of interest on the first mortgage was increased, the payments of interest at the increased rate by a receiver of the mortgaged property were held to bind the second mortgagee until notice had been given by him to the receiver that his interest was in arrear (*r*).

It has also been seen (*s*), that provisos in mortgage deeds for reduction of the rate of interest on punctual payment are valid, and will be enforced, provided that any conditions annexed to the proviso, unless waived, are strictly performed. But a mortgagee in possession, whether on default of the mortgagor (*t*), or by arrangement with him (*u*), is entitled in account to charge interest at the unreduced rate. Interest at reduced rate.

If a mortgage deed contains no covenant for payment of interest after the day fixed by the mortgage deed for payment of the principal, the rate at which interest is to be allowed to the mortgagee may be collected from other parts of the deed. So, where the recitals in a mortgage deed stated an agreement for interest at a specified rate, but there was no covenant or provision as to payment of interest in the other parts of the deed, it was held that the mortgagee was entitled to interest at that rate (*x*). Rate allowed where no covenant for payment of interest after default.

Interest on a mortgage will be payable by way of damages, when interest is only provided for up to the day fixed for payment of the principal money, if payment is not made on Interest where not provided for payable as damages.

(*p*) *Dav. Conv.*, 4th ed. Vol. II. pt. ii., p. 19. As to the validity of such agreements, see *Colbron v. Travers*, 12 C. B. N. S. 181; *Davies v. Fitton*, 3 Dr. & War. 225, 236; *Floyer v. Bankes*, 11 W. R. 630; and *Beadel v. Pitt*, 13 W. R. 287.

(*q*) *Ante*, p. 129.

(*r*) *Law v. Glenn*, L. R. 2 Ch. A.

634.

(*s*) *Ante*, pp. 129, 130.

(*t*) *Union Bank of London v. Ingram*, 16 Ch. D. 53; *Cockburn v. Edwards*, 18 Ch. D. 449.

(*u*) *Bright v. Campbell*, 41 Ch. D. 388.

(*x*) *Ashwell v. Staunton*, 30 Beav. 52.

CHAP. LIV.

that day (y). The rate of interest after the day will be measured by the rate of interest fixed by the mortgage deed if not more than five per cent., but, if the rate fixed exceeds five per cent., the interest will generally be calculated at five per cent. only (s). So, where a mortgage contained a covenant for payment of principal and interest at five per cent. on a specified day, but no provision for payment of subsequent interest, it was held that interest at five per cent. was payable after that day until repayment of the principal (a).

Where the interest was reserved at a rate higher than five per cent. up to the day fixed for redemption, but the security did not expressly provide for payment of interest after that date, the rate was continued after the day of payment (b); but there is no rule that a further contract for the same rate of interest is to be implied. The rate is in the discretion of the Court (c).

Contract to continue to pay interest at specified rate implied in agreement for legal mortgage.

But where, by a memorandum accompanying a deposit of deeds to secure a loan, it was agreed that the deed should be held as an equitable security for payment on a specified day of the principal and interest at $7\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. per annum, and that the mortgagor should execute to the mortgagees a legal mortgage of the property in such form and with such powers as they might require for further securing payment of the money which should then be owing on the security of the agreement, "with interest thereon at the rate aforesaid," it was held that the equitable security was liable to be converted into a legal mortgage containing all such proper covenants for payment of interest as are contained in well-drawn instruments of that nature, and, accordingly, that the mortgagees were entitled to interest at $7\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. after the day fixed for repayment of the loan until payment thereof (d).

Covenant to pay interest during the "continuance of the security."

A covenant to pay interest at a specified rate "during the continuance of the security" renders the covenantor liable to

(y) *Price v. The Great Western Rail. Co.*, 16 M. & W. 244; 16 L. J. Ex. 37. And see 6 Man. & Gr. 64. See *Gordillo v. Weguelin*, 5 Ch. D. 303.

(z) *Cook v. Fowler*, L. R. 7 H. L. 27; *Re Roberts, Goodchap v. Roberts*, 14 Ch. D. 49, C. A. See *Wallington v. Cook*, 47 L. J. Ch. 508.

(a) *Mellersh v. Brown*, 45 Ch. D. 225.

(b) *Morgan v. Jones*, 8 Exch. 620. See *Wallis v. Bastard*, 4 De G. M. & G. 251; 17 Jur. 1107; *Dobson v. Land*, 4 De G. & S. 575; 14 Jur. 288.

(c) *Per Lord Selborne, Cook v. Fowler*, L. R. 7 H. L. 27; *Re Roberts, Goodchap v. Roberts*, 14 Ch. D. 49, C. A.

(d) *Exp. Furber, Re King*, 17 Ch. D. 191.

pay interest after default so long as any principal money remains unpaid (e). CHAP. LIV.

The long-established rule at law, prior to the statute stated below, was that interest was not due on money, though secured by a written instrument, and though demand had been made, unless it appeared, on the face of the instrument, that interest was intended to be paid, or unless payment of interest was implied from the usage of trade, as in the case of mercantile instruments (f). Rule of law where contract does not mention interest.

By the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42, ss. 28, 29, it is enacted that juries may, on the trial of any issue or inquisition of damages, allow interest on debts or sums certain, not exceeding the current rate of interest, from the time at which such debt was payable, if payable by virtue of some written instrument at a fixed time, or, if payable otherwise, then from the time of demand; and may give damages in the nature of interest over and above the value of the goods at the time of the conversion or seizure in all actions of trover or trespass *de bonis asportatis*, and over and above the moneys recoverable in all actions on policies of insurance made after the passing of the Act; and by sect. 30 interest is given on any judgment in an action personal, which is affirmed in error, for such time as the execution shall have been delayed (g). Power to allow interest by way of damages.

In *Attwood v. Taylor* (h), Lord Abinger at *nisi prius* was of opinion that where the contract provides for payment of interest, further interest on such interest could not be recovered under this Act, and that it only relates to such contracts as, upon the face of them, if an action was brought for the principal, did not authorize the jury, generally speaking, to give interest in the shape of damages, and that it excepted all such contracts as from their nature warranted the jury in giving interest, before the Act was passed. It was not, however, necessary to decide the point, as the jury were, at all events, only willing to give simple interest. Whether the statute authorizes compound interest.

The Court in the common law divisions will not refer it to the Master to compute principal and interest on a debt, where the case involves more than mere computation (i). Computation of interest.

(e) *King v. Greenhill*, 6 Man. & Gr. 59.
(f) *Per Bayley, J.*, in *Page v. Newman*, 9 B. & Cr. 378. See *London, Chatham and Dover Rail. Co. v. South Eastern Rail. Co.*, (1893) A. C. 429.

(g) See *Garland v. Carlisle*, 5 Cl. & F. 354.

(h) 1 Man. & Gr. 279, 300.

(i) *Denison v. Mair*, 14 East, 622; *Smith v. Nesbitt*, 2 C. B. 286.

CHAP. LIV.

Interest on lost policy. Interest was not, prior to the above statute, given, either at law or in equity, on the sum insured by a policy which had been lost, and which the office had in consequence refused to pay (*k*); and this would appear to remain unaltered by the statute.

Fixed time. It is sufficient if the time fixed for payment by virtue of the instrument is ascertained afterwards (*l*).

Demand. A summons in a winding-up is a demand (*m*). A demand for a sum capable of being ascertained is a sufficient demand (*n*). But there must be a demand for a sum certain or ascertainable, and where the demand was for more than was due, no interest was allowed (*o*).

Rate of interest. Except on a work and labour demand, where only 4 per cent. was allowed (*p*), 5 per cent. was generally given (*q*).

Payment into Court. Interest runs after demand, notwithstanding payment into Court (*r*).

Rule in equity where payment of interest is not provided for. If the mortgage deed does not contain any covenant or agreement for payment of interest, it has long been the general rule in equity that the mortgagee is nevertheless entitled to interest from the date of the deed (*s*).

Warrant of attorney. In a case where an old warrant of attorney had been given to secure a debt and interest, the sum for which judgment was to be confessed being the amount of the debt only, the Court granted a rule *nisi* to enter up judgment for the debt, and so much interest as the master should find due thereon (*t*).

Rate of interest allowed in such cases. In such a case the rate will generally be fixed at five per cent. (*u*). Thus where the plaintiff executed an absolute conveyance, but the Court was satisfied that the real agreement between the parties was that the defendant should hold the estate only as security for money advanced, it was held that the defendant

(*k*) *Bushman v. Morgan*, 5 Sim. 635; *Hungerford v. Hungerford*, Gilb. Eq. Rep. 69; *Lord Penrhyn v. Hughes*, 5 Ves. 106; *Faulkner v. Daniel*, 3 Ha. 206; *Simpson v. O'Sullivan*, 7 Cl. & F. 550.

(*l*) *Duncombe v. Brighton Club*, L. R. 10 Q. B. 371.

(*m*) *Exp. Alison*, L. R. 15 Eq. 394.

(*n*) *Lord Lonsborough v. Mowatt*, 18 Jur. 1094, Ex. Ch.

(*o*) *Hill v. South Staffordshire Rail. Co.*, L. R. 18 Eq. 154; *London, Chatham and Dover Rail. Co. v. South Eastern Rail. Co.*, (1893) A. C. 429. But see *Mackintosh v. Great Western Rail. Co.*,

4 Giff. 683.

(*p*) *Mildmay v. Methuen*, 3 Drew. 91.

(*q*) *Re East of England Bank. Co.*, L. R. 4 Ch. A. 18.

(*r*) *Hull and Selby Rail. Co. v. North Eastern Rail. Co.*, 5 De G. M. & G. 872.

(*s*) *Farguhar v. Morris*, 7 T. R. 124. See also *Anon.*, 4 Taunt. 876.

(*t*) *Chalk v. Walton*, 5 Man. & Gr. 573.

(*u*) *Ashwell v. Staunton*, 30 Beav. 52. See *Knapp v. Burnaby*, 30 L. J. Ch. 844; *Leslie v. Leslie*, L. & G. t. Sug. 5.

must be allowed interest at five per cent on the amount advanced (v). So, where a settlement contains a covenant to pay the principal, but no mention is made of interest, as a general rule interest at five per cent. will be allowed (x); in one case, under similar circumstances, interest at four per cent. only was allowed (y), but this decision is contrary to the current of authority. It has, however, been judicially intimated in several recent cases that the rules of the Court with regard to fixing the rate of interest ought to be revised having regard to the altered circumstances existing at the present day (z).

Where a mortgage deed contained no covenant or other provision for payment of interest, but contained a proviso that the mortgagee should reconvey the mortgaged property on payment of the principal, it was held that the mortgage carried no interest (a).

Negation of right to interest implied.

Where an award was made under an order of Court of sums payable on two days certain by sale of securities, but no mention was made of interest, it was held that no interest was chargeable on the securities against subsequent assignees thereof, though the sale was delayed thirty years (b).

Interest is payable at the rate of four per cent. on a deposit of title deeds to secure a debt, if there is no stipulation for payment of interest in any memorandum accompanying the deposit (c).

Interest allowed on deposit of deeds.

Where the mortgagee makes further advances without expressly stipulating as to the rate at which interest is to be paid, or is allowed to add to his debt costs, charges, and expenses properly incurred by him as mortgagee, which are treated as being in the nature of further advances, interest will generally be allowed at the same rate as on the original advance (d). But in a case where an owner of property in Jamaica had made two successive mortgages thereof, the first carrying interest at ten per cent. and the second at eight per cent., and both the mort-

Interest on further advances, &c.

(v) *Douglas v. Culverwell*, 4 De G. F. & J. 20; *Re Unsworth's Trusts*, 2 De G. & S. 337; *Carter v. Palmer*, 8 Cl. & F. 657; *MacLeod v. Jones*, W. N. (1884) 53.

(x) *Swynfen v. Soaven*, 1 Ves. Sen. 99. And see *Knapp v. Burnaby*, 30 L. J. Ch. 844. See *Re Kerr's Policy*, L. R. 8 Eq. 331; *Carey v. Doynes*, 6 Ir. Ch. R. 104; *Lippard v. Ricketts*, L. R. 14 Eq. 291.

(y) *Smith v. Coplestone*, 11 Beav. 482.

(z) *Gilroy v. Stephen*, 61 L. J. Ch.

834; *Owen v. Richmond*, W. N. (1885) 29; *Re Goodenough*, *Marland v. Williams*, (1895) 2 Ch. 537; *Re Duke of Cleveland*, *Hay v. Wolmer*, (1895) 2 Ch. 542.

(a) *Thompson v. Drew*, 20 Beav. 49. See *Hodge's Case*, 26 L. J. Bky. 77.

(b) *Collett v. Newnham*, 1 Drew. 447.

(c) *Ashton v. Dalton*, 2 Coll. 565; *Carey v. Doynes*, 6 Ir. Ch. R. 104; *Kerr's Policy*, L. R. 8 Eq. 331.

(d) *Woolley v. Drag*, 2 Anst. 551.

CHAP. LIV.

gages became vested in the same person, who entered into possession of the mortgaged property and expended large sums thereon, which were allowed in account, it was ordered that interest in respect of what was laid out in expenditures should be paid at the rate of six per cent., that being the legal rate of interest in Jamaica, on the principle that, in the absence of contract, that rate of interest which the law of the country has fixed must be allowed (e).

Interest on payments for keeping up policy.

Where A. by deed charged her life interest in a fund by way of indemnifying B. against all sums which he should pay as surety for a third person, with interest on all such payments, and by the same deed it was agreed that B. should insure her life, and that the costs of such insurance, and the payments for keeping the same on foot should be paid out of the property charged, and she directed the trustees to make all necessary payments for effecting and keeping on foot the policies; the trustees not making the requisite payments, the policy was kept alive by B.: it was held that B. was entitled to interest on such payments at four per cent. (f).

No interest allowed where payment delayed by mortgagee's misconduct.

Interest will not, as a general rule, be allowed on a debt the payment of which has been delayed by the conduct of the mortgagee (g). So, in a case where a man had mixed up the character of trustee, mortgagee, and agent, the Court, on a decree for a reconveyance on further directions, refused to allow him interest on the balance originally found due to him by the Master's report (h).

Capitalization of interest not generally allowed in account.

iii.—Conversion of Interest into Principal.—The rule now is not to compute interest upon interest certified to be due, in the absence of express stipulation between the parties that the mortgagee shall be allowed to capitalize arrears of interest (i).

Rule in taking mortgage accounts.

In taking a mortgage account, although a different rule formerly prevailed (j), the rule now is that the time for pay-

(e) *Quarrell v. Beekford*, 1 Madd. 269, at p. 284.

(f) *Hodgson v. Hodgson*, 2 Keen, 704. See *Bellamy v. Brickenden*, 2 J. & H. 137.

(g) *Thornton v. Court*, 3 De G. M. & G. 293, 301; 17 Jur. 151. See *Meredith v. Bowen*, 1 Keen, 270 (debt not carrying interest).

(h) *Price v. Price*, 15 L. J. Ch. 13.

(i) *Quarrell v. Beekford*, 1 Madd. 269,

282; *Wharton v. Cradock*, 1 Keen, 267; *Brewin v. Austin*, 2 Keen, 211; *Daniell v. Sinclair*, 6 App. Cas. 181, P. C. See as to provisos for capitalization of interest, *ante*, pp. 131—135.

(j) *Bickham v. Cross*, 2 Ves. Sen. 471; *Crouss v. Hunter*, 2 Ves. Jun. 157; *Turner v. Turner*, 1 J. & W. 46. And see *Bruere v. Wharton*, 7 Sim. 483; *Geldard v. Hornby*, 1 Ha. 251; *Robinson v. Pennyman*, 7 Sim. 483.

ment is enlarged, upon terms of payment of the interest and costs found due and the subsequent interest on the principal only, and subsequent costs are directed to be computed and taxed (*k*).

CHAP. LIV.

But a distinction is, it seems, taken between a decree for a sale and for foreclosure. In the latter case the practice is as above stated. But in the case of a decree for sale the arrear of interest may, after the confirmation of the certificate, be converted into principal, and carry interest, but without prejudice to intervening mortgages, and other incumbrances (*l*).

Distinction between accounts in foreclosure and sale.

But in the case of a sale made in an administration suit, it seems that the order in the first instance is to compute interest on the principal only (*m*).

Sale in administration action.

An exception to this rule, moreover, prevails where a puisne mortgagee pays off a prior mortgage under a foreclosure or redemption decree; in which case, on payment to the prior mortgagee of the principal, interest and costs found due, he is allowed to claim interest on the aggregate amount as from the time of such payment (*n*). And, conversely, where successive redemptions are directed, and a puisne mortgagee fails to pay the amount found due from him to the first mortgagee for principal, interest, and costs, and is accordingly foreclosed, then, in taking the account against the person next entitled to redeem, subsequent interest is computed on the whole sum, including interest, found due from him (*o*).

Exception to rule where puisne mortgagee pays off prior mortgage.

In accordance with the rule above referred to, if a mortgagee enters into possession, and the rents and profits of any year are not sufficient to keep down the interest, yet the mortgagee will not be allowed to capitalize the arrears and pay himself interest thereon out of the rents and profits of a subsequent year; but he will be allowed interest on costs and charges out of pocket (*p*).

When interest has once accrued due, it becomes a debt immediately recoverable, independently of the principal, by action on the covenant, or recoverable, together with principal and costs, by foreclosure or sale (*q*). Accordingly, even before the repeal of the Usury Laws, it was held that a mortgagor

Capitalization of interest under subsequent agreement.

(*k*) *Whatton v. Cradock*, 1 Keen, 267, 269; *Jones v. Crenwicks*, 9 Sim. 304.

(*l*) *Neal v. Att.-Gen.*, Mos. 246; *Harris v. Harris*, 3 Atk. 722; and *Digby v. Craggs*, 2 Ed. 200; *Edwards v. Cunliffe*, 1 Madd. 287; *Monkhouse v. Corporation of Bedford*, 17 Ves. 380.

(*m*) *Whatton v. Cradock*, 1 Keen, 269; *Brewin v. Austin*, 2 Keen, 211.

(*n*) Seton, 5th ed., 1609.

(*o*) *Ellon v. Chuteis*, 19 Ch. D. 49.

(*p*) *Procter v. Cooper*, Prec. Ch. 116.

(*q*) *Sackett v. Bassett*, 4 Madd. 68, 64.

CHAP. LIV.

might agree with the mortgagee that, if the latter would forbear to sue or to enforce a sale, the former would pay him interest on the interest in arrear (*r*); and it is now well settled that there is no objection to a mortgagee requiring, in consideration of forbearance, that an account should be taken at any time, showing what is due for interest and costs, and that the amount so found should be added to the principal and thenceforth carry interest. If thought advisable, a fresh mortgage may be taken for the aggregate sum (*s*).

Puisne incumbrancers not bound.

The Court considers the arrears of interest so converted into principal by agreement between the parties in the light of a further advance. But inasmuch as a further loan made by a mortgagee, after notice of a puisne incumbrance, is not allowed to be tacked (*t*), but must be postponed to that incumbrance, it follows that a mortgagee shall not be allowed to convert interest into principal, as against a subsequent charge of which he had notice at the time of the agreement (*u*).

Such agreements regarded jealously.

The Court, however, regards stipulations for capitalization of interest with peculiar jealousy, and will protect the debtor against any attempt on the part of the mortgagee by taking advantage of the necessities of the mortgagor to impose upon him unduly harsh terms. Acting on this principle, the Court will interpose to relieve the mortgagor from payment of compound interest, if the agreement to that effect is shown to have been imposed upon the mortgagor by oppressive or unfair dealing on the part of the mortgagee (*x*).

Intention must be manifest.

The conversion of interest into principal must appear by the manifest intention of the mortgagor: it is not sufficient that an account be stated between the parties. As a general proposition it may be laid down, that the agreement that interest shall become principal and carry interest must be declared by writing under the hands of the parties (*y*). Such an agreement will not be implied on the ground of acquiescence from the fact that a mortgagee makes no objection to a formal notice given by

(*r*) *Earl of Chesterfield v. Cromwell*, 1 Eq. Ca. Abr. 286, pl. i.; *Boddam v. Ryley*, 2 Bro. C. C. 2; *Macarthy v. Llandaff*, 1 Ba. & Be. 375; *Clancarty v. Latouche*, 1 Ba. & Be. 420, at p. 430; *Brown v. Barkham*, 1 P. Wms. 654; *Exp. Bevan*, 9 Ves. 222.

(*s*) *Blackburn v. Warwick*, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 92. As to what amounts to an agreement for conversion of interest into principal, see *Tompson v. Leith*,

4 Jur. N. S. 1091.

(*t*) See post, p. 1231.

(*u*) *Digby v. Craggs*, Amb. 612; 2 Ed. 290; *Montague v. Ratcliffe*, 2 Fomb. Eq. Vol. II., 5th ed., p. 438.

(*x*) *Thornhill v. Evans*, 2 Atk. 330. See *Bosanquet v. Dashwood*, Cas. t. Talb. (Williams) 38.

(*y*) *Brown v. Barkham*, 1 P. Wms. 654. See *Daniell v. Sinclair*, 6 App. Cas. 181, P. C.

the mortgagee to convert interest into principal if not then paid (z). CHAP. LIV.

In a case in Ireland, where the principal and interest found due on a judgment debt was decreed to be raised by sale of the estate against the tenant for life and remainderman, which sale was not made, but the tenant for life continued to pay interest on the gross sum during his life, the Court presumed an agreement between all parties to pay interest on the compound sum in consideration of a forbearance to enforce a sale (a). Presumption of agreement for capitalization.

In an early case (b), the infant heir of the mortgagor was held to be bound by an agreement for capitalization of arrears of interest, which was insisted on by the mortgagee as a condition for his abstaining from entry into possession, the agreement being clearly for the benefit of the infant, and made with the approval of her nearest relations. But it seems clear that this decision would not now be followed (c). Agreement for capitalization held to bind infant.

In a case where interest ran in arrear, and in the mortgagee's accounts of arrears rests were made from time to time, on which interest was calculated, and ultimately a general account of all arrears, calculated on the footing of those rests, was signed by the mortgagor, and confirmed by a deed for securing the balance, although executed three years afterwards, the mortgagor was held liable (d). Account with rests signed by mortgagor.

An exception to the general rule that an arrangement for conversion of principal into interest will not be valid unless confirmed in writing by the mortgagor, obtains in the case of mortgages given to bankers to secure such balance as may eventually be due from a customer, which by the custom of trade is made up of principal, and of interest turned into principal by successive rests, and of interest on such interest (e). Exception in case of bankers.

After an account has been closed between bankers and a customer, compound interest will not be allowed on the balance of such account (f). Nor can bankers, if they take a mortgage to secure such balance or any other stated sum, stipulate therein Settled account.

(z) *Tompson v. Leith*, 4 Jur. N. S. 1091.
(a) *Macarthy v. Llandaff*, 1 Ba. & Be. 375. See *Conway v. Shrimpton*, 5 Bro. P. C. 187.

(b) *Earl of Chesterfield v. Lady Cromwell*, 1 Eq. Ca. Abr. 286.

(c) *Cottrell v. Finney*, L. R. 9 Ch. A. 541, 548.

(d) *Blackburn v. Warwick*, 2 Y. & C.

Ex. 92.

(e) *Rufford v. Bishop*, 5 Russ. 346; *Morgan v. Mather*, 2 Ves. Jun. 21; *Blackburn v. Warwick and Wife*, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 92; *Exp. Champion*, 3 Bro. C. C. 436, 440; *Lord Clancarty v. Lalouche*, 1 Ba. & Be. 420.

(f) *Ferguson v. Fyffe*, 8 Cl. & F. 140. See *Exp. Bevan*, 9 Ves. 223.

CHAP. LIV.

Arrears of interest cannot be capitalized on transfer of mortgage.

for payment of compound interest (*g*). So when securities are deposited with bankers in respect of specific sums, they are only entitled to simple interest on such amount (*h*).

In a prior part of this treatise it has been observed (*i*), that the mortgagor, not being bound by the settlement of accounts between the mortgagee and a transferee of the mortgage, *a fortiori* cannot be prejudiced by any agreement between them to increase the amount of the principal due, and consequently the arrears of interest cannot, generally speaking, without the mortgagor's concurrence, be converted into principal, and added to the mortgage debt (*k*).

This rule is so strictly enforced that even where the transferee of a mortgage paid the whole arrears of interest in order to preserve the mortgaged property from a forced sale, though he was allowed the whole amount actually paid for interest and costs, including arrears of interest not included in the transfer deed, it was assumed that he could not be allowed interest on the arrears so paid (*l*).

Presumption of mortgagor's consent.

The consent of the mortgagor to the capitalization of arrears of interest paid by the transferee to the original mortgagee may be inferred, though the mortgagor is not actually a party to the deed of transfer, by implication from his conduct; as in *Ashenhurst v. James* (*m*), in which it appeared that a decree had been obtained for a sale of the equity of redemption of an estate, and that the defendant who was a *puisné* judgment creditor, had become the purchaser; there were two prior judgments, and a mortgage on the estate; the defendant, at the desire of the mortgagee, took an assignment of the two first judgments; the mortgagee afterwards filed his bill to redeem and for an assignment of the two judgments; the defendant claimed interest for the principal and interest paid by him to the judgment creditors, and it was allowed.

Interest on unpaid rents.

Where an agreement was entered into between mortgagor and mortgagee and a builder that the latter should rebuild the premises, and that a lease should be granted him at a nominal

(*g*) *Attwood v. Taylor*, 1 Man. & Gr. 300, 301. See as to creditors' deeds, *Crosskill v. Bower*, 32 Beav. 86.

(*h*) *London Chartered Bk. of Australia v. White*, 4 App. Cas. 413.

(*i*) *Ante*, p. 819.

(*k*) *Porter v. Hubbard*, 3 Atk. 271; *Earl of Macclesfield v. Fitton*, 1 Vern.

168; *Matthews v. Wallwyn*, 4 Ves. 118; *Chambers v. Goldwin*, 9 Ves. 254; *Mangles v. Dixon*, 3 H. L. C. 702.

(*l*) *Cottrell v. Finney*, L. R. 9 Ch. A. 541, 548.

(*m*) 3 Atk. 371. See *Macarthy v. Lord Llandaff*, 1 Ba. & Be. 375, *ante*, p. 1165.

rent, he then granting an underlease to the mortgagee, at a rent of 250*l.*, and on payment of a sum of 1,000*l.*, and the buildings were afterwards finished and the mortgagee took possession, but neither the rent nor the 1,000*l.* were paid, but after some years the builder agreed to purchase the mortgagee's charge and to balance accounts; the Court refused to allow the builder interest upon the rents, and would not allow the account of principal money and interest to be carried beyond the date of the decree. And in like manner it refused interest upon the rents as against the mortgagor (*n*).

iv.—Interest on Arrears of Annuity.—It has been a rule of the Court that interest will not be given on arrears of an annuity, although the annuity is charged on land, and secured by judgment (*o*), unless a special case is made (*p*); but it seems that if the annuitant had entered under his powers, the Court would not have obliged him to quit possession without receiving interest on the arrears (*q*). So if the annuitant had been delayed in his proceedings at law by the interposition of a Court of Equity at the instance of the debtor; or if the debtor had sought the aid of the Court to relieve him from the hardships to which he was exposed at law; or if the delay in payment had otherwise arisen from the absence or conduct of the debtor, the Court would allow interest on the arrears (*r*). So where the bill was filed against the representatives of the grantor, but there was no conflict with other creditors, and the fund had been paid into Court in a former suit instituted by the annuitant, and had been accumulating for many years, and the annuitant had failed in obtaining administration to his debtor, interest was given on the arrears (*s*). Interest was also given on the arrears of an annuity secured by a bond, to the amount of the penalty of the bond (*t*); and where an annuity was

Arrears of annuity do not carry interest except under special circumstances.

(*n*) *Page v. Broom*, 4 Cl. & F. 437. See *Page v. Linwood*, 4 Cl. & F. 399; *Peers v. Sneyd*, 17 Beav. 151.

(*o*) *Cresse v. Hunter*, 2 Ves. Jun. 163; *Booth v. Leicester*, 3 My. & Cr. 459; *Jenkins v. Briant*, 16 Sim. 272; *Lainson v. Lainson*, 18 Beav. 7. And see now Ord. l*v.* r. 63, which seems to apply to such arrears; *Re Powell's Trusts*, 10 Ha. 134; *Taylor v. Taylor*, 8 Ha. 120; *Torre v. Browne*, 5 H. L. C. 555; *Edwards v. Warden*, 1 App. Cas. 305.

(*p*) *Robinson v. Cumming*, 2 Atk. 409; *Newman v. Auling*, 3 Atk. 579; *Tew v. Earl of Winton*, 1 Ves. Jun. 451; *Martyn v. Blake*, 3 Dr. & War. 125; *Gay v. Cox*, 1 Ridg. P. C. 153; *Crosse v. Beddingfield*, 12 Sim. 35; *Hyde v. Price*, 8 Sim. 578.

(*q*) *Robinson v. Cumming*, *sup.*

(*r*) *Booth v. Leicester*, 3 My. & Cr. 459; *O'Donel v. Browne*, 1 Ba. & Be. 262.

(*s*) *Hyde v. Price*, 8 Sim. 578.

(*t*) *Crosse v. Beddingfield*, 12 Sim. 35.

CHAP. LIV.

Allowance of interest on arrears in administration actions.

secured by an assignment of stock; interest on the arrears of the annuity was allowed on a transfer of the fund (u).

The Court might, in the administration of assets, give interest on arrears of an annuity by analogy to the provisions of 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42, before mentioned (x); and now it would seem that the Court has, under Ord. LV. rr. 62, 63, of the Rules of the Supreme Court, in taking the accounts of a deceased person, power to allow such interest at the rate of four per cent. per annum from the date of the order (y).

It is, however, provided by r. 64 of the same Order that such interest will only be allowed if the assets are sufficient to pay the costs of the administration, the debts established, and interest on such debts as by law carry interest.

It is a settled rule that interest will not be allowed from the date of the order on debts which accrue due subsequently, and consequently not on instalments of an annuity accruing due after the date of the order (z).

Statute of Limitations.

v.—What Arrears of Interest are recoverable on taking Accounts.—By the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, s. 42 (set out *ante*, p. 988), it is provided that no arrears of interest in respect of any sum of money charged on land or rent shall be recoverable by distress, action, or suit for more than six years past.

Only six years' interest recoverable in action for foreclosure.

It is settled that, in an action for foreclosure, a mortgagee cannot recover more than six years' interest, even though the mortgage deed contains a covenant for payment of interest or is secured by a collateral bond conditioned for payment of interest, as the covenant or bond creates no charge on the land; though in an action on the personal covenant twenty years' arrears might have been recovered (a).

Reversionary interests.

It makes no difference in this respect of a mortgage in a reversionary interest. So where the interest on the money secured by mortgage of a reversion in fee in real estate and by covenant was sixteen years in arrear, and the mortgagee filed his bill for foreclosure, raising no question on the liability under

(u) *Colyer v. Clay*, 7 Beav. 188. But see *Jenkins v. Briant*, 16 Sim. 272.

(x) *Ante*, p. 1159.

(y) See these rules set out *post*, p. 1173.

(z) *Lainson v. Lainson*, 18 Beav. 7.

(a) *Hunter v. Nockolds*, 1 Mac. & G. 641; *Round v. Bell*, 30 Beav. 121; *Shaw v. Johnson*, 1 Dr. & S. 412; *Hughes v. Kelly*, 5 Ir. Eq. R. 286. Twelve years' arrears may apparently now be recovered under the covenant; see *ante*, p. 990.

the covenant, it was held that, in taking the accounts under the decree for foreclosure, only six years' interest would be allowed (b).

Where a creditor comes in under a suit, the six years are reckoned from the time when the claim was carried in (c).

It is to be observed that sect. 42 of the stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, limits the right of a mortgagee of land to recover arrears of interest only in cases where he attempts to recover his interest by distress, action, or suit; in every other case his right is not limited, but is left as it was under the old law (d).

Accordingly, a mortgagee of land exercising his power of sale, is entitled to retain out of the proceeds of sale in his hands whatever arrears of interest may be due to him, though extending over more than six years (e).

So where first mortgagees had sold the mortgaged property under their power, and received the proceeds of sale after judgment, in an action for the administration of the estate of the second mortgagee to which the first mortgagees were not parties, it was held that the first mortgagees were not entitled to retain more than six months' interest (f).

But where mortgaged lands had been compulsorily taken under the Lands Clauses Act (g), and the purchase-money had been paid into Court, and the assignees of a mortgage of the land presented a petition for payment to them of principal and interest from the date of their advance, and costs, it was held that the petition was analogous to a suit for recovery of land, and therefore six years' interest could alone be recovered (h).

If a mortgagor institutes a suit for redemption of the mortgaged lands, the question whether the mortgagee is entitled to more than six years' arrears of interest does not appear to be covered by judicial decision. On the one hand, it may be said that, in such a case, the mortgagee does not seek to recover his interest by any action or suit, but on the contrary is compelled to receive his mortgage money at the instance of the mortgagor,

From what time the statute runs. Limitation only applies where proceedings are taken by mortgagee to recover interest. Mortgagee selling under power.

Purchase-money of lands compulsorily taken paid into Court.

What arrears of interest are recoverable by mortgagee-defendant in redemption action.

(b) *Sinclair v. Jackson*, 17 Beav. 405, approved in *Smith v. Hill*, 9 Ch. D. 143. See *Humble v. Humble*, 24 Beav. 585.

(c) *Hunter v. Nockolds*, 1 Mac. & G. 640; *Henry v. Smith*, 2 Dr. & War. 381, 392; *Greenway v. Bromfield*, 9 Ha. 201.

(d) *Per Kay, J.*, in *Re Marshfield*, *Marshfield v. Hutchins*, 34 Ch. D. 721,

at p. 723.

(e) *Edmunds v. Waugh*, L. R. 1 Eq. 421.

(f) *Re Marshfield*, *Marshfield v. Hutchins*, 34 Ch. D. 721.

(g) 8 Vict. c. 18.

(h) *Re Stead's Mortgaged Estates*, 2 Ch. D. 713. See *Re Slater's Trusts*, 11 Ch. D. 227.

CHAP. LIV.

and consequently that the principle of the *dictum* of Sir E. Kay, J., above cited, the operation of sect. 42 ought to be excluded, and the mortgagee ought to be allowed whatever interest is due to him, though extending over more than six years. On the other hand, it might be urged that sect. 42 ought to be deemed to be equally operative to prevent the mortgagee from recovering more than six years' arrears of interest in a redemption suit instituted by the mortgagor, as it would be in the case of a foreclosure suit by the mortgagee; since although a suit for redemption cannot be considered as a suit for recovering money, yet the terms of the redemption must be the same. And the latter view seems to have been assumed in two cases, but for the purposes of decisions which have been overruled or disapproved of (*i*). But the general rule that the terms of redemption must be the same in actions for foreclosure or redemption has been affirmed in numerous other cases (*k*).

Where interest is collaterally secured by bond or covenant.

It has been seen that a mortgagee cannot add a bond debt in account against the mortgagor (*l*). In one case it was considered that this rule only holds when the mortgage and bond debts are *several*, and not *parts of the same* debt. Thus, where more than six years' arrears of interest were due on the mortgage debt, and there was the further security of a bond or covenant, the Court, in a suit for a foreclosure against the mortgagor, allowed the mortgagee to recover the whole amount of interest, under the ordinary rule in equity, that where a plaintiff is properly drawn into equity to enforce part of a demand, he may assert his full right in that Court, though his demand in part be purely legal; but this case was overruled (*m*). There does not seem to be ground for the difference hinted at by Mr. Cox, in his valuable notes to Peere Williams (*n*), as to the application of tacking to cases between a mortgagor coming to redeem, and a mortgagee bringing his bill to foreclose.

More than six years allowed

In one case the plaintiffs, co-heirs of the mortgagor, were not allowed to redeem, except upon payment of twenty years'

(*i*) See *Du Vigier v. Lee*, 2 Ha. 326, overruled by Lord Cottenham in *Hunter v. Nockolds*, 1 Mac. & G. 640; and *Mason v. Broadbent*, 33 Beav. 301, dissented from by Kindersley, V.-C., in *Edmunds v. Waugh*, L. R. 1 Eq. 421.

(*k*) *Watts v. Symes*, 1 De G. M. & G. 240; *Sober v. Kemp*, 6 Ha. 155,

160; *Mellersh v. Brown*, 45 Ch. D. 225. See *post*, p. 1175.

(*l*) See the judgment of Wigram, V.-C., in *Du Vigier v. Lee*, 2 Ha. 326, 339.

(*m*) *Hunter v. Nockolds*, 1 Mac. & G. 640, 650.

(*n*) 1 P. Wms. 777.

arrears, but the decision was expressly made on the ground that a mortgagee is allowed, as against the heir (although not against the original debtor himself), to tack an unsecured specialty, binding the heir, to the mortgage debt (*o*).

CHAP. LIV.
against heirs
of mortgagor.

Formerly, if a mortgage deed contained an express trust, or a trust for sale for securing the mortgage debt or interest, the mortgagee in a foreclosure action might have recovered more than six years' arrears of interest (*p*).

Express
trusts.

But by the Real Property Limitation Act, 1874 (*q*), no action can be brought to recover any arrears of interest in respect of any sum of money secured by an express trust, or any damages in respect of such arrears, "except within the time within which the same would be recoverable if there were not any such trust."

Mortgages of personalty, other than leasehold lands, are not within sect. 42, and there would seem to be no reason why a mortgagee of an interest in possession of personalty should not, in an action for foreclosure, recover more than six years' arrears of interest on his mortgage. A contrary view seems to have been taken by Bacon, V.-C., in one case (*r*), of a mortgage of a fund which had been paid into Court, and the mortgagor presented a petition for payment out; his lordship said that if the mortgagee himself had wanted arrears of interest, he must have taken proceedings in a suit in which, under the statute, he could have recovered six years' interest and no more, and he held that the nature of the proceedings made no difference as to the limit of the mortgagee's rights. But it seems difficult to see on what ground his lordship based his assumption that the rights of the mortgagee, if he had taken proceedings in a suit, would have been limited by a statute which applies only to charges on land, and the point does not appear to have been raised in argument.

What arrears
recoverable in
an action for
foreclosure of
personalty.

In the case of a mortgage of a reversionary interest in personalty, the right to recover arrears remains alive so long as the interest remains reversionary. So, where a person mortgaged his reversionary interest under a will, the mortgagee was held, on a summons taken out by him in an action for the administration of the estate of the testatrix, to recover the

Reversionary
interest in
personalty.

(*o*) *Eley v. Norwood*, 5 De G. & S. 240; *Thomas v. Thomas*, 22 Beav. 341.

(*p*) See 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, s. 25; *Lewis v. Duncombe*, 29 Beav. 175.

(*q*) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 57, s. 10, set out *ante*, p. 1073.

(*r*) *Re Stater's Trusts*, 11 Ch. D. 227, 239.

CHAP. LIV.

whole arrears of interest extending over sixteen years past (s). So where an action for foreclosure of a reversionary interest in personal estate was brought fourteen years after the date of the mortgage, during which period no interest was ever paid, and the usual decree was made, it was held that redemption could only be allowed on payment of interest for the full period of fourteen years (t).

vi.—Interest after Judgment.—Where a mortgagee has obtained judgment against the mortgagor for payment of the total amount found due upon taking the accounts for principal, interest, and costs, the mortgage debt and the covenant, if any, in the mortgage deed for payment of principal and interest are merged in the judgment, and thenceforth interest on the principal at the rate fixed by the mortgage deed will cease to be payable, but the total amount found due will carry interest as a judgment debt (u).

By the old law, a judgment debt did not carry interest, and this was the general rule both at law and in equity, though it might be recovered at law, by way of damages, by action on the judgment (x).

By sect. 17 of 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, it is provided that every judgment debt shall carry interest at the rate of four per cent. from the time of entering up the judgment or from the commencement of the Act, if then entered up (y).

A judgment entered up before the Act cannot be satisfied without payment of interest since the commencement of the Act (z); it will carry only four per cent. interest, though the original debt carried six per cent. (a); and a judgment to secure an annuity carries interest under this section (b).

From what
time interest
runs.

Interest runs on a judgment debt from the time of the entry of the *incipitur*; and not merely from the final completion of the judgment after the taxation of costs (c); and where money is

(s) *Smith v. Hill*, 9 Ch. D. 143.

(t) *Mellersh v. Brown*, 45 Ch. D. 225. See also *Clarkson v. Henderson*, 14 Ch. D. 348, where there was a provision for capitalization of interest.

(u) *Re European Central Rail. Co.*, 4 Ch. D. 33. See *Exp. Higgins*, 3 De G. & J. 33.

(x) *Gaunt v. Taylor*, 3 My. & K. 302; *Booth v. Leicester*, 3 My. & Cr. 459.

(y) See *Morse v. Tucker*, 5 Ha. 88, *inf.*, p. 1174.

(z) *Bishop v. Hatch*, 16 Jur. 1044, Q. B.

(a) *Re European Central Rail. Co.*, 4 Ch. D. 33; *Florence v. Jennings*, 3 Jur. N. S. 772.

(b) *Knight v. Bowyer*, 4 De G. & J. 619.

(c) *Newton v. Grand Junction Rail. Co.*, 16 M. & W. 139.

paid into Court on a judgment, interest is not payable beyond the time when the money might have been taken out of Court (*d*).

CHAP. LIV.

This section applies as well to judgments for costs payable by one party to another, as for the subject-matter of the action (*e*). On such a judgment, the interest runs from the date of the master's certificate of taxation (*f*). But interest is not recoverable on costs directed to be raised out of an estate (*g*), nor on a sum ascertained by the master's report, under a decree of the Court, for the period between the decree and the report (*h*).

Judgments for costs.

Where judgment was entered up on a warrant of attorney given before the statute to secure 500*l*., and an agreement was made that the judgment should be a security for a greater sum, an application by a purchaser from the debtor, with notice of the agreement, to have satisfaction entered on the registered judgment on payment of 500*l*., and interest at four per cent., was refused (*i*).

Since the incorporation of the High Court of Admiralty in the High Court of Justice, an award of salvage is a judgment debt, and, as such, bears interest from the date of entry of judgment, the taxed costs bearing interest from the date of signing the *allocatur* (*k*).

Admiralty Division.

The payment of interest in administration actions is provided for by Order LV. of the Rules of the Supreme Court as follows:—

Practice in administration actions.

R. 62. "Where a judgment or order is made directing an account of the debts of a deceased person, unless otherwise ordered, interest shall be computed on such debts, as to such of them as carry interest after the rate they respectively carry, and as to all others after the rate of four per cent. per annum from the date of the judgment or order."

Computation of interest on debts bearing interest.

R. 63. "A creditor, whose debt does not carry interest, who comes in and establishes the same before the judge in chambers, under a judgment or order of the Court or of the judge in chambers, shall be entitled to interest upon his debt at the rate of four per cent. per annum from the date of the judgment or order out of any assets which may remain after satisfying the costs of the suit, the debts established, and the interest of such debts as by law carry interest."

Allowance of interest on debts not carrying interest.

(*d*) *Sinclair v. Great Eastern Rail. Co.*, L. R. 5 O. P. 391.

(*e*) *Pitcher v. Roberts*, 2 Dowl. N. S. 394; *Newton v. Lord Conyngham*, 17 L. J. O. P. 288.

(*f*) *Schroeder v. Clough*, 46 L. J. C. P. 365.

(*g*) *Att.-Gen. v. Nethercote*, 11 Sim. 529.

(*h*) *Att.-Gen. v. Lord Carrington*, 6 Beav. 460.

(*i*) *Crafts v. Wilkinson*, 4 Q. B. 74.

(*k*) *Re Jones Brothers*, 46 L. J. P. D. & A. 75.

CHAP. LIV.

Under this Order a creditor will not be entitled to interest on a debt carrying no interest, in preference to the payment of a voluntary debt (*m*).

A creditor in a suit before this Order was not allowed the benefit of it, although he did not come in until after its date (*n*). In some cases a special order has been made as to the rate of interest (*o*): and interest will not in general be given from a period anterior to the date of the decree (*p*).

Effect of
charge of
debts.

As a general proposition, a devise of real estate for payment of debts does not enhance the amount of the demand or entitle the party to interest, independently of the devise, but, leaving the amount unaffected, it provides a new fund for the payment of the testator's debts (*q*). Such general charge will not give interest on simple contract debts not carrying interest (*r*).

SECTION IV.

OF ACCOUNTS OF COSTS, CHARGES AND EXPENSES.

i.—Right of Mortgagee to Costs, &c. generally.—It has been already remarked that equity regards the debt as the principal, and mortgaged property as a collateral security for the same; and although the property is absolutely forfeited at law, compels the mortgagee to permit his debtor to redeem (*s*); but, in so doing, it adheres to the settled principle (*t*), that he who seeks equity shall do equity to him from whom he requires it; and, therefore, the Court will make terms with the debtor before

(*m*) *Garrard v. Lord Dinorben*, 5 Ha. 213.

(*n*) *Wheeler v. Gill*, L. R. 19 Eq. 316. See *Davis v. Combermere*, 15 Sim. 394.

(*o*) *Exp. Lintott*, L. R. 4 Eq. 184, 188; *Barrow's Case*, L. R. 3 Ch. A. 784. See *Gruggen v. Cochran*, 5 N. R. 457.

(*p*) *Fowler v. Fowler*, 4 De G. & J. 250, 275.

(*q*) *Per Wigram, V.-C.*, in *Morse v. Tucker*, 5 Ha. 88, where he accordingly allowed interest only from the time of the judgment recovered, and that under 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 17.

(*r*) *Barwell v. Parker*, 2 Ves. Sen. 364; *Earl of Bath v. Earl of Bradford*, 2 Ves. Sen. 586; *Shirley v. Ferrers*, 1 Bro. C. C. 41; *Creuze v. Hunter*, 2 Ves. jun. 157. See *contra*, *Carr v. Lady Burlington*, 1 P. Wms. 229; *Maxwell v. Weienhall*, 2 P. Wms. 26. But the devise will carry interest, if the charge be of the simple contract debts of a third person: *Shirt v. Westby*, 16 Ves. 393. See *Morse v. Tucker*, 5 Ha. 88; *Stewart v. Noble*, Vern. & Scriv. 528—537.

(*s*) *Ante*, p. 11.

(*t*) *Francis' Maxims*, 1.

it will permit him to redeem, in order that full justice may be done to the creditor.

CHAP. LIV.

In accordance with this principle, it is a general rule that, in settling the accounts between mortgagor and mortgagee, the former, before being allowed to redeem, whether the action be for redemption or for foreclosure, or relate to any other question between those parties regarding the mortgage debt or security, shall pay not merely the principal and interest of the debt, but also all such costs as the mortgagee has properly incurred in respect of his position as such. A mortgagee does not in terms contract for costs, but the rule is, that all costs which he, as mortgagee, properly incurs in relation to his security are to be allowed to him (u). Such costs will be added to the principal and interest secured by the mortgage and form one debt, which, as between the particular mortgagee and other incumbrancers, will rank in priority as if such costs had formed part of the moneys originally secured by that mortgage.

Mortgagor entitled to add costs properly incurred to his security.

The mortgagee retains his right to costs as against not only the mortgagor himself, but all subsequent incumbrancers (x) and other persons claiming under him or them, including a trustee in the bankruptcy of the mortgagor (y), so as to be entitled to be paid in full in priority to the claims of such persons.

Priority of mortgagee's costs over puisne incumbrancers, &c.

Costs properly incurred are not the subject of an action by the mortgagee, although they are recoverable as the price of redemption (z).

No action for costs only.

The costs to which a mortgagee is entitled in an action for foreclosure or redemption must be taxed as between party and party (a), unless otherwise specially directed.

Taxation of costs.

If a mortgagee brings an action on the covenant to recover the money, and his costs are taxed in that action as between party and party, the Court cannot, in a subsequent action for redemption, review the taxation in the former action, so as to

(u) *Dryden v. Frost*, 3 My. & Cr. 670, 675; *Detillin v. Gale*, 7 Ves. 583, 585; *National Provincial Bank of England v. Games*, 31 Ch. D. 582, 592.

(z) *Upperton v. Harrison*, 7 Sim. 444; *Barnes v. Raster*, 1 Y. & C. C. C. 401; *Sherbro*, 52 L. J. P. D. & A. 28; *Immacolata Concezione*, 9 P. D. 37.

(y) See *Lomas v. Hide*, 2 Vern. 185; *Detillin v. Gale*, *supra*; *Cliff v. Wadsworth*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 598; *Wilson v.*

Cluer, 4 Beav. 214; *Wontner v. Wright*, 2 Sim. 543; *Roberts v. Williams*, 4 Ha. 129; *Price v. Price*, 15 L. J. Ch. 13; *Smith v. Green*, 1 Coll. 555; *Rider v. Jones*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 329; *Matthie v. Edwards*, 2 Coll. 465; *Dunstan v. Paterson*, 2 Ph. 341.

(z) *Exp. Fewings, Re Sneyd*, 25 Ch. D. 338, C. A.

(a) *Kestrel*, L. R. 1 A. & E. 78.

CHAP. LIV.

allow to the mortgagees the costs of that action as between solicitor and client (*b*).

There was formerly a difficulty in a mortgagor obtaining the taxation of the bill of costs incurred by the mortgagee; but under 6 & 7 Vict. c. 73, ss. 38, 41, such taxation may be obtained either before or after payment; though in the latter case special circumstances must be shown, and an *ex parte* order for taxation cannot be obtained (*c*), and statements of errors or overcharges must be specific (*d*); nor will slight overcharges support such an application, unless there be undue pressure or surprise on the part of the solicitor (*e*), and without such ground mere payment under protest will not avail the mortgagor (*f*); though where the overcharges are so gross as to amount to fraud or improper conduct, the Court will grant relief after any length of time, though payment be made without protest (*g*).

But the taxation must still be carried on upon the same principle as the taxation would be made as between the mortgagee and solicitor (*h*); and if the action contain charges which the mortgagee cannot support as against the mortgagor, and the mortgagor pays such charges, though under protest, he cannot recover back the amount from the solicitor, but must look to the mortgagee who has improperly subjected the estate or deeds to a claim which, as against the mortgagor, was unauthorized (*i*).

Appeal as to costs in mortgage actions.

In ordinary cases costs are within the discretion of the judge (*k*), and no appeal will lie against an order as to costs only except by leave of the Court or judge making such order (*l*). But the right of a mortgagee, like that of a trustee, forms an exception to this rule, and is not in the discretion of the Court or a judge, unless the mortgagee has been guilty of misconduct (*m*).

By the Rules of the Supreme Court, Ord. LXV. r. 1, it is provided as follows:—

Costs to be in the discretion of the Court.

“Subject to the provisions of the Acts and these Rules, the costs of and incident to all proceedings in the Supreme Court, including

- (*b*) *Morley v. Bridges*, 2 Coll. 821.
- (*c*) *Re Carew*, 8 Beav. 150.
- (*d*) *Dunt v. Dunt*, 9 Beav. 146.
- (*e*) *Re Wills*, 8 Beav. 416; *Re Jones*, 8 Beav. 479; *Re Harrison*, 10 Beav. 57.
- (*f*) *Re Harrison, sup.*
- (*g*) *Horlock v. Smith*, 2 My. & Cr. 495, 510.
- (*h*) *Re Wills, sup.*; *Re Jones, sup.*;

- Re Harrison, sup.*
- (*i*) *Re Jones, sup.*
- (*k*) Jud. Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 44), s. 5.
- (*l*) Jud. Act, 1873 (36 & 37 Vict. c. 66), s. 49.
- (*m*) *Cotterell v. Stratton*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 295; *Turner v. Hancock*, 20 Ch. D. 303, C. A.

the administration of estates and trusts, shall be in the discretion of the Court or judge: Provided that nothing herein contained shall deprive an executor, administrator, trustee, or mortgagee who has not unreasonably instituted or carried on or resisted any proceedings, of any right to costs out of a particular estate or fund to which he would be entitled according to the rules hitherto acted upon in the Chancery Division."

CHAP. LIV.

The right of a mortgagee to his costs arises out of the mortgage contract itself, which makes the mortgage a security not only for principal and interest and such ordinary charges and expenses as are ordinarily provided for by the instrument creating the security, but also for the costs properly incident to a suit for foreclosure or redemption. This right, unless lost, is not within the discretion of the judge, and can only be lost by such inequitable conduct on the part of a mortgagee as may amount to a violation or culpable neglect of his duty under the contract (*n*).

Mortgagee's right to costs in matter of contract.

It follows that if the judge wrongfully deprives the mortgagee of his costs, charges and expenses properly incurred, on the ground that his right by contract is lost, then the mortgagee has a right of appeal (*o*).

Appeal by mortgagee.

The mortgagor has apparently no right of appeal as to costs. If the mortgagee has been guilty of no misconduct, he has a right to his costs, and there can be no appeal. If the mortgagee has been guilty of misconduct, the mortgagee's right as such is lost, but in that case the costs become costs within the discretion of the judge, with which the Court of Appeal cannot interfere, inasmuch as the proviso in Ord. LXV. r. 1, does not extend to mortgagors, but only to mortgagees and trustees (*p*).

Appeal by mortgagor.

As a general rule an equitable mortgagee is entitled to the same costs as a legal mortgagee (*q*); save in bankruptcy, in a case where there is no written memorandum accompanying the deposit of title deeds (*r*).

Right of equitable mortgagee to costs.

The mortgagee will be refused his costs of an action for enforcing or redeeming the security if he be guilty of serious misconduct, as in the following cases:—fraudulent and unfair

When a mortgagee may be deprived of his costs.

(*n*) *Anon.*, 2 Eq. Ca. Abr. 237; *Cotterell v. Stratton*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. at p. 302.

(*o*) *Charles v. Jones*, 33 Ch. D. 80, C. A.

(*p*) *Charles v. Jones*, *sup.* See *Re Beddoe, Downes v. Cottam*, (1893) 1 Ch. 547, 556.

(*q*) *Lewis v. John*, 9 Sim. 366. And see *Connell v. Hardie*, 3 Y. & C. Ex. 582; *Reg. v. Chambers*, 4 Y. & C. Ex. 54; *Wade v. Ward*, 4 Drew. 602.

(*r*) *Exp. Brightens*, 1 Swanst. 3; *Exp. Trew*, 3 Madd. 372. And see other cases cited *ante*, p. 1102, note (*m*).

CHAP. LIV.

dealing (*s*); rendering a redemption suit necessary by refusing as mortgagee in possession to render an account (*t*); dealing with the mortgagor behind the back of an incumbrancer whose claim was known to him, and attempting to deprive the latter of his security (*u*); making a claim under an illegal contract (*x*).

Unfounded
resistance to
right of
redemption.

Where a second mortgagee brought an action to redeem the first mortgagees and foreclose the mortgagor; the first mortgagees denied the plaintiff's right to redeem except on paying off not only the prior mortgage, but also a further charge which was held, for want of registration, to have no priority over the plaintiff's charge; the Court below did not give the first mortgagees their costs, but refused to make them pay to the plaintiff the costs occasioned by their unfounded claim on the ground that there had been a want of caution on the part of the plaintiff (*y*); and on appeal, Lord Cairns, C., was of opinion that the first mortgagees had been treated with indulgence (*z*).

Refusal to
account.

In a suit by second mortgagee against the first mortgagee, who had sold and alleged untruly that there was not sufficient to pay him, and neglected to account, no costs were given on either side (*a*).

Unfounded
charges of
fraud.

So also where a mortgagee in a foreclosure action made unsustained charges of fraud against the mortgagor, he was disallowed all costs occasioned by his improper conduct (*b*).

When a mort-
gagee may
be made to
pay costs of
other parties.

In some cases where the mortgagee has been guilty of very gross misconduct, he may not only be deprived of his costs, but may be made to pay the whole of the costs of all parties (*c*). But though, as a general rule, a mortgagee will be made to pay all costs which his unnecessary and oppressive dealings have occasioned, yet the Court will not make him pay all the costs of the action except under special circumstances and upon great consideration (*d*).

Where
nothing is
due to mort-
gagee.

If a mortgagee commence an action for foreclosure, or pray a sale, and it is found that nothing was due to him when he

(*s*) *Moroney v. O'Dea*, 1 Ba. & Be. 109, 121, n.

(*t*) *Powell v. Trotter*, 1 Dr. & S. 388.

(*u*) *Taylor v. Baker*, Dan. 82.

(*x*) *Johnson v. Williamshurst*, 1 L. J. Ch. 112.

(*y*) *Credland v. Potter*, L. R. 18 Eq. 350. See *Tomlinson v. Gregg*, 15 W. R. 51.

(*z*) *Credland v. Potter*, L. R. 10 Ch. A. 8, 13.

(*a*) *Tanner v. Heard*, 23 Beav. 555.

(*b*) *West v. Jones*, 1 Sim. N. S. 205, 218. See *Cockell v. Taylor*, 15 Beav. 103, 128. But see *Hayward v. Kersey*, 14 W. R. 999.

(*c*) See *Harvey v. Tabbutt*, 1 J. & W. 197, 202.

(*d*) *Detillin v. Gale*, 7 Ves 583.

brought his action, he will be decreed to pay all his costs, including those of the reference and taking the accounts (e). CHAP. LIV.

In a case where a mortgage was vested in three trustees, a day was fixed for paying off the mortgage, and a deed of reconveyance was prepared; the mortgagor attended with the money, but one of the trustees, who had a partial beneficial interest in the money, refused to execute the deed unless the interest was paid to himself on his sole receipt, and otherwise acted in an unreasonable manner; the second trustee refused to attend, as he would not meet the third trustee on the ground of a personal quarrel: it was held that, inasmuch as the mortgagor was prevented from paying the debt by a disagreement between the parties entitled to receive the money, whereby an action for redemption was rendered necessary, the first trustee having made a plainly untenable demand, and being mainly the cause of the action, must pay all the mortgagor's costs thereof; that the second trustee, having also caused embarrassment, must be disallowed his costs; but that the third trustee, being blameless, was entitled to his costs, to be added to the mortgagor's costs and paid by the first trustee (f).

Where mortgagee is guilty of unreasonable conduct.

So after payment or tender of the amount due by the mortgagor (g), or anyone representing him, or by a *puisne* incumbrancer (h); and, whether before or after action, if the mortgagee refuses such tender, or proceeds after payment, he must pay the costs of suit or the subsequent costs, as the case may be (i). Proceeding after tender.

Where the costs are unascertained and the security ample, or a sufficient sum is tendered to cover the costs, the mortgagee will proceed at his peril (k).

But if the mortgagor make no tender, but merely offer to pay the amount due and costs, he will not save the costs (l).

Where a tender has been made and refused, the application that the mortgagee may pay the costs may be made either by

(e) *Binnington v. Harwood*, T. & R. 477; *Morris v. Islip*, 23 Beav. 244; *Montgomery v. Calland*, 14 Sim. 81.

(f) *Cliff v. Wadsworth*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 598.

(g) *Roberts v. Williams*, 4 Ha. 129; *Wilson v. Cluer*, 4 Beav. 214; *Morley v. Bridges*, 2 Coll. 621. And see *Hedges v. Croydon Canal Co.*, 3 Beav. 86; *Lord Cranstown v. Johnston*, 5 Ves. 277, 279; 1 *Hov. Suppl.* 355; *Shuttleworth v. Lowther*, 7 Ves. 586, cited

Harmer v. Priestley, 16 Beav. 569; *Gregg v. Slater*, 22 Beav. 314; *Hooken v. Sinecock*, 11 Jur. N. S. 477.

(h) *Smith v. Green*, 1 Coll. 555.

(i) *Johnson v. Evans* (No. 1), 60 L. T. 29.

(k) *Jenkins v. Jones*, 2 Giff. 99; *Morley v. Bridges*, 2 Coll. 621; *Broad v. Selfe*, 9 Jur. N. S. 886.

(l) *Gammon v. Stone*, 1 Ves. Sen. 339. But see *Sentance v. Porter*, 7 Ha. 426.

CHAP. LIV.

motion or petition supported by affidavits of tender and refusal (*m*).

Groundless
claim by
mortgagor.

Where a mortgagor made a definite offer to redeem, which the mortgagee unreasonably refused, setting up a groundless claim to consolidate another mortgage, it was held by the Court of Appeal that, as the refusal was the sole cause of the litigation, the mortgagee must pay to the mortgagor all his costs of the action up to and including the trial as well as the costs of the appeal (*n*).

Fraud.

In a case where the mortgage transaction was tainted by gross fraud on the part of the mortgagee, who also set up an untenable resistance to the right of redemption, he was decreed to pay all the costs of the action up to the hearing (*o*). And a similar order was made in the case of a suit by a mortgagor for relief on the ground of fraud and extortion on the part of the mortgagee who was his counsel, on the ground that the mortgagee had abused the trust reposed in him, and manifested an intention to get the estate into his own hands (*p*).

Improper
joinder of
parties.

As a general rule, the mortgagee will be ordered to pay all costs caused by his improper joinder of parties, whether as plaintiffs or defendants (*q*). So, where a devisee of a mortgagee filed his bill against the heir and executor of the mortgagor for foreclosure, and also against the heir-at-law of the mortgagee for establishing the will, it was ordered that the plaintiff should pay the heir of the mortgagee his costs, and that he should not be entitled to have them from the estate (*r*), as the heir of the mortgagee is not a necessary party to an action for foreclosure by the devisee of the mortgagee (*s*): a distinction will, of course, be drawn between the before-mentioned case, in which the concurrence of the heir-at-law of the mortgagee was necessary only to the establishment of the devisee's title, and the case of costs arising from the nature of the assurance required in the conveyance of the mortgaged estate; as, for example, if, prior to 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 74, a fine were necessary to divest the estate from a *feme covert*, or if the mortgagor become bankrupt

(*m*) *Sentance v. Porter*, 9 Ha. 426.

(*n*) *Squire v. Pardoe*, 66 L. T. 243.

(*o*) *Baker v. Wind*, 1 Ves. Sen. 160.

See *Douglas v. Culverwell*, 4 De G. F. & J. 20.

(*p*) *Thornhill v. Evans*, 2 Atk. 330.

(*q*) *Pearce v. Watkins*, 5 De G. & S. 317; *Booth v. Creswicke*, 8 Sim. 352;

13 L. J. Ch. 217; *Coles v. Forrest*, 10 Beav. 552; *Cockell v. Taylor*, 15 Beav. 103. See *Collins v. Shirley*, 1 R. & M. 638; *Roohfort v. Batterby*, 2 H. L. C. 288.

(*r*) *Skipp v. Wyatt*, 1 Cox, 353.

(*s*) *How v. Figures*, 1 Rep. in Ch. 32.

and his trustee is made party to the action and disclaim all interest (*t*); in which cases the expenses must be borne by the mortgagor or his trustee, unless in the case of a disclaimer the trustee is unnecessarily made party to the action of foreclosure (*u*).

CHAP. LIV.

Similarly, where a mortgagee, praying a sale of the mortgaged property, brought certain prior annuitants before the Court, he was ordered to pay their costs on the ground that they were unnecessary parties, as the property ought to be sold subject to their annuities (*x*). So, where a mortgagee with a power of sale unnecessarily brought an action for sale under a decree of the Court, subsequent incumbrancers appearing and consenting to such sale were allowed their costs out of the estate which was insufficient to pay the mortgagee in full (*y*).

But where the plaintiff made a person a party to the action with reasonable excuse, he was not made to pay any costs occasioned by the misjoinder (*z*).

This rule appears to be less strictly applied to the prejudice of mortgagees in the Irish Courts (*a*). Rule in Irish Courts.

On the same principle, the mortgagee will generally be ordered to pay to the mortgagor all additional costs caused by improperly framing his action, or by unnecessary or vexatious proceedings therein. Improper conduct of action

So, where the plaintiff originally filed a bill against the defendant as his agent, praying an account against him on that footing, but having been found, on trial of an issue, to be mortgagee, he converted the suit into a foreclosure suit; it was held that he must pay to the defendant all costs sustained by him beyond what he would have been put to if the bill had been originally a bill for foreclosure, including the costs of the issue at law (*b*).

Again, where in a suit for delivery up of a post-obit bond on payment of principal and interest, the plaintiff had obtained an

(*t*) *Collins v. Shirley*, 1 R. & My. 638; *Appleby v. Duke*, 1 Ph. 272; *Cash v. Belcher*, 1 Ha. 310; overruling *Woodward v. Haddon*, 4 Sim. 606; *Weaving v. Count*, 6 Sim. 439; *Peake v. Gibbon*, 2 R. & My. 354. As to the costs of a party disclaiming, see *inf.* p. 1188; *Boswell v. Tucker*, 1 Beav. 493.

(*u*) *Thompson v. Kendall*, 9 Sim. 397.

(*z*) *Delabere v. Norwood*, 3 Swanst.

144, n. See *Horrocks v. Ledam*, 2 Coll. 208.

(*y*) *Wontner v. Wright*, 2 Sim. 543; *Cooke v. Brown*, 4 Y. & C. Ex. 227; *Alston v. Parker*, 5 L. J. N. S. Ch. 3.

(*x*) *Alexander v. Simms*, 20 Beav. 123.

(*a*) *Grace v. Lord Mountmorris*, 2 Dr. & War. 432.

(*b*) *Smith v. Smith*, G. Cooper, 141. See *Briant v. Lightfoot*, 1 Jur. 20; *Philips v. Davies*, 7 Jur. 52.

CHAP. LIV.

injunction against proceedings by the defendant at law, a motion to dissolve the injunction was held to be improper, and was accordingly dismissed with costs (c).

Adducing unnecessary evidence.

The mortgagee will also be fixed with any costs caused by his adducing unnecessary evidence (d). So, where in a foreclosure action the mortgagor put in no defence, the costs of the mortgagee's affidavits were disallowed (e).

Loss of deeds, &c.

So, also, if the costs are increased by loss of the title deeds of the mortgaged property (f), or of vouchers, thereby causing additional costs of account (g).

Mixing up distinct claims.

So, also, a mortgagee was held liable to pay the additional costs caused by the inconvenient method adopted by him in mixing up several distinct characters of mortgagee, trustee, and agent (h).

Assignment of mortgage after decree.

And if, after a decree to account, the mortgagee assigns over his mortgage, he must pay the costs of and incident to the bringing the assignee before the Court (i).

Groundless defence, &c.

A fortiori, a mortgagee must generally pay all costs occasioned by setting up a groundless defence, as, for instance, improperly resisting the right of redemption (k); insisting that the mortgage was an absolute conveyance (l), or setting up the Statutes of Limitations (m); setting up charges of fraud or connivance which he cannot substantiate (n); and a mortgagee has been compelled to pay the costs of a suit rendered necessary by third parties in consequence of his unjust claims, though not the costs of the mortgagor or his assignees, co-defendants with him in such suit, if necessary parties (o); and the costs of a suit to set aside a sale by the mortgagee under a power as oppressive upon the mortgagor (p).

(c) *Marsack v. Reeves*, 6 Madd. 108. See *Exp. Fletcher*, Mont. 454; *Cocks v. Stanley*, 4 Jur. N. S. 942.

(d) *Harvey v. Tebbutt*, 1 J. & W. 197; *Audsley v. Horn*, 26 Beav. 195, 200.

(e) *Perpetual Investment Building Soc. v. Gillespie*, W. N. (1882) 4.

(f) See cases collected *ante*, pp. 817, 818.

(g) *Price v. Price*, 15 L. J. Ch. 13.

(h) *Capper v. Terrington*, 1 Coll. 103.

(i) *Barry v. Wrey*, 3 Russ. 465; *Coles v. Forrest*, 10 Beav. 552. See *Jones v. Harding*, 24 L. J. Ch. 749.

(k) *Harvey v. Tebbutt*, 1 J. & W. 197; *Whitfield v. Parfitt*, 4 De G. & S. 244; 15 Jur. 852; *Perkins v. Bradley*, 1 Ha.

233; *Wheaton v. Graham*, 24 Beav. 483; *Powell v. Roberts*, L. R. 9 Eq. 171; *Ashworth v. Lord*, 36 Ch. D. 545; *Kinnaird v. Trollope* (No. 2), 42 Ch. D. 610; *Squire v. Pardoe*, 66 L. T. 243; 40 W. R. 100. But see *Baker v. Wind*, 1 Ves. Sen. 160.

(l) *England v. Codrington*, 1 Ed. 169.

(m) *Moore v. Painter*, 6 Jur. 903; *Ashworth v. Lord*, *sup.*

(n) *Green v. Briggs*, 6 Ha. 632; *West v. Jones*, 1 Sim. N. S. 218. See *Price v. Berrington*, 3 Mac. & G. 486, 499.

(o) *Green v. Briggs*, *sup.* See *Mocatta v. Murgatroyd*, 1 P. Wms. 393; *Harryman v. Collins*, 18 Beav. 11.

(p) *Matthie v. Edwards*, 2 Coll. 465, though reversed on appeal on the

In some cases, where the right of redemption is doubtful, the suit has been dismissed without costs (*q*).

CHAP. LIV.

Where a mortgagee improperly resists redemption, and on taking the accounts a balance is found to be in his hands, the Court has power to charge him with interest on such balance, though he has not been in possession of the mortgaged premises (*r*).

But where a mortgagee insisted on an objection on a point of fact, to which the Court attached so much weight as to direct an issue, he was not made to pay the costs of the issue, though his objection was overruled (*s*).

If a mortgagee by his defence in a redemption action claims payments to which he is not entitled, he will be made to pay the costs occasioned by his improper conduct (*t*).

Inasmuch as a mortgagee is always considered as entitled to costs, unless there be something of positive misconduct, the mere extension of his claim beyond what the Court finally decides that he is entitled to is no ground for refusing him his costs (*u*). So, also, where mortgagees in possession of a colliery made a claim, the amount of which was disputed, and refused to furnish accounts to the mortgagors, except on being paid the expenses of so doing, it was held that their conduct was not so vexatious as to deprive them of their right to their costs of the action to redeem the property (*x*).

Setting up
groundless
claim in
redemption
action.

Costs allowed
to mortgagee
raising *bond
fide* a claim
which is
overruled.

So, in a recent case, where a mortgagee claimed that the mortgagor was not entitled to redeem except on payment of a sum not expressly mentioned in the mortgage deed, but which was held by the Court of Appeal (reversing the decision of the Court below) to be covered by the security, it was said that a mortgagee cannot be deprived of his costs merely because he sets up a *bond fide* claim to something more than the Court holds him to be entitled to (*y*). And this decision was followed in a case where the mortgagee set up a claim to consolidate, which was fairly open to argument, but was disallowed (*z*).

principal point, *Jones v. Matthias*, 11 Jur. 504. And see *Rider v. Jones*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 329.

(*q*) *Kirkham v. Smith*, 1 Ves. Sen. 257; *Tuelon v. Curtis*, Yo. 610.

(*r*) *Smith v. Pilkington*, 1 De G. F. & J. 120.

(*s*) *Wilson v. Metcalfe*, 3 Madd. 45.

(*t*) *Snagg v. Frisell*, 3 J. & L. 383.

(*u*) *Loftus v. Swift*, 2 Sch. & L. 657; *Cotterell v. Stratton*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 295.

(*x*) *Norton v. Cooper*, 5 De G. M. & G. 728.

(*y*) *Re Watts, Smith v. Watts*, 22 Ch. D. 1, C. A.

(*z*) *Bird v. Wenn*, 33 Ch. D. 215. But see *Squires v. Pardoe*, 66 L. T. 243, C. A.

CHAP. LIV.

Death of mortgagee before payment of costs.

When a mortgagee, being liable to costs, dies before payment, and his executors bring a new action for foreclosure without reviving, they cannot recover any costs in the second action unless they submit to pay the testator's costs in the first suit (a).

General costs allowed.

Although, where a mortgagee, by his misconduct or mismanagement, has increased the costs of an action for foreclosure or redemption, he will be fixed with such additional costs, he will, as a rule, be allowed his general costs of the action (b).

The mortgagee is entitled to general costs, notwithstanding that rests are directed (c), if any sum was due when the action was brought (d), and notwithstanding an over-statement of account, or extending his claim too far, or a refusal to furnish accounts (e).

Mortgagee becoming insolvent.

Where a mortgagee would, if solvent, have been fixed with any costs, if he become insolvent and so unable to pay, he shall not receive his general costs (f).

General costs disallowed where gross misconduct of mortgagees.

In a case where, in a redemption action, the mortgagee charged the mortgagor with excessive amounts, so that, in making the inquiry as to what was due from the mortgagor, great delay and expense was incurred before the account could be taken, the mortgagee was not only made to pay the costs occasioned by his conduct, but was disallowed his general costs from his answer (g).

No review of costs after decree.

Where the original decree has directed the costs of the mortgagee to be taxed and paid to him, it seems that he will be entitled to his costs without exception as to any part of the cause, though it appears at the hearing on further directions, that the debt was paid off before the commencement of the suit and that he has set up an improper defence (h).

The objection to the form of decree must be made at the hearing, for the Court will not, on grounds which might then have been urged, review the taxation (i).

(a) *Long v. Storie*, 9 Ha. 542.

(b) *Whitfield v. Parfitt*, 4 De G. & S. 240. See *Cowdry v. Day*, 5 Jur. N. S. 1200.

(c) As to taking accounts with rests, see *post*, pp. 1207 *et seq.*

(d) *Barlow v. Gains*, 23 Beav. 244.

(e) *Norton v. Cooper*, 5 De G. M. & G. 728; *Cotterell v. Stratton*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 295, L. O. & J.; *Cotterell v. Finney*, L. R. 9 Ch. A. 551; *Leftus v.*

Swift, 2 Sch. & L. 657.

(f) *Rider v. Jones*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 335.

(g) *Detillin v. Gale*, 7 Ves. 586.

(h) *Binnington v. Harwood*, T. & R. 477; *Wilson v. Metcalfe*, 1 Russ. 530; *Quarrell v. Beckford*, 1 Madd. 269; *Barlow v. Gains*, 23 Beav. 239, 244; *Montgomery v. Calland*, 14 Sim. 79.

(i) *Price v. M'Beth*, 10 Jur. N. S. 579; *Gilbert v. Golding*, 2 Anst. 442.

If, however, the question how costs are to be paid has been reserved by the judgment, the Court has power to order the mortgagee to pay the costs, if it appear that his claim was not well founded (*k*). CHAP. LIV.
Exception where costs are reserved.

Where payment is alleged, the usual course is to reserve the costs until the result of the account is certified, and, to save the expense of coming to the Court on further consideration, a direction that the mortgagee shall pay the costs if the amount due has been paid or does not exceed a tender, may be added to the decree at the hearing (*l*).

In some cases the costs are set off against the amount due to the mortgagee (*m*). Set off of costs.

A claim that the mortgagee should be fixed with the costs should be included in the original inquiry, for the Court will not attend afterwards to evidence upon the subject (*n*).

But a mortgagor will lose his right to costs which he claims against the mortgagee, if, upon an action for redemption and containing charges of oppression and misconduct, and praying that he may be fixed with the costs of the suit, the mortgagor consents to an immediate decree for an account reserving costs, but without making the special circumstances of the case a part of the reference to chambers (*o*). When mortgagor may lose his right to costs.

ii.—Costs of and incident to Actions for Foreclosure or Redemption.—The mortgagee is entitled to the costs originally falling on himself of and incident to an action for redemption or foreclosure. This includes the costs of his trustee made defendant (*p*); also the costs relating to another estate which the mortgagor has wrongfully included in his suit for redemption (*q*); and also, where two mortgagees are entitled in different proportions to the mortgage money, and one of the mortgagees is made a defendant, the costs of the latter must be paid (*r*).

The mortgagor, on redemption, must also pay the costs of all persons claiming under the mortgagee, although the mortgagee Costs of persons claiming under mortgagee.

(*k*) *Ashworth v. Lord*, 36 Ch. D. 545, 551.

(*l*) *Hosken v. Simcock*, 11 Jur. N. S. 477.

(*m*) *Wheaton v. Graham*, 24 Beav. 483; *Conodry v. Day*, 1 Giff. 316; *Banks v. Whittall*, 1 De G. & S. 541; *West v. Jones*, 1 Sim. N. S. 218.

(*n*) *Dunstan v. Patterson*, 2 Ph. 341; *Wright v. Jones*, C. P. Coop. 493.

(*o*) *Dunstan v. Patterson*, 2 Ph. 341.

(*p*) *Browne v. Lockhart*, 10 Sim. 420,

428.

(*q*) *Batchelor v. Middleton*, 6 Ha. 75,

86.

(*r*) *Davenport v. James*, 7 Ha. 249.

CHAP. LIV.

be carried by the mortgagee into settlement (s). And, upon this principle, in cases not falling within the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (t), he must pay the costs of the proceedings in chambers, and of the petition, to establish the devisee or infant heir of the mortgagee a trustee within the Trustee Acts (u), and the costs of the conveyance from such devisee or heir, or a vesting order in lieu thereof (x).

Costs of assignment of mortgage.

So the additional costs caused by an assignment by a second mortgagee of his mortgage, pending a foreclosure suit by the first mortgagee, will fall on the estate; though otherwise as to the extra costs in such suit occasioned by the assignment by the first mortgagee after institution of the suit, such assignment being of such a nature as to make the suit wholly inefficient (y).

Judgment creditor of mortgagee.

So, also, a judgment creditor of a mortgagee claiming a sale of the mortgaged property stands in the place of a mortgagee in respect of the right to costs. Thus, where an equity of redemption was vested in trustees for sale to pay off the mortgage and pay the surplus to the mortgagor, and a sale of the property was directed at the instance of a judgment creditor of the mortgagee, it was held that the creditor was entitled to be paid his debt and costs in priority to the mortgagor and mortgagee and all other parties, except the trustees for sale (z).

Paramount title.

A mortgagee will not be allowed his costs against a party claiming by a paramount title (a).

Redemption of two properties.

If a mortgagor, in a redemption suit instituted to redeem two distinct mortgages upon different estates which have become vested in the same person, is held entitled to redeem one only, the mortgagee will be allowed to throw the whole of his costs of suit upon the latter estate, though the mortgagor sue *in forma pauperis* (b).

Foreclosure of two properties.

Where a mortgagee brings an action to foreclose two mortgages of two separate properties, if the mortgages are not liable to be consolidated (c), the mortgagee's costs will not be charged

(s) *Wetherell v. Collins*, 3 Madd. 255; *Bartle v. Wilkin*, 8 Sim. 298; *Burden v. Oldaker*, 1 Coll. 105.

(t) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 30, *ante*, p. 841.

(u) *Exp. Ommaney*, 10 Sim. 228; *King v. Smith*, 6 Ha. 473.

(x) *Exp. Cant*, 10 Ves. 554. See the Trustee Act, 1893, *post*, p. 1420.

(y) *Coles v. Forrest*, 10 Beav. 552.

(z) *Merriman v. Bonnor*, 10 Jur. N. S. 534; *Ellison v. Wright*, 3 Russ. 458.

(a) *Shackleton v. Shackleton*, 2 S. & St. 242.

(b) *Batchelor v. Middleton*, 6 Ha. 86.

(c) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 17, *ante*, p. 865.

as a whole against each estate, but must be rateably apportioned between the two mortgages (*d*). CHAP. LIV.

Where a *mesne* mortgagee whose mortgage comprises all the lands, parts of which are charged with prior and subsequent mortgages, has brought his action for redemption and foreclosure, and a decree for sale and apportionment of the proceeds of the whole of the lands has been made with consent of all parties, the costs of each mortgagee will be paid out of the sum apportioned in respect of the estate charged with his mortgage, and not out of the general fund (*e*). Apportionment of costs between several funds.

The mortgagee will be allowed the costs of taking out administration to the mortgagor, as principal creditor (*f*), or to an incumbrancer under the will of the mortgagor, as a necessary party to foreclosure (*g*). Costs of administration.

A mortgagee was held entitled to the costs of an adjournment to the judge, where the point raised was arguable, though it was decided against him (*h*). Costs of adjournment to judge.

So where a mortgagee appeals from the decision of the Court below, he will be allowed to add his costs of the appeal to his mortgage charge if his appeal is successful (*i*). Costs of appeal by mortgagee.

The owner of a share of an estate and his incumbrancers have but one set of costs, which are received by the first incumbrancer (*k*). And where a solicitor appears for several persons interested in a mortgage, he can only charge for one copy of the mortgage deed (*l*). Where only one set of costs is allowed.

Where, in a suit by a debenture holder on behalf of himself and other debenture holders against the company and the trustees of a deed to recover payment of the debentures, a receiver had been appointed, and ultimately the property was sold for an amount insufficient to pay the principal and interest of the debentures, and costs, charges and expenses, it was held that the proceeds of sale must be applied in the following order:—first, in payment of the plaintiff's costs of the realization of the property, including costs of an abortive attempt to sell; Costs in debenture holder's action.

(*d*) *De Caux v. Skipper*, 31 Ch. D. 636, C. A.

(*e*) *Lee v. Lockhart*, 10 Beav. 320.

(*f*) *Ramadan v. Langley*, 2 Vern. 536; *Lomax v. Hide*, 2 Vern. 185.

(*g*) *Hunt v. Fournes*, 9 Ves. 70.

(*h*) *Re Watts, Smith v. Watts*, 22 Ch. D. 1, C. A.

(*i*) *Addison v. Cox*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 76. See *Henry v. Ryan*, 1 Knapp, 388, P. C.

(*k*) *Remnant v. Hood*, 27 Beav. 613; *Equitable Life Assurance Co. v. Fuller*, 7 Jur. N. S. 307; *Ward v. Yates*, 1 Dr. & S. 80.

(*l*) *Re Wade*, 17 Ch. D. 348.

CHAP. LIV.

next, in payment of the balance due to the receiver for the remuneration and expenses, including his costs of the suit; then, in payment of the costs of the trustees of the deed; then, in payment of the plaintiff's costs of the suit; and the balance to be applied in payment of the amount due on the debentures (*m*).

Where a debenture holder brought an action on behalf of himself and all other debenture holders to enforce the security and settle priorities, and it was found that the debentures ranked in order of date, so that there would be no money to satisfy the plaintiff's debenture, it was held that he was entitled to his general costs, the action being for the benefit of all the debenture holders (*n*).

Costs of dis-
claiming
defendants.

With respect to the costs in a foreclosure suit of a defendant who disclaims, the rules are established (*o*) that if such defendant shows that he never had and never claimed any interest, or, having an interest, that he had disclaimed, or offered to disclaim, before the institution of the suit, he is entitled to his costs (*p*). But if, having an interest, he neither disclaims nor offers to disclaim till he puts in his defence, he is not entitled (*q*). These rules prevail, though the plaintiff never applied to the defendant to disclaim prior to the institution of the suit.

Inquiry as
to claims.

The prudent course, however, for a mortgagee before making an incumbrancer a party, is to inquire of him whether he claims any interest, and so to give him an opportunity of disclaiming before any costs are incurred (*r*).

Rule where
defendant is
properly
made a party.

Where, however, a person is properly made a party in the first instance, as having an interest in the mortgage property, the plaintiff is not obliged to make any application to him in order to ascertain whether he claims an interest or not, but is entitled to a disclaimer from him if he claims no interest in the subject-matter of the suit (*s*).

(*m*) *Batten v. Wedgwood Coal and Iron Co.*, 28 Ch. D. 317.

(*n*) *Carrick v. Wigan Tramways Co.*, W. N. (1893) 98.

(*o*) *Ford v. Earl of Chesterfield*, 16 Beav. 516.

(*p*) *Teed v. Carruthers*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 31; *Long v. Storis*, 9 Ha. 542; *Broughton v. Key*, W. N. (1882) 3; *Earl of Cork v. Russell*, L. R. 13 Eq. 210.

(*q*) *Cash v. Belcher*, 1 Ha. 310; *Appleby v. Duke*, 1 Ph. 272; *Grigg v. Sturgis*, 5 Ha. 93; *Gabriel v. Sturgis*, 5 Ha. 97; *Ohrley v. Jenkins*, 1 De G. & S. 543.

(*r*) *Hiorns v. Holtom*, 16 Beav. 259; *Gurney v. Jackson*, 1 Sm. & G. 97; *Day v. Gudgeon*, 2 Ch. D. 209.

(*s*) *Maxwell v. Wightwick*, L. R. 3 Eq. 210. See *Tipping v. Pincer*, 1 Ha. 405; *Talbot v. Kemshead*, 4 K. & J. 93.

As a general rule, a defendant who puts in a defence instead of a simple disclaimer will not be allowed his costs (t).

CHAP. LIV.

So where an assignee of the mortgagor put in an answer in a foreclosure suit, stating that if he had been applied to he would have disclaimed or released his interest, he was not allowed his costs (u).

Disclaiming
defendant
putting in
defence.

A trustee who had always refused to act being made a party to a foreclosure suit, appeared and put in an answer in which he disclaimed and stated that he never had claimed, setting out a correspondence which showed that he had always refused to act; he was allowed his full costs, on the ground that the bill might have stated circumstances showing that a simple disclaimer would have been sufficient (x).

In one case a devisee of the mortgagor who had not accepted the devise was held entitled to his costs as a person who had never had or claimed any interest at or since the commencement of the suit, though he had not formally disclaimed (y). But in other cases it was held that a devisee will not be entitled to his costs unless he has formally disclaimed or released his interest before suit (z), or put in a disclaimer after suit asking to be dismissed without costs (a).

Formal dis-
claimer
generally
necessary.

Where an equitable mortgagee, being made a defendant to an action for foreclosure, wrote to the plaintiff's solicitor stating that he was willing to transfer his interest and disclaim, but did not execute any transfer, nor offer to be dismissed without costs, it was held that there was no sufficient disclaimer to entitle him to costs (b).

What will
amount to
sufficient
disclaimer.

The rule has been relaxed in some cases though a defendant has not actually disclaimed. So where the party shows that the demand would have been satisfied had it been made before the commencement of the action, the Court will allow him his costs (c); or where, before action brought, he had consented to join in conveying the estate (d).

It seems, however, that, as a general rule, an offer to disclaim will be sufficient; so that where a person who is made a defen-

Offer to dis-
claim before
defence.

(t) *Bradley v. Borlase*, 7 W. R. 125.
See *Phillips v. Davies*, 7 Jur. 52;
Clarke v. Toleman, 42 L. J. Ch. 23;
Lewin v. Jones, 53 L. J. Ch. 1011.

(u) *Ford v. White*, 16 Beav. 120.
See *Lock v. Lomas*, 15 Jur. 162.

(x) *Bambou v. Davies*, 11 Beav. 369.

(y) *Higgins v. Frankis*, 15 Jur. 277.

(z) *Furber v. Furber*, 30 Beav. 523.

See *Gray v. Adamson*, 35 Beav. 383.

(a) *Davis v. Whitmore*, 28 Beav. 617.

See *Maxwell v. Wightwick*, L. R. 3 Eq.

210; *Greene v. Foster*, 22 Ch. D. 566.

(b) *Roberts v. Hughes*, L. R. 6 Eq.
20.

(c) *Per Wigram, V.-C.*, in *Gabriel v.*

Sturgis, 5 Ha. 97, 101.

(d) *Thompson v. Kendal*, 9 Sim. 397.

CHAP. LIV.

dant offers, immediately on being served, to disclaim, and to submit to dismissal of the action against him without costs, but is compelled to put in a defence and is brought to a hearing, he will be allowed all his costs subsequent to such offer (e).

Where a defendant wrote to the plaintiff's solicitor offering to consent to a dismissal of the action without costs, and to execute a release at the plaintiff's expense, but the plaintiff brought the action to trial setting up a claim, which failed, against that defendant for delivery of certain deeds, it was held that the letter contained a proper offer to disclaim, and that the disclaiming defendant was entitled to his whole costs (f).

Where a defendant parted with his interest after action brought, and wrote offering to disclaim and to be dismissed without costs, but was brought to a hearing, he was allowed his costs (g).

Submission
to dismissal.

It seems that the disclaimer, or offer to disclaim, need not expressly submit to dismissal of the action without costs (h). But if the disclaiming defendant appears to claim costs, they will not be allowed (i).

General rule
applies to
puisne incum-
brancers, &c.

The general rule, that a person who has an interest at the time of the action brought, and is therefore properly made a party, will not be allowed his costs, unless he disclaims, or offers to disclaim, is enforced against defendants who are subsequent incumbrancers (k), and even against prior equitable incumbrancers, of whose incumbrances there was no notice (l), and against their respective trustees in bankruptcy and such trustees and devisees of the mortgagor (m).

Trustee in
bankruptcy.

A trustee in bankruptcy who does not disclaim will be refused costs (n).

Trustee to
bar dower.

So a trustee to bar dower of the mortgagor is properly made a party, and will not be allowed his costs against the mortgagee (o).

(e) *Davis v. Whitmore*, 28 Beav. 617; *Gowing v. Mowbury*, 11 W. R. 851; *Jones v. Rhind*, 17 W. R. 1091; *Dillon v. Ashwin*, 10 Jur. N. S. 119; *Talbott v. Kemshead*, 4 K. & J. 93; *Greene v. Foster*, 22 Ch. D. 566.

(f) *Day v. Gudgen*, 2 Ch. D. 209; *Greene v. Foster*, *sup.*

(g) *Dillon v. Ashwin*, 12 W. R. 366.

(h) *Lock v. Lomas*, 15 Jur. 162.

(i) *Bradley v. Borlass*, 7 W. R. 125; *Maxwell v. Wightwick*, L. R. 3 Eq. 210.

(k) *Joyce v. De Moleyns*, 3 Dr. & War. 698, 701.

(l) *Gabriel v. Sturgis*, 5 Ha. 97.

(m) *Appleby v. Duke*, 1 Ph. 272; *Hughes v. Kelly*, 3 Dr. & War. 495; *Clarke v. Wilmot*, 1 Ph. 276; *Ohrley v. Jenkins*, 1 De G. & S. 543. But see *Gibson v. Nicol*, 9 Beav. 403; *Dalton v. Lambert*, 15 L. J. Ch. 208; and *Silcock v. Roynon*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 376; *sed qu. these.*

(n) *Appleby v. Duke*, *sup.*

(o) *Horrocks v. Ledsam*, 2 Coll. 208.

iii.—**Extraordinary Costs, Charges, and Expenses.**—Where the mortgagee claims any extra costs or extraordinary expenses, over and above the costs of and properly incident to the suit, the decree must contain an inquiry as to costs, charges, and expenses, other than costs of suit (*p*), and a sufficient ground must be laid for such inquiry (*q*); and where such inquiry has been omitted, it will not be supplied on further consideration or on petition (*r*).

CHAP. LIV.

Inquiry as to extra costs.

All just allowances, however, are made without any direction in the decree (*s*). The costs of an action were included under the term "just allowances," especially as the costs of actions were covered by the terms of the deed (*t*).

Just allowances.

The mortgagee's costs of and incident to the negotiation of the loan, the investigation of the mortgagor's title, and the preparation and execution of the security, are usually deducted out of the mortgage money.

Costs of negotiation, investigation of title, &c.

If this is not done, and if such costs remain unpaid when an action for foreclosure or redemption is brought, it is not free from doubt how far the mortgagee will be entitled to payment of those costs as a condition for redemption by the mortgagor.

In a recent case (*u*), where an action was brought to foreclose an equitable mortgage by deposit of deeds accompanied by a memorandum, whereby the mortgagor agreed to execute a legal mortgage of all his "estate and interest" in the premises comprised in the deeds, the following costs were allowed to the mortgagee:—Costs of correspondence with a surety who had given a promissory note for part of the debt; costs of correspondence with the mortgagor as to the legal mortgage which the mortgagor refused to execute.

But in the case referred to the Court refused to allow the costs of investigating the mortgagor's title on the ground that the contract was merely for a mortgage of such estate and interest as the mortgagor had. But it would seem very doubtful whether in any case, in the absence of special agree-

(*p*) *Merriman v. Bonner*, 10 Jur. N. S. 534; *Tipton Green Co. v. Tipton Moat Co.*, 7 Ch. D. 192.

(*q*) *Merriman v. Bonner*, *sup.*; *Bolinsbroke v. Hinde*, 25 Ch. D. 795.

(*r*) *Horlock v. Smith*, 1 Coll. 287, 298; *Barron v. Lancefield*, 17 Beav. 208.

(*s*) Ord. XXXIII. r. 8.

(*t*) *Blackford v. Davis*, L. R. 4 Ch. A. 304. And see *Wilkes v. Saunton*, 7 Ch. D. 188; *Rees v. Metropolitan Board of Works*, 14 Ch. D. 372; *Bolinsbroke v. Hinde*, 25 Ch. D. 795.

(*u*) *National Provincial Bank of England v. Games*, 31 Ch. D. 582, C. A.

CHAP. LIV.

ment, costs of investigating the mortgagor's title can be charged against the property (*x*).

If the mortgage goes off, it is clear that the mortgagee cannot maintain an action against the mortgagor for recovery of the costs of negotiating the loan or investigating the title (*y*).

Costs of
extraneous
matters not
allowed.

A mortgagee will not be allowed costs incurred by him for matters not necessarily connected with the mortgage security. So, the Court disallowed the costs attending the preparation and execution of a deed executed by the mortgagee by way of declaration of trust to a person who supplied the money for the advance (*z*).

Perfecting
equitable
charge.

The costs of perfecting an equitable mortgage by conveyance or surrender falls on the mortgagor (*a*).

Remuneration
for personal
trouble.

A mortgagee cannot, as a general rule, charge for his personal trouble, even though there be a special stipulation to that effect (*b*).

Costs of
receiver.

There may, however, be an agreement between the mortgagee and mortgagor for the appointment of a receiver which will be allowed (*c*); or, in the absence of such an agreement, the mortgagee may, if the mortgage is by deed, appoint a receiver under his statutory power so soon as his power of sale has become exerciseable, and the remuneration agreed upon or prescribed by statute will be allowed in account as part of the mortgagee's costs, charges, and expenses (*d*).

Mortgagee
receiver.

But, if the mortgagee appoints himself as receiver, he will not generally be allowed any remuneration, and he will be liable to account as mortgagee in possession (*e*).

Solicitor-
mortgagee.

A fortiori, the commission will be disallowed where the mortgagee, being the mortgagor's solicitor, prepared the mortgage and inserted therein the stipulation for a commission (*f*).

On the same principle, mortgagees who became trustees of a creditor's deed, and appointed one of their number to receive the rents, were not allowed to add his commission to the mortgage debt (*g*).

Auctioneer
mortgagee.

Also where a mortgagee with power of sale was member of a firm of auctioneers who sold for him, it was held that they

(*x*) *Gregg v. Slater*, 22 Beav. 314.

(*y*) See *ante*, p. 49.

(*z*) *Re Martin*, 5 Bing. 160.

(*a*) *Pries v. Bury*, L. R. 16 Eq. 153, n.

(*b*) See *post*, p. 1204.

(*c*) See *ante*, p. 916.

(*d*) *Chambers v. Goldwin*, 9 Ves. 254.

(*e*) *French v. Baron*, 2 Atk. 120; *Scott v. Brest*, 2 T. R. 238.

(*f*) *Eyre v. Hughes*, 2 Ch. D. 148.

See *Comyns v. Comyns*, Ir. R. 5 Eq. 683.

(*g*) *Nicholson v. Tutin*, 3 K. & J. 159.

were not entitled to commission (*h*), unless the sale was under the direction of the Court (*i*). CHAP. LIV.

But under the custom of trade, an agreement by the mortgagor of ships for the mortgagee to make sales under the security at a rate exceeding the rate of brokerage is valid (*i*), and mortgagees of ships and cargoes selling under an order of the Court will be allowed the ordinary commission of brokers acting under its authority (*i*). Custom of trade.

Where also a mortgagee purchased the equity of redemption, reserving to the mortgagor a right of re-purchase within a limited time, to be barred if the profits in any half-year were not sufficient to pay the interest, in ascertaining the profits, the mortgagee was not allowed to charge commission (*k*). Similarly, a mortgagee in possession of the business of a newspaper was not allowed to charge credit prices for printing (*l*). Sale with right of re-purchase.

Much discussion has arisen on the question to what extent a mortgagee of a West India estate may charge commission. The result of the different cases appears to be that, whilst he is mortgagee out of possession, he may stipulate for the consignments of the produce, and charge commission on the net produce as a compensation for his trouble (*m*). But without such a stipulation in the mortgage deed, the Court will not appoint him the consignee whilst he is out of possession (*n*). But when he is in possession, he stands in precisely the same situation as a mortgagee in possession in England; and consequently, although, if he employ another person as consignee, commission may be charged, yet if he chooses to be consignee himself, he has no commission (*o*). West India estates.

The rule, which prohibits payments or allowances to the mortgagee, is not affected by the repeal of the usury laws (*p*), and is still rigidly adhered to by the Courts with a view of preventing oppressive bargains (*q*). Rule not affected by repeal of usury laws.

(*h*) *Mathison v. Clarke*, 3 Drew. 3; *Broad v. Selfe*, 9 Jur. N. S. 885.

(*i*) *Arnold v. Garner*, 2 Ph. 231.

(*k*) *Ogden v. Battams*, 1 Jur. N. S. 791.

(*l*) *Robertson v. Norris*, 1 Giff. 428, 436.

(*m*) *Bunbury v. Winter*, 1 J. & W. 255; *Faulkner v. Daniel*, 3 Ha. 218.

(*n*) *Cox v. Champneys*, Jac. 576.

(*o*) *Leith v. Irvine*, 1 My. & K. 277; *Chambers v. Goldwin*, 9 Ves. 271. See

Forrest v. Kloos, 2 Mer. 68; *Bertrand v. Davies*, 31 Beav. 429; *Sayers v. Whitfield*, 1 Knapp, 133; *Cox v. Champneys*, *sup.*

(*p*) *Croft v. Graham*, 2 De G. & S. 155, 161; *Earl of Aylesford v. Morris*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 484; *James v. Kerr*, 40 Ch. D. 449, 459; *Mainland v. Upjohn*, 41 Ch. D. 126, 138.

(*q*) *Broad v. Selfe*, 9 Jur. N. S. 885; *Barrett v. Hartley*, L. R. 2 Eq. 789; *Eyre v. Hughes*, 2 Ch. D. 148.

CHAP. LIV.

Profit-costs
of solicitor-
mortgagee.

Upon the principle that a mortgagee cannot be allowed to charge for his personal trouble, it has till recently been a settled rule, that a solicitor-mortgagee could not charge profit-costs, whether he acted for himself alone (*r*) or for himself and a co-mortgagee (*s*). But if the solicitor-mortgagee was in partnership with others, it would seem that the proper course was that the amount of the profit-costs should be ascertained, and that the partners, other than the mortgagee, should be allowed the like shares in such profit-costs as they would be entitled to in respect of the general business of the firm (*t*).

But now by the Mortgagees' Legal Costs Act, 1895 (*u*), it is enacted as follows:—

Charges, &c.
where mort-
gage is made
with solicitor.

Sect. 2.—“(1.) Any solicitor to whom, either alone or jointly with any other person, a mortgage is made, or the firm of which such solicitor is a member, shall be entitled to receive for all business transacted and acts done by such solicitor or firm in negotiating the loan, deducing and investigating the title to the property, and preparing and completing the mortgage, all such usual professional charges and remuneration as he or they would have been entitled to receive if such mortgage had been made to a person not a solicitor, and such person had retained and employed such solicitor or firm to transact such business and do such acts; and such charges and remuneration shall accordingly be recoverable from the mortgagor.

“(2.) This section applies only to mortgages made after the commencement of this Act.”

Right of
solicitor with
whom mort-
gage is made
to recover
costs, &c.

Sect. 3.—“(1.) Any solicitor to or in whom either alone or jointly with any other person any mortgage is made or is vested by transfer or transmission, or the firm of which such solicitor is a member, shall be entitled to receive and recover from the person on whose behalf the same is done, or to charge against the security for all business transacted and acts done by such solicitor or firm subsequent and in relation to such mortgage, or to the security thereby created or the property therein comprised, all such usual professional charges and remuneration as he or they would have been entitled to receive if such mortgage had been made to and had remained vested in a person not a solicitor, and such person had retained and employed such solicitor or firm to transact such business and do such acts; and accordingly no such mortgage shall be redeemed except upon payment of such charges and remuneration.

“(2.) This section applies to mortgages made and business transacted and acts done either before or after the commencement of this Act.”

(*r*) *Field v. Hopkins*, 44 Ch. D. 524; *Eyre v. Wynn-Mackenzie*, (1894) 1 Ch. 218.

(*s*) *Re Doody, Fisher v. Doody*, (1893) 1 Ch. 129. See *Re Wallis, Exp. Lickorish*, 25 Q. B. D. 176; *Stone v. Lickorish*, (1891) 2 Ch. 363.

(*t*) *Re Doody, sup.*; *Eyre v. Wynn-Mackenzie, sup.* See *Welby v. Still*, W. N. (1893) 91; *Re Rollit*, W. N. (1893) 195.

(*u*) 58 & 59 Vict. c. 26. This Act came into operation on the 6th of July, 1895.

Sect. 4. "In this Act the expression 'mortgage' includes any charge on any property for securing money or money's worth."

CHAP. LIV.

Definition of mortgage.

Act not retrospective.

Where a solicitor-mortgagee had, by a judgment given before the passing of this Act, been disallowed profit-costs, and applied after the passing of the Act for an extension of time for an appeal on the ground that sect. 3 of the Act is not retrospective, it was held that the Act was not intended to interfere with judgments which had already been given, and extension of the time was refused (*x*).

A mortgagee will generally be allowed all costs and expenses reasonably and properly incurred by him in maintaining or defending his rights or in enforcing his security.

Costs of supporting or enforcing security.

The mortgagee will be allowed the costs of all actions of ejectment or for the recovery of land or otherwise properly incurred (*y*), including costs against a surety (*z*).

Costs of ejectment.

But an equitable mortgagee was not allowed the costs of an unsuccessful attempt to defend an action at law for the recovery of the premises (*a*).

In an action for foreclosure, the mortgagee will generally be allowed the costs of an action previously instituted by him in the Queen's Bench Division in which he has recovered judgment for the amount of the debt (*b*). This rule was questioned in one case (*c*); and in another case (*d*) a mortgagee's costs of an action on a collateral bond given by the mortgagor were disallowed. But the rule appears to be now settled.

Costs of action on covenant or bond.

In a suit for redemption by a second mortgagee, the first mortgagee was allowed extra costs incurred by him in a suit for foreclosing the mortgagor (*e*).

Costs of foreclosure allowed in redemption suit.

The mortgagee of a fund in Court is entitled to the costs of obtaining a stop order, at least if he had authority under the mortgage deed to obtain the order (and it would seem to be the same if otherwise); though such expenses are not allowed by the taxing master under the common order to tax the costs of the mortgagee, but must be specially mentioned in the order for taxation (*f*).

Costs of stop order.

(*x*) *Eyre v. Wynn-Mackenzie*, (1896) 1 Ch. 135, C. A.

(*y*) *Merriman v. Bonner*, 10 Jur. N. S. 534; *Ellison v. Wright*, 3 Russ. 458. See *National Provincial Bank of England v. Games*, 31 Ch. D. 582, at p. 592, C. A.

(*z*) *Ellison v. Wright*, *sup.*

(*a*) *Dryden v. Frost*, 3 My. & Cr. 670.

(*b*) *National Provincial Bank of England v. Games*, 31 Ch. D. 582. See *Ellison v. Wright*, 3 Russ. 458.

(*c*) *Merriman v. Bonner*, 10 Jur. N. S. 534.

(*d*) *Lewis v. John*, 9 Sim. 336.

(*e*) *Merriman v. Bonner*, *sup.*; *Lomas v. Hide*, 2 Vern. 185.

(*f*) *Waddilove v. Taylor*, 6 Ha. 307. See *Grimsby v. Webster*, 8 W. R. 725.

CHAP. LIV.

In practice, questions of this kind are usually precluded by the expense of obtaining a stop order being retained out of the loan.

Costs of
defending
actions.

A mortgagee will not be allowed the costs of appearing in and defending an action instituted between persons claiming an interest in the equity of redemption, but in which his interest as mortgagee is not affected (*g*).

Costs of
unnecessary
actions.

Nor will the costs be allowed of an unsuccessful suit by the mortgagee for specific performance when selling under his power of sale, which fails from the misdescription of the premises in his contract (*h*); nor the costs of defending his title to the mortgage debt against a third party (*i*); nor the costs of an unsuccessful defence to a suit against the mortgagee by the tenant for life to set aside the mortgage as against the remainderman (*k*).

Costs of
surety.

The mortgagor cannot get back the property until he has paid his surety all costs properly incurred by his surety (*k*).

Surety de-
fending
action.

A surety cannot charge, as against a puisne mortgagee, the costs of defending an action by the mortgagee whose security he has paid off, inasmuch as such costs are only a simple contract debt (*l*).

General
expenses of
maintaining
security.

The mortgagee (*m*) will be allowed all costs necessarily incurred by him in maintaining the title to the estate (*n*), renewing leases (*o*), or in establishing his security (*p*), in preserving the estate by payment of head rent (*q*), or for the redemption of land tax (*r*), or in perfecting his title, as by payment of the fines and fees upon admission to copyholds, and the costs of procuring a necessary Act of Parliament (*s*), also fines in a building society mortgage (*t*), discounts on the renewal of bills of exchange secured by the mortgage discounts (*u*), also the costs of his solicitor on paying off the mortgage,

(*g*) *Doe d. Pearson v. Roe*, 6 Bing. 447.

(*h*) *Pears v. Ceoley*, 15 Beav. 209.

(*i*) *Parker v. Watkins*, 2 John. 133.

(*k*) *Parker v. Watkins*, *sup.*; *Re Keane*, L. R. 12 Eq. 115, 123.

(*l*) *South v. Blozham*, 2 H. & M. 457.

(*m*) As to what expenses of repairs, &c. will be allowed to a mortgagee in possession, see *post*, p. 1205.

(*n*) *Godfrey v. Watson*, 3 Atk. 518; *Langton v. Langton*, 7 De G. M. & G. 30; *Phéni v. Gillan*, 6 Ha. 1; *Sandon v. Hooper*, 6 Beav. 246.

(*o*) *Lacan v. Mertins*, 3 Atk. 4;

Manlove v. Bale, 2 Vern. 84; *Woolley v. Drag*, 2 Anst. 551.

(*p*) *Pelly v. Wathen*, 1 De G. M. & G. 16.

(*q*) *Burrowes v. Molloy*, 2 J. & L. 521.

(*r*) *Knowles v. Chapman*, Seton, 5th ed. 1639.

(*s*) *Ledger v. Grooms*, Seton, 5th ed. 1639.

(*t*) *Provident, &c. Soc. v. Greenhill*, 9 Ch. D. 122. See *Pilkington v. Baker*, W. N. (1877) 210; *Parker v. Butcher*, L. R. 3 Eq. 762, M. R.

(*u*) *Fenton v. Blackwood*, L. R. 5 P. C. 167.

and making out a list of deeds (*x*), and the costs of an order for the delivery of the title deeds out of chambers, where they have been deposited in a regular suit for the administration of the mortgagee's estate (*y*), will be allowed to his executors; but where the mortgagees were executors and engaged in an administration suit, and the mortgagor had no notice of the suit nor of their character of executors, and the title deeds were afterwards, in pursuance of an order in the suit, deposited in the Master's office, the costs of getting the deeds out of the office on redemption were fixed on the mortgagees (*z*).

Interest is allowed on proper advances made by the mortgagee for the benefit or support of the estate and the security; thus, interest has been allowed upon fines paid for the renewal of leaseholds (*a*), or premiums on life policies (*b*), or sums expended in support of the title (*c*); or in the redemption of land tax (*d*); on costs paid under an indemnity (*e*); and on interest paid under a covenant to indemnify (*f*).

Interest on proper expenses.

If the mortgagor elect to be foreclosed, the mortgagee has no remedy against him for expenses incurred in maintaining the property in mortgage, such as payment of calls on shares mortgaged, nor for legal liabilities attached to the property, but from the time the former elects to redeem, the mortgagee becomes a trustee for him, and as such is entitled to be indemnified against all such expenses and liabilities (*g*).

A mortgagee is not entitled to interest on his taxed costs, unless they are directed to be added to his security, in which case the costs will carry interest at the rate of 4 per cent. per annum from the date of the taxing master's certificate, not from the date of the judgment (*h*).

Interest on costs.

Where a puisne incumbrancer takes proceedings which have the effect of securing a fund for the benefit of all the incumbrancers, his costs of such proceedings will be first paid out of the fund in priority to the charges of the other incumbrancers,

Preserving fund for benefit of all incumbrancers.

(*x*) *Wakefield v. Newbon*, 8 Jur. 735.
 (*y*) *Burden v. Oldaker*, 1 Coll. 105.
 (*z*) *Reed v. Freer*, 13 L. J. Ch. 417.
 (*a*) *Manlove v. Bale*, 2 Vern. 84;
Lacon v. Martins, 3 Atk. 4; *Woolley v. Drag*, 2 Anst. 551.
 (*b*) *Bellamy v. Brickenden*, 2 J. & H. 137; *Hodgson v. Hodgson*, 2 Keen, 704.
 (*c*) *Godfrey v. Watson*, 3 Atk. 518.
 (*d*) *Knowles v. Chapman*, Seton, 5th ed. 1639.

(*e*) *Wainman v. Bowker*, 8 Beav. 363.
 (*f*) *Executors of Fergus v. Gore*, 1 Sch. & L. 107.
 (*g*) *Phéné v. Gillan*, 5 Ha. 1;
Wroughton v. Turtle, 11 M. & W. 561;
Lawrance v. Boston, 7 Exch. 28; *Langton v. Langton*, 7 De G. M. & G. 30.
 (*h*) *Eardley v. Knight*, 41 Ch. D. 537, C. A. See *Lippard v. Ricketts*, L. R. 14 Eq. 291.

CHAP. LIV.

Costs of insurance.

the costs of such incumbrancers being added to their securities according to their respective priorities (*h*).

Questions often arise whether the mortgagee is entitled to insurance premiums as part of his expenses.

Premiums of fire insurance paid by the mortgagor, when the mortgagor is under no contract to insure, will not be allowed to the mortgagee whether in possession or not; for the mortgagee, insuring for his own benefit and not being liable to account for the insurance money, cannot charge the mortgage estate (*i*). But when insurance was authorized, premiums were allowed to the mortgagee, although he had insured in a mode different from the terms of the deed, but as nearly conformable thereto as circumstances would admit (*j*).

Even where there is a covenant to insure by the mortgagor, premiums paid by the mortgagee insuring without a power will not be allowed as against *puiſne* incumbrancers, as the sum secured prior to their charge ought not, in the absence of express stipulation, to be increased as against them (*k*).

Notwithstanding these authorities, fire insurance premiums were allowed under "just allowances" (*l*): as a covenant to insure runs with the land (*m*), and is a covenant affecting the thing demised or mortgaged (*n*), a mortgagee's insurance on buildings would always enure for the benefit of the estate, and consequently he ought, as against the mortgagor, to be allowed the premiums in all cases where there is a covenant by the mortgagor to insure (*o*).

Conv. Act,
1881.

The decisions above referred to are also subject to the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (*p*), under which a mortgagee has the power (unless negatived or limited by express declaration in the security), after any omission by the owner, to insure the premises, and add the premiums with interest to his security.

Premiums.

Premiums of life insurance due to an insurance office (being

(*h*) *Ford v. Earl of Chesterfield*, 21 Beav. 426. See *Wright v. Kirby*, 23 Beav. 463; *Batten, Proffitt, and Scott v. Dartmouth Harbour Commrs.*, 45 Ch. D. 612.

(*i*) *Dobson v. Land*, 4 De G. & S. 575; *Bellamy v. Brickenden*, 2 J. & H. 137; *Hodgson v. Hodgson*, 2 Keen, 704; *Brooke v. Stone*, 34 L. J. Ch. 251. But see 14 Jur. pt. 2, p. 221.

(*j*) *Dobson v. Land*, *sup.*

(*k*) *Brooke v. Stone*, *sup.*

(*l*) *Scholefield v. Lockwood*, 11 W. R. 555, reversed on other grounds, 9 Jur. N. S. 738, 1258.

(*m*) *Spencer's Case*, 5 Rep. 17.

(*n*) *Vernon v. Smith*, 5 B. & Ald. 1.

(*o*) *Dav. Conv.* 4th ed. Vol. II. pt. 2, p. 57.

(*p*) *Sect.* 19.

mortgagees), which the mortgagor has agreed but failed to pay, will be allowed the mortgagees (*g*); if the policy has actually been effected by the society in its own office (*r*); but, without a covenant, the amount paid cannot be recovered in action, although the amount may be added to the mortgage debt (*s*).

Mortgagees of a policy of life assurance will be allowed sums paid for premiums with interest at 4 per cent., and from the death of the tenant for life at 5 per cent. (*t*), for the six years before the certificate (*u*).

A mortgagee will generally be entitled to his costs of attempts to realize his security by the proper exercise of his powers and remedies, though such attempts prove ineffectual. Costs of realizing security.

Where, in an action for foreclosure brought by a first mortgagee, the second mortgagee paid a sum of money into Court in order to obtain an order for sale in lieu of foreclosure, it was held that the money was applicable to indemnify the mortgagee for his expenses of an abortive attempt to sell (*v*). Costs of abortive sale.

The costs of an abortive sale under the mortgage have been allowed without special order (*x*), even in a case where the sale went off from the dishonour of a bidder's cheque accepted by the auctioneer without inquiry as to his stability (*y*).

But a mortgagee will not be allowed the costs of his application for leave to bid at the sale of the mortgaged property (*z*). Costs of leave for mortgagee to bid.

- (*g*) *Earl Fitzwilliam v. Price*, 4 Jur. N. S. 889; *Brown v. Price*, 4 Jur. N. S. 882; *Scholefield v. Lockwood*, 9 Jur. N. S. 738. 137. See Seton on Decrees, 5th ed. p. 1638.
- (*r*) *Grey v. Ellison*, 1 Giff. 438. (v) *Corsellis v. Patman*, L. R. 4 Eq. 156.
- (*s*) *Brown v. Price*, *sup.* (x) *Webster v. Patteson*, W. N. (1882) 10.
- (*t*) *Gill v. Downing*, L. R. 17 Eq. 316. (y) *Farrer v. Lacey, Harland & Co.*, 31 Ch. D. 42.
- (*u*) *Bellamy v. Brickenden*, 2 J. & H. (z) *Exp. Williams*, 1 D. & C. 489.



SECTION V.

OF ACCOUNTS AGAINST MORTGAGEES IN POSSESSION.

Usual order
for account by
mortgagee in
possession.

i.—Mode of taking the Accounts generally.—Where a mortgagee has entered into possession (*d*), whether the action be brought by the mortgagor for redemption, or by the mortgagee for foreclosure, the usual order of the Court is that an account shall be taken of the rents and profits of the mortgaged hereditaments received by the mortgagee, or by any other person or persons for his use, or which, without his wilful default, might have been so received, and that the amount found due on the footing of such account be deducted from the amount found due to the mortgagee under his mortgage (*e*).

Wilful
default.

The mortgagee is subject to an account from the time he takes possession. The usual mode of taking accounts against the mortgagee in possession is to set the total amount of rents and profits received by, or found to be chargeable to, him against the whole amount due upon the mortgage debt, viz., in discharge successively of the interest of the mortgage debt, and of money advanced for costs and improvements, and then of the principal of the same moneys (*f*).

Interest in
arrear and
subsequent
sale.

Although interest is in arrear when possession is taken, if there has been a sale of part of the premises, the surplus proceeds of the sale, after payment of interest and costs, are applicable in discharge of an equivalent amount of the principal, and the accounts are continued in the ordinary course, but on the footing of the diminished principal (*g*).

Accounts
vexatiously
required.

Where the debt far exceeds the value of the property, and the accounts are useless, the mortgagor is still entitled to the accounts; but, that the mortgagee may fix him with the expense of the accounts if vexatiously asked, the order must be prefaced that the accounts are given at the request of the mortgagor (*h*).

Wilful
default.

A decree for wilful default is ordered against a mortgagee in possession, although there is no charge in the pleadings or

(*d*) The liabilities of a mortgagee in possession generally have been already considered *ante*, pp. 801 *et seq.*

(*e*) See Seton, 6th ed. p. 1620. See also *Brandon v. Brandon*, 10 W. R. 287.

(*f*) *Webb v. Rorke*, 2 Sch. & L. 661.

(*g*) *Thompson v. Hudson*, L. R. 10 Eq. 497.

(*h*) *Taylor v. Mostyn*, 25 Ch. D. 48, O. A.

proof at the trial (i): and this is said to be the only instance in which the Court directs an account in this form without a special case (k), although a purchaser, whose purchase has been set aside and ordered to stand as a security, is within the rule (l).

CHAP. LIV.

Such a decree extends to the proceeds of sale; but no question can be raised thereunder as to the validity of sale or the adequacy of price (m).

A judgment creditor in possession under an *elegit* is not, it seems, accountable for wilful default as between himself and other incumbrancers in respect of rents which he has permitted the owner to receive before any proceedings have been taken (n).

Liability of
judgment
creditor.

Whether in point of fact the mortgagee has been guilty of wilful default is a matter of inquiry on taking the account (o).

ii.—Account of Moneys received by Mortgagee.—A mortgagee in possession must account for the full amount of the rents and profits received by him or by his agent for his use (p).

Account of
rents and
profits.

The mortgagee must set out full and particular accounts of rents and profits received by him as mortgagee in possession (q). So, where in a redemption action the mortgagees brought in an account purporting to show their receipts in respect of the rents and profits of the mortgaged property, but which in fact only showed a lump sum received by them from their agent then deceased, it was held that the mortgagees were bound to set out a further account setting out full particulars of the amounts received (r).

Any rents or profits received by the mortgagee subsequent to the decree must be brought into account, although the decree does not expressly extend to future rents and profits (s). Where a mortgagee receives rents after the account has been taken, he must account on affidavit for the amount up to the time when the matter is finally settled (t).

Rents, &c.
received after
decree.

(i) *Mayer v. Murray*, 8 Ch. D. 424; explaining *Job v. Job*, 6 Ch. D. 562. See also *Williams v. Price*, 1 S. & St. 581.

(k) *Lord Kensington v. Bouverie*, 7 De G. M. & G. 134, 156.

(l) *Adams v. Swarder*, 2 De G. J. & S. 44.

(m) *Mayer v. Murray*, 8 Ch. D. 424.

(n) *Holton v. Lloyd*, 1 Moll. 30; *McDonnell v. Walsh*, 2 Dr. & War. 252; *O'Brien v. Mahon*, 2 Dr. & War. 306.

(o) *Noyes v. Pollock*, 30 Ch. D. 386, at p. 342.

(p) *Moroney v. O'Dea*, 1 Ba. & Be. 118; *Lord Trimleston v. Hamill*, 1 Ba. & Be. 377, 385.

(q) *Elmer v. Creasy*, L. R. 9 Ch. A. 69.

(r) *Noyes v. Pollock*, 30 Ch. D. 386, C. A.

(s) *Lord Penrhyn v. Hughes*, 5 Ves. 99, 106.

(t) *Oxonham v. Ellis*, 18 Beav. 593.

CHAP. LIV.

At what rate mortgagee is chargeable with rents.

A mortgagee who enters into receipt of the rents, accounts for rents according to the rate which has been reserved, and the rate at which the premises were let when he took possession will be taken to be the rate at which it was let during the whole time of his possession, unless the contrary is shown (*u*); and where a lease by the mortgagor to the mortgagee is set aside, the mortgagee will not be charged with more than the rent reserved in the lease, unless it is proved that a higher rent could have been obtained (*x*); and the rate reserved will be continued until the first payment after action brought, from which time a fair rent will be fixed by the Court (*y*); and generally the mortgagee cannot usually be charged with more than he has received, or according to the actual value of the land, unless it can be proved that, but for his gross default, mismanagement, or fraud, he might have received more (*z*). In taking the account, if the mortgagor prove the estate to have been let at a certain rent at any time during the mortgagee's possession, the onus will be thrown on the mortgagee to show that such was not the rent during the whole period of his possession (*a*).

Restrictive lease by mortgagee in possession.

Where mortgagees in possession, who were brewers, let the premises subject to a restrictive covenant by the tenant that he should take his supply of beer exclusively from them, it was held that the mortgagees must account for such additional rent as would have been receivable for the premises if there had been no restriction, but not for the profit made by the mortgagees by sale of beer to the tenant (*b*).

When an occupation rent is chargeable.

A mortgagee in actual occupation of the mortgaged property is liable to an occupation rent computed upon its full value (*c*); but a mortgagee will not be charged an increased occupation rent by reason of the value of the property having been increased by lasting improvements made by him, unless the expenses of such improvements are allowed to him (*d*). It seems that the Court will not in a redemption suit direct the chief clerk to charge the defendant with an occupation rent unless the plaintiff alleges that the defendant has been in the

(*u*) *Blacklock v. Barnes*, Sel. Ca. in Ch. 53; *Lord Trimleston v. Hamill*, 1 Ba. & Be. 377, 385.

(*x*) *Gubbins v. Creed*, 2 Sch. & L. 214.

(*y*) *Webb v. Rorke*, 2 Sch. & L. 661.

(*z*) *Wragg v. Denham*, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 117.

(*a*) *Blacklock v. Barnes*, Sel. Ca. in

Ch. 53.

(*b*) *White v. City of London Brewery Co.*, 42 Ch. D. 237, C. A.

(*c*) *Lord Trimleston v. Hamill*, 1 Ba. & Be. 377, 385.

(*d*) *Bright v. Campbell*, 54 L. J. Ch. 1077, C. A. As to allowances for improvements, see *post*, p. 1205.

actual occupation of the premises. A mere allegation of possession and receipt of the rents and profits by the defendant is not sufficient (e). And where the mortgage security consists of a lease granted to the mortgagee at a fair rent, to be retained by him in payment of his debt, the profits will be accounted for on the footing of that rent (f).

Where a mortgagee who had taken possession sold the mortgaged lands under his power, and by arrangement with the purchaser, allowed him to go into possession four months before the day appointed for completion, but did not require him to pay any rent, it was held that the mortgagee was not chargeable with an occupation rent for the period during which the purchaser had been in possession before completion (g); but Cotton, L. J., in that case suggested that, if the mortgagee had acted unreasonably, he might have been chargeable on the ground of wilful default (h).

Allowing purchaser to enter into possession before completion.

A mortgagor who is precluded from asking for redemption by reason of a sale by a mortgagee who has been in possession may bring an action for an account of rents and profits received, or which ought to have been received, by the mortgagee while in possession, as well as of the proceeds of sale (i).

Accounts of proceeds of sale by mortgagee.

A grantor of an annuity cannot maintain an action for an account of the rents and profits received by the annuitant, under a demise for securing the annuity, without an offer to redeem on the terms contained in the deeds, or on equitable terms to be settled by the Court (k).

Accounts as against annuitant in possession.

iii.—Allowances to Mortgagee for Outgoings.—A mortgagee in possession is entitled, in bringing in his accounts, to credit himself with payments representing outgoings incident to his possession as mortgagee; and a proviso in the mortgage deed limiting the total amount recoverable thereunder will not extend to such outgoings (l).

Where a mortgagee has entered into possession, though he is not entitled to any personal benefit for himself beyond the interest (m), and therefore will not be allowed for his trouble

Mortgagee may appoint bailiff or agent.

(e) *Trulock v. Roby*, 2 Ph. 395; *Shepard v. Jones*, 21 Ch. D. 469, C. A.

(f) *Moroney v. O'Dea*, 1 Ba. & Be. 109.

(g) *Shepard v. Jones*, 21 Ch. D. 469, C. A.

(h) *Id.* at p. 483.

(i) *Shepard v. Jones*, 21 Ch. D. 469, C. A.

(k) *Knobell v. White*, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 15.

(l) *White v. City of London Brewery Co.*, 42 Ch. D. 237, C. A.

(m) *Ante*, p. 1192.

CHAP. LIV.

in receiving the rents of the estate himself (*n*), yet, if the collection of the rents be troublesome, he may appoint an agent or bailiff to collect them at the expense of the estate (*o*); and it makes no difference in this respect that the estate is vested by way of mortgage not in himself, but in a trustee for him (*p*). Commission at five per cent. paid to agents for collecting rents has been allowed (*q*). An improper allowance of commission is a ground to surcharge and falsify (*r*).

Allowance for compensation to tenants.

A mortgagee in possession is entitled to be allowed, even after account settled, for crops, manure, &c., for which he remains liable to pay to an outgoing tenant of the mortgaged property according to the custom of the country (*s*). The same rule would, no doubt, apply to compensation which a mortgagee might be called on to pay under the Agricultural Holdings Act (England), 1883 (*t*).

Expenses of working mines.

If a mortgagee is specially authorized to work mines, he will be allowed the expenses incurred in doing so, with interest (*u*).

A mortgagee in possession of open mines is not bound to advance more money on them than a prudent owner would on his own estate; and he will not be removed from management of them, except upon clear proof of gross mismanagement (*x*).

Opening mines.

A mortgagee will not be allowed his expenses in opening mines or quarries, but must speculate at his own hazard; he will be charged with the receipts, but not allowed his expenses of severance, or otherwise (*y*). So, where mortgagees of a leasehold colliery entered into possession and obtained from the freeholder a lease to a trustee for them containing, amongst other covenants, a covenant to leave pillars of coal to support the roof; they sublet the colliery, and gave to their sub-lessees permission to work and remove the pillars; it was held that the mortgagees were chargeable with the full value of the coal subject to deduction of the expense of bringing it to the surface, but not for costs of severance (*z*).

(*n*) *Bonithon v. Hockmore*, 1 Vern. 316; *French v. Baron*, 2 Atk. 120. See *Chambers v. Goldwin*, 9 Ves. 271; *Eyres v. Hughes*, 2 Ch. D. 148; *Union Bank of London v. Ingram*, 16 Ch. D. 53; *Kavanagh v. Working Men's Benefit Building Soc.*, (1896) 1 Ir. R. 56, C. A.

(*o*) *Godfrey v. Watson*, 3 Atk. 518.

(*p*) *Davis v. Dendy*, 3 Madd. 170.

(*q*) *Stains v. Banks*, 9 Jur. N. S. 1049, reversed on the question whether agents' commission shall be allowed,

see 16 Ch. D. at p. 57.

(*r*) *Langstaffe v. Fenwick*, 10 Ves. 404. See *ante*, p. 1142.

(*s*) *Ozenham v. Ellis*, 18 Beav. 593.

(*t*) 46 & 47 Vict. c. 61.

(*u*) *Norton v. Cooper*, 5 De G. M. & G. 728.

(*x*) *Rowe v. Wood*, 2 J. & W. 553.

(*y*) *Hughes v. Williams*, 12 Ves. 493; *Thorneycroft v. Crockett*, 2 H. L. C. 239.

(*z*) *Taylor v. Mostyn*, 33 Ch. D. 226, C. A.

But a mortgagee with an insufficient security may open new or work abandoned mines, and will be only liable to account for the profits or royalty, and not for the value of the ore raised, or the damage caused to the surface (a). CHAP. LIV.
Mortgagee with insufficient security.

Mortgagees permitting strangers to work mines have been held accountable for the proceeds (b). Permitting strangers to work mines.

If there be reason to think that mines have been recklessly worked, with a view to undue profit, so as to leave them unfit for further working without a great outlay, the Court will direct an inquiry as to the working, and will charge the mortgagees with the amount of the loss or damage caused by such improper working (c). Reckless working.

Before taking possession of mines, mortgagees are not answerable in respect of acts of trespass and improper appropriation of adjoining minerals by their mortgagor; but they cannot avail themselves of any facilities thus acquired (d). Mortgagee not liable for previous acts of mortgagor.

Where a mortgage of a block of residential chambers contained a power for the mortgagee on default to enter, and manage, and receive the rents and profits of the premises, and default having been made, the mortgagees took possession, and managed the business at a loss, and subsequently sold the premises, it was held that the mortgagees were entitled to be allowed, out of the proceeds of sale, the losses incurred in the management (e). Carrying on business by mortgagee.

The mortgagee in possession is bound to act as a provident owner, and he will be liable for wilful default if, being in possession under a mortgage of unfinished leasehold buildings, he neither sells the property nor completes the buildings, whereby the leases are forfeited (f). Default in completing buildings.

Unless the sanction of the mortgagor has been obtained, the mortgagee will not be allowed for substantial repairs, not being strictly necessary, or for improvements, unless the value of the property has been increased thereby (g). Repairs and improvements.

Indeed, according to some older cases, even substantial improvements have been disallowed, unless done with the consent Substantial improvements.

(a) *Millett v. Davey*, 31 Beav. 470.

(b) *Hood v. Easton*, 2 Giff. 692, appealed and compromised, 2 Jur. 917. See *Millett v. Davey*, *sup.*; *Elias v. Griffith*, 8 Ch. D. 521, 528, C. A.

(c) *Mulholland v. Marum*, 3 Dr. & War. 317; *Taylor v. Mostyn*, 33 Ch. D. 226, C. A.

(d) *Powell v. Aiken*, 4 K. & J. 343.

(e) *Bompas v. King*, 33 Ch. D. 279,

C. A.

(f) *National Bank of Australasia v. United, &c. Co.*, 4 App. Cas. 391.

(g) *Knowles v. Spence*, Mos. 226; *Murphy v. Meade*, 1 Jones, 620; *Johnson v. Bourne*, 2 Y. & C. C. 268; *Polly v. Wathen*, 16 Jur. 47; *Sandon v. Hooper*, 6 Beav. 246; *Tipton Green Colliery Co. v. Tipton Moat Colliery Co.*, 7 Ch. D. 192.

CHAP. LIV.

of, or acquiesced in after notice by, the mortgagor (*h*). And a mortgagee can hardly be said to be safe in making improvements on the mortgaged property without such consent or acquiescence (*i*).

Inquiry whether improvements are beneficial to the property.

But the tendency of later decisions appears to be more favourable to the mortgagee in this respect, and it has been laid down that, *prima facie*, a mortgagee who has expended money in improvements is entitled to an inquiry whether the outlay has increased the value of the property, and to be allowed such outlay so far as the value is proved to have been increased thereby (*k*). And where a mortgagee had raised the question of improvements made by him in his pleadings and supported it by evidence, and the mortgagor did not in his pleadings raise any objections to the claim, the outlay was allowed (*l*).

It is to be remarked that it is not a matter of course to direct an inquiry whether money has been laid out by the mortgagee in lasting improvements, if such mortgagee has not given any evidence in support of the fact (*m*).

Excessive improvements.

The improvements must not be such as to improve the mortgagor out of his property (*n*).

Pulling down and re-erecting buildings.

If he unnecessarily pulls down buildings, and erects new buildings without the consent of the mortgagor, he is liable for any loss of rent which is thereby occasioned, and will not be allowed for lasting improvements and repairs, if the result of the whole is that the value of the property is not increased (*o*).

Puise mortgagee.

A second mortgagee is not allowed improvements against a first mortgagee (*p*).

Mines.

A mortgagee will not be allowed improvements in mines (*q*).

Bankruptcy.

The Court of Bankruptcy has power to give leave to make improvements, and to add the expenses to the mortgage debt (*r*).

Under the stat. 8 & 9 Vict. c. 56, the mortgagee or incumbrancer in fee in possession may obtain authority, by application to the Lord Chancellor or the Master of the Rolls, to make

(*h*) *Sandon v. Hooper*, 14 L. J. Ch. 120; *Unity Bank v. King*, 4 Jur. N. S. 470, L. J.; *Jortin v. S. E. Rail. Co.*, 6 De G. M. & G. 270.

(*i*) *Lord Trimleston v. Hamill*, 1 Ba. & Be. 385.

(*k*) *Shepard v. Jones*, 21 Ch. D. 469, C. A.; *Houghton v. Sevenoaks Estate Co.*, W. N. (1884) 243; *Henderson v. Astwood*, (1894) A. C. 150, 163, J. C.

(*l*) *Powell v. Trotter*, 1 Dr. & S. 388. See *Hipkins v. Amory*, 2 Giff. 292.

(*m*) *Sandon v. Hooper*, 14 L. J. Ch. 120.

(*n*) *Sandon v. Hooper*, 14 L. J. Ch. 120.

(*o*) *Sandon v. Hooper*, *sup.*; *Gubbins v. Creed*, 2 Sch. & L. 214.

(*p*) *Landowners, &c. Drainage & Inclosure Co. v. Ashford*, 16 Ch. D. 412.

(*q*) *Thorneycroft v. Crockett*, 2 H. L. C. 239.

(*r*) *Exp. Smith*, 3 M. & A. 63.

improvements by draining, &c., the expenses to be a charge on the land, payable by instalments, with interest (s).

CHAP. LIV.

Interest is not as a matter of course allowed on sums expended by a mortgagee for repairs (t); but in some cases interest has been allowed on expenditure in necessary repairs or lasting improvements as from the time when the expense was incurred (u).

Interest on expenditure on repairs, &c.

The same principle, which operates in favour of the mortgagor, will operate in favour of his puisne incumbrancers; as where a man having made several mortgages of his land, the first mortgagee filed his bill of foreclosure against the mortgagors and the other incumbrancers; a decree *nisi* was obtained, and, to save the estate, one of the puisne incumbrancers and defendants, with the consent of the other incumbrancers, redeemed, upon an understanding between them that the others should redeem him by a given day; the money was not paid by them, and after twenty years' possession and considerable sums laid out in improvements, redemption was decreed, and the defendant who had redeemed the first mortgagee, and therefore stood in his place, was allowed only necessary repairs and lasting improvements (x).

Where a grantee of a rent-charge takes possession, and incurs expenses in necessary repairs, he has not, like a mortgagee in possession, any equity against the owner of the land subject to the rent-charge, who, on payment, has a legal right of entry; if the grantee has a right to be reimbursed the expenses, it must be under the terms of his grant (y).

Right of annuitant to allowance for repairs, &c.

Though costs and expenses properly incurred for work done on the mortgaged property must be paid by the mortgagor as a condition of redemption, they do not constitute a debt, by virtue of implied contract, recoverable for which an action can be maintained by the mortgagee against the mortgagor (z).

iv.—Of taking Accounts with Rests.—Where, in taking the accounts of real estate, it appears that the rents and profits received by a mortgagee in possession materially exceed the interest due on the mortgage debt, the Court may direct a balance to be struck and the surplus rents and profits, after

When the accounts will be ordered to be taken with rests.

(s) See also 9 & 10 Vict. c. 101; 10 & 11 Vict. c. 11.

(t) Seton, 5th ed. p. 1640. See cases there cited.

(u) *Quarrell v. Beckford*, 1 Madd. 281; *Webb v. Rorke*, 2 Sch. & L. 676; *Eyre v. Hughes*, 2 Ch. D. 148, 164.

See also decrees in *Godfrey v. Watson*, 3 Atk. 518; *Neesom v. Clarkson*, 4 Ha. 97; *Moore v. Painter*, 6 Jur. 903.

(x) *Exton v. Greaves*, 1 Vern. 138.

(y) *Hooper v. Cooke*, 2 Jur. N. S. 527.

(z) *Exp. Fewings, Re Sneyd*, 25 Ch. D. 338, C. A.

CHAP. LIV.

Principle of
the rule as
to rests.

meeting the interest, to be applied yearly, or sometimes half-yearly, in the reduction of the principal (s). This is called taking the account with rests. Rests will not be directed if the excess of rents and profits is trifling (a).

The principle on which rests are directed in such cases is, that where a mortgagee, so long as any principal moneys remain due under his mortgage, receives rents and profits in excess of the interest from time to time due to him, and, instead of paying over such surplus to the mortgagor, retains it, and applies it to his own use, it is not just that the mortgagor should continue to pay interest on the whole mortgage debt; but the surplus rents and profits periodically retained by the mortgagee must be deemed to have been so retained in reduction of the principal.

Form of
decree with
rests.

The form of a decree with rests is as follows:—

1. Account of principal and interest and costs.

2. Account of rents and profits on the foot of wilful default, &c.

3. And let what shall appear to be due on the said account of rents and profits be applied first in discharging the interest, and then in sinking the principal money secured by the mortgage, and if the same shall break in upon the principal, then rests are to be made from time to time, and interest to be computed only on the residue thereof (b).

Or the following:—

And in taking the said account of the said rents and profits, annual rests are to be made of the clear balance of such rents and profits in the hands of, &c., and interest is to be computed on such respective balances at a rate of 4 per cent. per annum, and in taking such annual rests, except the first, the interest of each preceding balance is to be included in such balance, so as to charge the said, &c., with compound interest thereon (c).

Mode of tak-
ing account
with rests.

The proper mode of taking the account with rests appears to be, that as soon as the mortgagee has received a sum exceeding the amount of interest, a rest should be made, and from that date the subsequent annual rests should be computed, so that if the date of the mortgage deed be in July, and the mortgagee received sums in February exceeding the interest then due, a rest should be taken in February, and annual rests be thenceforth computed from that time, and not from July (d).

A balance must be struck at each rest by deducting the

(s) *Thornycroft v. Crockett*, 2 H. L. C. 239.

(a) *Shephard v. Elliot*, 4 Madd. 254.

(b) *Seton*, 1820.

(c) *Cotham v. West*, 1 Beav. 380. See *Seton*, 1821.

(d) *Binnington v. Harwood*, T. & R.

477. As to the effect of a direction on a decree that the chief clerk shall make annual rests, see *Heighington v. Grant*, 5 My. & Cr. 258, and cases there cited.

amount of the payments from the amount of the receipts, and charging interest on the balance up to that time (e); and the interest of each preceding balance must be included in the balance then stated, and interest computed on the total amount, so as to charge the accounting party with compound interest (f).

A direction to take the account with rests is not of course; the usual course is not to give such a direction (g). The right of the mortgagee not to be paid off piecemeal must be taken into consideration (h). Some special grounds must therefore be shown, as that the rents and profits received by the mortgagee during his possession have considerably exceeded the interest (i); so if he has set up an unfounded claim to the equity of redemption (k), or denies his character as an incumbrancer (l); so where a mortgagee in possession comes to a settlement of accounts, by which it appears that no interest is then due, or the interest then due is converted into principal, and the mortgagee afterwards continues in possession (m).

Account with rests directed only on special grounds.

If no special grounds are shown for a direction to take annual rests as from the beginning of the account, the Court will not generally direct annual rests as from a later date, so long as anything remains due under the mortgage (n).

The chief clerk must not take annual rests of rents received, unless specifically directed by the decree (o); and where directions are omitted they cannot be directed in chambers under 15 & 16 Vict. c. 86, s. 54, or under Ord. XXXIII. r. 2 (p).

Where there is a material excess of rent, the fact that no interest was in arrear when possession was taken may be regarded by the Court, when taken with other circumstances, as affording a special ground for directing rests to be taken (q).

Rests directed generally where no interest in arrear.

(e) *Raphael v. Boehm*, 11 Ves. 92, 110.

(f) *Yates v. Hambly*, 1 Madd. 14.

(g) *Davis v. May*, 19 Ves. 382; *Finch v. Brown*, 3 Beav. 70; *Horlock v. Smith*, 1 Coll. 287; *Donovan v. Fricker*, Jac. 168; *Baldwin v. Lewis*, 4 L. J. (N. S.) Ch. 113.

(h) *Horlock v. Smith*, 1 Coll. 287. See *Ashworth v. Lord*, 36 Ch. D. 545, at p. 551.

(i) *Gould v. Tancred*, 2 Atk. 533; *Donovan v. Fricker*, Jac. 168; *Scholesfield v. Ingham*, C. P. Coop. 477.

(k) *Montgomery v. Calland*, 14 Sim. 79; *Douglas v. Culverwell*, 4 De G. F. & J. 20; *National Bank of Australasia v. United, &c. Co.*, 4 App. Cas. 391.

(l) *Incorporated Soc. v. Richards*, 1

Dr. & War. 258.

(m) *Wilson v. Cluer*, 3 Beav. 136.

(n) *Davis v. May*, 19 Ves. 382; *Latter v. Dashwood*, 6 Sim. 462; *Wilson v. Cluer*, 3 Beav. 136; *Scholesfield v. Lockwood*, 32 Beav. 439. But see *infra*, p. 1211.

(o) *Gould v. Tancred*, 2 Atk. 533; *Webber v. Hunt*, 1 Madd. 13; *Davis v. May*, G. Coop. 240; *Fowler v. Wightwick*, cited *ib.*; *Donovan v. Fricker*, Jac. 168; *Neesom v. Clarkson*, 4 Ha. 97.

(p) *Nelson v. Booth*, 3 De G. & J. 119.

(q) *Shepherd v. Elliot*, 4 Madd. 254; *Nelson v. Booth*, 3 De G. & J. 119, 127; *Scholesfield v. Lockwood*, 32 Beav. 439; *Moore v. Painter*, 6 Jur. 903.

CHAP. LIV.

But the Court will not generally direct rests if the interest was in arrear when the mortgagee took possession (*r*). It seems that rents which are in the hands of a receiver when the mortgagee is let into possession, and which are, by a prior decree, directed to be paid over by him on passing the accounts to the mortgagee (although such payment does not, in fact, take place till long after) will, with reference to this question of an arrear of interest being due, be taken as already paid when the mortgagee took possession; and the same rule applies to money in Court, and which by the decree is directed to be paid to the mortgagee in part satisfaction of his debt (*s*).

When rests will not be directed.

From the circumstances of the case, the mere fact of an arrear of interest being due, or not, when the mortgagee takes possession, may not be decisive upon the question of rests; thus, where the mortgagee is desirous to take possession to defend his mortgage (*s*), or the mortgagee has been driven by the acts of others to take possession, and been harassed by litigation and thereby put to costs (even though the costs have afterwards been adjudged to be paid him by his opponent), and his own conduct has been free from harshness or vexation; or if, in the case of leaseholds, the security is endangered by non-payment of ground-rent, or insurance, or through want of repairs (*t*), or where possession is taken of a copyhold to avoid a forfeiture (*u*), rests will not be directed against him, though no rent was in arrear when he entered into possession.

If interest was in arrear when the mortgagee took possession, the fact that such arrears were subsequently paid off will not be a ground for a direction to take the account against the mortgagee with rests (*x*).

Agreement for tenancy.

Rests are not directed where the occupation is under an agreement for tenancy with the mortgagor (*y*).

When bills dishonoured.

Where bills have been given for the arrears of interest when the mortgagee takes possession, which are afterwards dishonoured, no rests are directed, for the interest is considered to have been in arrear when possession was taken (*z*).

Rests in new suit without fresh evidence.

If rests have been directed in a redemption suit afterwards abandoned, and a foreclosure suit is commenced by the mort-

(*r*) *Stephens v. Wellings*, 4 L. J. (N.S.) Ch. 281; *Wilson v. Cluer*, 3 Beav. 136; *Moore v. Painter*, 6 Jur. 903.

(*s*) *Horlock v. Smith*, 1 Coll. 287.

(*t*) *Patch v. Wild*, 30 Beav. 99.

(*u*) *Carter v. James*, W. N. (1881)

27. (*x*) *Finch v. Brown*, 3 Beav. 70.

(*y*) *Page v. Linwood*, 4 Cl. & F. 399.

(*z*) *Dobson v. Land*, 4 De G. & S. 575.

gagee, the accounts will be taken with rests in the new suit, although there is no evidence in the new suit to warrant a decree with rests (a).

CHAP. LIV.

If a mortgagee continues in possession after the rents and profits received by him have fully satisfied the debt, he will be regarded as availing himself of another man's money for his own use and benefit, and he ought to be charged with interest (b).

Interest,
when charged
against
mortgagee.

Where, therefore, a large balance is found to have been due from the mortgagee at the time of the commencement of the action, annual rests will be decreed on further consideration against a mortgagee in possession from the time it was ascertained the debt was paid off, although rests were not directed by the previous orders and decrees under which the accounts were taken, and though interest was not prayed by the action (c); and such rests will be directed as well in the case of an occupation rent as on an account of rents and profits actually received (d).

But it is to be observed that it is only when it appears from the certificate that there is an equitable right to charge an accounting party with interest that the Court directs the computation of interest, when it has not been reserved by the original decree (e).

Where bankers improperly, or without title, retain money overpaid to them as mortgagees, they are chargeable with interest thereon (f).

Bankers.

If the mortgagee was already paid in full at the time of the filing of the action, or on earlier demand made by the mortgagor, or by some other incumbrancer, he will be charged with interest on the balance then in his hands and on all subsequent annual balances due from him, not, in general, compound interest, but simple interest, from the end of each year, as the rents were received (g), and with costs (h), unless the decree to account contains no reservation on the question of costs (i).

Mortgagee
fully paid off
retaining
balances.

(a) *Morris v. Islip*, 20 Beav. 654.

(b) *Wilson v. Metcalfe*, 1 Russ. 530;
Ashworth v. Lord, 36 Ch. D. 545, at
p. 551.

(c) *Wilson v. Metcalfe*, 1 Russ. 530;
Lord Trimleston v. Hamill, 1 Ba. & Be.
377, 388; *Ashworth v. Lord*, 36 Ch. D.
545. And see *Scholefield v. Ingham*,
C. P. Coop. 477, and notes.

(d) *Wilson v. Metcalfe*, *sup.*

(e) *Dan. Ch. Pr.* 6th ed. p. 1231.

(f) *London Chartered Bank of Aus-
tralia v. White*, 4 App. Ca. 413.

(g) *Quarrell v. Beekford*, 1 Madd.
269; *Archdeacon v. Bowes*, M'Cl. 149.

(h) *Binnington v. Harwood*, T. & R.
477, 485; and see 4 Beav. 215; *Arch-
deacon v. Bowes*, *sup.*

(i) *Lord Trimleston v. Hamill*, 1 Ba.
& Be. 377, 388.

CHAP. LIV.

And in such cases the mortgagee will generally be charged with the ordinary rate of interest, as in the case of executors retaining balances in their hands, viz., 4 per cent. (*k*).

Where mortgagee is paid off pending suit.

Where a mortgagee in possession is satisfied out of the rents during the suit for redemption before defence, and he by his defence denies such satisfaction, he will be decreed to pay interest on the balances in his hands since the mortgage was paid off, with costs from the filing of the defence (*l*). Where the debt is so satisfied between the filing of the defence and the certificate, the mortgagee will be charged with interest on the balance in his hands at the date of the certificate, and on the rents subsequently received from the respective times of receiving them (*m*).

SECTION VI.

OF APPROPRIATION OF PAYMENTS.

General rule.

The general rule as to the appropriation of payments is, that where there are different debts, the option of appropriating a payment is in the first place given to the debtor, and, if not exercised by him, is given to the creditor.

Presumption where no express appropriation.

If there is no appropriation by either party, and there is a current account between them, as in the case of banker and customer, an appropriation is made by presumption of law according to the order of the items of the account, the first item on the debit side of the account being the item discharged or reduced by the first item on the credit side (*n*). But this presumption may be rebutted by evidence of a different intention (*o*), and is not applicable where the person has not the right to appropriate some of the items, as being illegal (*p*).

Death of surety.

After the death of a surety, by which the guarantee to secure a current account is at an end, the appropriation does not continue for the benefit of the estate of the surety (*q*).

(*k*) *Quarrell v. Beckford*, 1 Madd. 269; *Archdeacon v. Boves*, M'Cl. 149. And see *Horlock v. Smith*, 1 Coll. 287. See *Thornycroft v. Crockett*, 2 H. L. C. 239; *Dobson v. Land*, 4 De G. & S. 675.

(*l*) *Montgomery v. Calland*, 14 Sim. 79.

(*m*) *Lloyd v. Jones*, 12 Sim. 491.

(*n*) *Devaynes v. Noble* (*Clayton's Case*), 1 Mer. 585; *Pemberton v. Oakes*, 4 Russ. 154; *Bodenham v. Purchas*, 2 B. & Ald. 39. See also *Brook v. Enderby*, 2 Br. &

B. 70; *Bank of Scotland v. Christie*, 8 Cl. & F. 214; *Simson v. Ingham*, 2 B. & Cr. 65. And see *Jones v. Maund*, 3 Y. & C. Ex. 347, 357; *Merriman v. Ward*, 1 J. & H. 371; *Mills v. Fowkes*, 5 Bing. N. C. 455.

(*o*) *City Discount Co. v. McLean*, L. R. 9 C. P. 692.

(*p*) *Cunliffe, Brooks & Co. v. Blackburn, &c. Benefit Building Soc.*, 9 App. Cas. 857.

(*q*) *Re Sherry*, 25 Ch. D. 692, C. A.

Where the payments are not specially made, a general payment shall be applied in the first place to sink the interest before any part of the principal is discharged (*r*). CHAP. LIV.
Interest and principal.

It is, however, the right of the debtor in the first instance to declare upon what account he pays the money (*s*), according to the maxim, *quicquid solvitur, solvitur secundum modum solventis*, and when he has so declared, the destination of the payment cannot be changed (*t*). It is not necessary that the person paying the money should in express terms declare the appropriation of it at the time of payment; it is sufficient if it can be inferred from the circumstances that he intended at the time of payment to appropriate it to one account specifically (*u*). If the debtor omits at the time of payment to declare upon what account the money was paid, no subsequent declaration by him will be effectual to effect an appropriation (*x*). Right of debtor to appropriate payment.

If an appropriation has not been declared by the debtor, nor can be inferred from the circumstances, the right of appropriation then rests with the creditor (*y*), who may make the appropriation at any time after payment and before action brought or account settled between him and his debtor (*z*). When creditor has right to appropriate.

This right of a creditor to appropriate will not, however, be exerciseable so as to enable a mortgagee to apply moneys received by him by virtue of the mortgage security in payment of a debt not secured by the mortgage. Moneys received under mortgage cannot be appropriated to pay other debts.

Where a mortgage is given to secure a current account, but so that the whole amount of the principal shall not exceed a certain sum, any moneys received by the mortgagee from sales of the property must be applied in reduction of the amount secured by the mortgage, and cannot be appropriated by the mortgagee in satisfaction of moneys in excess of that amount owing on the general account between him and the mortgagor (*a*). Current account.

So, where a mortgagee by deposit, being also creditor in respect of a book debt, consents to a sale of the premises, he cannot appropriate an instalment of the purchase-money received by him in payment of the book debt (*b*). Book debt.

(*r*) *Chase v. Box*, Freem. Ch. 261.

(*s*) *Mills v. Fowkes*, 5 Bing. N. C. 455; *Bradley v. Heath*, 3 Sim. 543. So by the Civil Law, Colquh. Rom. Law, § 1836. See *Bamundoss v. Omeish*, 6 Moo. I. A. 289.

(*t*) *Hammersley v. Knowllys*, 2 Esp. 666; *Simson v. Ingham*, 2 B. & Cr. 65.

(*u*) *Shaw v. Pictou*, 4 B. & Cr. 715.

(*x*) *Wilkinson v. Sterne*, 9 Mod. 427.

(*y*) *Mills v. Fowkes*, 5 Bing. N. C. 455.

(*z*) *Wilkinson v. Sterne*, *sup.*; *Simson v. Ingham*, 2 B. & Cr. 65.

(*a*) *Johnson v. Bourne*, 2 Y. & C. C. O. 268.

(*b*) *Young v. English*, 7 Beav. 10.

Part VII.
OF PRIORITY OF MORTGAGES.

CHAPTER LV.

OF THE PRIORITY AS BETWEEN THEMSELVES OF SUCCESSIVE
MORTGAGEES OF LAND.

SECTION I.

OF THE PRIORITY OF A MORTGAGEE HAVING THE LEGAL ESTATE.

i.—Where Equities are equal, Legal Estate prevails.—It is thus stated in the treatise on equity (a) :—“ *In æquali jure, melior est conditio possidentis* : where equity is equal the law shall prevail, and he that hath only a title in equity shall not prevail against law and equity.”

Grounds on
which the rule
is founded.

In considering this rule of equity, Lord Hardwicke has remarked (b) that “ it could not happen in any other country but this, because the jurisdiction of law and equity is administered in different Courts, and creates different kinds of rights in estates ; and therefore, as Courts of Equity break in upon the common law, where necessity and conscience require it, still they allow superior force and strength to a legal title to estates ; and, therefore, where there is a legal title and equity on one side, the Court of Chancery never thought fit that, by reason of a prior equity against a man who has a legal title, that man should be hurt, and this by reason of the force which the Court necessarily and rightly allows to the common law and to legal titles ; but if this had happened in any other country, it could never have been made a question ; for if the law and equity are

(a) Fonb. Eq. Vol. 2, 5th ed. p. 302.

(b) *Wortley v. Birkhead*, 2 Ves. Sen. 574.

administered by the same jurisdiction, the rule, *qui prior est tempore, potior est jure*, must hold."

CHAP. LV.

The Judicature Act (c), however, has made no alteration in the law in this respect. All Divisions of the Court can now administer both law and equity, but now, as formerly, where equities are equal, legal estates, powers, and interests must prevail.

Rule not altered by Jud. Act.

A mortgagee who has the legal estate, as a general rule, prevails over all other mortgages, charges, and incumbrances (d), whether he be the first or a subsequent incumbrancer.

Puise legal mortgage preferred to prior equitable mortgage.

The doctrine that where equities are equal the legal title will prevail applies in favour of all equitable incumbrancers who, without notice of prior equitable interests, get in the legal estate from persons who commit no breach of trust in conveying it to them. A puisne mortgagee who advanced his money without notice of a prior mortgage getting in a legal estate, can recover the land in an action against the first mortgagee; and any one of several equitable incumbrancers can gain such priority over the rest (e). If the owner of an equitable estate makes a mortgage, and then acquires the legal estate, and makes a second mortgage in such form as to pass to the second mortgagee the legal estate, the latter mortgage will prevail (f). The Court is not scrupulous by what means a *bonâ fide* incumbrancer, without notice at the time of advancing his money, obtains a legal protection for his security, for if he get in a judgment or statute which is satisfied, yet if he can make use of it for his protection, the Court will not interfere to prevent him (g).

Extent of the doctrine.

A legal estate in reversion will be postponed to an immediate legal interest. So if an estate in fee is mortgaged subject to a term, an incumbrancer who gets in the term will have priority over the mortgagee of the fee (h). So, also, an immediate term created by a tenant for life under a power out of the inheritance to secure a charge on his life interest, was held to have priority over a reversionary portions term subsequently created by him subject to his life interest (i).

Priority of immediate legal estate.

A mortgage by a tenant for life or other limited owner of

Priority of mortgages by

(c) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66, s. 24, sub-s. 6.

(d) Bac. Abr. Mortgage, E. 3.

(e) *Bates v. Brothers*, 2 Sm. & G. 509; *Bailey v. Barnes*, (1894) 1 Ch. 25, C. A.

(f) *Goodtitle v. Morgan*, 1 T. R. 755; *Right v. Bucknell*, 2 B. & Ad. 278.

(g) *Edmunds v. Povey*, 1 Vern. 187; *Sadler v. Bush*, 2 Vern. 30.

(h) *Exp. Knott*, 11 Ves. 609.

(i) *Hurst v. Hurst*, 16 Beav. 372. See *Simpson v. O'Sullivan*, 7 Cl. & F. 550.

CHAP. LV.

tenants for
life under
Settled Land
Acts.

settled lands under sect. 18 of the Settled Land Act, 1882 (*l*), as extended by sect. 11 of the Settled Land Act, 1890 (*m*), passes to the mortgagee the legal estate in fee or for any less estate or interest the subject of the settlement, and so gives to the security priority over all estates, interests, and charges subsisting or to arise thereunder, except such as are prior to the settlement, or as have been conveyed or created to secure money actually raised at the date of the mortgage deed, and also except leases and fee farm and other grants for valuable consideration made prior to that deed (*n*).

Acquisition of
legal rever-
sionary term.

It was held in one case (*o*), by Sir R. Malins, V.-C., that the assignment of a nominal legal reversion in a term of years would prevail over an equitable charge on the property. In the case referred to, a person mortgaged the legal term in a house by way of sub-lease to A., reserving the last two days; he then purchased the freehold reversion in the property which was conveyed to a trustee for him, and gave an equitable charge to B. upon the freehold ground rents and all his interest in the rentals, and subsequently executed a legal assignment of the whole term to secure an advance by C., who had notice of A.'s mortgage, but not of B.'s equitable charge; it was held that C., by obtaining a legal assignment of the nominal reversion, gained priority over B. On appeal, the Lords Justices expressed some doubt as to the correctness of the decision, but the case was compromised before it had been fully argued, and consequently no judgment was delivered.

Acquisition
of satisfied
or attendant
term.

In like manner an equitable incumbrancer might use a satisfied term for his protection (*p*), even against the Crown (*q*). Nor is it material that no consideration be paid by the mortgagee for the assignment of a judgment or term (*r*). But no protection was afforded by getting in a term attendant on the inheritance (*s*), and the above observations as to terms do not apply if they are merged under the Satisfied Terms Act (*t*).

(*l*) 45 & 46 Vict. c. 38, s. 18, set out *ante*, p. 388.

(*m*) 53 & 54 Vict. c. 69, s. 11, set out *ante*, p. 388.

(*n*) Settled Land Act, 1882, s. 20. See as to mortgages by tenants for life, &c., under their statutory powers, *ante*, pp. 388 *et seq.*

(*o*) *Re Russell Road Purchase-Moneys*, L. R. 12 Eq. 78.

(*p*) *Willoughby v. Willoughby*, 1 T. R. 763; *Evans v. Bicknell*, 6 Ves. 174, 185; *Maundrell v. Maundrell*, 10 Ves. 270.

(*q*) *Nicholls v. Howe*, 2 Vern. 389. See *Fleetwood's Case*, 8 Rep. 171, a; *King v. Lamb*, 13 Pri. 649.

(*r*) *Churchill v. Grove*, 1 Ch. Ca. 35; *Holt v. Mill*, 2 Vern. 279.

(*s*) *Nicholls v. Howe*, 2 Vern. 389.
(*t*) 8 & 9 Vict. c. 112.

Getting in the legal estate in part of a security will not protect the incumbrance over the rest (*u*).

CHAP. LV.

Legal estate of part of property. When legal estate may be got in.

If the incumbrancer have no notice at the time of his advance, he can, as a general rule, get in the legal estate or title at any time, either before or at the time of the advance (*x*); or at any time afterwards (*y*), although between payment and getting in the legal title he had notice (*z*), and even after suit (*a*).

It was held that where an incumbrancer obtains possession of the legal estate by mistake, he cannot avail himself of it (*b*); but this has been disapproved of (*c*).

Legal estate got in by mistake.

This rule applies so as to entitle a trustee to avail himself of his legal estate as a protection to an assignment of the equitable interest to secure money advanced by him to his *cestui que trust* as against a prior incumbrancer, of whose charge he had no notice at the time of the advance (*d*).

Trustee-mortgagee may avail himself of the rule.

ii.—Equitable Mortgagee with best Right to Legal Estate.—

The title of a mortgagee who has not actual possession of the legal estate may nevertheless be protected as against other incumbrances, so long as the legal estate is outstanding, if he has the best right to call for a conveyance or assignment of it; for in such case, the creditor having such right will, under certain circumstances, be placed in equity in the same situation as if he had obtained an actual assignment (*e*). It is sufficient if the incumbrancer has a declaration in trust of the legal interest in his favour (*f*); or if he has obtained the best right to call for a transfer, by having done some act short of obtaining a transfer, but equivalent to an act of ownership (*g*), such as obtaining the custody of the title deeds (*h*); or making the

Priority of equitable mortgagee with best right to call for legal estate.

(*u*) *Marsh v. Lee*, 1 Ch. Ca. 162.

(*x*) *Earl of Huntington v. Greenville*, 1 Vern. 49. See *Cooke v. Wilton*, 29 Beav. 100.

(*y*) *Willoughby v. Willoughby*, 1 T. R. 763; *Barnett v. Weston*, 12 Ves. 130; *Cooke v. Wilton*, 29 Beav. 100; *Sharpe v. Foy*, L. R. 4 Ch. A. 35; *Taylor v. Russell*, (1892) A. C. 244.

(*z*) *Blackwood v. London Chartered Bank of Australia*, L. R. 5 P. C. 92, 113; *Spencer v. Pearson*, 24 Beav. 266; *Taylor v. Russell*, *sup.*

(*a*) *Ib.*; *Bates v. Brothers*, 2 Sm. & G. 509.

(*b*) *Carter v. Carter*, 3 K. & J. 617.

(*c*) *Filcher v. Rawlins*, L. R. 7 Ch. A. 259.

(*d*) *Newman v. Newman*, 28 Ch. D.

674.

(*e*) See *Wyndham v. Richardson*, 2 Ch. Ca. 213; *Wilkes v. Bodington*, 2 Vern. 599; *Earl of Pomfret v. Lord Windsor*, 2 Ves. Sen. 472, 487; *Exp. Knott*, 11 Ves. 618; *Allen v. Knight*, 11 Jur. 527.

(*f*) *Willoughby v. Willoughby*, 1 T. R. 763; *Stanhope v. Earl Verney*, 2 Ed. 81; *Wilkes v. Bodington*, 2 Vern. 599; *Wilmott v. Pike*, 5 Ha. 22. See Co. Lit. Butl. n. 290, b. But see *contra*, *Frere v. Moore*, 8 Pri. 475.

(*g*) *Earl of Pomfret v. Lord Windsor*, 2 Ves. Sen. 472; *Maundrell v. Maundrell*, 10 Ves. 271; *Exp. Knott*, 11 Ves. 609; *Sug. V. & P.* 11th ed. p. 784.

(*h*) *Layard v. Maud*, L. R. 4 Eq. 397; *Stanhope v. Earl Verney*, 2 Ed. 81; see *ante*, pp. 808 *et seq.*

CHAP. LV.

trustee a party to the instrument (i); or entering into a contract for a legal mortgage at the time of the first advance, followed by a conveyance of the legal estate, which will relate back so as to give priority over a charge intermediate between the advance and completion of the legal mortgage, both for the original advance and for subsequent advances made without notice (k). But a covenant to produce the deeds respecting the term will not suffice; nor will the bare right to call for the legal estate without some act as hereinbefore mentioned avail. And it would seem that even a declaration of trust will not prevail against a subsequent *bond fide* incumbrancer without notice who has obtained an actual assignment (l).

Agreement
to execute
a legal
mortgage.

The mere fact that an equitable charge contains an undertaking to execute a legal mortgage does not defeat the right of priority of a subsequent legal mortgagee (m).

Vesting
declaration.

Where a mortgagor by deposit declared himself a trustee of the legal estate for the mortgagee, who subsequently appointed new trustees in place of the mortgagor, it was held that a vesting declaration contained in the deed of appointment passed the legal estate in the property to the new trustees so as to postpone a subsequent mortgagee of the fee with notice (n).

Effect of
statutory
receipt of
building
society.

The indorsed receipt of the trustees of a benefit building society vests the legal estate in the persons who have the best right to call for it (o). And accordingly, where a member of a building society made a mortgage to the trustees of the society, and a subsequent mortgage to the respondents; the appellants paid off the society's mortgage, and the receipt of the trustees was indorsed thereon; the mortgagor at the same time made a fresh mortgage to the appellants, who had no notice of the respondents' mortgage, to secure the amount paid to the society and a further advance to the mortgagor; it was held that the appellants were entitled to add the further advance so as to rank in priority over the respondents' mortgage (p).

iii.—Judgment Creditor.—A judgment creditor who, by actual execution at law, obtains the legal estate will also be thereby

(i) *Maundrell v. Maundrell*, *sup.*

(k) *Cooke v. Wilton*, 29 Beav. 100.

(l) *Stanhope v. Earl Verney*, *sup.*

(m) *Garnham v. Skipper*, 55 L. J. Ch. 263; explaining a dictum to the contrary in *Maxfield v. Burton*, L. R. 17 Eq. 17, at p. 19.

(n) *London and County Bank v. Goddard*, W. N. (1897) 18.

(o) 6 & 7 Will. IV. c. 32, s. 5.

(p) *Hosking v. Smith*, 13 App. Cas. 582, overruling *Pease v. Jackson*, L. R. 3 Ch. A. 576, and *Robinson v. Trevor*, 12 Q. B. D. 423, C. A.

given priority over incumbrancers whose securities are subsequent in date to his judgment.

Since the stat. 27 & 28 Vict. c. 112, a judgment does not affect the lands of the debtor until such lands have been actually taken in execution (equitable (*q*) or legal). Mere equitable execution cannot, of course, give to the judgment creditor any legal title. If, however, the lands are extended at law, the judgment creditor thereupon becomes tenant by *elegit*, having the legal estate in the lands; but even under the old law a judgment creditor only took by his *elegit* such interest as the debtor himself had in the lands (*r*); and the stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 13, gives to the judgment creditor only such and the same remedies in a Court of Equity against the hereditaments charged by the judgment as he would be entitled to in case the judgment debtor had power to charge the same hereditaments, and had by writing under his hand agreed to charge the same with the amount of the judgment debt and interest. Although, therefore, the legal estate will be recognized in equity, yet it will not enable the creditor to claim priority by virtue of that estate over equitable incumbrances prior in date to his judgment, inasmuch as the debtor himself had only an interest in the land subject to such prior incumbrances, and could not have any power to charge, or agree to charge, the lands in favour of the creditor so as to defeat them (*s*).



SECTION II.

OF TACKING.

i.—Nature and Extent of the Doctrine of Tacking generally.—

The possession or acquisition of the legal estate by a mortgagee not only gives him priority as against other incumbrancers, whether prior or subsequent in point of time to the security to which that estate is annexed, but may also give priority to securities upon the same property, whether originally created in his favour, or in favour of a third person and subsequently

Tacking
against
mesne in-
cumbrancers.

(*q*) See *ante*, p. 649.

(*r*) *Whitworth v. Gaugain*, 1 Ph. 728. See *Langton v. Horton*, 1 Ha. 649, at p. 660; *Benham v. Keane*, 1 J.

& H. at p. 697.

(*s*) See *Whitworth v. Gaugain*, 3 Ha. 416, 427, 429.

CHAP. LV.

| | |
|--|--|
| | got in by him, with the effect of squeezing out or postponing the securities of intermediate incumbrancers. |
| Foundation of the doctrine. | This doctrine, which is usually termed the doctrine of tacking, is founded upon the maxim, that "where equities are equal the law shall prevail," and forms an exception to the general rule of equity that incumbrances shall rank in priority in point of time. The Judicature Act (<i>t</i>) has not altered the doctrine of tacking. |
| Extent of doctrine. | The doctrine of tacking is applicable both to real and personal estate, and its effect is only to change the order of priority, and not to alter the mode of discharging the securities (<i>u</i>). |
| Tacking formerly disapproved of. | The doctrine of tacking is of long standing and firmly established. In <i>Edmunds v. Povey</i> (<i>x</i>), in which it had been argued that this trade of buying in incumbrances was against conscience, the Lord Keeper said that although he would not change the rule which had so long prevailed, yet it might be he would do so when he found a man designing a fraud, and thinking to make a trade of cozening by the rules of the Court. |
| Abolition of protection by tacking by V. & P. Act, 1874; | The doctrine, which is entirely based on the superior force and strength allowed by the Courts of Equity to a legal title to estates (<i>y</i>), is in its effect often productive of great hardship, and the attention of the legislature having been directed to the subject, it was provided by the Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874 (<i>z</i>), s. 7, that, after the commencement of the Act (<i>a</i>), protection and priority by legal estate and tacking should not be allowed. |
| repealed by Land Transfer Act, 1875. | This enactment was repealed as to England, as from the day at which it came into operation, by the Land Transfer Act, 1875 (<i>b</i>), s. 129, except as to anything duly done thereunder before the commencement of the latter Act (<i>c</i>); and, as to Ireland, it was repealed by sect. 73 of the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (<i>d</i>). |
| Tacking not allowed in Yorkshire. | By the Yorkshire Registries Act, 1884 (<i>e</i>), ss. 14, 16, it is provided that, as regards lands and hereditaments within the three ridings of the county of York, and the town of Kingston-on- |

(*t*) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66.(*u*) *Lord Dunsany v. Latouche*, 1 Sch. & L. 137, 163; *Montgomery v. Donohoe*, 6 Ir. Ch. R. 168.(*x*) 1 Vern. 187. See *Holt v. Mill*, 2 Vern. 279. See also *per Lord Blackburn in Jennings v. Jordan*, 6 App. Cas. at p. 714.(*y*) *Wortley v. Birkhead*, 2 Ves. Sen. 574. See *ante*, p. 1214.(*z*) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 78.(*a*) 7th August, 1874.(*b*) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 87.(*c*) 1st January, 1876.(*d*) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41.(*e*) 47 & 48 Vict. c. 64.

Hull, mortgages and other assurances rank in priority according to the dates of their registration, and no priority or protection by legal estate or tacking is given or allowed after the commencement of the Act, except as against any estate or interest existing prior to such commencement, although the person claiming such protection is a purchaser for value without notice.

Registration in Middlesex is not notice, and the general rules as to tacking apply to mortgages of lands in that county (*f*). Middlesex.

The doctrine of tacking has been adopted by the Irish Courts (*g*), but its application is now rendered obsolete by the provisions of the Irish Registry Act (*h*), whereby the priority of incumbrances *inter se* is regulated according to the time at which the memorials thereof were respectively registered (*i*). Ireland.

Tacking may be effected in one of two ways so as effectually to oust a mesne incumbrancer. Either an equitable mortgagee may protect himself by getting in a prior legal mortgage (*k*), or a legal mortgagee may tack a further advance (*l*), or a subsequent judgment or statute (*m*), or a subsequent equitable security originally made to secure moneys advanced by another person and transferred to himself (*n*). Who may tack.

ii.—Rules in *Brace v. Duchess of Marlborough*.—The doctrine of tacking was discussed very fully in the case of *Brace v. Duchess of Marlborough* (*o*), wherein three general rules were laid down, which it is proposed in this place to state and discuss, pointing out in what respects the law as laid down in that case has been confirmed or altered.

The first general rule laid down in *Brace v. Duchess of Marlborough* is, “that if a third mortgagee buy in a first mortgage, though it be *pendente lite*, pending a bill brought by the second mortgagee to redeem the first, yet the third mortgage having obtained the first mortgage, and having the law on his side and equal equity, he shall thereby squeeze out the second mortgagee.” First rule.
Purchase of legal estate will prevail.

(*f*) *Bedford v. Backhouse*, 2 Eq. Ca. Abr. 615; *Cator v. Cooley*, 1 Cox, 182. See *Arden v. Arden*, 29 Ch. D. 702.

(*g*) *Bushell v. Bushell*, 1 Sch. & L. 90; *Underwood v. Lord Courtown*, 2 Sch. & L. 41; *Pentland v. Stokes*, 2 Ba. & Be. 75.

(*h*) 6 Anne, c. 2.

(*i*) *Post*, p. 1249.

(*k*) *Goddard v. Complin*, 1 Ch. Ca.

119.

(*l*) *Bedford v. Backhouse*, Kelynge, 5; *Williams v. Owen*, 13 Sim. 597; *Lloyd v. Attwood*, 3 De G. & J. 614.

(*m*) *Shepherd v. Titley*, 2 Atk. 348; *Jackson v. Langford*, 2 Ves. Sen. 662; *Brace v. Duchess of Marlborough*, 2 P. Wms. 491.

(*n*) *Cooke v. Willon*, 29 Beav. 100.

(*o*) 2 P. Wms. 491.

CHAP. LV.

Rule long
established in
equity.

The rule in question appears to have invariably prevailed in equity; for we find it laid down in a very early case (*p*), "that it was the constant practice of the Court, if a purchaser *bonâ fide* did buy in an *eigne* incumbrance, statute, or judgment, and there were a judgment or statute *mesne* between that and his purchase, of which he had no notice at his purchase, that he should protect his purchase with the *eigne* incumbrance so bought in; and that though judgments were on record, and a purchaser was bound to take notice thereof at law, yet in equity, where the consignee of a judgment comes to be helped to extend his judgment against a purchaser, he must show express notice of the judgment in the purchaser, or else shall never be relieved against the purchaser."

This rule was re-affirmed in the leading case of *March v. Lee* (*q*), and, since that decision, it has never been open to question that if a purchaser or mortgagee comes in upon a valuable consideration without notice, and purchases a precedent incumbrance, "the legal title shall protect his estate against any person that hath a mortgage subsequent to the first and before the last mortgage, though he purchased in the incumbrance after he had notice of the second mortgage; for he hath both law and equity" (*r*).

Lis pendens
will not oust
the rule.

On this proposition a matter of great importance occurs, viz., that although the third mortgagee get in the first mortgage, &c., *pendente lite*, he shall nevertheless be allowed to tack. The principle on which the doctrine is founded is satisfactorily explained by Lord Keeper Henley (*s*). He says, "The rule of equity requires no more than that the third mortgagee should not have had notice of the second at the time of lending the money; for it is by the lending the money without notice that he becomes an honest creditor, and acquires the right to protect his debt. But he is not compelled to look for this protection till his debt is in danger of being prejudiced; and, therefore, when that danger is first discovered to him (whether it be by suit in equity, or by any extra-judicial means), as the honesty of his debt is not affected by the discovery, so the right of protecting that debt, and the efficacy of such protection, are not

(*p*) *Churchill v. Grove*, 1 Ch. Ca. 35.
See *Hacket v. Wakefield*, Hard. 172.

(*q*) 2 Vent. 337. See *S. C.*, in Wh.
& T. L. C. Eq. 6th ed. Vol. 1. p. 696.

(*r*) Fonb. Eq., Vol. 2, 5th ed.,
p. 302. See *Taylor v. Russell*, (1892)
A. C. 244.

(*s*) *Belchier v. Butler*, 1 Ed. 522, at
p. 530.

prejudiced; hence arose the rule which permitted the subsequent incumbrancers to purchase *pendente lite*."

CHAP. LV.

This point may be considered as established. It will be seen that in *Marsh v. Lee* there was in fact *lis pendens* at the time the mortgage was got in, and in subsequent cases the rule has been adhered to (t).

But although it is thus established that *lis pendens* is not sufficient to prevent the third mortgagee from tacking his debt to a prior security, yet the Court will not allow him to tack after a decree to settle priorities has been made. In *Bristol v. Hungerford* (u), a mortgage creditor got in a judgment after the first decree was made, and in truth after the Master's report; and Lord Cowper held that the mortgagee should not have the benefit of the judgment to protect his mortgage.

Decree prevents tacking.

In a subsequent case (x), Lord Hardwicke confirmed Lord Cowper's decree; and in a more recent case (y), Lord Eldon observed, "There is no difficulty upon the point as to a decree to settle priorities. After that, you cannot tack certainly, for there is a judgment for the creditors that they shall be paid according to their priorities. But you may (as held in the House of Lords) (z), up to the time of the decree, struggle for the *tabula in naufragio*, and though the decree is in a sense only a judgment upon the rights as they stood at the time of the bill filed, yet it was decided in that case that until the decree you may tack."

On the ground that no right of tacking exists where the sum to be tacked was not advanced upon the security of the land (a), a third party, who advances money to the vendor of an estate contracted to be sold upon the security of an assignment of the purchase-money, cannot, by getting in a first mortgage, tack to that the sum he so advanced as against the purchaser, if the purchase-money be exhausted in payment of incumbrances which had been concealed by the vendor (b); and the same would of course follow if the purchase-money had been all, or in great part, paid at the time of the contract, or had been

Advance to be tacked must have been made on the security of the land.

(t) *Hawkins v. Taylor*, 2 Vern. 29; *Belchier v. Butler*, *sup.* And see *Turner v. Richmond*, 2 Vern. 81; *Robinson v. Davison*, 1 Bro. C. C. 63. And see *Peacock v. Burt*, 4 L. J. (N.S.) Ch. 33; *Bates v. Johnson*, John. 304; *Rooper v. Harrison*, 2 K. & J. 86.

(u) 2 Vern. 524.

(x) *Wortley v. Birkhead*, 2 Ves. sen. 574.

(y) *Exp. Knott*, 11 Ves. 619.

(z) *Belchier v. Renforth*, 5 Bro. P. C. 292.

(a) See *ante*, p. 1223.

(b) *Lacey v. Ingle*, 2 Ph. 413, following *Exp. Knott*, 11 Ves. 617.

CHAP. LV.

Notice at
time of
advance pre-
vents tacking.

subsequently paid to the vendor without notice, on the part of the purchaser, of the claim of the third party.

In all instances of the right to tack, it is essential that the party claiming the right had no notice of the other incumbrance at the time of lending his money, for (as observed in *Brace v. The Duchess of Marlborough* (c)), this is his sole equity, and the notice must positively be denied (d), whether charged by the action or not (e).

Notwithstanding doubts (f) whether there was any case in which a third mortgagee had excluded the second, if the first mortgagee, when he conveyed to the third, knew of the second, it has been decided that the notice given by the second mortgagee to the first mortgagee did not prevent the third mortgagee, who lent his money without notice, from tacking (g).

The general principles as to notice as affecting the right of an equitable incumbrancer from getting in the legal estate so as to protect his title, which will be considered later (h), equally affect his right to get in the estate so as to tack his security to a first legal mortgage, so as to gain priority over mesne incumbrancers.

Tacking as
to part.

Where the first mortgagee has a mortgage of only part of the land, a third mortgagee of the whole land, without notice of a second mortgage thereof, can, by tacking, only obtain priority over that part (i), and where such first mortgagee has also a statute, which is purchased by the third mortgagee, who extends the land under it, he still obtains no protection beyond the extended value (k).

Tacking as
against
incumbrance
on part.

Conversely, if the first legal mortgage extends to an entire estate, a subsequent equitable incumbrancer of part only of the estate obtaining a transfer of the first mortgage is entitled to hold the whole estate, until he is satisfied, against a mesne incumbrancer either of the whole (l), or of the part not comprised in his own equitable charge (m).

Tacking by
getting in
prior judg-
ment or
statute.

It was settled that, under the old law, a third mortgagee might protect himself if he acquired the legal estate by means of getting in a prior statute or judgment, and so enable himself

(c) 2 P. Wms. at p. 494.

(d) *Cason v. Round*, Prec. Ch. 226.

(e) See note to *Jones v. Thomas*, 3 P. Wms. 243.

(f) *Maundrell v. Maundrell*, 10 Ves. 246; *Mackreth v. Symmons*, 16 Ves. 335.

(g) *Peacock v. Burt*, 4 L. J. (N. S.) Ch. 33.

(h) *Post*, pp. 1307 *et seq.*

(i) *Marsh v. Lee*, 2 Vent. 337.

(k) *Ib.*

(l) *Bovey v. Skipwith*, 1 Ch. Ca. 201.

(m) *Atherley v. Burnett*, W.N. (1886) 70.

to squeeze out mesne incumbrancers, of whose charges he had no notice, by tacking his mortgage to the statute or judgment (*n*). The reason for this seems to have been that inasmuch as the creditor, whose judgment was got in, had, by extending the lands under his judgment, acquired the legal estate, and also the debtor's interest therein at the time when judgment was obtained, and as the third mortgagee had originally lent his money on the credit of the land over which he had taken an equitable charge, the Court allowed him to protect or fortify his title by getting in the legal estate whenever it might be outstanding, as against incumbrances intermediate between the judgment and his own mortgage, and of whose existence he had no knowledge at the time of his advance.

To give an incumbrancer the advantage of a judgment, statute, or recognizance against an *eigne* mortgagee, the strict forms of law as to enrolment and docketing, and now as to registration, must, it is conceived, have been complied with, or otherwise they will not avail (*o*). If a judgment, &c. be got in by a mortgagee, the Court will not permit a prior incumbrancer to procure a surreptitious release (*p*).

The question would seem to be one of some doubt whether, in the present state of the law, the purchase of a prior judgment (even though the land has been actually delivered in execution, so as to give to the creditor the legal estate) will enable a subsequent incumbrancer to tack his equitable charge to the judgment, so as to claim priority as against mesne incumbrancers.

Whether a
pulsane
incumbrance
can be
tacked to a
judgment.

The advantage to be derived from getting in a precedent statute or judgment depended upon the different procedure of the Courts of law and equity in investigating the account on an extent; for, although lands are generally extended at much less than their true value, yet at common law the conusor, or he that claims under him, has no relief but by bringing a *scire facias ad computandum*, in which, subject to the alteration made by 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 11, with regard to the mode of accounting at law upon a judgment, the conusee does not

(*n*) *Churchill v. Grove*, 1 Ch. Ca. 35; *Hackett v. Wakefield*, Hard. 172; *Edmonds v. Poovey*, 1 Vern. 187; *Sadler v. Bush*, 2 Vern. 30.

(*o*) *Quare*, whether the doctrine of

notice, as recognized in *Davis v. Strathmore*, 16 Ves. 419, would apply to this case.

(*p*) *Earl of Huntington v. Greenville*, 1 Vern. 49.

CHAP. LV.

account according to the true value, but according to the extended value, and for the whole judgment or statute (g).

In equity, the conusor might, however, bring the conusee to account for what he hath actually received, and recover all above the debt with interest (r) : but where an assignee of a judgment or statute extended is also a mortgagee, and consequently a creditor for a further sum, there he hath equal equity on his side to retain the lands until he be satisfied both for the statute and the mortgage; and he will not be brought to account for what he hath received above the statute or judgment debt on the extended value unless he hath received enough to satisfy his mortgage also; consequently, if a *mesne* mortgagee would take off a statute or judgment in the hands of a *puisne* mortgagee by a suit in equity, the account must be, as at law, upon the extended value of what is due on the statute or judgment, and damages (s).

And in such case, where a statute, recognizance, or, under the old law, a judgment is taken in by a mortgagee to defend a subsequent incumbrance, he will be no farther or longer protected by it than until he hath received so much of the profits as will satisfy the original security on the extended value, for then it will be avoided by a *scire facias ad computandum*, or by an account to be taken in Chancery (t).

Difference
between
taking to a
judgment
and to a
statute.

There is, under the old law, a distinction between the effect of purchasing an outstanding judgment, and purchasing a statute (u), for the mortgagee cannot procure to himself, by taking in such security, an advantage beyond the interest to which the owner of his security was entitled; therefore, as a judgment creditor could, under the old law, extend but a moiety, a judgment protected but a moiety in the hands of his assignee; but if the first incumbrance be a statute staple, which attaches upon all the lands of the conusor, a subsequent mortgagee buying in the statute may hold all the land, and thereby protect himself until *at law* the conusor of the statute, by a *scire facias ad computandum*, has got the statute vacated, which can only be upon payment of the penalty, for equity will not, in such case, give any assistance against a third mortgagee,

(g) 2 Ventr. 338; 4 Rep. 67, b; 3 Atk. 518. And see 1 Pow. Mtg. 4th ed. p. 524.

(r) See Roll. Abr. 482; Bac. Abr. Execution, C. 2; see also Bull v. Faulkner, 17 L. J. Ch. 23.

(s) See *Morret v. Paske*, 2 Atk. 52, 53.

(t) See *Earl of Huntington v. Greenville*, 1 Vern. 52.

(u) See 1 Pow. Mtg. 4th ed. p. 482.

without notice, until he has been paid his mortgage as well as the statute, so far as the extended value of the latter will admit.

CHAP. LV.

By 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 11, it is provided that a tenant by *elegit*, who has sued out execution under that Act, is to be liable to the like account in the Court out of which execution shall have been sued out as he was theretofore subject to in a Court of equity.

Stat. 1 & 2
Vict. c. 110,
s. 11.

It appears to have been assumed in the text books that, inasmuch as an execution creditor was by this enactment compelled to have his accounts taken in a Court of common law, which, as a general rule, paid no attention to equitable doctrines, such as that of tacking, the effect of the enactment was to abolish the doctrine of tacking, so far as regards the buying in of a prior judgment by a subsequent incumbrancer (*x*). It may, however, be observed that the enactment provides that the execution creditor is to be subject to the "like account" as he was theretofore subject in equity, and it would seem to be arguable that a Court of common law in taking such account would have been competent, or perhaps even bound, to take cognizance of any question as to right to tack, which might have arisen in the particular case. There appears, however, to be no reported decision on this point.

Effect of this
enactment
considered.

It is, however, conceived that the effect of the Judicature Act, 1873 (*y*), which provides that, in case of conflict between the rules of equity and the rules of the common law in reference to the same matter, the rules of equity shall prevail, is to render it obligatory on every Division of the High Court, in taking accounts, to take cognizance of any right to tack which would, prior to the stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, have been allowed in the Court of Chancery, and thus to restore the right of a subsequent incumbrancer to get in and tack his incumbrance to a prior judgment perfected by legal execution.

The bankruptcy of a mortgagor does not, as a general rule, deprive a mortgagee of his right of tacking (*z*). In *Ex parte Knott* (*a*), it was debated whether the general rule applied as between the assignees of a bankrupt mortgagor and *bond fide* incumbrancers. In that case a mortgage in fee was executed,

Tacking in
bankruptcy.

(*x*) Fish. Mtg. 4th ed. p. 566; Coote Mtg. 5th ed. p. 919; Wh. & T. L. C. Eq., 6th ed. Vol. I. p. 709.

(*y*) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66, s. 25,

sub-s. (11).

(*z*) *Selby v. Pomfret*, 3 De G. F. & J. 595.

(*a*) 11 Ves. 617.

CHAP. LV.

subject to an outstanding term of years. Afterwards a second mortgage was executed to another person ; then (as was alleged) the mortgagor committed an act of bankruptcy, and afterwards executed a third mortgage to a different person, by whom, however, the act of bankruptcy was denied. A commission afterwards issued, grounded on the disputed act of bankruptcy, subsequently to which the third mortgagee obtained a transfer of the mortgage in fee. The third mortgagee at first claimed a right to tack against both the assignees and second mortgagee, but on the argument, the claim against the second mortgagee was abandoned, on the ground that the term of years, being outstanding, operated as his protection (*b*). But, as to the assignees, a distinction was taken by the third mortgagee, who contended that they could not stand in a better situation than the bankrupt himself. The assignees, on the other hand, contended that the assignment was a conveyance for the benefit of creditors, and placed them in the same situation as if the debtor had not been bankrupt, but had made a conveyance for the benefit of his creditors. The point was not decided, an issue being directed to try whether an act of bankruptcy had been committed previously to the date of the third mortgage. In this case it was also endeavoured to be maintained by the assignees that the commission resembled a decree to settle priorities, after which there can be no tacking, as before noticed (*c*). But the Chancellor denied the position, and said the commission was no judgment; it was only a conveyance for the security of creditors; from which it followed, that the issuing of the commission or fiat would not prevent the right of the mortgagee to tack, independently of the question of the advance being made after the commission or fiat issued; and the same will apply to proceedings under the present Act (*d*).

Second rule.
Judgment
creditor can-
not tack his
judgment to
a first mort-
gage.

The second rule laid down in *Brace v. The Duchess of Marlborough* (*e*) is, "that if a judgment creditor, or creditor by statute or recognizance, buys in the first mortgage, he shall not tack or unite this to his judgment, &c. (*f*), and thereby gain a preference; for such a creditor cannot be called a purchaser,

(*b*) *Ante*, p. 1215.

(*c*) *Ante*, p. 1223.

(*d*) 46 & 47 Vict. c. 52.

(*e*) 2 P. Wms. 491.

(*f*) It is obvious that the meaning is that a judgment creditor shall not tack to a precedent mortgage his judgment, &c.

nor has he any right to the land ; he has neither *jus in re*, or *jus ad rem*. All that he has by his judgment is a lien on the land, but *non constat* whether he will ever make use of it, for he may take his debt out of the goods of his debtor by *feri facias*, or may take his body, after which, during the defendant's life, he can have no other execution : besides which, the judgment creditor does not lend his money on the immediate view or contemplation of the land, nor is he deceived or defrauded though his debtor had before made twenty mortgages of his estate ; but a mortgagee is defrauded or deceived if the mortgagor has already mortgaged his land to another."

This rule and the reasons for it are so distinctly explained in the preceding statement that little remains to be said on it. The distinction between the right of a puisne mortgagee, having a specific lien, to tack his mortgage to a judgment, and of a judgment creditor, having no actual charge or lien, but only a prospect of acquiring one, or, as it was sometimes inaccurately called, a "general lien," to tack his judgment to a mortgage, seems to have been fully established. It was recognized by Lord Hardwicke, in an anonymous case (*g*), and there put on the ground that the judgment creditor does not trust to the credit of the estate.

Reasons for
the rule.

In *Ex parte Knott* (*h*), Lord Eldon explained that a mere judgment creditor, though he deals originally for a lien, does not get an estate originally in the land ; he has neither *jus in re*, nor *jus ad rem* ; he is therefore entitled only as a judgment creditor to an *elegit*, and cannot tack. And it may be inferred from the judgment in *Whitcorth v. Gaugain* (*i*), that the law in this respect remains unaltered by 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110 ; for if the effect of the judgment is only to charge the interest which the debtor has remaining in him, the creditor can have no right by means of tacking to cut out an incumbrance which preceded his judgment. It has been held that a judgment creditor had not, merely by virtue of 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 13, such an interest in the lands of his debtor as to enable him to tack a subsequent mortgage (*k*).

Inasmuch as, under the stat. 27 & 28 Vict. c. 112, no judgment, statute, or recognizance now affects land until the land

Effect of
stat. 27 & 28
Vict. c. 112.

(*g*) 2 Ves. sen. 662.
(*h*) 11 Ves. 619. And see *Stephenson v. Hayward*, Frecc. Ch. 810 ; *Breerton v. Jones*, 1 Eq. Ca. Abr. 326.

(*i*) 3 Ha. 416.
(*k*) See *Benham v. Keane*, 3 De G. F. & J. 318.

CHAP. LV.

has been actually delivered in execution by an *elegit* or otherwise, it is clear that the right to tack a judgment to a first mortgage cannot arise until such delivery in execution. And it does not seem that, even after delivery, there is anything in this Act to improve the position of a judgment creditor in this respect over that which he held under the stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, or to make any alteration as to what may be taken in execution, that is to say, the debtor's interest in the land subject to the prior equities; it is conceived that the creditor can take that interest only, and thus cannot get rid of the mesne incumbrances by getting in a first legal mortgage. The point, however, cannot be regarded as settled (1).

Third rule.
First mortgagee may tack further advances on statute or judgment.
Reason for the rule.

The *third* rule in *Brace v. The Duchess of Marlborough* (m) is, that if a first mortgagee lends a further sum to the mortgagor upon a statute or judgment, he shall retain against a mesne mortgagee until both his securities are satisfied.

The reason for the rule, as given by Sir J. Jekyll, M. R., in this case is, that "it is to be presumed that the mortgagee lent his money on the statute or judgment as knowing that he had hold of the land by the mortgage, and in confidence lent the further sum on a security which, though it passed no present interest in the land, yet must be admitted to be a lien thereon." In other words, the justification for the rule is, that the mortgagee, having originally lent on the credit of the estate, and having made his further advance on the security of a judgment giving him an inchoate charge (which he may at any time perfect by obtaining actual delivery in execution), must be deemed to have continued to look to that credit for repayment of his further advance, unlike a simple contract creditor, who cannot be presumed, at the time he lent his money, to have done so on the credit of the land, so as to entitle him to tack his judgment to a prior legal mortgage.

Rule applies to tacking

The rule applies with even greater force where the further advance is made on the security of a subsequent mortgage or

(1) Mr. Fisher (*Mortgages*, p. 566) is of a contrary opinion, on the ground that the stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110 puts the judgment creditor on the footing of an equitable incumbrancer, formerly as from the time when he obtained his judgment, and now from the time when his charge is perfected by delivery in execution. It is to be observed, however, that neither Act puts

the creditor in that position as from the time at which the debt was incurred, at which time it cannot be said that the creditor relied on the land for payment.

(m) 2 P. Wms. at p. 491. See *Shepherd v. Tivley*, 2 Atk. 348; *Bedford v. Backhouse*, 2 Eq. Ca. Abr. 616; *Anon.*, 2 Ves. Sen. 662.

charge, and so necessarily on the security of the land, as when it is made on a statute or judgment (n).

The security for the further advance must be in writing; for though an equitable mortgage may be made by mere deposit of deeds, the principle does not apply where the deeds are already in the possession of the lender as legal mortgagee (o).

This rule results from the doctrine already noticed, viz., where equities are equal, the law shall prevail. But this principle will not apply unless the first mortgagee has the legal estate, or the better right to call for it; for otherwise, as hereafter noticed (p), the incumbrancers, whether by mortgage, judgment, statute, or recognizance, will be payable according to the priority of their respective incumbrances; nor will it apply if the first mortgagee had notice of the mesne incumbrance at the time of making the further advance (q), or if it was made *pendente lite* (r), the suit being duly registered.

First, then, a first mortgagee, in order to entitle him to tack a further advance as against mesne incumbrancers, must either actually have the legal estate, or must have the best right to require it to be conveyed to him. What will put a mortgagee in this position has been already considered (s).

Secondly, in order to give a first mortgagee the right to tack a further advance, he must at the time of making such advance have had no notice of the mesne incumbrances.

Although a mortgage is expressly made to secure the sum then lent, and also further advances, and although the second mortgage is made to another person, with notice of the first, yet if the further advances are made by the first mortgagee with notice of the second, the first mortgagee cannot tack such advances against the second (t).

A purchaser with notice of a mortgage or deposit to cover future advances is not bound to inquire whether any future advances have been made after the mortgagee has notice of the sale (u).

(n) *Gordon v. Graham*, 2 Eq. Ca. Abr. 698; *Morret v. Paske*, 2 Atk. 52; *Godfrey v. Tucker*, 33 Beav. 280; *Wyllie v. Pollen*, 11 W. R. 1081.

(o) *Exp. Hooper*, 1 Mer. 7.

(p) *Post*, pp. 1237 et seq.

(q) *Lloyd v. Attwood*, 3 De G. & J. 612.

(r) *Morret v. Paske*, 2 Atk. 53.

(s) *Ante*, p. 1217.

(t) *Shaw v. Neale*, 6 H. L. C. 581, 608; *Hopkinson v. Rolt*, 9 H. L. C.

514; *Williams v. Owen*, 13 Sim. 597; *Blunden v. Desart*, 6 H. L. C. 597; *London & County Bank v. Ratcliffe*, 6 App. Cas. 722; *Union Bank of Scotland v. National Bank of Scotland*, 12 App. Cas. 53. In *Dawn v. City of London Brewery Co.*, L. R. 8 Eq. 155, it was held that the rule is not excluded by any custom as between brewers and distillers.

(u) *London & County Bank v. Ratcliffe*, *sup.*

subsequent incumbrances.

Further security must be in writing.

Principle of the rule.

First mortgagee must have legal estate, or best right to call for it.

First mortgagee must not have notice at time of advance.

CHAP. LV.

Further advance made *pendente lite* cannot be tacked.

Further advances after act of bankruptcy.

Mortgagee must claim both mortgages in the same right.

Further advances made to a person wrongfully in possession of the equity of redemption may be tacked, if the mortgagee had no notice of the bad title (*x*).

As none but a *bond fide* purchaser of a puisne incumbrance without notice of intermediate incumbrances can tack it to a prior mortgage, it is obvious that a first mortgagee cannot tack to his mortgage a subsequent incumbrance got in by him, or a further advance made by him *pendente lite*, as the registration of the *lis pendens* would affect him with notice of the intermediate incumbrances (*y*).

It was said that a mortgagee is entitled to tack advances made after an act of bankruptcy without notice (*z*); but this is opposed to a dictum of Lord Redesdale and other authorities (*a*): this controversy does not seem now material since the Bankruptcy Act, 1869 (*b*), s. 94, sub-s. 3, and s. 95, sub-s. 1, under which, and under the Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (*c*), s. 49, such advances made in the interval between the act of bankruptcy and the order of adjudication would be protected as a *bond fide* dealing.

An exception to the rule under consideration, however, prevails, if the first mortgagee takes the assignment of a subsequent mortgage as a trustee for another person; in which case he shall not be allowed to tack the mortgages, for if he might, then a mere stranger purchasing the third mortgage, and declaring he had bought it in trust only for the first mortgagee, might tack both together, and defeat all the other incumbrancers (*d*). Similarly (*e*), the executors of a first mortgagee who had the legal estate in his own right, cannot, as against a mesne incumbrancer, tack a mortgage of the equity of redemption, which had vested in their testator as the executor of another. It is just the same as if the estates were in two different persons.

But the prior mortgagee may tack under a deed which secures a debt of his own, though it also contains trusts for others (*f*).

(*x*) *Young v. Young*, L. R. 3 Eq. 801.

(*y*) *Morret v. Pasko*, 2 Atk. 52, 53.

(*z*) *Wise on Bank*, 2nd ed. p. 163;

Collet v. De Gols, Cas. t. Talb. (Williams) 65; *Fozcroft v. Devonshire*, 2 Burr. 938.

(*a*) *Latouche v. Dunsany*, 1 Sch. & L. 162; *Exp. Knott*, 11 Ves. 616; *Exp. Herbert*, 13 Ves. 183; *Fisher v. Touchett*, 1 Ed. 163, n.; *Sug. V. & P.* 14th ed. pp. 724, 762; *Fish. Mtg.* 4th ed.

p. 571.

(*b*) 32 & 33 Vict. c. 71.

(*c*) 46 & 47 Vict. c. 52, s. 49, set out ante, p. 585.

(*d*) *Morret v. Pasko*, 2 Atk. 52; *Shaw v. Neale*, 6 H. L. C. 581; *Spencer v. Pearson*, 24 Beav. 266; *Bates v. Johnson*, Johns. 304.

(*e*) *Barnett v. Weston*, 12 Ves. 130. And see *Lewis v. Morgan*, 5 Pri. 155.

(*f*) *Spencer v. Pearson*, sup.

And the right to tack exists where the mortgagee, though trustee of one debt, has a beneficial interest in it (*g*).

A mortgagor cannot, of course, be allowed, either directly or through the intervention of another person, to defeat the rights of creditors whose incumbrances he has himself created. So, where a first mortgage, and a subsequent charge in favour of the same mortgagee to secure a further advance, had, on being paid off, been kept alive and transferred to a trustee for the mortgagor, it was held that the trustee stood in the position of his *cestui que trust*, and could not prejudice the rights of mesne incumbrancers by tacking as against them any more than the *cestui que trust* himself could (*h*).

The question as to whether a prior judgment creditor can tack a subsequent security so as to squeeze out mesne incumbrancers would seem not to be quite free from doubt. The case of *Smithson v. Thompson* (*i*) is sometimes relied upon as an authority, at all events under the old law, in favour of an answer in the affirmative; but it is to be observed that, in that case, there were two successive judgments and a mortgage, and it does not appear from the report that the first judgment creditor had proceeded to execution so as to acquire the legal estate by virtue of his judgment, nor does it appear that the mortgage was not a legal mortgage; so that it is quite possible that the first judgment creditor may have acquired the legal estate by virtue of his mortgage, in which case his mortgage and his judgment had priority over the second judgment quite irrespective of tacking.

Whether judgment creditor may tack subsequent security against mesne incumbrancers.

The effect of the stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110 was to put a judgment creditor in the position of a person having an equitable charge on the land, though he might, as before the Act, acquire the legal estate if he perfected his judgment by issuing out *elegit* and causing the lands to be actually extended by the sheriff.

By the stat. 27 & 28 Vict. c. 112, no judgment is to affect land unless the land is actually taken in execution, legal or equitable. It is, of course, only legal execution that can confer the legal estate. It would seem that the interest given to a judgment creditor under 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110 is not of itself, without execution, sufficient to enable him to tack a subsequent mortgage.

(*g*) *Price v. Eastnedge*, Amb. 686; *Blackwell v. Symes*, cited *ib.*; *Re Raggett*, 16 Ch. D. 117.

(*h*) *Ladbroke v. Paseman*, W. N. (1888) 156.

(*i*) 1 Atk. 520.

CHAP. LV.

Moreover, it might perhaps be argued that even if the judgment creditor has acquired the legal estate by suing out legal execution on the lands, he would not be allowed to tack a subsequent incumbrance on another ground, viz., that under the stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 11, a judgment creditor must now account for the amount actually received, and not, as formerly, only for the extended value; but it would seem that the Court, in taking the accounts, might feel itself bound to recognize an equitable right of the judgment creditor to tack (*k*).

It is laid down by Mr. Powell (*l*), on the authority of a dictum in "Equity Cases Abridged" (*m*), that if a first mortgagee purchase a subsequent judgment, without the consent of the mortgagor, a mesne mortgagee may redeem without payment of both, because such a transaction tends to burden the estate, without bettering the security of the mortgagee; a position which, it is submitted, is not tenable.

Upon the principle that no security or debt can be tacked to a prior legal mortgage, unless it is a lien on the land (*n*), a mortgagee is not entitled to charge in account against the mortgagor further advances secured by bond, or to tack the bond debt as against mesne incumbrancers, whether by mortgage, judgment, or statute (*o*).

The same principle applies *à fortiori* to further advances which are simple contract debts, in respect of which the mortgagee must rely on his ordinary remedies for enforcing payment of such a debt, and, in case of the bankruptcy of his debtor or the administration of his assets after his death, must come in rateably with other creditors of equal degree (*p*).

Fourth rule.
No tacking
where legal
estate is out-
standing.

The last rule in *Brace v. Duchess of Marlborough* (*q*) is as follows: "It appearing that a puisne incumbrancer had bought in a prior mortgage, in order to unite the same to the puisne

(*k*) See *ante*, p. 1227.

(*l*) See 1 Pow. 4th ed. p. 536.

(*m*) *Brereton v. Jones*, 1 Eq. Ca. Abr. 326, pl. 11.

(*n*) *Supra*, p. 1223.

(*o*) *Morret v. Paske*, 2 Atk. 54. See *Powis v. Corbet*, 3 Atk. 556; *Anon.*, 2 Ves. Sen. 662; *Lowthian v. Hasel*, 3 Bro. C. C. 162; *Rolfe v. Chester*, 20 Beav. 613; *Thomas v. Thomas*, 22 Beav. 341.

(*p*) In bankruptcy all debts proved, with exceptions as to debts secured by mortgage, charge, or lien, and other debts to which priority is given by statute, are payable *pari passu*. See 46 & 47 Vict. c. 52, s. 40. By the stat. 32 & 33 Vict. c. 46, in the administration of the assets of deceased persons, specialty and simple contract debts are treated as being of equal degree.

(*q*) 2 P. Wms. at p. 495.

incumbrance, but it being proved that there was a mortgage prior to that, the Court clearly held that the puisne incumbrancer, where he had not got the legal estate, or where the legal estate was vested in a trustee, could there make no advantage of his mortgage; but in all cases where the legal estate is standing out, the several incumbrances must be paid according to their priority in point of time: "*Qui prior est in tempore, potior est in jure.*" This rule, that tacking will not be allowed where the legal estate is outstanding, is a corollary to the general rule that the priorities of equitable incumbrances on real estate are regulated by order of date (*r*).

iii.—**Tacking against Sureties.**—Where a man mortgages his estate, and a surety mortgages another estate, to secure a mortgage debt, and the principal makes a second mortgage of his estate to the same mortgagee for another sum, the surety is entitled to redeem the first mortgage on payment of the first mortgage debt only, and the mortgagee is not entitled to tack the second mortgage (*s*); and the same result follows whether there is or is not a provision that the mortgagee shall resort to the principal's estate first (*t*).

But it was held in *Williams v. Owen* (*u*), that if a further charge is afterwards made by the mortgagor in favour of the same mortgagee, the surety cannot, on paying off the first charge, call for an assignment of the mortgage security without redeeming the further charge (*u*), unless a right of redemption is given him (*u*). The reason given was that the right to tack a further advance against the surety depended upon the right of the mortgagee to make the further advance, and that if this right be not affected by the agreement with the surety, the right of the latter will be subject to the mortgagor's power over the equity of redemption, and the further advance may be tacked against him (*x*). But *Williams v. Owen* (*u*) is at variance with the later case of *Bowker v. Bull* (*y*), in which, however, it was not cited. It is said by the Master of the Rolls in *Farebrother v. Wodehouse* (*z*), that Lord Cranworth, in *Bowker v. Bull* (*y*),

(*r*) See this rule considered *post*, pp. 1237 *et seq.*

(*s*) *Bowker v. Bull*, 1 Sim. N. S. 29.

(*t*) *Bowker v. Bull*, *sup.* And see *James v. Smith*, 2 Ves. Jun. 372; *Aldworth v. Robinson*, 2 Beav. 287.

(*u*) *Williams v. Owen*, 13 Sim. 597; but see *infra*.

(*x*) *Williams v. Owen*, *sup.*; *Farebrother v. Wodehouse*, 23 Beav. 18.

(*y*) *Sup.*

(*z*) *Sup.*

CHAP. LV.

had regard to the special contract in that case; this, however, is not so, for that case was decided on the broad principle that the mortgagee, when he made his further advance, had notice of, and was bound by, the right of the surety to the first security. *Williams v. Owen* has also been disapproved of (a), and is inconsistent with *Hopkinson v. Rolt* (b).

So if the mortgagee's right to make a further advance be affected by notice of a mesne incumbrance, such notice will not only prevent him from tacking as against that incumbrance, but will also entitle the surety to the benefit of the security, on payment of the original advance alone (c).

In one case it was attempted to be argued, but without success, that a second incumbrancer on a fund was to be preferred (by reason of notice given to the trustees) to a person who had acted as surety for the mortgagor on the creation of the first incumbrance, and had not given notice of a deed which on that occasion had been executed by all the parties, and under which the surety was to have the benefit of the first incumbrance to the amount of the payments to be made by him to the first incumbrancer on the mortgagor's behalf (d); and the case would seem to be the same, though there had not been any such other deed, that is, that the common right of the surety would avail against the second incumbrancer.

SECTION III.

OF PRIORITY AS BETWEEN EQUITABLE MORTGAGES OF LAND.

Doctrine of priority by notice not applicable to equitable mortgages of land.

i.—Notice not applicable to Land.—The doctrine that priority is gained by notice given to the legal holder of property, which applies to equitable interests in pure personalty, and to choses in action, is not applicable to equitable interests in land, or such personalty as is in equity real estate, as to which no priority is gained by giving notice to the trustee or other person in whom the legal estate is vested (e); and the doctrine has been held not to apply to

(a) *Dawson v. Bank of Whitehaven*, 4 Ch. D. 649; *Forbes v. Jackson*, 19 Ch. D. 615.

(b) 9 H. L. C. 514.

(c) *Drew v. Lockett*, 32 Beav. 499.

(d) *Re Cawthorne*, 12 Beav. 56;

Forbes v. Jackson, 19 Ch. D. 615.

(e) *Horlock v. Priestley*, 2 Sim. 75; *Jones v. Jones*, 8 Sim. 633; *Wilmot v. Pyke*, 5 H. 14; *Rooper v. Harrison*, 2 K. & J. 86; *Lee v. Howlett*, 2 K. & J. 631; *Phipps v. Lovegrove*, L. R. 16

sub-mortgages (*f*), nor to an assignment in equity of an annuity charged by will upon land (*g*). So, notwithstanding doubts once expressed (*h*), notice given to a first legal mortgagee will not affect the third mortgagee, who having advanced his money without notice of a second mortgage shall afterwards pay off, and take a transfer of, the first legal mortgage; such third mortgage overrides the second mortgage, though the transfer was made simultaneously with the advance by the third mortgagee (*i*). In like manner, notice given by a third mortgagee, though accompanied with an indorsement on the title deeds of the first mortgage, will not give priority to such third incumbrancer over the second, who has given no notice (*k*).

But priority will be gained by notice given by the later of two equitable incumbrancers of trust money produced by sale of real estate; and the same rule will apply if the charges are on a portion to be raised by trustees out of real estate, or on any such interest in land vested in trustees as can only reach the hands of the beneficiary in the shape of money (*l*).

Exception as to proceeds of sale of land and portions.

It is not to be inferred, from the circumstance of an assignee of an equitable interest in land acquiring no priority by giving notice to the owner of the legal estate, that it may not be a proper and prudent step to give notice. If, for instance, the legal estate is vested in a first mortgagee, notice should be given in order to prevent his tacking a subsequent charge to his first mortgage, or parting with the legal estate to any other person on being paid off (*m*).

Advisability of giving notice in certain cases.

It is also advisable that a puisne incumbrancer should give notice of his charge to every prior mortgagee, whether legal or equitable, in order to prevent such mortgagee, on an exercise of his express or statutory power of sale, from paying over the surplus proceeds of sale to the mortgagor (*n*).

ii.—Priority of Equitable Mortgages of Land regulated by Order of Date.—Where all the incumbrances on real estate or

General rule

Eq. 80. See *Ford v. White*, 16 Beav. 120; *Re Carrow*, 14 W. R. 1077; *Mumford v. Stowasser*, L. R. 18 Eq. 556; *Union Bank of London v. Kent*, 39 Ch. D. 238, C. A.; *Re Richards, Humber v. Richards*, 45 Ch. D. 589, 597.
(*f*) *Jones v. Gibbon*, 9 Ves. 407; *Exp. Mackay*, 1 M. D. & De G. 550. See *Malcolm v. Charlesworth*, 1 Keen, 63.
(*g*) *Wiltshire v. Rabbits*, 14 Sim. 76; *Boehard v. Fulton*, 1 J. & L. 413.

(*h*) *Maackreth v. Symmons*, 15 Ves. 337.

(*i*) *Peacock v. Burt*, 4 L. J. (N. S.) Ch. 33.

(*k*) *Jones v. Jones*, 8 Sim. 633.

(*l*) See *post*, p. 1264.

(*m*) *Dav. Con.* 4th ed. Vol. II. pt. ii. pp. 231, 232.

(*n*) See *Thorne v. Heard and Marsh*, (1895) A. C. 495.

CHAP. LV.

chattels real are equitable, priorities are generally regulated in order of date and not by priority of notice. Where equities are equal, the prior equity prevails: *Qui prior est tempore, potior est jure* (o).

This rule applies equally whether the outstanding legal estate is a fee or a term of years (p), or a term attendant upon the inheritance (q), if not merged by the Satisfied Terms Act (r); in which latter case the term will in equity follow all the estates subsisting upon the inheritance.

An equitable incumbrancer on land is not bound to give any notice, and is not postponed by any absence of activity in asserting his rights, unless his acts amount to fraud (s).

In reference to the general rule as to the priority of equitable incumbrances on real estate, it is necessary to remark, that as between mere equitable claims, equity gives no preference to mortgages, charges, liens, judgments, statutes, or recognizances, but they are all payable according to their respective priority of dates (t); and even if a judgment creditor obtain legal possession of the lands by his *elegit*, and the tenant attorn, a prior equitable mortgagee or other *specific* incumbrancer will be preferred in equity, and may there enforce his charge, upon the ground that though the judgment creditor has acquired the legal estate, he has no beneficial interest beyond the interest of the debtor himself in the land, viz., the property subject to the prior incumbrance (u); and so, after a valid equitable assignment of chattels, a judgment creditor will not be allowed to seize under a *fiery facias* (v).

In like manner, in the case of a deposit of title deeds, which are held by the depositor subject to a trust, the lien of the deposittee will be postponed to that of the *cestuis que trust* (x).

(o) *Lord Bristol v. Hungerford*, 2 Vern. 525; *Beckett v. Cordley*, 1 Bro. C. C. 353; *Rice v. Rice*, 2 Drew. 73; *Frere v. Moore*, 8 Pri. 475; *Isaac v. Wolstencroft*, 67 L. T. 361. As to priority by registration of mortgages of land in Middlesex and Yorkshire, and in Ireland, see *post*, p. 1240.

(p) *Exp. Knott*, 11 Ves. 63.

(q) *Charlton v. Lov*, 3 P. Wms. 330.

(r) 8 & 9 Vict. c. 112. A term which was already attendant might before this Act have been clothed with a trust for a mortgagee or purchaser. See Sug. R. P. St. 2nd ed. p. 282, n.

(s) *Rooper v. Harrison*, 2 K. & J. 86.

(t) *Turner v. Richmond*, 2 Vern. 81; *Lord Bristol v. Hungerford*, 2 Vern.

524; *Beckett v. Cordley*, 1 Bro. P. C. 353; *Symmes v. Symonds*, 4 Bro. P. C. 328; *Manningford v. Toleman*, 1 Coll. 670; *Rice v. Rice*, 2 Drew. 73; *Reckard v. Fulton*, 1 J. & L. 413, 439; *Thorpe v. Holdsworth*, L. R. 7 Eq. 139. See *Re Morgan, Pilgrim v. Pilgrim*, 18 Ch. D. 92, C. A., ante, p. 405.

(u) *Whitcorth v. Gaugain*, 3 Ha. 416.

(v) *Langton v. Horton*, 1 Ha. 549.

(x) *Manningford v. Toleman*, *sup.*; *Cory v. Eyre*, 1 De G. J. & S. 149; *Stackhouse v. Countess of Jersey*, 1 J. & H. 721; *Newton v. Newton*, L. R. 6 Eq. 135. See *Moore v. Jarvis*, 2 Coll. 60; *Shropshire Union, &c. Co. v. Reg.*, L. R. 7 H. L. 496, 510 (personalty).

So where a solicitor holds a mortgage in trust, partly for himself and partly for a client, and deposits the deeds with his banker, the client is preferred (*y*).

CHAP. LV.

In one case, A. being entitled to a legacy charged on real estate devised to B., by a deed to which B. was a party, and which recited the intention to keep the legacy charged on the estate, assigned the legacy to trustees on the trusts of a settlement, and afterwards A., without the concurrence of the trustees, released the charge, and B. conveyed the estate to A. and X. (subject to existing incumbrances), in trust to sell or mortgage, under which first a legal mortgage was created, and secondly an equitable mortgage was made to a judgment creditor, who entered up satisfaction on his judgment in consideration of it; the Master of the Rolls held that the second mortgage had priority to the legacy, on the ground that the equities of the parties were not equal, and therefore that the above rule was inapplicable (*z*). The decision in this case, however, seems open to question, for both parties were equally defrauded and equally innocent, and the release, though operative at law, was inoperative in equity. The trustees of the legacy might, it is true, have put notice of the settlement on the title deeds, but this might not have availed to give notice to the second mortgagee. It is difficult to see in the fact that A. had purported to release the legacy, a reason for giving priority in equity to the second mortgage (*a*).

Rule only applies where the equities are equal.

The rule "*qui prior est tempore, potior est jure*" applies where a mortgagor has practised a fraud on the prior incumbrancer, by falsely alleging, at the time that the incumbrance is created, that he has already created a charge on the property in favour of a third person, subject to which supposed charge the mortgage is accordingly made, but which charge is in fact created subsequently (*b*).

Effect of fraud.

So where a vendor, after a contract for sale, gave an equitable mortgage to a person without notice of the contract, the purchaser on specific performance was held to be entitled to deduct his costs from the purchase-money in priority to the mortgage (*c*).

If a subsequent equitable incumbrancer, having acquired the legal estate, as under a trust for sale, sells the estate and so parts with the legal estate, he loses his protection, and the

(*y*) *Bradley v. Riches*, 9 Ch. D. 189.

(*z*) *Greenwood v. Churchill*, 6 Beav. 314. See *Re French's Estate*, 21 L. R. Ir. 283, C. A.

(*a*) See as to loss of priority by fraud or negligence, *post*, pp. 1301 *et seq.*

(*b*) *Frazer v. Jones*, 17 L. J. Ch. 353; *Sober v. Kemp*, 6 Ha. 155.

(*c*) *Green v. Sevin*, 13 Ch. D. 589.

CHAP. LV.

purchase-moneys in his hands are subject to priorities according to date (*d*).

The rule that mere equitable claims have priority according to date is open to the distinction between an equity and an equitable estate or interest. A mere equity to set aside a deed for fraud or rectify it for mistake is not such an equitable estate or interest; but trust money wrongfully laid out in land, a vendor's lien for unpaid purchase-money, and equitable incumbrances, are interests or estates in the land, and so within the rule (*e*).

In the former case, a claimant with a merely equitable right could, before the Judicature Act, 1873, have had no relief as against a purchaser for value without notice, for equity would take no step whatever as against such a purchaser (*f*); but though this rule is now altered, the defence of purchase without notice would never have availed, and does not now avail where the Court is called upon to make a declaration of priorities between purely equitable claimants, for, as their several conveyances are equally innocent conveyances, the Court cannot interfere in favour of one more than the others, but must apply the general rule that they shall rank according to the date of their respective securities (*g*).

The distinction is, however, still of some importance, for where an application is made for simply equitable relief, the Court has a discretion whether it will grant or refuse that relief, and, therefore, can grant it on such terms as the Court thinks fit to impose; but where a declaration of priorities is asked, no terms of any kind can be imposed (*h*).

SECTION IV.

PRIORITY BY REGISTRATION OF MORTGAGES OF LAND.

i.—The Statutes relating to Registration of Deeds, &c., relating to Land.—By the Middlesex Registry Act (*i*), all deeds and wills concerning estates within the county of Middlesex are directed to be registered. And all such deeds are to be adjudged fraudulent and void against any subsequent purchaser or mort-

Middlesex
Registry
Acts.

(*d*) *Rooper v. Harrison*, 2 K. & J. 86.
(*e*) *Phillips v. Phillips*, 4 De G. F. & J. 208, 218.

(*f*) *Jerrard v. Saunders*, 2 Ves. Jun. 464; *Hunter v. Walters*, L. R. 7 Ch. A. 69. See as to the plea of purchase for value without notice, *post*, pp. 1311 *et seq.*

(*g*) *Phillips v. Phillips*, *sup.* at p. 215; *Cave v. Cave*, 15 Ch. D. 639, 646. See *Stackhouse v. Countess of Jersey*, 1 J. &

H. 721; *Frazer v. Jones*, 17 L. J. Ch. 353.

(*h*) *Portsea Island Building Soc. v. Barclay*, (1895) 2 Ch. 298, 308.

(*i*) 7 Anne, c. 20, s. 1. This Act has been amended and partially repealed by the Lands Registry (Middlesex Deeds) Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 64), but not so as to affect the provisions stated in the text.

gaged for valuable consideration, unless a memorial thereof be registered in the manner thereby prescribed before the registering of the memorial of the deed under which such subsequent purchaser or mortgagee shall claim.

CHAP. LV.

Similar provisions, with some variations, existed with regard to deeds concerning estates within the North, East, and West Ridings of the county of York, or within the town and county of Kingston-upon-Hull (*k*). But by the Yorkshire Registries Act, 1884 (*l*), the earlier Yorkshire Registries Acts are repealed, and the law relating to the registration of deeds and other instruments affecting lands and hereditaments within Yorkshire and Kingston-upon-Hull is consolidated and amended. The repeal is not to affect anything duly done or suffered, or any right or liability acquired or incurred under any enactment so repealed prior to the commencement of the Act (*m*).

Yorkshire
Registries
Act, 1884.

The present Act provides (*n*), that all deeds and assurances of such lands, &c., with certain exceptions, executed after the commencement of the Act may be registered in manner thereby prescribed. No period is limited by this Act or by the amending Act of 1885 (*o*), within which assurances are to be registered. Assurances entitled to be registered are to have priority according to the date of registration, not according to the date of such assurances or of their execution. The Act does not interfere with priorities as between themselves of assurances registered on the same day (*p*).

By the Bedford Level Act (*q*), all conveyances and charges, except leases for seven years, of the 95,000 acres comprised in the Act are required to be registered. But unregistered conveyances and charges of such lands are nevertheless valid for all purposes except for the purpose of entitling the grantees to the privileges conferred by the Act (*r*).

Bedford Level
registry.

The Irish Act (*s*) contains similar enactments, and also the further important provision that every deed or conveyance, a memorial whereof shall be duly registered, shall be good and effectual both at law and in equity according to the priority of time of registering the memorial, and according to the right and interest of the persons conveying.

Registry in
Ireland.

- (*k*) 2 & 3 Anne, c. 4; 6 Anne, c. 35; amended by 48 & 49 Vict. c. 54, s. 4.
8 Geo. II. c. 6. But see *infra*, p. 1246.
(*l*) 47 & 48 Vict. c. 54. (*q*) 15 Car. II. c. 17.
(*m*) The 1st January, 1885. (*r*) *Willis v. Brown*, 10 Sim. 127.
(*n*) Sect. 4. (*s*) 6 Anne, c. 2, s. 4. See *Warburton*
(*o*) 48 & 49 Vict. c. 26. v. *Loveland*, 6 Bli. N. S. 1.
(*p*) 47 & 48 Vict. c. 54, s. 14, as

CHAP. LV.

By the Registration of Title (Ireland) Act, 1891 (*t*), local registries of title to land in Ireland have been established in that part of the United Kingdom. Such registration is made compulsory in the case of lands sold under the Land Purchase Acts; but any other lands may be voluntarily registered under this Act, and, if so registered, are exempted from the operation of the earlier Act as to registration of deeds, &c., relating to land in Ireland.

Exemption
from local
registration
of documents
registered
under Land
Transfer Act,
1875.

The Land Transfer Act, 1875 (*u*), exempts land registered under it from and after the date of registration from the jurisdiction of the local registries for Middlesex, the West, North, and East Ridings of Yorkshire, and the town and county of Kingston-upon-Hull; and no document relating to any such registered land executed, and no testamentary instrument relating to any such registered land, coming into operation subsequently to such date as last aforesaid, is required to be registered in any of the said local registries.

Subject to any entry to the contrary on the register, charges on the same land registered under that Act shall, as between themselves, rank according to the order in which they are entered on the register, and not according to the order in which they are created (*x*).

Indian Acts.

Under the Indian Registration Acts, unregistered deeds have no effect, notwithstanding notice, either in India or England (*y*).

Place of
registration.

By the Land Registry (Middlesex Deeds) Act, 1891 (*z*), the Middlesex Registry is transferred to the Land Registry established under the Land Transfer Act, 1875 (*a*).

By the Yorkshire Registries Act, 1884 (*b*), three offices for registration of deeds, &c. are established, namely, at Northallerton for the North Riding, at Beverley for the East Riding, and at Wakefield for the West Riding.

Attestation
of memorial.

The memorial of registry in the English counties, in order to be effective, must formerly have been attested by one of the witnesses to the deed and a second witness; and a re-execution of the deed before fresh witnesses would not avail (*c*). But now in Middlesex a memorial must be attested by one witness, where

(*t*) 54 & 55 Vict. c. 66.

(*u*) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 87, s. 127. The provisions of this Act as to the creation and registration of mortgages thereunder are set out *ante*, pp. 38 *et seq.*

(*x*) Sect. 28.

(*y*) Indian Acts, No. xvi. of 1864;

No. xx. of 1866; *Hicks v. Powell*, L. R. 4 Ch. A. 741.

(*z*) 54 & 55 Vict. c. 64, s. 1.

(*a*) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 87.

(*b*) 47 & 48 Vict. c. 54, s. 31.

(*c*) See *Essex v. Baugh*, 1 Y. & C. C. C. 620.

practicable, to be a witness to the deed or conveyance (*d*). In Yorkshire the attestation must be by one or more witnesses, one of whom, at least, shall have been a witness to the execution of the deed (*e*). In Ireland, the attestation must be by one witness, who must have been a witness to the execution of the deed (*f*). If a deed affecting land in Ireland is executed in England, the witness attesting the memorial must make a declaration on oath before a commissioner for oaths or a justice of the peace as to such attestation.

ii.—What Instruments require Registration.—The Acts do not extend (*g*) to assurances of any copyhold estates or to any leases at rack-rent, or for a term not exceeding twenty-one years where the actual possession and occupation go with the lease, and the Middlesex Registry Act excepted from its operation any of the chambers in Serjeants' Inn or the Inns of Court or Chancery in Middlesex. It is, however, considered advisable, though not clearly necessary, to register leases of copyholds where leases of freeholds would be registered, the lease being a common law interest (*h*). Since the site of Serjeants' Inn is within the City of London, it is considered that the exception of it from the operation of the Act was an error, and does not imply that assurances of property within the city must generally be registered; and this understanding is commonly acted on in practice (*h*).

Copyholds
and leases.

Where an assignment of leaseholds is by way of mortgage, in which case it is not generally intended that the actual possession and occupation should go along with the lease, the lease and assignment should be registered, though the lease is for a term not exceeding twenty-one years.

Mortgage of
leaseholds
held for term
not exceeding
twenty-one
years.

An equitable mortgage by deposit of deeds without memorandum is not within the Middlesex and Irish Registry Acts, as there is nothing to register (*i*).

Mortgage by
deposit.

(*d*) 54 & 55 Vict. c. 64, Sched. I. (2).

(*e*) 47 & 48 Vict. c. 54, s. 6.

(*f*) 6 Anne, c. 25.

(*g*) See as to Middlesex, 7 Anne, c. 20, s. 18; as to Yorkshire, 47 & 48 Vict. c. 54, s. 28. The exception was not in the West Riding Act. The Irish Act confines the exception to

leases for twenty-one years with actual possession: see 6 Anne, c. 2, s. 14.

(*h*) Sug. V. & P. 14th ed. p. 732.

(*i*) *Sumpter v. Cooper*, 2 B. & Ad. 226; *Re McKinney's Estate*, Ir. R. 6 Eq. 445. And see *Re Hamilton's Estate*, 9 Ir. Ch. Rep. 512.

CHAP. LV.

But by sect. 7 of the Yorkshire Registries Act, 1884, it is enacted as follows:—

“Where any lien or charge on any lands within any of the three ridings is claimed in respect of any unpaid purchase-money, or by reason of any deposit of title deeds, a memorandum of such lien or charge, signed by the person against whom such lien or charge is claimed, may be registered by any person claiming to be interested therein (k). And no such lien or charge shall have any effect or priority as against any assurance for valuable consideration which may be registered under this Act, unless and until a memorandum thereof has been registered in accordance with the provisions of this section.”

And by sect. 14 of the same Act it is enacted that—

“Subject to the provisions of this Act, all assurances (l) entitled to be registered under this Act shall have priority according to the date of registration thereof, and not according to the date of such assurance, or of the execution thereof.”

The effect of these enactments is that a mortgage by deposit by way of security of deeds relating to land in Yorkshire, unaccompanied by a memorandum of deposit, will be postponed to a subsequent mortgage of the same property which is duly registered, unless the subsequent mortgagee is deprived by “actual fraud” (m) of the protection of the Act (n).

Equitable
incumbrances.

Notwithstanding the word “conveyance” and other expressions in the Acts, equitable incumbrances, instruments not under seal, a memorandum of further charge, and agreements for a mortgage, require registration (o).

Assurances
of interests
in land.

An assignment of a legacy charged on land has been held not to be within the Acts, and therefore priority cannot be gained by registration thereof as against a prior unregistered assignment (p). It has been considered that this case is open to question (q); but the principle of the decision was approved and followed in a recent case (r), in which Sir E. Kay, J., held

(k) The omitted part of this section states the requirements of the Act with regard to a memorandum of charge.

(l) The expression “assurance” in this Act includes a “memorandum of charge.” See sect. 3 of the Act.

(m) See the concluding part of sect. 14, set out *infra*, p. 1247.

(n) *Battison v. Hobson*, (1896) 2 Ch. 403.

(o) *Moore v. Culverhouse*, 27 Beav. 639; *Neve v. Pennell*, 2 H. & M. 170; *Re Wight's*

Mortgage Trusts, L. R. 16 Eq. 41, V.-C. Malins; *Credland v. Potter*, L. R. 10 Ch. A. 8; overruling *Wright v. Stanfield*, 27 Beav. 8. And see *Meek v. Bayliss*, 31 L. J. Ch. 448; *Copland v. Davies*, L. R. 5 H. L. 358.

(p) *Malcolm v. Charlesworth*, 1 Keen, 63; *Re Jennings*, 8 Ir. Ch. R. 421; Sug. V. & P. 14th ed. p. 727.

(q) Dav. Conv. 4th ed. Vol. II. pt. ii. p. 219; Fish. Mtg. 4th ed. p. 61.

(r) *Arden v. Arden*, 29 Ch. D. 702.

that the Local Registration Acts are intended to apply only to dealings at law or in equity with the land itself, and, accordingly, that an incumbrancer upon a share in the proceeds of land in Middlesex, devised in trust for sale, could not obtain priority over other incumbrancers upon the share by registering his mortgage deed, but that the priorities of the several incumbrancers ranked according to their respective notices to the trustees.

The English County Register Acts provide that wills affecting lands may be registered within the periods therein respectively prescribed, and that wills duly registered shall have priority as against purchasers and incumbrancers according to time of registration (*s*). But if a will is not registered within the prescribed time, an assurance of the land to a purchaser or mortgagee by the devisee shall, if registered before, prevail over an assurance by the heir-at-law (*t*).

Registration
of wills.

The Irish Act (*u*) directs a memorial of wills and devises affecting lands in Ireland to be registered; but there is no provision avoiding unregistered wills against subsequent purchasers or mortgagees, or specifying within what time wills should be registered. A will acquires no priority by being registered (*x*), and it is said that it is not the practice to register wills in Ireland (*y*).

A will not registered within the time allowed by a County Register Act was formerly held inoperative against a subsequent registered mortgage by the heir (*z*). But now, by the Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874 (*a*), a conveyance by way of sale or mortgage by a devisee or by someone deriving title through him, under a will of land in a register county not registered within the period allowed by law, shall, if registered before, take precedence of, and prevail over, any assurance by the heir-at-law (*b*).

Mortgage by
heir where
will not
registered.

If the devisee be himself the heir, or if the estate be leasehold, a registered purchaser or mortgagee from the heir or executor would be safe without a registry of the will (*c*), and be entitled

(*s*) 7 Anne, c. 20, ss. 1, 14, 15; 47 & 48 Vict. c. 64, s. 11.

(*t*) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 78, s. 8. See *Chadwick v. Turner*, L. R. 1 Ch. A. 310; *Re Weir, Hollingworth v. Willing*, 58 L. T. 792.

(*u*) Irish, 6 Anne, c. 2, s. 3.

(*x*) *Fury v. Smith*, 1 Hud. & B. 735.

(*y*) Dav. Conv. 4th ed. Vol. II. pt. ii. p. 217.

(*z*) *Chadwick v. Turner*, L. R. 1 Ch. A. 310.

(*a*) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 78, s. 8.

(*b*) See Dart & Barb. 6th ed. p. 772.

(*c*) Sug. V. & P. 14th ed. p. 646.

CHAP. LV.

Mortgage by
legatee of
leaseholds.

to priority over an unregistered purchaser or mortgagee from the deceased (*d*).

Where money is advanced on the security of leaseholds situate in a register county, which have been bequeathed to the mortgagor by will, the mortgagee should require evidence, in writing, of the assent of the executors to the bequest, and should require the registration of such assent.

iii.—Priority of Deeds, &c. by Date of Registration.—Mortgages and other assurances of land situate in register counties, or in Ireland, rank as between themselves, as a general rule, according to priority of registration (*e*).

Deeds regis-
tered same
day.

Of two deeds registered on the same day, that which is denoted by the earlier number will, in the absence of direct evidence to the contrary, be presumed to have been first registered (*f*).

Priority of
registered
over unregis-
tered deeds.

Registered instruments have priority over unregistered instruments, though of an earlier date, if the owner of the registered instrument had no notice of the earlier unregistered instrument (*g*).

Registration
of appoint-
ment under
power.

The registration of a deed creating a power does not dispense with the necessity of registering an appointment in exercise of the power. So a registered mortgage by a settlor is preferred to a prior unregistered settlement, and to an appointment under a power therein (*h*).

Registration
of assignment
of lease.

A registered assignment does not make effectual an unregistered lease (*i*); but where the lease is registered, and so prior to an earlier unregistered settlement, the assignment of the lease, though unregistered, is also prior to the settlement (*k*).

Effect of
registration
of mortgage.

The registration by the mortgagee protects the equitable title of the mortgagor, and prevents the lessee of the former from claiming a title adversely to the latter (*l*).

Informal
registration.

No priority is gained by an informal registration (*m*).

(*d*) *Dav. Conv.* 4th ed. Vol. II. pt. ii. p. 219.

(*e*) *Doe v. Allsop*, 5 B. & Ald. 142.

(*f*) *Neve v. Pennell*, 2 H. & M. 170.

(*g*) *Re Wight's Mtg. Trusts*, L. R. 16 Eq. 41; *Rolland v. Hart*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 678; *Credland v. Potter*, L. R. 10 Ch. A. 8. See *Wyatt v. Barwell*, 19 Ves. 435.

(*h*) *Scrifton v. Quincey*, 2 Ves. Sen. 413. And see *Warburton v. Loveland*,

6 Bli. N. S. 1; *Att.-Gen. v. Pickard*, 3 M. & W. 571.

(*i*) *Honeycomb v. Waldron*, 2 Stra. 1064; *Jack v. Armstrong*, 1 Hud. & B. 727.

(*k*) *Warburton v. Loveland*, 6 Bli. N. S. 1.

(*l*) *Ball v. Lord Riversdale*, Beat. 550.

(*m*) *Jack v. Armstrong*, 1 Hud. & B. 727.

A mere clerical error in the memorial, if not calculated to mislead, will not vitiate the registration (*n*).

CHAP. LV.

Clerical error.

Registered deeds, conveying the legal estate, have priority over prior registered equitable conveyances, if the conveyance with the legal estate was obtained without notice of the prior equitable assurance (*o*). Both being registered, the legal estate prevails, in the absence of notice; *secus*, if the registered incumbrancer had notice at the time of the advance (*p*).

Legal estate.

Where there are several incumbrancers in a certain order on property in a registry county, and the second is prior to the first, but postponed to the third, the third is, to the extent of the interest of the second, paid in priority to the first; the second carries up the third, as it was said in a similar case in Ireland, "upon its back" (*q*).

Postponement of registered security.

A first mortgagee advancing more money on the security, after a second mortgage, which had been registered, but of which he had no notice, shall have priority over such second mortgagee in respect of the advances so made (*r*).

Further advance without notice.

Although a deed first registered absolutely prevailed at law over deeds subsequently registered or unregistered (*s*), yet, in equity, it has been repeatedly held that a mortgagee or purchaser derives no advantage by the registration of his deed against a prior unregistered instrument of which he has notice (*t*).

Notice of unregistered deed binds in equity.

But as regards assurances of land in Yorkshire, sect. 14 of the Act of 1884 (*u*) enacts that—

Exception as to Yorkshire.

"All priorities given by this Act shall have effect in all Courts, except in cases of actual fraud (*v*), and all persons claiming thereunder any legal or equitable interests shall be entitled to corresponding priorities, and no such person shall lose any such priority merely in consequence of his having been affected by actual or constructive notice, except in cases of actual fraud."

(*n*) *Wyatt v. Barwell*, 19 Ves. 435.

O'Byrne's Estate, 15 L. R. Ir. 373.

(*o*) *Morecock v. Dickens*, Amb. 678; *Cator v. Cooley*, 1 Cox, 182; *Underwood v. Lord Courtown*, 2 Sch. & L. 41, 64; *Pentland v. Stokes*, 2 Ba. & Be. 75, 300, 321; *Wiseman v. Westland*, 1 Y. & J. 117; *Re Russell Road Purchase Monies*, L. R. 12 Eq. 78, appealed from, but compromised, L. R. 12 Eq. 86.

(*s*) *Doe v. Allsop*, 5 B. & Ald. 142.

(*p*) *Benham v. Keane*, 3 De G. F. & J. 318; *Ford v. White*, 16 Beav. 120.

(*t*) *Lord Forbes v. Deniston*, 1 Ves. Sen. 67; 4 Bro. P. C. 189; *Blades v. Blades*, 1 Eq. Ca. Abr. 358; *Hine v. Dodd*, 2 Atk. 275; *Le Neve v. Le Neve*, 3 Atk. 646, 652; *Bushell v. Bushell*, 1 Sch. & L. 103; *Biddulph v. St. John*, 2 Sch. & L. 521; *Jolland v. Stainbridge*, 3 Ves. 478; *Wyatt v. Barwell*, 19 Ves. 435; *Cheval v. Nichols*, 1 Stra. 664 (case of an unregistered annuity); *Trinidad Asphalt Co. v. Corryat*, (1896) A. C. 587, P. C.

(*q*) *Sparrow v. Cooper*, 1 T. Jones, 72; *Murtagh v. Tiedall*, 1 Fl. & K. 20. See *Benham v. Keane*, *sup.*

(*u*) 47 & 48 Vict. c. 54.

(*r*) *Bedford v. Backhouse*, 2 Eq. Ca. Abr. 615, pl. 12; *Wrightson v. Hudson*, 2 Eq. Ca. Abr. 609, pl. 7. See *Re*

(*v*) See *Battison v. Hobson*, (1896) 2 Ch. 403.

CHAP. LV.

Meaning of
"actual
fraud."

It has been held that the expression "actual fraud" in this section means fraud carrying with it grave moral blame, and not mere constructive fraud, as where a solicitor mortgagee took advantage of a defect in a prior mortgage given by his client to another person, in order to claim priority for his own mortgage (*x*).

What notice
sufficient.

Even as regards lands in Middlesex, the notice must be actual, clear, and distinct, amounting, in fact, to fraud (*y*); suspicion of notice is not sufficient to induce the Court to break in upon the statute (*z*). Clear constructive notice, however, as notice to the solicitor of the purchaser or mortgagee in the same transaction, may for this purpose be regarded as actual notice to the purchaser, mortgagee or client himself (*a*). But such constructive notice as a *lis pendens* is not sufficient (*b*), nor notice of a tenancy (*c*).

Notice to
agents, &c.

Distinct notice to the agent or trustee is actual notice to the principal, and has been held sufficient (*d*).

Principle of
notice.

The entire equitable doctrine of notice (*e*) is founded on the imputation of fraud; and after the Courts have once admitted the doctrine of "constructive fraud" for the purpose of defeating a title, it seems impracticable to attempt a distinction in the particular case of the Registry Acts (*f*). This doctrine is now, as has been seen above, excluded as regards Yorkshire.

Notice after
taking
security.

If a mortgagee of land in Middlesex had no notice of a prior unregistered title when he took his security, he may, after notice, protect himself by registration (*g*). And, on general principles, a mortgagee having no notice of an unregistered conveyance would be equally protected by registration of a security upon the faith of which the money was advanced, though in the meanwhile he had acquired notice (*h*).

No notice by
registration
in county of
Middlesex.

The registration of an instrument in the Middlesex Registry is not of itself notice so as to affect a puisne incumbrancer with

(*x*) *Battison v. Hobson*, (1896) 2 Ch. 403.

(*y*) *Jolland v. Stainbridge*, 3 Ves. 478; *Wyatt v. Barwell*, 19 Ves. 435; *Chadwick v. Turner*, L. R. 1 Ch. A. 310.

(*z*) *Hins v. Dodd*, 2 Atk. 275.

(*a*) *Le Neve v. Le Neve*, 3 Atk. 646, 655; *Tunstall v. Trappes*, 3 Sim. 307; *Rolland v. Hart*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 678; *Marjoribanks v. Hovenden*, Dru. 11. See *Leuchan v. McCabe*, 2 Ir. Eq. R. 342; and *Wormald v. Maitland*, 35 L. J. Ch. 69; but see and consider *Agra Bank v. Barry*, L. R. 7 H. L. 135, where the question of imputing the knowledge of a solicitor to his client is fully dis-

cussed; see also Sug. V. & P. 14th ed. p. 728.

(*b*) *Wyatt v. Barwell*, 3 Ves. 478; *Wallace v. Donaghy*, 1 Dr. & Wal. 461.

(*c*) *Popham v. Baldwin*, 2 Jones, Ir. Exch. 320.

(*d*) *Le Neve v. Le Neve*, 3 Atk. 646. And see *post*, p. 1333.

(*e*) See as to notice as affecting priorities, *post*, pp. 1307 *et seq.*

(*f*) Byth. & Jarm. Conv. 4th ed. Vol. VI. p. 16.

(*g*) *Essex v. Baugh*, 1 Y. & C. C. O. 620.

(*h*) See *Elsey v. Lutyens*, 8 Ha. 159 (case of settlement).

knowledge of the existence of a prior registered charge, and so prevent him from protecting himself by getting in the legal estate (i). So, also, registration is not notice to the mortgagor of an assignment of the mortgage; and consequently, if after an assignment, which is registered, payments are made by the mortgagor to the mortgagee without notice of the registered assignment, they must be allowed in account by the assignee (k).

CHAP. LV.

If, however, an incumbrancer is shown to have searched the register, he will be fixed with constructive notice of any prior charges appearing therein (l), unless the presumption is rebutted by showing that the search was made only from a certain date later than that of the registered deeds relating to the charges (m). A mortgagee is not bound to search the register (n), nor to make inquiries with a view to the discovery of unregistered instruments (o).

Search of register.

By the Yorkshire Registries Act, 1884 (p), s. 15, the registration of an instrument was made actual notice to all persons and for all purposes whatsoever. This section was repealed by the Amendment Act of 1885 (q); but having regard to the provisions of sects. 14 and 16 of the Act of 1884, to the effect that assurances are to have priority in order of registration, and that protection by legal estate and tacking are disallowed, the practical effect is that the general rule that a purchaser or mortgagee who obtains the legal estate is not affected by a prior equitable charge which is duly registered, but of which he has no notice *aliunde*, does not apply to Yorkshire.

As to registration in county of York.

In Ireland, registration is of itself not notice (r), though by the Irish Registry Act (s) the priority of assurances of land by way of mortgage or otherwise rank in priority according to priority of registration, independently of the question of notice (t).

As to registration in Ireland.

In a case (u) in which Lord Redesdale ultimately decided that persons claiming under articles for a settlement were entitled

(i) *Cator v. Cooley*, 1 Cox, 182; *Wiseman v. Westland*, 1 Y. & J. 117; *Ford v. White*, 16 Beav. 120; *Lane v. Jackson*, 20 Beav. 535; *Re Russell Road Purchase*, L. R. 16 Eq. 78.

(k) *Williams v. Sorrell*, 4 Ves. 389.

(l) *Proctor v. Cooper*, 2 Drew. 1.

(m) *Hodgson v. Dean*, 2 S. & St. 221; *Ford v. White*, 16 Beav. 120.

(n) *Lane v. Jackson*, 20 Beav. 535.

(o) *Agra Bank v. Barry*, L. R. 7 H.

L. 185.

(p) 47 & 48 Vict. c. 54.

(q) 48 & 49 Vict. c. 26, s. 5.

(r) See *Bushell v. Bushell*, 1 Sch. & L. 90; *Underwood v. Lord Courtown*, 2 Sch. & L. 41; *Pentland v. Stokes*, 2 Ba. & Be. 75.

(s) 6 Anne, c. 2.

(t) *Ante*, p. 1241.

(u) *Bushell v. Bushell*, 1 Sch. & L. 90, at p. 103.

CHAP. LV.

by virtue of priority of registration as against persons claiming by virtue of a subsequent legal settlement, his lordship remarked as follows:—"The registry is considered as notice to a certain extent; no person thinks of purchasing an estate without searching the registry; and, if he searches, he has notice; but I think it cannot be notice to all intents, on account of the mischief that would arise from such a decision. For, if it is to be taken as constructive notice, it must be taken as notice of everything that is contained in the memorial; if a memorial contains a recital of another instrument, it is notice of that instrument; if of a fact, it is notice of that fact." In a subsequent case (*x*), in which the same judge decided that the Irish Registry Act would not permit tacking, he observed, "That the registry is to be considered as notice to all intents and purposes is, I think, what one would not be inclined to hold when one sees the effect of so considering it. If it is to be considered as notice because it is an intimation of the existence of a deed put upon record, it must be notice of everything in that deed, for a party would be bound to inquire after the contents of that deed; if it be notice, it must be notice whether the deed be duly registered or not; it may be unduly registered; and if it be so, the Act does not give a preference; and thus this construction would avoid all the provisions in the Act for complying with its requisites." And in another case (*y*) he observed, "that it seemed to him that nothing could be more mischievous than to hold that the putting anything on the registry is notice, within the meaning of the word notice as applied to Courts of equity in such cases."

Necessity for
registration.

Although the protection afforded by the registry is far from perfect, assurances affecting lands within the local limits must of course be registered, and that as speedily as possible after their execution, in order to prevent their due priority from being intercepted by a hitherto unregistered purchaser, or by a subsequent purchaser using greater diligence; and it is obvious that, where the interest dealt with is of such a description as to render it doubtful whether registration is required or not, the safe course will be to register; though no priority will be acquired by so doing, if it should be held that the Registry Acts do not apply. Even were it clear that the statute does

(*x*) *Lord Dunsany v. Latouche*, 1 Sch. & L. 137, 161.

(*y*) *Underwood v. Lord Courtown*, 2 Sch. & L. 41, at p. 64.

not apply, it might be advantageous to register with a view to giving to persons who afterwards search the register notice of the registered incumbrance (z).

CHAP. LV.

An unregistered mortgage by a married woman of her separate equitable leaseholds is prior to a subsequent deed duly registered by which she charged her "separate estate" with a debt (a); the deed only affected what she could rightly charge (a).

Where a registered deed is set aside for fraud, the Court has, in Middlesex, no power to expunge the registration (b). But the Yorkshire Registries Act, 1884 (c), provides that fraudulent dispositions of land and charges on land shall be void, notwithstanding registration, and that the Court may rectify the register by cancelling entries.

Expunging registration.

A registered mortgage by a son and heir, personating his father of the same name, is a forgery, and void against the devisees under an unregistered will (d).

Registration of forged mortgage.

It may be proper in this place to make a few observations tending more particularly to distinguish between the operation of the Registry Acts of England and Ireland; and with that view it may be first remarked, that the two sets of Acts agree in the following respects, viz., that registry itself is not notice (e); that deeds take effect *inter partes* and their representatives, although not registered, for the Registry Acts do not make registration imperative, but leave it at the option of the parties; and that in Middlesex and Ireland, but now not in Yorkshire, notice of a prior unregistered deed will prevent the priority of a subsequent registered deed (f).

Distinction between English and Irish Registry Acts.

But as between two registered deeds for good consideration without notice, this difference exists, viz., that in Middlesex, as formerly also in Yorkshire, a registered deed conveying the legal estate will have preference over a prior registered equitable conveyance, if such subsequent conveyance was obtained without notice of the prior equitable assurance (g), and a prior legal mortgagee, duly registered, advancing a further sum without

(z) Dav. Conv. 4th ed. Vol. II. pt. ii. p. 221.

(a) *Punchard v. Tomkins*, W. N. (1882) 160.

(b) *Gibbs v. Sidney*, W. N. (1883) 148.

(c) 47 & 48 Vict. c. 54, ss. 14, 25.

(d) *Re Cooper*, 20 Ch. D. 611, C. A. See *Reg. v. Ritson*, L. R. 1 C. C. R. 200.

(e) As to England, see *supra*, pp. 1248, 1249; as to Ireland, see

Bushell v. Bushell, 1 Sch. & L. 103;

Lord Dunsany v. Latouche, 1 Sch. & L.

137, 157; *Underwood v. Lord Courtown*,

2 Sch. & L. 64; and *Pentland v.*

Stokes, 2 Ba. & Be. 68.

(f) As to Ireland, see *Underwood v.*

Lord Courtown, *sup.*; *Biddulph v. St.*

John, 2 Sch. & L. 521.

(g) *Morecock v. Dickens*, Amb. 678,

sup.

CHAP. LV.

actual notice of a puisne incumbrance (*h*), or an equitable mortgagee getting in the legal estate without notice (*i*), may tack their respective securities, although the mesne incumbrance be duly registered.

It is the folly of a purchaser or mortgagee to advance his money without having previously ascertained whether the legal estate be outstanding or not; and if the legal estate is shown to be outstanding, it is his business to get it in, or give proper notice of his incumbrance. If he neglect so to do, he takes the consequences.—*Vigilantibus non dormientibus subveniunt leges*.

But a legal registered mortgagee cannot set up an unregistered charge against a subsequent registered mortgage; the unregistered charge is by the Act fraudulent and void against the latter (*k*).

In Ireland, however, by force of the peculiar wording of sect. 3 of 6 Anne, c. 2 (Ireland) (*l*), and not because registration amounts to notice under the Irish Act any more than it does under the English Acts (*m*), a prior registered deed, although only a charge (*n*), and even registered articles of agreement, will have preference over a subsequent deed, although it be a conveyance of the legal estate without notice (*o*). In fact, priority is according to the time of registration, and consequently tacking has no application (*p*).

It is, however, only a deed above exception and untainted with fraud which will acquire priority by registration (*q*).

By virtue of the recent Act (*r*), the loan considerations will apply to mortgages of land in Yorkshire, unless tainted by "actual fraud."

(*h*) *Bedford v. Backhouse*, 2 Eq. Ca. Abr. 615.

(*i*) *Cator v. Cooley*, 1 Cox, 182.

(*k*) *Credland v. Potter*, L. R. 10 Ch. A. 8.

(*l*) 1 Sch. & L. 98.

(*m*) *Bushell v. Bushell*, 2 Sch. & L. 90; *Underwood v. Lord Courtown*, 2 Sch. & L. 41; *Pentland v. Stokes*, 2 Ba. & Be. 75.

(*n*) *Bushell v. Bushell*, *sup.*; *Eyre v. Dolphin*, 2 Ba. & Be. 290—300; *Thompson v. Simpson*, 1 Dr. & War. 486; *McNeill v. Cahill*, 2 Bli, 228; *Mill*

v. Hill, 3 H. L. C. 828.

(*o*) *Bushell v. Bushell*, *sup.*; *Warburton v. Loveland*, 6 Bli. N. S. 1; 2 Dow. & C. 480.

(*p*) *Bushell v. Bushell*, *sup.*; *Lord Dunsany v. Latouche*, 1 Sch. & L. 137. See *Tenison v. Sweeney*, 1 J. & L. 710; *Carlisle v. Whaley*, L. R. 2 H. L. 391; *Molesworth on Registration in Ireland*, 32, 66.

(*q*) *Underwood v. Lord Courtown*, 2 Sch. & L. 64.

(*r*) 47 & 48 Vict. c. 54, s. 14, set out *supra*, p. 1247.

CHAPTER LVI.

OF PRIORITIES AS BETWEEN THEMSELVES OF SUCCESSIVE
MORTGAGEES OF PERSONALTY.

SECTION I.

OF PRIORITY AS BETWEEN MORTGAGEES OF EQUITABLE
INTERESTS IN PERSONALTY, OR OF DEBTS AND OTHER
CHOSSES IN ACTION.

i.—Of Priority by Notice generally.—As regards assignments by way of mortgage or otherwise of equitable interests, whether absolute, partial, or reversionary, in personalty, or of debts and other choses in action (*a*), the title of the assignee is complete without any notice; but as between successive assignees, their respective priorities will be regulated by the date at which notice was given to the person in whom the interest is vested in trust for the assignor, or who is liable in respect of the chose in action assigned.

Notice as determining priorities of successive incumbrances on trust funds, choses in action, &c.

Where notices are contemporaneous, the securities in respect of which such notice is given will rank as between themselves according to priority of date according to the general rule applicable to assignments of equitable interests—*Qui prior est tempore potior est jure* (*b*).

Contemporaneous notices.

The doctrine of notice as affecting priorities is of wide application, and embraces almost all dealings with outstanding rights in personal property. The principle on which the doctrine is founded, as stated by Sir T. Plumer, M. R., in the leading case of *Dearle v. Hall* (*c*), is that the general rule that, as between equities, priority in time must decide, must give way where the equities are not equal. "If there appears to be, in respect of

Principle of the doctrine of notice stated in *Dearle v. Hall*.

(*a*) *Jones v. Gibbon*, 9 Ves. 410; *Cook v. Black*, 1 Ha. 390; *Ward v. Duncombe*, (1893) A. C. 369, 392.

(*b*) *Callisher v. Forbes*, L. R. 7 Ch. A. 109; *Johnstone v. Cox*, 19 Ch. D. 17, C. A.

(*c*) 3 Russ. 1, at pp. 21 *et seq.*

CHAP. LVI.

any circumstance independent of priority of time, a better title in the puisne purchaser to call for the legal estate, than in the purchaser who precedes him in date, the case ceases to be a balance of equal equities, and the preference which priority of date might otherwise have given, is done away with and counteracted." And his Honour in the same case further explained the doctrine as follows:—"The law of England has always been that personal property passes by delivery of possession, and it is possession which determines the apparent ownership. If, therefore, an individual, who, in the way of purchase or mortgage, contracts with another for the transfer of his interest, does not divest the vendor or mortgagor of possession, but permits him to remain the ostensible owner as before, he must take the consequences which may ensue from such a mode of dealing (*d*). If you, having the right of possession, do not exercise that right, but leave another in actual possession, you enable that person to get a false and delusive credit, and put it in his power to obtain money from innocent parties on the hypothesis of his being the owner of that which, in fact, belongs to you. It is true that a chose in action does not admit of tangible actual possession. But you must do everything towards having possession which the subject admits; you must do that which is tantamount to obtaining possession, by placing every person who has an equitable or legal interest in the matter under an obligation to treat it as your property. For this purpose you must give notice to the legal holder of the fund; in the case of a debt, for instance, notice to the debtor is, for many purposes, tantamount to possession. If you omit to give that notice, you are guilty of the same degree and species of neglect as he who leaves a personal chattel, to which he has acquired a title, in the actual possession and under the absolute control of another person." On appeal (*e*), Lord Lyndhurst, C., expressed his concurrence with this statement of the law on the subject.

Principle of
the doctrine
considered in
Ward v.
Duncombe.

It has been stated that notice is necessary in order to perfect or complete the title of the assignee, and that effect of notice is to convert the holder of the fund into a trustee for the person who gives the notice (*f*). But it was said in *Ward v. Dun-*

(*d*) See *Ryall v. Rowles*, 1 Ves. Sen. 348.

(*e*) *Dearle v. Hall*, 3 Russ. at p. 59.

(*f*) See *Dearle v. Hall*, 3 Russ. 1, at pp. 12 *et seq.*

combe (g), that neither of these statements is strictly accurate; and it was pointed out in that case that an assignee of an equitable interest from a person capable of disposing of it has a perfect equitable title though subject to the infirmity which attaches to all equitable titles, which infirmity is not wholly cured or removed by notice; and, secondly, that the holder of the fund before notice given is just as much a trustee for the persons rightfully entitled as he is after he receives the notice, though, of course, in the absence of notice, he would be safe in paying away the fund to those who appear to be the true owners.

CHAP. LVI.

It is quite clear that an equitable assignee who has not given notice will be bound by the equities between the assignor and the trustee, debtor, or other holder of the fund, so as to be obliged to allow any payments made by the holder of the fund subsequently to the assignment to the assignor or his assignees who have given notice (*h*).

Assignees of charges on equitable interests or choses in action have priority *inter se* according to priority of notice (*i*).

Assignees of incumbrances.

The burden of showing absence of notice falls on those who claim against the security (*k*).

Burden of proof.

The doctrine as to the regulation of priorities by notice applies not only as between several incumbrancers or purchasers for value, but also, to some extent, as between an incumbrancer or purchaser and the trustee in bankruptcy of the assignor.

Application of the doctrine in bankruptcy.

A chose in action, or a reversionary or equitable interest of a bankrupt, passes to his trustee without the necessity of any notice by him (*l*). But, as a general rule, in competition with subsequent incumbrancers, the trustee must give notice, otherwise he will be postponed to such incumbrancers without notice of the bankruptcy who first give notice, and it makes no difference that the trustee was not aware of the existence of the reversionary or other interest (*m*). If, however, the debtor or trustee obtains

Notice by trustee in mortgagor's bankruptcy.

(g) (1893) A. C. 369, per Lord Macnaghten, at p. 392.

(h) *Norrish v. Marshall*, 5 Mad. 475; *Stocks v. Dobson*, 4 De G. M. & G. 11; *Colthay v. Sydenham*, 2 Bro. C. C. 391; *Leslie v. Baillie*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 91.

(i) *Commissioners of Public Works v. Harby*, 23 Beav. 508.

(k) *Exp. Stevens*, 4 D. & C. 117.

(l) *Bartlett v. Bartlett*, 1 De G. & J. 143.

(m) *Re Atkinson's Trusts*, 2 De G. M. & G. 140; *Re Barr's Trusts*, 4 K. & J. 219; *Stuart v. Cockerell*, L. R. 8 Eq. 607; *Re Russell's Policy Trusts*, L. R. 15 Eq. 26; *Palmer v. Locke*, 18 Ch. D. 381, C. A.; *Re Stone's Will*, W. N. (1893) 50. The contrary decision in *Re Bright's Settlement*, 15 Ch. D. 413, C. A., was attributable to the special working of the Bankruptcy Act, 1849.

CHAP. XVI.

aliunde distinct notice of the bankruptcy, a particular assignee, who subsequently gives notice, will not thereby obtain priority over the bankruptcy trustee, though the latter may not have given formal notice of the bankruptcy (n).

Trustee in
bankruptcy
bound,
though no
notice given
by assignee.

Where a chose in action or reversionary interest is assigned by a bankrupt before his bankruptcy without notice being given to the debtor or trustee of the interest, it would seem that the trustee in bankruptcy is bound, notwithstanding the absence of notice, and independently of statute, for that the trustee in bankruptcy cannot be in a better position than the bankrupt himself, but takes only such interest as the latter can give, and subject to all equities by which he is bound (o).

Notice does
not affect
title.

Notice given to a trustee does not affect any question as to the rights and liabilities, except as between successive incumbrancers. So, where in a suit it was decided that trustees had received notice of an incumbrance, but there were no *cestuis que trust in esse*, the Court would not declare that the interests of unborn issue were bound by the incumbrance, but merely declared that the trustee had notice of it (p).

Incumbrancer
with notice of
prior incum-
brance.

A subsequent incumbrancer will not, by giving notice of the assignment to him, gain priority over a prior incumbrancer who has not given notice, if at the time of making his advance he had himself notice of the prior assignment (q).

Inquiry as to
prior incum-
brances.

An incumbrancer ought, before advancing his money, to inquire from the trustees, debtor, or other person liable to pay over the fund, whether they have notice of any prior incumbrances (r); but a subsequent incumbrancer will not be deprived of his priority, obtained by his notice, by reason of his omission to make inquiries as to the existence of prior incumbrances (s).

How far
trustees, &c.
are bound to
answer
inquiries.

(n) *Lloyd v. Banks*, L. R. 3 Ch. A. 488; reversing *S. C.*, L. R. 4 Eq. 222, and overruling *Re Brown's Trusts*, L. R. 5 Eq. 88. See *Arden v. Arden*, 29 Ch. D. 702.

(o) *Re Atkinson's Trusts*, 2 De G. M. & G. 142, at p. 143.

(p) *Wise v. Wise*, 2 J. & L. 403.

(q) *Warburton v. Hill*, Kay, 470; *Spencer v. Clarke*, 9 Ch. D. 137. See *Re Holmes*, 29 Ch. D. 786, C. A.;

Mutual Life Assurance Soc. v. Langley, 32 Ch. D. 460, C. A.

(r) *Smith v. Smith*, 2 Cr. & M. 231.

(s) *Foster v. Blackstone*, 1 My. & K. 297; *Timson v. Ramsbottom*, 2 Keen, 49; *Eity v. Bridges*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 486, 494; *Warburton v. Hill*, *sup.*; *Stocks v. Dobson*, 6 De G. & S. 760.

(t) *Low v. Bouverie*, (1891) 3 Ch. 82, C. A. See also *Ward v. Duncombe*, (1893) A. C. 369, at p. 383.

honest answer to the best of his knowledge and belief, and will be responsible for any wilful misstatement made by himself or his agent (*u*). But he will not be responsible for a misrepresentation made honestly through forgetfulness or carelessness (*x*), and he is not bound to make inquiries himself (*y*). *A fortiori*, a trustee, upon accepting notice, is not bound to disclose any prior notice which he has received (*z*); nor, if he is first assignee, to inform a subsequent incumbrancer giving him notice (*a*).

The right to priority which a second assignee of an equitable interest in a fund, who has given notice to the holder, possesses over a first assignee who has failed to give notice, applies where the second assignee has taken his assignment from the legal personal representative of the original assignor (*b*).

Assignee of legal personal representative.

In the case of an assignment of an equitable interest in a fund, or of a debt or other chose in action, it is not necessary that the assignee, in order to ensure priority, should give express or formal notice to the trustee, debtor, or other person liable to pay the fund assigned; it is sufficient if such information or knowledge has been brought to the mind of that person as an ordinary man of business would act upon, and regulate his conduct in the matter accordingly (*c*); and it is not material in what character he acquired his knowledge, if in fact he had knowledge of a prior incumbrance at the time when he received formal notice of a second incumbrance (*d*). But incumbrances of which no notice is given do not apparently rank according to the order of the dates at which the trustees obtained accidental knowledge of them (*e*).

What notice is sufficient.

In order to prevent any question as to priority by notice, the notice should be given in writing; but parol notice is sufficient if of a formal and precise character (*f*). General statements in casual conversation are not sufficient (*g*).

Parol notice.

(*u*) *Brown v. Savage*, 4 Drew. 639. See *Burroues v. Lock*, 10 Ves. 470; *Lyde v. Barnard*, 1 M. & W. 101; *Swan v. Phillips*, 8 A. & E. 467; *Slim v. Croucher*, 1 De G. F. & J. 518.

(*z*) See *Derry v. Peek*, 14 App. Cas. 337.

(*y*) *Low v. Bouverie*, (1891) 3 Ch. 82, C. A.

(*z*) *Stephens v. Venables*, 31 Beav. 124.

(*a*) *Re Lewer*, 6 Ch. D. 61, C. A.

(*b*) *Re Freshfield's Trusts*, 11 Ch. D. 198.

(*c*) *Lloyd v. Banks*, L. R. 3 Ch. A. 488. And see *Agra Bank, Re Worcester*,

L. R. 3 Ch. A. 555; *Allatson v. Chichester*, L. R. 10 C. P. 319.

(*d*) *Meux v. Bell*, 1 Ha. 73; *Tibbits v. George*, 5 A. & E. 107. And see *Gale v. Lewis*, 16 L. J. Q. B. 119.

(*e*) *Arden v. Arden*, 29 Ch. D. 702, 708.

(*f*) *Re Tichener*, 35 Beav. 317. See *Brown v. Savage*, 4 Drew. 635; *North British Insurance Co. v. Hallett*, 7 Jur. N. S. 1263.

(*g*) *Ford v. White*, 16 Beav. 123; *Edwards v. Martin*, L. R. 1 Eq. 121.

CHAP. XVI.

Parol notice must be clearly proved. Evidence of parol notice having been given to trustees in the course of conversation at a meeting on other business was not relied on as fixing the trustees with notice, in the face of their denial, of having received notice (*h*).

Notice must be by person interested.

The Court does not require a party to pay attention to vague rumours proceeding from strangers to the estate (*i*); notice, in order to be binding, must be given by some person who has an interest in the property (*k*).

Notice should be precise as to nature of claim.

The notice should be made with some degree of precision as to the nature of the alleged right, and should not consist of a mere general and undefined claim (*l*). Where a notice of the assignment of a reversionary interest in a fund to secure a sum of money was silent as to a covenant contained in the same deed on the part of the assignor to charge the fund also with payment of premiums on a policy of assurance on his life effected by way of collateral security, the charge was postponed as to the premiums (*m*).

Notice to Bank of England.

Notice, in order to affect the Bank of England, must be distinct notice of an existing claim upon the stock; since the bank stands, not in the position of a trustee, but of a depository (*n*).

Notice need not state precisely the amount or the fund charged.

Although it would not seem to be essential that the notice should exactly specify the amount claimed, or describe the fund charged with absolute correctness, provided it is sufficiently indicated what fund is intended to be affected, yet the Court will not allow the fund to stand charged in priority to other incumbrances for a sum in excess of that stated in the notice (*o*).

Misleading notice.

So if a notice is misleading as to the duration of a charge, the recipient will not be bound after the time when, according to the terms of the notice, it would apparently have expired (*p*).

Mistake in notice.

A mistake in the notice as to the date of a mortgage has been held to be immaterial (*q*).

Time of notice.

Inasmuch as the one of several successive incumbrancers who first gives the required notice to the proper person will gain

(*h*) *Saffron Walden Second Benefit Building Soc. v. Rayner*, 14 Ch. D. 406, O. A.

(*i*) *Wildgoose v. Wayland*, Goulds. 147.

(*k*) *Barnhart v. Greenshields*, 9 Moo. P. C. 36; *Natal Land, &c. Co. v. Good*, L. R. 2 P. C. 121, 129.

(*l*) *Jolland v. Stainbridge*, 3 Ves. 478.

(*m*) *Re Bright's Trusts*, 21 Beav. 430.

(*n*) *Humberstone v. Chas.*, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 209.

(*o*) *Woodburn v. Grant*, 22 Beav. 483.

(*p*) *Stephenson v. Royce*, 5 Ir. Ch. R. 401.

(*q*) *Whittingstall v. King*, W. N. (1882) 83; 46 L. T. 520.

preference over the others, a mortgagee of an equitable interest, debt, or other chose in action should be careful to give notice in writing immediately on completion of his security. The notice may, however, be given at any time so as to ensure priority over any incumbrancers who have not given notice, but subject to any assignments of which earlier notice has been given.

If an incumbrancer gives notice to a purchaser before actual payment of the purchase-money, it is sufficient (*r*), although the purchaser has given bond or other security (*s*); or after payment, but before execution of the conveyance (*t*); for it is all one transaction (*u*).

Where notice is required to take a case out of the order and disposition clause in bankruptcy, it is sufficient if it is given between the act of bankruptcy and the petition for adjudication, if the incumbrancer had no notice of the act of bankruptcy. It is true that by s. 44 (iii) of the Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (*x*), which follows s. 15 (5) of the Bankruptcy Act, 1869 (*y*), all goods at the commencement of the bankruptcy in the order and disposition of the bankrupt belong to the trustee, and that by s. 43 thereof, the commencement of the bankruptcy is defined to be the completion of the act of bankruptcy, yet by s. 49 any *bond fide* dealing with the bankrupt between the act of bankruptcy and the petition is protected, and the giving notice by the incumbrancer to the bankrupt is a *bond fide* dealing within the statute (*z*).

Notice to
oust order
and disposi-
tion clause in
bankruptcy.

A written notice sent through the post will be deemed to have been given at the time when the letter would, in the ordinary course of delivery, have reached the last-known address of the person to whom it is sent (*a*); unless it can be shown that he was unavoidably prevented, by reason of absence or otherwise, from receiving the notice till afterwards (*b*).

Notice sent
through the
post.

It was formerly thought that the notice must in all cases be given to the person who has the legal control of the fund (*c*).

To whom
notice should
be given.

(*r*) *Tourville v. Naish*, 3 P. Wms. 307.
(*s*) *Ib.*; and *Hardingham v. Nicholls*,
3 Atk. 304.

(*t*) *Wigg v. Wigg*, 1 Atk. 384; *Fitzgerald v. Burk*, 2 Atk. 397; *Moore v. Mayhow*, 1 Ch. Ca. 34; *Story v. Lord Windsor*, 2 Atk. 630. But see *Hill v. Bickeradike*, Fonb. Eq. Vol. II. 5th ed. p. 149.

(*u*) *Wigg v. Wigg*, *sup.*
(*v*) 46 & 47 Vict. c. 52.

(*y*) 32 & 33 Vict. c. 71.

(*z*) *Re Styant*, 1 Ph. 105. See *Exp. Heslop*, 1 De G. M. & G. 477; *Re Seaman*, (1896) 1 Q. B. 412.

(*a*) *Loader v. Hiscock*, 1 F. & F. 132.

(*b*) *Bird v. Bass*, 6 Man. & Gr. 143.

(*c*) *Re Booth's Settlement Trusts*, 21 L. T. O. S. 239; *Bridge v. Beadon*, L. R. 3 Eq. 664, 667; *Lewin on Trusts*, 9th ed. 800.

CHAP. LVI.

But it is now settled that the proper person to whom the notice should be given is the person who, whether he actually has control of the fund, or is entitled to receive it from a third person in whose control it is, is liable to pay it over to the assignor, or, in other words, the person who is the immediate trustee of the assignor (*d*). And the recipient of the notice may thus be, according to the subject-matter, a trustee, executor, debtor, consignee, insurer, or trustee in bankruptcy.

Notice to trustee before the fund is in hand.

ii.—**Notice to Trustees of Mortgaged Fund.**—An assignee of the beneficial interest in a trust fund cannot gain priority by giving notice of the assignment to a person who may possibly become, but is not at the time of the notice, actually a trustee of the fund (*e*). This point frequently arose with regard to moneys received from the sale of commissions of military officers on retiring from the service, when it was repeatedly decided that notice given to the army agent before the money had reached his hands and had become payable to the officer on his retirement being gazetted, was of no avail, as, till then, the agent had not become a trustee of the officer charged with the duty of paying the money to him. Assignments of which such premature notice is given will, as between themselves, rank in priority of date, but will be postponed to a subsequent assignment, notice of which is given after the trust has actually arisen (*f*). But the doctrine that a trustee or stakeholder is at liberty to disregard a notice given previously to the actual receipt of the fund must be applied with caution (*g*).

Notice to one of several trustees.

Where there are several executors or trustees, the ordinary and most prudent course is to give notice to all of them; but notice to one of them will generally be sufficient to take the property out of the order and disposition of the assignor if he should become bankrupt, and to prevail over a notice of another assignment subsequently given to all the trustees (*h*). But notice to one does not affect the other trustees who have no

(*d*) *Stephens v. Green*, (1895) 2 Ch. 148, C. A. See *Holt v. Dewell*, 4 Ha. 446.

(*e*) *Buller v. Plunkett*, 1 J. & H. 441; *Webster v. Webster*, 31 Beav. 393; *Somerset v. Cox*, 33 Beav. 634; *Addison v. Cox*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 76; *Earl of Suffolk v. Cox*, 16 W. R. 732.

(*f*) *Calisher v. Forbes*, L. R. 7 Ch. A. 109; *Johnstone v. Cox*, 16 Ch. D.

571, affirmed, except as to costs, 19 Ch. D. 17, C. A.

(*g*) *Dav. Conv.* Vol. II. pt. ii. p. 226. See *Marsh v. Peacocke*, 9 Jur. N. S. 780.

(*h*) *Ward v. Duncombe*, (1893) A. C. 369. See *Smith v. Smith*, 2 Cr. & M. 231; *Meux v. Bell*, 1 Ha. 73; *Broune v. Savage*, 4 Drew. 635.

knowledge of the notice so as to make them liable for what they may do in ignorance of the notice (i). CHAP. LVI.

If one of several trustees, who alone has received notice of an assignment, dies, his death will not deprive the assignee of the priority he has acquired as against assignments made before the death, and of which all the trustees have notice (k). The same principle would apparently apply when one of several trustees has received notice of an assignment and dies, and a person taking an assignment after his death gives notice of it to the surviving trustees, so as to prevent the later assignment from gaining priority over the earlier one, but the point has not yet been expressly decided (l).

Death of trustee who has notice.

Where a trustee advances money to a beneficiary on the security of an equitable assignment of his interest in the trust fund, his knowledge of the assignment will be sufficient without formal notice to the other trustees, and his security will prevail over all assignments of which formal notice is subsequently given to all the trustees (m). So if he has a set-off or equities against the fund, he has priority, and no notice can affect it (n).

No notice required where trustee is mortgagee.

But the general rule that notice to one of several trustees is sufficient admits of an exception where one of the trustees is a beneficiary and assigns his interest in the trust fund to a stranger. In such a case the knowledge of the trustee-assignor will not constitute notice to the trustees, so as to give priority over subsequent incumbrancers; for, in such a case, there would be a conflict between his interest as assignor to conceal the assignment, and his duty as trustee to apply the money in strict order of priority (o). But if the mortgagee serve formal notice of the assignment on the trustee-mortgagor, such notice will be sufficient as against subsequent mortgagees who give notice to all the trustees. If, however, a trustee beneficiary assigns his interest to a co-trustee, there is no conflict between

Exception where trustee beneficiary assigns his interest to a stranger.

(i) *Low v. Bouwerie*, (1891) 3 Ch. 82, 104. See *Phipps v. Lovegrove*, L. R. 16 Eq. 80.

(k) *Ward v. Duncombe*, (1893) A. C. 369, overruling the previous decisions on this point, *Timson v. Ramsbottom*, 2 Keen, 35; and *Winchelsea v. Garrety*, 1 Beav. 223.

(l) See *Ward v. Duncombe*, *sup.* at p. 394.

(m) *Elder v. Maclean*, 3 Jur. N. S. 284; *Thompson v. Tomkins*, 2 Dr. &

S. 8; *Assignees of Dunne v. Hibernian Joint Stock Co.*, L. R. 2 Eq. 82; *Phipps v. Lovegrove*, L. R. 16 Eq. 80.

(n) *Somerset v. Cox*, 33 Beav. 634; *Nelson v. London Assurance Co.*, 2 S. & St. 292.

(o) *Brown v. Savage*, 4 Drew. 635; *Comrs. of Public Works v. Harby*, 23 Beav. 508; *Willes v. Greenhill*, 4 De G. F. & J. 147. But see *Exp. Rogers, Re Selby*, 8 De G. M. & G. 271, where there were special circumstances.

CHAP. LVI.

interest and duty as regards the latter, and his knowledge will constitute sufficient notice to the trustees to give him priority (*p*).

Notice where
no derivative
trust.

Where a fund is subject only to one set of trusts, the assignor who first gives notice to the trustees, in whom the fund is legally vested, will gain priority over other assignees prior to him in point of time; in such a case, the assignee of the beneficial interest, by giving notice to the trustees, whose duty it is to distribute the estate among the persons beneficially entitled, places the trustees under a direct responsibility to himself; and, if they disregard the notice, they do so at their peril (*q*).

Original and
derivative
trusts.

Where there are two settlements, one original and the other derivative, the notice should be given not to the trustees of the original settlement who hold and have control over the property, but to the immediate trustees of the *cestui que trust*, that is to say, to the persons whose duty it would be, but for the notice, to pay over the fund to him (*r*).

Absolute
legacy.

Where an absolute legacy is mortgaged, notice must be given to the executor of the will, and such notice will bind the money in the executor's hands, as against subsequent incumbrancers, if he has not assented to the legacy (*s*).

Derivative
legacy.

If the mortgaged legacy is derived under two successive wills, then, at all events, until the executor of the second will has assented to the legacy, the executor of the first will is in no sense a trustee for the legatee under the second will; his duty is merely, in the course of administration of the estate of his testator, to hand the money over to the executor of the second will, and notice to the former will be of no avail; the latter is the person whose duty it is to hand over the money, so far as not required for the purpose of administering his testator's estate, to the legatee; the notice must, therefore, in such a case be given to the executor of the second will (*t*).

Where trustee
is also cre-
ditor.

Where a trustee is a creditor on the fund, and cannot complete his title by personal notice, he should take care that it appears on the declaration of trust or other equivalent instru-

(*p*) *Brown v. Savage*, 4 Drew. 635.

(*q*) *Ryall v. Rowles*, 1 Ves. Sen. 348; *Dearle v. Hall*, 3 Russ. 1. See *Ward v. Duncombe*, (1893) A. C. 369, at p. 392; *Stephens v. Green*, (1895) 2 Ch. 148, at p. 158, C. A.

(*r*) *Stephens v. Green*, (1895) 2 Ch. 148, at p. 163, C. A. See *Holt v. Dewell*, 4 Ha. 446.

(*s*) *Holt v. Dewell*, *sup.*

(*t*) *Stephens v. Green*, (1895) 2 Ch. 148, C. A.

ment (*u*); but after an effectual notice from other persons, the trustee cannot set up any new charge or set-off, and he is bound to withhold all further payments to the mortgagor (*x*).

CHAP. XVI.

Notice of the assignment of an equitable interest or chose in action given to the solicitor of the trustees, or other proper persons to receive notice, may be sufficient to give priority, though such notice is not communicated to the clients (*y*). But the solicitor served with the notice must be either expressly or, by acting in the particular matter, impliedly authorized to receive such notices, and notice to a person who has no such authority, but is merely the solicitor usually employed in the matters of a trust, is not sufficient to create a priority as against a subsequent incumbrance who gives notice to the trustees personally (*z*).

Notice to solicitor of trustees.

Notice to any authorized agent, other than a solicitor, is sufficient (*a*).

Notice to agent.

The trustee or other proper person to receive notice is bound to accept it, and, if he disregard it, he will be liable to the assignee to make good the fund (*b*).

Liability for disregarding notice.

As between assignees of an equitable interest in personalty or a legacy, whether the interest be present or future, vested or contingent, notice should be forthwith given to the trustees or executors, it being now decided that if a party takes an assignment of such an interest, and does not give notice of it to the trustees, a subsequent assignee giving such notice will gain preference (*c*).

Notice of assignment of personalty to be given to trustees or executors.

Although, as between successive incumbrancers of equitable interests in land, notice to the trustees is not necessary, and is of no avail for the purpose of gaining priority (*d*), yet priority will be gained by notice, when the property assigned is an interest in land vested in trustees of such a nature that it can

When notice must be given of assignments of interests arising out of land.

(*u*) *Commissioners of Public Works v. Harby*, 23 Beav. 508.

(*x*) *Stephens v. Venables*, 31 Beav. 124.

(*y*) *Richards v. Gladstones*, 31 L. J. Ch. 142; *Willes v. Greenhill*, 4 De G. F. & J. 147.

(*z*) *Brittain v. Brown*, 24 L. T. 504; *Brown v. Briscoe*, 28 L. J. Q. B. 329; *Sefton Walden Second Benefit Building Soc. v. Rayner*, 14 Ch. D. 406, C. A. See *Re Durand's Trusts*, 8 W. R. 33.

(*a*) *Re Henessy*, 2 Dr. & War. 555.

(*b*) *Williams v. Thorp*, 2 Sim. 257; *Roberts v. Lloyd*, 2 Beav. 376; *Andrews*

v. Boussfield, 10 Beav. 50; *Re Henessy*, 2 Dr. & War. 555.

(*c*) *Burn v. Carvalho*, 4 My. & Cr. 690; *Martin v. Sedgwick*, 9 Beav. 333; *Foster v. Cookerell*, 3 Cl. & F. 456; *Hulton v. Sandys*, Y. 602; *Dearle v. Hall*, *Loveridge v. Cooper*, 3 Russ. 1; *Wright v. Lord Dorchester*, 1 Russ. 9, note; and *Cumming v. Prescott*, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 488; *Cooper v. Fynmore*, 3 Russ. 60; *Jones v. Jones*, 8 Sim. 633; *Exp. Monro*, Buck, 300; *Exp. Colvill*, Mont. 110; *Exp. Tenneyson*, M. & Bl. 67.

(*d*) *Ante*, p. 1236.

CHAP. LVI.

Land devised
on trust for
sale.

Proceeds of
sale of land.

Portion fund.

Whether
assent of
executor is
material as
regards
notice.

only reach the hands of the beneficiary in the shape of money (e).

Thus it has been held, where lands were devised to trustees on trust for sale, that an incumbrancer of the beneficial interest who gave notice to the trustees thereby gained priority over a prior incumbrancer who omitted to give such notice (f).

So, where freeholds were conveyed to trustees upon trust to sell and pay certain creditors, and subject thereto upon trust for A. for life, with remainder to B. in fee; B. then granted certain annuities charged upon the estates, and subsequently mortgaged the estates without notice of the annuities; A. having died, the trustees sold the estates and the mortgagee gave notice to them of his mortgage; it was held that the mortgagee had the first charge on the sale moneys in priority over the annuitant by reason of his notice to the trustees (g).

On the principle of the decision last referred to, it will be advisable that where a settled estate or any interest therein is mortgaged the mortgagee should ascertain if any part of the estate consists of capital moneys arising under the Settled Land Acts in the hands of the trustees of the settlement, and, if so, that he should give notice of his charge to the trustees.

So, also, where by a marriage settlement a term was vested in trustees to raise portions for younger children, one of whom mortgaged his share, but no notice of the charge was given to the trustees, the mortgagor having become bankrupt, it was held that the mortgagee had not an interest in land, and that therefore, having neglected to give notice, he was not entitled to priority as against the assignees in bankruptcy (h).

Where the legacy is a fund vested in trustees, it has been held that notice to the trustees given before the executor has assented to the legacy will be insufficient to protect the assignment (i). And it would seem clear that at the present day, where a fund derived under a settlement or prior will is bequeathed by a subsequent will, it would be immaterial whether the legacy had been assented to or not, as the duties of the trustees, or of the executors of the former will, would be

(e) *Lee v. Howlett*, 2 K. & J. 531;
Thomas v. Cross, 2 Dr. & S. 423.

(f) *Consolidated Investment Co. v. Riley*, 1 Giff. 371; 5 Jur. N. S. 1283.

(g) *Foster v. Blackstone*, 1 My. & K. 297, affirmed in D. P. *sub nom. Foster*

v. Cockerell, 3 Cl. & F. 456.

(h) *Re Hughes' Trusts*, 2 H. & M. 89.

(i) *Holt v. Dewell*, 4 Ha. 446. See *Stephens v. Green*, (1895) 2 Ch. 148, C. A.

confined to carrying out their own trust or duty by paying over the money to the legal personal representative of their deceased *cestui que trust*, whose receipt would effectually discharge them from seeing to the application of the money in accordance with any ulterior dispositions made by their *cestui que trust*; it is conceived, therefore, that in such a case notice to the trustees, or to the executors of the first will, would be of no avail, but that notice to the executor, whether he has assented or not, would be sufficient to protect the mortgagee of the legacy (*k*).

If a testator creates a trust of a fund, the executor will *ab initio* have notice of the claim of the trustees, and, accordingly, if a beneficial interest in the fund is mortgaged, it will be the duty of the executor, after he has assented to the legacy, to pay the money over to the trustees, and no notice can prevent him from doing so, or affect the fund in the hands of the trustees; it is therefore obvious that the trustees are the proper persons to receive the notice.

Legacy given in trust.

iii.—Notice of Mortgage of Debts and other Choses in Action.—The doctrine of reputed ownership has been already considered in its relation to bills of sale of goods (*l*). A few points on this subject may be shortly noticed here with regard to its bearing on debts.

Reputed ownership.

Under the Bankruptcy Acts prior to 1869 (*m*), all choses in action, including debts of every description, were held to fall within the expression "goods and chattels" so as to be in the reputed ownership of the bankrupt, whether he was a trader or not (*n*).

By the Bankruptcy Act, 1869, s. 15, sub-s. (5) (*o*), it was provided that things in action, "other than debts due to the bankrupt in the course of his trade or business," should not be deemed "goods and chattels" within the meaning of the reputed ownership clause in that Act.

Exclusion of choses in action generally from reputed ownership clause.

It was held upon the construction of that section that the

(*k*) Wigram, V.-C., in *Holt v. Dewell*, and Stirling, J., in *Stephens v. Green*, laid stress on the point that in those cases the legacies assigned had not been assented to; but the L.J.J. in the latter case seem to have based their decision on the broad principle that a trustee or executor of a prior settlement or will has nothing to do with interests arising under a sub-

sidary settlement or testamentary disposition made by their *cestui que trust*.

(*l*) See *ante*, pp. 177 *et seq.*

(*m*) See Bankruptcy Act, 1849 (12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 141).

(*n*) *Re Coombe's Trusts*, 1 Giff. 91; *Re Bright's Settlement*, 13 Ch. D. 413, C. A. See *Palmer v. Locke*, 18 Ch. D. 381, C. A.

(*o*) 32 & 33 Vict. c. 71.

CHAP. LVI.

Bankers'
marginal
notes.

expression "debts due" in the clause is not to be confined to debts presently payable, but that, on the other hand, it will not include debts which were only contingent at the commencement of the bankruptcy (*p*).

Bankers' marginal notes given for sums retained against acceptances are not debts due to the bankrupt within the clause (*q*).

The words "debts due to the bankrupt in the course of his trade or business" do not extend to all debts due to the bankrupt while engaged in the trade or business, but only to debts connected therewith (*r*).

The costs for which a solicitor claims a lien are not in his order or disposition until an order has been made directing payment of the costs out of the particular fund (*s*).

The Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (*t*), virtually re-enacts the reputed ownership clause of the Act of 1869 with an alteration which does not appear to be very material, the words in the later Act being "debts due or growing due in the course of his trade or business."

Notice of
assignment
of debt.

On the assignment of a debt, in order, not only in the case of a trade debt, to take the subject of assignment out of the order and disposition of the bankrupt, but also generally to ensure priority as against other assignees of a debt, it is necessary and sufficient that notice be given to the debtor or other person from whom the assignor is entitled to claim payment of the money (*u*) who may not always be the original debtor (*x*).

Marginal
notes of
bankers.

The assignment of marginal receipts in hands of bankers to cover advances was held subject to a set-off for sums due at the date of the notice of assignment (*y*).

Partnership
debt.

Where the debt assigned is owing from a partnership firm, notice of the assignment given to one of the partners is notice to the partnership (*z*). And where one firm makes an equitable assignment to another firm of a debt owing to the former to

(*p*) *Exp. Kemp, Re Fastnedge*, L. R. 9 Ch. A. 383. See *Re Stockton Malleable Iron Co.*, 2 Ch. D. 101, 103.

(*q*) *Exp. Kemp, Re Fastnedge, sup.*
(*r*) *Re Pryce*, 4 Ch. D. 685. See *Re Jenkinson, Exp. Nottingham Bank*, 15 Q. B. D. 441.

(*s*) *Lord v. Colvin*, 2 Dr. & S. 82.

(*t*) 46 & 47 Vict. c. 52, s. 44 (iii).

(*u*) *Ryall v. Bowles*, 1 Ves. Sen. 348; *Exp. Monro*, Buck, 300; *Exp. Smither*,

3 M. & A. 693; 1 Deac. 413; *Douglas v. Russell*, 4 Sim. 524; *Boyd v. Mangier*, 3 Exch. 387.

(*x*) *Gardner v. Lachlan*, 4 My. & Cr. 129; *Buck v. Lee*, 3 N. & M. 580; *Exp. M' Turk*, 2 Deac. 58.

(*y*) *Jeffries v. Agra Bank*, L. R. 2 Eq. 694.

(*z*) *Travis v. Milne*, 9 Ha. 141; *Re Worcester Corn Exchange Co.*, 3 De G. M. & G. 180.

secure advances by the latter, and both firms have a common partner, the assignee firm will be affected with notice of all equities attaching to the assignment (*a*).

CHAP. LVI.

On the assignment of a debt owing by a company in course of being wound up, notice must be given to the official liquidator (*b*).

Debt of company in liquidation.

As regards the necessity of giving notice of an assignment of a debt or other chose in action, there is no distinction between legal and equitable incumbrances (*c*).

No distinction between legal and equitable incumbrances.

iv.—Notice of Mortgages of Policies of Life Assurances.—Where a policy of life assurance is assigned by way of mortgage, the mortgagee must be careful, on completion, to give notice of the assignment to the office.

As to necessity of giving notice of assignment of policy.

Before the Bankruptcy Act 1869, if a policy of life assurance was mortgaged or otherwise assigned, notice of such mortgage or assignment must have been given to the office in which the assurance was effected, to take it out of the reach of the bankrupt laws (*d*); but now choses in action are excluded from the order and disposition clause (*e*); and a policy of assurance is a chose in action within the section (*f*).

Life policies not within order and disposition of bankrupt.

The rule, however, as to notice still applies as between different incumbrancers on the policy, quite irrespective of the bankrupt law (*g*); and, in order to complete the title to a policy of assurance, notice must still be given to the office. The reason for the notice is that otherwise the office might safely pay the money to the person who had, without the knowledge of the office, ceased to be its creditor, and it would be impossible to make the office pay it over again (*h*); or the mortgagee might defeat the assignment by surrendering the policy or the bonuses to the office (*i*).

By the statute 30 & 31 Vict. c. 144, s. 3, it is enacted as follows:—

“No assignment made after the passing of this Act of a policy

Notice of assignment to be given.

(*a*) *Steele v. Stuart*, L. R. 2 Eq. 84.

(*b*) *Wragge's Case*, L. R. 5 Eq. 284.

(*c*) *Exp. Arkwright*, 3 M. D. & De G. 129; *Exp. Wood*, 3 M. D. & De G. 315.

(*d*) *Ryall v. Rowles*, 1 Ves. Sen. 348; *Williams v. Thorp*, 2 Sim. 257; *Thompson v. Spiers*, 13 Sim. 469.

(*e*) B. A. 1869, s. 15, par. 5; B. A. 1883, s. 44 (iii).

(*f*) *Exp. Ibbetson, Re Moore*, 8 Ch. D. 519, C. A.

(*g*) *Wilmott v. Pike*, 5 Ha. 19, 20.

(*h*) *Jones v. Gibbon*, 9 Ves. 410; *North British Insurance Co. v. Hallett*, 7 Jur. N. S. 1263; *Rickards v. Gledstanes*, 8 Jur. N. S. 455; *Edwards v. Martin*, L. R. 1 Eq. 121.

(*i*) *Fortescue v. Barnett*, 3 My. & K. 36; *Stooks v. Dobson*, 4 De G. M. & G. 11.

CHAP. XVI.

of life assurance shall confer on the assignee therein named, his executors, administrators, or assigns, any right to sue for the amount of such policy, or the moneys assured or secured thereby, until a written notice of the date and purport of such assignment shall have been given to the Assurance Company liable under such policy, at their principal place of business for the time being, or in case they have two or more principal places of business, then at some one of such principal places of business, either in England or Scotland or Ireland, and the date on which such notice shall be received shall regulate the priority of all claims under any assignment; and a payment *bond fide* made in respect of any policy by any assurance company before the date on which such notice shall have been received shall be as valid against the assignee giving such notice as if this Act had not been passed."

Meaning of
"assignment."

An agreement for a mortgage, though accompanied by a deposit of the policy, is not an assignment within the meaning of the Act. And accordingly a deposit of a policy who had not given notice to the office was held by virtue of his possession of the policy to have priority over a subsequent equitable mortgagee who gave notice (*k*).

Notice after
death.

The notice to the office may be given after the death of the assured, and priority may be gained thereby (*l*).

Priority of in-
cumbrancers
inter se by
giving notice.

But, as regards the rights *inter se* of several incumbrancers on a policy, it does not necessarily follow that the order in which the notices are given to the office will absolutely, and without regard to circumstances, determine the priority of the several claims to the policy moneys. The concluding words of sect. 3 are merely intended for the protection of insurance offices, and to give them facilities for settling claims by enabling them to recognise as the first claim, the claim of the person who first gave such notice as is required by the statute; the words were not intended to affect the rights of persons claiming interests in the moneys outside the insurance office. And, therefore, if a first incumbrancer on a policy fails to give the prescribed notice, and a second incumbrancer whose charge is made with notice of the first charge and subject to it, gives notice to the office, he will not thereby exclude the person who had the first incumbrance (*m*).

Proof of
discharge of
mortgage.

(*k*) *Crossley v. City of Glasgow Life Assurance Co.*, 4 Ch. D. 421; *Spencer v. Clarke*, 9 Ch. D. 137. See *Re Haycock's Policy*, 1 Ch. D. 611; and *Scottish Amicable Society v. Fuller*, Ir. R. 2

Eq. 53.

(*l*) *Re Russell's Policy Trust*, L. R. 16 Eq. 30.

(*m*) *Newman v. Newman*, 28 Ch. D. 674.

mortgage, although no claim has been made by the mortgagee; as the latter might, by virtue of the Policies of Assurance Act, 1867 (*n*), have sued the office in his own name (*o*).

CHAP. XVI.

If a policy of assurance is sub-mortgaged, notice should be given both to the office and to the grantee of the policy. Where a mortgagee of a policy of assurance created an equitable sub-mortgage by deposit, but no notice was given either to the office or to the original mortgagor, it was held on the bankruptcy of the original mortgagee under the old law that the sub-mortgage was invalid against his assignees (*p*). In such a case, notice of the sub-mortgage to the original mortgagor would probably have been sufficient without notice to the office (*q*). But if notice of the original mortgage had been given to the office, then notice of the sub-mortgage to the office would have been necessary and sufficient (*r*).

Notice to office and to grantee on sub-mortgage of policy.

In the case of mutual assurance companies, wherein every insurer becomes a partner, his dealing with his own policy will not be considered as a partnership act affecting the society with notice (*s*).

Mutual assurance company.

A share in a partnership has been held to be a chose in action within the meaning of the Bankruptcy Act so as to be excluded from the operation of the "order and disposition clause" (*t*).

As to share in partnership.

V.—Notice of Mortgages of Shares in Joint-Stock Companies.—Shares in a joint-stock company are excepted from the operation of the order and disposition clause of the Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (*u*), it having been decided that such shares are choses in action within this exception, whether the bankrupt's interest in the shares is legal (*x*) or equitable (*y*).

Notice of assignment of shares in companies not required as against trustee in bankruptcy.

No notice of assignment of such shares is therefore necessary to protect the assignee as against the assignor's trustee in bankruptcy.

Where mortgages of shares are affected by deposit of the certificates, it is now settled, after several decisions to the con-

As to mortgages by deposit of shares.

(*n*) 30 & 31 Vict. c. 144.

(*o*) *Re Haycock's Policy*, 1 Ch. D. 611.

(*p*) *Exp. Wood*, 3 M. D. & De G. 315.

(*q*) *Holt v. Dewell*, 4 Ha. 446.

(*r*) *Exp. Barnett*, 1 De G. 194; *Thompson v. Tomkins*, 2 Dr. & S. 8.

(*s*) *Thompson v. Speirs*, 13 Sim. 469; *Re Bromley*, 13 Sim. 476; *Martin v. Sedgwick*, 9 Beav. 333; *Exp. Arkwright*, 3 M. D. & De G. 129; notwithstanding

Duncan v. Chamberlayne, 11 Sim. 123; and *Exp. Rose*, 2 M. D. & De G. 131.

(*t*) *Re Bainbridge, Exp. Fletcher*, 8 Ch. D. 218.

(*u*) 46 & 47 Vict. c. 52, s. 44 (iii). See further, as to order and disposition in bankruptcy, *ante*, pp. 177 *et seq.*

(*x*) *Colonial Bank v. Whinney*, 11 App. Cas. 426.

(*y*) *Exp. Barry, Re Fox*, L. R. 17 Eq. 113.

CHAP. LVI.

trary (s), that (at all events where such shares are transferable only by deed) notice to the company of the deposit is not necessary to take the mortgaged shares out of the order and disposition of the mortgagor (a).

Whether the decision last referred to would equally apply where, by the regulations of the company, transfers of shares may be effected otherwise than by deed is perhaps open to question; in such a case possibly the older decisions as to the necessity of notice to oust the operation of the order and disposition clause might still apply (b).

Doctrine of notice not applicable to assignment of shares as between successive assignees.

Moreover, notice to the company is not required, and will not avail to ensure priority as between successive assignees of equitable interests in shares in companies registered under the Companies Act, 1862 (c), or to companies governed by regulations similar in effect to the provisions of sect. 30 of that Act, which enacts that "no notice of any trust, express, implied or constructive, shall be entered on the register, or be receivable by the registrar."

Shares in railway and other public companies.

So also, as regards railway companies and other public companies, they are generally protected against taking notice of trusts affecting their shares, by the provisions of sect. 20 of the Companies Clauses Act, 1845 (d), which enacts as follows:—

Company not bound to regard trusts.

"The company shall not be bound to see to the execution of any trust, whether express, implied, or constructive, to which any of the said shares may be subject; and the receipt of the party in whose name any such share shall stand in the books of the company, or if it stands in the names of more parties than one, the receipt of one of the parties named in the register of shareholders, shall from time to time be a sufficient discharge to the company for any dividend or other sum of money payable in respect of such share, notwithstanding any trusts to which such share may then be subject, and whether or not the company have had notice of such trusts; and the company shall not be bound to see to the application of the money paid upon such receipt."

Companies incorporated by royal charter, &c.

Companies incorporated by Royal Charter or Special Act, and not coming within the above general enactment, are usually protected by the terms of their instruments of incorporation; and such protection is absolute as regards notices of assignments of shares (e).

(s) *Exp. Lancaster Canal Co.*, 1 D. & C. 411; *Exp. Masterman*, 4 D. & C. 751; *Exp. Boulton*, 1 De G. & J. 163; *Exp. Union Bank of Manchester*, L. R. 12 Eq. 354.

(a) *Colonial Bank v. Whinney*, 11 App. Cas. 426.

(b) See cases cited *supra*, p. 1267, note (d). And see *Exp. Spencer*, 1 Deac. 468; *Exp. Fallance*, 2 Deac. 354; *Exp. Watkins*, 2 M. & A. 348.

(c) 25 & 26 Vict. c. 89.

(d) 8 & 9 Vict. c. 16.

(e) See *Simpson v. Molson's Bank*, (1895) A. C. 270.

It was laid down by Jessel, M.R., that a joint-stock company cannot look behind the register as to beneficial interest, but must take the register as conclusive, and cannot inquire for any purpose whether the interest of the registered holder is affected by any trust or mortgage (*f*). This rule was questioned in a later case by the Court of Appeal (*g*). But the principle of the rule has been affirmed by the House of Lords, who accordingly decided that the rule laid down in *Dearle v. Hall* (*h*) as to the effect of notice in determining the priority of equitable rights does not apply to shares in a joint-stock company, and that, where such shares are equitably mortgaged in succession to several incumbrancers the priority of the mortgagees will be determined by the dates of the mortgages, not by the dates at which notices of the mortgages were given to the company (*i*).

CHAP. XVI.

Succession mortgages of shares in joint-stock company rank in priority of date.

An equitable mortgagee of shares should, however, also give notice of his incumbrance to the directors or secretary of the company, as such notice, though it will not give him precedence over other incumbrancers who are prior in point of time, will affect the company itself so as to prevent the company from claiming priority in respect of any lien for debts accruing due from the shareholder after the company has received notice of the mortgage (*k*).

Advisability of notice to exclude claims of company.

In order that notice to a company may be effectual, either it must be given to the company itself through its proper officers, or it must be received by the company in the course of its business; casual knowledge acquired by the secretary as an individual, and not while he is engaged in transacting the business of the company, is not notice to the company (*l*). So where one of the parties to an assignment of shares in a company is the secretary of that company, notice will not be imputed to the company (*m*).

What notice should be given.

It is sufficient if directors in a company receive the information in the course of the transactions of the company, as by

Notice to directors, &c.

(*f*) *Fulbrook v. Richmond Mining Co.*, 9 Ch. D. 610.

(*g*) *Bainbridge v. Smith*, 41 Ch. D. 482, C. A. See *Re Bainbridge*, *Reeves v. Bainbridge*, W. N. (1889) 228.

(*h*) 3 Russ. 1.

(*i*) *Société Générale de Paris v. Walker*, 11 App. Cas. 20. See also *Exp. Bugg*, 2 Dr. & S. 452; *Taylor v. Great Indian Peninsular Rail. Co.*, 2 De G. & J. 559; *Exp. Swann*, 7 C. B. N. S. 400; *Re Bahia, &c. Rail. Co.*, L. R. 3 Q. B.

584; *Barton v. London & North Western Rail. Co.*, 24 Q. B. D. 77.

(*k*) *Bradford Banking Co. v. Briggs, Son & Co.*, 12 App. Cas. 29. See further as to lien of a company on shares, *post*, pp. 1399, 1400.

(*l*) *Société Générale de Paris v. Tramways Union Co.*, 14 Q. B. D. 424, affirmed in D. P., *sub nom. Société Générale de Paris v. Walker*, 11 App. Cas. 20.

(*m*) *Exp. Boulton*, 1 De G. & J. 163.

CHAP. LVI.

verbal information at a board (*n*). Otherwise notice to individual directors is not notice to the board (*o*); nor is notice to an auditor (*p*); nor to an actuary (*q*). But notice to the secretary, official liquidator, or other officer who represents the company will bind it (*r*). And the assignee will not be affected by the neglect of the recipient of the notice to make a proper entry (*s*).

Notice to member.

Notice to an individual member of a joint-stock company is not notice to the company (*t*).

Notice to trustees where shares are settled.

Of course if shares in a company are registered in the names of trustees as the legal holders thereof, notice of a mortgage of a beneficial interest in such shares must be given to the trustees.

Assignees of an equitable interest in stock or shares should also either require an indorsement of their security on the settlement, or place on the fund the notice now substituted for distringas, or obtain a transfer of the fund into Court and obtain a stop order thereon (*u*).

Rule as to notice of charge.

vi.—Notice of Mortgages of Freight and Cargo.—The principle that a first mortgagee of a chose in action by omitting to give notice to the person holding the fund at the order and disposition of the assignor enables the assignor to deal with the property as his own, and thereby obtain fictitious credit, applies to mortgages of freight and cargo.

Notice of mortgage of freight.

With regard to mortgages of freight, notice under ordinary circumstances should be given to the charterers or their agent, being the persons liable to pay the freight to the owner of the ship (*x*). But where a ship-agent on behalf of the owner of a ship entered into a charter-party, whereby the charterer agreed to pay to the agent a sum for freight, and the owner afterwards assigned the freight as security for an advance, it was held that notice given to the ship-agent only was sufficient to take the freight out of the order and disposition of the shipowner on his

(*n*) *Exp. Agra Bank, Re Worcester*, L. R. 3 Ch. A. 555, L. J.

(*o*) *Re Burmester*, 9 Ir. Ch. 41; *Exp. Burbridge*, 1 Deac. 142.

(*p*) *Re Henessy*, 2 Dr. & War. 555.

(*q*) *Exp. Watkins*, 2 M. & A. 348.

(*r*) *Re Henessy, sup.*; *Breech-Loading Armoury Co.*, L. R. 5 Eq. 284; *Allison v. Chichester*, L. R. 10 C. P.

319.

(*s*) *North British Ins. Co. v. Hallett*, 7 Jur. N. S. 1263.

(*t*) *Re Carew's Estate*, 31 Beav. 39.

See *Stewart v. Dunn*, 12 M. & W. 664.

(*u*) *Phipps v. Lovegrove*, L. R. 16 Eq. 80.

(*x*) *Re Pride of Wales (Owners, &c. of)*, 15 W. R. 381.

bankruptcy, inasmuch as the agent, and not the charterer, was the person liable to pay the money to the shipowner (*y*). CHAP. LVI.

In the case of a mortgage of cargo at sea, the notice should be given to the master (*z*) or the consignee (*a*). The notice should be given as soon as circumstances will admit, and priority will be lost by neglect to send notice where there were means of communicating with the ship (*b*). Notice of mortgage of cargo.

A mortgagee of a ship and cargo does not lose his priority by the subsequent transshipment of the cargo into a different ship and its consignment to different parties, although a subsequent incumbrancer gives notice before him to such consignee, if the mortgagee, upon hearing of the shipment and consignment, is guilty of no delay in giving notice to the new consignees (*c*). Transshipment of cargo.

Where incumbrancers are alike innocent and are equally diligent in completing their title, priority in the date of their respective securities will, as in equitable mortgages of realty, give the advantage (*d*). Priority according to date of charge.

vii.—Application of Doctrine of Tacking to Personality.—The doctrine of tacking applies not only to real estate, but also to personal property; but its application to personality, other than leaseholds, is of rare occurrence in practice, inasmuch, as has been already seen, priority of assignments is generally regulated, in the case of equitable interests in personality, debts, and other choses in action, by priority of notice, and in the cases of bills of sale of chattels, and of mortgages of ships, by priority of registration.

As regards choses in action, however, so far as the rule as to priority by notice does not apply, successive assignments or charges will rank according to priority of date; and, accordingly, a first incumbrancer, though his title is merely equitable, may, in such a case, tack further advances against mesne incumbrances, of which he had no notice at the time when he made such advances. So, where an officer gave to the plaintiff a charge on the proceeds of sale of his commission, and then gave further charges on the same fund to other persons, and subsequently, the plaintiff, without notice of the mesne charges, made

(*y*) *Gardner v. Lachlan*, 4 My. & Cr. 129.

(*z*) *Langton v. Horton*, 1 Ha. 549.

(*a*) *Feltham v. Clark*, 1 De G. & S. 307; *Exp. Kelsall*, De G. 113.

(*b*) *Exp. Lucas*, 3 De G. & J. 113.

(*c*) *Feltham v. Clark*, 1 De G. & S. 307.

(*d*) *Cato v. Irving*, 5 De G. & S. 210.

CHAP. LVI.

to the officer a further advance secured on the same fund; immediately upon the proceeds of sale of the commission being lodged with certain army agents for distribution, the several incumbrancers, including the plaintiff, gave to the agents contemporaneous notices of their respective charges; it was held that the plaintiff was entitled to tack his further advance to his original security in priority to the mesne charges (*e*).

A registered mortgagee of a ship cannot tack an unregistered further charge against a subsequent registered mortgage given to other persons where the unregistered charge was not exclusively for the first mortgagee's benefit (*f*). But generally the mortgagee is entitled to tack a further charge in priority to every equitable charge of which he had not notice (*g*).

SECTION II.

OF PRIORITY OBTAINED IN CERTAIN CASES BY LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

Former writ
of distringas.

i.—Notice in lieu of Distringas.—Formerly, a person claiming to be interested as incumbrancer or otherwise in any stock or shares not in Court, might have protected himself against transfer of the stock or shares or payment of dividends thereon to any other person without notice to him by means of a writ of distringas, which might have been issued under the statute 5 Vict. c. 5 against any public company, whether incorporated or not, in whose books any such stock or shares might be standing.

Filing, &c.
of notices as
to stock.

By the Rules of the Supreme Court, Ord. XLVI. r. 2, no writ of distringas is now to be issued under the statute 5 Vict. c. 5; and by rule 4, any person claiming to be interested in any stock standing in the name of a company (*h*) may, on an affi-

(*e*) *Calisher v. Forbes*, L. R. 7 Ch. A. 109.

(*f*) *Parr v. Applebee*, 7 De G. M. & G. 585.

(*g*) *Liverpool Marine, &c. Co. v. Wilson*, L. R. 7 Ch. A. 507.

(*h*) By R. S. C. Ord. XLVI. r. 3,

the expression "company" includes the Governor and Company of the Bank of England, and any other public company, whether incorporated or not, and the expression "stock" includes shares, securities, and dividends thereon.

davit by himself or his solicitor in the prescribed form, and on filing the same at the Central Office with a notice in the prescribed form, and on procuring an office copy of the affidavit and a duplicate of the filed notice authenticated by the seal of the Central Office, serve the office copy and the duplicate notice on the company. By rule 8, such service is to have the same force and effect against the company as a writ of distringas would formerly have had. Rule 9 provides for the withdrawal or discharge of the notice; and rule 11 further provides for the amendment of the description of the stock referred to in the notice. By rule 10—

“If, whilst a notice filed under rule 4 of this order continues in force, the company on whom it is served receive from the person in whose name the stock specified in the notice is standing, or from some person acting on his behalf or representing him, a request to permit the stock to be transferred or to pay the dividends thereon, the company shall not, by force or in consequence of the service of the notice, be authorized, without the order of the Court or a judge, to refuse to permit the transfer to be made or to withhold the payment of the dividends for more than eight days after the date of the request.”

Effect of request for transfer of stock on payment of dividend.

The operation of the notice in lieu of distringas is therefore merely temporary; it does not of itself give priority to the person giving the notice, but merely prevents the fund from being dealt with without notice to him, so as to give him an opportunity to establish his claim. The notice should, therefore, be immediately followed up by an application either for a restraining order under the stat. 5 Vict. c. 5, s. 5, or an injunction against dealing with the stock, and the order obtained should be served on the legal owners of the stock (i).

Effect of notice in lieu of distringas.

Notice in lieu of distringas thus does not dispense with the necessity of giving notice of an assignment of the beneficial interest in stock or shares to the legal owners, but is merely ancillary to such notice, and affords some protection against the fund being improperly dealt with notwithstanding such notice.

Where a sum of stock was standing in the name of a deceased trustee, and by reason of the death of the person in whose name the stock stood without legal personal representatives there was no trustee of the fund to whom notice of assignment could be

(i) *Re Blaksley's Trusts*, 23 Ch. D. 549. See *Re Marquis of Hertford*, 1 Ph. 129; *Société Générale de Paris v.*

Tramways Union Co., 14 Q. B. D. 424, at p. 453; *Hobbs v. Wayet*, 36 Ch. D. 256, at pp. 259, 260.

CHAP. LVI.

given, it was held that an incumbrancer who first served a writ of distringas on the Bank of England, having thus done all that could be done under the circumstances to perfect the transaction, had thereby gained priority over an incumbrancer whose charge was earlier in point of date (e).

Where the assignor of an undivided share in a fund is also trustee of the fund, the notice in lieu of distringas should be placed upon the whole fund (f).

Mortgagee not party to action may obtain stop order.

ii.—**Stop Orders on Funds in Court.**—Where the subject-matter of a mortgage is a fund in Court standing to the credit of an action, notice to the Paymaster-General of a charging order upon the fund is of no avail to give priority over other incumbrances (g). In such a case, the proper course is for a mortgagee to obtain a stop order, which he may do, though he is not a party to the cause or proceeding in which the fund in Court is standing.

With regard to applications for stop orders, the Rules of the Supreme Court, Ord. XLVI., provide as follows:—

Service of application for stop order.

Rule 13. "Any person presenting a petition or taking out a summons for any such order as aforesaid shall not be required to serve such petition or summons upon the parties to the cause or matter, or upon the persons interested in such parts of the moneys or securities as are not sought to be affected by any such order."

Previous charging order no longer necessary.

It is no longer necessary that a judgment creditor should obtain a charging order in the Division of the High Court in which his judgment was reserved as a preliminary to obtaining a stop order on a fund standing in Court to the credit of the Chancery Division (h).

Fund must be in Court.

No stop order can be obtained over a fund unless either it is actually in Court, or unless an order for payment in has been made, although the fund has not actually been paid in (i).

Orders in lunacy.

Orders of the nature of stop orders on funds paid into Court in lunacy will not be granted on the application of the assignee of the expectant interest of the next of kin of the lunatic (k).

(e) *Etty v. Bridges*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 486.

(f) *Wilkins v. Sibley*, 4 Giff. 442.

(g) *Warburton v. Hill, Kay*, 470; *Haly v. Barry*, L. R. 3 Ch. A. 452; notwithstanding *Greening v. Beckford*, 5 Sim. 195.

(h) *Shaw v. Hudson*, 48 L. J. Ch. 689; *Hopewell v. Barnes*, 1 Ch. D. 630.

(i) *Shaw v. Hudson*, *sup.*; *Wellesley v. Mornington*, 11 W. R. 17.

(k) *Re Wilkinson*, L. R. 10 Ch. A. 73, overruling *Re Piggot*, 3 Mac. & G. 268.

The Court may grant a stop order on securities brought into Court (l); but the application of a mortgagee of a reversion for a stop order on deeds in Court was refused (m).

Applications for stop orders were formerly made by petition, but may now generally be made by summons (n). But if a fund exceeding 1,000*l.* has been paid into Court under the Trustee Relief Act, and there has been no previous application in the matter of the fund, a petition is still necessary (o).

Mode of applying for stop order.

The assignor, though he is a party to the cause, must be served with the petition or summons (p); but other parties need not generally be served, and, if served unnecessarily the applicant may be ordered to pay their costs (q). It has been held, however, that all persons already having effectual stop orders on the fund ought to be served (r); but persons having stop orders on contingent interests in a fund which, in the events which have happened, have never vested, need not apparently be served (s).

Service of petition or summons.

In support of the application, the title generally of the assignor must be proved, either by the proceedings in the cause, or by affidavit; and the assignment must be shown, either by proving its execution or by the assignor appearing and admitting it (t). There must be either proof or admission of the assignment; the order will not be made without prejudice to any question as to the validity of the incumbrance (u). But an order on a fund paid into Court under the Trustee Relief Act was made without prejudice to a lien for costs claimed by a trustee (x).

Evidence in support of application.

A stop order, except so far as it affects the priorities of assignments, does not affect or decide any rights or questions of title (y); all that is done is to prevent payment of the fund out of Court without notice to the person who has obtained the order, so that he may then appear and support his rights. A stop order may therefore be made on a fund the title to which is in dispute (z).

Rights of parties not affected.

So where at the hearing of a cause an order had been made

(l) *Williams v. Symonds*, 9 Beav. 523.
 (m) *Cotton v. Cotton*, 6 Beav. 96.
 (n) *Wrench v. Wynne*, 17 W. R. 198;
Walsh v. Wason, 22 W. R. 676.
 (o) *Re Toogood*, 56 L. T. 703. See
Re Day's Trusts, 49 L. T. 499. For
 form of summons, see Dan. Ch. F. 702.
 (p) *Parsons v. Groome*, 4 Beav. 521.
 (q) *Glazebrook v. Gilliat*, 9 Beav. 611.
 (r) *Hulkes v. Day*, 10 Sim. 41.

(s) *Vernon v. Croft*, 36 W. R. 778.
 (t) *Wood v. Vincent*, 4 Beav. 419;
Quarman v. Williams, 5 Beav. 133.
 (u) *Winchilea v. Garrety*, 1 Beav.
 223.
 (x) *Re Blunt*, 10 W. R. 379.
 (y) *Hawkesley v. Gowan*, 12 W. R.
 1100; *Lucas v. Peacock*, 9 Beav. 177.
 (z) *Hawkesley v. Gowan*, *sup.*

CHAP. LVI.

for payment out of Court of a fund to the plaintiff, and a person, not a party to the cause, having a claim against the plaintiff, applied for a stop order, it was ordered that the fund be retained in Court, on the terms that the claimant should, within ten days, file a bill to establish his claim (a).

How far a stop order gives priority to an incumbrancer.

Inasmuch, however, as, on payment into Court, the control and custody of the fund vests in the Court, the issuing of a stop order is tantamount to notice to the persons who would have had the legal control of the fund, if it had not been in Court, and who, but for such stop order, would be entitled to have the money paid out of Court to them. If, therefore, those persons would be the proper persons to receive notice, if the fund were in their hands, then a stop order will be the effectual way of gaining priority and preventing any subsequent incumbrancer from getting priority over the person who obtained the stop order (b).

But where notice to the persons who would have the legal control over the fund, if it were not in Court, would not be sufficient, neither will a stop order be sufficient. So where a son was entitled under his father's will to a fund which had been paid into Court in an action to administer the father's estate. The son died, having by his will bequeathed a share in the fund to his daughter, who assigned it successively to A. and B.; B., having no notice of A.'s assignment, obtained a stop order; A. gave notice of the assignment to the son's legal personal representatives: it was held that, inasmuch as the stop order was tantamount to notice to the father's executors, if the fund had not been in Court, and as, in that case, not they, but the son's legal personal representatives, would have been the proper persons to receive notice, A. had priority over B. (c). But, as was pointed out by Sir N. Lindley, L.J., the effect of the stop order in that case had a useful effect, because it prevented the legal personal representative of the son, who was entitled to the fund, from getting it without giving notice to the person who obtained the stop order (d).

(a) *Feistel v. King's College, Cambridge*, 11 Beav. 254.

(b) *Elder v. Maclean*, 3 Jur. N. S. 283; *Greening v. Beckford*, 5 Sim. 195; *Swayne v. Swayne*, 11 Beav. 483; *Thomas v. Cross*, 2 Dr. & S. 423; *Warburton v. Hill, Kay*, 470; *Es Holmes*, 29 Ch. D. 786, C. A.; *Mutual*

Life Assurance Soc. v. Langley, 32 Ch. D. 460, at pp. 469, 471, 473, C. A.; *Mack v. Postle*, (1894) 2 Ch. D. 449, at p. 456.

(c) *Stephens v. Green*, (1896) 2 Ch. 148, C. A.

(d) *Ibid.* at p. 161.

Where equitable incumbrancers have once obtained priority by notice before the fund is paid into Court, stop orders obtained by other incumbrancers cannot prejudice them (e). Until the Court has in some way taken possession of the whole fund, notice should be given to the trustee or executor, because his concurrence is necessary for the disposition of the residue in his hands (f).

CHAP. XVI.
Effect of notice to trustees of fund before payment into Court.

Where the fund is in Court, a stop order must be obtained; a prior notice to the trustee will be insufficient (g).

Where the fund is partly in Court and partly in the hands of the trustee, a stop order gives priority over the fund in Court, and notice to the trustees priority over the funds in their hands (h).

It was held in one case (i) that notice to an executor of a charge on the interest of the residuary legatee in a fund, which had been paid into Court, was sufficient without a stop order as against the legatee's assignee in bankruptcy; but this decision was apparently grounded on the consideration that inasmuch as the executor had voluntarily paid the money into Court under the Trustee Relief Acts, he was not divested of all control over the money, as he would have been if he had paid it in under an order of the Court; and, accordingly, that in such a case, an assignee of the fund would, notwithstanding such payment in, still gain priority by giving notice to the person still having legal control of the fund. And it is conceived that the same rule, if maintainable, would apply with regard to trustees paying in money under the Trustee Act, 1893 (k).

A stop order on a particular share or interest in a fund in Court should expressly state that it affects only that share or interest; and the operation of the order, whether general or particular, is confined to the amount on which the order is founded. Thus, where the assignees of shares of a fund in Court obtained a stop order which extended over the whole fund, and afterwards became assignees of another share, but obtained no other stop order, a subsequent assignee of that

Stop order should specify particular interests affected.

(e) *Livesey v. Harding*, 23 Beav. 141; *Day v. Day*, 1 De G. & J. 144; *Brearcliff v. Dorrington*, 4 Dr. & S. 122; *Thomas v. Cross*, 2 Dr. & S. 423.

(f) *Warburton v. Hill*, Kay, 470. See *Matthews v. Gabb*, 15 Sim. 51.

(g) *Pinnock v. Bailey*, 23 Ch. D. 497.

(h) *Mutual Life Assurance Soc. v. Langley*, 32 Ch. D. 460, C. A.

(i) *Thompson v. Tomkins*, 2 Dr. & S. 8.

(k) 56 & 57 Vict. c. 53.

CHAP. LVI.

share without notice, who had obtained a stop order, gained priority over them (*l*).

It should be stated expressly on the face of a stop order whether capital or income is to be affected thereby (*m*), and if the order does not expressly limit its operation to capital or income only, it ought apparently to be treated, at the Paymaster-General's Office, as extending to both capital and income (*n*).

Costs.

With regard to the costs occasioned by a stop order, Ord. XVI. of the Rules of the Supreme Court provides as follows:—

Rule 12. "Where any moneys or securities are in Court to the general credit of any cause or matter, or to the account of any class of persons, and an order is made to prevent the transfer or payment of such moneys or securities, or any part thereof, without notice to the assignee of any person entitled in expectancy or otherwise to any share or portion of such moneys or securities, the person by whom any such order shall be obtained on the shares of such moneys or securities affected by such order shall be liable, at the discretion of the Court or a judge, to pay any costs, charges, and expenses which, by reason of any such order having been obtained, shall be occasioned to any party to the cause or matter, or any persons interested in any such moneys or securities."

An assignee of a fund in Court is not entitled, as a general rule and under all circumstances, to the costs of getting a stop order (*o*). But a mortgagee is entitled to such costs where the mortgage deed expressly empowers him to apply to the Court for a stop order (*p*).

Efficacy of stop order against trustee in bankruptcy.

An assignee who has obtained a stop order after the bankruptcy of the assignor has priority over the trustee in bankruptcy who has not obtained an order (*q*). So, also, if the trustee of a composition deed neglects to obtain a stop order, he will be postponed to an assignee who has obtained one (*r*).

Where the mortgagee of an equity of redemption in a reversionary interest obtained a stop order, and then took a further charge, but did not obtain another stop order, the assignees of the mortgagor bankrupt were held to be bound by the further charge (*s*).

(*l*) *Macleod v. Buchanan*, 4 De G. J. & S. 265.

(*m*) Mr. Justice Stirling has issued directions to this effect as regards applications for stop orders made to him.

(*n*) *Mack v. Postle*, (1894) 2 Ch. 449.

(*o*) *Grimsby v. Webster*, 8 W. R. 725.

(*p*) *Waddilove v. Taylor*, 6 Ha. 307.

(*q*) *Stuart v. Cockerell*, L. R. 8 Eq. 607; *Palmer v. Locke*, 18 Ch. D. 381, O. A.

(*r*) *Birmingham, &c. Co. v. Carter* 20 W. R. 354.

(*s*) *Grainge v. Warner*, 6 W. R. 219.

It is a breach of trust for trustees who have advanced money on the security of a fund in Court if they omit to obtain a stop order (*t*).

CHAP. XVI.

Trustees should obtain a stop order.

Where an agent or trustee has a lien upon a fund in his hands, which he pays into Court, he should obtain a stop order, otherwise his lien may be postponed to other incumbrancers obtaining stop orders (*u*). So where the trustee himself becomes an incumbrancer on the fund in Court, he will not be safe without a stop order (*x*). But the lien of a solicitor on a fund in Court, recovered or preserved through his instrumentality, prevails over the security of an assignee of the fund who has obtained a stop order (*y*).

Where trustees, &c. have a lien on the fund.

If after a stop order by an incumbrancer on part of a fund, the fund is distributed, and the share of the mortgagor is carried over "to the account of the mortgagor and his incumbrances," another incumbrancer who afterwards obtains a stop order on the share gains no priority; but upon the distribution of the fund, care should be taken that the share is not carried over to the account of the mortgagor alone (*z*).

To what account the fund must be carried.

Where, in an administration suit, a fund in Court had been carried over to a separate account, and the person beneficially entitled thereto charged her interest, and the incumbrancer obtained a stop order thereon, it was subsequently discovered that the assignor was liable jointly with the testator for breach of trust, which was made good out of the testator's estate; it was held, that though the assignor was liable to contribute, the incumbrancer was entitled to priority over the claim for contribution (*a*).

An incumbrancer of a fund in Court who, at the time of taking his security, had notice of a prior incumbrance, cannot, by obtaining a stop order, gain priority over the first incumbrancer, although the latter never obtains a stop order (*b*). But the fact that a subsequent incumbrancer has, at the time when he obtains a stop order, notice of the prior incumbrance will not deprive him of priority if he had no such notice at the time when he took his security (*c*).

Notice of prior incumbrance.

(*t*) *Wheatley v. Bastow*, 7 De G. M. & G. 261.

(*u*) *Swayne v. Swayne*, 11 Beav. 463.

(*x*) *Elder v. Maclean*, 3 Jur. N. S. 283.

(*y*) *Haynes v. Cooper*, 33 Beav. 431.

(*z*) *Lister v. Todd*, L. R. 4 Eq. 462.

(*a*) *Re Eyton, Bartlett v. Charles*, 45 Ch. D. 458. See *Re Jervoise*, 12 Beav. 209.

(*b*) *Re Holmes*, 29 Ch. D. 786, C. A.

(*c*) *Mutual Life Assurance Soc. v. Langley*, 32 Ch. D. 460, C. A.

CHAP. LVI.

Whether a stop order is necessary to give priority to an equitable execution.

An equitable execution by appointment of a receiver does not, apparently, require a stop order in order to ensure priority; so where a judgment creditor who had obtained equitable execution on the same day obtained a stop order, and afterwards another judgment creditor also obtained equitable execution and a stop order, which was formally lodged with the Paymaster-General some days before the first stop order was lodged, it was held that the priority obtained by the first execution was not displaced by the prior lodgment of the second stop order (*d*). The ground of the decision is not, however, very fully reported, and it may merely have been that the prior formal lodgment of a stop order will not postpone a stop order previously obtained.

SECTION III.

PRIORITY BY REGISTRATION.

Priority of title.

i.—Priorities of Bills of Sale of Chattels.—As to priorities *inter se* as to bills of sale of goods, sect. 10 of the Bills of Sale Act, 1878 (*e*), enacts as follows:—

“In case two or more bills of sale are given comprising in whole or in part the same chattels, they shall have priority in the order of their registration respectively as regards such chattels.”

Bill of sale must be registered immediately to ensure priority.

The effect of this enactment is, that every bill of sale must be registered immediately, without waiting for the expiration of the seven days; for a bill of sale, though registered within that period, and therefore valid under sect. 8 of the Act of 1878, would be postponed to a bill of sale of subsequent date, but registered before it (*f*).

Possession gives no priority.

There is no rule that the holder of a bill of sale must perfect his title by taking possession of the chattels comprised therein. So, the holder of a prior registered bill of sale will not lose his priority by reason that the holder of a subsequent bill comprising the same chattels has taken possession of them, though

(*d*) *Re Galland*, W. N. (1886), p. 96.

(*e*) 41 & 42 Vict. c. 31. See further, as to the Bills of Sale Act as affecting bills of sale given by way of security,

ante, pp. 189 *et seq.*

(*f*) *Conolly v. Steer*, 7 Q. B. D. 520, C. A.

without notice of the first bill of sale (*g*). And, conversely, the fact that the grantee under an earlier but unregistered bill of sale has taken possession of the goods will not give such grantee any priority over the holder of a subsequent bill of sale which has been duly registered (*h*).

CHAP. LVI.

The rule that the date of registration determines priority as between two bills of sale applies equally where both bills are absolute and where both are given as security for payment of money, and the same rule would apparently apply as between an absolute bill of sale and one given by way of security, where both instruments were executed before the commencement (*i*) of the Bills of Sale Act, 1882 (*k*).

General application of the rule.

But as regards bills of sale executed since that date, where a conflict arises between two bills of which the earlier in point of date is absolute but unregistered, and the later in date is given by way of security but is registered, the rule that priority is determined by the date of registration is qualified by the application of the rule laid down in sect. 5 of the Act of 1882 as to true ownership (*l*). In such a case, the unregistered absolute bill of sale, not being within the Act of 1882, passes the whole of the grantor's property in the goods to the grantee, except as against the trustee in bankruptcy and execution creditors of the grantor, so that the grantor is not the true owner of the goods within sect. 5 of that Act at the time when the second bill of sale is given. The second bill of sale is, therefore, void except as against the grantor, and cannot gain priority by registration (*m*).

When prior bill is absolute and unregistered.

When the conflict is between two bills of sale, both given by way of security for money, the grantor does not part with the whole of his interest in the goods by the first bill, but retains the equity of redemption therein, so as to be still the "true owner" of the goods within the meaning of sect. 5 at the time when he gives the subsequent bill; and accordingly the rule will apply that the priority as between the two bills is determined by the date of registration (*n*).

When both bills are by way of security.

Inasmuch as sect. 8 of the Act of 1882 provides that a bill of sale given by way of security for money shall be absolutely void

Whether priority by registration

(*g*) *Exp. Allen, Re Middleton*, L. R. 11 Eq. 209; *Payne v. Cales*, 38 L. T. 355.

(*h*) *Lyons v. Tucker*, 7 Q. B. D. 523, C. A.

(*i*) 1st November, 1882.

(*k*) 45 & 46 Vict. c. 43.

(*l*) See *ante*, p. 210.

(*m*) *Tuck v. Southern Counties Deposit*, 42 Ch. D. 471, C. A.

(*n*) *Thomas v. Searles*, (1891) 2 Q. B. 408, C. A.

CHAP. LVI.

is affected by notice of prior unregistered bill of sale.

as regards the goods and chattels comprised therein unless duly registered, it would seem that the general rule, that notice of a prior equitable right will deprive a subsequent incumbrancer of obtaining priority over that right, will not apply to successive bills of sale, but that a subsequent grantee, though with full knowledge at the time when he takes his security of the existence of a prior unregistered bill of sale, may nevertheless gain priority over it by registering his own bill of sale.

Sale by grantor in possession of mortgaged goods in course of business.

As between the grantee of a bill of sale by way of security and a subsequent purchaser for value from the grantor without notice of the bill of sale, the rule is, that if the mortgaged goods comprise stock-in-trade of the grantor, who is allowed to continue his trade or business, then, inasmuch as the grantor retaining possession of the goods is thus enabled to hold himself forth as having not only the possession but the property in the goods, a purchaser in good faith will acquire a good title as against the grantee, provided the sale was made in the ordinary course of business (*o*), but not otherwise (*p*).

After-acquired chattels.

It has been seen that an assignment or charge by bill of sale given since 1st November, 1882, by way of security of any after-acquired chattels is absolutely void (*q*). Even as regards bills of sale executed before that date, only an equitable title to after-acquired property expressed to be assigned or charged passes thereby, and accordingly a subsequent mortgagee of such property who can get in the legal title without notice of the prior bill of sale will take in priority to the holder of that bill (*r*).

Concealment of prior bill of sale.

If a person induces another to lend him money on a bill of sale of chattels upon a representation that they are unencumbered, whereas they were in fact included in a prior bill of sale, although not charged to their full value, he is guilty of an indictable false pretence (*s*). So, also, if he conceals the existence of a prior bill of sale, unless he sells with the authority of the holder of the bill, which it is for him to prove (*t*).

(*o*) *Lee v. Clutton*, 46 L. J. Ch. 48; *National Mercantile Bank v. Hampson*, 5 Q. B. D. 177; *Walker v. Clay*, 49 L. J. C. P. 560; 42 L. T. 369.

(*p*) *Cochrane v. Rymill*, 40 L. T. 744; *Consolidated Co. v. Curtis*, (1892) 1 Q. B. 495. See *Kidd v. Rawlinson*, 2 B. & P. 59; *Jezepeh v. Ingram*, 1 Moo. 189; *Taylor v. McKeand*, 5 C. P. D. 358. As to continuance in possession

of goods by a vendor after sale, see the Sale of Goods Act, 1893 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 71), s. 25.

(*q*) See *ante*, p. 210.

(*r*) *Joseph v. Lyons*, 15 Q. B. D. 280; *Hallas v. Robinson*, 15 Q. B. D. 288.

(*s*) *Reg. v. Meeking*, 11 Cox, 270.

(*t*) *Reg. v. Sampson*, 52 L. T. 772.

ii.—Priorities of Mortgages of Ships.—As regards the priority *inter se* of successive mortgages of ships, sect. 33 of the Merchant Shipping Act, 1894 (*u*), re-enacting the repealed sect. 69 of the Merchant Shipping Act, 1854 (*x*), enacts as follows:—

“If there are more mortgages than one registered in respect of the same ship or share, the mortgagees shall, notwithstanding any express, implied, or constructive notice, be entitled in priority, one over the other, according to the date at which each mortgage is recorded in the register book, and not according to the date of each mortgage itself.”

The first registered legal mortgage of a ship confers on its holder a legal title; all other mortgages, whether prior in date but unregistered, or subsequent in registration, are mere equitable charges. An agreement to give a legal mortgage of a ship, as of other property (*y*), means that the mortgagor will give a first mortgage, which, in the case of a ship, may, when perfected by registration, give to the mortgagee a paramount legal title (*y*).

The effect of the omission to register a mortgage of a ship is to postpone the mortgagee's claim to that of a subsequent mortgagee or transferee whose mortgage or transfer is registered before it (*z*), even though such mortgagee or transferee takes with notice of the prior charge (*a*).

Effect of omission to register.

An unregistered mortgage of a ship passes to the mortgagee, upon taking possession, the ownership of the ship as against a subsequent equitable assignment of the freight to a third person—at all events, in the absence of fraud or such gross and wilful negligence as is equivalent to fraud (*z*). Where, however, a mortgage was concealed from the registry in order to obtain a better sale, the purchaser was not bound by it (*b*); but neither under the old Registry Acts, nor under the present law, is the validity of an agreement as to the disposal of money arising from the sale of a ship or the produce of the freight affected (*c*).

Where a mortgage stands registered in the name of a trustee for the mortgagee, and the latter takes another mortgage from

(*u*) 57 & 58 Vict. c. 60.

(*x*) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104.

(*y*) *Thompson v. Clerk*, 1 M. L. C. 256.

(*z*) *Keith v. Burrows*, 1 C. P. D. 722; reversed on another point, 2 App. Cas. 636.

(*a*) *Black v. Williams*, (1895) 1 Ch. 408.

(*b*) *Hooper v. Gumm*, L. R. 2 Ch. A. 282.

(*c*) *Armstrong v. Armstrong*, 21 Beav. 78.

CHAP. LVI.

the mortgagor, including the first mortgage money, an unregistered transferee of the second mortgage has priority over a subsequent unregistered agreement for a mortgage with the trustee, though the latter made his advances without notice (*d*). A registered mortgage of a ship has priority over an execution upon a judgment against the mortgagor, although the mortgage was not indorsed upon the certificate of the ship's register (*e*).

(*d*) *Stapleton v. Haymen*, 2 H. & A. 136.
C. 918; *Bell v. Blyth*, L. R. 4 Ch.

(*e*) *Kitchen v. Irving*, 8 E. & B. 789.

CHAPTER LVII.

OF THE PRIORITY OF SECURITIES OF COMPANIES.

i.—Securities of Railway and other Public Companies.—As regards railway companies, the Railway Companies Act, 1867 (a), Railway companies. enacts that—

Sect. 23. "All money borrowed or to be borrowed by a company on mortgage or bond or debenture stock, under the provisions of any Act authorizing the borrowing thereof, shall have priority against the company, and the property from time to time of the company, over all other claims on account of any debts incurred or engagements entered into by them after the passing of this Act: Provided always, that this priority shall not affect any claim against the company in respect of any rentcharge granted or to be granted by them in pursuance of the Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845, or the Lands Clauses Consolidation Acts Amendment Act, 1860, or in respect of any rent or sum reserved by or payable under any lease granted or made to the company by any person in pursuance of any Act relating to the company which is entitled to rank in priority to, or *pari passu* with, the interest or dividends on the mortgages, bonds, and debenture stock; nor shall anything hereinbefore contained affect any claim for land taken, used, or occupied by the company for the purposes of the railway, or injuriously affected by the construction thereof, or by the exercise of any powers conferred on the company." Priority of mortgages.

So, where by an agreement entered into between a railway company and its debenture holders, confirmed and made binding by the special Act of the company, it was declared that the debentures should be a first charge on the gross receipts, it was held that the charge of the debenture holders had absolute priority, so as to preclude the company from applying any part of the gross receipts in payment of its working expenses to the detriment of the debenture holders (b). Debentures declared to be first charge on gross receipts.

The above enactment does not give to holders of mortgages, bonds, or debenture stock any lien or charge which they did not possess before the Act so as to entitle them to payment in Surplus lands.

(a) 30 & 31 Vict. c. 127, s. 23.

(b) *Proft v. Wye Valley Rail. Co.*, 64 L. T. 669, C. A.

CHAP. LVII.

Debentures
of companies
incorporated
by special
Acts rank
pari passu.

priority out of the proceeds of surplus lands of the company which have been sold (c).

Debentures of companies incorporated by special Acts are, by the Companies Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (d), declared to be *pari passu* charges; and by the Companies Clauses Act, 1863 (e), the holders of debenture stock are not, as between the members, to be entitled to any preference or priority.

This general enactment was not affected by a provision in a special Act, which incorporated the general Act, that moneys were to be borrowed by order of a general meeting, so as to postpone securities given for moneys borrowed without such order; but, notwithstanding such provision, it was held that all the securities must be brought into hotchpot and consolidated, and the proceeds of the subject-matter must be distributed *pari passu* (f).

Debentures,
&c. issued
under dif-
ferent special
Acts.

The enactments apply only to debentures or debenture stock issued under the same special Act, and do not operate so as to make holders of debentures issued under one Act to rank *pari passu* with, instead of in priority to, holders of debentures issued under a later Act (g).

Priority of
bondholders
under special
Act prior to
1845.

In a case, not falling within the Acts above referred to, where a canal company was empowered by Act of Parliament (h) to raise money by bonds, and it was enacted that every holder of them should be equally entitled to a claim or lien on the rates and sums of money to be taken by virtue of the Act, in proportion to the amount advanced by such holders, as if the same had been advanced upon mortgages or annuities (also grantable by the Act), without any preference by reason of the priority of date of any such securities, or any other account whatsoever; it was held, that an individual bondholder might sue the company upon his own bond, though there were other bonds, mortgages, &c. unsatisfied, the lien given by the Act being only an additional security (i).

By the Companies Clauses Act, 1863 (k), s. 30, it is provided that the priority of mortgages and bonds granted before the "creation" of debenture stock shall not be affected. A company, having power to borrow on mortgage, obtained a special

(c) *Re Hull, Barnsley and West Riding Junction Rail. Co.*, 40 Ch. D. 119, C. A.

(d) 8 & 9 Vict. c. 16, s. 42, *ante*, p. 465.

(e) 26 & 27 Vict. c. 118, s. 24.

(f) *Landowners', &c. Co. v. Ashford*,

16 Ch. D. 411, 439.

(g) *Re Mersey Rail. Co.* (No. 1), (1895) 2 Ch. 287, C. A.

(h) 53 Geo. III. c. xx.

(i) *Hill v. Salford Waterworks*, 2 B. & Ad. 544.

(k) 26 & 27 Vict. c. 118.

Act in 1872 giving them further powers for borrowing on mortgage, and in lieu thereof to issue debenture stock, and saving the priority of securities "subsisting" at the time of the Act; in 1874 the company issued debenture stock under the special Act; it was held that the effect of the saving clause in the special Act was to substitute the date of the passing of that Act for the time of the creation of the debenture stock, for the purpose of determining in what order the stock was to rank, and accordingly that only securities subsisting at the time of the special Act were entitled to priority over the stock (*l*).

ii.—Securities of Joint-Stock Companies.—With regard to companies formed under the Companies Acts, successive series of debentures charged on the same property will rank in priority according to the date of issue (*m*); and where several debentures are issued on the same day they will, generally, rank in priority according to the order in which the company's seal was affixed to them successively; but if the debentures contain a provision that they shall be *pari passu* charges they will rank accordingly (*n*).

Successive
issues of
debentures.

Debentures issued under the Mortgage Debenture Acts rank, as has been seen, as *pari passu* charges (*o*).

Mortgage
Debenture
Acts.

Where it is provided, by statute or otherwise, that debentures shall rank *pari passu*, an additional security taken by one debenture-holder is valid (*p*).

Conditional
security.

Where the borrowing powers of a company are restricted to a certain amount, any debentures issued by the company, when its liabilities exceed that amount, are absolutely void, and will not entitle the holders thereof to any priority over any other creditors (*q*).

Issue of
debentures
beyond pre-
scribed limit.

Where a series of debentures are issued to secure an amount which is not wholly, but only in part, in excess of the prescribed limit, the debentures bearing the earlier numbers up to the amount limited will be declared valid, and the remainder of the debentures so issued will be void as in excess of the power (*r*).

Limit is only
partially
exceeded.

(*l*) *Re Burry Port and Valley Rail. Co.*, W. N. (1885) 119.

(*m*) *James v. Boythorpe Coll. Co.*, W. N. (1890), p. 28.

(*n*) *Gartside v. Silkstone and Dodsworth Coal Co.*, 21 Ch. D. 762. See also *Howard v. Patent Ivory Co.*, 38 Ch. D. 156.

(*o*) See *ante*, p. 500.

(*p*) *De Winton v. Mayor of Brecon*, 26 Beav. 533.

(*q*) *Re Pooley Hall Coll. Co.*, 18 W. R. 201. See also *English Channel Steamship Co. v. Rolt*, 17 Ch. D. 715, 719; *Howard v. Patent Ivory Co.*, 38 Ch. D. 156.

(*r*) *Howard v. Patent Ivory Co.*, *sup.* See *Re Banaha Woollen Mills Co.*, 21 L. R. Ir. 181.

CHAP. LVII.

Jurisdiction
to order
money to be
raised by first
charge on
assets.

The Court has jurisdiction in a debenture-holder's action to authorize money to be raised as a first charge on the assets in priority to the debenture-holders where to do so is essential to the preservation of the company (*s*). But unless all parties interested are before the Court, strict proof of pressing emergency will be required (*t*). And where a company was in pressing want of money to meet current expenses in order to avert immediate ruin, it was held that a majority of the debenture-holders could not bind a dissentient minority so as to sanction the raising a loan in priority to the debentures of the amount required (*u*).

Power to
modify
priorities.

Debentures issued by a company may reserve the right of modifying the rights of the holders. So where a company issued debentures creating a first charge on its undertaking and property, each of which was held upon the condition that a general meeting of the debenture-holders, by extraordinary resolution passed by a certain majority, might sanction any modification of the rights of the debenture-holders against the company or its property: it was held that a resolution duly passed, sanctioning a loan to the company, to be a first charge on the company's property, was binding on dissentient debenture-holders, and postponed their security to a mortgage given by the company to secure the loan (*x*).

Where a company issued debenture stock by way of floating security on its assets, purporting to be a first charge thereon, and subsequently issued debentures also purporting to be a first charge on the assets, it was held that the holders of the debenture stock had priority over the debenture-holders, whether the latter had notice of the issue of this stock or not (*y*):

Second issue
of debentures
before all first
issued are
taken up.

Where a company commenced to issued a first series of debentures, and, before all the debentures of that series were issued, raised further money by the issue of a second series of debentures, similar in form to those of the first issue; but expressed to be subject to the debentures already issued, "or such of them as were then outstanding"; it was held that all

(*s*) *Greenwood v. Algeciras Rail.*, (1894) 2 Ch. 205, C. A.; *Strap v. Bull, Sons & Co.*, (1895) 2 Ch. 1, C: A. See *Lathom v. Greenwich Ferry Co.*, 72 L. T. 790.

(*t*) *Securities, &c. Investment Corp. v. Brighton Alhambra*, W. N. (1893) 15.

(*u*) *Hay v. Swedish, &c. Norwegian Rail. Co.*, W. N. (1889) 95, C. A.

(*x*) *Follit v. Eddystone Granite Quarries*, (1893) 3 Ch. 75. See *ante*, p. 480.

(*y*) *Smith v. English and Scottish Mercantile Investment Co.*, W. N. (1896) 86.

the debentures of the second series were postponed to all the first debentures, except some of them which had been paid off and reissued (s).

CHAP. LVII.

Where a company issues debentures by way of floating security, and afterwards mortgages a specific part of its property in the ordinary course of business, or to secure an advance to enable it to carry on its business, the specific mortgagee will, as regards the property comprised in his mortgage, have priority over the debenture-holders (a).

Priority of specific mortgage over floating security.

Sect. 164 of the Companies Act, 1862 (b), renders invalid mortgages given by a company, which, if given by a trader, would be void in bankruptcy for fraudulent preference; and, of course, any such securities cannot claim any priority over the creditors of the company, secured or unsecured.

Fraudulent preference.

No holder of a security, whether given by a company or by an individual, can claim priority in respect of such security if the transaction is tainted by fraud on the part of the holder (c).

The question has been raised in several recent cases as to the validity of debentures of a private company formed by a trader, for the purpose of taking over his business, which are issued to the founder of the company as part of the consideration for the purchase of his business, or to his creditors in satisfaction of debts incurred by him in his business prior to the formation of the company, but it may be regarded as settled that such securities are valid and will not lose any priority, to which they would otherwise be entitled, unless there is anything fraudulent or against the policy of the Companies Acts in the formation of the company (d), or some actual fraud in the transaction itself. So where a company was formed by a sole trader to take over his business, and was bound by agreement to indemnify him against certain debts and liabilities, it was held that debentures given by the company to a *bonâ fide* creditor of the trader in satisfaction of a debt specified in the agreement was for good consideration and valid (e); and so also where a trader caused a limited company to be registered for the purpose of carrying on his business, taking all the shares himself except six, and also

Debentures of "one man" company.

(a) *Lister v. Henry Lister & Son*, W. N. (1893) 33.

(a) *Fountain v. Carmarthen Rail. Co.*, L. R. 5 Eq. 316; *Irvine v. Union Bk. of Australia*, 2 App. Cas. 366. See further as to debentures given by way of floating security, pp. 493 *et seq.*

(b) 25 & 26 Vict. c. 89.

(c) See *post*, pp. 1293 *et seq.*

(d) See as to this, *Salomon v. Salomon & Co.*, (1897) A. C. 22.

(e) *Seligman v. Prince & Co.*, (1895) 2 Ch. 617, C. A.

CHAP. LVII.

debentures to secure payment of the amount for which he purported to sell the business of the company, it was held that the debentures were valid in priority over the creditors of the company.

Inquiry as to
priority of
debentures.

If, on the winding up of a company, the assets are not sufficient to pay the debenture-holders in full, and there is nothing to show an intention, either that they shall take effect *pari passu*, or in any order of priority, the Court will inquire as to the priority in date of debentures, or, if they are executed on the same day, then as to the order in which they were executed, and will distribute the assets in accordance with the priority thus ascertained (f).

(f) *Gartside v. Silkstone, &c. Coal Boythorpe Coal Co.*, W. N. (1890) Co., 21 Ch. D. 762. See *James v.* 28.

CHAPTER LVIII.

OF MATTERS WHEREBY THE PRIORITY OF A MORTGAGEE MAY
BE POSTPONED.

SECTION I.

OF LOSS OF PRIORITY BY FRAUD OR LACHES.

I.—Of Fraud as affecting a Mortgagee's Priority.—A mortgagee may lose his priority by fraud. The notion that any legal advantage can be acquired or maintained by actual fraud on the part of a mortgagee or purchaser (*a*) is now exploded (*b*). No doubt exists where there has been positive fraud, as where a legal mortgage was ante-dated that it might not appear to be made on the eve of bankruptcy, and falsely recited that it related to a present advance (*c*). In a note in Fonblanque on Equity (*d*), the principle is thus stated:—"If a man, by the suppression of the truth which he was bound to communicate, or by the wilful suggestion of a falsehood, be the cause of prejudice to another who had a right to a full and correct representation of the fact, it is certainly agreeable to the dictates of good conscience, that his claim should be postponed to that of the person whose confidence was induced by his representation."

Positive fraud.

If A., being about to lend money to B., informs C. of his intention, and asks C. whether he has any incumbrance on B.'s estate, and C. denies that he has any, whereby A. is induced to lend his money to B., and it proves that C. had at the time an existing mortgage or judgment on B.'s estate, this is fraud on the part of C., and his security shall be postponed to that of A. But to fix C. with the fraud, it is necessary that he should be

False denial of incumbrance by prior mortgagee.

(*a*) *Fagg's Case*, 1 Eq. Ca. Abr. 354, pl. 1; *Harcourt v. Knowel*, cited 2 Vern. 159.

(*b*) *Carter v. Carter*, 3 K. & J. 617.

(*c*) *Birch v. Ellames*, 2 Anst. 427.

See *Osborn v. Lea*, 9 Mod. 96.

(*d*) Fonbl. Eq., Vol. I. (5th ed.) p. 164. See *Pickard v. Sears*, 6 A. & E. 469; *Hooper v. Gumm*, L. R. 2 Ch. A. 282.

CHAP. LVIII.

informed of A.'s intention to lend the money; for otherwise the fraudulent intention is wanting on which the relief is to proceed, and the mere falsehood is not sufficient for such purpose (e).

Misstatement
as to prior
incumbrance.

So where a mortgagee, who was also a judgment creditor for a further sum, joined in a conveyance of the mortgaged property which contained a false recital that the judgment debt had been discharged, it was held that he could not set up the judgment debt against a subsequent mortgagee (f).

Fraudulent
concealment
of prior
incumbrance.

Even in the absence of actual misstatement, if a prior incumbrancer, or the holder of any prior right or equity, conceals his claim from a subsequent incumbrancer or purchaser for value, such concealment may amount to fraud so as to postpone the prior security or claim, if the prior incumbrancer or claimant is a party to the subsequent transaction or has knowledge of the nature of the transaction, and by his conduct leads the subsequent incumbrancer or purchaser to pay his money on the faith of the property being free from any such incumbrance or claim (g).

Misrepresenta-
tion or
concealment
by solicitor
or agent.
Solicitor
acting for
both parties.

Misrepresentation or fraudulent concealment of incumbrances by a solicitor or other agent of a prior mortgagee will bind the principal so as to postpone the security (h).

Where a solicitor acts for both parties, and he has a general authority from one client, that client is postponed by the fraud of the solicitor (i).

Principal
liable for
fraud of
agent.

A person who puts it in the power of another to deceive and raise money must take the consequences (k). Where the mortgagee was induced by the fraud of his solicitor to execute a deed by which the solicitor was enabled to give a security to a third party, the mortgagee was postponed (l). Where two innocent persons are affected by the fraud of the solicitor, the one who, by signing documents, although in ignorance, enables the solicitor to commit the fraud, suffers (m). So where the mort-

(e) *Ibbotson v. Rhodes*, 2 Vern. 554.
And see *Hobbs v. Norton*, 1 Vern. 136;
Hunsden v. Cheyney, 2 Vern. 150;
Pasley v. Freeman, 3 T. R. 51.

(f) *Cannock v. Jauncey*, 1 Drew. 497.

(g) *Berriestord v. Milward*, 2 Atk. 49;
Commis. of Public Works v. Harby, 23
Beav. 508; *Upton v. Vanner*, 1 Dr. &
S. 594; *Strong v. Hawkes*, 4 De G.
M. & G. 186.

(h) *Brown v. Thorpe*, 11 L. J. Ch. 78.

(i) *Boyd v. Craster*, 12 W. R. 787.

(k) *Peter v. Russell*, 2 Vern. 726;
Briggs v. Jones, L. R. 10 Eq. 92.

(l) *Hunter v. Walters*, L. R. 7 Ch.
A. 75, 79. See *Smith v. Evans*, 28
Beav. 59; *Gordon v. James*, 30 Ch. D.
249, C. A. See p. 1188.

(m) *Hiorns v. Holton*, 16 Beav. 259;
Hunter v. Walters, *sup.*; *French v.*
Hope, 56 L. J. Ch. 363.

gagor's solicitor or other agent forges a deed the loss falls on the mortgagor (*n*). CHAP. LVIII.

But the mere omission of a client to make inquiries of his solicitor as to a mortgage on the security of which moneys of the client are represented to have been invested by the solicitor, will not deprive the client of his priority in respect of any charge which he may have over the property, as against a person who, through fraud of the solicitor, has become a purchaser or incumbrancer for value without notice (*o*). Omission of client to inquire as to alleged security.

So where a solicitor, with whom a client had deposited a mortgage for custody, fraudulently indorsed thereon a reconveyance which was never executed by the mortgagee, and induced the mortgagor to mortgage the property to a third person who took without notice; it was held that the first mortgagee had not lost his priority (*p*). Fraudulent use by solicitor or other agent of deeds deposited for custody.

So also, where a person purchased property in the name of a confidential clerk, whose duty it was to put away his employer's securities in a safe, and who executed a declaration of trust in his employer's favour, and subsequently executed an equitable charge of the property and deposited the purchase deed with the mortgagee, representing himself as absolute owner, to secure an advance; it was held that the purchaser had not, by allowing the clerk to have custody of the purchase deed, been guilty of negligence so as to deprive him of his prior equitable title (*q*).

A vendor who had executed a conveyance and signed the usual receipt for the purchase-money without receiving any portion of it, in order to enable the purchaser to execute a mortgage to two persons to secure moneys due to them as trustees, was not permitted to set up his lien for unpaid purchase-money, or any advances he might have made, in priority to the mortgage, he having trusted to the word of one of the mortgagees, who was his solicitor, that on a sale he should be paid first (*r*). Vendor's lien.

When the owner of a charge executes a release of it without payment, merely to enable a sale to be made of the property, he Release by mortgagee

(*n*) *Adsett v. Hives*, 33 Beav. 52; *Brockleby v. Temperance Permanent Building Soc.*, (1895) A. C. 173.

(*o*) *Re Vernon, Evans & Co.*, 33 Ch. D. 402, C. A., *inf.*

(*p*) *Cook v. Bramwell*, W. N. (1890) 72, C. A.

(*q*) *Carrett v. Real and Personal Advance Co.*, 42 Ch. D. 263.

(*r*) *Smith v. Evans*, 30 Beav. 445.

CHAP. LVIII.

without
payment.
Suppression
of settlement.

retains his right to the charge except against persons who purchased on the faith of the release (s).

So, where a voluntary settlement was suppressed with the object of enabling money to be raised by mortgage, the mortgagee was entitled to priority against all the persons who acquiesced in the suppression (t). And, coverture being no excuse for fraud, if a wife concurs with her husband in suppressing a settlement from a mortgagee, her interest thereunder will be postponed to the mortgage (u).

Mortgagee
solicitor or
counsel.

The rule that fraudulent representations as to a prior incumbrance or concealment thereof will postpone the security will be applied with especial strictness where the prior incumbrancer is professionally employed by the subsequent mortgagee as solicitor (x) or counsel (y), and does not disclose his mortgage.

Solicitor
taking mort-
gage in his
own name.

Where a solicitor, having moneys of a client for investment, represented that the money had been advanced on mortgage of certain property, but, instead of making such investment, the solicitor took a mortgage in his own name to secure an advance made by himself on the same property, and also on other property, the legal estate in which was outstanding, and he afterwards acquired the equity of redemption in both properties; it was held that the solicitor had made himself constructively a trustee of the security for his client, and that the moneys of the latter remained as a subsisting charge on both properties in the hands of a *bonâ fide* purchaser without notice (z).

Mortgagee
not bound to
disclose
incumbrance
unless asked.

A prior mortgagee is not, however, bound to go out of his way to give notice of his mortgage upon hearing that another person is in treaty with regard to a mortgage, sale, or other dealing with regard to the equity of redemption (a).

Mortgagee
not postponed
by fraud of
person not
his agent.

The mortgagee will not be affected by the fraudulent dealings of solicitors and others, not being his own duly authorized agents, through whom the transaction is effected, if he has no notice, actual or constructive (b).

(s) *Hatchell v. Gremorne*, Ld. & G. Plunket, 236.

(t) *Clark v. Hoskins*, 37 L. J. Ch. 561.

(u) *Sharpe v. Foy*, L. R. 4 Ch. A. 35; see *Evans v. Bicknell*, 6 Ves. 174, at p. 181.

(x) *Exp. Hirtzel*, 2 De G. & J. 464.

(y) *Draper v. Borlase*, 2 Vern. 370.

(z) *Re Vernon, Eucens & Co.*, 33 Ch. D. 402, C. A. See *Re Richards, Humber v. Richards*, 45 Ch. D. 589.

(a) *Osborn v. Lea*, 9 Mod. 97.

(b) *Lloyd v. Atwood*, 3 De G. & J. 814; *Hunter v. Walters*, L. R. 7 Ch. A. 75. See *Hartopp v. Huskisson*, 55 L. T. 773.

So, a purchaser or mortgagee in possession of the legal estate is protected, though he claims under a forged will, if without notice of the forgery (*e*). So, also, a mortgagee who makes advances to a person falsely representing himself as heir to the mortgagor, in ignorance of a will (*d*).

CHAP. LVIII.

But a mortgagee cannot set up a mortgage for value without notice, where the mortgage itself is a forgery (*e*). Forged mortgage.

So where a mortgagee was induced by the mortgagor to release the mortgaged estate in exchange for forged securities, he lost his priority over a subsequent mortgagee who took without notice of the fraud (*f*). Substitution of forged securities.

The wilful obstruction by an incumbrancer of another creditor in his proceedings to obtain a charging order is not such misconduct as to have the effect of postponing the securities of the former (*g*). Opposition to charging order.

ii.—Of Laches as affecting a Mortgagee's Priority.—Delay in completing the legal title will not, in the absence of fraud or negligence, prejudice the right, as where a legal mortgagee of copyholds delayed the enrolment of the conditional surrender until after the enrolment of a subsequent security (*h*). Delay in completion of title.

Negligence in not registering a will, followed by forgery and fraud, is not deemed the proximate cause of the forgery and fraud (*i*). Non-registration of will.

Priority may be lost by omission to register a deed where registration is required by statute (*k*). On the same principle, the priority of directors and other officers of a company may be lost by omission to register their securities (*l*). Similarly, in the case of bills of sale (*m*) and mortgages of ships (*n*). Non-registration in other cases.

In a case (*o*) under the Insolvent Acts (now repealed) it was held that a mortgagee, from an insolvent, of copyholds, without Assignee of insolvent

(*e*) *Jones v. Powles*, 3 M. & K. 581. But see *Robinson v. Briggs*, 1 Sm. & G. 188, 224.

(*d*) *Young v. Young*, L. R. 3 Eq. 806.

(*e*) *Re Cooper, Cooper v. Vesey*, 20 Ch. D. 611, O. A.

(*f*) *Eyre v. Burmaster*, 10 H. L. C. 90.

(*g*) *Shaw v. Neale*, 6 H. L. C. 581.

(*h*) *Horlock v. Priestley*, 2 Sim. 75.

(*i*) *Re Cooper, Cooper v. Vesey*, 20 Ch.

D. 611, at p. 634, C. A. And see *Bank of Ireland v. Trustees of Evans' Charities*, 5 H. L. C. 389; *Johnston's Claim*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 212; *Baxendale v. Bennett*, 3 Q. B. D. 525.

(*k*) *Warburton v. Loveland*, 6 Bli. N. S. 1. And see *ante*, pp. 1246 *et seq.*

(*l*) *Ante*, p. 501.

(*m*) *Ante*, pp. 1290 *et seq.*

(*n*) *Ante*, p. 1293.

(*o*) *Cole v. Coles*, 6 Ha. 517.

CHAP. LVIII.

neglecting
to take
possession.

notice of the insolvency, had no claim in equity in priority to the title of the assignees, though the assignees, by neglecting to take possession of or sell the premises, and permitting the insolvent to remain in possession, and by omitting to make their entry on the court rolls as directed by the Acts, had enabled the insolvent to commit a fraud upon the mortgagee, and though as many as nineteen years had elapsed since the insolvency. The mortgagee might, no doubt, have searched the list of insolvents, but this is a strong case.

Delay in en-
forcement of
mortgagee's
rights.

Laches in enforcing a mortgagee's rights under his security may deprive him of his priority as against subsequent incumbrancers without notice. So where a trade firm assigned their book debts by way of mortgage, but the mortgagees gave no notice of the assignment to the debtors; the mortgagees brought an action against the mortgagors to enforce their security, and obtained an injunction and the appointment of a receiver, but gave no notice of the action to the debtors, and took no further steps in the action until certain puisne incumbrancers had perfected their title, by notice to the debtors, and taken steps to enforce their security; it was held by Lindley, J., that, on the ground of laches, irrespective of the question whether or not the subsequent incumbrancers had notice of the prior advance, the first mortgagees lost their priority. But the decision turned mainly on the ground that the subsequent incumbrancers had no notice of the prior advance when they lent their money and had been the first to perfect their title (*p*).

In one case, a married woman, having a life estate in lands to her separate use, granted, in the year 1811, an annuity to A., and limited an equitable term for better securing it, which annuity was properly registered; in 1820 she and her husband granted another annuity to B. out of the same life estate, and part of the consideration money was applied in paying off an outstanding legal mortgage, and the mortgage term was assigned to a trustee in trust for better securing B.'s annuity, but this annuity was not properly registered; in 1821 B. took possession, and he and his executor remained in receipt of the rents till 1839, when a bill was filed against him and the grantor by A. to set aside B.'s annuity as invalid, and alleging payment of his own annuity up to 1820, which he could not prove, although the grantor, the co-defendant of B., admitted the fact; the

Court dismissed his bill (as against B.) with costs, on the ground of the Statute of Limitations and laches, and refused an inquiry as to the payment of A.'s annuity (q). CHAP. LVIII.

Mistake arising from negligence may postpone a prior right to the security of a subsequent mortgagee. So where a landlord had executed a lease by mistake at a rent of 130*l.* instead of 230*l.*, a mortgagee from the lessee, without notice of the mistake, was held to be entitled to hold the landlord to the 130*l.* rent (r). Mistake.

SECTION II.

OF LOSS OF PRIORITY BY NOTICE OF PRIOR INCUMBRANCES OR OTHER EQUITIES.

I.—Of Notice as affecting a Mortgagee's Priority, generally.—A mortgagee or purchaser for value without notice, if he has obtained or can obtain the legal estate, is completely protected against prior incumbrancers (s), although he paid his money on a false representation of facts (t). The *onus* of proving notice lies on the person claiming priority adversely to a mortgagee or purchaser (u). Protection of legal estate acquired without notice.

But no incumbrancer, with notice of prior incumbrances or equities at the time when he lent his money, can obtain any priority by holding or acquiring the legal estate (v); and a person who takes a legal mortgage, with notice, actual or constructive, of an infirmity of title, is subject to the equities existing against the title, and if the latter is set aside the mortgage falls also (x). These notes apply though the incumbrancer be a married woman or an infant (y). Effect of notice of prior equities, &c.

So a second mortgagee of a fund in Court, who had notice, at the time of his advance, of the existence of a prior mortgage on the same fund, cannot, by obtaining a stop order, gain priority over the first mortgagee, though the latter has not obtained a stop order (z). Stop order with notice gives no priority.

- (q) *Searle v. Colt*, 1 Y. & C. C. C. 36.
 (r) *Garrard v. Frankel*, 30 Beav. 445.
 (s) *Jones v. Powles*, 3 My. & K. 581.
 (t) *Young v. Young*, L. R. 3 Eq. 805;
Trinidad Asphalt Co. v. Coryat, (1896) A. C. 587.
 (u) *Exp. Hardy*, 2 D. & C. 393.
 (v) *Willoughby v. Willoughby*, 1 T. R. 763; *Drew v. Lockett*, 32 Beav. 499;
Mazfield v. Burton, L. R. 17 Eq. 15;
Huggins v. Burchell, 60 L. T. 32; *Re Champion, Dudley v. Champion*, W. N. (1892) 125, 170, C. A.
 (x) *Cookson v. Lee*, 23 L. J. Ch. 473, C. A.
 (y) *Jones v. Kearney*, 1 Dr. & War. 134, at p. 166.
 (z) *Re Holmes*, 29 Ch. D. 786, C. A.

CHAP. LVIII.

Where mort-
gagor has
notice, and
mortgagee
has not.

If a party having notice convey for a valuable consideration to one who has not notice, or if a party not having notice convey to one who has notice, the party taking the conveyance will not, in either case, be affected by the notice; for he may defend himself in the first instance by his want of notice (*a*), and, in the second instance, by the want of notice in the party through whom he claims (*b*). And, therefore, if A., having notice, sells to B., who has not notice, who sells to C., who has notice, B. is protected against the notice in A. by his own want of notice, and C. is defended by the want of notice in B. (*c*). But in the case of charities within 43 Eliz. c. 4, a purchaser of lands without notice is affected by notice in the person from whom he purchased (*d*).

Protection by
legal estate
got in without
notice.

If a mortgagee or purchaser, having, at the time when he paid his money, no notice of any prior incumbrance or equity, gets in the legal estate, he has a clean title free from all incumbrances previously affecting the property, and can convey the same to a mortgagee or purchaser who has notice of such previous incumbrances, who may shelter himself under the first purchaser for value without notice, otherwise it would much clog the sale of estates (*e*).

Deeds
obtained
without
notice.

On the same principle, an equitable mortgagee by deposit, who has obtained possession of documents of title without notice of a prior equity affecting them, will be entitled to retain them. So, where, upon the sale of real estate, it was agreed that the price should be paid in railway bonds, one of which was delivered to the vendor's solicitor in part payment, and was afterwards deposited by the solicitor to secure an advance to himself made by a person who had no notice of the terms on which the solicitor held the bond, it was held that the deposites of the bond, being a purchaser for value without notice, could not be restrained from dealing with it (*f*).

(*a*) *Mertins v. Jolliffe*, Amb. 313; *Ferrars v. Cherry*, 2 Vern. 384; *Sweet v. Southcote*, 2 Bro. C. C. 66; *Lowther v. Carlton*, 2 Atk. 138. See *Jennings v. Moore*, 2 Vern. 609; *Branding v. Ord*, 1 Atk. 571; *Freer v. Hesse*, 4 De G. M. & G. 503.

(*b*) *Harrison v. Forth*, Prec. Ch. 51; *Sweet v. Southcote*, 2 Bro. C. C. 66; *Andrew v. Wrigley*, 4 Bro. C. C. 125; *M'Queen v. Farquhar*, 11 Ves. 467, 478; *Procter v. Cooper*, 1 Jur. N. S. 149. See *Bates v. Johnson*, John. 304;

Spencer v. Pearson, 24 Beav. 266; *Ladbroke v. Passman*, W. N. (1888) 156.

(*c*) *Lowther v. Carlton*, 2 Atk. 139; *Harrison v. Forth*, Prec. Ch. 51; *Bradwell v. Catchpole*, 3 Swanst. 78, n.; *Peacock v. Burt*, 4 L. J. N. S. Ch. 33.

(*d*) Sug. V. & P., 14th ed. p. 753.

(*e*) *Lowther v. Carlton*, 2 Atk. 242. See *Kettlewell v. Watson*, 21 Ch. D. 686, at p. 707. And see cases cited *supra*, note (*b*).

(*f*) *Ashwin v. Burton*, 9 Jur. N. S. 319.

It was formerly thought (*g*) that the legal estate would be no protection if the person conveying the legal estate had notice of an express prior trust or incumbrance; but this view has not been adopted, and it may now be regarded as settled, that if the legal estate is obtained from the trustee *bond fide* at the time of the advance, without notice of the trust, it will prevail, though the trustee was acting in fraud of his trust; and the defence will be sustained although the mortgagee, in order to make out his title to the legal estate, has to rely on an instrument which discloses the trust or prior incumbrance, the mortgagee not having notice of such instrument at the time of his advance (*h*).

CHAP. LVIII.

Getting in legal estate from trustee without notice of trust.

A mortgagee or purchaser cannot protect himself by taking a conveyance of the legal estate from a trustee, if he has himself, at the time of getting in the legal estate, actual or constructive notice of the existence of the trust; for, by taking a conveyance with notice of the trust, he himself becomes the trustee, and must not, to get a plank to save himself, be guilty of a breach of trust (*i*).

Getting in legal estate from trustee after notice of trust.

So, an incumbrancer getting in the legal estate from a person who was trustee for all incumbrancers with notice of their rights gains no priority (*k*); the trustee cannot alter the priorities by conveying the legal estate to one of the incumbrancers (*l*).

Trustee for all incumbrancers must not convey legal estate to one.

Again, the circumstances of the transaction and the relation of the parties may be such that, though there is no express trust affecting the legal estate, a puisne incumbrancer may not be entitled to protect himself by getting in that estate. So, where four trustees of a sum of stock sold the stock and lent the proceeds of sale to two of them upon the security of a deposit of the documents of title of a copyhold estate which belonged to such trustees in undivided moieties, it being agreed that the stock should be replaced, and that the documents of title should be deposited with the two other trustees as a security for the loan; the documents by some unexplained means subsequently

Cases of constructive trust.

(*g*) See *Maundrell v. Maundrell*, 10 Ves. 246; *Exp. Knott*, 11 Ves. 609; *Carter v. Carter*, 3 K. & J. 617; *Sharples v. Adams*, 32 Beav. 213.

(*h*) *Pileher v. Rawlins*, L. R. 7 Ch. A. 259. See *Lloyd v. Attwood*, 3 De G. & J. 614; *Hunter v. Walters*, L. R. 7 Ch. A. 76.

(*i*) *Saunders v. Dehew*, 2 Vern. 270. See *Harpham v. Shacklock*, 19 Ch. D. 207, at p. 214, C. A. See also *Prosser*

v. Rice, 28 Beav. 74; *Pease v. Jackson*, L. R. 3 Ch. A. 576.

(*k*) *Sharples v. Adams*, 32 Beav. 213; *Saunders v. Dehew*, 2 Vern. 270; *Ortigosa v. Brown*, 47 L. J. Ch. 168; *Heath v. Crealock*, L. R. 10 Ch. A. 22; *Blennerhasset v. Day*, 2 Ba. & Be. 104, 133.

(*l*) *Sharples v. Adams*, *supra*; *Maxfield v. Burton*, L. R. 17 Eq. 15; *Harpham v. Shacklock*, 19 Ch. D. 207, C. A.

CHAP. LVIII.

came into the hands of one of the borrowing trustees, who executed a second equitable mortgage of his moiety of the estate by depositing the documents of title with a third person, who made the advance without notice of the prior incumbrance, but who, on discovering its existence, obtained by purchase from his mortgagor's assignors in bankruptcy a surrender, and was admitted thereon to the bankrupt's moiety of the copyholds; it was held that, inasmuch as the second equitable mortgagee had, at the time of his acquiring the legal estate, notice of the obligations of his mortgagor to third parties, he could only hold that estate subject to those obligations, though he had originally taken his security without notice (*m*).

Where mortgagor is constructively trustee for prior incumbrancers, &c.

On the same principles, even if an equitable mortgagee has, at the time of the advance, no notice of a prior equity affecting the property, he will gain no priority over the person entitled to the prior equity by getting in the legal estate from the mortgagor after notice that the latter has made himself a trustee for that other person (*n*).

So, where a husband covenanted to settle land, and afterwards deposited the title deeds with his bankers without notice, the settlement prevailed, although the bankers, after notice, got in the legal estate (*o*).

So, also, an equitable incumbrancer cannot avail himself of the exercise of a legal power by the mortgagor if he has notice of an agreement by the latter not to exercise it to the prejudice of the first mortgagee (*p*).

Trustee cannot confer priority by fraud.

The fraudulent act of a trustee cannot confer any equitable title as against the *cestui que trust* (*q*). A trustee under a will, being also trustee under a settlement, induced his co-trustees under the will to transfer stock, subject to the trusts of the will, into his sole name, in exchange for a forged mortgage, the stock to be held by him on the trusts of the settlement; *semble*, the *cestuis que trust* under the will did not lose their right to the stock (*r*).

Where original mortgagee has

It seems that if a mortgagee, who is himself precluded by notice from obtaining priority by getting in the legal estate,

(*m*) *Allen v. Knight*, 11 Jur. 527.
 (*n*) *Mumford v. Stohwasser*, L. R. 18 Eq. 556, 563.
 (*o*) *Manningford v. Tolman*, 1 Coll. 670. See *Baillie v. McKewan*, 35 Beav. 177.
 (*p*) *Hurst v. Hurst*, 16 Beav. 372.
 (*q*) *Cory v. Eyre*, 1 De G. J. & S.

149; *Shropshire Union Rail. Co. v. The Queen*, L. R. 7 H. L. 496. See also *Re Morgan, Pillgrem v. Pillgrem*, 18 Ch. D. 93, C. A. *Secus*, if the charge is given by the trustee within the scope of his authority; see *post*, p. 1373.
 (*r*) *Case v. James*, 3 De G. F. & J. 256.

transfers or sub-mortgages his security to a person without notice, the latter will be affected by the disability. It is laid down in Bacon's Abridgment (*s*), on the authority of a case in Vernon (*t*), that if one take a mortgage by assignment from a mortgagee affected with notice of an outstanding title, he will take subject to that title; for his assignor cannot transfer to him a better title than he has himself; and it is said that if such original mortgagee, in a suit brought by the person setting up an eigne title against the mortgagee and his assignee, and praying to be let into possession, and charging notice, confess by his answer that he had notice before the lending of the money, that confession of notice will bind his assignee; for though the mortgagee's answer cannot be read against the assignee as evidence, yet it is said that he must stand in his assignor's place, and his assignor's confession of notice will bind him.

CHAP. LVIII.

notice, but
transferee
has not.

ii.—As to pleading Purchase for Value without Notice.—The plea of purchase for valuable consideration without notice was never, and is not now, available as against a plaintiff who has established his title as legal mortgagee, and claims priority by virtue thereof, for, as has been seen, where equities are equal the law must prevail (*u*). But before the Judicature Act, 1873 (*x*), if a plaintiff, claiming under a legal title, which he was unable to prove by reason of absence of title deeds or otherwise, brought a suit in a Court of Equity for discovery, it was open to the defendant to set up a plea of purchase for value without notice, and the Court would not grant its aid, as against such a purchaser, in favour of a claimant who had no better equity, and must be left to his remedy, if any, at law (*y*).

Plea of purchase without notice before the Judicature Acts.

A plea of purchase for valuable consideration without notice was not, however, even before the Judicature Act, 1873, available against either discovery or relief, in cases in which the Court of Chancery had concurrent jurisdiction with Courts of Law upon legal titles (*z*).

(*s*) Bac. Abr., 7th ed. Vol. VIII. p. 270.

(*t*) *Walley v. Walley*, 1 Vern. 484. See *Earl of Pomfret v. Lord Windsor*, 2 Ves. Sen. 472, 485; *Ford v. White*, 16 Beav. 120.

(*u*) *Ante*, p. 1214.

(*x*) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66.

(*y*) *Fitzgerald v. Fauconberg*, Fitzg. 211; *Burlace v. Cooke*, Freem. Ch. 24; *Parker v. Blythmore*, 2 Eq. Ca. Ab. 79,

pl. 1; *Wiseman v. Westland*, 1 Y. & J. 117; *Payne v. Compton*, 2 Y. & C. Exc. 457; *Wallwyn v. Lee*, 9 Ves. 24; *Joyce v. De Moleyns*, 2 J. & L. 374; *Att.-Gen. v. Wilkins*, 17 Beav. 285; *Gait v. Osbaldeston*, 1 Russ. 158.

(*z*) *Williams v. Lambe*, 3 Bro. C. C. 264; *Collins v. Archer*, 1 R. & M. 292; *Phillips v. Phillips*, 4 De G. F. & J. 217.

CHAP. LVIII.

Recognition
of equitable
rights, &c.
under present
practice.

By sect. 24 of the Judicature Act, 1883, rules are laid down for the administration of law and equity by the High Court of Justice and the Court of Appeal, and it is accordingly enacted that :—

“(4.) The said Courts respectively, and every judge thereof, shall recognize and take notice of all equitable estates, titles, and rights, and all equitable duties and liabilities appearing incidentally in the course of any cause or matter, in the same manner in which the Court of Chancery would have recognized and taken notice of the same in any suit or proceeding duly instituted therein before the passing of this Act.”

Effect of this
enactment.

At the present time, therefore, all Divisions of the High Court have concurrent jurisdiction to administer both law and equity, and must deal with every case as a whole upon its merits; and, accordingly, a plaintiff claiming under a legal title as mortgagee or otherwise, which he is not in a position to prove without the aid of the auxiliary jurisdiction of the Court, so as to enable him to compel discovery, may invoke that aid; and the plea of purchase for value without notice will not be available to the defendant as an answer to the incidental claim of the plaintiff, any more than it would formerly have been, or would now be, to the plaintiff's substantive claim to establish his priority by virtue of his legal title. Notwithstanding the plea, therefore, the defendant will be ordered to make discovery and produce the documents of title (a), and, if such documents should establish the title, to deliver them to the plaintiff (b).

How the
defence
should be
pleaded.

Under the former practice the defence of purchase for valuable consideration without notice might have been set up by plea or demurrer (c); it may now be set up as a ground of equitable defence to the action, and relief may be granted thereon in all Divisions of the High Court of Justice (d). The alteration is one of procedure, and does not give to defendants any new rights to set up the defence in cases in which they could not formerly have done so, nor give any new or extended effect to the defence; and, as has been seen (e), the defence is no longer available in some cases, where it would formerly have been so.

(a) *Ind, Coope & Co. v. Emmerson*, 12 App. Cas. 300.

(b) *Re Cooper, Cooper v. Vesey*, 20 Ch. D. 611, C. A.; *Manners v. Mew*, 29 Ch. D. 725, C. A.; *Re Ingham, Jones v. Ingham*, (1893) 1 Ch. 352, 361.

(c) Demurrers are now abolished. See R. S. C. Ord. XXV. r. 1.

(d) Judicature Act, 1873 (36 & 37 Vict. c. 66), s. 24, sub-s. (2).

(e) *Ante*, p. 1240.

The defence of purchase for value must be formally pleaded as a fact (*f*). An objection taken orally is not sufficient (*g*).

It is sufficient in the action to allege notice without entering into details (*h*). Where the notice is verbal, it is a question for the jury (*i*).

Denial of notice must be made although not charged, and must not be evasive (*k*).

The evidence of a single witness will not suffice against a positive denial of notice, but the question of credit may make an exception to the rule (*l*).

This defence has been held not to apply to the case of a solicitor's lien as against a prior mortgagee or purchaser (*m*).

iii.—Actual and Constructive Notice—Notice may be either express or constructive. "Notice" and "knowledge," in legal parlance, include not only express notice, but knowledge, or the means of knowledge, to which a person wilfully shuts his eyes (*n*).

Express notice is actual knowledge of a particular fact, formally communicated in writing or by word of mouth. Constructive or implied notice may be defined to be knowledge which the Courts impute to a person from the circumstances of the case upon a legal presumption so strong that it cannot be allowed to be rebutted that the knowledge must exist though it may not have been formally communicated (*o*).

Whether notice be express and explicit, or rest on rumour or on general claim, a purchaser or mortgagee can never be advised to disregard it, or to accept the title without an inquiry into the nature of the demand (*p*).

Notice, in order to bind the person to whom it is given, must be given to him in the character in which such notice is intended to affect him, and not in any other character (*q*).

Distinction between actual and constructive notice.

Requisites to effectual notice.

(*f*) *Vane v. Vane*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 333. See R. S. C. Ord. XIX. r. 15.

(*g*) *Phillips v. Phillips*, 4 De G. F. & J. 208.

(*h*) R. S. C. Ord. XIX. r. 23.

(*i*) *Edwards v. Scott*, 1 Man. & Gr. 982.

(*k*) R. S. C. Ord. XIX. r. 19.

(*l*) *Howorth v. Deem*, 1 Ed. 351; *Janson v. Rany*, 2 Atk. 140; *Only v. Walker*, 3 Atk. 407; *Evans v. Bicknell*, 6 Ves. 184; *East India Co. v. Donald*,

9 Ves. 275, 283.

(*m*) *Smith v. Chichester*, 2 Dr. & War. 393.

(*n*) Per Lord Wensleydale in *May v. Chapman*, 16 M. & W. 361. See *Broadbent v. Barlow*, 3 De G. F. & J. 570; *Jones v. Gordon*, 2 App. Cas. 625.

(*o*) See *Hewitt v. Loosemore*, 9 Ha. 449; *Plumb v. Fluit*, 2 Anst. 432.

(*p*) See *Fry v. Porter*, 1 Mod. 311; *Butcher v. Stapely*, 1 Vern. 363.

(*q*) *Beioley v. Carter*, 17 W. R. 130.

CHAP. LVIII.

Notice must be in connection with the transaction.

Notice, whether express or constructive, will not be effectual to bind the recipient, unless given in the course of and in connection with the transaction in respect of which it is intended to operate. Notice given in the course of an antecedent and independent transaction will not be sufficient. In reference to this point Lord Redesdale remarked: "If a man purchase an estate under a deed, which happens to relate also to other lands not comprised in that purchase, and afterwards purchase the other lands to which an apparent title is made independent of that deed, the former notice of the deed will not of itself affect him in the second transaction; for he was not bound to carry in his recollection those parts of a deed which had no relation to the particular purchase in which he was then engaged, nor to take notice of more of the deed than affected his then purchase" (r).

What amounts to constructive notice.

It is difficult to lay down general rules as to what will or will not be constructive notice; but it is an established principle that whatever is sufficient to put a person upon inquiry is good notice (s).

Principle of the doctrine.

Lord Cranworth stated the principle governing the doctrine of constructive notice to be, that the question, when it is sought to affect a person with constructive notice, is not whether he had the means of obtaining, and might by prudent caution have obtained, the knowledge in question, but whether the not obtaining it was an act of gross or culpable negligence (t).

Application of the principle limited by Conv. Act, 1882.

The application of the principle was in some cases carried rather far (u), but the general tendency of the Courts has been to regard it as highly inexpedient to extend the doctrine of constructive notice (x); and its undue extension is now prevented by sect. 3 of the Conveyancing Act, 1882 (y), which enacts as follows:—

Restriction on constructive notice.

Sect. 3.—“(1.) A purchaser shall not be prejudicially affected by notice of any instrument, fact, or other thing unless

“(i.) It is within his own knowledge or would have come to his

(r) *Hamilton v. Royce*, 2 Sch. & L. 315, 327. See *Mertins v. Jolliffe*, Amb. 311; *Ingram v. Pelham*, Amb. 153.

(s) *Smith v. Low*, 1 Atk. 490; *Anon.*, Freem. Ch. 137, pl. 171; *Taylor v. Stibbert*, 2 Ves. Jun. 437; *Willoughby v. Willoughby*, 1 T. R. 769; *Hiern v. Mill*, 13 Ves. 114; *Hall v. Smith*, 14 Ves. 426; *Daniels v. Davison*, 16 Ves. 249; 17 Ves. 433; *Brunton v. Neale*, 9 Jur. 338, L. C.

(t) *Ware v. Lord Egmont*, 4 De G. M. & G. 473. See *Bailey v. Barnes*, (1894) 1 Ch. 25, 31, C. A.

(u) See, e.g., *Hervey v. Smith*, 22 Beav. 299; *Miles v. Tobin*, 16 W. R. 465.

(x) *Ware v. Lord Egmont*, *sup.*; *Wyllie v. Pollen*, 3 De G. J. & S. 596; *Banco de Lima v. Anglo-Peruvian Bank*, 8 Ch. D. 160, 175.

(y) 45 & 46 Vict. c. 39.

knowledge if such inquiries and inspections had been made as ought reasonably to have been made by him; or

CHAP. LVIII.

"(ii.) In the same transaction with respect to which a question of notice to the purchaser arises, it has come to the knowledge of his counsel, as such, or of his solicitor or other agent, as such, or would have come to the knowledge of his solicitor, or other agent, as such, if such inquiries and inspections had been made as ought reasonably to have been made by the solicitor or other agent.

"(2.) This section shall not exempt a purchaser from any liability under, or any obligation to perform or observe, any covenant, condition, provision, or restriction contained in any instrument under which his title is derived, mediately or immediately; and such liability or obligation may be enforced in the same manner and to the same extent as if this section had not been enacted.

"(3.) A purchaser shall not by reason of anything in this section be affected by notice in any case where he would not have been so affected if this section had not been enacted.

"(4.) This section applies to purchases made either before or after the commencement of this Act, save that where an action was pending at the commencement of the Act the rights of the parties shall not be affected by this section."

As regards constructive notice in cases falling within subsect. 1 (i) of this section, the following rules are laid down by Sir J. Wigram, V.-C., in the well-known case of *Jones v. Smith* (z):—The cases in which constructive notice has been established resolve themselves into two classes. First, cases in which the party charged has had actual notice that the property in dispute was in fact charged, incumbered, or in some way affected, and the Court has thereupon bound him with constructive notice of facts and instruments to a knowledge of which he would have been led by an inquiry after the charge, incumbrance, or other circumstance affecting the property of which he had actual notice; and, secondly, cases in which the Court has been satisfied from the evidence before it that the party charged had designedly abstained from inquiry for the very purpose of avoiding notice.

Rules laid down in *Jones v. Smith*.

The risks to which a person is exposed who lends money on the security of a mortgage of the equity of redemption, and the precautions which should be adopted in order as far as possible to obviate such risks, have been adverted to in a previous chapter (a). Notice of the second charge would prevent the first mortgagee from tacking a future advance of his own against a second incumbrancer. Such notice should be formal

Notice of mortgage of equity of redemption.

(z) 1 Ha. 43, 55.

(a) *Ante*, pp. 43 *et seq.*

CHAP. LVIII.

Indorsement
of notice.

and in writing for sake of certainty and facility of proof, and in the majority of cases be preserved with the deeds.

In order, however, effectually to bind persons claiming under the first mortgagee, indorsement of such notice on the leading title deeds is advisable, such as on the first mortgage and the last conveyance. Such indorsement would effectually prevent the improper use of the title deeds to the prejudice of a second mortgagee. Of course, however, the first mortgagee cannot be compelled to permit such indorsement.

Other cases
in which
notice should
be indorsed
on docu-
ments of title.

Indorsement also is advisable in other cases where the mortgagee, although with the legal estate, cannot obtain possession of the title deeds: as on a mortgage of an undivided estate or a remainder (b); where a man grants an annuity; where the owner only mortgages part of a large estate; or where trustees are raising money, but the nature of the trust requires the retention of the deeds.

Though the want of such indorsement would not cause a postponement of the security, it would be a prudent act, and be beneficial and a saving of costs to all parties (c). Such indorsement is also advisable where a first mortgagee parts with the deeds to the mortgagor even for a temporary purpose (d).

Constructive
notice from
title deeds.

iv.—Notice of a Deed is Notice of its Contents.—Actual notice of a deed forming a material part of the title will impute to a mortgagee or purchaser constructive notice of any incumbrances, liabilities or equities affecting the property to a knowledge of which he would reasonably be led by inspecting that deed.

Notice of
deed is
notice of its
contents;

It is a settled maxim of law that notice of a deed is notice of its contents; or, in other words, that where a mortgagee or purchaser cannot make out a title but by a deed of which he has actual notice by production or otherwise, he will be deemed to be affected with constructive notice of any charge, incumbrance, or other matter affecting the property which appears expressly on the face of that deed or is reasonably to be inferred from its contents, for it is *crassa negligentia* that he sought not after the facts (e).

(b) Dav. Conv. (4th ed.), Vol. II. pt. ii., p. 252.

(c) Harper v. Faulder, 4 Madd. 129, 134.

(d) Perry-Herrick v. Attwood, 2 De G. & J. 21.

(e) Moore v. Bennett, 2 Ch. Ca. 246;

Bacon v. Bacon, Tothill, 133; Ingram v. Pelham, Amb. 153; Hamilton v. Royce, 2 Sch. & L. 315. See Coppin v. Fernyhough, 2 Bro. C. C. 291; Leigh v. Lloyd, 2 De G. J. & S. 330; Feilden v. Slater, L. R. 7 Eq. 523.

Actual notice of a deed is thus constructive notice not only of its contents, but also of all material facts which would have been necessarily obtained by its production and perusal (*f*).

CHAP. LVIII.

and of facts stated or to be inferred.

Constructive notice will be imputed if the parties to the deed or their descriptions are such as to put the mortgagee or purchaser on inquiry; thus, where a person purported to grant real estate as heir-at-law, the concurrence in the conveyance of persons interested as devisees was held to affect the grantee of constructive notice of the will under which they claimed (*g*).

Constructive notice from description, &c. of parties.

So, where a husband and wife joined in demising property, the fact of her being a party to the deed was held notice of her title under a will whereby the property was given to the wife for life for her separate use with a restraint on anticipation (*h*).

Concurrence of wife in conveyance by husband.

Where, upon the renewal of a lease, the lessor is not the same person as he who granted the original lease, this is sufficient to render necessary inquiry into the title, and would be constructive notice of a trust (*i*).

Renewal of lease by different lessor.

Again, reference in the recitals or in any other part of a deed of which a mortgagee or purchaser has actual notice, will affect him with constructive notice of any other deed or fact thus referred to.

Constructive notice from recitals, &c.

A general recital in a deed that there were existing mortgages on the property was held to be sufficient to affect a party claiming under that deed with notice of the nature, amount and extent of the recited mortgages (*k*). But in one case it was held that the fact of a mortgage being made "subject to existing incumbrances" did not affect the mortgagee with notice of a prior equitable charge, which was in fact unknown to him, and which, as it appeared, was not in the contemplation of the mortgagor, nor intended by him to be included (*l*).

General recital as to incumbrances.

If a mortgagee or purchaser has notice of an instrument affecting the title, he has notice of any mortgages, charges, or equities affecting the property, and referred to in the instrument (*m*). In such a case the incumbrancer ought to have seen

Reference to particular incumbrances.

(*f*) *Peto v. Hammond*, 30 Beav. 493.

(*g*) *Burgoyne v. Hutton*, Barn. Ch. R. 237; *Att.-Gen. v. Hall*, 16 Beav. 388.

(*h*) *Steedman v. Poole*, 6 Ha. 193.

(*i*) *Att.-Gen v. Hall*, 16 Beav. 388.

(*k*) *Farrow v. Rees*, 4 Beav. 18; *Leary v. Ingle*, 2 Ph. 413; *Gibson v. Ingo*, 6 Ha. 124.

(*l*) *Greenwood v. Churchill*, 6 Beav.

314.

(*m*) *Coppin v. Fernyhough*, 2 Bro. C. C. 291; *Davies v. Thomas*, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 234; *Eyre v. Dolphin*, 2 Ba. & Be. 290. See *Hamilton v. Royle*, 2 Sch. & L. 315; *Parker v. Brooke*, 9 Ves. 583; *Nixon v. Robinson*, 2 J. & L. 14; *Roddy v. Williams*, 2 J. & L. 1; *Barber v. Brown*, 3 Jur. N. S. 18. But see *Sug. V. & P.* 776.

CHAP. LVIII.

the deed of which he had notice, and that would have led him to the other deeds, in which, pursued from one to the other, the whole case must have been discovered to him (*n*). So, if a second mortgagee have notice of a prior mortgage, he has notice of any incumbrances, subject to which the first mortgage is made, and his mortgage is considered as made subject to the same (*o*).

Notice as to
incumbrance
by inaccurate
reference as to
its nature

A mortgagee or purchaser will be affected with notice of existing incumbrances, though the deed of which he has actual notice recites their nature inaccurately, if he could have ascertained the truth by inquiry or inspection of the instrument referred to in the deed. Thus, where a deed stated that a judgment or warrant of attorney had been given to A. for money advanced by him, but in fact the property conveyed by the deed was subject to an equitable mortgage to A. to secure the money, this was held to be sufficient notice of the mortgage (*p*). So, where a will was inaccurately recited, it was held that the purchaser had notice of the true contents of the will (*q*).

or amount

Similarly, notice of a charge, the amount of which is inaccurately stated, or is stated to be subject to an indefinite increase, is constructive notice of the real amount charged. So, where a mortgage described upon the indorsement on the certificate of registry of a ship was stated to be for securing payment of "600*l*. and all sums of money which may hereafter become due," but was in fact made to secure four bills of exchange of 600*l*. each, and interest, and future advances, it was held that a subsequent mortgagee who received the incorrect notice, but made no inquiry, had sufficient notice to postpone him to the full extent of the true amount of the charge (*r*).

No notice
where refer-
ence is in-
accurate, if
no means of
ascertaining
truth.

But, where a shipowner mortgaged a ship, and by deed of even date assigned to the mortgagee all freight by way of further security, and the mortgage referred to the deed of even date, partially reciting it, but not stating that the freight was thereby assigned; during the voyage the owner assigned the freight to the plaintiff, who knew of the mortgage. Sir W. Page Wood, L. J., in delivering the judgment of the Court, intimated the opinion that the plaintiff ought not to be con-

(*n*) *Bisco v. Earl of Banbury*, 1 Ch. Ca. 287.

(*o*) *Eland v. Eland*, 4 My. & Cr. 420.

(*p*) *Taylor v. Baker*, 5 Pri. 306. See *Trinidad Asphalt Co. v. Corryat*, (1896) A. C. 587, P. C.

(*q*) *Hope v. Liddell*, 31 Beav. 183. See *Penny v. Watts*, 1 Mac. & G. 150;

Clare Hall v. Harding, 6 Ha. 273.

(*r*) *Gibson v. Ingo*, 6 Ha. 112. See *Jones v. Williams*, 24 Beav. 47; *Hynes v. Reddington*, 10 Ir. Ch. R. 206.

sidered as affected by notice of the assignment of all freight, as he could not reasonably be expected to know more of an instrument, whose production he could not enforce, than what was given by the recital itself; but the case was decided upon other grounds (s).

CHAP. LVIII.

It is a general rule that a mortgagee or purchaser of leaseholds has notice, not only of the contents of the lease under which the property is held, but has also notice of the title of the lessor, and the statutory provision (t) precluding a purchaser under an open contract from inquiring as to the lessor's title will not protect the mortgagee or purchaser from not investigating that title; he will, therefore, have constructive notice of every deed forming part of the chain of that title, and of any restrictive covenants in any such deed, notwithstanding the most express representation on the part of the mortgagor or vendor that the property is subject to no such restrictive covenants or otherwise prejudicially affected (u). Of course it may often be difficult or impossible to obtain production of a lessor's title, and it might perhaps be suggested that the inspection of that title is not one which ought "reasonably" to be made; but, inasmuch as the documents forming that title must necessarily relate to the property in question, it seems clear if a mortgagee or purchaser dispenses with its production, he does so at his own risk (x).

Notice of lease is notice of lessor's title.

A mortgagee or purchaser will not, however, be affected with constructive notice of a merely collateral agreement affecting the property entered into between the lessor and a third person, but not referred to in any document forming part of the chain of the lessor's title (y).

No notice of merely collateral agreement.

Similarly, the mortgagee or purchaser of property held under an underlease has constructive notice of all usual covenants in the head lease, and also of all unusual covenants if he takes possession, or has an opportunity of examining the

Notice of underlease is notice of covenants, &c. of head lease.

(s) *Brown v. Tanner*, L. R. 3 Ch. A. 597.

(t) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 78, s. 2, sub-s. 1.

(u) *Patman v. Harland*, 17 Ch. D.

353. See *Nicoll v. Fenning*, 19 Ch. D.

258; *Clacton-on-Sea Hotel Co. v.*

Aberdeen, W. N. (1888) 54. As to

notice of restrictive covenants gene-

rally, see *Tulk v. Moxhay*, 2 Ph. 774;

Doherty v. Allman, 3 App. Ca. 709.

And as to building and repairing

covenants, see *Haywood v. Brunswick*

Permanent Building Soc., 8 Q. B. D.

403; *London & South Western Rail. Co.*

v. Gomm, 20 Ch. D. 562, C. A.;

Austerberry v. Corporation of Oldham,

29 Ch. D. 750, C. A.

(x) *English & Scottish Mercantile In-*

vestment Trust v. Brunton, (1892) 2 Q.

B. 700, C. A.

(y) *Carter v. Williams*, L. R. 9 Eq.

678.

CHAP. LVIII.

deed (z), but not otherwise (a); and where the land is in the possession of a derivative and not of the original lessee, the purchaser is not, it seems, affected with constructive notice of a special covenant contained in the original lease, such as a covenant to grant a further term (b).

Lease of
charity lands.

If a lease be made of charity lands which is set aside as improvident, it seems that a *bonâ fide* mortgagee or purchaser of a sub-lease will not be supposed to have notice of that fact (c), which depends on a number of extraneous circumstances; though the purchaser of a charity lease is bound, if the improvidence of the lease appears on the face of it, and does not depend on extraneous circumstances (d).

Constructive
notice of
equities under
settlements,

Where a mortgagee or purchaser has notice of a settlement, he has notice of all equities arising under that settlement. Thus, where a lease recited that the lessor was seised in fee upon trust for certain named persons, it was held that the lessee was affected with particulars of the trust (e). So, generally, if a person has notice that the legal estate is vested in a trustee, he will be affected with constructive notice of the nature and terms of the trust, for it is open to him to make inquiries of the trustee, and, if he omits to do so, it will be at his own risk (f).

or under prior
instruments
referred to.

Similarly, where a settlement of real estate recited a conveyance of the property to the settlor, and that conveyance recited a will devising the property upon trust for sale, it was held that persons claiming under the settlement had constructive notice of the will, and were bound by the vendor's lien of the trustees for sale for unpaid purchase-money (g).

So the mortgagee of a lease, wherein was recited the surrender of a former lease made in consideration of the surrender of an earlier lease, which showed certain facts, was held to have notice of them (h).

Notice of
post-nuptial
settlement.

Where a purchaser or mortgagee has notice that a settlement was not framed according to prior articles, or the rules of equity

(z) *Hyde v. Warden*, 3 Ex. D. 72. See *Taylor v. Stibbert*, 2 Ves. Jun. 437; *Martin v. Cotter*, 3 J. & L. 497; *Grosvenor v. Green*, 5 Jur. N. S. 117; *Mumford v. Stohucasser*, L. R. 18 Eq. 556; *Knight v. Bouryer*, 2 De G. & J. 421; *Cosser v. Collinge*, 3 My. & K. 283; *Flight v. Barton*, 3 My. & K. 282.

(a) *Wilbraham v. Livesay*, 18 Beav. 206.

(b) See *Hanbury v. Lichfield*, 2 My. & K. 629, 633.

(c) *Att.-Gen. v. Backhouse*, 17 Ves. 283.

(d) *Att.-Gen. v. Pargeter*, 6 Beav. 150.

(e) *Malpas v. Ackland*, 3 Russ. 273.

See also *Hennessey v. Bray*, 33 Beav. 96.

(f) *Anon.*, Freem. Ch. 137, c. 171.

(g) *Davies v. Thomas*, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 234.

(h) *Coppin v. Fernyhough*, 2 Bro. C. C. 291. See *Bisco v. Earl of Banbury*, 1 Ch. Ca. 291.

in regard to the form of such instruments, he will be affected by notice of the equities which arise under them, unless a long period has elapsed (*i*).

CHAP. LVIII.

Persons claiming under a post-nuptial settlement are bound to inquire if it is supported by an ante-nuptial agreement (*k*).

On the like principle, it seems that if a husband who has not performed his part of marriage articles assign his wife's fortune, which was the consideration for the proposed settlement by him, the purchaser (with notice of the contract) will be bound by the same equity as the husband was (*l*); the consequence of which is, that if the husband take the wife's estate under a settlement, and it is noticed in the conveyance to the husband that it is made in consideration of a provision to be made by him, a purchaser or mortgagee must inquire into the nature of the provision, and whether it has been completed (*m*).

Notice of non-performance of consideration for settlement.

One party informing the other party that there was no settlement, or agreement for a settlement, takes the case out of the rule of constructive notice (*n*).

Notice of marriage articles which are not technically expressed will nevertheless be binding if the general intent be manifest (*o*).

Informal articles.

Where a mortgage to trustees, in order to keep the trusts off the face of the deed, according to the usual practice, recites that the money advanced belongs to the persons who are in fact trustees on a joint account, a purchaser may rely on the recital, and will not be affected with constructive notice of any trust (*p*). So, the fact that a fund is standing in several names does not constitute notice of a trust (*q*).

Joint account.

Where a deed contains notice of an entail, inquiry must be made whether the entail is spent (*r*).

Entail.

So also if a deed discloses a charge and recites that it has been paid off, the mortgagee should require proof to verify the recital (*s*).

v.—Constructive Notice of Matters affecting the Title.—So far, the doctrine of constructive notice has been considered with reference to cases in which a document of title produced to a

Imputation of notice of contents of all material documents of title.

(*i*) *Senhouse v. Earle*, Amb. 285; *Thompson v. Simpson*, 1 Dr. & War. 459; *Davies v. Davies*, 4 Beav. 54. See Sug. V. & P. 781.

(*k*) *Ferrars v. Cherry*, 2 Vern. 383.

(*l*) *Harvey v. Ashley*, cited in 2 Sch. & L. 328.

(*m*) See *Mitford v. Mitford*, 9 Ves. 87.

(*n*) *Sharpe v. Foy*, L. R. 4 Ch. A. 35.

(*o*) *Davies v. Davies*, 4 Beav. 54.

(*p*) *Re Harman and Rickmansworth Rail. Co.*, 24 Ch. D. 720, 726.

(*q*) *Dodds v. Hills*, 2 H. & M. 424.

(*r*) *Kelsall v. Bennett*, 1 Atk. 522.

(*s*) *Howard v. Chaffers*, 2 Dr. & S.

236.

CHAP. LVIII.

mortgagee for his inspection puts him upon inquiry as to other deeds or facts referred to therein. But the doctrine is of wider application, and inasmuch as, in all cases where realty is mortgaged, and in many cases in which personalty is mortgaged, the mortgagee has, or must be taken to have, actual knowledge that the competence of the mortgagor to convey the property depends upon documents of title, he will be presumed to have examined every document which directly or inferentially forms a part of that title, and he will be affected with constructive notice of all facts or matters which would have been disclosed to him if the title had been fully investigated (*s*).

Mortgagee should require a reasonably complete title.

A mortgagee, unlike a purchaser under an open contract which is specifically enforceable, is, of course, entitled to make any demands as to production of title which he may think proper as a condition to completing his advance (*t*); but it will be sufficient to protect him from the consequences of constructive notice if he makes such inquiries and inspections "as ought reasonably to have been made by him" (*u*).

What is a complete title.

The documents of title produced for inspection or abstracted ought to show a perfect or complete title, that is to say, they ought to show that the mortgagor is either himself competent to convey to, or can otherwise procure to be vested in, the mortgagee, the legal and equitable estates free from incumbrances (*x*).

Notice of appointments under powers.

Notice is imputed of whatever may concern the execution of a power or the revocation thereof (*y*), and of improper dealings with an estate in the professed exercise of a power where suspicious circumstances are apparent (*z*); and a husband marrying on the footing of his wife being seised in fee, without examining the only deed under which she claimed, has notice of an appointment made by her under that deed (*a*); and where the appointees of a tenant for life had notice of a mortgage which contained a covenant by the appointor with the mortgagee not to exercise the power to his prejudice, they were postponed to him (*b*).

(*s*) *West v. Reid*, 2 Ha. 249, 260.
See *Penny v. Watts*, 1 Mac. & G. 150.

(*t*) *Ante*, p. 48.

(*u*) 45 & 46 Vict. c. 39, s. 3, set out *ante*, p. 1306. See *Bailey v. Barnes*, (1894) 1 Ch. 25, C. A.

(*x*) *Dart & Barber, V. & P.*, 6th ed. Vol. I. p. 321. See *Morley v. Cook*, 2 Ha. 106, 111.

(*y*) *Lord of Banbury's Case*, Freem. Ch. 8, and *Lord Crawly's Case*, cited there; *Robinson v. Briggs*, 1 Sm. & G. 188.

(*z*) *Robinson v. Briggs, sup.*

(*a*) *Jackson v. Rowe*, 2 S. & St. 472. But see *Phillips v. Redhill*, cited 2 Vern. 160, *contr.*

(*b*) *Hurst v. Hurst*, 16 Beav. 372.

If an appointment be made by a father, under a power to appoint the estate to one or more of his children, to one of his sons in fee, and a conveyance be afterwards executed by father and son in consideration of a sum of money stated in the purchase deed to be paid to both, although the contract was entered into by the father alone before the appointment to the son, the purchaser will not be affected with notice of fraud, in the absence of all evidence to show that the son was not to receive a due proportion of the purchase-money (c), to the application of which the purchaser is not bound to look.

CHAP. LVIII.

Payment to
father and
son on
appointment.

Moreover, notice will be imputed if anything appears on a deed of which a mortgagee or purchaser has notice which is out of the ordinary course; as, for instance, if there is anything peculiar about the attestation (d), or if, in a deed executed before the 1st January, 1882, no receipt for the consideration is indorsed, or if such receipt is indorsed in an unusual position; any such circumstance affords sufficient grounds for suspicion to put a mortgagee or purchaser on inquiry, and to affect him with constructive notice of any fraud which might have been disclosed by such inquiry (e). But notice will not be imputed of collateral facts and matters *dehors* the deed (f).

Notice from
irregularity
in execution,
&c. of deed.

Notwithstanding an old case (g), in which it was decided that if a first mortgagee be a witness to the second mortgage deed, it is sufficient notice to bind him, although it does not appear that he actually knew the contents; the bare attestation of a deed will not, without other circumstances, be sufficient to bind a party with notice of its contents (h).

Attestation
of deed.

But although a mortgagee or purchaser must be presumed to have investigated every instrument which directly or by inference forms a link in the title to the property, he is not affected with constructive notice of instruments which are not necessary to or

No notice of
documents
not connected
with the title.

(c) *M'Queen v. Farquhar*, 11 Ves. 467; *Att.-Gen. v. Backhouse*, 17 Ves. 283. See *Wards v. Dickson*, 5 Jur. N. S. 698; *Bainbrigg v. Browne*, 18 Ch. D. 188. And see *Hannah v. Hodgson*, 30 Beav. 19; but *quære* this case, Sug. V. & P. 394, 14th ed. 699. (d) *Kennedy v. Green*, 3 My. & K. 699.

(e) *Robinson v. Briggs*, 1 Sm. & G. 188. See now 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, ss. 54, 55.

(f) *Att.-Gen. v. Backhouse*, 17 Ves. 283; *Darlington v. Hamilton, Kay*,

550; *Grosvenor v. Green*, 5 Jur. N. S. 517; *Greenslade v. Dars*, 20 Beav. 284; *Clements v. Welles*, 35 Beav. 513. See *Howorth v. Deem*, 1 Ed. 355.

(g) *Mocatta v. Murgatroyd*, 1 P. Wms. 393.

(h) *Beckett v. Cordeley*, 1 Bro. C. C. 357; *Welford v. Beezely*, 1 Ves. Sen. 6; *Harding v. Crethorn*, 1 Esp. 56; *Reed v. Williams*, 5 Taunt. 257; *Rancliffe v. Parkyns*, 6 Dow, 224; *Biddulph v. St. John*, 2 Sch. & L. 521, 532. See *Fonbl. Eq.*, 5th ed., Vol. 1, p. 165; *Sug. V. & P.*, 14th ed., p. 781.

- CHAP. LVIII. presumptively connected with the title only because, by possibility, they may affect it (*i*).
- Notice of intended deed. Notice of an intention to execute a deed which at the time is only in preparation will, apparently, not be sufficient notice of the deed if afterwards executed (*k*).
- Presumption of notice may be rebutted. Constructive notice will not always be presumed in opposition to direct proof that no notice existed (*l*); and false answers to inquiries may dispense with further inquiry (*m*); but only if the inquiries relate to deeds which may or may not affect the title; for if a person knows of a deed which must necessarily affect the property, it is no answer to be told that it does not prejudicially affect the title, as he is bound to look at it, and if he does not look at it, he will be taken to have constructive notice of its contents (*n*).
- Partial information, as that there are some charges, renders further inquiry as to the nature and amount of such charges indispensable (*o*).
- What length of title should be required. Formerly, it was considered generally sufficient, in the case of mortgages as well as of purchases, if the title commenced sixty years back, whether the property consisted of freeholds or of copyholds or of renewable leaseholds (*p*). In the case of an advowson, it was, and still is, the practice to require the title to be carried back for one hundred years (*q*). Upon a mortgage of leaseholds held for a long term of years, it was usually regarded as sufficient if the original lease was produced and the intermediate dealings with the leasehold interest were shown for sixty years past (*r*).
- But by the Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874 (*s*), in the case of a purchase under an open contract, forty years is substituted for sixty years as the root of title, but so that earlier title than forty years may be required in cases similar to those in which an earlier title than sixty years might formerly have been
- (*i*) Per Wigram, V.-C., in *West v. Reid*, 2 Ha. 249, 260. See *Mertins v. Jolliffe*, Amb. 311.
- (*k*) *Cothay v. Sydenham*, 2 Bro. C. C. 391. See *Williams v. Williams*, 17 Ch. D. 437.
- (*l*) *Earl of Portsmouth v. Lord Effingham*, 1 Ves. Sen. 435.
- (*m*) *Jones v. Williams*, 24 Beav. 47. See *Jones v. Smith*, 1 Ha. 43.
- (*n*) *Patman v. Harland*, 17 Ch. D. 353; *English and Scottish Mercantile Investment Trust v. Brunton*, (1892) 2 Q. B. 700, 713.
- (*o*) *Jones v. Williams*, 24 Beav. 47; *Wilson v. Hart*, 2 H. & M. 551.
- (*p*) *Cooper v. Emery*, 1 Ph. 388; *Hodgkinson v. Cooper*, 9 Beav. 304.
- (*q*) See 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, s. 30; and 37 & 38 Vict. c. 78, s. 1.
- (*r*) See Byth. & Jarm., 4th ed., Vol. I. p. 58.
- (*s*) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 78, s. 1.

required. It may, perhaps, be considered that the legislature has fixed forty years as a "reasonable" period for the commencement of title, having regard to the shortening of the period of limitations (*t*), and other considerations, so as to protect a mortgagee who is satisfied with a title going back only forty years from the imputation of constructive notice of matters which might possibly have been discovered if the earlier title had been required.

CHAP. LVIII.

The deed which forms the commencement of title should, however, be one which purports to deal with the entire legal and equitable interest in the property. If, therefore, such instrument is a will and seisin is not proved (*u*), or, perhaps, if it is a voluntary settlement (*x*), production of the earlier title should be required.

What is a proper root of title.

So, also, inasmuch as no inference in favour of title to a reversion arises from lapse of time, its creation must be shown, however remote may be its origin (*y*).

Title to reversion.

A mortgagee will not be protected if any defect in the earlier title appears from the deeds abstracted (*z*); or if the mortgagor accidentally discloses such a defect (*a*). If, however, nothing appears on the face of deeds extending back over a sufficient period of time which throws a reasonable doubt or suspicion on the earlier title, the want of prior instruments, though recited or referred to in the abstracted deeds, is not material. "The true inquiry in every case is whether the absence of the recited deed throws any reasonable doubt upon the title" (*b*).

Discovery of defect in earlier title.

The title should be continued from its commencement in regular chronological order, showing every subsequent dealing with the legal and equitable interests in the property (*c*).

Subsequent title to be shown.

If the agreement is for an equitable mortgage, it should be shown in whom the legal estate is vested, and what is the nature and amount of any prior incumbrances (*d*).

Title where legal estate outstanding.

vi.—Constructive Notice from Known Facts.—A mortgagee or purchaser may be affected with constructive notice of not only such incumbrances, liabilities, or equities affecting the property

(*t*) See 37 & 38 Vict. c. 57, s. 1.

(*u*) *Parr v. Lovegrove*, 4 Drew. 170.

(*x*) But see *Marsh v. Earl Granville*, 24 Ch. D. 11; *Noyes v. Patterson*, (1894) 3 Ch. 267. And see 56 & 57 Vict. c. 21, *ante*, pp. 602, 603.

(*y*) Byth. & Jarm. Conv., 4th ed. Vol. I. p. 52.

(*z*) *Sellick v. Trevor*, 11 M. & W. 722.

(*a*) *Smith v. Robinson*, 13 Ch. D. 148.

(*b*) *Parr v. Lovegrove*, 4 Drew. 170.

(*c*) As to the commencement and contents of abstracts, see further Dart & Barber, V. & P. 6th ed. Vol. I. pp. 338 *et seq.*; and Byth. & Jarm. Conv., 4th ed. Vol. I. pp. 52 *et seq.*

(*d*) See *Wynne v. Griffith*, 1 Russ. 283.

CHAP. LVIII.

of which he has or might have obtained information by examining the title deeds, but also of such as may be reasonably suspected to exist from the general circumstances of the case. Thus, if a mortgagor or purchaser has actual notice of any fact or circumstance by which the property is affected, constructive notice will be imputed to him of any rights, interests, or claims to which he would be led, if he made such inquiries as to such fact or circumstance as a prudent man of business might reasonably be expected to make (*e*). And a person cannot escape from the imputation of constructive notice by saying that he did not draw the natural inference from the facts which were within his knowledge (*f*).

Notice from
state of
property.

Thus, in several cases, the nature or state of the property has been such as to induce the Court to hold that a purchaser was put upon inquiry, and that he had constructive notice of easements or other rights affecting the property (*g*).

Purchase of shares in a company is constructive notice of the statute under which the company is formed (*h*). But the reference must not be too remote, as where a prospectus referred to an Act of Parliament in which a deed was recited (*i*).

Notice from
possession or
occupation.

Upon the same principle, as a general rule, if a mortgagee or purchaser finds that the land is in the possession or occupation of a person other than the mortgagor or vendor, he will be deemed to have constructive notice of the rights and interests of the occupier; for possession being *prima facie* evidence of a seisin in fee, the mortgagee or purchaser has actual notice of a fact by which the property is affected, and is bound to ascertain the truth (*k*). Thus, notice of the land being in the occupation of a person whom the mortgagee or purchaser supposes to be only a tenant from year to year will be constructive notice of the lease under which the occupier really holds (*l*).

Possession of
third person.

Where a mortgagee or purchaser has notice that the property is in the possession of a third person and his under-tenants, he is

(*e*) *Allen v. Seckham*, 11 Ch. D. 790, 794. See *Montefiore v. Broune*, 7 H. L. C. 241; *English and Scottish Mercantile Investment Trust v. Brunton*, (1892) 2 Q. B. 700, 710.

(*f*) *Exp. Snowball*, L. R. 7 Ch. A. 540.

(*g*) *Hervey v. Smith*, 22 Beav. 299; *Moreland v. Richardson*, 24 Beav. 33; *Miles v. Tobin*, 16 W. R. 465; *Moreland v. Cook*, L. R. 6 Eq. 252; *Davies v. Sear*, L. R. 7 Eq. 427. But see

Allen v. Seckham, *sup.*; Sug. V. & P. 765.

(*h*) *Conybeare v. New Brunswick Co.*, 6 Jur. N. S. 164.

(*i*) *Re National Insurance Co.*, 4 De G. F. & J. 78.

(*k*) *Jones v. Smith*, 1 Ha. 43, 60.

(*l*) *Taylor v. Stibbert*, 2 Ves. Jun. 437; *Daniels v. Davison*, 17 Ves. 433; *Allen v. Anthony*, 1 Mer. 282; *Brunton v. Neale*, 9 Jur. 338.

not justified in presuming the possession of that person to be the possession of the vendor, but must be deemed to have constructive notice of the title and rights of the person in possession and his under-tenants (*m*).

CHAP. LVIII.

A purchaser or mortgagee will be considered to have notice of a contract previously entered into by the tenant to become the purchaser of the freehold, although he had no intimation of the fact (*n*), and the Court will enforce specific performance against the vendor and the subsequent purchaser or mortgagee, and leave them to settle the question between themselves (*o*). The same doctrine has subsequently been applied to the tenant's right to the timber, although accruing by a title posterior to that on which his right to the possession was grounded (*p*). But the purchaser from a vendee has not implied notice of the vendor's lien for a part of the purchase-money, from the fact of the vendor being in possession as tenant, if such vendor has signed a receipt for the whole purchase-money (*q*), and the deed of conveyance to the vendee is not missing (*r*).

Notice of rights, &c. of tenant in possession.

Nor does implied notice, arising from the occupation of the land by a third person, deprive the vendee of his right of compensation against the vendor (*s*).

Where the possession is vacant, a purchaser with notice of a late occupation of the land by a third person is not bound to inquire of him what was the nature of his title (*t*).

Where possession is vacant.

Where several persons are in occupation of property as tenants in common and carrying on business thereon, a mortgagee or purchaser will have constructive notice of the title of the partnership (*u*).

Possession of tenants in common.

If a person is of right in possession of a corporeal hereditament, it is not necessary that such possession should be continually visible or actively asserted in order to impute constructive notice to a mortgagee or purchaser. So where certain persons took possession of mines under an agreement for purchase but without conveyance, but subsequently ceased mining operations, it was held that a subsequent purchaser of the land, without any exception of mines, took with notice of the agreement, and was bound specifically to perform it (*x*).

What possession is sufficient to affect mortgagee with notice.

(*m*) *Bailey v. Richardson*, 9 Ha. 734.

(*r*) *Worthington v. Morgan*, 16 Sim.

(*n*) *Daniels v. Davison*, 16 Ves. 249; S. C., 17 Ves. 433; *Crofton v. Ormsby*, 2 Sch. & L. 583.

547.

(*s*) *Nelthorpe v. Holgate*, 1 Coll. 203.

(*t*) *Miles v. Langley*, 1 R. & My. 39.

(*o*) *Daniels v. Davison*, *sup.*

(*u*) *Cavander v. Bulleel*, L. R. 9 Ch.

(*p*) *Allen v. Anthony*, 1 Mer. 282.

A. 79.

(*q*) *White v. Wakefield*, 7 Sim. 401.

(*x*) *Holmes v. Powell*, 8 De G. M. & G. 572.

CHAP. LVIII.

Inquiries of tenants as to payment of rents, &c.

Where property is in the occupation of tenants, an intending mortgagee should be careful to inquire to whom the tenants pay their rents, for if they pay them to a person other than the mortgagor, the mortgagee will have constructive notice of the instrument under which the rents have come to be so paid, and of the rights of all parties thereunder (y).

Other cases of constructive notice from possession.

The point of constructive notice from possession does not seem to have been taken in a case where a second mortgagee of copyhold under an actual surrender was preferred to a prior mortgagee with a covenant to surrender, although the prior mortgagee was in possession, and the mortgagor had been out of possession, for thirteen years (s). And it is said that a purchaser who dealt with a person out of possession, and did not use the means which a person of due diligence would be expected to use to ascertain the title, would be fixed with implied notice (a).

Notice from official or fiduciary position of mortgagor.

Where the person depositing documents of title as security for a debt or loan holds an official or fiduciary position, the circumstances may be such as to affect the depositee with constructive notice that the money belongs not to the depositor but to his employers. So where A. became surety in 2,000*l.* for the due performance by B. of his duties as treasurer of a municipal corporation, and subsequently received formal notice from the corporation that B. was a defaulter, and on the next day took from B., as security for what he might be called on to pay by reason of B.'s default, a deposit note of a bank for 2,300*l.*, being in fact moneys belonging to the corporation, but which had been placed by B. in his daughter's name, it was held that, under the circumstances, A. ought to have made inquiry as to the 2,300*l.*, and that, having omitted to do so, he was liable to restore that sum to the corporation with interest at the rate of 5*l.* per cent. (b).

Deposit of share certificate by trustee.

Where shares stand in the name of a trustee, who deposits the certificates thereof with a person advancing money to the trustee without inquiry by the depositee as to his real position, the depositee is postponed to the prior equity (c).

Renewal of lease by trustee.

So where a trustee takes a renewed lease in his own name,

(y) *Knight v. Bowyer*, 2 De G. & J. 421. See *Att.-Gen. v. Stephens*, 1 K. & J. 724, reversed on other grounds, 6 De G. M. & G. 111.

(z) *Ozwick v. Plumer*, 2 Vern. 636; Bac. Abr. Mortgages, E. s. 3. See the observations on this case in *Barn-*

hart v. Greenhields, 9 Moo. P. C. 18.

(a) *Popple v. Prideaux*, cit. arg. 3 My. & K. 707.

(b) *Mayor, &c. of Berwick-upon-Tweed v. Murray*, 7 De G. M. & G. 497.

(c) *Shropshire Union, &c. Co. v. Reg.*, L. R. 7 H. L. 496.

and deposits it as security for his own debt, the *cestuis que trust* are preferred (*d*). CHAP. LVIII.

This class of cases seems to depend rather on the general principle that, where conflicting equities are equal, the equity which is prior in point of time must prevail, than on the doctrine of constructive notice.

A public Act of Parliament is of itself full notice of its contents and effect, but Acts of Parliament of a private nature are not, as public Acts are, notice to bind all the world, even when they are expressly declared to be public Acts (*e*). Act of Parliament.

Advertisement in a newspaper to which the person to whom notice is sought to be imputed is a subscriber, is not sufficient for that purpose (*f*). Advertisement.

It appears to be now settled that a purchaser of copyholds is not bound to search the rolls of the manor of which they are held, and the rolls are in consequence not of themselves notice of their contents (*g*), though it was formerly held otherwise (*h*). Court rolls of manors.

But it seems that persons who deal with copyhold tenants ought to inform themselves as to the existence of any customs of the manor which may affect their interests; so that a subsequent incumbrancer of copyholds who had searched the rolls was nevertheless bound by a prior incumbrance not entered thereon (*i*), there being, by the custom of the manor, no time limited for presenting surrenders made out of Court. And it has been held that persons who contract for a lease ought to ascertain the custom of the manor as to the length of lease (*k*).

A person who takes an equitable mortgage on copyholds from an heir-at-law ought not to be satisfied by the deposit of a copy of his admission only, but should inquire for the admission of his ancestor also (*l*).

So a mortgagee who contents himself by examining the court rolls, where he would only find notice of legal incumbrances, shall not be excused (*m*) for neglecting to inquire for the copies of the court roll.

(*d*) *Re Morgan, Pillgrem v. Pillgrem*, 18 Ch. D. 93, C. A.

(*e*) Per Lord Hardwicke in *Hesse v. Stevenson*, 3 B. & P. 578. See *Karl of Pomfret v. Lord Windsor*, 2 Ves. 472, at p. 480; *Att.-Gen. v. Marrett*, 10 Ir. Eq. R. 167.

(*f*) *Nagle v. Baylor*, 3 Dr. & War. 60 at p. 73.

(*g*) *Bugden v. Bignold*, 2 Y. & C. C. O. 377.

(*h*) *Pearce v. Newlyn*, 3 Madd. 186.

(*i*) *Horlock v. Priestley*, 2 Sim. 75.

(*k*) *Hanbury v. Lichfield*, 2 My. & K. 629.

(*l*) *Tylce v. Webb*, 6 Beav. 552.

(*m*) *Whitbread v. Jordan*, 1 Y. & C. Ex. 303.

CHAP. LVIII.

Notice to
produce depo-
sited deeds.

In an Irish case (*n*), an equitable mortgagee by deposit of deeds was held to be affected with notice of a subsequent incumbrance, by reason of notice to lodge the deeds having been served on him on a petition for sale presented by the subsequent incumbrancer, so as to entitle the latter to priority over all further advances made by the prior mortgagee after service of the notice.

Lis pendens
how far
notice.

A purchaser *pendente lite*, although for a valuable consideration and without notice, was, prior to 2 & 3 Vict. c. 11, bound by the decree (*o*), if there had been a close and continued prosecution of the suit (*p*). He was also bound by an interlocutory decree, or decree to account (*q*). But since that statute (*r*), *lis pendens* does not affect a purchaser or mortgagee without express notice, until a memorandum containing the particulars mentioned in the Act is left to be registered at the Central Office (*s*); which memorandum is to be registered every five years, in like manner as judgments are required to be by 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110. The provision was extended to common law and equity courts of Counties Palatine (*t*). *Lis pendens* is of itself binding, if duly registered, and, whether registered or not, affects a mortgagee or purchaser by express notice of it (*u*).

A person who, without notice of a suit, purchases from one of the defendants property which is the subject of it, is not, in consequence of the pendency of the suit, affected by an equitable title of another defendant, which appears on the face of the proceedings, but of which he has no notice and to which it is not necessary for any of the purposes of the suit to give effect (*x*).

Co-defen-
dants.

But interests of defendants *inter se*, arising out of the rights of the plaintiff, are protected by the doctrine of *lis pendens* (*y*).

Even under the former law a specific claim to the particular

(*n*) *Re Keogh's Estate*, (1896) 1 Ir. R. 201.

(*o*) *Worsley v. Earl of Scarborough*, 3 Atk. 392; *Sorrell v. Carpenter*, 2 P. Wms. 482; *Walker v. Smalwood*, Amb. 676. And see *Herbert's Case*, 3 P. Wms. 116; *Garth v. Ward*, 2 Atk. 176; *Bishop of Winchester v. Paine*, 11 Ves. 194; *Self v. Madox*, 1 Vern. 459; *Moore v. McNamara*, 2 Ba. & Be. 186; *Flemming v. Page*, Finch, 320.

(*p*) *Beames*, Ord. 7; *Preston v. Tubbin*, 1 Vern. 286; *Kinsman v. Kinsman*, 1 R. & My. 622.

(*q*) *Worsley v. Earl of Scarborough*, 3 Atk. 392.

(*r*) S. 7.

(*s*) 42 & 43 Vict. c. 78, s. 5.

(*t*) 13 & 14 Vict. c. 43.

(*u*) 18 & 19 Vict. c. 15, s. 3. See in Ireland, 7 & 8 Vict. c. 90, s. 10; 11 & 12 Vict. c. 120, s. 12; 13 & 14 Vict. c. 29, s. 5.

(*x*) *Bellamy v. Sabine*, 1 De G. & J. 566.

(*y*) *Tyler v. Thomas*, 25 Beav. 47. And see Ord. XVII.

subject must have been made by the *lis pendens*, and it must not have been merely a suit to carry into effect the general trusts of a creditor deed (s); nor a mere general administration suit (a); nor a suit which cannot properly be brought to a hearing (b); nor to a suit relating to money secured on an estate, but not to the estate itself (c). It extends to any interest directly in question in the suit; as to an assignment of the equity of redemption to a purchaser from a devisee, pending a suit by the heir to invalidate the will (d); and to a contract made before, but completed after, the commencement of the suit (e).

CHAP. LVIII.

Nature of suit.

The doctrine of *lis pendens* does not apply to personal property other than chattel interests in land (f).

Lis pendens applies only to land.

A registered *lis pendens* does not create a charge or lien on the property, nor does it excuse a purchaser from completing his contract. It merely puts him upon an inquiry into the validity of the plaintiff's claim (g). It does not prevent executors or trustees carrying out the general trusts of the will, or invalidate the title of purchasers from them (h); but in the case of a particular estate with a particular trust it is otherwise (i); and it does affect a mortgagee of the executor *pendente lite* in a suit by a judgment creditor, where the life estate of the executor in lands, subject to the judgment, was liable to recoup assets of the testator which by the executor's default had become applicable to discharge the judgment (k).

Lis pendens creates no charge.

Lis pendens formerly took effect when the bill was filed by relation from the service of the subpoena (l). It is considered that it will now take effect from the service of the writ when the action has been registered under the statute (m).

From what time *lis pendens* takes effect.

A decree which is not final, as a decree to account, which puts no end to the matters in question, binds as *lis pendens* (n).

What decrees bind.

(s) *Holt v. Dewell*, 4 Ha. 446.(a) *Reed v. Freer*, 13 L. J. Ch. 417; *Warburton v. Edge*, 9 Sim. 508; *Houlditch v. Wallace*, 5 Cl. & F. 629.

(b) Barn. Ch. R. 454.

(c) *Worsley v. Earl of Scarborough*, 3 Atk. 392.(d) *Garth v. Ward*, 2 Atk. 175.(e) *Norris v. Lord Dudley Stuart*, 16 Beav. 359.(f) *Wigram v. Buckley*, (1894) 3 Ch. 383, C. A.(g) *Bull v. Hutchens*, 32 Beav. 615.(h) *Berry v. Gibbons*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 747. See *Jennings v. Bond*, 2 J. & L. 720; *Drew v. Earl of Norbury*, 3 J. & L. 267.(i) *Walker v. Flamstead*, 2 Kenyon, pt. 2, 57, Ch.(k) *Jennings v. Bond*, *sup.* See *Drew v. Earl of Norbury*, *sup.*(l) *Anon.*, 1 Vern. 318.(m) *Schofield v. Solomon*, 52 L. T. 679.(n) *Higgins v. Shaw*, 2 Dr. & War. 356; *Kinsman v. Kinsman*, 1 R. & My. 622.

CHAP. LVIII.

Appeal.

Vacation of
lis pendens.

An order for an appeal seems not to be a continuation of the *lis pendens* (o).

As a registered *lis pendens* could not be vacated without the consent of the person by whom it was registered, which consent was sometimes withheld, it has been enacted by 30 & 31 Vict. c. 47, s. 2, that the Court before which the property sought to be bound is in litigation may, upon the determination, or during the pendency of the litigation, when it shall be satisfied that the litigation is not prosecuted *bona fide*, order the registration to be vacated without the consent of the party who registered it, and may, in the discretion of the Court, direct the party on whose behalf the registration was made to pay all the costs and expenses occasioned by the registration or the vacating thereof (p).

Judgments
and decrees.

Judgments and decrees are not notice of themselves, although registered, yet unless they be registered and re-registered, a purchaser is not affected even by express notice of them (q).

A decree is not constructive notice after the determination of the suit to persons not parties to it (r).

By force of 3 & 4 Vict. c. 82, notice of an unregistered decree, as well as in the case of an unregistered judgment, did not, as against purchasers, mortgagees, or creditors, give such decree any effect under 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110.

Notice of suit
is notice of
solicitor's
lien.

A person taking with notice of any suit, matter, or other proceeding, from a party thereto, a mortgage or other assignment of property, including real estate, which is the subject-matter of such suit, &c., is affected with constructive notice of the lien, under the Solicitors Act, 1860 (s), of any solicitor employed in the suit, entitling him to an order charging property recovered or preserved in that suit; and the solicitor need not, therefore, give notice to an intending assignee so as to ensure priority for his lien (t).

Act of
bankruptcy.

An act of bankruptcy will not of itself amount to notice (u), and the question as to what does or does not amount to notice

(o) Sug. V. & P. (14th ed.) p. 758. See *Waldo v. Caley*, 16 Ves. 206, at p. 213; notwithstanding *Gore v. Stackpoole*, 1 Dow, P. C. 18, 31.

(p) S. 2 of the Act. See *Poolley v. Bosanquet*, 7 Ch. D. 541.

(q) 3 & 4 Vict. c. 82, s. 2; 18 & 19 Vict. c. 15, s. 5.

(r) *Worsley v. Earl of Scarborough*, 3 Atk. 392.

(s) 23 & 24 Vict. c. 127, s. 28.

(t) *Faithfull v. Ewen*, 7 Ch. D. 495, C. A.

(u) *Collet v. De Gols*, Cas. t. Talb. 65; *Wilkes v. Bodington*, 2 Vern. 599; (*Williams*), *Exp. Knott*, 11 Ves. 609; *Palmer v. Locke*, 18 Ch. D. 386.

has given rise to numerous decisions the effect of which will be here briefly noticed.

CHAP. LVIII.

If an act of bankruptcy has been, in fact, committed, any information which, having regard to the source from which it is derived, or other circumstances, ought to induce a reasonable man to believe it to be true, so as to put him on inquiry, will be sufficient notice (*x*). And knowledge of facts which are in themselves sufficient to lead a person to the conclusion that an act of bankruptcy has been committed will be sufficient notice (*y*), even though that person states that he did not, in fact, draw such inference (*z*), or that he did not know that the fact of which he had knowledge amounted to an act of bankruptcy (*a*); but notice of facts which may or may not amount in law to an act of bankruptcy is apparently not sufficient (*b*). A person will also be fixed with notice if he has knowledge that an act of bankruptcy has been committed though he may have no specific knowledge of the particular act (*c*).

Notice of an intention to commit an act of bankruptcy is not generally sufficient (*d*), but a debtor commits an act of bankruptcy if he gives notice to any of his creditors that he is about to suspend payment of his debts (*e*).

vii.—Notice through Solicitor or other Agent.—The Conveyancing Act, 1882 (*f*), sect. 3, affects a mortgagee or purchaser with notice of matters not only which are, or ought to be, within his own knowledge, but also, under certain circumstances, which are or ought to have come to the knowledge of his counsel, solicitor, or other agent.

It has long been settled that actual notice to the counsel, solicitor, or agent of a party in relation to the matter in which he is employed is constructive or imputed notice to his principal (*g*).

Actual notice to solicitor.

(*x*) *Hope v. Meek*, 10 Exch. 829. See *Bird v. Bass*, 6 Man. & Gr. 143; *Brevin v. Short*, 24 L. J. Q. B. 297.

(*y*) *Smith v. Osborn*, 1 F. & F. 267.

(*z*) *Exp. Snowball, Re Douglas*, L. R. 7 Ch. A. 534.

(*a*) *Lackington v. Elliott*, 8 Sc. N. R. 275.

(*b*) *Evans v. Hallam*, L. R. 6 Q. B. 713. See *Lucas v. Dickor*, 5 C. F. D. 160.

(*c*) *Turner v. Harcastle*, 11 C. B. N.

S. 683. See *Hooking v. Acraman*, 12 M. & W. 170; *Udal v. Walton*, 14 M. & W. 254.

(*d*) *Exp. Hallifax*, 2 M. D. & De G. 544; *Exp. Glyn*, 6 Jur. 839; *Conway v. Nall*, 1 C. B. 643; *Exp. Robinson*, 32 L. T. 230.

(*e*) 46 & 47 Vict. c. 52, s. 4, sub-s. 1 (h).

(*f*) 46 & 46 Vict. c. 39.

(*g*) *Le Neve v. Le Neve*, 3 Atk. 646. See *Brotherton v. Hatt*, 2 Vern. 574; *Sheldon v. Cox*, 2 Eden, 228; *Newstead*

CHAP. LVIII.

So where moneys placed by a client in the hands of his solicitor for investment were advanced on the security of a mortgage, which the solicitor took in his own name as principal, it was held that the client was affected by notice of all matters which came to the solicitor's knowledge (*h*).

Same solicitor acting for both parties.

The rule applies where the same solicitor acts for both the mortgagor and the mortgagee (*i*); and, apparently, it would apply in such a case, even where the transaction is carried out under an order of the Court (*k*).

Where mortgagor is mortgagee's solicitor.

The application of the rule will not be excluded even though the solicitor acting for the mortgagee throughout the transaction is himself the mortgagor (*l*). If the mortgagee is imprudent enough to entrust his interests to the mortgagor, being a solicitor, he may do so, but must take all the consequences; but the mortgagee may consider himself competent to protect his own interests, and the mere fact that the mortgagor, being a solicitor, prepares the mortgage deed, the mortgagee employing no other solicitor, is not sufficient to constitute the mortgagor the solicitor of the mortgagee so as to affect the latter with notice of an incumbrance known to the former (*m*).

What will constitute a solicitor the mortgagee's agent so as to affect mortgagee with notice.

So, generally, the fact that only one solicitor is employed in a transaction does not of itself constitute him the solicitor of both parties so as to affect each with matters known to the other (*n*). And the actual employment by a party of a person as solicitor or agent to do some merely ministerial act connected with the transaction does not constitute that person the solicitor or agent in the transaction of the party so employing him so as to affect the latter with matters within the knowledge of the former (*o*).

It does not, however, necessarily follow that, because a counsel,

v. Searles, 1 Atk. 266; *Tunstall v. Trappes*, 3 Sim. 301; *Dryden v. Frost*, 3 My. & Cr. 670; *Leuchan v. M'Cabe*, 2 Ir. Eq. R. 342; *Pennell v. Stephens*, 7 C. B. 987; *Rothwell v. Timbrel*, 1 Dow, N. S. 778; *Rickards v. Gledstones*, 3 Giff. 298; *Atterbury v. Wallis*, 8 De G. M. & G. 454; *Rolland v. Hart*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 678; *Vane v. Vane*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 383; *Bradley v. Riches*, 9 Ch. D. 189. See also *Kettlewell v. Watson*, 21 Ch. D. 685, 705, revd. on other grounds, 26 Ch. D. 501, C. A. See also *Agra Bank v. Barry*, L. R. 7 H. L. 135.

(*h*) *Spaight v. Cowne*, 1 H. & M.

359.

(*i*) *Tweedale v. Tweedale*, 23 Beav. 341. See *Sheldon v. Cox*, 2 Ed. 228; *Fuller v. Bennet*, 2 Ha. 402.

(*k*) *Toulmin v. Steere*, 3 Mer. 210.

(*l*) *Dryden v. Frost*, 3 My. & Cr. 670. See *Robinson v. Briggs*, 1 Sm. & G. 188; *Spencer v. Topham*, 2 Jur. N. S. 865.

(*m*) *Espin v. Pemberton*, 3 De G. & J. 647.

(*n*) *Perry v. Holl*, 2 De G. F. & J. 38.

(*o*) *Wyllie v. Pollen*, 3 De G. J. & S. 596. See *Kettlewell v. Watson*, 26 Ch. D. 501, at p. 508, C. A.

solicitor, or other agent is employed in part only of the transaction, his knowledge of matters relating to the transaction will not affect the party employing him with notice (*p*).

CHAP. LVIII.

Constructive notice to the solicitor is notice to the client (*q*), as where the solicitor of a lady upon her marriage has notice that the title deeds of the settled estates are at the bankers of the settlor, and makes no inquiry (*r*).

Constructive notice to solicitor.

In Lord St. Leonards' *Vendors and Purchasers* (*r*), a case of constructive notice is put in the instance of notice to the town agent of the country solicitor of the purchaser or mortgagee, which, it is said, is notice to the principal; and for this the case of *Norris v. Le Nere* (*s*), heard before Lord Hardwicke, was cited in an early edition of his work. There may be no question as to the doctrine; but the facts in that case are not exactly in point. It is rather an authority that if the country attorney have notice of a fact, but employ a town agent to conduct the suit, the notice will attach to the parties, although the town agent be without actual notice. In the case in question, the country attorney had notice; but he swore that he was employed as attorney in the ejectment at law, which was tried in the country, and not as the solicitor in the suit in equity, which was conducted by his town agent. The Lord Chancellor declared he would consider him as attorney notwithstanding he lived in the country, for everybody knew that country attorneys acted by agents in causes in town.

Notice to town agent of country solicitor.

Notice to the clerk of a solicitor employed in the transaction is notice to the client (*t*).

Notice to solicitor's clerk.

The rule applies in all cases where the parties stand to each other in the relation of principal and agent. So, where a father, having notice of a prior agreement, procured a lease to be made to his son, the son was held to be fixed with notice of the agreement (*u*).

Application of rule to agents generally.

It was laid down in an early case (*x*), that notice to a counsel, solicitor, or other agent must, in order to affect the client or principal, have been given in the same transaction; for otherwise, as observed by Lord Hardwicke, "it would make pur-

Notice must be in the same transaction.

(*p*) *Bury v. Bury*, cit. Sug. V. & P. 14th ed. p. 756.

(*q*) Sug. V. & P. 756.

(*r*) *Maxfield v. Burton*, L. R. 17 Eq. 15.

(*s*) 3 Atk. 26.

(*t*) *Pike v. Stephens*, 12 Q. B. 465.

(*u*) *Coote v. Mammou*, 6 Bro. P. C. 355 (Toml. Ed.).

(*x*) *Warwick v. Warwick*, 3 Atk. 294.

CHAP. LVIII.

chasers' and mortgagees' titles depend altogether on the memory of their counsellors and agents, and oblige them to apply to persons of less eminence as counsel, as not being so likely to have notice of former transactions." And the rule applied even in the case of one solicitor being employed by both parties (y). At one time there appears to have been a tendency to depart from this rule and to impute notice through counsel, &c., where separate transactions were consecutive, immediately or after a short interval, or where they were so closely connected with each other that it might be presumed that the prior transaction must have been present to the mind of the counsel, &c., when employed in the later transaction (z). But constructive notice to the client or principal is prevented under such circumstances by the express terms of the Conveyancing Act, 1882, which enacts that he shall not be affected by notice unless the notice is given or imparted "in the same transaction with respect to which a question of notice arises" (a).

Notice must
be material
to transaction.

Moreover, knowledge of counsel, &c., in order to affect the client or principal with notice, must be material to the particular transaction in which the question arises, and such as ought to have been communicated to the client or principal (b).

Notice to
solicitor not
communicated
to client.

Notice to a solicitor or other agent authorized to receive notice (c), about a matter as to which it is part of his duty to inform himself, is notice to the client or principal, although not communicated to him by the solicitor or agent. The reason for this rule is thus stated by Lord Hatherley, L. C., in *Rolland v. Hart* (d): "The purchaser of an estate has, in ordinary cases, no personal knowledge of the title, but employs a solicitor, and can never be allowed to say that he knew nothing of some prior incumbrance because he was not told of it by his solicitor. It cannot be left to the possibility or the impossibility of the man who seeks to affect you with notice being able to prove that your solicitor did his duty in communicating to you that which, according to the terms of your employment of him, was the very thing which you employed him to ascertain."

(y) *Mountford v. Scott*, 3 Madd. 34; *Fitzgerald v. Fauconberge*, Fitzgib. 211.

(z) *Hargreaves v. Rothwell*, 1 Keen, 159. See *Mountford v. Scott*, *sup.*; *Winter v. Lord Anson*, 3 Russ. 493; *Fuller v. Bennet*, 2 Ha. 394.

(a) 45 & 46 Vict. c. 39, s. 3, set out

ante, p. 1314. See *Re Cousins*, 31 Ch. D. 671, 676.

(b) *Wyllie v. Pollen*, 3 De G. J. & S. 596.

(c) See *Saffron Walden Second Benefit Building Soc. v. Rayner*, 14 Ch. D. 406, 410, C. A.

(d) L. R. 6 Ch. A. 678, 682.

The omission of a solicitor to require strict legal evidence of title before advancing his client's money is not necessarily such negligence as will fix the client with constructive notice (e).

CHAP. LVIII.

Omission to require strict evidence of title.

An important exception to the general rule above stated arises where a solicitor or agent has himself practised a fraud with respect to the title, in which case the client or principal will not generally be affected with notice of the fraud (f). This exception may be regarded as based upon two somewhat different considerations: first, that inasmuch as a solicitor or agent cannot be taken to have communicated his own fraud, the exception arises where the conduct of the solicitor or agent is such as to raise a conclusive presumption that he would not communicate the fact in question (g); and, secondly, that where the Court is satisfied that the solicitor or agent has designed a fraud which required a suppression from the client or principal of the knowledge to be imputed, the act done by the solicitor or other agent cannot be said to have been done by him in his character as such, but in the character of a party to an independent fraud, so that the fraud is not to be imputed to the principal as an act done by his agent (h).

Exception to rule where solicitor is himself guilty of fraud.

But, in order to bring a case within the exception, two conditions appear to be necessary.

Conditions of exception.

First, it must be made out that distinct fraud was intended in the very transaction, so as to make it necessary for the solicitor to conceal the facts from his client in order to defraud him. So, where a solicitor took a mortgage of an equity of redemption and sub-mortgaged it; he subsequently joined with the first mortgagee and the mortgagor in a new mortgage, and, acting as solicitor for all parties, he concealed the sub-mortgage from the new mortgagee; it was held that the new mortgagee was affected by the solicitor's knowledge of the sub-mortgage, and took subject to it. Sir G. Turner, L. J., considered that this case did not fall within the exception, which, in his view, applied only to cases where there is fraud, independently of the question whether the act which had been done was made

Fraud must be independent of whether the act done was made known or not.

(e) *Perry v. Holl*, 2 De G. F. & J. 33.

(f) *Kennedy v. Green*, 3 My. & K. 699; *Waldy v. Gray*, L. R. 20 Eq. 251.

(g) *Kennedy v. Green*, *sup.*; *Thompson v. Cartwright*, 33 Beav. 178. See

Re European Bank, Exp. Oriental Commercial Bank, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 358.

(h) *Cave v. Cave*, 15 Ch. D. 639, 644. See *Espin v. Pemberton*, 3 De G. & J. 547; *Rolland v. Hart*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 678.

CHAP. LVIII.

Fraud must
have been
effectually
concealed.

known or not; but, in such cases as that then before his lordship, the question of fraud wholly depends upon whether the act which has been done has been made known or not (i).

Secondly, the fraud must be so effectually concealed that the client himself, or an independent solicitor, if employed by him, would not have had his attention called to the suspicious circumstances attending the transaction, and have been put on inquiry. So, where in a mortgage transaction, a solicitor, who acted for the mortgagor and mortgagee, committed a fraud which affected the title, and suppressed that fraud from the mortgagee, but the fraud was apparent on the face of the deed fraudulently obtained, it was held that, though the mortgagee would not otherwise have been fixed with notice of the fraud perpetrated and suppressed by the solicitor, yet that the suspicious circumstances under which the fraud was committed were such as would have put an independent solicitor, if employed by the mortgagee, on inquiry, and, accordingly, fixed the mortgagee with notice of the fraud as if he had employed such solicitor (k).

Extent of the
exception.

Moreover, the exception will not extend so as to prevent a client from being affected, through his solicitor, with notice of matters relating to the transaction, other than the fraud itself, but which the fraud was intended to conceal. Thus, where a solicitor-trustee sold trust property, forging the signatures of his co-trustees to the conveyance, to a purchaser for whom he acted as solicitor in the matter, it was held that the purchaser had, through the solicitor, constructive notice of the trust. Sir R. T. Kindersley, V.-C., in his judgment in that case, after noticing the argument which had been addressed to him, that the doctrine of notice could not apply to this case, because the solicitor was committing a fraud, said: "But if the client would be affected with constructive notice of a trust, the existence of which is known to his solicitor in the case where there is no fraud, the fact that the solicitor is committing a fraud in relation to that trust cannot afford any reason why the client should not be affected with constructive notice of the existence of the trust. It is the existence of the trust, and not the fraud, of which he is held to have constructive notice; and the constructive notice

(i) *Atterbury v. Wallis*, 8 De G. M. & G. 454. See *Rolland v. Hart*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 678 at p. 683; *Cave v.*

Cave, 15 Ch. D. 639.

(k) *Kennedy v. Green*, 3 My. & K. 699.

of the existence of the trust must be imputed to him, whether there is a fraud relating to it or not" (l). CHAP. LVIII.

A further exception to the rule that a client or principal is affected by notice through his solicitor or other agent arises where there is evidence of conspiracy or collusion between a solicitor or other agent, who acts for both parties, and one of the parties to the prejudice of the other. So, where on a mortgage by a husband and wife of the wife's real estate to secure an advance to the husband, they falsely informed the mortgagee that there was no settlement, and their solicitor, who also acted for the mortgagee in the matter, being aware of the existence of a settlement, concealed it from the mortgagee with the privity and acquiescence of the mortgagors, it was held that the mortgage was not affected with notice of the settlement (m).

Exception in case of collusion of solicitor with one of the parties for whom he acts.

It may be here remarked that, though a solicitor may be fixed with constructive notice, so as, through him, to affect his client, such notice will not necessarily be deemed to render the solicitor constructively a trustee, so as to be liable personally to make good losses occasioned by his omission to make further inquiries (n).

Liability of solicitor in respect of constructive notice.

The mere fact that a loan by one joint stock company to another is negotiated by persons who are directors or officers of both companies, and that the mortgage is prepared by a solicitor who acts for both companies, is not sufficient to affect the mortgagee company with notice of any illegality in the purpose to which the loan is to be applied (o).

Misapplication of funds by directors.

Imputed knowledge does not extend to matters relating to motives and objects (p). No notice of motives, &c.

(l) *Boursot v. Savage*, L. R. 2 Eq. 134, 142.

(m) *Sharpe v. Foy*, L. R. 4 Ch. A. 35. See *Keate v. Phillips*, 18 Ch. D. 560.

(n) *Williams v. Williams*, 17 Ch. D. 437.

(o) *Re Marseilles Extension Rail. Co.*, L. R. 7 Ch. A. 161. See *Re European Bank, Exp. Oriental Commercial Bank*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 358; *Re Hampshire Land Co., Exp. Portsea Island Building Soc.*, (1896) 2 Ch. 743.

(p) *Eyre v. Burmester*, 10 H.L. C. 90.

SECTION III.

OF LOSS OF PRIORITY BY FAILURE TO OBTAIN OR RETAIN
TITLE DEEDS.

Importance
to first mort-
gagee of
delivery of
title deeds.

i.—Notice from Absence of Title Deeds.—It has been seen that, as a general rule, a mortgagee is entitled to expect from a mortgagor conveying any property or interest therein, delivery of all deeds and documents of title relating to such property or interest, of which the mortgagor himself has the right of custody (*q*).

Effect of
absence of
deeds, in post-
poning prior
incumbrance.

It is obvious that if the mortgagor, instead of delivering the title deeds to the first mortgagee, is allowed to retain them in his own possession, he will be thereby enabled, by suppressing the prior mortgage, to deal with the property as if he were the owner of it free from incumbrances; and, accordingly, in order to prevent a subsequent incumbrancer or purchaser who has no notice of the mortgage, from being defrauded, a prior mortgagee, who has allowed the mortgagor to retain or regain possession of the title deeds, has been postponed to a subsequent incumbrancer or purchaser for value without notice, who has obtained from the mortgagor delivery of the deeds.

Whether
priority of
second mort-
gagee enures
for benefit of
subsequent in-
cumbrancers.

Where priority is gained by a second incumbrancer over the first by obtaining possession of the title deeds, such priority does not enure to a third incumbrancer as a matter of course; it does where the third incumbrancer has ascertained that the deeds are in the possession of the second incumbrancer apparently as first mortgagee (*r*).

As the delivery of the title deeds gives an assurance of the character of first incumbrancer, and generally speaking, that the legal estate is acquired by the conveyance, so the non-delivery of the title deeds, until otherwise explained, is an intimation that there may be a prior incumbrancer to whom they have been delivered (*s*).

Effect of
notice that
deeds are in
possession of
a third party.

Notice that the title deeds are in the possession of a third person will generally be sufficient to set a purchaser or mortgagee upon inquiry, to ascertain whether the party holding the deeds has a charge or claim on the estate, and may be held,

(*q*) *Ante*, p. 808.

(*r*) *Clarke v. Palmer*, 21 Ch. D. 124.
See further on this point, *infra*, pp.

1345 *et seq.*

(*s*) *Dav. Conv.* Vol. II. pt. ii.,
pp. 239, 240.

under the circumstances, to be notice of the nature and amount of such charge or claim (t).

CHAP. LVIII.

An equitable mortgagee by deposit of title deeds will have preference over a subsequent purchaser or mortgagee of the legal estate, with notice of the charge by deposit (u). And notice will be implied from the nature of the transaction, as if the subsequent purchaser or mortgagee was informed that the creditor was in possession of the title deeds, and neglected to make inquiry for what purpose he held them, which is *crassa negligentia* (x).

But notice that the deeds are in the possession of the solicitor of the depositor is not notice of an equitable mortgage of such solicitor, because it is in the usual course of affairs that the solicitor should hold his client's deeds (y).

Possession of mortgagor's solicitor.

The decision in the case of *Whitbread v. Jordan* (z), so far as it may be regarded as based on the general rule above referred to, affords an instance of a somewhat stringent application of that rule, so as to affect a mortgagee with notice of a prior charge by reason of absence of title deeds. In that case, the plaintiffs were brewers, and Jordan was a publican whom they supplied with beer. Jordan, in accordance with the usual practice obtaining between publicans and brewers in the metropolis, deposited the title deeds of the property with the plaintiffs to secure an advance; he afterwards gave to one B. a legal mortgage of the property as security for an antecedent debt. B., at the time of taking the security, had notice of Jordan's debt to the plaintiffs and of the practice existing between brewers and publicans, but he made no inquiry of the brewers. It was held that, under these circumstances, B. had constructive notice of the plaintiffs' equitable charge, inasmuch as the absence of title deeds put him on inquiry which he omitted to make.

Whitbread v. Jordan.

But it has been repeatedly held that the mere absence of the title deeds will not *per se* postpone a legal mortgagee to a subsequent incumbrancer who obtains possession of the deeds by fraud

Mere absence of deeds not notice.

(t) *Birch v. Ellames*, 2 Anst. 427; *Hiern v. Mill*, 13 Ves. 114; *Dryden v. Frost*, 3 My. & Cr. 670; *Maxfield v. Burton*, L. R. 17 Eq. 16.

(u) *Jones v. Williams*, 24 Beav. 47; *Leigh v. Lloyd*, 35 Beav. 455.

(x) *Hiern v. Mill*, 13 Ves. 314.

(y) *Bozon v. Williams*, 3 Y. & J. 150. And see *Lloyd v. Attwood*, 3 De G. & J. 614, 651. But see *Richards v. Plattel*, Cr. & Ph. 79.

(z) 1 Y. & C. Exch. 303; 4 Y. & C. Ex. App. 563. See also *Maxfield v. Burton*, L. R. 17 Eq. 16; *Spencer v. Clarke*, 9 Ch. D. 141.

CHAP. LVIII.

of the mortgagor, nor affect such a mortgagee with notice of the lien of a prior incumbrancer in whose possession the deeds are, if the mortgagee, having the protection of the legal estate, has made and prosecuted with due diligence inquiries as to the deeds, and has been given a reasonable excuse for their non-production. In such a case, there is no sufficient proof of fraud or gross negligence on his part as to affect him with constructive notice (*a*). Thus a legal mortgagee, though without the title deeds, was not fixed with notice, where he had inquired and was assured by the mortgagor that all the title deeds had been delivered to him (*b*); so where the mortgagor promised to deliver the deeds, but at the time of the execution of the mortgage made some excuse for not doing so, as that they were in the country (*c*); and generally where the mortgagee is misled by false information (*d*). But the false information will be no excuse, if the truth can be arrived at by inquiry from other persons; as where a *puisne* mortgagee was informed that the prior incumbrancer only had a judgment or warrant of attorney when he really had a mortgage (*e*). So, where the mortgage being of a reversion, the mortgagor asserted that the deeds were in the hands of a tenant for life (*f*).

False answers
to inquiries
as to deeds.

In *Jones v. Smith* (*g*), a mortgagee having notice that a settlement was executed on the marriage of the mortgagor and his wife, but being informed that it did not relate to the husband's real estate, was held not to have constructive notice of the contents of the settlement, which in fact included the property mortgaged. So, in *Frazer v. Jones* (*h*), it was held that a statement falsely made by the mortgagor, and recited in the mortgage deed, that he had already made a prior charge in favour of a third person, did not make it incumbent on the mortgagee to inquire into the truth of such prior charge, and

(*a*) *Plumb v. Fluitt*, 2 Anst. 432; *Evans v. Bicknell*, 6 Ves. 174; *Tourle v. Rand*, 2 Bro. C. C. 650; *Hewitt v. Loosemore*, 9 Ha. 449, 458; *Colyer v. Finch*, 5 H. L. C. 905; *Roberts v. Croft*, 2 De G. & J. 1; *Perry-Herrick v. Attwood*, 2 De G. & J. 37; *Atterbury v. Wallis*, 8 De G. M. & G. 454; *Bailey v. Fermor*, 9 Pri. 282; *Hipkins v. Amery*, 2 Giff. 292; *Eatcliffe v. Barnard*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 652; *Agra Bank v. Barry*, L. R. 7 H. L. 135.

(*b*) *Penner v. Jemmett*, Fonbl. Eq. (5th ed.), Vol. I. p. 166; *Hunt v. Elmes*, 2 De G. F. & J. 578; *Dixon v. Muckleston*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 155.

(*c*) *Head v. Egerton*, 3 P. Wms. 280; *Plumb v. Fluitt*, *sup.*; *Hewitt v. Loosemore*, 2 Anst. 432; *Espin v. Pemberton*, 3 De G. & J. 547.

(*d*) *Jones v. Smith*, 1 Ha. 43; *Jones v. Williams*, 24 Beav. 47.

(*e*) *Ladbroke v. Lee*, 4 De G. & J. 106; *Taylor v. Baker*, 5 Pri. 306; *Heathorne v. Darling*, 1 Moo. P. C. 5; *Broadbent v. Barlow*, 3 De G. F. & J. 570.

(*f*) See *Tourle v. Rand*, 2 Bro. C. C. 650.

(*g*) 1 Ha. 43.

(*h*) 17 L. J. Ch. 353.

was an excuse for not demanding production of the title deeds, which were, in fact, retained by the mortgagor, and were afterwards deposited by him with a subsequent mortgagee as security for a loan; and, accordingly, that the false recital did not operate to enlarge and give priority to the subsequent mortgage.

So, where a joint stock company issued debentures charging all its property, present and future, with a proviso that the company should not create any mortgage or charge in priority to the debentures, and subsequently gave a mortgage of a specific fund to a third person to secure a loan; the solicitor of the mortgagee knew of the issue of debentures, but being misled by the managing director of the company into believing that they were issued in such a form as not to affect his client's security, he did not require production of the form; the mortgagee gave notice to the holders of the fund before the debenture holders: it was held that the mortgagee was not affected with constructive notice of the restrictive clause in the debentures so as to lose his priority over the debenture holders (i).

It may be observed that the case of *Whitbread v. Jordan* (k) is distinguishable from the last-mentioned cases on the ground that in the latter cases the subsequent mortgagee did inquire as to the absent title deeds, and received misleading answers with regard to them; but in the former case, the second mortgagee did not make any inquiry whatsoever; moreover, the decision in that case appears to have been mainly based on the ground that the second mortgagee had wilfully and designedly abstained from inquiry of the brewers, so as to be guilty of wilful blindness, bringing the case within the second class of cases referred to in *Jones v. Smith* (l), in which a mortgagee or purchaser will be affected with constructive notice where the Court is satisfied that he has wilfully abstained from inquiry for the purpose of avoiding notice. This decision was approved by Lord Lyndhurst on the hearing of *Jones v. Smith* on appeal (m), and his lordship considered that the case was one at least of negligence so gross, if not of wilful blindness, that if allowed it would be a cloak to fraud.

Remarks on foregoing cases.

In the subsequent case of *West v. Reid* (n), Wigram, V.-C., explained that, in laying down the above rule in *Jones v.*

(i) *English and Scottish Mercantile Investment Trust v. Brunton*, (1892) 2 Q. B. 700, C. A.
(k) 1 Y. & C. Ex. 303; 4 Y. & C.

App. 563.
(l) 1 Ha. 43.
(m) 1 Ph. 255.
(n) 2 Ha. 249.

CHAP. LVIII.

Omission to
make any
inquiry as
to deeds.

Smith (o), he did not mean to exclude cases of negligence so gross (*crassa negligentia*) that a Court might treat it as evidence of fraud, impute a fraudulent motive to it, and visit it with the consequence of fraud, though morally speaking the party charged might be perfectly innocent.

The rule is well settled that if a mortgagee makes no inquiry after the title deeds, that is such gross negligence as will postpone him to the lien of a person in whose possession they are (p).

So where the trustee of a marriage settlement, whereby certain leasehold houses had been assigned to him, made no inquiries as to the title deeds which had been previously deposited by the settlor with his bankers as security for a loan, it was held that the omission to inquire prevented the trustee from availing himself of his legal estate, so as to obtain priority over any advances made by the bank previously to their receipt of notice of the settlement (q).

A purchaser of leaseholds, having paid part of the purchase-money without calling for the deeds, was fixed with notice of a concealed deposit thereof, but not of a deposit of a spurious lease (r).

Incomplete
inquiry.

A mortgagee is not to be postponed because he has not made all the inquiries after the deeds which could or might have been made (s); and it may perhaps be considered that the decision in *Whitbread v. Jordan* (t) went too far, and cannot well be reconciled with later cases.

If a mortgagee makes inquiry and receives incomplete information, he is bound to prosecute his inquiry until he is satisfactorily and completely informed as to the state of the title; where a party relies on his ignorance of facts, he must show not only that he had not the information, but that he could not with reasonable diligence obtain it (u).

Where a legal mortgage was taken without obtaining the title deeds upon a representation that they were held on behalf of a prior equitable incumbrancer, and the mortgagee made no further inquiries as to the deeds, he was postponed to a subsequent

(o) 1 Ha. 43.

(p) *Worthington v. Morgan*, 16 Sim. 547; *Stein v. Stein*, 16 W. R. 69 (Ir.). See *Ogilvie v. Jeaffreson*, 2 Giff. 353; *Spencer v. Clarke*, 9 Ch. D. 137.

(q) *Lloyd's Banking Co. v. Jones*, 29 Ch. D. 221.

(r) *Hipkins v. Amery*, 2 Giff. 292.

(s) *Hewitt v. Loosemore*, 9 Ha. 449; *Espin v. Pemberton*, 3 De G. & J. 547.

(t) 1 Y. & C. Ex. 328, *ante*, p. 1241.

(u) *Wason v. Waring*, 15 Bear. 151, 155.

incumbrancer, who had no notice of the legal mortgage, and had obtained possession of the deeds (x). CHAP. LVIII.

The result of the authorities above cited appears to be that a legal mortgagee will not be postponed to a prior equitable incumbrancer merely by the absence of the title deeds, where he has obtained his security without fraud or very gross negligence on his part (y); otherwise, where he has knowledge of such facts as would lead any honest man to make inquiries, and he avoids doing so (z). Result of the authorities.

A distinction in fact was made in *Plumb v. Fluitt* (a), between a mortgagee lending money on a security, and one who takes a security for a pre-existing debt, as fraud may more readily be imputed from an absence of inquiry for the deeds in the latter case than the former, but there is no distinction in law (b).

ii.—In what Cases Omission of Mortgagee to obtain or retain Possession of Title Deeds will postpone his Security.—The question as to what will amount to want of reasonable diligence on the part of a prior mortgagee in not calling for the title deeds, so as to give to a puisne mortgagee, who is in possession of the deeds, a preference over him, has been made the subject of much discussion.

The early doctrine certainly was, that the mere want of possession was such evidence of fraud on the part of the first incumbrancer, though clothed with the legal estate, as of itself to postpone his security (c). A contrary opinion was, however, entertained by Lord Thurlow, who held there must be a voluntary leaving of the title deeds to entitle the second mortgagee to postpone the prior incumbrancer (d). The like opinion was entertained by Lord Cowper (e), and confirmed by a decision of the Court of Exchequer, in *Plumb v. Fluitt* (f). In *Evans v. Bicknell* (g), Lord Eldon, C., denied it to be an old-established Former doctrine that want of possession indicated fraud.

(x) *Garnham v. Skipper*, 55 L. J. Ch. 263.

(y) *Plumb v. Fluitt*, Fonb. Eq. 5th ed., Vol. I., p. 167 n. See *sup. Worthington v. Morgan*, 16 Sim. 547.

(z) *Whitbread v. Jordan*, 1 Y. & C. Ex. 328; *Mazfield v. Burton*, L. R. 17 Eq. 15; *Spencer v. Clarke*, 9 Ch. D. 141.

(a) *Sup.*

(b) *Baillie v. McKean*, 35 Beav. 177.

(c) See *Ryall v. Rolle*, 1 Atk. 165; *Goodtitle v. Morgan*, 1 T. R. 762; and *Evans v. Bicknell*, 6 Ves. 183; *Right v. Bucknell*, 2 B. & Ad. 278; *Head v. Egerton*, 3 P. Wms. 280.

(d) *Tourle v. Rand*, 2 Bro. C. C. 650; and *Penner v. Jemmatt*, there cited in note, p. 652.

(e) *Peter v. Russel*, 2 Vern. 726.

(f) Fonb. Eq. 5th ed., Vol. I., p. 167; 2 Anst. 432.

(g) *Sup.* And see *Allen v. Knight*, 16 L. J. Ch. 370.

CHAP. LVIII.

rule that a second mortgagee with the title deeds, without notice of any prior incumbrance, should be preferred. In *Barnet v. Weston* (i), the point was given up without argument; and in a later case (k), the counsel admitted the general doctrine, but endeavoured to establish collusion and fraud, in which he failed. The result of these decisions seems to be to establish the principle that the want of possession of the title deeds by the first mortgagee is open to explanation, and is only *prima facie*, and not conclusive evidence, of fraud (l).

Modern
doctrine
stated.

In a recent case (m), Sir E. Fry, L. J., in delivering the judgment of the Court of Appeal, after reviewing the earlier decisions on this point, thus summed up the law on the subject: "The authorities which we have reviewed appear to us to justify the following conclusions: (1) That the Court will postpone the prior legal estate to a subsequent equitable estate (a) where the owner of the legal estate has assisted in or connived at the fraud which has led to the creation of a subsequent equitable estate, without notice of the prior legal estate, of which assistance or connivance the omission to use ordinary care in inquiry after or keeping title deeds may be, and in some cases has been held to be, sufficient evidence, where such conduct cannot otherwise be explained; (b) where the owner of the legal estate has constituted the mortgagor his agent with authority to raise money, and the estate thus created has, by the fraud or misconduct of the agent, been represented as being the first estate. But (2) that the Court will not postpone the prior legal estate to the subsequent equitable estate on the ground of any mere carelessness or want of prudence on the part of the legal owner."

Where mort-
gagee parts
with posses-
sion of deeds
fraudulently.

First. In the following cases the legal mortgagee was postponed by reason of his having assisted in or connived at fraud by neglecting to obtain or keep the title deeds.

Where a first mortgagee, who took a conveyance of the legal estate, omitted, on completion of his mortgage, to obtain the title deeds from the mortgagor, who, being thus enabled to show a good title, mortgaged the property to a second mortgagee

(i) 12 Ves. 133.

(k) *Martinez v. Cooper*, 2 Russ. 198.

(l) *Farrow v. Rees*, 4 Beav. 18; *Stevens v. Stevens*, 2 Coll. 20. And see *Beckett v. Cordley*, 1 Bro. C. C. 353, which was decided on the same principles; *Payne v. Compton*, 2 Y. & C.

Ex. 457; *Brown v. Stadman*, 44 W. R. 458; *Taylor v. Russell*, (1892) A. C. 244.

(m) *Northern Counties of England, &c. Co. v. Whipp*, 26 Ch. D. 482, at p. 494, C. A. See *Union Bank of London v. Kent*, 39 Ch. D. 238, C. A.

without notice of the first mortgage, it was held that the first mortgagee must be postponed, as he must be deemed, under the circumstances, to have been accessory to drawing in the second mortgage to lend his money (*n*). So, where a mortgagee, by demise for a term of years, negligently left the conveyance of the fee in the hands of the mortgagor, who deposited it with another person as security for a loan, notice of the prior mortgage not being proved, and being denied by the answer, it was held that the deposit was entitled to priority over the prior mortgage (*o*). In both the cases here referred to, it was held that the first mortgagee could not claim delivery of the deeds except upon payment of all that was due upon the security by deposit.

Where a legal mortgagee, under circumstances amounting to wilful negligence, allows the mortgagor to retain the title deeds, he will lose his priority, not only as against a second mortgagee, who has obtained the deeds, but also as against any subsequent incumbrancer who makes inquiry and ascertains the position of the deeds, and lends his money in the belief that the holder of the deeds is the only prior incumbrancer (*p*).

These rules apply so as to deprive a purchaser of the protection of the legal estate. Thus, if the vendor convey the legal estate, but is allowed by the purchaser to retain the title deeds, an equitable deposit thereof by the vendor is preferred to the legal estate (*q*); so, also, where a purchaser of leaseholds omitted to require the counterpart of an underlease which had been deposited by the vendor by way of equitable mortgage (*r*).

So, in an Irish case (*s*), where purchasers of leaseholds entered on the premises, and expended money in erecting buildings thereon, without taking an assignment of the lease or obtaining the title deeds, and the vendor subsequently deposited the deeds with a bank as security for an advance to himself: it was held that the bank, though they had not called for a legal mortgage, were entitled in priority to the purchasers to the extent of all advances made on the security of their equitable mortgage prior to notice of the claim of the purchasers.

Where two mortgages of the same estate are made simultaneously to two persons, one of whom has the deeds delivered

Simultaneous mortgages.

(*n*) *Head v. Egerton*, 3 P. Wms. 280.
See *Stanhope v. Earl Verney*, 2 Ed. 81.

(*o*) *Wiseman v. Westland*, 1 Y. & J. 117.
See *Wormald v. Maitland*, 35 L. J. Ch. 69.

(*p*) *Clarke v. Palmer*, 21 Ch. D. 124.

(*q*) *Peto v. Hammond*, 30 Beav. 495.

(*r*) *Franklin v. Howes*, 24 L. T. N. S. 348.

(*s*) *Re Sloane's Estate*, (1895) 1 Ir. R. 146.

CHAP. LVIII.

Mortgagee
may lose
priority by
returning
deeds to
mortgagor.

to him and the other makes no inquiry for them, the latter is postponed (s).

Again, if a legal mortgagee who has, in the first instance, obtained possession of the title deeds afterwards hands them back to the mortgagor, unless for a sufficient reason and for a proper purpose, he will be liable to lose his priority. Thus, where a legal mortgagee handed the deeds over to the mortgagor, who deposited them with a third person as a security for an advance, it was held that the prior mortgagee could not set up his legal estate so as to claim priority over the security by deposit (t).

Return of
deeds to facili-
tate sale.

So, also, where the transferee of a mortgage by giving up the title deeds, other than the deed of transfer, to the transferor, who was the solicitor of the mortgagor, in order that an abstract might be prepared for a sale of the estate, enabled the solicitor to sell the estate as unencumbered, and abscond with the purchase-money (u).

Return of
deeds on
mortgagor's
undertaking.

Similarly, if a mortgagee by deposit of deeds returns the deeds to the mortgagor, or parts with them to another creditor with the consent of the mortgagor on an undertaking that the deeds shall be restored to the mortgagee on payment of the other creditor's debt, the mortgagee will lose his security (x); but the debt due to the mortgagee will not be cancelled by a return of the deeds to the mortgagor (y).

Where mort-
gagor's pos-
session of
deeds is not
accounted for.

Where a lessee made a legal mortgage of his term to secure an advance, and afterwards he purported to execute another legal mortgage of the same property to another person to whom the lease was delivered; it was held that, inasmuch as no explanation was forthcoming as to how the lease came to be in the possession of the mortgagor at the time of the second advance, the priority of the first mortgage was lost (s).

Where mort-
gagee parts
with deeds to
enable mort-
gagor to
effect a
further loan.

Secondly, a legal mortgagee may lose the protection of the legal estate so as to be postponed to a subsequent incumbrancer without notice, if he allows the mortgagor to retain the title deeds, or hands them back to him with authority to raise money by means of them; in which case, if the mortgagor exceeds the

(s) *Hopgood v. Ernest*, 3 De G. J. & S. 116.

(t) *Dowle v. Saunders*, 2 H. & M. 242. See *Fagg v. James*, 8 L. T. N. S. 5; *Layard v. Maud*, L. R. 4 Eq. 397.

(u) *Stevens v. Stevens*, 2 Coll. 20.

(x) *Re Driscoll*, Ir. R. 1 Eq. 285.

(y) *Hurst v. Beach*, 5 Madd. 351.

(z) *Jones v. Rhind*, 17 W. R. 1091. See *Spencer v. Clarke*, 9 Ch. D. 137; *Mason v. Rhodes*, 53 L. T. 322.

limits of his authority, the legal mortgagee will lose his priority as against the subsequent incumbrancer to the full extent of the money so raised by the mortgagor.

CHAP. LVIII.

So, where a first mortgagee allowed the title deeds to remain in the possession of the mortgagor, to enable him to give another limited security, and the mortgagor made several mortgages beyond the one contemplated, the subsequent mortgagees having no notice of the first mortgage: it was held that the first mortgagee must be postponed to them, and that notice of the first mortgage ought to have been indorsed on the last purchase deed (a).

Allowing mortgagor to retain deeds for purposes of a limited loan.

Where a mortgagee of leaseholds lent the lease to the mortgagor to enable him to raise money upon it, directing the mortgagor to inform the proposed lender of the prior charge and the mortgagor deposited the lease with his bankers as a security for a loan without giving them notice of the mortgage, it was held that the mortgage must be postponed to the deposit (b).

Loan of lease for purpose of advance from third person.

Although a mortgagee of a lease has the legal title to the lease, he cannot recover possession of it if he has permitted the mortgagor to deposit it with a third party for value without notice (c).

Mortgagee cannot recover lease deposited.

Thirdly, the prior legal estate will not be postponed to the subsequent equitable estate on the ground of any mere carelessness or want of prudence on the part of the legal owner in not obtaining the title deeds in the first instance; or if he parts with them for a proper purpose, though the result of allowing the mortgagor to have possession of the deeds may enable him to deal with the property, concealing the mortgage from a subsequent incumbrancer or purchaser.

Prior security not postponed where omission to obtain deeds is owing to mere want of prudence.

It is not negligence to leave documents in the possession of the joint owner or co-partner (d); or of trustees, where the mortgage was only a part execution of a trust to raise a larger sum, and the possession of the deeds by the trustees was indispensable (e).

Where deeds are left with persons entitled thereto.

So, also, trustees will not lose priority by reason of their allowing a co-trustee to have possession of the title deeds of

Trustee leaving deeds in possession of co-trustee.

(a) *Perry-Herrick v. Attwood*, 2 De G. & J. 21. See *Brooklesby v. Temperance Permanent Building Soc.*, (1895) A. C. 173.

(b) *Briggs v. Jones*, L. R. 10 Eq. 92. See *Peter v. Russell*, 2 Vern. 726.

(c) *Owen v. Knight*, 5 Sc. 307.

(d) *Cottam v. Eastern Counties Rail. Co.*, 1 J. & H. 243; *Carter v. Carter*, 3 K. & J. 617; *Cavander v. Bulteel*, L. R. 9 Ch. A. 79.

(e) *Harper v. Faulder*, 4 Madd. 129.

CHAP. LVIII.

property over which they have a mortgage. Thus, where a person borrowed money from the trustees of a settlement on the security of a mortgage of leasehold property, and delivered to them the title deeds: he afterwards became one of the trustees, and, in that capacity, obtained possession of the deeds, and deposited them with his bankers as security for an advance to himself: it was held that the trustees had priority over the bankers (*f*).

Client leaving
deeds with
solicitor for
custody.

A mortgagee will not lose his priority on the ground of negligence in parting with the title deeds of the mortgaged property, merely because he delivers them for safe custody to his solicitor, who fraudulently avails himself of possession of the deeds to obtain an advance on the security of the property from a person who has no notice of the fraud (*g*).

Fraudulent
deposit by
vendor with
third person
before com-
pletion of
purchase.

Where a vendor, after entering into a binding contract for sale, but before completion, deposited the title deeds to secure an advance with a third person, it was held that the right of the purchaser to conveyance of the property on payment of the purchase-money was paramount to the equitable mortgage by deposit, and that the possession of the title deeds gave no priority to the mortgagee, for inasmuch as the purchaser had, as yet, no control over the deeds, there was no negligence on his part in respect of them (*h*).

Where deeds
relate to other
property.

So, where the deeds relate also to other property not comprised in the mortgage, it is not negligence to leave them in the possession of the owners of such property (*i*).

A registered mortgage by assignment of a lease was held to prevail, although the lease was left with the lessee, who was thus enabled to surrender the lease and obtain a new one, there being no fraud in the assignee (*k*).

False state-
ment of
mortgagor.

Where the title deeds had been left by the mortgagee in the hands of the mortgagor, under a false statement made by the latter that he had already deposited them with a third person, it was held not sufficient to postpone the mortgagee to a subsequent incumbrancer, though the mortgagee made no inquiries as to the truth of such deposit (*l*). But the excuse for not

(*f*) *Hooper v. Chambers*, W. N. (1890) 29.

(*g*) *Cook v. Bramwell*, W. N. (1890) 72, C. A.

(*h*) *Flynn v. Pountain*, W. N. (1889) 32.

(*i*) *Atterbury v. Wallis*, 8 De G.

M. & G. 454.

(*k*) *Bailey v. Fernor*, 9 Pri. 262.

(*l*) *Frazer v. Jones*, 17 L. J. Ch. 353.

See *Finch v. Shaw*, 5 H. L. C. 928;

Wallis v. Woodyear, 2 Jur. 179;

Roberts v. Croft, 2 De G. & J. 1.

delivering the title deed that it had been left at home by mistake, in the absence of any further inquiry, is not sufficient (*m*).

CHAP. LVIII.

Where a mortgagor who was the mortgagee's solicitor was requested by the mortgagee to send to him the deeds, and the mortgagor accordingly sent a parcel purporting to contain all the deeds relating to the property, but which was subsequently found to contain the mortgage deed only; it was held that the mortgagee by omitting to examine the parcel had not been guilty of such negligence as to postpone his legal mortgage to subsequent equitable incumbrancers with whom the mortgagee had deposited the title deeds of the property as a security for a loan to himself (*n*). So, also, where a mortgagee had repeatedly asked for the deeds which the mortgagor, who was his solicitor, made excuses for not delivering up to him (*o*).

Fraudulent retainer by mortgagor of some of the deeds.

Similarly, where on the mortgage of an estate the mortgagor's solicitor, who also acted for the mortgagee, handed over to the latter certain documents which he falsely represented to be the title deeds of the property; the mortgagor holding the genuine title deeds subsequently sold the property to a purchaser without notice of the mortgage; it was held that the mortgagee had not been guilty of such negligence as to deprive him of the benefit of his mortgage (*p*).

Where deeds delivered to mortgagee were not genuine.

Generally if the mortgagee parts with the deeds with due caution, and for a proper object, but they have come into other hands through the wrongful act of a third person, the mortgagee is entitled to retain his priority (*q*).

Mortgagee parting with deeds for proper object not postponed.

So where the mortgagee of a lease gave up the lease to the mortgagor to show it to an intending purchaser, and the mortgagor then sold the lease, the mortgagee prevailed, but only because his solicitor had given notice to the purchaser's solicitor of the mortgagee's right before the purchase-money was paid (*r*).

So, also, where the title deeds have got out of the possession of the mortgagee, but without any fault on his part, he will not be postponed (*s*); as, for instance, where a client and his solicitor

(*m*) *Spencer v. Clarke*, 9 Ch. D. 137.

(*n*) *Ratcliffe v. Barnard*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 652; *Dizon v. Muckleston*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 155; *Atherley v. Burnett*, 52 L. T. 736, also reported on another point W. N. (1885) 70. See *ante*, p. 1224.

(*o*) *Manners v. Mew*, 29 Ch. D. 725.

(*p*) *Finch v. Shaw*, 18 Jur. 935; *affd.* in D. P. *sub nom. Collyer v. Finch*, 5 H. L. C. 905.

(*q*) *Exp. Meux*, 1 Gl. & J. 116.

(*r*) *Martinez v. Cooper*, 2 Russ. 198.

(*s*) *Allen v. Knight*, 11 Jur. 527.

CHAP. LVIII.

advanced money on a contributory mortgage, which was taken in the name of the solicitor alone, and the title deeds were handed over to and kept with the client's solicitor, who deposited them with a bank as security for a loan to himself; it was held that the client had priority over the security to the bank to the amount of his contribution to the original loan (t).

Where a mortgage of two leasehold properties was vested in A. and B. as executors and devisees of mortgage estates under the will of a deceased mortgagee, and the title deeds to the properties were held by A., who handed them over to the mortgagor to enable him to raise money to pay off the mortgage debt, and the mortgagor used the deeds so as to enable him to execute what purported to be a legal mortgage to a bank to secure moneys, which he applied for his own purposes, and he handed over the title deeds to the bank except the mortgage deed; he returned to A. a parcel which was supposed to contain all the deeds, but which upon the death of A. was found to contain the mortgage deed only; it was held that, whatever rights the bank might have had against A., their security could not prevail against the legal estate vested in B. as surviving executor by virtue of the prior mortgage, and that the bank must deliver up the title deeds in their possession to him (u).

The manager of a joint stock company executed a legal mortgage of his own property to the company and delivered the deeds to them; the deeds were placed in a safe of the company of which the mortgagor as manager held the key; the mortgagor subsequently executed a mortgage of the same property to secure an advance to himself, and he then took the title deeds out of the safe and delivered them to the second mortgagee; it was held that the mortgage to the company had priority over the second mortgage (x).

Mortgagee
not entitled
to deeds not
postponed to
subsequent
depositee.

Where the interest created by a legal mortgage is such as not to entitle the mortgagee to require delivery of the title deeds, as in the case of a mortgage for a term of years, his legal security will not be postponed to a subsequent incumbrancer who obtains possession of the deeds. So where the trustees of an estate pursuant to their trust raised a sum of money, granting to the

(t) *Bradley v. Riches*, 9 Ch. D. 189.
See also *Re Vernon Evans & Co.*, 33 Ch.
D. 402, C. A.; *Carritt v. Real and
Personal Advance Co.*, 42 Ch. D. 263.

(u) *Re Ingham, Jones v. Ingham*,
(1893) 1 Ch. 352.

(x) *Northern Counties of England Fire
Insurance Co. v. Whipp*, 26 Ch. D. 482,
C. A.

lender an annuity secured by a term of years, and retained the title deeds in their possession, and they afterwards mortgaged the property to another person without notice of the annuity, to whom they delivered the deeds, it was held that the annuitant had priority to the mortgagee (y).

CHAP. LVIII.

The principles illustrated by the foregoing decisions also apply to some extent, where the legal estate is outstanding, as between successive equitable incumbrancers, one of whom has obtained possession of the title deeds; but it would seem that in such cases the mere omission through carelessness or imprudence to obtain possession of or make inquiry about the title deeds may be sufficient to postpone an equitable security which is prior in point of time to a subsequent security. It has been repeatedly recognized that, as between equitable incumbrancers, it is not necessary, as it would be in order to deprive a legal mortgagee of the benefit of his legal estate, to show that in omitting to obtain possession of the title deeds the prior mortgagee has been guilty of fraud or of wilful negligence amounting to fraud (z).

Rule as between equitable incumbrancers.

Where a person contracted to purchase certain property, and for that purpose borrowed a sum of money upon the security of a deed of covenant for repayment, and of agreement to execute a conveyance by way of legal mortgage of the property on completion of the purchase; the purchase was completed, but the mortgage was never executed, and the purchaser obtained and kept possession of the title deeds; he subsequently borrowed a further sum from a third person upon the security of another deed of covenant for repayment and of agreement to execute a legal mortgage; no such mortgage was executed, but the title deeds were handed over to the second incumbrancer; it was held that the first equitable charge must be postponed to the second (a).

A person entitled to property in Ireland, held under three leases which had been assigned by a single deed, deposited the deed of assignment only with a bank as security for a loan, accompanied by a memorandum of charge, which the bank did

Omission of prior mortgagee to register charge by deposit in Ireland.

(y) *Harper v. Faulder*, 4 Madd. 129.
(z) *Rice v. Rice*, 2 Drew. 73; *Layard v. Maud*, L. R. 4 Eq. 397, at p. 406; *National Provincial Bank v. Jackson*, 33 Ch. D. 1, at pp. 12, 13, C. A.; *Farrand v. Yorkshire Banking Co.*, 40 Ch. D. 182, at p. 189; *Kelly v. Munster and*

Leinster Bank, 29 L. R. Ir. 19, C. A. As to possession of title deeds as conferring the best right to call for an outstanding legal estate, see *ante*, pp. 1217 *et seq.*

(a) *Layard v. Maud*, L. R. 4 Eq. 397.

CHAP. LVIII.

not register; the mortgagor afterwards borrowed a further sum from a person, who investigated the title and searched the registry, and as a security for this loan deposited the title deeds other than the deed of assignment; the subsequent mortgagor did not examine the deeds delivered to him, but was satisfied with the assurance of the mortgagor's solicitor, who was ignorant of the deposit with the bank, that all the title deeds had been delivered; it was held that the bank, by not registering their memorandum of deposit, and by allowing the mortgagor to retain all the title deeds but one, and thus enabling him to raise money from other persons without notice of their charge, were deprived of their priority (b).

Laches of mortgagee in requiring mortgagor to return deeds.

Where a first equitable mortgagee, by deposit, lent the deeds to the mortgagor upon his representation that they were required for production on sale of the equity of redemption of the property, together with his promise to return the deeds forthwith, and never applied to have the deeds back for more than four years, he was postponed to a subsequent depositee without notice (c).

And where a depositee gives up the deeds upon an assurance that securities of equal value will be given him, he is postponed (d).

Similarly, where a vendor, before payment of his purchase-money, executed and gave to the purchaser a conveyance of the property, with a receipt indorsed for the whole of the purchase-money, and delivered the title deeds to the purchaser, who deposited them with a third person as security for a loan, it was held the vendor's lien must be postponed to the deposit (e).

Rule as between equitable mortgagees.

Even as between two equitable incumbrancers, however, the prior incumbrancer will not be postponed by reason of his not having obtained possession and kept the title deeds if the absence of the deeds can be satisfactorily accounted for.

Thus, where a person lent money to her solicitor upon a deposit of title deeds with a memorandum which represented that these were all the title deeds; the mortgagor kept back, however, the later deeds relating to the property and deposited them, together with duplicates of the earlier deeds, with a third person who had no notice of the prior deposit, to whom he also gave a memoran-

(b) *Re Lambert's Estate*, 13 L. R. Ir. 234, C. A. But see *Roberts v. Croft*, 2 De G. & J. 1, *infra*, p. 1355.

(c) *Waldron v. Sloper*, 1 Drew. 193.

(d) *Re Lord Southampton's Estate*, *Allen v. Lord Southampton*, 16 Ch. D. 178.

(e) *Rice v. Rice*, 2 Drew. 73. See *Bickerton v. Walker*, 31 Ch. D. 161.

dum of charge as security for a loan ; it was held that the prior incumbrancer had not forfeited her priority (*f*). CHAP. LVIII.

Where, after an equitable deposit of an agreement for a lease, the depositor obtained back possession of the agreement under the false pretence that the document was required to be produced for the purpose of obtaining a licence and gave an undertaking to return it ; the depositor then deposited the agreement with another person as security for the advance ; it was held that the priority of the first deposittee was not lost (*g*). Return of deed to mortgagor under false pretence.

Although a mortgagee, who allows the deeds to be retained by the mortgagor after proper inquiry and upon sufficient explanation, may not have his security postponed in respect of his original advance, yet if he makes a further advance without again inquiring as to the title deeds he will be precluded from taking such further advance as against a mesne incumbrancer who has possessed himself of the deeds (*h*). Fresh inquiry as to absent deeds on further advance.

(*f*) *Roberts v. Croft*, 2 De G. & J. 1. See also *Hipkins v. Amery*, 2 Giff. 292. But cf. *Re Lambert's Estate*, 13 L. R. Ir. 234, C. A.

(*g*) *Exp. Reid, Re Buckland*, 12 Jur. 533. See *Hall v. West End Advance Co.*, 1 C. & E. 161.

(*h*) *Garnham v. Skipper*, 55 L. J. Ch. 263.

CHAPTER LIX.

OF PRIORITIES AS BETWEEN MORTGAGES AND JUDGMENTS,
CROWN DEBTS, AND OTHER CHARGES AND LIENS.

SECTION I.

JUDGMENTS.

No priority
beyond
interest of
party.

i.—Judgments as affecting Land generally.—Priority cannot be given by a person in respect of any estate beyond his own interest; so where a devisee for life of leaseholds borrowed money to pay the rent, and gave a mortgage for the amount, the mortgagee could not claim priority over charges of the judgment creditors of the testator (*a*).

Judgment
creditor can
take only
what belongs
to debtor.

A judgment creditor can only take what belongs to the debtor, and, accordingly, a judgment creditor perfecting his judgment, after a mortgage created by the debtor subsequently to the judgment being entered up, would be postponed to such mortgage (*b*).

Judgment
postponed to
prior equitable
charge,

It is only the beneficial interest of the debtor in the lands upon which the judgment attaches (*c*); therefore a judgment creditor will be postponed to a prior equitable charge, as by deposit of title deeds, notwithstanding his legal title (*d*).

to simple
debts of
ancestor, or
testator,

On the same principle, a judgment against an heir for his own debt is postponed to the simple contract debts of the ancestor, as the heir takes no beneficial interest, except subject to such debts (*e*). The like rule applies to the judgment creditor of the devisee and the creditors of the testator.

trusts for
other persons,

So, also, a judgment creditor cannot take property in the possession of the debtor as trustee for other persons (*f*).

(*a*) *Angell v. Bryan*, 2 J. & L. 763.

(*b*) *Warburton v. Hill*, Kay, 470 (see *Haly v. Barry*, L. R. 3 Ch. A. 458); *Benham v. Keane*, 3 De G. F. & J. 318; *Scott v. Lord Hastings*, 4 K. & J. 633.

(*c*) *Eyre v. McDowell*, 9 H. L. C. 642; *Abbot v. Stratten*, 3 J. & L. 614;

Beavan v. Lord Oxford, 6 De G. M. & G. 507; *Simmons v. Pettit*, 8 Jur. 209.

(*d*) *Whitworth v. Gaugain*, 3 Ha. 416.

(*e*) *Langton v. Horton*, 1 Ha. 549.

(*f*) *Kinderley v. Jervis*, 22 Beav. 1; *De Sorbein v. Bland*, 2 De G. & J. 158.

A judgment creditor can only take subject to all equities against his debtor, whether it be the equity of a wife against her husband (*g*); or of a purchaser for value who has paid his purchase-money without obtaining a conveyance (*h*); or of a mortgagee or purchaser taking by defective, or ineffectual, conveyance from the debtor (*i*); or of *cestuis que trust* under a trust deed for sale executed by the debtor (*k*); but a judgment completed after the date, but before the execution by any of the creditors, of a deed of trust for creditors, will have priority (*l*).

CHAP. LIX.

to prior equities.

So a voluntary settlement in favour of third persons is unaffected by a subsequent judgment against the settlor (*m*); but a bare voluntary trust for sale, when merely equivalent to an authority to sell for the settlor's own benefit, would, it is apprehended, be subject to judgments entered up against him prior to a binding contract being entered into by the trustee (*n*).

It is immaterial whether the judgment creditor had notice of the prior interests affecting the property (*o*).

Judgments are still subject to trusts for sale as under the old law, under which the following points have been decided.

Judgments are subject to trusts for sale.

If a trust for sale of real estate was once well created, with power for the trustees to give discharges, the existence of subsequent judgments against the grantor did not prejudice the title (*p*). So, also, where a judgment debtor was beneficially interested in a trust for sale created by another, the judgment did not form a lien on the moneys arising from the estate (*q*), nor was it material that the sale was by the Court (*r*). So, if a judgment was entered up after contract for sale and payment of the purchase-money, but prior to the conveyance, the purchaser would be relieved against it in equity (*s*). It was held that a purchaser, after notice of a judgment against the vendor, could not pay the purchase-money to him without being liable (*t*); but in another case an ejectment against a purchaser in posses-

(*g*) *Newlands v. Paynter*, 4 My. & Cr. 408.

(*h*) *Finch v. Earl of Winchelsea*, 1 P. Wms. 278.

(*i*) *Gilb. Forum Romanum*, 228; *Burgh v. Francis*, 3 Swanst. 536, n.; *Prior v. Penpraze*, 4 Pri. 99.

(*k*) *Lodge v. Lyscley*, 4 Sim. 70.

(*l*) *Langhorne v. Harland*, 4 W. R. 96.

(*m*) *Beavan v. Lord Oxford*, 6 De G. M. & G. 492, 507.

(*n*) *Dart & Barb. V. & P.* 6th ed. p. 530.

(*o*) *Benham v. Keane*, 1 J. & H. at p. 697. See *S. C.* 3 De G. F. & J. 318.

(*p*) *Lodge v. Lyscley*, 4 Sim. 70.

(*q*) *Foster v. Blackstone*, 1 My. & K. 297; *Browne v. Cavendish*, 1 J. & L. 606, 628; *Robinson v. Hodger*, 17 Sim. 183.

(*r*) *Alexander v. Crosby*, 1 J. & L. 666, 672.

(*s*) *Finch v. Earl of Winchelsea*, 1 P. Wms. 277. See 2 & 3 Vict. c. 11, s. 5.

(*t*) *Forth v. The Duke of Norfolk*, 4 Madd. 605.

CHAP. LIX.

sion by a creditor, who had sued out an *elegit* on such a judgment, was restrained by injunction (*u*).

ii.—Statutory Enactments regulating the Priority of Judgments.

—The priority of a judgment creditor over subsequent incumbrancers has long depended on his observance of statutory requirements.

Docketing.

Formerly, judgments, in order to secure priority over subsequent incumbrances, were required to be docketed; but the dockets were closed by the stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 11, which provided that judgments already docketed should be registered under the Act next referred to.

Registration under 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110.

The stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 19, provided that no judgment should affect any lands, tenements, or hereditaments as against mortgagees, unless such judgment was duly registered in the prescribed manner in the Common Pleas (*x*).

Re-registration under 2 & 3 Vict. c. 11.

By the stat. 2 & 3 Vict. c. 11, s. 4 (*y*), the registration of judgments under the stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110 is made null and void as against a mortgagee after the expiration of five years from the date of the entry thereof, unless re-registered within five years prior to the execution of his mortgage.

The judgment may be registered after the five years, and a new period of five years will commence (*z*). The five years have reference to the date of the execution of the particular mortgage, or other instrument, from which a backward search must be made for five years.

Effect of omission to re-register.

If the judgment is not re-registered before the expiration of the five years, and an interval elapses before re-registration, the effect is this: the previous purchasers, mortgagees, and creditors are bound by the judgment, just as they were before, although no re-registration ever takes place; the judgment is only void against purchasers, mortgagees, and creditors in the interval (*a*); but not against purchasers, mortgagees, and creditors subsequent to re-registration (*b*).

(*u*) *Brunton v. Neale*, 14 L. J. Ch. 8.

(*z*) Now the Central Office. 42 & 43 Vict. c. 78, s. 45. By 15 & 16 Vict. c. 54, s. 18, a registry of County Court judgments was established.

(*y*) Extended to Palatine Courts of Lancaster and Durham by 18 & 19 Vict. c. 15, s. 3.

(*a*) See 18 & 19 Vict. c. 15, s. 6.

(*a*) *Shaw v. Neale*, L. R. 4 H. L. 486; *Beavan v. Earl of Oxford*, 6 De G. M. & G. 505; *Hickson v. Collie*, 1 J. & L. 94; *Freer v. Hesse*, 4 De G. M. & G. 502; *Shaw v. Neale*, 6 H. L. C. 581; *Simpson v. Morley*, 2 K. & J. 71. (*b*) *Beavan v. Earl of Oxford*, 6 De G. M. & G. 492.

But a judgment once registered remains valid against any subsequent mortgagee who searches and finds that judgment on the register during the period for which he is bound to search, whether such judgment is re-registered or not (c).

CHAP. LIX.

The provisions as to re-registration are operative for the benefit of all persons deriving title, mediately or immediately, from the judgment debtor, and not merely for that of immediate purchasers, mortgagees, and creditors of the debtor himself (d).

Extent of protection by re-registration.

The stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 38 did not abrogate the necessity for registration of judgments as required by the stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, but added a provision (sect. 1) that a judgment should not affect lands as against a mortgagee, until a writ or other process of execution had been issued and registered in the name of the judgment creditor, nor unless such execution or other process was executed and put in force within three calendar months from the time when it was registered.

Registration of judgment and writ of execution under 23 & 24 Vict. c. 38.

The effect of this enactment was that, as from the 23rd July, 1860, until the commencement of the next-mentioned Act, both the judgment and the writ of execution or other process must have been registered.

The stat. 27 & 28 Vict. c. 112 (which came into operation on the 29th of July, 1864), by sect. 3, expressly dispensed with the necessity of registering judgments, and thereby virtually repealed sect. 19 of the stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110. By the same section the writ of execution was required to be registered in manner prescribed by the stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 38, but in the debtor's name. The registration of the writ was not essential for securing priority over subsequent incumbrancers; for it has been held that where land had been actually delivered in execution by writ of *elegit* or other lawful authority, as required by sect. 1 of the Act of 1864, so as to create a charge on land, no registration of the writ or other process of execution was necessary, except for the purpose of obtaining an order for sale under sect. 4 of the Act; but that actual delivery in execution alone was sufficient to secure priority against any subsequent incumbrancer or purchaser (e).

Registration of writ of execution under 27 & 28 Vict. c. 112.

The land cannot be charged indirectly by obtaining a charge on a debt so as to avoid the necessity of actual delivery in execution, as that would be to defeat the provisions of this Act.

Right of holder of garnishee order against mortgaged estate.

(c) *Re Lord Kensington*, 29 Ch. D. 527.

J. 318.

(d) *Benham v. Keene*, 3 De G. F. &(e) *Re Pope*, 17 Q. B. D. 743, C.A.

CHAP. LIX.

So, where leaseholds were successively mortgaged to two different mortgagees, and then a judgment creditor of the second mortgagee obtained a garnishee order against the mortgagor; the first mortgagee subsequently sold the lands under his power of sale; it was held that the garnishee order created no lien on the land, nor on the surplus proceeds of sale (f). But in the same case it was held that a garnishee order against the first mortgagee obtained after the sale attached to the proceeds of sale in his hands (g).

Stat. 51 & 52
Vict. c. 51.

By the Land Charges Registration and Searches Act, 1888 (h), it is enacted as follows:—

Register of
writs and
orders affect-
ing land.

Sect. 5. "(1.) There shall be established and kept at the Office of Land Registry a register of writs and orders affecting land; and there may be registered therein, in the prescribed manner, any writ or order affecting land issued or made by any Court for the purpose of enforcing a judgment, statute or recognizance, and any order appointing a receiver or sequestrator of land.

"(2.) Every entry made in pursuance of this section shall be made in the name of the person whose land is affected by the writ or order registered.

"(3.) The registration of a writ or order in pursuance of this Act shall cease to have effect at the expiration of five years from the date of the registration, but may be renewed from time to time, and, if renewed, shall have effect from the date of renewal.

"(4.) Registration of a writ or order in pursuance of this section shall have the same effect as and make unnecessary registration thereof in the Central Office of the Supreme Court of Judicature in pursuance of any other Act."

Protection of
purchaser
against un-
registered
writs and
orders.

Sect. 6. "Every such writ and order as is mentioned in section five, and every delivery in execution, or other proceeding taken in pursuance of any such writ or order, or in obedience thereto, shall be void as against a purchaser for value of the land unless the writ or order is for the time being registered in pursuance of this Act.

"Provided that—

(a) Where the writ or order is at the commencement of this Act registered in pursuance of the Act of the session held in the twenty-seventh and twenty-eighth years of her Majesty, chapter one hundred and twelve, intituled 'An Act to amend the Law relating to future Judgments, Statutes and Recognizances,' nothing in this section shall affect the operation of such writ or order until the expiry of the period for which it is so registered;

(b) Where the proceeding in which the writ or order was issued or made is for the time being registered as a *lis pendens* in the name of the person whose land is affected by the writ or order, nothing in this section shall affect the operation of such registration."

(f) *Chatterton v. Watney*, 17 Ch. D. 259, C. A.

(g) *S. C.* 16 Ch. D. 378, *per Bacon*, V.-C.

(h) 51 & 52 Vict. c. 51.

The word "land" in this Act includes "lands, messuages, tenements and hereditaments, corporeal and incorporeal of any tenure" (i). Equitable interests in land are not here expressly mentioned, but it would seem that they are intended to be included (k). The stat. 27 & 28 Vict. c. 112, s. 2, on the other hand, expressly defines "land" for the purpose of that Act as including "any interest therein."

CHAP. LIX.
Meaning of
land.

The words "purchaser for value" include mortgagee (l).

As regards the debtor himself and persons claiming under him other than purchasers for value, registration under this Act is not necessary to bind the lands.

Notwithstanding the enactments requiring docketing and registration, their particular object being to secure subsequent purchasers and mortgagees against secret incumbrances, a purchaser or mortgagee with notice of any undocketed or unregistered judgment was bound by it, in equity, as completely as if the judgment had been docketed or registered within the period prescribed by the particular Acts. This was the case with regard to judgments, not docketed in pursuance of the Statute of Frauds (m), and generally under the Registry Acts (n).

Notice of
unregistered
judgment.

This rule was abrogated by the stat. 18 & 19 Vict. c. 15, ss. 4 and 5, which enacts that no notice of a judgment not registered or not re-registered in the Common Pleas (now Central Office (o)), shall affect purchasers, mortgagees, or creditors.

And generally a purchaser or mortgagee is not now affected by notice of any judgment not registered or not re-registered in the Central Office; and he may always be satisfied with a five years' search (p).

It is apprehended that, if a judgment and execution thereon, requiring registration, have not been duly registered in the Central Office, so as to be a charge on the land, no notice thereof will have any effect, even in regard to lands in a registry county; but if such registry in the Central Office has been completed, although there has been no registry of the judgment in the county registry, a subsequent mortgagee or purchaser with notice of such judgment would be postponed to

(i) Sect. 4.

(k) See sect. 10.

(l) Sect. 4.

(m) 29 Car. II. c. 3, s. 14.

(n) *Le Neve v. Le Neve*, 3 Atk. 646.

(o) 42 & 43 Vict. c. 78, ss. 4, 5.

(p) *Benham v. Keane*, 3 De G. F. &

J. 318.

CHAP. LIX.

the judgment as before, although the mortgage or purchase deed were duly registered in the county registry (*q*).

It is by no means clear whether a mortgagee or purchaser who has notice of a judgment on which land has been delivered in execution by virtue of a writ or order issued on or after the 1st of January, 1889, but not registered under the Land Charges Registration and Searches Act, 1888 (*r*), will be postponed to the judgment creditor. But inasmuch as notice of the judgment itself is inoperative to postpone a mortgagee or purchaser (*s*), and as by the Act of 1888 (*t*) every such writ or order is "void as against a purchaser for value," unless registered, it would seem to be the better opinion that an unregistered writ or order cannot affect the lands though actually delivered in execution, as against a mortgagee or purchaser, though he has notice of the judgment. It will, however, be prudent until the point is decided for a mortgagee having notice of a judgment entered since 1888, to require the concurrence of the judgment creditor (*u*).

Result of the
statutory
enactments.

The result of the foregoing remarks is to show that, at the present time, a person lending money on the security of land is liable to have his security postponed in any of the following cases:—

1. If the judgment was entered up prior to the 23rd July, 1860, and has been duly registered and re-registered under the stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, and 2 & 3 Vict. c. 11, and if the last registration took place within five years last preceding the date of the security. Search for such judgments should be made in the Central Office for five years last past; if no judgment is found registered within this period, the mortgagee will be safe; if any judgment appears on the register, it will effectually bind him unless the judgment debt is barred by the Statute of Limitations.

2. If the judgment was entered up between the 23rd July, 1860, and the 29th July, 1864, and has been duly registered and re-registered within the last preceding five years under the stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110; and if the writ of execution has also been duly registered under the stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 38, and if

(*q*) As to registration in counties, see *infra*, p. 1364.

(*r*) 51 & 52 Vict. c. 51.

(*s*) 18 & 19 Vict. c. 15, ss. 4, 5, *sup*.

(*t*) Sect. 6.

(*u*) See Elphinstone and Clark on Searches, App. 16, 17.

the writ has been executed within three calendar months after registration thereof; and, even if a writ has been registered within three calendar months last preceding the date of the security, the security will be liable to be postponed, if the land is taken in execution before the expiration of the three months. The Central Office must be searched for five years past for judgments entered between the last-mentioned date, and, if any appear, further search must be made of the register of writs of execution for three calendar months last past before completion. In practice it is generally considered sufficient to make these searches, and also to make inquiries for the purpose of ascertaining whether the land has been delivered in execution under a writ registered more than three months past; but it is obvious that perfect safety can only be obtained by searching the register of writs for the whole period since the entry of the judgment.

3. If the judgment was entered up between the 29th July, 1864, and the 1st January, 1889, and the land has been actually delivered in execution by writ of *elegit* or by appointment of receiver (x), or other equitable process; but in such case no registration of either the judgment or the writ is required merely to give priority to the charge of the judgment creditor, though the writ of execution must have been registered if it was desired to proceed to a sale. The result is that, as regards judgments entered up during the last-mentioned period, an intending mortgagee may find some difficulty in ascertaining whether any such judgment is a charge upon the land. Land may be delivered in execution, either legal or equitable, without any publicity. In the former case inquiry may be made of the under-sheriff as to whether he has executed the writ of *elegit*, but he is not bound to answer such inquiries. Inquiries should also be made of tenants as to the person to whom they pay their rent, which appears to be the only mode of ascertaining whether the lands have been taken in equitable execution by appointment of receiver.

4. If the judgment was entered up on or after the 1st January, 1889, and the land has been actually delivered in execution as before, and if the writ of execution has been duly registered and

(x) The appointment of a receiver is a "process of execution" within the meaning of 27 & 28 Vict. c. 112. See

Benham v. Keane, 3 De G. F. & J. 318.
See also, *ante*, p. 649.

CHAP. LIX.

re-registered, and if the last registration or re-registration took place within five years last preceding the date of the security. As regards such judgments it will be sufficient for the complete protection of a mortgagee to search the Land Registry Office for writs of execution for five years last past.

A mortgagee will not be bound by notice of an unregistered judgment, or as it seems of an unregistered writ in cases where registration is necessary.

iii.—Registration of Judgments in Counties.—In order to bind lands in particular counties, judgments were required to be registered therein.

Yorkshire.

By the several Yorkshire Registry Acts (*y*) formerly in force, it was provided that no judgment, statute, or recognizance (other than such as should have been entered into in the name and upon the proper account of her Majesty), should affect or bind any manors, lands, tenements, or hereditaments in the county of York, unless a memorandum of such judgment, statute, or recognizance was entered at the register office, in manner therein directed.

By the Yorkshire Registries Act, 1884 (*z*), it is provided that, for the purposes of the Act, the expression "assurance" shall include an "order of Court," and that the latter expression shall include a "judgment, decree, or writ of execution or sequestration." And the same Act (*a*) provides that "assurances" may be registered, and shall rank in priority according to the date of their registration.

Middlesex.

In Middlesex, judgments, &c., were formerly required to be registered in order to bind lands and hereditaments in that county, and were binding from the time at which they were memorialized (*b*). But now by the Lands Registry (Middlesex Deeds) Act, 1891 (*c*), it is enacted that it shall not be necessary for the validity of any judgment, statute, or recognizance that a memorial thereof shall be registered under the Middlesex Registry Act, 1708.

The stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, and the subsequent statutes,

(*y*) 5 & 6 Anne, c. 18, s. 4, West Riding of York; 6 Anne, c. 35, s. 19, West Riding and Kingston-upon-Hull; 8 Geo. II. c. 6, ss. 18, 19, North Riding.

(*z*) 47 & 48 Vict. c. 64, s. 3.

(*a*) Sects. 4, 14, *ante*, p. 1241.

(*b*) 7 Anne, c. 20, s. 19, now repealed.

(*c*) 54 & 55 Vict. c. 64, s. 6. By this Act the business of the registry was transferred to the Office of the Land Registry.

contain no exception of the registry counties, and, therefore, to affect lands situate there, both kinds of registration were necessary (*d*); and, as regards lands in Yorkshire, registration in the local registries is still necessary as well as registration of the writ or process of execution in the Land Registry Office (*e*). Judgments upon lands in the registry counties were binding, when registered in the Common Pleas or Central Office, from the time of registration under the Register Acts.

Under the old law a mortgagee whose security was duly registered in Middlesex was not bound by a prior judgment not registered there without actual notice (*f*); so a mortgage registered in that county prevailed over an earlier judgment not registered there, though registered in the Common Pleas or Central Office (*g*).

Judgments do not require to be registered under the Irish Registry Acts, but have preference according to their date of entry over all subsequent deeds although registered, and even over all unregistered deeds, although prior in date; although there was a difference of opinion between the authorities (*h*).

The principle is familiarly expressed by saying that the registered deed carries up the judgment "on its back" (*i*).

The Act for the protection of purchasers against judgments obtained in Ireland only requires that a fresh memorandum of such judgments shall be left with the proper officer every twenty years for the purpose of preserving the creditor's rights and remedies as against purchasers, mortgagees, and other creditors (*k*).

iv.—Charging Orders.—Where a judgment debtor is entitled to a beneficial interest in Government stocks, funds or annuities, or in the stock or shares of a public company, the proper mode of enforcing the judgment so as to bind such interest is to obtain a charging order, which will operate by way of equitable execution (*l*).

Charging order operates as equitable execution.

(*d*) *Benham v. Keane*, 3 De G. F. & J. 318; *Johnson v. Holdsworth*, 1 Sim. N. S. 106; *Exp. Alford*, Fomb. Bky. 217.

(*e*) *Sup.*

(*f*) *Benham v. Keane*, *sup.*; *Johnson v. Holdsworth*, *sup.* at p. 108; *Tunstall v. Trappes*, 3 Sim. 301; *Robinson v. Woodward*, 4 De G. & S. 562. *Secus*, if the judgment creditor had notice. *Johnson v. Holdsworth*, 1 Sim. N. S.

106.

(*g*) *Westbrooke v. Blythe*, 3 E. & B. 737.

(*h*) *Latouche v. Lord Dunsany*, 1 Sch. & L. 134, 161; and *D'Arcy v. Chambers*, 1 Sch. & L. 468.

(*i*) *Ante*, p. 1247.

(*k*) See 7 & 8 Vict. c. 90, ss. 6, 7. But see 13 & 14 Vict. c. 29, s. 4.

(*l*) *Brereton v. Edwards*, 21 Q. B. D. 488, C. A.

CHAP. LIX.

Ord. XLVI.

r. 1.

Application
for and effect
of charging
order.

By Order XLVI. r. 1, of the Rules of the Supreme Court, it is provided as follows:—

“An order charging stock or shares may be made by any Divisional Court or by any judge, and the proceedings for obtaining such order shall be such as are directed, and the effect shall be such as is provided by the Acts 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, ss. 14 and 15, and 3 & 4 Vict. c. 82, s. 1.”

The stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110 (n), referred to in this rule, enacts as follows:—

Stock and
shares in
public funds
and public
companies
belonging to
the debtor
may be
charged with
payment of
judgment
debt.

Sect. 14. “If any person against whom any judgment shall have been entered up in any of her Majesty’s Superior Courts at Westminster shall have any Government stock, funds, or annuities, or any stock or shares of or in any public company in England (whether incorporated or not), standing in his name in his own right, or in the name of any person in trust for him, it shall be lawful for a judge of one of the Superior Courts, on the application of any judgment creditor, to order that such stock, funds, annuities, or shares, or such of them or such part thereof respectively as he shall think fit, shall stand charged with the payment of the amount for which judgment shall have been so recovered, and interest thereon, and such order shall entitle the judgment creditor to all such remedies as he would have been entitled to if such charge had been made in his favour by the judgment debtor; provided that no proceedings shall be taken to have the benefit of such charge until after the expiration of six calendar months from the date of such order.”

Charging
order to be
made in the
first instance
ex parte, and
on notice to
the bank or
company to
operate as a
distringas.

Sect. 15. “And in order to prevent any person against whom judgment shall have been obtained from transferring, receiving, or disposing of any stock, funds, annuities, or shares, hereby authorized to be charged for the benefit of the judgment creditor under an order of a judge, be it further enacted, that every order of a judge charging any government stock, funds, or annuities, or any stock or shares in any public company, under this Act, shall be made in the first instance *ex parte*, and without any notice to the judgment debtor, and shall be an order to show cause only; and such order, if any Government stock, funds, or annuities standing in the name of the judgment debtor in his own right, or in the name of any person in trust for him, is to be affected by such order, shall restrain the Governor and Company of the Bank of England from permitting a transfer of such stock in the meantime and until such order shall be made absolute or discharged; and if any stock or shares of or in any public company, standing in the name of the judgment debtor in his own right, or in the name of any person in trust for him, is or are to be affected by any such order, shall in like manner restrain such public company from permitting a transfer thereof; and that if, after notice of such order to the person or persons to be restrained thereby, or in case of corporations to any authorized agent of such corporation, and before the same order shall be discharged or made absolute, such corporation

(n) See the Irish Act (3 & 4 Vict. c. 105).

or person or persons shall permit any such transfer to be made, then and in such case the corporation or person or persons so permitting such transfer shall be liable to the judgment creditor for the value or amount of the property so charged and so transferred, or such part thereof as may be sufficient to satisfy his judgment; and that no disposition of the judgment debtor in the meantime shall be valid or effectual as against the judgment creditor; and further, that, unless the judgment debtor shall within a time to be mentioned in such order show to a judge of one of the said Superior Courts sufficient cause to the contrary, the said order shall, after proof of notice thereof to the judgment debtor, his attorney or agent, be made absolute: provided that any such judge shall, upon the application of the judgment debtor, or any person interested, have full power to discharge or vary such order, and to award such costs upon such application as he may think fit."

By the stat. 3 & 4 Vict. c. 82, it is enacted as follows:—

Sect. 1. "The aforesaid provisions of the said Act (o) shall be deemed and taken to extend to the interest of any judgment debtor, whether in possession, remainder, or reversion, and whether vested or contingent as well in any such stocks, funds, annuities, or shares as aforesaid, as also in the dividends, interest or annual produce of any such stock, funds, annuities or shares; and whenever any such judgment debtor shall have any estate, right, title or interest, vested or contingent, in possession, remainder, or reversion, in, to, or out of any such stocks, funds, annuities, or shares as aforesaid, which now are, or shall hereafter be, standing in the name of the Accountant-General of the Court of Chancery (p), or the Accountant-General of the Court of Exchequer, or in, to, or out of the dividends, interest, or annual produce thereof, it shall be lawful for such judge to make any order as to such stock, funds, annuities, or shares, or the interest, dividends, or annual produce thereof, in the same way as if the same had been standing in the name of a trustee of such judgment debtor: Provided always, that no order of any judge as to any stock, funds, annuities, or shares standing in the name of the Accountant-General of the Court of Chancery or the Accountant-General of the Court of Exchequer, or as to the interest, dividends, or annual produce thereof, shall prevent the Governor and Company of the Bank of England, or any public company, from permitting any transfer of such stocks, funds, annuities, or shares, or payment of the interest, dividends, or annual produce thereof, in such manner as the Court of Chancery or the Court of Exchequer respectively may direct, or shall have any greater effect than if such debtor had charged such stock, funds, annuities or shares, or the interest, dividends, or annual produce thereof, in favour of the judgment creditor, with the amount of the sum to be mentioned in any such order."

Provisions of 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 14, extended to contingent interest in stock and shares, and the dividends thereof, and to stock, &c. in Court.

This section is retrospective, being merely explanatory of sect. 14 of the stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110 (q).

(o) *I. s.*, 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 14.

Vict. c. 29.

(p) Now the Paymaster-General.
See stats. 35 & 36 Vict. c. 44; 46 & 47

(q) *Halkes v. Day*, 10 Sim. 41.

CHAP. LIX.

When a charging order may be made.

Married woman restrained from anticipation.

How application for charging order should be made.

Service of order nisi.

A charging order can only be made in cases where the sum ordered to be paid is ascertained by the judgment (*r*). But where the order is for payment of a certain sum at a future date, the creditor is at once entitled to obtain a charging order upon stock and shares of the debtor (*s*).

Under the Married Women's Property Act, 1870 (*t*), a charging order might have been made upon a judgment against a married woman since the Act, and would bind her interest in funds to which she is entitled for her separate use without power of anticipation (*u*). This Act is, however, now repealed, but so as not to affect acts done or rights acquired while the Act was in force (*x*).

Now, however, a judgment cannot be enforced by a charging order as against the separate property of a married woman which she is restrained from anticipating (*y*); but the restraint will not prevent such an order from binding arrears of income of the property, whether accrued after or at the date of the judgment (*z*).

Under sect. 15 of the stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, the application in the first instance is to be made *ex parte*, without notice to the debtor. It is now usually made by summons before a judge in chambers. The application should be supported by evidence of the applicant's title to the debt and of the debtor's title to the property sought to be charged (*a*). An order *nisi* will then be made, notice of which should be given to the Bank or to the company whose stock or shares are affected thereby.

The order should be served on the debtor or his solicitor. An order *nisi* served on the solicitor and brother, and at the last address of the debtor, was deemed sufficient without an order for substituted service (*b*).

A *cestui que trust* is entitled to obtain from his trustee an

(*r*) *Widgery v. Topper*, 6 Ch. D. 364, C. A., overruling *Burns v. Irving*, 3 Ch. D. 291.

(*s*) *Younghusband v. Gisborne*, 1 De G. & S. 209; *Bagnall v. Carlton*, 6 Ch. D. 130, affd. on other points, *Ibid.* 371, C. A.

(*t*) 33 & 34 Vict. c. 93.

(*u*) *Sanger v. Sanger*, L. R. 11 Eq. 470. See *London and Provincial Bank v. Boyle*, 7 Ch. D. 773; *Re Hedgely*,

Small v. Hedgeley, 34 Ch. D. 379; *Azford v. Reid*, 22 Q. B. D. 548, C. A.

(*x*) 45 & 46 Vict. c. 75, s. 22.

(*y*) *Ib.* ss. 1, 19; 56 & 57 Vict. c. 63, s. 1.

(*z*) *Hood-Barre v. Heriot*, (1896) A. C. 174. See *ante*, p. 339.

(*a*) Dan. Ch. Pr. 939.

(*b*) *Re Paragon Co.*, 8 Jur. N. S. 11.

authority enabling him to ascertain whether there is any charging order on the trust fund (c).

CHAP. LIX.

A master in the Queen's Bench Division may make a charging order *nisi*, but cannot discharge it (d). Upon the application of the debtor the matter may be adjourned into Court, when the order may be set aside, though it has not been made absolute (e).

If the defendant pays the debt after the order *nisi*, he must pay the costs both of that order and of the application to discharge it (f). Costs.

The application for an order absolute is made to a judge in chambers; charging orders absolute cannot be made by a master in the Queen's Bench Division, nor by a registrar of the Probate, Divorce, and Admiralty Division (g). Order absolute.

The judge has a discretion as to granting a charging order, which, if properly exercised, will not be interfered with by the Court of Appeal (h).

A charging order, when made absolute, operates from the making of the order *nisi* (i), and an administration decree in the interval will not affect it (k).

A charging order absolute cannot be made if the defendant was dead when the order *nisi* was made (l).

It is sufficient that the order be intituled "In the Matter of the Act 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, and of the Act 3 & 4 Vict. c. 82"; but the order will not be invalidated by being intituled also in the matter of the action (m); and in the Chancery Division it is the practice to intitle an order charging a fund in Court, either in the action, or in the matter to the credit of which the fund is standing (n). Title of order.

The Court has power to make a stop order as auxiliary to a charging order under the above Act (o); and formerly, where the fund was in Court, it was the common practice for the Courts of Equity, on petition in the suit, to give effect to a charging Stop orders in aid of charging orders.

(c) *Re Tylott, Lee v. Wilson*, (1892) 1 Ch. 86.

(d) *Mitchell v. De Vesey*, 67 L. T. 53.

(e) *Morris v. Manesty*, 7 Q. B. 674; overruling on this point *Brown v. Bamford*, 9 M. & W. 42; *Fowler v. Churchill*, 11 M. & W. 57.

(f) *Stanley v. Bond*, 8 Beav. 50.

(g) E. S. C. Ord. LIV. r. 12.

(h) *Wicks v. Shanks*, 67 L. T. 609, Q. A.

(i) *Haly v. Barry*, L. R. 3 Ch. A.

452; explaining *Warburton v. Hill*, Kay, 470; *Brereton v. Edwards*, 21 Q. B. D. 488, C. A.

(k) *Ib.* See *Scott v. Lord Hastings*, 4 K. & J. 633.

(l) *Finney v. Hinds*, 4 Q. B. D. 102.

(m) *Marquis of Hastings v. Beavan*, 4 De G. F. & J. 316.

(n) *Beton*, 427.

(o) *Hulkes v. Day*, 10 Sim. 41; *Re Nowell*, 9 Jur. N. S. 788; *Wells v. Gibbs*, 22 Beav. 204.

CHAP. LIX.

order made by the judge of any other Court, by means of a stop order (*p*).

Where by a decree trustees were ordered to pay the dividends of stock to a judgment debtor against which a charging order had been obtained, it was held that no application could be made in the suit by the judgment creditor for the purpose of obtaining an order on the trustees to pay the fund into Court, and a stop order thereon in aid of the charging order (*q*).

Now, however, that the Courts of Chancery and of Common Law are no longer distinct, it is no longer necessary for equity to give assistance, by means of a stop order, to a charging order obtained at law. After a charging order *nisi* has been made, and notice has thereby been given to the Paymaster-General, a stop order would be a merely useless piece of machinery, and is no longer necessary (*r*). Such notice will of itself give priority to the charging order over any subsequent orders affecting the fund (*s*).

Discharge of
order.

The proviso at the end of sect. 15 of the statute 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, giving power to a judge to discharge or vary a charging order, applies only to orders *nisi*, and not to an order which has become absolute (*t*).

By the same section, it is provided that an order *nisi* shall be made absolute, unless sufficient cause to the contrary is shown. Where an order has been obtained charging a debtor's equitable interest in stock standing in the names of trustees, the Court will not interfere to discharge the judge's order, if the determination of the debtor's interest in the stock depends on the question of his insolvency (*u*); nor if it is a matter of equitable construction whether the debtor has an interest in the stock (*x*). But if the property charged is clearly not within reach of the Act, the Court will set the charging order aside (*y*).

Meaning of
"company"
and "stock."

By Ord. XLVI. r. 3, it is declared that the expression "company" therein includes the Governor and Company of the Bank of England and any other public company, whether

(*p*) *Reece v. Taylor*, 5 De G. & S. 480. See *Marquis of Hastings v. Beavan*, 4 De G. F. & J. 316; 5 L. T. N. S. 734; 10 W. R. 206.

(*q*) *Newton v. Askew*, 11 Beav. 446.

(*r*) Per Lord Esher, M.R., in *Brereton v. Edwards*, 21 Q. B. D. 488, at p. 496, C. A.

(*s*) *Ib.* at p. 497.

(*t*) *Jeffryes v. Reynolds*, 52 L. J. Q. B. 55; *Drew v. Willis*, (1891) 1 Q. B. 450, C. A.

(*u*) *Rogers v. Holloway*, 5 Man. & Gr. 292.

(*x*) *Fowler v. Churchill*, 11 M. & W. 57.

(*y*) *Morris v. Manesty*, 7 Q. B. 674.

incorporated or not, and the expression "stock" includes shares, securities, and dividends thereon.

It had previously been held that shares in a mining company on the cost-book principle were within the Act (z); but subject to the claim of the company against the shares (a).

Where a lien on shares standing in the name of a debtor was claimed by the company, a charging order was refused by the common law judge, but was obtained in equity subject to the lien (b).

A banking co-partnership which made returns to the stamp office pursuant to 7 Geo. IV. c. 46, is a public company not incorporated within these sections (c).

Sect. 14 of the stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110 does not extend to "money." But in aid of the power given by sect. 12 of that Act to take money under a *fi. fa.*, a charging order may be made by a judge of the Queen's Bench Division on cash standing to the credit of the debtor in the Chancery Division (d).

A government annuity to which the debtor is entitled as executor and legatee is not within the Act (e).

It is doubtful whether an annuity payable out of the suitors' fund can be charged under these Acts, there being no specific stock, but only a fluctuating fund charged; the Court, however, in one case allowed the order to stand *quantum valeat* (f).

A pension granted by the East India Company cannot be so charged (g).

A contingent equitable interest in shares may be so charged (h), but not an interest in the proceeds of the sale of shares by trustees under a will for payment of debts and ultimately for the debtor (i); and where the charging order would not be effectual, as the stock stood in the names of trustees for others, a bill by the judgment creditor to set aside a voluntary settlement of the stock was allowed without obtaining a charging order (k).

(z) *Nicholls v. Rosewarne*, 6 O. B. N. S. 480. See *Baker v. Tynite*, 2 E. & E. 297; *Fuller v. Earle*, 7 Exch. 796.

(a) *Re Connell*, 2 Jur. N. S. 390.

(b) *Re Royal Bank of Australia*, 25 L. J. Ch. 649.

(c) *Macintyre v. Connell*, 1 Sim. N. S. 225. See *Graham v. Connell*, 19 L. J. Ex. 361.

(d) *Brereton v. Edwards*, 21 Q. B. D. 488, C. A.

(e) *Taylor v. Turnbull*, 4 H. & N. 495.

(f) *Witham v. Lynch*, 1 Exch. 391.

(g) *Morris v. Mansiey*, 7 Q. B. 674.

(h) *Baker v. Tynite*, 2 E. & E. 897; *Cragg v. Taylor*, L. R. 1 Ex. 148; *S. C.*, L. R. 2 Ex. 131.

(i) *Dixon v. Wrench*, L. R. 4 Ex. 154.

(k) *Goldsmith v. Russell*, 5 De G. M. & G. 547.

CHAP. LIX.

Debtor must have beneficial interest in shares charged.

Beneficial interest only is charged.

A charging order will not affect stocks or shares standing in the name of the debtor if it is shown that he is merely a trustee having no beneficial interest therein in his own right (*l*).

The debtor must have a beneficial interest at the date of the order *nisi*; for if, between the obtaining the judgment and the order *nisi*, the debtor has assigned his interest, the order *nisi* will be inoperative, even in the case of an equitable interest of which no notice has been given to the trustee of the assignment (*m*). The charging order only affects the interest which the debtor has in the funds, and not prior incumbrances, similarly to the operation of the charge upon real estate under sect. 13 (*n*), and under no circumstances can a charging order have priority over previous equitable incumbrances (*o*): thus a mortgage of an equitable interest in stock, of which no notice was given to the trustees, would be preferred to the charging order (*p*).

Where stock stands in the name of a trustee for the defendant and others, the defendant's interest is charged; it does not seem clear whether the charging order attaches where the interest of the debtor is to go over if he charges it; but where a dividend has already accrued, that is charged, notwithstanding the gift over (*q*).

Debt must be enforceable.

As a charging order has no greater effect than a charge executed by the judgment debtor, a charging order on a judgment by default for a debt which was incapable of being enforced will be inoperative (*r*).

Nature of charge created by order.

A charging order under this statute has been held to create such an incumbrance as will forfeit a life estate determinable on alienation (*s*); or if the tenant for life should "do or suffer any

(*l*) *Gill v. Continental Gas Co.*, L. R. 7 Ex. 332; *Re Blakeley Ordnance Co.*, 25 W. R. 111; *Cooper v. Griffin*, (1892) 1 Q. B. 740, O. A.; *Howard v. Sadler*, (1893) 1 Q. B. 1; *Gray v. Stone*, 69 L. T. 282.

(*m*) *Scott v. Lord Hastings*, 4 K. & J. 633; cf. *Haly v. Barry*, L. R. 3 Ch. A. 452.

(*n*) *Hulkes v. Day*, 10 Sim. 41; *Fowler v. Churchhill*, 11 M. & W. 57; and *inf.* p. 1365.

(*o*) *Braearcliffe v. Dorrington*, 14 Jur. 1101; *Eyre v. McDowell*, 9 H. L. C. 619, 626, 642; *Stronge v. Hawkes*, 4 De G. & J. 632; *Re Bell, Carter v.*

Stadden, W. N. (1886) 46.

(*p*) *Beavan v. Lord Oxford*, 6 De G. M. & G. 492, 524, 526, 532; *Pickering v. Ilfracombe Rail. Co.*, L. R. 3 C. P. 250; *Crow v. Robinson*, L. R. 3 C. P. 264, 267, overruling *Watts v. Porter*, 3 E. & B. 743. And see *Braearcliffe v. Dorrington*, 14 Jur. 1101; *Dunster v. Lord Glengall*, 3 Ir. Ch. R. 47.

(*q*) *South Western Loan, &c. Co. v. Robertson*, 8 Q. B. D. 17.

(*r*) *Re Onslow's Trusts*, L. R. 20 Eq. 677.

(*s*) *Montefiore v. Behrens*, L. R. 1 Eq. 171.

act" whereby the dividends should become payable to any other person (*t*).

CHAP. LIX.

A charging order *nisi* is not "an execution against the goods of a debtor" within sect. 45 of the Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (*u*). Nor is it a "transaction" protected by sect. 49 of that Act (*x*).

Notwithstanding the charging order, where the fund is not in Court, the Bank of England is bound to pay the dividends to the parties having the legal estate in the funds, who will be bound by the charge, and must see that the fund is properly applied (*y*); and the same, of course, applies to other public companies.

Payment of dividends on fund charged.

If a bank, with notice of a charging order on its shares, pays other judgment creditors, it will be responsible (*z*).

A charging order upon funds in the hands of trustees does not affect the right of the trustees to their costs (*a*).

Trustees' costs.

Within the period of six months prescribed by sect. 14 of the stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, the judgment creditor may take such proceedings as may be necessary to protect his interests. And he may, accordingly, it seems, bring an action to protect his interest within the six months (*b*). The Court will not, however, on a mere petition by a judgment creditor who has obtained a charging order on a fund in Court belonging to the debtor, order payment of the fund without the debtor's consent (*c*).

Creditor may take further proceedings within six months.

In this interval, the receipt of dividends on stock has been restrained (*d*); but notice to the Paymaster-General would seem now to be sufficient (*e*).

A sale cannot be made under a charging order without a separate action (*f*).

(*t*) *Roffey v. Bent*, L. R. 3 Eq. 759. See *South Western Loan Co. v. Robertson*, 8 Q. B. D. 17.

(*u*) 46 & 47 Vict. c. 52. See *Re Hutchinson, Exp. Hutchinson*, 16 Q. B. D. 515.

(*x*) *Re O'Shea's Settlement, Courage v. O'Shea*, (1895) 1 Ch. 325, C. A.

(*y*) *Churchill v. Bank of England*, 11 M. & W. 325; *Fowler v. Churchill*, 11 M. & W. 57.

(*z*) *Salaman v. Donovan*, 10 Ir. Com. L. R. App. 13.

(*a*) *Smith v. Yonde*, 2 F. & F. 376.

(*b*) *Bristed v. Wilkins*, 3 Ha. 289.

(*c*) *Whitfield v. Prickett*, 13 Sim. 259.

(*d*) *Watts v. Jeffereyes*, 3 Mac. & G. 372; *Bristed v. Wilkins*, 3 Ha. 235;

Horsley v. Cox, L. R. 4 Ch. A. 94, n.

(*e*) *Sup.* p. 1280.

(*f*) *Laggott v. Western*, 12 Q. B. D. 287.

SECTION II.

CROWN DEBTS.

i.—**Crown Debts as affecting Mortgages of Land.**—A mortgagee is liable to have his security postponed to certain claims of the Crown, which, by virtue of its prerogative, has the right to issue an "extent" or execution against all the lands of its debtors, except copyholds, and to follow such lands into the hands of subsequent mortgagees or purchasers, though without notice (a).

Prior equities. The Crown, claiming under an extent, is, like a judgment creditor, subject to prior equities, and to such incumbrances as the debtor has lawfully created (b).

An equitable mortgage by deposit of title deeds made before the lien of the Crown has attached is binding upon the Crown (c); and the better opinion seems to be that the circumstance of the Crown obtaining possession of the legal estate under an extent could not affect any equitable charge which is created by the debtor before the lien of the Crown has attached (d).

So, also, an equitable mortgage of renewable leaseholds by deposit of title deeds is entitled to preference over a subsequent lien of the Crown, in respect of the renewed, as well as of the original, lease, although the Crown debt may have accrued before the date of the renewal (e). Not only all interests actually created by the debtor before the lien of the Crown has attached, but also the conditions to which the lands have been subjected prior to the date of such lien, are binding upon the Crown (f).

The prior security will not prevail against the Crown, if it were made in favour of a person in whom it was a breach of duty to the Crown to take it; as where it was taken by a receiver-general from a person immediately responsible to him

(a) 33 Hen. VIII. c. 39, ss. 75, 80. See further, as to Crown debts, Coote on Mortgages (5th ed.) Vol. I. 178—193; Elphinstone and Clark on Searches, Ch. VII. p. 78; Shelford, R. P. Stats. 9th ed. pp. 465 *et seq.*

(b) *Casberd v. Ward*, 6 Pri. 411; *Rex v. Humphrey*, McCl. & Y. 173;

Rex v. Lee, 6 Pri. 369; *Giles v. Grover*, 1 Cl. & F. 72.

(c) *Casberd v. Ward*, *sup.*

(d) *Burgess v. Wheate*, 1 Ed. 177; *Hodge v. Att.-Gen.*, 3 Y. & C. Ex. 342.

(e) *Fector v. Philpott*, 12 Pri. 197.

(f) *Rex v. Topping*, 1 McCl. & Y. 544.

in respect of moneys due to the Crown. And it seems that in such a case it would be the same if the mortgage were legal (g).

CHAP. LIX.

The charge of the Crown against its debtor's land will attach as from the time of his becoming in debt to the Crown (h). But by several successive statutes, protection has been afforded to mortgagees and purchasers of lands belonging to persons who may be accountable to the Crown, or persons deriving title from them, by providing that, unless the formalities prescribed by those statutes are complied with, the claim of the Crown shall not affect the lands in the hands of a mortgagee or purchaser.

When the claim of the Crown attaches.

ii.—Registration of Crown Debts.—Before the 4th of June, 1869, there was no complete index or register of Crown debts, but searches for liabilities to the Crown were usually made at the Exchequer Office, and amongst the bonds of the Receiver-General at the Tax Office (i).

The stat. 2 & 3 Vict. c. 11, s. 8, gives full protection to purchasers and mortgagees against Crown debts and obligations, by requiring a memorandum of them, similar to that required of judgments by 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, to be left with the senior Master of the Common Pleas, to be by him entered in a book, to be called "The Index of Debtors and Accountants to the Crown," and (s. 9) by directing an alphabetical index to be kept of the *quietus* granted to Crown debtors and accountants, and (s. 11) by giving power to the Lords of the Treasury, upon such terms as they shall think fit, to certify that any hereditaments of a Crown debtor or accountant may be held by a purchaser or mortgagee thereof freed from all claims, present and future, on the part of the Crown.

On a mortgage of real estate, therefore, the Common Pleas (now Central) Office should be searched for Crown debts and acceptances of office, as well as for other incumbrances: but for judgments, specialties, or accountantships in existence at the passing of the Act, the same search, which was not very easy, must be made as before the Act (k).

The provisions as to registry (l), and re-registry every five years (m), have, since 1859, been made obligatory on the Crown

(g) *Broughton v. Davies*, 1 Pri. 216.

(h) 13 Eliz. c. 4.

(i) *Shelford*, R. P. Stats. 9th ed. p. 467.

(k) *Ibid.* 468.

(l) 2 & 3 Vict. c. 11, s. 8.

(m) 18 & 19 Vict. c. 15, s. 6.

CHAP. LIX.

Crown Suits
Act, 1865.

so as to bind the lands of a debtor as against a purchaser or mortgagee (n).

The rights enjoyed by the Crown are now subject to the provisions of the Crown Suits Act, 1865 (o), under which future Crown debts do not affect land as against *bond fide* purchasers for valuable consideration, or mortgagees, with or without notice, until a writ of execution be issued and registered before the execution of the conveyance or mortgage to the purchaser or mortgagee: but this provision does not (p) take away or abridge any prerogative or right of the Crown, in respect of priority or otherwise, over or against the creditors of a debtor or accountant to the Crown; and, save as expressly provided in the part of the Act referred to, every prerogative or right of the Crown as against the land, or creditors, of any debtor or accountant to the Crown, remains as if that part of the Act had not been enacted.

This enactment is not retrospective, and it would seem that a mortgagee with notice of a Crown debt incurred before 1865 would be bound thereby (q).

County
registries.

The Registry Act for Middlesex expressly excepts from its operation all such judgments, statutes, and recognizances as are entered in the name and upon the proper account of his Majesty, his heirs, and successors (r). The recent Yorkshire Registry Acts do not contain any such exception (s).

Ireland.

There are similar Acts affecting the registration and re-registration of Crown debts in Ireland as in England (t).



SECTION III.

STATUTORY LAND CHARGES.

i.—Generally.—Statutes have from time to time been passed authorizing the inheritance of land to be charged not only by owners of the fee, but also by or on behalf of limited owners of settled lands and other persons not having absolute power of disposal in respect of their lands or being under disability.

(n) 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, s. 22.

(o) 28 & 29 Vict. c. 104, ss. 48, 49.

(p) *Ibid.* s. 51.(q) *Thomas v. Pladwell*, 2 Eq. Ca. Abr. 599, pl. 25; 7 Vin. Abr. 54.

(r) 7 Anne, c. 20.

(s) 47 & 48 Vict. c. 54; 48 & 49 Vict. c. 26.

(t) 7 & 8 Vict. c. 90, ss. 11 and 12; 11 & 12 Vict. c. 120, ss. 12 and 13; 34 & 35 Vict. c. 72, ss. 2, 7, 10, 11, 12 and 22.

Inquiries and searches for such statutory charges must, CHAP. LIX.
 therefore, often form one of the precautions to be taken by a Search for
 mortgagee before completion; but subsisting mortgages are land charges.
 expressly, by statute, postponed to many of such charges though
 subsequently effected.

The Land Charges and Registration and Searches Act, Registration
 1888 (u), requires the registration in the Office of Land Registry of land
 of land charges created after the 31st of December, 1888, and charges.
 by sect. 12 of that Act, land charges created after the commence-
 ment of that Act are void as against a mortgagee or purchaser
 for value unless registered.

The expression "land charge" is thus defined for the purposes
 of the Act by sect. 4 thereof :—

" 'Land charge' means a rent or annuity or principal moneys
 payable by instalments, or otherwise, with or without interest
 charged, otherwise than by deed, upon land, under the provisions
 of any Act of Parliament, for securing to any person either the
 moneys spent by him or the costs, charges, and expenses incurred
 by him under such Act, or the moneys advanced by him for repay-
 ing the moneys spent, or the costs, charges and expenses incurred
 by another person under the authority of an Act of Parliament,
 and a charge under the thirty-fifth section of the Land Drainage
 Act, 1861, or under the twenty-ninth section of the Agricultural
 Holdings (England) Act, 1883, but does not include a rate or
 scot."

ii.—Charges for Commutation and Redemption of Tithes.—

By the Tithe Commutation Acts (x) power is given to the
 owners of particular estates in land or tithe rentcharge, and also
 to corporations sole and aggregate, to charge their proportion of
 the commutation expenses with interest at four per cent. on the
 land or tithe rentcharge respectively, to be paid off by twenty
 equal annual instalments; and a like power is given to owners
 of a partial estate in land to charge the expense of redeeming
 a tithe rentcharge under that Act upon the land for twenty
 years with interest (y).

By 2 & 3 Vict. c. 62, ecclesiastical corporations aggregate
 and collegiate bodies are enabled to charge the commutation
 expenses, with interest, on any other lands held to the like uses

(u) 51 & 52 Vict. c. 51.

(x) See 6 & 7 Will. IV. c. 71, ss. 77,
 78; 2 & 3 Vict. c. 62, ss. 16, 17;
 3 & 4 Vict. c. 16, s. 23. And see

5 & 6 Vict. c. 54, s. 8; 9 & 10 Vict.
 c. 73; 10 & 11 Vict. c. 104; 14 & 15
 Vict. c. 53; 23 & 24 Vict. c. 93.
 (y) 9 & 10 Vict. c. 73, s. 11.

CHAP. LIX.

as those included in the commutation, to be paid off in like manner as before mentioned (s).

iii.—Charges for Enfranchisement of Copyholds.—By the Copyhold Act, 1894, sects. 8, 15 (a), it is provided that compensation for enfranchisement may, in certain cases, be a rentcharge charged on and issuing out of the lands enfranchised. Sect. 27 provides for payment of rentcharges under the Act, and enacts as follows:—"The rentcharge shall be a first charge on the land charged therewith, and shall have priority over all incumbrances affecting the land except tithe rentcharge, and any charge having priority by statute notwithstanding those incumbrances are prior in date." By the same section, the remedies for the recovery of the rentcharge are by distress and entry in manner provided by the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (b). Sects. 28 to 31 provide respectively for the apportionment of rentcharges; for the protection of lessees from liability thereto; and for the redemption and sale of rentcharges created under the Act.

iv.—Land Improvement and Drainage Charges.—By the Permanent Improvement Act, 1845 (c), s. 3, any tenant for life or *pur autre vie*, tenant by the curtesy, tenant for years determinable on any life or lives (if an infant, idiot, lunatic, or *feme covert*, by his or her guardian, next friend, committee, or husband, respectively), or any feoffees or trustees for any charitable or other purposes, or any aggregate or sole corporation, or any mortgagee or incumbrancer in fee in possession, or any person entitled in fee to the equity of redemption and in possession of the land mortgaged, may by petition to the Lord Chancellor or Master of the Rolls (d), and reference thereon to chambers, obtain leave to make any permanent improvements in the land by draining with durable materials or by warping, irrigation, or embankment, in a permanent manner, or by erecting thereon any buildings of a permanent kind, incidental or consequential thereto.

(s) Sect. 17.

(a) 57 & 58 Vict. c. 46, re-enacting the provisions of the earlier Copyhold Acts which are repealed.

(b) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 44, set out, p. 44. The remedies given by the Act of 1894 do not preclude the

owner of the rentcharge from enforcing any other remedies. See *Searle v. Cooke*, 43 Ch. D. 519, C. A.

(c) 8 & 9 Vict. c. 56.

(d) Now the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice.

This Act does not require charges made thereunder to be registered. CHAP. LIX.

The Improvement of Land Act, 1864 (*e*), ss. 49—71, contains provisions with regard to charges for improvements under the Act, whereby the Inclosure Commissioners (*f*), on completion of the works, are to charge the inheritance of the lands improved with the costs and expenses of the improvement; the charge is to be by way of rentcharge for a term of years, payable half-yearly, in reduction of principal and interest, and is to be according to the form prescribed in Schedule B. of the Act. Such charges are to have priority over all existing and future charges and incumbrances, except quit-rent, Crown rents, chief rents, and other charges incident to tenure, tithe commutation rentcharges, and statutory improvement charges. Charges under this Act are personal property, but may be merged, if the holder so desire, in the land charged.

The provisions of this Act are, by the Limited Owners' Residences Act, 1870 (*g*), extended so as to enable limited owners, with the consent of the Commissioners, to charge in like manner the inheritance of their lands with the expenses of building residences, &c. Limited
Owners'
Residences
Act, 1870.

The term for repayment of charges made under this Act is regulated by the order made in each particular case, but does not generally extend beyond thirty years, for which period the search should be carried back. The register of such charges made before 1889 is kept at the office of the Board of Agriculture, No. 3, St. James' Square, London.

Other Acts have been passed for authorizing advances for drainage and improvement on land (*h*). Charges under these Acts last for twenty-two years. The register of such charges made before 1889 is kept at the office of the Board of Agriculture. Drainage
Acts.

(*e*) 27 & 28 Vict. c. 114.

(*f*) Now the Board of Agriculture.

(*g*) 33 & 34 Vict. c. 56.

(*h*) 8 & 9 Vict. c. 56; 13 & 14 Vict.

c. 31; 19 & 20 Vict. c. 9. And see *Lands Improvement Co. v. Richmond*, 17 C. B. 145; *Dickson-Poynter v. Cook*, W. N. (1881) 126.



CHAP. LIX.

SECTION IV.

LIENS.

i.—Lien of Vendor for unpaid Purchase-money.—If a vendor (*i*) convey his estate to the purchaser, and the purchase-money or part thereof remains unpaid, although the consideration is upon the face of the instrument expressed to be paid, and by a receipt indorsed on the deed acknowledged to be received (*k*), the purchaser becomes a trustee for the vendor for the amount of the money unpaid (*l*), and the vendor has, by an implied contract between him and the purchaser, a lien on the estate for the amount of the money (*m*); and the lien attaches in equity as well after as before conveyance (*n*).

Lien after conveyance.

At law before the Jud. Act (*o*), the vendor, after conveyance, had no lien on the estate or the title deeds for the unpaid purchase-money (*p*); but, by sect. 25, sub-sect. 11; of that Act, the rule in equity prevails in all Courts.

Re-conveyance by mortgagee before payment.

A mortgagee, reconveying without being paid off, or being paid by bills that are dishonoured, has a similar lien, it seems, to that of a vendor (*q*).

Priority as between lien and subsequent mortgage.

When a conveyance passing the legal estate is executed, the lien is postponed to a subsequent mortgagee from the purchaser without notice (*r*).

Lien postponed to subsequent mortgage by deposit.

The lien of a vendor for unpaid purchase-money was postponed to an equitable deposit of the title deeds by the purchaser, on the ground that the vendor, by parting with the deeds, has put it into the power of the purchaser to deal with the estate as absolute owner (*s*).

Lien of trustee vendor.

Now, by sect. 55 of the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, a receipt for consideration money in the body of a

(*i*) The question of the lien of vendors and purchasers, and other liens, is here considered only with reference to priorities as between them and mortgages. The detailed consideration of the nature and extent of liens would be foreign to the scope of the present treatise.

(*k*) See *Mackreth v. Symmons*, 15 Ves. 328, 337; *Coppin v. Coppin*, 2 P. Wms. 291; *Saunders v. Leslie*, 2 Ba. & Be. 514. But the receipt was conclusive at law, unless merely fraudulent. *Rountree v. Jacob*, 2 Taunt. 141. And see *Lampon v. Corke*, 5 B. & Ald. 606; *Winter v. Lord Anson*, 1 S. & St. 444, and *Worthington v. Morgan*, 16 Sim. 647.

(*l*) *Pollexfen v. Moore*, 3 Atk. 272; *Blackburn v. Gregson*, 1 Bro. C. C. 424.

(*m*) *Blackburn v. Gregson*, *sup.*; *Mackreth v. Symmons*, *sup.*; *Cowell v. Simpson*, 16 Ves. 279; *Smith v. Hubbard*, 2 Dick. 730; *Harrison v. Southcote*, 2 Ves. Sen. 389; *Chapman v. Tanner*, 1 Vern. 267.

(*n*) *Wrouth v. Davies*, 25 Beav. 369.

(*o*) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66.

(*p*) *Goode v. Burton*, 1 Exch. 189; *Esdaile v. Oxenham*, 3 B. & Cr. 225.

(*q*) *Teed v. Carruthers*, 2 Y. & O. C. C. 31.

(*r*) *Smith v. Evans*, 28 Beav. 59.

(*s*) *Rice v. Rice*, 2 Drew. 73. See *Stanhope v. Earl Verney*, Butler's note, 1 Co. Lit. 290 b xv.

deed is, in favour of a purchaser without notice, sufficient evidence of payment of the money; and accordingly where a trustee for sale, acting within the scope of his authority, sold property and executed the conveyance, but parted with the deed without receiving the purchase-money, it was held that a subsequent mortgagee by deposit of the conveyance without notice had priority over the lien of the trustee or his *cestuis que trust* (t).

Generally, however, if, by reason of the legal estate being outstanding or otherwise, the purchaser gives a mere equitable charge on the property before payment of the purchase-money, then, the equities being equal, the rule "*qui prior est tempore, potior est jure*" applies, and the vendor's lien will prevail over the charge of the equitable incumbrancer, though without notice (u). In the case referred to, it would seem that the equitable mortgagee had not the title deeds (x), but this fact cannot with certainty be collected from the report.

Lien not generally postponed to mere equitable charge.

The lien will bind the lands in the hands of the party himself and his heirs, and also of volunteers, and *bond fide* purchasers with notice claiming under him (y). And the vendor's lien will, if the deed of conveyance be retained by him, prevail against a mortgagee or purchaser from the vendee with a legal conveyance, who neglects to inquire after the deed (z). If the land is in a registry county, the vendor is not bound to have an agreement in writing registered (a).

Lien binds assignees with notice.

A vendor's lien for unpaid purchase-money has been held to extend to trade machinery affixed to the freehold, and as there was nothing which could be registered, the Bills of Sale Acts (b) did not apply, so as to deprive the lien of its validity or priority for want of registration (c). But a lien or charge over goods given on terms embodied in a written agreement is within the mischief of the Acts (d).

Lien on machinery.

Where land taken by a railway company is found to be unsaleable, the vendor's lien may be enforced by restraining the

Enforcement of vendor's lien against railway company.

(t) *Lloyd's Banking Co. v. Bullock*, (1896) 2 Ch. 192. *Secus*, if the trustee had sold without authority, see *ante*, p. 1310.

(u) *Mackreth v. Symmons*, 15 Ves. 328, 350.

(z) See Sug. V. & P. (14th ed.) 682. And see *Rice v. Rice*, 2 Drew. 73 at p. 82.

(y) *Mackreth v. Symmons*, 15 Ves. 328, 337; *Walker v. Preswick*, 2 Ves. Sen. 622; *Elliot v. Edwards*, 3 B. & P.

183; *Gibbons v. Braddall*, 2 Eq. Ca. Abr. 682 D; *Davies v. Thomas*, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 234.

(s) *Worthington v. Morgan*, 16 Sim.

547. (a) *Kettlewell v. Watson*, 26 Ch. D. 501, C. A.

(b) *Ante*, pp. 189 *et seq.*

(c) *Re Vulcan Ironworks Co.*, W. N. (1888) 37.

(d) *Coburn v. Collins*, 35 Ch. D. 373.

CHAP. LIX.

company from running trains and continuing in possession of the land (e).

Parol assign-
ment of lien.

A mere parol assignment of his lien for value, by the vendor to a third person, seems to be within the Statute of Frauds. But if, at the time of the purchase, it be agreed by parol between the vendor and purchaser and a third person that such third person shall have the benefit of such lien, and the title deeds are at the same time deposited with, or are then in the possession of such third person, the latter can enforce the lien.

In *Dryden v. Frost* (f), the person claiming the benefit of the lien for the unpaid purchase-money by parol agreement was in possession of the title deeds, on which he had a lien for his costs as attorney of the vendor and as the representative of an equitable incumbrancer. In *Meux v. Smith* (g), where part of the purchase-money was advanced by the landlord on the sale of a sub-lease by the lessee, and a simultaneous deposit of the sub-lease made, the landlord was held to have a lien; but the deposit was made the sole ground of the judgment; so that, independently of the deposit of title deeds, there is no express authority that a third person can, by parol agreement, acquire the benefit of the vendor's lien (h).

Discharge of
vendor's lien
by taking
security.

A vendor's lien may be discharged by clear evidence of the intention of the parties that the estate shall not be a security for the unpaid purchase-money, as if a charge on the purchased lands, or, apparently, on other lands of the purchaser is taken to secure the money; for it cannot have been intended that the vendor should have a double mortgage (i).

Personal
security does
not discharge
lien.

The question in all the cases is whether the security given is a substitution for the purchase-money, and it becomes a question of intention and depends upon the circumstances of each case.

Notwithstanding old cases (k), it is settled that a mere personal security, whether a bond (l), bill of exchange (m), promissory note (n), or the like, without more (o), and whether

(e) *Allgood v. Merrybent and Darlington Rail. Co.*, 33 Ch. D. 571.

(f) 3 My. & Cr. 670.

(g) 11 Sim. 410.

(h) But see the judgment in *Dryden v. Frost*, *sup.*

(i) See *Nairn v. Prowse*, 6 Ves. 752.

(k) *Fawell v. Hælis*, Amb. 724; *Bond v. Kent*, 2 Vern. 281; *Nairn v. Prowse*, 6 Ves. 752.

(l) *Hearle v. Botsellers*, Cary, 25. And see *Winter v. Lord Anson*, 3 Russ. 488; 31 Beav. 346.

(m) *Hughes v. Kearney*, 1 Sch. & L. 136; *Grant v. Mills*, 2 V. & B. 309; *Exp. Peake*, 1 Madd. 346; *Gunn v. Bolekew Vaughan*, L. R. 10 Ch. A. 492.

(n) *Gibbons v. Braddall*, 2 Eq. Ca. Abr. 682 D.

(o) *Mackreth v. Symmons*, 15 Ves. 337.

negotiated or not (*p*), will not of itself be sufficient to remove the lien; nor is there any distinction on the point between freeholds and copyholds, the lien equally affecting each species of property (*q*). And the same would seem to apply to a covenant by the vendee for payment; at least if the conveyance is not expressed to be made in consideration of the covenant (*r*).

CHAP. LIX.

A mortgage of other lands for the whole or part of the purchase-money (*s*), or a mortgage of the purchased estate for part of the purchase-money, permitting the rest to remain on personal security (*t*), has been thought sufficient for the purpose of discharging the lien on the purchased estate, in the first instance wholly, and in the second instance to the amount of the money remaining on the personal security.

Mortgage will discharge lien.

So a bond and mortgage of part of the estate have been held to exclude the lien of the vendor on the residue (*u*).

A fortiori, the vendor's lien will be discharged if he has notice of a trust affecting the purchase-money, and deals with the trustee-purchaser in a manner inconsistent with the duty of the latter (*x*). So, where the purchaser was a trustee, a loan by the vendor to the trustee of part of the purchase-money on deposit of the title deeds, was held void, and the lien gone (*y*).

Where purchase is with trust money.

Where land was sold to a company in consideration of paid up shares and debenture bonds of the company, the lien was excluded; no money was ever to be paid (*z*).

Sale for debentures, &c.

The vendor cannot proceed to enforce his lien and his collateral securities at the same time (*a*), and he will be postponed to a mortgage of the estate made to secure a part of the purchase-money advanced by such mortgagee, if he is an assenting party to the mortgage (*b*).

Lien and collateral securities not enforceable together.

This doctrine of the vendor's lien applies to chattels real, but not to other personal estate; for as soon as the vendee of goods has possession, actual or constructive, the lien is gone (*c*), and after the delivery of part, there can be no stoppage *in transitu*

Vendor's lien does not apply to personal chattels.

(*p*) *Exp. Learing*, 2 Rose, 79.
 (*q*) *Winter v. Lord Anson*, 3 Russ. 488.
 (*r*) See *Clarke v. Royle*, 3 Sim. 502;
Buckland v. Pocknell, 13 Sim. 406.
 (*s*) *Nairn v. Prowse*, 6 Ves. 752;
Eyre v. Sadler, 15 Ir. Ch. R. 1. But see as to the first point, *per* Lord Eldon in *Mackreth v. Symmons*, 15 Ves. 328 at p. 341; and *Saunders v. Lealie*, 2 Ba. & Be. 509.
 (*t*) *Bond v. Kent*, 2 Vern. 281.

(*u*) *Capper v. Spottiswoode*, Tambl. 21.
 (*x*) See *White v. Wakefield*, 7 Sim. 401.
 (*y*) *Muir v. Jolly*, 26 Beav. 143.
 (*z*) *Re Brentwood Brick and Coal Co.*, 4 Ch. D. 562, C. A.
 (*a*) *Nairn v. Prowse*, 6 Ves. 752;
Barker v. Smark, 3 Beav. 64.
 (*b*) *Bond v. Kent*, 2 Vern. 281; *Cood v. Pollard*, 9 Pri. 544; 10 Pri. 109.
 (*c*) See 15 Ves. 344.

CHAP. LIX.

of the remainder (*d*), though there may be an equitable stoppage *in transitu*, after indorsement of the bills of lading, subject to the claim of the indorsees (*e*).

ii.—Lien of Purchaser on Rescission of Contract.—If a purchaser advance all or any part of the money to the vendor, and the contract is broken off, an implied contract arises, by which the purchaser has a lien on the land (*f*); and if the purchaser properly declines to complete, he has a lien for the deposit and interest on unpaid purchase-money, and for interest on the payments (*g*), and also for the costs of a suit by himself or the vendor to compel performance of the contract (*h*), and this lien attaches on the deeds (*i*). If the purchase goes off through the fault of the purchaser, of course he has no lien for what he has paid (*j*).

Re-sale by vendee.

And so if the vendee has resold before completion, the sub-purchaser will have a lien for what he has paid upon the interest which the vendee had acquired by part payment of the purchase-money (*k*).

Lien of evicted purchaser on purchase-money.

If the purchaser, after paying his purchase-money, has been evicted, it seems that he has a lien on the purchase-money, if it can be ear-marked, against the vendor, but not against an assignee of the fund for valuable consideration without notice (*l*).

When the vendor is a mortgagee.

If the vendor, when the contract goes off after payment of the purchase-money, is a mortgagee, the lien of the purchaser will attach only upon the interest of the mortgagee (*m*); and if the mortgagee is trustee for others, the lien may affect their interests also (*m*). In one case, the Court followed the purchase-money into the stock into which it had been invested by the vendor, and that notwithstanding a transfer to a third person (*n*).

(*d*) *Exp. Gwynne*, 12 Ves. 383; *Crawshay v. Eades*, 1 B. & Cr. 181.

(*e*) *Spalding v. Ruding*, 6 Beav. 376. See as to stoppage *in transitu*, Blackburn on Sales, 210; Benjamin on Sales, 2nd ed. p. 689.

(*f*) See *Burgess v. Wheate*, 1 W. Bl. 123; *Lacon v. Mertins*, 3 Atk. 4; *Mackreth v. Symmons*, 15 Ves. 328, 337.

(*g*) *Ross v. Watson*, 10 H. L. C. 672; *Wythes v. Lee*, 3 Drew. 396; *Turner v. Marriott*, L. R. 3 Eq. 744; *Aberaman Iron Works v. Wickens*, L. R. 4 Ch. A. 101; *Torrance v. Bolton*, L. R. 8 Ch.

A. 118.

(*h*) *Middleton v. Magney*, 2 H. & M. 233; *Turner v. Marriott*, L. R. 3 Eq. 744.

(*i*) *Ozenham v. Eadaile*, 2 Y. & J. 493; 3 Y. & J. 262; *Eadaile v. Ozenham*, 3 B. & Cr. 225.

(*j*) *Dinn v. Grant*, 5 De G. & S. 451.

(*k*) *Aberaman Iron Works v. Wickens*, L. R. 4 Ch. A. 101.

(*l*) *Cator v. Earl of Pembroke*, 1 Bro. C. C. 301.

(*m*) *Wythes v. Lee*, 3 Drew. 396.

(*n*) *Small v. Attwood*, Yo. 507.

The case in question, however, was reversed on other grounds, and has been questioned (o).

CHAP. LIX.

The equitable deposit of the title deeds by the vendor before completion generally attaches to the unpaid purchase-money (p); and the purchaser's right to have the property conveyed to him on payment of the purchase-money is paramount over the rights of the equitable mortgagee in respect of the land (q).

Deposit of deeds by vendor.

iii.—Liens for Expenses of preserving, maintaining, or improving Property.—It is a general principle that a lien cannot be acquired merely by the outlay of money upon the property of another by a person having no title to or interest in the property without a contract express or implied (r).

A man who enters into a contract to expend a certain sum of money on land, and, after spending part of it, declines to perform the contract, has no lien on the land for the money which he has expended (s).

Partial repudiation of contract to expend money.

No lien belongs to a tenant in common against the share of his co-tenant for payments in respect of the estate (t). On the same ground it was held that one joint owner of a house has no lien for repairs and substantial improvements made by him out of his own moneys (u).

No lien of joint owner against co-owners.

So, also, where the solicitor of an executrix out of his own moneys paid off a claim on the testator's estate, it was held that he did not thereby, as against creditors of the testator, acquire a lien on the estate or the title deeds for the sum so paid (x). And a guardian who paid off an incumbrance on an infant's estate was not entitled to a lien on the property (y).

No lien for expenditure by stranger.

So a person who lays out money on property, which he has bought without any title, has no lien on the estate as against the rightful owner, or those claiming under him (z).

But a different rule prevails where a person who has laid out money on property of another *bond fide* believes himself to

Lien for expenditure by person

(o) Sug. V. & P., 14th ed. 266.

(p) *Rayne v. Baker*, 1 Giff. 241.

(q) *Flinn v. Fountain*, W. N. (1889) 32.

(r) *Murray v. Pinkett*, 12 Cl. & F. 764; *Burridge v. Rowe*, 1 Y. & C. O. C. 183, 191; *Clack v. Holland*, 19 Beav. 277.

(s) *Wallis v. Smith*, 21 Ch. D. 245, C. A.

(t) *Exp. Young*, 2 V. & B. 242 (not-

withstanding *Doddington v. Hallett*, 1 Ves. Sen. 497). See *Exp. Leslie*, 3 L. J. N. S. Bky. 4; *Swan v. Swan* 8 Pri. 518; *Leigh v. Dickeson*, 15 Q. B. D. 60, C. A.

(u) *Kay v. Johnstone*, 21 Beav. 536.

(x) *Christian v. Field*, 2 Ha. 177.

(y) *Hooper v. Eyles*, 2 Vern. 479.

(z) *Ridgway v. Roberts*, 4 Ha. 106, 110.

CHAP. LIX.

having or
claiming
interest.

be, entitled to or interested in the property. Thus a lien for improvements *bond fide* made by a person in wrongful possession, under the belief that he is the absolute owner, has been allowed (a); or by one in possession under a deed which is afterwards set aside for fraud or other good ground, or which is held to pass a redeemable interest (b); or by a purchaser in possession under a contract with the remainderman upon the faith of a promise by the tenant for life to concur, which he refused to do (c).

Lien for
expenditure
incurred in
expectation
of benefit.

On the same principle, a person will be allowed a lien for money expended on property of another, where the owner has held out to him a representation that he will enjoy the benefit of his expenditure. So a tenant in possession under a contract for a lease which goes off for want of title, has a lien for his outlay (d), but not where the tenant rejects the lease (e). So where sons were allowed by their father, the owner of a granary, to expend moneys on it for their business (f); otherwise, where there was an implied contract by a son-in-law occupying a house rent free to keep the premises in repair (g).

Lien of
tenant for
life.

As a general rule, a tenant for life has no lien upon the estate for the moneys expended by him in substantial improvements (h), unless done in conformity with a statute (i). But, under special circumstances, a tenant for life has been allowed a lien for repairs and improvements (k).

Where a tenant for life paid off a mortgage and took an assignment, and made improvements upon the mortgage premises, he was allowed no lien for those made before the assignment of the mortgage, but he was allowed two-thirds of those made after, but not the other third, as he had the benefit during his life; and no interest on the value of the improvements was

(a) *Neesom v. Clarkson*, 4 Ha. 97; *Thorne v. Newman*, Finch, 38. See *Swan v. Swan*, 8 Pri. 518.

(b) *Mulhellen v. Marum*, 3 Dr. & War. 317, 337; *Fee v. Cobine*, 11 Ir. Eq. R. 406; *Mill v. Hill*, 3 H. L. C. 829.

(c) *Ludlow v. Grayall*, 11 Pri. 58.

(d) *Middleton v. Magney*, 2 H. & M. 233.

(e) *Exp. Ladd*, 3 D. & C. 647.

(f) *Unity Bank v. King*, 25 Beav. 72; 4 Jur. N. S. 470.

(g) *Millard v. Harvey*, 34 Beav. 237.

(h) *Caldecott v. Brown*, 2 Ha. 144;

Nairn v. Majoribanks, 3 Russ. 582.

See *Hibbert v. Cooke*, 1 S. & St. 552;

Bostock v. Blakeney, 2 Bro. C. C. 653;

Dixon v. Peacock, 3 Drew. 288; *Mathias*

v. Mathias, 4 Jur. N. S. 780; *Hamer*

v. Tisley, John. 363; *Dent v. Dent*,

30 Beav. 363; *Sarsshaw v. Gibbs*, Kay,

333; *Flower v. Banks*, L. R. 8 Eq. 115;

Gilliland v. Crawford, 1 R. 4 Eq. 35;

Leigh's Estate, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 887.

(i) *Exp. Davies*, 3 De G. & J. 144;

4 Jur. N. S. 1029.

(k) *Harris v. Poyner*, 1 Drew. 174;

Macnolly v. Fishherbert, 3 Jur. N. S.

1237; *Re Barrington's Settlement*, 1 J.

& H. 142.

allowed during his life, as a tenant for life must keep down the interest (*l*).

CHAP. XIX.

All trustees have a lien on the trust estate for money properly expended thereon (*m*). They have a right of indemnity against, and thus a lien upon, the property of the trust, in priority over charges created by the *cestuis que trust*. Thus, trustees of a company have priority for any sums due to them in priority over debenture-holders (*n*).

Lien of trustees.

Similarly, directors of a company, who have advanced money for the purchase of an estate, have a lien, although the conveyance recited that the estate was purchased with the money of the company (*o*); and trustees have a lien under similar circumstances (*p*).

But if a trustee or guardian borrows money from a third person to pay off an incumbrance on the trust estate, the third person has no lien (*q*), though he is entitled to stand in the place of the trustee (*r*).

Trustees have no lien for expenses not authorized by the terms of their trust (*s*). But where trustees have power to carry on a business, they have a lien on the business for their expenses, and creditors of the business can stand in their place (*t*). Trustees who have incurred costs reasonably and *bonâ fide* in an action for protecting the trust property may retain such costs out of income until provision can be made for raising them out of the *corpus* (*u*).

The right of a trustee to be indemnified for expenditure in relation to the trust estate is strictly limited to that estate (*x*).

A lien is sometimes allowed on the ground of salvage for expenditure in preserving or protecting the property incurred by persons interested therein (*y*).

Salvage lien.

So, where a person having a partial interest in an estate pays renewal fines or the like, so as to preserve the property for the

Payment of renewal fines, &c.

(*l*) *Newling v. Abbot*, Vin. Abr. Account (D. A.) 8, p. 125; 2 Eq. Ca. Abr. 596.

(*m*) *Darke v. Williamson*, 25 Beav. 622.

(*n*) *Re Exhall, &c. Co.*, 35 Beav. 449; *Pooley Hall Coll. Co.*, 18 W. R. 201.

(*o*) *Re Imperial Salt, &c. Co.*, 2 W. R. 122.

(*p*) *Re Pumfrey*, 22 Ch. D. 255.

(*q*) *Hooper v. Eyles*, 2 Vern. 480.

(*r*) *Re Pumfrey, sup.*

(*s*) *Leedham v. Chawner*, 4 K. & J. 458. See *Malins v. Greenway*, 7 Ha. 391; *Strickland v. Symons*, 26 Ch. D. 245, C. A.

(*t*) *Re Johnson*, 15 Ch. D. 553. See *Exp. Garland*, 10 Ves. 110, 120; *Exp. Edmonds*, 4 De G. F. & J. 488, 498.

(*u*) *Stott v. Milne*, 25 Ch. D. 710, C. A.

(*x*) *Re Earl of Winchelsea's Policy Trusts*, 39 Ch. D. 168.

(*y*) See as to salvage with regard to ships, &c., *post*, p. 1393.

CHAP. XIX.

Payment of
premiums on
policies.

Rules laid
down in
Re Leslie.

Payment of
premiums by
mortgagor.

Mortgagor
has generally
no lien against
mortgagee.

benefit of all persons interested, he will be allowed a lien on the estate (z).

So, also, where a person interested in a policy of insurance pays out of his own moneys premiums necessary to keep up the policy, he may, in some cases, be entitled to a lien as against other persons interested in the policy (a).

It has, however, been laid down (b), that where a person who is not the sole beneficial owner of a policy of life assurance pays the premiums to keep up such policy, he is entitled to a lien on the property or its proceeds only in one or other of the following cases:—(1) By contract with a beneficial owner of the policy (c); (2) by reason of the right of trustees to an indemnity out of their trust property for money expended by them in its preservation (d); (3) by subrogation of this right of trustees of some person who may at their request have advanced money for the preservation of the property (e); (4) by reason of the right of mortgagees or other persons having a charge on a policy to add to their charge any moneys which have been paid by them to preserve the property (f).

In one case a lien was allowed on the ground of salvage as against a mortgagee, in favour of a mortgagor who had continued to pay the premiums on the mortgaged policy after his liability so to do had been determined by his bankruptcy (g).

As a general rule, however, a mortgagor having an equity of redemption, or an ultimate interest in an estate or fund, cannot create a charge or lien in his own favour, as against his mortgagee, in respect of expenses incurred in preserving or improving the property (h).

Where a builder mortgaged the benefit of a contract, which was voidable if the building should not be finished by a certain day, and afterwards executed a creditor's deed; the trustee of the deed, by arrangement with the owners of the land, completed the building out of his own moneys; it was held that the lien

(s) *Manlove v. Bale*, 2 Vern. 84; *Lacon v. Martins*, 3 Atk. 4; *Hamilton v. Denny*, 1 Ba. & Be. 199; *Jones v. Jones*, 5 Ha. 440 at p. 465; *Fetherstone v. Mitchell*, 9 Ir. Eq. Rep. 480.

(a) *Burridge v. Rouce*, 1 Y. & C. C. O. 183. See *West v. Reid*, 2 Ha. 249; *Gill v. Downing*, L. R. 17 Eq. 316.

(b) *Re Leslie*, *Leslie v. French*, 23 Ch. D. 552 at p. 560. See *Falcke v. Scottish, &c. Co.*, 34 Ch. D. 234.

(c) *Aylwin v. Witty*, 30 L. J. Ch. 860.

(d) *Clack v. Holland*, 19 Beav. 262. See *Re Earl of Winchelsea's Policy Trusts*, 39 Ch. D. 168, *supra*, p. 1379.

(e) *Gill v. Downing*, L. R. 17 Eq. 316; *Todd v. Moorhouse*, L. R. 19 Eq. 69.

(f) See *ante*, pp. 1197, 1198.

(g) *Shearman v. British Empire Insurance Co.*, L. R. 14 Eq. 4.

(h) *Saunders v. Dummer*, 7 Ch. D. 284. See *Norris v. Caledonian Insurance Co.*, L. R. 8 Eq. 127.

for the money so paid had priority over the mortgage (i). But such a lien was held not to prevail against a mortgagee who had not exercised his power of taking the work out of the original contractor's hands (k).

CHAP. XIX.

A shipwright has a lien on a ship for repairs so long as he remains in possession (l). In an action by the mortgagee of a ship, material men with a possessory lien intervened. The proceeds of sale of the ship not being sufficient to satisfy the interveners' claim, it was held that the mortgagee was entitled to his costs of action up to sale, in priority to the claims of the interveners (m).

Lien of shipwright.

Special reason for allowing a lien on the ground of salvage occurs in the case of mines and works where, owing to their perishable nature, immediate expenditure for their preservation or protection from risk is a matter of urgency (n).

Lien in case of mines, &c.

iv.—Lien for Breach of Trust.—Where trust moneys are invested upon improper securities, the persons interested have a lien on the securities into which they are traced (o). Similarly where they are invested in the purchase or improvement of land (p); and it is the same though the moneys be applied indirectly in repayment of the money borrowed for the immediate purchase-money (q).

Purchaser with trust moneys.

Where an estate in settlement has been sold under the powers in the deed, and the tenant for life, having received the purchase-money, has invested it, together with money of his own, in other lands, and taken the conveyance to himself in fee, the Courts will decree a lien on the estate so purchased to the amount of the trust money, although many years may have elapsed during which the tenant for life has held the property as his own (r).

Purchase by tenant for life in his own name.

If the tenant for life under a settlement fraudulently obtain possession of a part of the settled property, or a party to the

(i) *Twyth v. Hallett*, L. R. 4 Ch. A. 242.

(k) *Drew & Co. v. Josolyne*, 18 Q. B. D. 590, O. A.

(l) *Franklin v. Hosier*, 4 B. & Ad. 341. See *Neptune*, 3 Knapp, 96.

(m) *Sherbro*, 52 L. J. P. D. & A. 28.

(n) *Scott v. Nesbitt*, 14 Ves. 438; *Sayers v. Whitfield*, 1 Knapp, 133.

(o) *Mant v. Leith*, 15 Beav. 524; *Harford v. Lloyd*, 20 Beav. 310.

(p) *Lane v. Dighton*, Amb. 409; *Lewis v. Madocks*, 17 Ves. 57; *Williams v. Thomas*, 2 Dr. & S. 29; *Phayre v. Peree*, 3 Dow, 116; *Re Pumsfrey*, 22 Ch. D. 255.

(q) *Hopper v. Conyers*, L. R. 2 Eq. 549.

(r) *Price v. Blakemore*, 6 Beav. 507; *Birds v. Askey*, 24 Beav. 618.

CHAP. LIX.

Trustee
having bene-
ficial interest.

settlement does not perform his part of the agreement, a lien is created to the amount of the abstraction or of the deficiency on any other property which the same party takes under the same or a corresponding settlement (*s*), even as against an assignee for valuable consideration (*t*).

Where a trustee has a beneficial interest under a will, and commits a breach of trust, the estate has a lien upon the interest of the trustee to make good the breach of trust (*u*), and if the trustee makes an equitable mortgage of his interest, the mortgage will be subject to the estate's lien (*x*); and the lien attaches upon a derivative interest of the trustee as next of kin of a *cestui que trust* (*y*); but the principle does not apply where the trustee holds a dry legal estate only (*z*), nor where the estate of the trustee sought to be impounded is a legal devise for life (*a*). So where an executor assigns his reversionary legacy, and afterwards wastes the assets, the legacy is liable to make good the *devastavit*, even against the assignee of the legacy (*b*).

So where a legatee is ordered to pay the costs of the executor, the latter can deduct the costs out of the legacy, even against an assignee for value, pending the suit (*c*), in the same way as he can deduct a debt of the legatee to the estate (*d*).

So a debt of an executor will be set off against costs due to him, and if an executor joins a defaulting co-executor, he will only be entitled to a proportion of his costs (*e*).

What cove-
nants to
settle, &c.
create a lien.

V.—Lien arising out of Covenants to settle or charge Land.—

A general covenant to settle or charge land may amount to a lien, if given for good consideration, and if the particular lands intended to be settled or charged are identified, either by the

(*s*) *Smith v. Smith*, 1 Y. & C. Ex. 338; *Priddy v. Rose*, 3 Mer. 86; *Wood-yatt v. Grosley*, 8 Sim. 180. And see *Burridge v. Rowe*, 8 Jur. 299; *Exp. Makins*, 2 M. D. & De G. 508.

(*t*) *Dibbs v. Goren*, 11 Beav. 483.

(*u*) *Cole v. Muddle*, 10 Ha. 186; *Clack v. Holland*, 19 Beav. 262; *Barnett v. Sheffield*, 1 De G. M. & G. 371; *Wilkins v. Sibley*, 4 Giff. 442.

(*x*) *Ibid.*; *Priddy v. Rose*, 3 Mer. 86.
(*y*) *Jacobs v. Ryland*, L. R. 17 Eq. 341.

(*z*) *British Mutual Investment Co. v. Smart*, L. R. 10 Ch. A. 567.

(*a*) *Egbert v. Butter*, 21 Beav. 560; *Exp. Barff*, De G. 613; *Fox v. Buckley*, 3 Ch. D. 508.

(*b*) *Morris v. Lirie*, 1 Y. & C. C. C. 380; *Barnett v. Sheffield*, 1 De G. M. & G. 371; *Hopkins v. Gowan*, 1 Moll. 561.

(*c*) *Re Knapman*, 18 Ch. D. 300, C. A.
(*d*) *Ibid.* at p. 304.

(*e*) *Harmer v. Harris*, 1 Russ. 155; *Smith v. Dale*, 18 Ch. D. 516, Jessel, M. R., dissenting from *Watson v. Row*, L. R. 18 Eq. 680, V.-C. Hall. See *McEwan v. Crombie*, 25 Ch. D. 175.

instrument containing the covenant, or by a subsequent instrument, or are otherwise capable of being identified (*f*).

CHAP. LIX.

So where a person covenants to pay to trustees a certain sum of money to be laid out in the purchase of lands, and does not pay the money, but afterwards purchases lands, but does not settle them, the lands will be deemed to have been purchased in fulfilment of the covenant, and will be subject to a lien in favour of persons interested under the settlement, even though the price of the estate exceeds the amount covenanted to be laid out (*g*).

Breach of covenant to settle land.

Such a lien will not, however, attach to lands to which the covenantor was entitled at the time of entering into his covenant, though subsequently conveyed to him.

But a general indefinite covenant to settle lands of a certain value by deed or will, will not, it seems, be enforced as against an alienee by conveyance *inter vivos* from the covenantor, nor after the covenantor's death against his other creditors (*h*); though it seems that, on principle, such a covenant should be enforced against the heir or devisee (*i*).

General indefinite covenant creates no lien.

A lien arising out of a covenant to settle or charge land will of course be postponed to a subsequent legal mortgage of the estate for valuable consideration without notice (*k*).

Priority between lien and legal mortgage.

But a mere equitable charge, though accompanied by a deposit of deeds, will not prevail against such a lien which is prior in point of time (*l*). So where a man received a sum of money from his wife, and covenanted to purchase land with it and settle same, land purchased with the money by the husband, though conveyed to himself, was bound by the trusts of the settlement in priority to bankers with whom the title deeds were deposited (*m*).

Priority between lien and equitable mortgage.

A mortgagee or purchaser with notice of a lien of this nature

Mortgagee bound by notice.

(*f*) See *ante*, pp. 50, 51; and the cases cited in the notes.

(*g*) *Sowden v. Sowden*, 1 Bro. C. C. 582; *Lechmere v. Lechmere*, 3 P. Wms. 211; *Wilcox v. Wilcox*, 2 Vern. 558. And as to copyholds, see *Att.-Gen. v. Whorwood*, 1 Ves. Sen. 441; *Wilks v. Wilks*, 2 Eq. Ca. Abr. 218, pl. 3.

(*h*) *Fremoult v. Dedire*, 1 P. Wms. 429; *Hedges v. Everard*, 1 Eq. Ca. Abr. 18; *Ravenshaw v. Hollier*, 7 Sim. 3; *Williams v. Lucas*, 2 Cox, 161;

Mornington v. Keane, 2 De G. & J. 292.

(*i*) *Tooke v. Hastings*, 2 Vern. 97; *Wellesley v. Wellesley*, 4 My. & Cr. 561. Though see *Sug. V. & P.* (ed. 14), 708; *Suffield v. Suffield*, 3 Mer. 699.

(*k*) *Exp. Poole*, 17 L. J. Bky. 12.

(*l*) *Ravenshaw v. Hollier*, 7 Sim. 3.

(*m*) *Manningford v. Toleman*, 1 Coll. 670. And see *Lane v. Dighton*, Amb. 409; *Lewis v. Madocks*, 17 Ves. 48, 57; *Price v. Blakemore*, 6 Beav. 507.

CHAP. LIX.

will be bound thereby, except in the case above mentioned of a general indefinite covenant.

vi.—Lien of Solicitor.—The lien of a solicitor is on papers and documents, or on judgments and funds, or under the stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 127, s. 28.

General lien
for costs.

A solicitor has a general lien for his costs, &c., on the papers of his client in his hands (*n*). And the client is entitled, in consequence of such lien, to have the costs taxed (*o*).

Priority of
lien of solicitor
on deeds.

The effect of the general lien of a solicitor for his costs upon the papers of his client, as regards the question of priority, was much discussed in some cases before Sir E. Sugden in Ireland; and the result seems to be, that this lien, being a mere right of retainer until the debt is satisfied, does not give any interest in, or right to, the land; and that it does not, as regards priority of incumbrances, possess the character of an incumbrance, the result of contract. It only binds the interest in the deeds which is from time to time left in the client, and therefore it is subject to incumbrances, whether legal or equitable, affecting the property, to which the deeds relate, at the time of the deposit with him (*p*); or, as regards future costs, is subject to incumbrances (including judgments) which are subsequently created by the client, while the deeds are in his, the solicitor's, hands, but before such future costs are incurred (*q*). And accordingly, in *Blunden v. Desart* (*r*), where a judgment was entered up against the client at the time that the title deeds were in the hands of the solicitor, it was held that the judgment prevailed against the lien of the solicitor for costs incurred due to him after the date of entering up the judgment. The observations, therefore, of Lord Cottenham in *Richards v. Platel* (*s*), assimilating the case of a solicitor's lien on the papers in his hands to that of any other creditor who holds security for his debt, must be confined to the consideration of the case as between him and his client, and not be extended further (*t*).

Policy of
life assurance.

So a solicitor in possession of a policy on which he has a lien

(*n*) *Stevenson v. Blakelock*, 1 M. & S. 535; *Warburton v. Edge*, 9 Sim. 508; *Christian v. Field*, 2 Ha. 177.

(*o*) *Re Barker*, 6 Sim. 476; *Re Rice*, 2 Keen, 181.

(*p*) *Smith v. Chichester*, 2 Dr. & War. 393; *Molenworth v. Robbins*, 2 J. & L. 358; *Pelly v. Wathen*, 1 De G.

M. & G. 16.

(*q*) *Blunden v. Desart*, 2 Dr. & War. 405.

(*r*) 2 Dr. & War. 405.

(*s*) Cr. & Ph. 79, 82.

(*t*) But see *Exp. Cleland*, L. R. 2 Ch. A. 813; and *Exp. Smith*, L. R. 3 Ch. A. 125.

for costs is not bound to give notice to the insurance company in order to ensure priority (*u*). CHAP. LIX.

The lien of a solicitor upon the title deeds of a company in his possession is not prevented by the insertion in debentures given by way of floating security that the company shall not be at liberty to charge any of its assets in priority to such security (*x*). Floating security.

A solicitor will not be allowed to assert his lien for costs on papers, so as to embarrass the proceedings in an action, after a change of solicitors, but must produce the documents, when necessary, subject to his lien (*y*). Lien not to embarrass proceedings.

This lien on papers appears to be a branch of the general common law lien which every one has on an article given to him to work on for the amount of labour expended thereon (*z*), whether fixed by contract or not (*a*); and it has been extended so as to give a certificated conveyancer or special pleader a lien on the papers in his hands, so far as respects his costs on that particular account (*b*). But in such a case the work must be done on the papers, so as to give the additional value, and not merely be done with and in respect of those papers (*c*). Lien of conveyancer or pleader.

Independently of statute, a solicitor has a specific lien for the costs of, and immediately connected with, the suit, but not further, upon a fund recovered by him in that suit (*d*). The lien attaches on money of the client in the hands of the solicitor to abide the result of the suit (*e*). This specific lien, unlike the general lien of a solicitor on documents, may be actively enforced. Specific lien of solicitor on fund in Court.

Where a fund has been transferred into the joint names of a client and a solicitor without declaration of trust, the lien for costs of proceedings connected with the transfer remains (*f*). Lien after transfer of fund.

This common law lien, unlike the statutory lien to be presently considered, gives merely a right of retainer, and, Extent of lien.

(*u*) *West of England Bank v. Batchelor*, W. N. (1882) 11.

(*x*) *Brunton v. Electrical Engineering Corp.*, (1892) 1 Ch. 434.

(*y*) *Re Boughton*, *Boughton v. Boughton*, 23 Ch. D. 169; *Re Galland*, 31 Ch. D. 296, C. A.; *Boden v. Hensby*, (1892) 1 Ch. 101; *Gerty v. Mann*, 29 L. R. Ir. 7.

(*z*) *Scarfe v. Morgan*, 4 M. & W. 270.

(*a*) *Chase v. Westmore*, 5 M. & S. 180.

(*b*) *Hollis v. Claridge*, 4 Taunt. 807.

(*c*) *Steadman v. Hockley*, 15 M. & W. 553.

(*d*) *Bozon v. Bolland*, 4 My. & Cr. 354; *Lucas v. Peacock*, 9 Beav. 177; *Hall v. Laver*, 1 Ha. 571; *Stedman v. Webb*, 4 My. & Cr. 346; *Re Bayly's Estate*, 12 Ir. Ch. 315.

(*e*) *Hanson v. Reece*, 3 Jur. N. S. 1204; *Verity v. Wyld*, 4 Drew. 427.

(*f*) *Re Robinson*, 5 Jur. N. S. 1020.

CHAP. LIX.

accordingly, is limited to the interest thereon of the party who employed the solicitor, though other parties coming in to take the benefit of the suit must first contribute their proportion of the costs (*g*).

For the same reason this lien does not attach to real estate (*h*).

The lien on the fund is not affected if the solicitor attaches, or takes any other proceeding against the person of, the debtor for the costs, though he may thereby lose the benefit of sect. 18 of 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, as to an order of the Court for payment (*i*); and he retains this lien after the death of his client against the general creditors (*k*).

Protection of lien.

Under special circumstances the lien of a solicitor will be protected by a stop order (*l*), or by an injunction restraining payments to the client without notice to the solicitor, until the application of the solicitor for a charging order can be heard (*m*).

Statutory lien against real estate and other property preserved in an action.

Before the passing of the Solicitors Act, 1860 (*n*), it was held that a solicitor had no lien for costs against real estate, either at law or in equity (*o*). But by sect. 28 of that Act it is provided that it shall be lawful for the Court or judge, before whom any suit, matter, or proceeding has been heard or is depending, to declare that any solicitor employed to prosecute or defend the same is entitled to a charge upon the property recovered or preserved, and thereupon such solicitor is to have a charge on the property of whatever nature, tenure, or kind the same may be; and all conveyances and acts done to defeat such charge, unless made to a *bond fide* purchaser for value without notice, are to be void as against such charge.

Nature of the statutory charge.

The charge is in the nature of salvage, ranking in priority to subsisting incumbrances, and may be made on the interest of persons who did not employ the solicitor and who were not parties to the suit, if they adopt the benefit obtained in it (*p*).

Priority over existing mortgage.

A mortgage of property, which is the subject of a suit, is postponed to the lien of a solicitor employed therein who subse-

(*g*) *Hall v. Laver*, 1 Ha. 571. See *Re Watson*, 53 L. J. Ch. 305.

(*h*) *Shaw v. Neale*, 6 H. L. C. 581.

(*i*) *Lloyd v. Mason*, 4 Ha. 132. And see *Davies v. Bush*, Yo. 351; *O'Brien v. Lewis*, 4 Giff. 396.

(*k*) *Lloyd v. Mason*, *sup.*

(*l*) *Hobson v. Shearwood*, 8 Beav. 487.

(*m*) *Verity v. Wyldes*, 4 Drew. 427;

Gerrard v. Dawes, 18 W. R. 32.

(*n*) 23 & 24 Vict. c. 127.

(*o*) *Shaw v. Neale*, 6 H. L. C. 581; disapproving of *Barnesley v. Powell*, Amb. 102.

(*p*) *Greer v. Young*, 24 Ch. D. 545, C. A.; *Charlton v. Charlton*, W. N. (1883) 141; *Scholey v. Peck*, (1893) 1 Ch. 709.

quently obtains a charging order under this Act, though such solicitor has approved the mortgage on his client's behalf (*q*).

CHAP. LXX.

The mere fact that a solicitor acts for the mortgagee as well as for the mortgagor will not deprive his lien of its priority over the mortgage (*r*).

This lien does not attach until an order has been made for the payment of the costs of the client out of the fund (*s*). When lien attaches.

Although the fact that a solicitor had no lien for his costs against real estate may have suggested the passing of the Act, it nevertheless applies to property of all kinds (*t*).

A liberal construction is put on the word "preserved"; where a receiver had been appointed of the real estate of an infant tenant in tail, it was held that the estate was "preserved" within the meaning of the Act (*u*), whether the appointment of the receiver were adverse (*x*) or by consent (*y*). Where the suit is for the benefit of all parties, as an administration suit, the lien attaches irrespective of the interest of the client (*y*). And a solicitor is entitled to a charging order, notwithstanding that his client compromises the action, and ceases to employ the solicitor (*z*). This, however, does not apply if nothing has been done beyond the appointment of a new trustee and the direction as to accounts (*a*). So where a trustee having a beneficial interest defended a suit against a claim made upon the whole estate, the solicitor of the trustee had a lien under the Act (*b*). Protecting an easement of real property, as a right to light, is not a "preserving" of property (*c*); and where the client was a tenant in tail, who died without barring the entail, the lien was held not to attach (*d*); but this latter case has been considered at variance with *Bailey v. Birchall* (*e*). Foreclosure obtained for the client is sufficient (*f*). The Act applies, though the incum-

Meaning of word "preserved."

(*q*) *Scholey v. Peek*, (1893) 1 Ch. 709; *Cole v. Eley*, (1894) 2 Q. B. 350, C. A. See *Dennis v. Addy* (1894), 1 Ir. R. 511 (decided under the corresponding Irish Act, 39 & 40 Vict. c. 44, s. 3).

(*r*) *Macfarlane v. Lister*, 37 Ch. D. 88, C. A. See *Brunton v. Electrical Engineering Corp.*, (1892) 1 Ch. 434.

(*s*) *Lord v. Colvin*, 2 Dr. & S. 82; *Re Green, Green v. Green*, 26 Ch. D. 16, C. A.

(*t*) *Birchall v. Pugin*, L. R. 10 C. P. 399.

(*u*) *Baile v. Baile*, L. R. 13 Eq. 497.

(*z*) *Twynnam v. Porter*, L. R. 11 Eq.

181.

(*y*) *Bailey v. Birchall*, 2 H. & M. 371.

(*z*) *Moxon v. Sheppard*, 24 Q. B. D. 627. See *Ross v. Buxton*, 42 Ch. D. 190.

(*a*) *Pinkerton v. Easton*, L. R. 16 Eq. 490.

(*b*) *Bulley v. Bulley*, 8 Ch. D. 479, C. A.

(*c*) *Foxon v. Gascoigne*, L. R. 9 Ch. A. 654.

(*d*) *Berrie v. Howitt*, L. R. 9 Eq. 1.

(*e*) 2 H. & M. 371; *Re Keane*, L. R. 12 Eq. 115, 120.

(*f*) *Wilson v. Round*, 4 Giff. 416; *Scholefield v. Lockwood*, L. R. 7 Eq. 83.

CHAP. IIX.

Property must be recovered in a suit.

Property must be directly affected by the suit.

Extent of power to charge.

Notice of lien.

What costs are charged.

brance, the subject of the suit, is valueless, if it is a cloud on the title (*g*). And the term "property recovered," includes a judgment obtained by the client (*h*).

The property must have been recovered in the suit (*i*). Money paid into Court is property recovered in the suit (*k*).

The Court refused to make a charging order in an action in favour of a solicitor who appeared for the plaintiff in that action and an award arising out of it, upon a fund paid into the Court of Bankruptcy by his client in a collateral proceeding against him, in which the solicitor did not act. An award was made in the action awarding that fund to him; but the Court held that the fund was not sufficiently directly affected by the action and award (*l*).

The lien attaches on the proceeds of sale of a ship sold under a subsequent suit, when the former suit was successfully defended, and in priority to claims for necessaries and wages (*m*).

The Court has power to charge the funds as against everybody interested, provided they are before the Court, but will not do so unless satisfied that the client who employed the solicitor was not able to pay the costs himself (*n*).

Every assignee from the client who knows of the existence of the suit has notice of the lien of the solicitor; and the solicitor need not therefore give notice to an intending assignee (*o*).

The order should be restricted to costs properly incurred in recovering or preserving the particular property (*p*). Costs between solicitor and client are generally not charged (*q*).

The solicitor is also entitled to charge the costs of his application (*r*).

The lien will not be extended to claims of a solicitor in respect of charges due to him in a capacity other than that of solicitor (*s*).

(*g*) *Jones v. Frost*, L. R. 7 Ch. A. 773.

(*h*) *Birchall v. Pugin*, L. R. 10 O. P. 397.

(*i*) *Greer v. Young*, 24 Ch. D. 545, O. A.

(*k*) *Clover v. Adams*, 6 Q. B. D. 622; *Emden v. Carte*, 12 Ch. D. 311, C. A.

(*l*) *Pierson v. Knutsford Est. Co.*, 32 W. R. 451, C. A.

(*m*) *Heinrich*, L. R. 3 A. & E. 505.

(*n*) *Jackson v. Smith*, W. N. (1884) 151.

(*o*) *Faithfull v. Ewen*, 7 Ch. D. 495, C. A. See *Paris*, (1896) P. 77.

(*p*) *Emden v. Carte*, 19 Ch. D. 311, C. A.; *Mackenzie v. Mackenzie*, 64 L. T. 706, C. A.

(*q*) *Harrison v. Cornwall, &c. Co.*, 50 L. T. 452. But see the form of order in *Twynham v. Porter*, L. R. 11 Eq. 188.

(*r*) *Haymes v. Cowper*, 33 Beav. 431; *Twynham v. Porter*, *sup.*; *Re Keane*, L. R. 12 Eq. 115, 124.

(*s*) *Re Walker, Meredith v. Walker*, 28 L. T. 517.

As the statutory lien only extends to costs of a suit, matter or proceeding tried before a Court or judge, it does not enable a charging order to be made for costs of an arbitration under the Lands Clauses Act (t).

The assignee of costs incurred to a solicitor may obtain a charging order on the property recovered by the solicitor in the action (u).

The statutory lien of a solicitor on the proceeds of an action is discharged if he accepts from his client a mortgage or other security for his costs in the action (x). But the taking a security for costs up to a certain date will not oust a solicitor's lien for costs incurred after that date (y).

Discharge of solicitor's lien.

The benefit of the lien may also be lost if a long time elapses before the solicitor applies for a charging order, especially if in the meantime there has been an alteration in the rights of parties (z).

If there is a change of solicitors during the action, the one who was actually the solicitor when the fund was recovered is entitled to the first lien on the fund for his costs of the action (a).

vii.—Liens of Bankers and Brokers.—By the law merchant, bankers (b), and brokers (c), have a lien on all bills, papers, and securities of their customers in their hands, unless there be an express contract or circumstance that shows an implied contract inconsistent with the lien (d); and the trustee in bankruptcy of the banker, by virtue of the lien, may sue the drawer of securities so deposited, which are payable to bearer (e).

But this species of lien only affects things which come into the hands of the party claiming it in the way of his trade, and

Extent of lien.

(t) *Macfarlane v. Lister*, 37 Ch. D. 88, C. A.

(u) *Baile v. Baile*, L. R. 13 Eq. 497; *Briscoe v. Briscoe*, (1892) 3 Ch. 543.

(x) *Groom v. Chesswright*, (1895) 1 Ch. 730. See *Bissell v. Bradford and District Tramways Co.*, W. N. (1893) 44; *Re Taylor, Stileman and Underwood, Ex p. Payne-Collier*, (1891) 1 Ch. 590, C. A.

(y) *Enniskillen Rail. Co. v. Collum*, 29 L. R. Ir. 421.

(z) *Roche v. Roche*, 29 L. R. Ir. 339, C. A.

(a) *Cormack v. Beisly*, 3 De G. & J.

157; *Re Wadsworth, Rhodes v. Sugden*, W. N. (1886) 171.

(b) *Davis v. Bowsher*, 5 T. R. 488; *Brandao v. Barnett*, 2 So. N. R. 96; *Bolland v. Bygrave*, Ry. & M. 271.

(c) *Hewison v. Guthrie*, 2 Bing. N. O. 755; *Jones v. Peppercorne*, John. 430.

(d) *London Chartered Bk. of Australia v. White*, 4 App. Cas. 413. See *Wilde v. Radford*, 9 Jur. N. S. 1110; *Scott v. Franklin*, 16 East, 428; *Leese v. Martin*, 17 Eq. 236; *Misa v. Currie*, 1 App. Cas. 554; *Re European Bk., Agra Bank Claim*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 41.

(e) *Scott v. Franklin*, *sup.*

CHAP. LIX.

may be rebutted by an express or implied contract to the contrary. Thus, it was held that a banker had no lien upon a lease accidentally left with him after he had refused to advance money upon it (*f*); so, where exchequer bills (though these are negotiable securities passing to the *bond fide* holder for value) were deposited at a banker's in a box belonging to the depositor, of which he kept the key, and when renewable the bills were given by the depositor to the bankers, in order that they might receive the interest, and the new bills were then handed over to the depositor to be placed in the box, and the interest received carried to his account, it was held by the House of Lords that the bankers had no lien for their general balance on the bills (*g*); so a deposit of securities not negotiable to secure a certain sum will not give the bankers a lien for a further sum, if the depositor afterwards overdraws his account (*h*).

Separate
accounts
cannot be
set off.

If A. and B. have a joint account, and A. has a separate account, with a bank, the bankers cannot, upon the order of A., or of A. and B., after a suspension of payment by the bank, set off the balance against the bank upon one account against the balance in favour of the bank upon the other account; nor has the bank a lien upon one balance for the money due upon the other (*i*); and a banker has no lien which enables him to set off a debt due on the customer's private account against a balance standing to his credit on a trust account (*k*).

Discharge of
lien by taking
security.

A banker's general lien will be discharged if the customer gives a security for overdrafts not exceeding a specified amount; and the charge will be limited to that amount (*l*).

Nature of
maritime lien.

viii.—Maritime Liens.—A maritime lien is the foundation of the proceeding *in rem*, a process to make perfect a right inchoate from the moment the lien attaches on arrest of the ship (*m*). It is binding upon a mortgagee or purchaser for value without notice, but it may be lost by delay (*n*).

Lien declared
by foreign
Court.

A maritime lien declared by a foreign Court will be recog-

(*f*) *Lucas v. Dorrien*, 7 Taunt. 278.
(*g*) *Brandao v. Barnett*, 2 So. N. R. 96, reversing *Barnett v. Brandao*, 6 Man. & Gr. 658.
(*h*) *Vanderzee v. Willis*, 3 Bro. C. C. 21.
(*i*) *Watts v. Christie*, 18 L. J. Ch. 173. See *Wolstenholm v. Sheffield Union Banking Co.*, 54 L. T. 746, C. A.

(*k*) *Exp. Kingston*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 632.
(*l*) *Re Bowes, Earl of Strathmore v. Vane*, 33 Ch. D. 586.
(*m*) *Cella*, 13 P. D. 82, C. A.
(*n*) *Bold Buccleuch*, 7 Moo. P. C. 267; *Europa*, 2 Moo. P. O. N. S. 1; *Nymph*, Swab. 86.

nized, but the foreign decree must show that the proceeding was for sale of the ship (*o*).

CHAP. LIX.

There is no maritime lien except in the case of wages (*p*), salvage, including such towage and pilotage services as are in the nature of salvage (*q*), and collisions (*r*). There is no lien, except by statute, on ships for necessities supplied, or repairs executed (*s*).

Extent of maritime lien.

Although a mortgagee of a ship obtains, by registration, priority over all mortgages subsequently registered, or not registered at all, and although his security is not affected by mere personal claims against the mortgagor, which do not, at the time of registration, confer any lien on the ship, he will be postponed to all existing liens (*t*), and to all the maritime liens above referred to, and also to bottomry (*u*), though such liens are subsequently acquired (*v*); and, if the vessel be allowed to remain in the possession of the mortgagor, to the possessory lien of a shipwright, to whom the mortgagor has, in the ordinary course, entrusted the vessel for repairs (*x*).

Priorities as between mortgages and maritime liens generally.

By the Merchant Shipping Act, 1894 (*y*), re-enacting in this respect the provisions of the Merchant Shipping Act, 1854 (*z*), it is enacted that—

Merchant Shipping Act, 1894.

Sect. 167.—“(1.) The master of a ship shall, so far as the case permits, have the same rights, liens, and remedies for the recovery of his wages as a seaman has under this Act, or by any law or custom.”

Remedies of master for recovery of wages.

This provision relates only to claims for the master's wages against the owner and his property, and does not alter the relation between master and seamen (*a*).

As both masters and seamen were still left without any remedy in the Admiralty Court for wages, when the wages were due under a special contract, the Admiralty Court Act,

Claims of masters and seamen for wages under special con-

(*o*) *City of Mecca*, 6 P. D. 106, O. A.

(*p*) *Nicolai Heinrich*, 17 Jur. 329.

(*q*) *Salacia*, Lush. 545; *Dowthorpe*,

2 W. Rob. Adm. 73; *Louisa Bertha*,

14 Jur. 1006; *Linda Flor*, 4 Jur. N. S.

172; *Lady Durham*, 3 Hagg. Adm. 196.

(*r*) *Zodiac*, 1 Hagg. Adm. 320, 325.

(*s*) *Hussey v. Christie*, 9 East, 426;

13 Ves. 594; *Neptune*, 3 Hagg. Adm.

129; *Wilkins v. Carmichael*, Doug.

101; *Buxton v. Snee*, 1 Ves. Sen. 154;

Watkinson v. Bernadiston, 2 P. Wms.

367; *Smith v. Plummer*, 1 B. & Ald.

575; *Pacific*, Br. & L. 243; *Scio*, L. R.

1 A. & E. 353; *Aneroid*, 2 P. D. 189;

Lyons, 6 Asp. N. S. 199. See Abbott

on Ships, 11th ed. p. 631; *Stainbank v.*

Fenning, 11 C. B. 51, per Jervis, C. J.

(*t*) Abbott on Ships, 46. See *Nymph*,

Swab. 86.

(*u*) *Post*, p. 1500.

(*v*) *Aline*, 1 W. Rob. Adm. 111;

Dowthorpe, 2 W. Rob. Adm. 73; *Royal*

Arch, Swab. 269; *Heligoland*, Swab.

491.

(*x*) *Williams v. Alsop*, 10 C. B.

N. S. 417.

(*y*) 57 & 58 Vict. c. 60.

(*z*) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 191.

(*a*) *Salacia*, Lush. 545.

CHAP. LIX.

tract under
Adm. Court
Act, 1861.

1861 (*b*), gave to the High Court of Admiralty jurisdiction over any claim by a seaman of any ship for wages earned by him on board the ship, whether due under a special contract or otherwise, and also over any claim by the master of any ship for wages earned by him on board the ship, with a proviso that the plaintiff shall not be entitled to costs where he shall not recover 50%. unless the judge shall certify that the cause was a fit one to be tried in the Court. Inasmuch, therefore, as the Court of Admiralty had, before the Act of 1861, jurisdiction to deal with these matters in certain cases, viz., with masters' wages, where not fixed by special contract, and with all unsettled accounts, including, therefore, disbursements by the master where a set-off or counter-claim was set up to his claim for wages (*c*), and recognized in those cases the existence of a maritime lien, the present Admiralty Division of the High Court is considered to be empowered by the Act of 1861 to enforce a maritime lien in like cases, arising under the extended jurisdiction created by the Act of 1861; but a maritime lien is held not to arise merely by force of the statutory power to enforce claims by proceedings *in rem* (*d*).

Fraudulent
possession of
ship does not
oust master's
lien.

The master's lien for services and disbursements is not affected by the circumstance that the possession of the vessel at the time when they were given and made was fraudulent, if he were not privy to the fraud (*e*), or by his being part owner of the ship (*f*).

Priority of
master's lien
over security
given by him.

Where the master of a ship executes a security thereon which does not bind himself, he is entitled to his wages in priority to the holder (*g*). But where the master has guaranteed payment of a debt secured by mortgage of the ship, he must postpone his lien for wages to the extent of his guarantee (*h*).

Lien of
seamen for
wages.

Seamen have a maritime lien upon the ship for their wages, which takes priority over all common law claims (*i*), including the common law possessory lien of a shipwright up to the time of the beginning of such lien (*k*).

Seamen engaged for a voyage are entitled to a lien on the ship for their wages although the ship does not proceed upon the voyage, and notwithstanding that their engagement was not in writing (*l*).

(*b*) 24 & 25 Vict. c. 10, s. 10.

(*c*) See *Caledonia*, 2 Jur. N. S. 48.

(*d*) *Mary Ann*, L. R. 1 A. & E. 8.

(*e*) *Edwin*, Br. & L. 281.

(*f*) *Feronia*, L. R. 2 A. & E. 65.

(*g*) *Salacia*, Lush. 545.

(*h*) *Bangor Castle*, 74 L. T. 768.

(*i*) *Andalina*, 12 P. D. 1.

(*k*) *Gustaf*, Lush. 506; *Immacolata Concessione*, 9 P. D. 37.

(*l*) *Re The Great Eastern Steamship Co., Claim of Williams and others*, 53 L. T. 594.

A seaman cannot, by agreement, forfeit his lien on the ship, or be deprived of any remedy for recovery of his wages, even in case of loss of the ship (*m*).

CHAP. LIX.

Lien for wages cannot be excluded.

A master or seaman has a lien for wages on any freight already earned, but not on accruing freight (*n*). In one case, under special circumstances, a master was allowed a lien on freight earned under a charterparty made by him *ultra vires* but adopted by the owner (*o*).

Lien on freight.

But the seaman has no lien for wages upon the cargo or the money in respect of insurance, nor upon any other ship than that in which he performed his services (*p*). He has, however, a right to arrest the cargo when it reaches its destination in order to enforce his lien on the freight (*q*).

Lien for wages on cargo and freight.

The maritime lien for wages takes precedence of a claim for payments made for towage (*r*).

In cases of salvage the maritime law forms an exception to the general principle of the common law. "The maritime law, for the purposes of public policy and for the advantage of trade, imposes in these cases a liability on the thing saved, a liability which is a special consequence arising out of the character of mercantile enterprises, the nature of sea perils, and the fact that the thing saved was saved under great stress and exceptional circumstances" (*s*).

Lien for salvage.

The right to salvage may arise out of an actual contract; but it may arise independently of any contract (*t*).

A master or seaman cannot abandon any right he may have of the nature of salvage (*u*), except pursuant to an agreement made in accordance with the Act (*x*).

Lien cannot be abandoned.

Shipowners are not primarily liable to pay salvage in respect of cargo (*y*). But shipowners who have paid a sum in respect of salvage have a lien on the cargo to the extent of the contribution payable by the owners of the cargo; but the fact that the shipowners have bound themselves to pay a particular sum is not conclusive that the whole of that sum was chargeable to

Lien of ship-owner on cargo for salvage moneys paid.

(*m*) 57 & 58 Vict. c. 60, s. 156.
(*n*) *Gibson v. Ingo*, 6 Ha. 112; *Smith v. Plummer*, 1 B. & Ald. 575; *Atkinson v. Cotterworth*, 3 B. & Cr. 647.

(*o*) *Bristol v. Whitmore*, 9 H. L. O. 391.

(*p*) *Julindhur*, 1 Spinks, 71.

(*q*) *Andalina*, 12 P. D. 1.

(*r*) *Ibid.*

(*s*) *Per Bowen, L. J.*, in *Falcke v. Scottish Imperial Insurance Co.*, 34 C. D. 234, at p. 248, C. A.

(*t*) *Five Steel Barges*, 15 P. D. 142.

(*u*) 57 & 58 Vict. c. 60, ss. 156, 167.

(*x*) *Ibid.* And see s. 554.

(*y*) *Raisby*, 10 P. D. 114.

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| CHAP. LIX. | general average so as to bind the cargo owners to pay their proportion (s). |
| General average creates no lien. | The owners of cargo sold for the necessities of the ship have no lien on the ship for the amount of their loss which is the subject of general average (a). |
| Priority of salvage lien. | Nor does the right to general average contribution after adjustment give the owner of the cargo any lien under the maritime law, unless by reason of the possession of the cargo it can be retained as a possessory lien (b). The maritime lien for salvage takes precedence of the maritime lien for wages (c). |
| Lien for towage. | Salvage in respect of saving life, when payable by the owner, takes precedence over all other claims for salvage (d). Towage in the nature of salvage is the subject of maritime lien (e); but there is no maritime lien for ordinary towage services rendered to a ship (f). |
| Lien for pilotage. | The conditions required to engraft a claim for salvage on to an agreement for towage, were considered in the case of " <i>The Liverpool</i> " (g). A lien arises, and is termed "pilotage lien," where a person, not as pilot, undertakes the guidance of a vessel under extraordinary circumstances, so as in effect to render salvage services (h). The rights of pilots in respect of their ordinary pilotage fees are regulated by the Merchant Shipping Act, 1894 (i). |
| | In order to entitle a pilot to salvage reward, the rule of law is that "he must not only show that the ship was in some sense in distress, but that she was in such distress as to be in danger of being lost, and such as to call upon him to run such unusual danger, or incur such unusual responsibility, or exercise such unusual skill, or perform such an unusual kind of service, as to make it unfair and unjust that he should be paid otherwise than upon the terms of salvage reward" (k). |

(s) *Anderson, Tritton & Co. v. Ocean Steamship Co.*, 10 App. Cas. 107. See *Briggs v. Merchant Traders' Ship, &c. Assoc.*, 13 Q. B. 167.

(a) *Constantia*, 2 W. Rob. Adm. 487.

(b) *North Star*, Lush. 45; *Cleary v. M^r Andrew*, 2 Moo. P. C. N. S. 216.

(c) *Gustaf*, Lush. 506.

(d) 57 & 58 Vict. c. 60, s. 544 (2).

(e) *La Constancia*, 2 W. Rob. Adm.

404; *St. Lawrence*, 5 P. D. 250. See *Hestia*, (1895) P. 193.

(f) *Westrupp v. Great Yarmouth Steam Carrying Co.*, 43 Ch. D. 241.

(g) (1893) P. 154. See also *Watt-bourne*, 14 P. D. 132; *Five Steel Barges*, 15 P. D. 142.

(h) *Aglaia*, 13 P. D. 160, 162.

(i) 57 & 58 Vict. c. 60, s. 591.

(k) *Per Brett, L. J.*, in *Akerblom v. Price*, 7 Q. B. D. 129, at p. 135.

There is also a lien upon a ship and freight for the amount of the damage which, by the fault of those in charge of it, it does to another ship.

CHAP. LIX.

Lien for
damage by
collision.

"The foundation of the lien is the negligence of the owners or their servants at the time of the collision, and if that be not proved no lien comes into existence, and the ship is no more liable than any other property which the owners at the time of collision may have possessed" (l).

Ground of the
lien.

In case of a vessel in tow coming into collision with a third vessel by reason of the negligence of those on board the tug, the question whether the crew of the tug are to be regarded as the servants of the owner of the vessel in tow must depend upon the circumstances of each case, and the lien will or will not attach accordingly (m).

Liability of
ship in tow.

A vessel in tow was held liable for damage done by collision of the tug with a third vessel, where the collision might have been avoided had there been a good look-out on the vessel in tow (n).

There is no Statute of Limitations applicable to a claim of this kind, nor will the Court adopt, on analogy of the Statute of Limitations, any fixed period within which such a claim must be brought. An action may, however, be barred upon the principle of laches; in the application of this principle "it is necessary in each case to look to the particular circumstances, and see whether it would be inequitable, after the period of time, which, of course, is to be taken into account, and after the circumstances which may have happened (including amongst these the loss of witnesses, the loss of evidence, and including also the change of property), to entertain a suit of this kind" (o).

Bar of action
on lien.

The maritime lien for damages arising from a collision takes precedence of the maritime lien for wages (p).

Priority of
lien.

Independently of statute, the Admiralty Court has no jurisdiction to entertain a suit for necessities (q).

Lien for
necessaries.

But by statute (r) a maritime lien can be created on foreign

Adm. Court
Act, 1840.

(l) *Per Sir F. Jeune in Utopia*, (1893) A. C. 494, at p. 499. See *Currie v. M'Knight*, (1897) A. C. 97.

(m) *Quickstep*, 15 P. D. 196.

(n) *Niobe*, 13 P. D. 55; *Tasmania*, 13 P. D. 110.

(o) *Per Sir James Hannen in Kong Magnus*, (1891) P. 223, at p. 228. See also *Bold Buccleuch*, 7 Moo. P. C. C.

267; *Europa*, 2 Moo. P. C. N. S. 1; *Fairport*, 8 P. D. 48.

(p) *Benares*, 7 N. of C. 54, suppl.; *Elm*, 8 P. D. 129, C. A.

(q) *Neptune*, 3 Hagg. Adm. 129; *Pacific*, Br. & L. 243; *Laws v. Smith*, *Rio Tinto*, 9 App. Cas. 356.

(r) 3 & 4 Vict. c. 65, s. 6.

CHAP. LIX.

ships exclusively (s), whether in a home or colonial port (t), but not in a foreign port (u), for necessities supplied (x), which *prima facie* are made on the credit of the vessel (y); and such a lien once attaching cannot be defeated or discharged by transfer of the ship to a British owner (z). "Necessaries" would, no doubt, within the meaning of this Act, include repairs and equipping (a), but not advances (b), nor money advanced to a master to pay averages (c), nor for the insurance of the ship (d); and the claimant must satisfy the Court that a reasonable necessity for the supplies existed (e). There is no jurisdiction under this Act in respect of claims by a mate for necessary disbursements at the request of the owner (f).

A County Court has no more jurisdiction over necessities than the Court of Admiralty (g).

The master has no lien for disbursements in respect of articles for which, by the terms of the charter-party, he had no power to pledge the owner's credit (h).

Adm. Court
Act, 1861.

Supplies to
British and
colonial ships.

By the Admiralty Court Act, 1861 (i), the Admiralty Division of the High Court has jurisdiction over claims for the building, equipping, or repairing of any ship, if at the time of the institution of the cause the ship or the proceeds thereof are under the arrest of the Court, and over claims for necessities supplied to British and colonial ships elsewhere than in the port to which the ships belong, unless it is shown to the satisfaction of the Court that at the time of the institution of the cause any owner or part owner is domiciled in England or Wales; and over claims for damage to goods carried into any port in England or Wales. The Court also has jurisdiction over

(s) *Ocean*, 2 W. Rob. Adm. 368; *Ocean Queen*, 1 W. Rob. Adm. 457.

(t) *Wataga*, Swab. 165; *Afina van Linge*, Swab. 514.

(u) *India*, 6 Jur. N. S. 417.

(x) *Ella A. Clark*, Br. & L. 32.

(y) *Perla*, Swab. 353.

(z) *Ella A. Clark*, *sup.*; *Princess Charlotte*, 33 L. J. N. S. Ad. 188.

(a) *Two Ellens*, L. R. 4 P. C. 161. See *Riga*, L. R. 3 A. & E. 516; and *Webster v. Seekamp*, 4 B. & Ald. 352.

(b) *Hamilton v. Baker, Sara*, 14 App. Cas. 209. But see *inf.*

(c) *Aaltje Willemina*, L. R. 1 A. & E. 107.

(d) *Northcote v. Heinrich Bjorn, Owners of*, 11 App. Cas. 270.

(e) *Perla*, Swab. 353; *Ocean*, 2 W. Rob. Adm. 368; *Gosfabrick*, 4 Jur. N. S. 742; *Helena Sophia*, 3 W. Rob. Adm. 265; *Alexander*, 6 Jur. 241.

(f) *Victoria*, 37 L. J. Ad. 12.

(g) *Dowse*, L. R. 3 A. & E. 135; *Everard v. Kendall*, L. R. 5 C. P. 428; *Allen v. Garbutt*, 6 Q. B. D. 195, distinguishing *Alina*, 5 Ex. D. 227.

(h) *Turgot*, 11 P. D. 21.

(i) 24 & 25 Vict. c. 10, ss. 4—7. See 53 & 54 Vict. c. 27.

any claim for damage done by any ship. The word "owner" means owner at the time of supply (k). CHAP. LIX.

This Act, however, does not give the master a maritime lien for disbursements for the ship (l).

No maritime lien is created under this statute until the suit is actually instituted; until then the *res*, the ship, does not become chargeable with the debt for necessities; and all valid charges on the ship to which any person other than the owner of the ship, who is liable for the necessities, is entitled, must take precedence (m). No lien until suit.

The Act of 1861 confers no jurisdiction over claims for repairs and necessities done and supplied to a foreign ship in a foreign port (n).

By the Merchant Shipping Act, 1894 (o), re-enacting a corresponding provision of the repealed Merchant Shipping Act, 1889 (p), it is enacted— Merchant Shipping Act, 1894.

Sect. 167.—“(2.) The master of a ship shall, and every person lawfully acting as master of a ship by reason of the decease or incapacity of the master of the ship shall, so far as the case permits, have the same rights, liens, and remedies for the recovery of disbursements or liabilities properly made or incurred by him on account of the ship as a master has for the recovery of his wages.” Remedy of master for disbursements.

Except by virtue of statute, the master of a ship has no lien on it for repairs or other expenses of the ship (q).

The effect of this enactment is only to create a lien where the liabilities are such as would, by virtue of the master's general authority, have pledged the owner's credit, that is to say, where it is necessary and the duty of the master that necessities should be supplied, and where he cannot have recourse to the owners before ordering them. So where the owners of a ship, which was subject to a mortgage, contracted with a coal merchant for a supply of coals at a home port, and arranged that payment should be made by bills of exchange drawn by the master on the owners, and bills so drawn were accepted but dishonoured; it was held that no lien in favour of the master had been created to the prejudice of the mortgagees (r). Priority of lien as against mortgagees.

(k) *Ells A. Clark*, Br. & L. 32.

(l) *Fairport*, 8 P. D. 48.

(m) *Two Ellens*, L. R. 4 P. C. 161; *Gustaf*, Lush. 506; *Pieve Superiore*, L. R. 5 P. C. 482; *Troubadour*, L. R. 1 A. & E. 302; *Pacifica*, Br. & L. 243; *Anervid*, 2 P. D. 189.

(n) *India*, 9 Jur. N. S. 417.

(o) 57 & 58 Vict. c. 60.

(p) 52 & 53 Vict. c. 46.

(q) *Hussey v. Christie*, 9 East, 426. See *Lister v. Payn*, 11 Sim. 348; *Hebe*, 2 W. Rob. 412.

(r) *Oriente*, (1895) P. 49. See *Mor-*

CHAP. LIX.

Part owner's
lien for dis-
bursements.

Where there is no lien for disbursements on the ship itself, there can be no lien on freight in respect of the same ship (*s*).

A part owner is entitled, as against the other part owners, to have his disbursements for the repairs and outfit of the ship, so far as the same are necessary and proper for the joint adventure, repaid to him out of the freight and other earnings of the ship before any division of profits is made, according to the general law of partnership; nor is the case altered by the fact of a part of the outlay having been made upon the hull, as to which the rules of partnership do not apply, the part owners being *tenants in common* of this. It follows that a mortgagee of certain shares of the ship and freight is subject to the like deductions, unless a different state of things should arise from the mortgagee taking possession and acting as part owner (*t*).

A shipowner retaining possession of the ship has a lien on the cargo for the hire of the vessel, as against the charterer himself, to the full amount of the lien, and, as against sub-freighters, to the extent of the freight due on their bills of lading (*u*); and such lien will exist even if the ship is actually demised to the charterer, if the agreement contain other stipulations sufficient to rebut the inference that the owner meant to part with the possession of the vessel; as where payment of the hire is either precedent to or concomitant with the delivery of the goods (*x*), or where the lien is expressly reserved (*y*); but otherwise, such demise of the ship to the charterer will exclude the lien (*z*); and so it would seem, that if by the agreement the goods are to be delivered before payment of the hire, the lien cannot be supported. But in each case the whole contract must be taken together, and due effect given to the several clauses that counteract and qualify each other (*a*).

Where the master signs bills of lading specifying the freight, the shipowner has a lien for that only, although by the charter-

gan v. Castlegate Steamship Co., (1893) A. C. 38. See, as to the extent of a master's authority in respect of repairs, *Benson v. Chapman*, 2 H. L. C. 696.

(*s*) *Morgan v. Castlegate Steamship Co.*, *sup.*

(*t*) *Green v. Briggs*, 6 Ha. 395.

(*u*) *Saville v. Champion*, 2 B. & Al. 503; *Campion v. Colvin*, 3 Bing. N. C. 17; *Paul v. Birch*, 2 Atk. 621; *Mitchell v. Seaife*, 4 Camp. 298. See Abbott on Ships, 11th ed. p. 252.

(*x*) *Mitchell v. Seaife*, *sup.*; *Birley v.*

Gladstone, 3 M. & S. 205; *Yates v. Bailston*, 8 Taunt. 293; *Christiev. Lewis*, 2 Br. & B. 410.

(*y*) *Small v. Moates*, 9 Bing. 574; *Gledstanes v. Allen*, 12 C. B. 202, 219. And see *Kern v. Deslandes*, 8 Jur. N. S. 194, C. P.; *Peck v. Larsen*, L. R. 12 Eq. 378.

(*z*) *Hutton v. Bragg*, 7 Taunt. 14; *Newberry v. Colvin*, 7 Bing. 190; *Belcher v. Capper*, 4 Man. & Gr. 502.

(*a*) *Per Tindal, C. J.*, 4 Man. & Gr. 541.

party he is entitled to a larger freight (*b*). There is no lien for freight which is not to be paid until after the delivery of the cargo (*c*). Where by the bill of lading the freight is payable at the port of shipment, the shipowner has no lien for the freight (*d*), notwithstanding a local usage to the contrary (*e*). Delivery of the goods ousts the lien (*f*). Where no consignee can be found, the lien for freight is not lost by landing and warehousing the cargo (*g*).

The shipowner has no lien on the cargo for dead freight (*h*), nor for demurrage (*i*), nor for port charges (*k*), nor wharfage (*l*), unless there is an express stipulation in the charterparty (*m*).

ix.—Lien of Joint Stock Company on Shares.—The articles of a company may stipulate that the shares shall be subject to a lien for debts due to the company (*n*). In a Scotch case, it has been said that a joint stock company has at common law a right to retain shares in security of debts due to the company (*o*). This view is confirmed by the opinion expressed by Sir N. Lindley, L. J. (*p*); and, assuming it to be correct, it seems to follow that the company's lien on the shares would extend to the dividends thereon, so as to have a right to withhold them until the debt is paid (*q*).

The articles may provide that during the continuance of the lien thereby conferred, the member's right to transfer his shares shall be dependent upon the approval of the directors (*r*).

The principle of *Hopkinson v. Rolt* (*s*) applies, however, to this lien; and, accordingly, it will not take priority over an equitable mortgage of the shares after notice thereof has been given to the company in respect of moneys which become due from the shareholder after such notice (*t*).

(*b*) *Gilkison v. Middleton*, 2 C. B. N. S. 134.

(*c*) *How v. Kirchner*, 11 Moo. P. C. 21; *Foster v. Colby*, 28 L. J. N. S. Ex. 81.

(*d*) *How v. Kirchner*, *sup.*

(*e*) *Kirchner v. Venus*, 12 Moo. P. C. 361.

(*f*) *North v. Gurney*, 1 J. & H. 509.

(*g*) *Mors le Blanch v. Wilson*, L. R. 8 C. P. 227.

(*h*) *Gray v. Carr*, L. R. 6 Q. B. 522.

(*i*) *Phillips v. Rodie*, 15 East, 547; *Birley v. Gladstone*, 3 M. & S. 205.

(*k*) *Faith v. East India Co.*, 4 B. & Ald. 630, 642.

(*l*) *Bishop v. Ware*, 3 Camp. 380.

(*m*) *M'Lean v. Fleming*, L. R. 2 H. L. Sc. 128.

(*n*) *Re General Exchange Bank, Re Lewis*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 818.

(*o*) *Bell's Trustees v. Coalbridge Co.*, 14 Ct. of Sess. Cas. 246.

(*p*) Lindley on Company Law (5th ed.), 456.

(*q*) *Hague v. Dandeson*, 2 Exch. 741.

(*r*) *Bank of Africa v. Salisbury Gold Mining Co.*, (1892) A. C. 281.

(*s*) 9 H. L. C. 514.

(*t*) *Bradford Banking Co. v. Briggs*, 12 App. Cas. 29.

CHAP. LIX.
Right to
require trans-
fer of lien.

Where the articles of a company provided that the company should have a lien on the shares for the debt, and that for the purpose of enforcing a lien the directors might on default sell the shares and transfer them to the purchaser, it was held that the lien constituted a charge on the shares within the meaning of sect. 2 (vi) of the Conveyancing Act, and that the shareholder was accordingly entitled, under sect. 15 of that Act, to require the company to assign the debt and the lien on the shares to his nominee on payment by him of the amount due (u).

(u) *Everitt v. Automatic Weighing Machine Co.*, (1892) 3 Ch. 506.

Part VIII.

OF THE DISCHARGE OF MORTGAGES.

CHAPTER LX.

OF THE DISCHARGE OF THE SECURITY BY RELEASE OF THE DEBT.

i.—What is necessary to an effectual Release of a Debt.—An effectual release of a debt discharges all securities for the same, whether original or collateral, in the hands of a creditor (*a*). A release may be express or implied from conduct. Effect of release of debt.

It is a general rule of law that a contract must be discharged in the same form in which it was made. Where the re-payment of a debt or loan is secured by deed, this being a specialty contract cannot be discharged at law except by deed (*b*). A release by deed of all debts, without more words, will discharge and release all debts then owing from the releasee to the releasor upon specialties or otherwise (*c*). Deed necessary to release of specialty debt.

A parol contract, whether by writing not under seal or by word of mouth, may be released either by simple writing or by word of mouth, even though the original contract was in writing. And it would appear that a parol release would be sufficient even in cases where the contract is required by statute to be in writing (*d*); but in such a case the release must be absolute, and not be such as, in effect, to create a new agreement by word of mouth contrary to the statute (*e*). Release of parol debt.

But although an executory parol agreement may be dis- Release of cause of action.

(*a*) *Cooper v. Green*, 7 M. & W. 633. (*c*) *Shep. Touchst.* by Preston, 341.
(*b*) *Rogers v. Payne*, 1 Wils. 376; (*d*) *Goman v. Salisbury*, 1 Vern. 240.
Reeves v. Brymer, 6 Ves. 516; *Thomas* (*e*) *Noble v. Ward*, L. R. 2 Ex. 135.
v. Courtney, 1 B. & Ald. 1. See *Cupit* See *Goss v. Lord Nugent*, 6 B. & Ad. 65.
v. Jackson, 13 Pri. 721.

CHAP. LX.

charged by parol, yet a cause of action which has actually accrued under such an agreement cannot be effectually released except by deed (*f*), unless it admit of a plea of accord and satisfaction (*g*).

Release given
without con-
sideration.

The rules above stated apply where the release of a mortgage debt is given for valuable consideration. Where, however, a mortgagee desires to release his debtor without consideration, he can only effectually do so at law by deed; for, otherwise, there would be a mere gratuitous promise by the mortgagee to forbear from exercising his rights of action to enforce payment, which, by an elementary rule of law, would not be binding on the releasor (*h*).

Release in
equity by
conduct.

But in equity the conduct of the parties or the circumstances of the transaction may aid an imperfect expression of release, or even, if there is no such expression, raise a presumption of intention to release the debt which will be carried into effect so as to discharge the security.

Promise not
to enforce
debt.

The mere declaration or promise by the creditor of his intention not to enforce a debt is not a release of the debt either at law or in equity, though many years have elapsed since the debt became due, and neither principal nor interest has ever been demanded (*i*).

The additional circumstance that the creditor, on the occasion of the insolvency of the debtor (his brother-in-law), promised to give up the instrument to be cancelled, but was unable to do so from having mislaid it, has been held not to alter the case (*k*); and even where a declaration of intention was actually accompanied by a delivery to the debtor of the mortgage deed by which the debt was secured, it was held that the release, being by parol and without consideration, was incomplete, and incapable of being enforced (*l*).

Cancellation
of mortgage
deed.

If, however, the mortgagee actually cancel the mortgage, it is as much a release as cancelling a bond, if done with intent to release, and will effectually discharge the security (*m*).

(*f*) *Langdon v. Stokes*, Cro. Car. 383. See also *Hurford v. Pile*, Cro. Jac. 483; *Treswaller v. Keyne*, Cro. Jac. 620.

(*g*) *Blake's case*, 6 Rep. 44; *Wilmington v. Backhouse*, 3 B. & C. 821; *Baylis v. Usher*, 4 Moo. & P. 791.

(*h*) *Anson on Contracts*, p. 314. See *Re Hancock, Hancock v. Berry*, W. N. (1888), p. 138.

(*i*) *Cross v. Sprigg*, 2 Mac. & G. 113; *Byrn v. Godfrey*, 4 Ves. 6; *Raeas v. Brymer*, 6 Ves. 516. And see *Peace v. Hains*, 11 Ha. 151. See *Shep. Touchst.* by Preston, 242.

(*k*) *Cross v. Sprigg*, *sup.*

(*l*) *Re Hancock, Hancock v. Berry*, W. N. (1888) 138.

(*m*) *Harrison v. Owen*, 1 Atk. 520; *Gummer v. Adams*, 13 L. J. Ex. 40.

A fortiori, a declaration of intention may operate as an effectual release, especially when accompanied by destruction or delivery up of the mortgage, if the debtor, on the faith of such declaration, have done acts which have altered his position; for such acts may supply a sufficient consideration to support the release (*n*). So, such a declaration, accompanied with the destruction of the instrument securing the debt, was held sufficient in a case of father and son, where the relinquishment by the father of the debt formed part of the consideration of an assignment of the son's property in trust for creditors, but under which the father agreed to be satisfied with the surplus which should remain after paying the other creditors (*o*). And where executors holding, as part of their testator's estate, an equitable charge not under seal on a policy of life assurance gave up the security to the debtor, and signified in writing their intention of releasing the debt, on condition of his paying the probate and legacy duty on the debt, which he did, it was held that the payment was a good consideration for the release, and that the debt was released (*p*).

CHAP. LX.

Where debtor has altered his position on faith of declaration of intent to release.

A declaration by the obligee of a bond of an intention to release the debt has been held effectual, under circumstances where it would have been fraudulent on the part of the executor of the obligee to put the bond in suit (*q*).

Where the object of a bond, executed by a son to his father on payment of the debt of the former by the latter, and deposited with trustees, appeared to have been intended not to secure a debt, but as a check on the conduct of the son, it was held in equity, on the death of the father, that, the object of the bond being satisfied, it ought to be cancelled, and that the son should not be affected by the neglect of the trustees to cancel the bond, as they had power to do, under the trust, within a limited time (*r*); and evidence has been allowed to be drawn from entries in the creditor's books, and from a letter written to a third party in which he declared that he had released the debt, in aid of parol declarations of his intention so to do (*s*); and in one case a mere entry by the creditor in his books, of his

Evidence of intention to release debt.

(*n*) *Yeomans v. Williams*, L. R. 1 Eq. 184. See *Emp. Douglas*, 3 D. & C. 310.
 (*o*) *Gilbert v. Wetherell*, 2 S. & St. 254.
 (*p*) *Taylor v. Manners*, L. R. 1 Ch. A. 48. See *Richards v. Syme*, Barn. Ch.

R. 90.
 (*q*) *Wekett v. Raby*, 2 Bro. P. C. 386.
 (*r*) *Flower v. Marten*, 2 My. & Cr. 459.
 (*s*) *Eden v. Smyth*, 5 Ves. 341. And see *Reeves v. Brymer*, 6 Ves. 518.

CHAP. LX.

intention not to sue the debtor unless he was himself distressed, was held to operate as a conditional release (*t*); but this case, it seems, fell within the principle of the decision in *Wekett v. Raby*, viz., fraud (*u*).

Appointment of debtor as executor of creditor's will.

The mere appointment of a debtor as executor will amount to a release at law, though he has not proved the will (*x*); but such appointment is not sufficient of itself to annul the debt in equity (*y*). So where a testator left a letter of instructions to an executor, which was not communicated to him during the testator's lifetime, nor executed as a will, stating that a debt from the executor was cancelled, it was held that the letter was not admissible as evidence of intention to release the debt, and that the debt was payable (*z*). In order that the equitable claim of the residuary legatee against a debtor to the estate who is appointed executor may be rebutted, the intention of the testator to forgive the debt during his lifetime must be proved (*a*).

Presumption of intention to release.

It would seem that an intention to release will more readily be presumed where the interest only and not the principal is alleged to have been released; for where the principal is alleged to have been released, the transaction is always open to the observation that if such had been the intention the creditor would have delivered up his security (*b*).

Partial release.

A release of past interest will not, of course, operate as a discharge of the security so far as relates to the principal and future interest; and a release of part of the principal will leave the whole of the property comprised in the security charged with the unpaid balance of the principal and the interest thereon (*c*).

Original debt not discharged by partial payment, unless debt is released, or creditor receives a benefit.

ii.—Acceptance of Part of Debt in satisfaction of the Whole.—

It has been seen that, in determining whether a release of the debt should be by deed or not, the question of consideration is material. The acceptance of part of a debt, being a sum certain, though expressed to be in full of all demands, is not an extin-

(*t*) *Aston v. Pye*, 5 Ves. 350, at p. 354, n.

(*u*) *Per Wigram, V.-C.*, 18 L. J. Ch. 206.

(*x*) *Strong v. Bird*, L. R. 18 Eq. 315; *Re Applebee, Leveson v. Beales*, (1891) 3 Ch. 422.

(*y*) *Selwin v. Brown*, 3 Bro. P. C. 386.

(*z*) *Re Hyslop, Hyslop v. Chamberlain*, (1894) 3 Ch. 522.

(*a*) *Strong v. Bird*, L. R. 18 Eq. 315; *Re Applebee, Leveson v. Beales*, (1891) 3 Ch. 422.

(*b*) *Yeomans v. Williams*, L. R. 1 Eq. 184. See *Cross v. Sprigg*, 6 Ha. 558.

(*c*) Story on Bailments, s. 301.

guishment of the original debt, even if the debtor undertakes to pay the residue when of ability (*d*). To amount to an acquittance from the larger debt, there must be a release or acknowledgment of satisfaction by deed, or some consideration or possibility of benefit to the creditor relinquishing his further claim, as if the creditor receive payment of the lesser sum at an earlier time, or at a place more convenient to him (*e*).

CHAP. LX.

So the acceptance of a chattel, irrespective of its money value, in satisfaction, is good, from the possibility of the latter being more beneficial to the creditor than the debt (*f*); although it seems that the bettering the creditor's case is not, in all cases, sufficient to effect a release of the larger debt; thus a bond with sureties, though more advantageous than a single bond, will not be deemed a satisfaction for the larger sum secured by the latter (*g*).

If the agreement to accept part of a debt in satisfaction of the whole is upon condition, the condition must be strictly observed or the original debt will revive. So where a mortgagee agreed to take a less sum than what was due in lieu of the whole, provided that such sum was paid on a given day, and payment was not made on that day, it was held that the mortgagee was entitled to recover the whole of the original debt, and that equity would not relieve, as on a penalty, against the effect of non-payment on that day (*h*).

Conditional satisfaction of mortgage.

The giving of a negotiable instrument may operate, if so given and taken, in satisfaction of a debt of greater amount; the circumstance of negotiability making it, in fact, a different thing, and more advantageous than the original debt, which was not negotiable (*i*).

Giving negotiable security for part of debt in satisfaction of whole.

The mortgagee's security does not cease by the mere payment of the debt by bills of exchange or cheques, &c., which are afterwards dishonoured, and he is entitled thereupon to have a reconveyance of the premises, or a delivery of the title deeds, as the case may require (*k*).

Effect where partial security fails.

(*d*) *Pinnell's Case*, 5 Rep. 117; *Fitch v. Sutton*, 5 East, 230; *Adams v. Tapping*, 4 Mod. 88.

(*e*) Co. Lit. 212 b; *Pinnell's Case*, *sup.* See *Webster v. Cook*, L. R. 2 Ch. A. 642, 647; *Foakes v. Beer*, 9 App. Cas. 605; *Underwood v. Underwood*, (1894) P. 204.

(*f*) *Pinnell's Case*, *sup.*; *Jones v. Sawkins*, 17 L. J. O. P. 92.

(*g*) 1 Brownl. 47, 71; 2 Roll. Abr. 470.

(*h*) *Ford v. Earl of Chesterfield*, 19 Beav. 428; *Parry v. Great Ship Co.*, 4 B. & S. 556; *Thompson v. Hudson*, L. R. 4 H. L. 1.

(*i*) *Sibree v. Tripp*, 15 M. & W. 23; *Bidder v. Bridges*, 37 Ch. D. 406, C. A.

(*k*) *Tood v. Carruthers*, 2 Y. & C. O. C. 81.

CHAPTER LXI.

OF THE DISCHARGE OF THE SECURITY BY RELEASE OF THE MORTGAGED PROPERTY.

SECTION I.

OF RE-CONVEYANCES AND DELIVERY OF POSSESSION.

Effect of
release of
property.

i.—By what means Mortgaged Property may be Released.—A mortgage security may also be discharged by a release of the property comprised in the mortgage.

Re-convey-
ance of free-
holds or
leaseholds.

The proper and formal mode of releasing freeholds or leaseholds which have been conveyed by way of legal mortgage is by a deed of re-conveyance (a), and the legal estate cannot generally be revested in the mortgagor otherwise (b). Property comprised in a mere equitable charge will be effectually released by a receipt in writing for the money due upon the mortgage. It is conceived that this rule would apply even to a formal puisne mortgage where the legal estate is outstanding in the first mortgage: it is usual, however, in such a case, as also generally where an equitable charge is created by deed, to take a formal deed of re-conveyance or release.

Copyholds.

Where the property comprised in a mortgage consists of copyholds, and the mortgagee has not actually been admitted, on payment of the money the mortgagor may re-enter of his old estate without admittance; it will be sufficient to enter up satisfaction on the court rolls, for which purpose a warrant should be given to the steward of the manor, and thereupon the conditional surrender will be at an end (c). If the mortgagee has been admitted, a re-surrender to the mortgagor and

(a) See *Weeks v. Stourton*, 11 Jur. N. S. 278.

(b) *Harrison v. Owen*, 1 Atk. 519. See *Dav. Prec. Conv.* Vol. II. pt. ii.,

pp. 276 *et seq.*; *Elphinstone, Intr. Conv.* 202 *et seq.*

(c) 1 *Watk. Cop.*, 4th ed. p. 148. See *Burgaine v. Spurling*, *Oro. Car.* 283.

his re-admittance will be necessary (*d*). In either case a receipt for the money should be indorsed on the deed of covenants accompanying the conditional surrender.

CHAP. LXXI.

Where the mortgage, whether of freeholds or leaseholds, is of a term of years, the re-conveyance may be effected by surrender of the term.

Mortgage by demise.

In the Lands Clauses Act (*e*), special provisions are contained for redeeming mortgages affecting lands purchased under the powers of the Act, which enable the company purchasing, on refusal of the mortgagee to convey, or on his default in making a good title, to pay the money into Court, and execute to themselves a deed poll, which is to operate as a conveyance.

Re-conveyance under Lands Clauses Act.

In the case of a mortgage to a building society (*f*), or to a friendly society (*g*), no re-conveyance or surrender is necessary, as a receipt in the form prescribed by statute, indorsed on or annexed to the mortgage deed, is sufficient to vest the mortgaged property in the person for the time being entitled to the equity of redemption.

Mortgages to building and friendly societies effectually re-conveyed by statutory receipt.

Where a registered charge is created on any land under the Land Titles and Transfer Act, 1875 (*h*), it is enacted by sect. 28 :—

Land Transfer Act, 1875.

“The registrar shall on the requisition of the registered proprietor of any charge, or on due proof of the satisfaction thereof, notify in the prescribed manner, by cancelling the original entry or otherwise, the cessation of the charge, and thereupon the charge shall be deemed to have ceased.”

A purchaser under a power of sale in a registered mortgage is entitled to have the mortgage removed from the register without the consent of the mortgagor (*i*).

This Act does not apply to Ireland (*k*). But by the Local Registration of Title Act, 1891 (*l*), which provides that charges of land registered under that Act shall operate as mortgages by deed, it is enacted that :

Ireland.

Sect. 42. “(1.) The registering authority shall at the request of the registered owner of a charge on land, or on proof in such manner as is hereinafter mentioned, or in such other manner as may be prescribed, of the satisfaction of a charge or of any part of

Discharge of registered charge.

(*d*) *Fawcett v. Louther*, 2 Ves. Sen. 300. See Gilb. Ten. 176.

(*e*) 8 & 9 Vict. c. 18, ss. 108, 109.

(*f*) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 42, s. 42. See *Fourth City Building Soc. v. Williams*, 14 Ch. D. 140. And see *ante*, p. 560.

(*g*) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 60, s. 16. See *ante*, p. 566.

(*h*) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 87.

(*i*) *Re Winter*, L. R. 15 Eq. 156.

(*k*) 38 & 39 Vict. c. 87, s. 2.

(*l*) 54 & 55 Vict. c. 66.

CHAP. LXI.

a charge on land, or of the release of any part of registered land from a registered charge, note the satisfaction or release on the register, and thereupon the charge shall, to the extent so noted, cease to operate.

"(2.) For the purposes of this section, the receipt of the registered owner for the time being of a charge shall be sufficient proof of the satisfaction of the charge, or of any part of the charge, and a release signed by the registered owner for the time being of a charge shall be sufficient proof of the release of any part of registered land subject to that charge."

Mortgages of land in Ireland not falling within the last mentioned Act are effected by deed in the usual manner; but, as has been seen, a memorial of the mortgage must be registered to ensure priority. With regard to such mortgages, it is enacted by the Record of Title Act, Ireland, 1865 (*m*), that:—

Entry of
payment of
charge to be
noted, and
release to be
unnecessary.

"On the application of any recorded owner or incumbrancer, and on finding that any charge, incumbrance, or claim upon a recorded estate has been paid off or satisfied, the officer may make an entry of the fact on the record, and no release or re-conveyance shall in that case be necessary."

Mortgage
of ships.

Where a registered mortgage of a ship is discharged, an entry on the register book to that effect vests the mortgagee's estate in the person in whom, having regard to intervening circumstances, it would have vested but for the mortgage (*n*).

Bill of sale
of chattels.

A bill of sale of chattels is vacated without re-assignment by a memorandum of satisfaction written upon a registered copy of the bill (*o*).

Land in
register
counties.

A legal mortgage of lands situate in a register county in England cannot be effectually released so as to revest the property in the mortgagor except by a deed of reconveyance, a memorial of which should be deposited in the registry of that county.

Yorkshire.

The Yorkshire Registry Acts (*p*) merely provide for the registration by memorial of all assurances, including charges, by which lands in any of the three ridings are affected; but neither of these Acts contains any special provision with regard to the satisfaction and discharge of mortgages or other charges.

(*m*) 28 & 29 Vict. c. 88, s. 44.

(*n*) 57 & 58 Vict. c. 60, s. 32, *set*
out ante, p. 268.

(*o*) See *ante*, p. 253.

(*p*) 47 & 48 Vict. c. 54, ss. 4, 7, 18;
48 & 49 Vict. c. 26.

With regard to mortgages of land in Middlesex, it is enacted by the Middlesex Registry Act, 1708 (*q*), as follows:—

CHAP. LXI.

Middlesex.

"That in case of mortgages whereof memorials shall be entered in the said register office pursuant to this Act, if at any time afterwards a certificate shall be brought to the said registrars or masters, signed by the mortgagee or mortgagees in such mortgage, his, her, or their executors, administrators or assigns, and attested by two witnesses, whereby it shall appear that all moneys due upon such mortgage have been paid or satisfied in discharge thereof, which witnesses shall, upon their oaths before the said registrars or masters, or before a master in Chancery, ordinary or extraordinary (who are hereby respectively empowered to administer such oath), prove such moneys to be satisfied or paid accordingly, and that they saw such certificate signed by the said mortgagee or mortgagees, his, her, or their executors, administrators or assigns, that then, and in every such case, the said registrars or masters shall make an entry in the margins of the said register books against the registry of the memorial of such mortgage that such mortgage was satisfied and discharged according to such certificate to which the same entry shall refer, and shall after file such certificate to remain upon record in the said register office."

Proceedings and entries in case of mortgage satisfied.

And by sect. 5 of the Land Registry (Middlesex Deeds) Act, 1891 (*r*), it is enacted that—

"Except on the application of the mortgagee named in the mortgage, his executors or administrators, it shall not be necessary to note on the register the discharge of a mortgage in any other manner than by the registering a memorial of the instrument of discharge."

Discharge of mortgages.

ii.—Right of Mortgagor to Reconveyance.—On being actually paid off, but not before, the mortgagee is, as a general rule, bound to reconvey the mortgaged property (*s*).

When mortgagee is bound to reconvey.

The mortgagee is entitled only to the amount due on the mortgage; so the mortgagee of a trust fund cannot compel the trustees to pay over the trust fund to him (*t*).

Mortgage of trust fund.

The mortgagee is bound to reconvey the estate to the mortgagor or those deriving title under him, and is estopped from denying the mortgagor's title. This rule is thus stated by Lord Cottenham, C. (*u*):—"A mortgagee can never refuse to restore to his mortgagor, or those who claim under him, upon repayment of what is due upon the mortgage, the estate which became vested in him as mortgagee. To him it is immaterial,

Mortgagee cannot deny mortgagor's title.

(*q*) 7 Ann. c. 20, s. 17.

(*r*) 54 & 55 Vict. c. 64.

(*s*) *Cox v. Coffin*, 9 Mod. 120; *Lacey v. Waghorne*, 59 L. T. 208. See *Crosse v. General Reversionary, &c. Co.*, 3 De

G. M. & G. 698.

(*t*) *Re Ball, Jeffery v. Sayles*, (1896)

1 Ch. 1, C. A.

(*u*) *Tasker v. Small*, 3 My. & Cr. 63, at p. 70.

CHAP. LXI.

upon repayment of the money, whether the mortgagor's title was good or bad. He is not at liberty to dispute it any more than a tenant is at liberty to dispute his landlord's title."

Incorrect
recitals.

The mortgagee cannot be compelled to execute a reconveyance if it contain incorrect recitals; but if there are no recitals at all, he must execute it at the peril of costs (u).

Notice of
prior equity.

Where the mortgagee has notice of a prior equitable right in a person claiming under the mortgagor, he may refuse to reassign the legal estate to the mortgagor or a puisne incumbrancer without the consent of the owner of the prior right (x).

Where
redeeming
party has
only a partial
interest.

A mortgagee is not bound to convey the legal estate in the mortgaged property and to deliver up the title deeds to a person from whom he has accepted payment of principal, interest, and costs, if that person has only contracted to purchase a part of the mortgaged estate and has not accepted the title. On payment by a person having a partial interest giving a right to redeem, the mortgagee is bound to convey; but the conveyance should reserve the equities of the other persons interested (y).

Form of re-
conveyance.

Whenever circumstances will permit, a reconveyance should be made by indorsement, not only for the sake of brevity, but in order that when the mortgage deed is produced it shall necessarily bear with it the evidence of the reconveyance, for otherwise the reconveyance may be lost, and great difficulty may be found in proving that the debt was ever paid. As the execution of the reconveyance is the natural and necessary consequence of the mortgage debt being discharged, it should not, when indorsed, and when there have been no intermediate dealings with the title, contain any recitals, but should merely reconvey the estate in consideration of the money (z).

The mortgagee usually reconveys "as mortgagee," implying a covenant that he has done no act to incumber by virtue of the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (a); and the third schedule to that Act contains a form of reconveyance intended for use on reconveyance of a statutory mortgage, but which is applicable, with slight modification, on reconveyance of a mortgage made in the usual form.

(u) *Hartley v. Burton*, L. R. 3 Ch. A. 365.

(x) *Banks v. Whittall*, 1 De G. & S. 542.

(y) *Pearce v. Morris*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 227. See *Kinnaird v. Trollope* (No. 1), 39 Ch. D. 636.

(z) *Dav. Conv.* (4th ed.), vol. ii. pt. ii. pp. 278, 279. As to reconveyance of a statutory mortgage, see 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 29, and see form in the Third Schedule to that Act.

(a) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 7.

After a considerable lapse of time, during which the mortgagor or those claiming under him have dealt with the estate as if they were the legal owners, and the mortgage deeds have been in their possession, a conveyance of the legal estate will be presumed, even as against a purchaser in a suit to enforce specific performance (b).

CHAP. LXI.

Presumption of reconveyance after lapse of time.

Where the original possession is accounted for, a conveyance or reconveyance is not to be presumed from length of possession, unless the jury are satisfied that it had actually been executed (c).

If a mortgage is found cancelled in the possession of the mortgagee, it may operate, like the cancelling of a bond, as a release of the debt; but it does not convey or re-vest the estate in the mortgagor, for that must be done by deed (d).

Cancellation of mortgage.

In *Houghton v. Houghton* (e), Sir J. Romilly, M. R., said that his impression was that, where a mortgage deed was by a competent Court declared void and cancelled, a reconveyance was unnecessary.

Where the mortgagee executed a release on the faith of getting proper securities in substitution for the mortgage, and the substituted securities turned out to be forgeries, he was restored to his original position (f), without prejudice to the rights of persons who were alleged to have lent money on the faith of the release (g).

Reconveyance fraudulently obtained.

But if, after a release has been fraudulently obtained, another security is made under which the estate is sold, it cannot be followed in the hands of a purchaser for value without notice (h).

iii.—Right of Mortgagor on Redemption to Re-delivery of Deeds.—On redemption, the mortgagee must, as a general rule, deliver up all the title deeds to the mortgagor, including all that have been executed between the original mortgage and the redemption (i), and all copies of the mortgage or deed of transfer (j). The mortgagee is not entitled, in the absence of express agreement, to retain the deeds to answer contingent liabilities of the mortgagor (k).

Mortgagee generally bound to deliver deeds.

This rule will apply even where the mortgagee has settled his mortgage and transferred it by the same deed on the trust of

Settlement of mortgage debt.

(b) *Cooke v. Soltau*, 2 S. & St. 154. But see *Dowling v. Ford*, 11 M. & W. 329; and *Bennett v. Cooper*, 9 Beav. 262.

(c) *Doe v. Reed*, 5 B. & Ald. 238.

(d) *Harrison v. Owen*, 1 Atk. 520.

(e) 15 Beav. 278, 321.

(f) *Eyre v. Burmester*, 10 H. L. C. 90.

(g) *Ibid.*; *Scholefield v. Templer*, 4 De G. & J. 429.

(h) *Eyre v. Burmester*, 4 De G. J. & S. 435.

(i) *Hudson v. Malcolm*, 10 W. R. 720.

(j) *Re Wade*, 17 Ch. D. 348.

(k) *Merchant's Bank of London v. Maud*, 18 W. R. 312.

CHAP. LXI.

Several mortgages on distinct estates.

Where mortgagee reconveys only part of estate.

Purchaser's right to deeds.

Affidavit of documents.

Retention of deed as security for further advance.

Delivery to mortgagor after notice of charge.

Loss of deeds by mortgagee.

the settlement; in one case, however, by consent, the custody of the deeds relating to the settlement was retained by the mortgagees, they covenanting for production at their own expense (*l*).

Where several mortgages upon distinct estates have been transferred by a single deed, one of the mortgagors who comes to redeem singly is entitled to have the deed of transfer delivered to him upon his undertaking to produce it (*m*).

Where the mortgagee reconveys only part of the estate, and is entitled to retain the deeds by virtue of his title to the remainder of the property, he ought also to covenant with the redeeming party for production, or acknowledge his right thereto (*n*).

When the estate has been sold in a suit, and the money has been paid into a general account, the purchaser is entitled to insist upon the delivery to him of the title deeds before any dealings take place with the purchase-money (*o*).

It is usual for the mortgagee to be prepared with an affidavit of the documents to be delivered up in case of redemption, and the mortgagor may require the affidavit at his own expense; but he should give previous notice to the mortgagee of his intention, and in case of his neglect to do so and of the non-production of the affidavit, a new day must be fixed for payment (*p*).

Where the legal mortgage deed was retained by the first mortgagee after payment, and a subsequent mortgage made to a third party, and subsequent advances by the first mortgagee, the third party was held entitled to a reconveyance from the first mortgagee, but the latter was held entitled to retain the deed (*q*).

W., holding title deeds to secure a debt due by N., received a letter from N. directing him, out of the sale of the property, to pay himself and a debt due from N. to S., whose solicitor W. was. W. recovered from N. payment of his own debt, and without the consent of S. delivered the deeds to N. It was held that W. was liable to S. for any loss he might sustain (*r*).

The rights and liabilities of the parties where the title deeds

(*l*) *Dobson v. Land*, 4 De G. & S. 575. As to statutory acknowledgments in lieu of such covenants, see 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41, s. 9, *ante*, p. 815.

(*m*) *Capper v. Terrington*, 1 Coll. 103.

(*n*) *Yates v. Plumbe*, 2 Sm. & G. 174.

(*o*) *Fowler v. Scott*, W. N. (1871) 248.

(*p*) *Weeks v. Stourton*, 11 Jur. N. S. 278.

(*q*) *Young v. Whitehurst & Co.*, 37 L. J. Ch. 186.

(*r*) *Attwood v. —*, 5 Russ. 149.

have been lost or are not forthcoming, have been already explained (s). CHAP. LXI.

The mortgagor's right to delivery to him of the title deeds on redemption is liable to be intercepted by the lien of a solicitor employed in the transaction in respect of his costs of and incident to the same (t). Lien of solicitor on deeds.

The mortgagee's solicitor cannot, as against the mortgagor, claim a lien for costs of and incident to the mortgage transaction beyond the costs properly payable by the mortgagor (u). The rule is thus laid down in a recent case (x):—"When the mortgagor has paid to the mortgagee all that is due to him for principal, interest, and costs, and the mortgagee has given the mortgagor a release, the mortgagee's solicitor has no right to retain the deeds against the mortgagor, even for costs due to the solicitor from the mortgagee for work done relating to the mortgaged property pending the mortgage. The equitable right of the mortgagor to have back from the mortgagee his deeds, on payment of principal, interest, and costs, prevails against the solicitor's lien claimed in right of the mortgagee." Mortgagee's solicitor.
Re Llewellyn.

In the earlier case of *Ogle v. Storey* (y), the mortgagee's solicitor refused to deliver up the deeds on the mortgage being paid off except upon payment of his own bill, containing not only items chargeable as costs from the mortgagor, but also others which were properly payable by the mortgagee; the mortgagor paid the principal, interest, and the whole of the costs claimed under protest, and having afterwards ascertained that the bill was not such as a mortgagor could fairly be called upon to pay, brought his action against the solicitor to recover back the excess which he had been compelled to pay in order to recover possession of the deeds: it was held that the solicitor was entitled to maintain his lien on the deeds to the whole extent of his bill of costs, and that the mortgagor could not recover back from him the amount unduly charged. The grounds of this decision do not clearly appear from the reports; in the judgment the lien seems to have been treated as a pledge by the mortgagee of the deeds with his solicitor for the amount of his bill; but the real ground of the decision would seem to be Ogle v. Storey.

(s) *Ante*, pp. 816 *et seq.*

(t) See further as to solicitors' liens on deeds, &c., *ante*, p. 1384.

(u) *Wakefield v. Newbon*, 6 Q. B. 276.

(x) *Per Chitty, J.*, in *Re Llewellyn, A Solicitor*, (1891) 3 Ch. 145, 148.

(y) 4 B. & Ad. 735.

CHAP. LXXI.

that the plaintiff had overpaid the mortgagee, and that the action ought to have been brought against the mortgagee, and not against the solicitor (z).

No lien for costs of solicitor-mortgagee.

Where a solicitor is in possession of deeds of his client as mortgagee, he does not acquire a lien thereon for his general costs (a), or for the costs of the mortgage deed: the mortgage deed is his own, not the client's (b).

No lien for costs of abortive mortgage.

If, on an intended mortgage, the deeds are received from the intended mortgagor and delivered by the proposed mortgagee to his solicitor, and the mortgage goes off, the solicitor will not have a lien for his bill of costs (c).

Deeds deposited by mortgagee with solicitor.

The solicitor of the mortgagor having a lien for the costs of preparing the mortgage, does not lose the lien by holding the title deeds for the mortgagee, and, upon a sale of the equity of redemption by the trustee in liquidation of the mortgagor, is entitled to retain his costs of and incident to the mortgage out of the purchase-money (d).

But where deeds are deposited by a mortgagee with his solicitor for safe custody, the possession of the solicitor is that of his client, the mortgagee; and his lien on the deeds, as against the mortgagor, does not cover costs of dealings with the mortgaged property, not referable to the mortgage transaction, in which the mortgagor employs the same solicitor (e).

A solicitor cannot, of course, derive a lien from a party who has no right himself, or further than the right of such party extends (f). Thus the solicitor of a tenant for life has no lien on the deeds against the remainderman (g).

iv.—Right of Mortgagor to require Transfer of Mortgage instead of Reconveyance.—Formerly, a mortgagee could not have been compelled to assign the security on redemption either by the mortgagor or a third person, or on payment of the mortgage moneys by a purchaser out of the proceeds of sale of the

(z) *Per Chitty, J.*, in *Re Llewellyn*, (1891) 3 Ch. 145, at p. 148.

(a) *Pelly v. Wathen*, 1 De G. M. & G. 16; *Vaughan v. Vanderstegen*, 2 Drew. 410.

(b) *Sheffield v. Eden*, 10 Ch. D. 291, C. A.

(c) *Pratt v. Vizard*, 5 B. & Ad. 808.

(d) *Exp. Calvert, Re Messenger*, 3 Ch. D. 317; *Colmer v. Ede*, 40 L. J. Ch. 185.

(e) *Exp. Fuller*, 16 Ch. D. 617. See *Exp. Quinn, Re Nicholson*, W. N. (1883) 222.

(f) *Bell v. Taylor*, 8 Sim. 216; *Hollis v. Claridge*, 4 Taunt. 807. And see *Rider v. Jones*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 329, 332; *Davies v. Vernon*, 6 Q. B. 443; *Smith v. Chichester*, 2 Dr. & War. 393; *Francis v. Francis*, 5 De G. M. & G. 108. See *Molencorth v. Robbins*, 2 J. & L. 358.

(g) *Turner v. Letts*, 20 Beav. 185.

property; he was in strictness only bound to reconvey to the owner of the equity of redemption (*h*). Now, however, a mortgagee, not being or having been in possession, can be compelled to execute an assignment instead of a reconveyance on being paid off, notwithstanding any stipulation to the contrary. On this point the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (*i*), enacts as follows:—

CHAP. LXXI.

Sect. 15—“(1.) Where a mortgagor is entitled to redeem, he shall, by virtue of this Act, have power to require the mortgagee, instead of reconveying, and on the terms on which he would be bound to reconvey, to assign the mortgage debt and convey the mortgaged property to any third person, as the mortgagor directs; and the mortgagee shall, by virtue of this Act, be bound to assign and convey accordingly.

Obligation on mortgagee to transfer instead of reconveying.

“(2.) This section does not apply in the case of a mortgagee being or having been in possession.

“(3.) This section applies to mortgages made either before or after the commencement of this Act, and shall have effect notwithstanding any stipulation to the contrary.”

And by the Conveyancing Act, 1882 (*k*), it is enacted that:—

Sect. 12. “The right of the mortgagor, under section fifteen of the Conveyancing Act of 1881, to require a mortgagee, instead of reconveying, to assign the mortgage debt and convey the mortgaged property to a third person, shall belong to and be capable of being enforced by each incumbrancer, or by the mortgagor, notwithstanding any intermediate incumbrance; but a requisition of an incumbrancer shall prevail over a requisition of the mortgagor, and, as between incumbrancers, a requisition of a prior incumbrancer shall prevail over a requisition of a subsequent incumbrancer.”

Reconveyance on mortgage.

As “mortgage,” for the purposes of these Acts, includes any charge on any property for securing money or money’s worth, an equitable mortgage may require a transfer of the mortgage instead of a reconveyance.

Equitable mortgage may require mortgagee to transfer.

The right of a mortgagor to require a transfer applies to the case of a lien of a company on shares for a debt due from a shareholder (*l*).

Charge on shares in company.

The transfer must be on the “terms” on which the mortgagee would be bound to reconvey; and, accordingly, if the mortgagor’s position is such as to constitute him a trustee of the property upon the trusts of a settlement, he cannot require an absolute transfer to his nominee (*m*).

Where mortgagor is trustee for other persons.

(*h*) *Smith v. Green*, 1 Coll. 563; *Dunstan v. Patterson*, 2 Ph. 341.

(*i*) 44 & 45 Vict. c. 41.

(*k*) 45 & 46 Vict. c. 39.

(*l*) *Everitt v. Automatic Weighing Machine Co.*, (1892) 3 Ch. 506.

(*m*) *Alderson v. Elgey*, 26 Ch. D. 567.

CHAP. LXI.

Whether transfer to stranger can be directed in foreclosure action.

In one case (*n*) a doubt was expressed by the learned judge whether, in a foreclosure action, an assignment to a person outside the action would be allowed instead of reconveyance; but this dictum was not necessary for determination of any point which had actually arisen, and, if generally adopted, would seem to be inconsistent with the right given by sect. 15 to require a transfer to any third person; and a decision to the effect of this dictum would materially narrow the advantage of the enactment.

Whether the right exists where there are puisne incumbrancers.

It was held under sect. 15 of the Act of 1881 that the mortgagor has no right to call for a transfer where there is a second mortgagee who, on redeeming, would be entitled to require a conveyance (*o*). This rule has been incidentally recognized in a later case as still in force notwithstanding sect. 12 of the Act of 1882 (*p*). Indeed, the effect of this section seems to be to give legislative confirmation to the decision in the earlier case, so far as it laid down that the expression "mortgagor" in the principal Act means the person who has, in priority to all other persons interested, the right to call upon the first mortgagee to transfer the mortgage; but to have unaffected that decision so far as it laid down the rule that the consent of a mesne incumbrancer is still necessary to enable a subsequent incumbrancer or the mortgagor, as the case may be, to call for a transfer instead of a reconveyance (*q*).

Enforcement of right to transfer instead of reconveyance.

The proper mode of enforcing a mortgagor's right to a transfer instead of a reconveyance is by means of an action to redeem, in which, on the plaintiff undertaking to pay the amount due upon the mortgagee transferring the charge to the nominee of the plaintiff, the mortgagee may be restrained from otherwise dealing with the security (*r*).

Exception of mortgagee in possession.

The reason why a mortgagee, being, or having been, in possession, is excepted from the operation of the enactments above referred to, is that a mortgagee who has taken possession remains accountable in respect of the profits and other matters even after transfer (*s*). And, accordingly, though the request of the mort-

(*n*) *Smithett v. Heslath*, 44 Ch. D. 161, 165.

(*o*) *Teevan v. Smith*, 20 Ch. D. 724. See *Rhodes v. Buckland*, 16 Beav. 212; *Ramsbottom v. Wallis*, 5 L. J. N. S. Ch. 92.

(*p*) *Kinnaird v. Trollope* (No. 1), 39 Ch. D. 636.

(*q*) Byth. & Jarm. Conv. (4th ed.),

Suppl. 202. And see *West London Commercial Bank v. Reliance Permanent Building Soc.*, 29 Ch. D. 954, C. A.

(*r*) *Everitt v. Automatic Weighing Machine Co.*, (1892) 3 Ch. 506.

(*s*) See *Hall v. Howard*, 32 Ch. D. 430, 435; *Re Prytherch, Prytherch v. Williams*, 42 Ch. D. 590. And see *ante*, p. 803.

gagor would, no doubt, debar him from calling the original mortgagee to account for the acts of the transferee, yet the liability might still be enforced by subsequent incumbrancers.

CHAP. LXI.

SECTION II.

VESTING ORDERS.

i.—Lunatic Mortgagees and Trustees.—Difficulties sometimes arise where a conveyance of the legal estate in mortgaged property is required, either by a mortgagor who is entitled to a reconveyance on redemption or in consequence of the person in whom such legal estate is vested being lunatic, an infant, out of the jurisdiction, or unknown, and statutes have from time to time been passed to remedy the difficulties. A similar difficulty may arise in the case of an equitable mortgagee entitled to a legal mortgage.

By the Trustee Act, 1850 (*t*), the Lord Chancellor was empowered to make vesting orders of lands or contingent rights in lands, of which, and also orders vesting the right to transfer or receive the dividends of stock or to sue for and recover any stock to which, any lunatic or person of unsound mind was solely entitled upon trust or by way of mortgage, and, where the lunatic was entitled jointly with any other person or persons, orders vesting such rights, either in the person so jointly entitled, or in such person or persons together with any other person or persons.

Power to make vesting orders under Trustee Act, 1850.

These provisions are repealed by the Lunacy Act, 1890 (*u*), except so far as they relate to Ireland (*x*): and by this Act, which came into operation on the 1st of May, 1890 (*y*), it is enacted as follows:—

Powers under Lunacy Act, 1890.

Sect. 135.—“(1.) When a lunatic is solely or jointly seised or possessed of any land upon trust or by way of mortgage, the judge in lunacy may, by order, vest such land in such person or persons for such estate and in such manner as he directs.

Power to vest lands and release contingent right of lunatic trustee or mortgagee.

“(2.) When a lunatic is solely or jointly entitled to a contingent right in any land upon trust or by way of mortgage, the judge may by order release such hereditaments from the contingent right, and dispose of the same to such person or persons as the judge directs.

(*t*) 13 & 14 Vict. c. 60, ss. 3, 4, 26, 27.

(*x*) *Ibid.* s. 342, and 5th Sched.

(*u*) 53 Vict. c. 5.

(*y*) *Ibid.* s. 3.

CHAP. LXI.

"(3.) An order under sub-sections (1) and (2) shall have the same effect as if the trustee or mortgagee had been sane, and had executed a deed conveying the lands for the estate named in the order, or releasing or disposing of the contingent right.

"(4.) In all cases where an order can be made under this section the judge may, if it is more convenient, appoint a person to convey the land or release the contingent right, and a conveyance or release by such person in conformity with the order shall have the same effect as an order under sub-sections (1) and (2).

"(5.) Where an order under this section vesting any copyhold land in any person or persons is made with the consent of the lord or lady of the manor, such land shall vest accordingly without surrender or admittance.

"(6.) Where an order is made appointing any person or persons to convey any copyhold land, such person or persons shall execute and do all assurances and things for completing the assurance of the lands; and the lord or lady of the manor shall, subject to the customs of the manor and the usual payments, be bound to make admittance to the land, and to do all other acts for completing the assurance thereof, as if the persons in whose place an appointment is made were free from disability and had executed and done such assurances and things."

Vesting
orders of
lunatics'
stock or
choses in
action.

By sects. 136 and 137 of the same Act, the judge in lunacy is empowered to make orders vesting the right to transfer stock and to sue for choses in action to which a lunatic is entitled, solely or jointly with other persons, as trustee or mortgagee, or as representative of a deceased trustee or mortgagee.

The provisions of these sections, except as to the events giving rise to the power of the Court, are virtually identical with those of sect. 35 of the Trustee Act, 1893, hereinafter set out in full (s).

Transfer of
mortgage
debt, and
land abroad.

It was held under an old Trustee Act (a), that the assignment of a mortgage debt by a creditor was not within that Act, nor did the Act apply to conveyances of land out of the Queen's dominions (b); and the principle of the decision would seem to apply under the present law.

Sale in fore-
closure action.

Where land subject to an equitable mortgage is sold in a foreclosure suit, the mortgagor who has become a lunatic is a trustee of the legal estate for the purchaser (c).

Vesting order
where one
trustee be-
comes lunatic.

Where one trustee becomes lunatic, an order in lunacy for the other trustees to transfer stock is sufficient, without appointment of a new trustee (d).

(a) *Post*, p. 1426.

(a) 11 Geo. IV. & 1 Will. IV. c. 60.

(b) *Price v. Dewhurst*, 8 Sim. 617.

(c) *Re Rogers*, 13 L. J. Ch. 262, L. O.

(d) *Re Watson*, 19 Ch. D. 384, C. A.;
not following *Re Nash*, 16 Ch. D. 503,
C. A.

The Court, in authorizing the committee to exercise a lunatic mortgagee's power of sale, will only direct him to sell, leaving the transfer of the legal estate to be effected by means of a vesting order (e). CHAP. LXI.
Power of sale.

ii.—Infant Mortgagees and Trustees.—By sects. 57 and 58 of the Trustee Act, 1850 (f), now repealed, where any infant was seised or possessed of any lands, or contingent rights in lands, upon any trust or by way of mortgage, the Chancery Division of the High Court was empowered to make vesting orders of such lands or rights in such person and in such manner and for such estate as the Court might direct.

By sect. 26 of the Trustee Act, 1893 (g), where a trustee entitled to or possessed of any land, or entitled to a contingent right therein, either solely or jointly with any other person, is an infant, the High Court may make an order vesting the land, or releasing the contingent right, as the Court may direct.

And by sect. 35 of the same Act, where a trustee entitled alone or jointly with another person to stock or a chose in action is an infant, the Court may make a vesting order in respect of such stock.

As the case of an infant of unsound mind is not excluded from the operation of this Act, it would seem that the jurisdiction given to the High Court by the above section is exercisable in such a case (h). Infant of unsound mind.

In a foreclosure action of an equitable mortgage, if the case does not come within sect. 30 of the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (i), the infant heir of a mortgagor (his devisees in trust having disclaimed) is a trustee for the mortgagee (j). Where a decree for sale is made in a foreclosure suit against the infant heir of the mortgagor, and the legal estate is in the mortgagee, a vesting order is unnecessary, equitable interests being bound by the decree (k). Infant heir of mortgagor.

Where a person deposited the title deeds of freehold property with his bankers as security for a debt, and agreed to execute a legal mortgage when required by them, on the death of the mortgagor intestate an order was made declaring his infant heir

(e) *Re Harwood*, 35 Ch. D. 470, 1893, p. 105. See *Re Arrowsmith's Trusts*, 4 Jur. N. S. 1123.
C. A. (f) 13 & 14 Vict. c. 60. (i) Set out ante, p. 841. And see post, p. 1424.
(g) 56 & 57 Vict. c. 53. See these sections set out infra. (j) *Foster v. Parker*, 8 Ch. D. 147.
(h) *Rudall and Greig's Trustee Act*, (k) *Re Williams*, 5 De G. & S. 515.

CHAP. LXI.

a trustee, and vesting the legal estate in the mortgagees, subject to the right of redemption (*l*).

Under sects. 16 and 30 of the Trustee Act, 1850, as amended by sect. 1 of the Trustee Extension Act, 1852 (*m*), where land had been decreed to be sold, an order was made releasing the land from contingent rights of unborn persons (*n*). And now by the Trustee Act, 1893, it is enacted that—

Orders as to
contingent
rights of un-
born persons.

Sect. 27. "Where any land is subject to a contingent right in an unborn person, or class of unborn persons, who, on coming into existence, would, in respect thereof, become entitled to or possessed of land on any trust, the High Court may make an order releasing the land from the contingent right, or may make an order vesting in any person the estate to or of which the unborn person, or class of unborn persons, would, on coming into existence, be entitled or possessed in the land."

With regard to infant mortgagees, the Trustee Act, 1890, enacts as follows:—

Vesting order
in place of
conveyance
by infant
mortgagee.

Sect. 28. "Where any person entitled to or possessed of land, or entitled to a contingent right in land, is an infant, the High Court may make an order vesting or releasing, or disposing of the land or right in like manner as in the case of an infant trustee."

Infant heir
of mortgagee
of copyholds.

Where a mortgagee of copyholds who had been admitted died intestate as to mortgage estates, an order was made vesting the legal estate which had devolved upon the customary heir, an infant, in the mortgagee's executors (*o*).

iii.—Mortgagee or Trustee out of Jurisdiction not to be found or refusing to convey.—By the Trustee Act, 1893 (*p*), it is enacted as follows:—

Vesting
orders as to
land.

Sect. 26. "In any of the following cases, namely:—

- (i.) Where the High Court appoints or has appointed a new trustee; and
- (ii.) Where a trustee entitled to or possessed of any land, or entitled to a contingent right therein, either solely or jointly with any other person,—
 - (a) is an infant (*q*), or
 - (b) is out of the jurisdiction of the High Court, or
 - (c) cannot be found; and
- (iii.) Where it is uncertain who was the survivor of two or more trustees jointly entitled to or possessed of any land; and
- (iv.) Where, as to the last trustee known to have been entitled to or possessed of any land, it is uncertain whether he is living or dead; and

(*l*) *Re Jones's Mortgage*, W. N. (1888) 217.

(*m*) 15 & 16 Vict. c. 55.

(*n*) *Wake v. Wake*, 17 Jur. 545.

(*o*) *Re Franklyn*, W. N. (1888) 217.

(*p*) 56 & 57 Vict. c. 53.

(*q*) *Sup.*

(v.) Where there is no heir or personal representative to a trustee who was entitled to or possessed of land and has died intestate as to that land, or where it is uncertain who is the heir or personal representative or devisee of a trustee who was entitled to or possessed of land and is dead; and

CHAP. LXXI.

(vi.) Where a trustee jointly or solely entitled to or possessed of any land, or entitled to a contingent right therein, has been required, by or on behalf of a person entitled to require a conveyance of the land or a release of the right, to convey the land or to release the right, and has wilfully refused or neglected to convey the land or release the right for twenty-eight days after the date of the requirement;

the High Court may make an order (in this Act called a vesting order) vesting the land in any such person in any such manner and for any such estate as the Court may direct, or releasing or disposing of the contingent right to such person as the Court may direct.

Provided that—

(a) Where the order is consequential on the appointment of a new trustee the land shall be vested for such estate as the Court may direct in the persons who on the appointment are the trustees; and

(b) Where the order relates to a trustee entitled jointly with another person, and such trustee is out of the jurisdiction of the High Court or cannot be found, the land or right shall be vested in such other person, either alone or with some other person."

This section virtually re-enacts several corresponding sections of the repealed Trustee Act, 1850 (*r*), and Trustee Extension Act, 1852 (*s*), except that sect. 7 of the Act of 1850 included the case of an infant mortgagee, which the section above set out does not. Decisions under the former Acts are accordingly of assistance in explaining this section.

The difficulty of getting in the legal estate upon the death of a mortgagee after the mortgage debt was paid off was obviated by a late statute (*t*). And, as regards mortgagees who have died on or since the 1st of January, 1882, the legal estate in mortgaged lands, except copyholds to which the mortgagee has been actually admitted, devolves on his personal representatives by virtue of sect. 30 of the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (*u*).

Power of personal representatives of deceased mortgagee to convey legal estate.

Where the trusts for sale under a mortgage were for payment out of the proceeds of sale of the mortgage moneys, and, subject

Mortgagee held trustee for mortgagor.

(*r*) 13 & 14 Vict. c. 60, ss. 7, 8, 9—15, 34.

(*t*) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 78, *ante*, p. 839.

(*s*) 15 & 16 Vict. c. 55, s. 2.

(*u*) Set out and considered *ante*, p. 841.

CHAP. LXI.

thereto, for the mortgagor, his executors, administrators, and assigns, the mortgagee was held to be a trustee within the corresponding section (x) of the Trustee Act, 1850; and the same course was followed where the mortgage contained a power of sale with a similar disposition of the surplus (y).

When heir of mortgagee is trustee for mortgagor.

After a mortgagee is dead, and the mortgage money is paid to his personal representatives, if the case does not fall within the Act of 1881, the heir or devisee of the mortgagee is a trustee for the mortgagor (z).

Joint mortgagee.

A joint mortgagee out of the jurisdiction is not a trustee within sect. 26 of the present Act (a). But the co-heirs of a mortgagee have been held to be so within the corresponding section of the Trustee Act, 1850; and accordingly, in a case before 1882, where one of such co-heirs was out of the jurisdiction, he was held to be a trustee for the person entitled to the mortgage moneys (b).

Trustee-mortgagee.

The section applies in all cases of redemption where a mortgagee is a trustee of mortgage moneys (c); and a person in whose name a mortgage is taken to secure moneys advanced by other persons is a trustee (d).

Vesting order subject to charge.

An order has been made under the corresponding sections of the former Trustee Acts to vest the legal estate in the devisees of a mortgagor, subject to a charge created by his will (e).

Mortgagor held trustee for mortgagee after foreclosure.

A defendant, against whom an absolute decree of foreclosure upon an equitable mortgage is made, but who cannot be found, is a trustee for the mortgagee within this section (f); and in such a case, on non-payment of principal, interest, and costs, an order will be made vesting the mortgaged property in the plaintiff (f).

Covenant to assign leasehold reversion.

A mortgagor who had covenanted to assign the last day of the term to a purchaser is not a trustee within the Act (g); but if the mortgagor covenant in the meantime to hold the outstanding estate upon trust for the mortgagee, he becomes a trustee within it (h).

Refusal to convey.

Where a mortgagor, who had covenanted to surrender copyholds, neglected to make such surrender within twenty-eight

(x) *Re Underwood*, 3 K. & J. 745.

(y) *Re Keeler*, 32 L. J. Ch. 101.

(z) *Foster v. Parker*, 8 Ch. D. 147.

(a) See *Re Osborn's Mortgage*, L. R. 12 Eq. 392. See *Re Walker's Mortgage*, 3 Ch. D. 209.

(b) *Re Templer's Trust*, 4 N. R. 494; *Re Hughes' Settlement*, 2 H. & M. 695.

(c) *Re O'Gorman*, 25 L. R. Ir. 93.

(d) *Re Barber*, 48 L. T. 303.

(e) *Re Ellerthorpe*, 18 Jur. 669.

(f) *Lechmere v. Clamp*, 30 Beav. 218;

31 Beav. 578.

(g) *Re Property's Purchase*, 22 L. J. Ch. 948.

(h) *Re Collingwood*, 6 W. R. 536.

days after demand and tender of engrossment by the mortgagee, a vesting order was made (*i*).

CHAP. LXI.

After decree in a foreclosure suit for sale of an estate mortgaged by a woman before her marriage, and not settled to her separate use, it would seem that, in case of her refusal to convey, a petition might be presented, treating her as a trustee, though the Lord Chancellor discharged the peremptory order for obliging her to convey in execution of the decree for sale (*k*).

Married woman.

Where a mortgagee had taken possession and received the rents up to her death, it was held that her executors might, either under sect. 9 (*l*) or sect. 13 (*m*) of the Act of 1850 (both of which sections are replaced by sect. 26 of the present Act), obtain a vesting order of the legal estate which had descended, under the old law as to devolution of mortgage estates, on the heir who was out of the jurisdiction.

Heir of mortgagee trustee for his executors.

Where the executor and executrix (a married woman) of a mortgagee applied for a vesting order, the Court, instead of vesting the property in the executor and executrix, in which case the *feme covert*, in order to part with it, would have had to acknowledge the deed, vested it in such person as the executor and executrix should appoint, and in default thereof in the executor and executrix (*n*). Similarly a vesting order and declaration was made to uses to bar dower (*o*).

No vesting order is required in the case of a convict mortgagee; for the property vested in him as mortgagee does not vest in his administrator under the Forfeiture Act, 1870 (*p*), but remains in the convict, who can reconvey the same accordingly (*q*).

Convict.

iv.—Vesting Order on Death of Mortgagee.—The Trustee Act, 1893, enacts as follows:—

Sect. 29. "Where a mortgagee of land has died without having entered into the possession or into the receipt of the rents and profits thereof, and the money due in respect of the mortgage has been paid to a person entitled to receive the same, or that last-mentioned person consents to any order for the reconveyance of the land, then the High Court may make an order vesting the land in

Vesting order in place of conveyance by heir, or devisee of heir, &c., or personal representative of mortgagee.

(*i*) *Re Crowe's Mortgage*, L. R. 13 Eq. 26. See *Re Mill's Trusts*, 40 Ch. D. 14, C. A.

(*k*) *Jordan v. Jones*, 2 Ph. 170. And see *King v. Leach*, 2 Ha. 57; *Rowley v. Adams*, 14 Beav. 130.

(*l*) *Re Shitler's Trusts*, 4 W. R. 791.

(*m*) *Re Keeler*, 32 L. J. Ch. 101.

(*n*) *Re Powell*, 4 K. & J. 338.

(*o*) *Davey v. Miller*, 1 Sm. & G. App. 19; *Re Howard*, 21 L. J. Ch. 437.

(*p*) 33 & 34 Vict. c. 23.

(*q*) Trustee Act, 1893 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 53), s. 48, re-enacting 13 & 14 Vict. c. 60, ss. 46, 47. See *Re Levi and Debenture Corp.*, 42 W. R. 533.

CHAP. LXI.

such person or persons in such manner and for such estate as the Court may direct in any of the following cases, namely,—

- (a) Where an heir or personal representative or devisee of the mortgagee is out of the jurisdiction of the High Court or cannot be found; and
- (b) Where an heir or personal representative or devisee of the mortgagee on demand made by or on behalf of a person entitled to require a conveyance of the land has stated in writing that he will not convey the same or does not convey the same for the space of twenty-eight days next after a proper deed for conveying the land has been tendered to him by or on behalf of the person so entitled; and
- (c) Where it is uncertain which of several devisees of the mortgagee was the survivor; and
- (d) Where it is uncertain as to the survivor of several devisees of the mortgagee or as to the heir or personal representative of the mortgagee whether he is living or dead; and
- (e) Where there is no heir or personal representative to a mortgagee who has died intestate as to the land, or where the mortgagee has died and it is uncertain who is his heir or personal representative or devisee."

This section in effect re-enacts the repealed sect. 19 of the Trustee Act, 1850, but in terms which make the present enactment applicable to cases where the mortgage estate devolves upon the personal representatives of a deceased mortgagee by virtue of sect. 30 of the Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881, as well as to cases where such estate devolves upon the heir or devisee.

Meaning of word "reconveyance."

The word "reconveyance" does not apply only to the case of a mortgagor when the mortgage is paid off; the personal representatives of a mortgagee who had not taken possession can obtain a vesting order where the heir of the mortgagee cannot be found, though the debt is not paid off (*p*). It appears, however, that such an order will not be made unless it is intended to sell or transfer the mortgage (*q*).

Mortgagee intestate and illegitimate. Cases not within s. 29.

If the mortgagee died intestate and was illegitimate, the vesting order will be made after service on the Crown (*r*).

The following cases are not, nor were intended to be, provided for by sect. 29, namely, where the mortgagee is still living, or where he has died seised, but had been in actual possession or in the receipt of the rents and profits.

(*p*) *Re Boden's Mortgage*, 1 De G. M. & G. 57; *Re Quinlan's Trusts*, 9 Ir. Ch. R. 306; *Re Lea's Trusts*, 6 W. R. 482, overruling *Meyrick's Trusts*, 9 Ha. 116.

(*q*) *Re Hewitt*, 27 L. J. Ch. 302, C. A. And see *Re Dearden*, 3 My. & K. 508.

(*r*) *Re Minchin's Estate*, 2 W. R. 179.

A vesting order may be made under this section in the Chancery Division, though the will of the mortgagee is disputed and an action to establish it is pending in the Probate Division (s).

CHAP. LXI.

v.—Vesting Orders in respect of Stock, &c.—With regard to the vesting of stock and choses in action and shares in ships, the Trustee Act, 1893, enacts that:—

Sect. 35. “(1.) In any of the following cases, namely:—

- (i.) Where the High Court appoints or has appointed a new trustee; and
- (ii.) Where a trustee entitled alone or jointly with another person to stock or to a chose in action—
 - (a) is an infant, or
 - (b) is out of the jurisdiction of the High Court, or
 - (c) cannot be found; or
 - (d) neglects or refuses to transfer stock or receive the dividends or income thereof, or to sue for or recover a chose in action, according to the direction of the person absolutely entitled thereto for twenty-eight days next after a request in writing has been made to him by the person so entitled, or
 - (e) neglects or refuses to transfer stock or receive the dividends or income thereof, or to sue for or recover a chose in action for twenty-eight days next after an order of the High Court for that purpose has been served on him; or
- (iii.) Where it is uncertain whether a trustee entitled alone or jointly with another person to stock or to a chose in action is alive or dead,

the High Court may make an order vesting the right to transfer or call for a transfer of stock, or to receive the dividends or income thereof, or to sue for or recover a chose in action, in any such person as the Court may appoint:

Provided that—

- (a.) Where the order is consequential on the appointment by the Court of a new trustee, the right shall be vested in the persons who, on the appointment, are the trustees; and
 - (b.) Where the person whose right is dealt with by the order was entitled jointly with another person, the right shall be vested in that last-mentioned person either alone or jointly with any other person whom the Court may appoint.
- (2.) In all cases where a vesting order can be made under this section, the Court may, if it is more convenient, appoint some proper person to make or join in making the transfer.
- (3.) The person in whom the right to transfer or call for the transfer of any stock is vested by an order of the Court under this Act, may transfer the stock to himself or any other person, according to the order, and the Banks of England and Ireland and all other companies shall obey every order under this section according to its tenor.
- (4.) After notice in writing of an order under this section it shall not be lawful for the Bank of England or of Ireland or any other

CHAP. LXXI.

company to transfer any stock to which the order relates or to pay any dividends thereon except in accordance with the order.

(5.) The High Court may make declarations and give directions concerning the manner in which the right to any stock or chose in action vested under the provisions of this Act is to be exercised.

(6.) The provisions of this Act as to vesting orders shall apply to shares in ships registered under the Acts relating to merchant shipping as if they were stock."

vi.—Effect of Vesting Orders.—As regards the effect of vesting orders made under the Trustee Act, 1893 (other than vesting orders on appointment of new trustees), it is enacted that:—

Effect of vesting order.

Sect. 32. "A vesting order under any of the foregoing provisions shall . . . have the same effect as if the trustee or other person or description or class of persons to whose rights or supposed rights the said provisions respectively relate had been an ascertained and existing person of full capacity, and had executed a conveyance or release to the effect intended by the order."

Power to appoint person to convey.

Sect. 33. "In all cases where a vesting order can be made under any of the foregoing provisions, the High Court may, if it is more convenient, appoint a person to convey the land or release the contingent right, and a conveyance or release by that person in conformity with the order shall have the same effect as an order under the appropriate provision."

Effect of vesting order as to copyhold.

Sect. 34. "(1.) Where an order vesting copyhold land in any person is made under this Act with the consent of the lord or lady of the manor, the land shall vest accordingly without surrender or admittance.

(2.) Where an order is made under this Act appointing any person to convey any copyhold land, that person shall execute and do all assurances and things for completing the assurance of the land; and the lord and lady of the manor and every other person shall, subject to the customs of the manor and the usual payments, be bound to make admittance to the land and to do all other acts for completing the assurance thereof, as if the persons in whose place an appointment is made were free from disability and had executed and done those assurances and things."

The lord need not appear to consent to the order; a verified certificate of his consent is sufficient (*t*).

Jurisdiction in lunacy.

vii.—Jurisdiction and Procedure with regard to Vesting Orders.

—The jurisdiction to make vesting orders in the case of lunatic mortgagees or mortgagors is now, as regards England, vested, under the Lunacy Act, 1890 (*u*), in the Lord Chancellor for the time being, and in any one or more of the judges of the Supreme Court of Judicature as are entrusted by her Majesty's sign manual with the care, &c. of lunatics.

(*t*) *Ayles v. Cox*, 17 Beav. 584.

(*u*) 53 Vict. c. 5.

The procedure in lunacy is regulated by the Lunacy Rules, 1892, made under sect. 338 of the Lunacy Act, 1892. CHAP. LXI.

The jurisdiction under the Trustee Act, 1893, is vested in the "High Court," which expression, by the Interpretation Act, 1889 (*x*), when used with reference to England or Ireland, shall mean her Majesty's High Court of Justice in England or Ireland, as the case may be. The jurisdiction of the High Court in all proceedings under this Act is assigned to the Chancery Division (*y*). Jurisdiction of High Court under Trustee Act, 1893.

With regard to the jurisdiction under this Act of the Palatine Courts and County Courts, it is enacted that :—

Sect. 46. "The provisions of this Act with respect to the High Court shall, in their application to cases within the jurisdiction of a Palatine Court or County Court, include that Court, and the procedure under this Act in Palatine Courts and County Courts shall be in accordance with the Acts and Rules regulating the procedure of those Courts." Jurisdiction of Palatine and County Courts.

As regards property situate abroad, it is enacted that :—

Sect. 41. "The powers of the High Court in England to make vesting orders under this Act shall extend to all land and personal estate in her Majesty's dominions, except Scotland." Application of vesting orders to land out of England.

The procedure to be followed in applications for vesting orders under the Trustee Act, 1893, is dealt with by the Act as follows :— Procedure.

Sect. 36.—"(2.) An order under this Act concerning any land, stock, or chose in action subject to a mortgage may be made on the application of any person beneficially interested in the equity of redemption, whether under disability or not, or of any person interested in the money secured by the mortgage." Persons entitled to apply for orders.

The expression "person beneficially interested" includes a purchaser on a sale of property under an order of the Court (*z*), and a creditor who brings an action for the administration of the real and personal estate of a testator or intestate (*a*).

The proper mode of applying for a vesting order is by petition (*b*); but the application may be by summons in any case where a judgment or order has been given or made for the sale, conveyance, or transfer of any land or stock; or where the application relates to a fund paid into Court where the moneys or securities in Court do not exceed 1,000*l.* in amount or nominal Mode of application.

(*x*) 52 & 53 Vict. c. 63.

(*y*) R. S. C. (Trustee Act), 1893, Ord. LIVs. r. 1.

(*z*) *Rowley v. Adams*, 14 Beav. 130.

(*a*) *Re Wragg*, 1 De G. J. & S. 356.

(*b*) R. S. C. Ord. LIVs. r. 2.

CHAP. LXI.

value (c). In such excepted cases the application will be by ordinary summons if made in a pending matter, otherwise by originating summons.

Service of
petition on
infant
mortgagee.

Where a vesting order is asked for in place of a conveyance by an infant mortgagee (d), the petition should be served upon him, as it is contrary to sound principle that an estate should be taken away from a person without giving him notice (e).

Copyholds.

In a case under sect. 2 of the Trustee Extension Act, 1852 (f), a vesting order of copyholds which the mortgagor had covenanted, but had refused for twenty-eight days after demand, to surrender, was made without serving the mortgagor with the petition (g).

Where the customary fee of copyholds was surrendered by a debtor to his creditor upon trust to sell, and pay the surplus to the debtor, his executors, administrators, and assigns, and the creditor sold the property for less than the debt after the death of the debtor and his customary heir, the copyholds were vested by the Court in the purchaser without notice being served on either heir or personal representative (h).

Where, on a sale by a beneficial owner of land, it appeared that the legal estate was vested in a person, who had gone to Australia more than twenty years previously, as heir-at-law of a deceased trustee, it was held that, having regard to the long time during which the heir had been abroad and the nature of the trust, a vesting order might be made without serving the petition on him (i).

An originating summons cannot be served out of the jurisdiction (k).

The Trustee Act, 1893, further enacts as follows:—

Orders made
upon certain
allegations
to be conclu-
sive evidence.
53 & 54 Vict.
c. 5.

Sect. 40. "Where a vesting order is made as to any land under this Act or under the Lunacy Act, 1890, or under any Act relating to lunacy in Ireland, founded on an allegation of the personal incapacity of a trustee or mortgagee, or on an allegation that a trustee or the heir or personal representative or devisee of a mortgagee is out of the jurisdiction of the High Court or cannot be found, or that it is uncertain which of several trustees or which of

(c) R. S. C. Ord. LV. r. 13a.

(d) *Sup.* p. 1419.

(e) *Re Jones' Mortgage*, 22 W. R. 537.
See *Re Adam*, W. N. (1887), p. 175,
where service on the guardian *ad litem*
was directed.

(f) 15 & 16 Vict. c. 55.

(g) *Re Crowe's Mortgage*, L. R. 13
Eq. 26.

(h) *Re Wise*, 5 De G. & S. 415. See
Re Jones' Mortgage, 22 W. R. 537; *Re*
Russell's Estate, 12 Jur. N. S. 224; *Re*
Little, L. R. 7 Eq. 323.

(i) *Re Stanley's Trusts*, W. N. (1893)
30.

(k) *Re Busfield, Whaley v. Busfield*,
32 Ch. D. 123.

several devisees of a mortgagee was the survivor, or whether the last trustee or the heir or personal representative or last surviving devisee of a mortgagee is living or dead, or on an allegation that any trustee or mortgagee has died intestate without an heir or has died and it is not known who is his heir or personal representative or devisee, the fact that the order has been so made shall be conclusive evidence of the matter so alleged in any Court upon any question as to the validity of the order; but this section shall not prevent the High Court from directing a reconveyance or the payment of costs occasioned by any such order if improperly obtained."

This section in effect re-enacts sect. 44 of the Trustee Act, 1850, and sect. 140 of the Lunacy Act, 1890 (both repealed), and prevents a vesting order from being inoperative merely because made on allegations which prove to be untrue.

Under the former Trustee Acts, where it appeared in the proceedings in a cause and on affidavit that one of two trustees of a fund was out of the jurisdiction, the Court made an immediate order for the other trustee to transfer the fund to persons entitled, without any reference to chambers (*l*).

What evidence is required.

Where the legal estate in mortgaged land had devolved upon an infant heir, the Court required strict evidence of the heirship and infancy (*m*).

viii.—Costs.—If the lunatic mortgagee is a trustee of the mortgage money, and the mortgagor had notice of the fact, the costs of a vesting order in lieu of reconveyance will fall on the mortgagor (*n*). But generally, where a vesting order is required by reason of the mortgagee having become a lunatic (*o*), or where the legal estate has devolved upon a lunatic (*p*), the costs of the committee requisite to enable him to convey, including the order of reference under the statute, must be paid out of the estate of the lunatic; but not the costs of the mortgagor. The contrary course which at one time prevailed has been disapproved of, and in future, where the committee presents a petition for reconveyance or for a vesting order, the mortgagor must not be served, and if served would not have his costs (*q*).

Rule as to costs where a mortgagee or trustee is a lunatic.

(*l*) *Barker v. Burney*, 1 Beav. 492.
 (*m*) *Re Powell*, 4 K. & J. 338. See
Re Wise, 5 De G. & S. 415.
 (*n*) *Re Lewis*, 1 Mac. & G. 23. See
Re Fulham, 15 Jur. 69.
 (*o*) *Exp. Richards, Re Lewis*, 1 J. &
 W. 264; *Re Townsend*, 2 Ph. 348; *Re*
Wheeler, 1 De G. M. & G. 434; *Re*

Biddle, 23 L. J. Ch. 23, L.J.J.; *Re*
Viall, 8 De G. M. & G. 439; *Thomas's*
Case, 22 L. J. Ch. 858.
 (*p*) *Re Stuart*, 4 De G. & J. 319.
 (*q*) *Re Marrow*, Or. & Ph. 146; *Re*
Rowley, 1 De G. J. & S. 417; *Re*
Phillips, L. R. 4 Ch. A. 629.

CHAP. LXXI.

The costs of the application of the mortgagor to obtain a vesting order when the mortgagee is a lunatic cannot be paid out of the mortgage debt; the Court has no jurisdiction (r).

One of the trustees of a mortgagee having become lunatic, the costs of the reconveyance on payment off of the mortgage were directed to be paid out of the trust funds (s).

By the Trustee Act, 1893, the costs and expenses of applications of and incident to applications under that Act are in the discretion of the Court.

Power to
charge costs
on trust
estate.

Sect. 38. "The High Court may order the costs and expenses of and incident to any application for an order appointing a new trustee, or for a vesting order, or of and incident to any such order, or any conveyance or transfer in pursuance thereof, to be paid or raised out of the land or personal estate in respect whereof the same is made, or out of the income thereof, or to be borne and paid in such manner and by such persons as to the Court may seem just."

Rules as to
costs.

Under sect. 51 of the repealed Act of 1850, the following principles were adopted by the Court, and will, presumably, be followed as regards proceedings under the present Act.

The infant heir of the mortgagor is not entitled to his costs (t).

The costs of the proceedings under the Act to obtain a reconveyance from the infant representative of the mortgagee, or a vesting order, must be paid by the mortgagor (u).

As a general rule, as between mortgagee or mortgagor, the mortgagor must pay the costs of a reconveyance, or of an order in lieu thereof, vesting the property in the mortgagor (x).

But where a mortgagee, who is a trustee of the legal estate within the meaning of the Act, refuses to convey after due request in writing, he may be required to pay the costs of a petition praying for a vesting order (y).

(r) *Re Sparkes*, 6 Ch. D. 361, C. A.; notwithstanding *Re Biddle*, *sup.*

(s) *Re Jones*, 2 Ch. D. 70, C. A.

(t) *Wade v. Ward*, 4 Drew. 602.

(u) *Exp. Ommaney*, 10 Sim. 298; *King v. Smith*, 6 Ha. 473. See *Exp. Cant*, 10 Ves. 554 (decided under 7 Anne, c. 19); *Milowen v. Trimble-*

ston, 1 Fl. & K. 338 (decided under 1 Will. IV. c. 60).

(x) See *Exp. Richards*, *Re Lewis*, 1 J. & W. 264; *Re Wheeler*, 1 De G. M. & G. 434; *Elmer Lunacy* (7th ed.) 137; *Shelf. Lunacy* (2nd ed.) 506.

(y) *Re Knox's Trusts*, (1895) 2 Ch. 483, C. A.

CHAPTER LXII.

OF THE DISCHARGE OF THE SECURITY BY MERGER.

SECTION I.

MERGER OF SECURITY BY UNION OF THE MORTGAGE ESTATE
WITH THE EQUITY OF REDEMPTION.

i.—General Rule as to Merger.—By the Judicature Act, 1873 (*a*), it is enacted that there shall not, after the commencement of the Act, be any merger, by operation of law only, of any estate the beneficial interest in which would not be deemed to be merged or extinguished in equity. Rules of equity to prevail as to merger.

Before that enactment cases frequently occurred in which there was a merger at law, but not in equity; and, conversely, in which a security, which remained subsisting at law, was held to be merged in equity (*b*). Former conflict between law and equity.

The general rule in equity is, that merger depends upon the intention, if declared, and that, if no intention is declared, then it depends upon presumption of intention arising from the nature of the charge, and the circumstances of the case (*c*). Merger depends on intention.

ii.—Payment off of Mortgage by Owner of Equity of Redemption.—In the absence of evidence of contrary intention, by express declaration or otherwise, if a tenant in fee simple or in tail of an estate pays off a mortgage, to which the estate is subject, the payment is *prima facie* presumed to be for the benefit of the inheritance, and the charge is merged in the estate and extinguished (*d*). Mortgage paid off by owner of estate of inheritance.

(*a*) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 36, s. 25, sub-s. 4.

(*b*) See *Forbes v. Moffat*, 18 Ves. 384; *Bulkeley v. Hope*, 1 K. & J. 482.

(*c*) *Hood v. Phillips*, 3 Beav. 513, 517.

(*d*) *Jones v. Morgan*, 1 Bro. C. C. 206; *Lord Compton v. Oxenden*, 4 Bro. C. C. 397; *Smith v. Phillips*,

1 Kee. 694; *Lord Selsey v. Lord Lake*, 1 Beav. 146; *Farrow v. Rees*, 4 Beav. 18; *Aldridge v. Westbrook*, 5 Beav. 188; *Bailey v. Richardson*, 9 Ha. 734; *Medley v. Horton*, 14 Sim. 222, 226; *Swabey v. Swabey*, 15 Sim. 106; *Hatch v. Skelton*, 20 Beav. 453; *Pears v. Weightman*, 2 Jur. N. S. 586.

CHAP. LXII.

Tenant in
tail in
possession.

Where a tenant in tail in possession pays off a charge, the merger takes place on the ground that he can, at his own pleasure, acquire the absolute fee (*e*); and, accordingly, though he omits to do so, it is to be supposed that he intended that the inheritance should be exonerated for the benefit of the remainderman in tail (*f*).

Tenant in
tail in
remainder.

On this principle, it has been held that the merger does not take place where the tenant in tail, paying off the charge, is entitled only in remainder (*g*). If, however, he becomes entitled under a will, or otherwise, without payment or act on his part, to a charge on the estate, then, upon the devolution of the estate upon him, a merger takes place, unless there is an intention shown to the contrary (*h*).

Where an estate was settled to the use of A. for life, with remainder to the use of B., his eldest son, in tail, subject to certain considerable mortgages; B., with the consent of A. as protector of the settlement, barred the entail, and granted to A. an annuity charged on other property, to which B. was entitled in fee, such annuity to cease upon the death of A., or upon B.'s paying off the mortgages on the settled estate; B. having subsequently paid off the mortgages, it was held that the intention was to merge the mortgages, as it would be absurd for the annuity to cease when the mortgages were paid off, if A. was to pay the interest to B. instead of paying it to the original mortgagees (*i*).

No merger
where estate
tail cannot
be barred.

So, also, no merger will take place where the tenant in tail holds by gift of the Crown, and is restrained by Act of Parliament from barring the entail (*k*).

Infant tenant
in tail.

If a tenant in tail entitled to a charge on the estate is an infant, the charge is not merged if he dies under twenty-one, as well upon other grounds as upon the principle that, until that age, he cannot acquire the absolute property in the land (*l*).

(*e*) *Jones v. Morgan*, 1 Bro. C. C. 206, 217; *Kirkham v. Smith*, 1 Ves. Sen. 267; *Ware v. Polhill*, 11 Ves. 277; *St. Paul v. Dudley*, 15 Ves. 173; *Smith v. Frederick*, 1 Russ. 208; *Horton v. Smith*, 4 K. & J. 624, 627.

(*f*) See *Drinkwater v. Coombe*, 2 S. & St. 340, 345, *post*, p. 1435.

(*g*) *Wigzell v. Wigzell*, 2 S. & St. 364; *Horton v. Smith*, *sup*.

(*h*) *Horton v. Smith*, 4 K. & J. 624.

(*i*) *Hoghton v. Hoghton*, 15 Beav. 278, 319.

(*k*) *Countess of Shrewsbury v. Earl of Shrewsbury*, 1 Ves. Jun. 227.

(*l*) *Thomas v. Kemys*, 2 Vern. 348; *Smith v. Frederick*, 1 Russ. 174, 208; *Duke of Chandos v. Talbot*, 2 P. Wms. 604; *Seys v. Price*, 1 Barn. Ch. R. 117; *Wigzell v. Wigzell*, 2 S. & St. 364.

This principle seems to apply to the case of a lunatic tenant in tail, though it is otherwise in the case of a lunatic tenant in fee (*m*). CHAP. LXII.
Lunatic tenant in tail.

The presumption as to merger does not arise where a charge upon settled land and a life estate in the land subject to the mortgage become united in the same person. If a tenant for life pays off such a charge, he is *prima facie* entitled to that charge for his own benefit, because of the scantiness of his estate, and he will not be supposed to discharge a debt on another man's estate (*n*), but in either case the presumption may be rebutted by evidence to the contrary (*o*). And there is no difference in this respect between a charge merely equitable and one that is supported by an outstanding legal estate, nor will an assignment in trust for the party paying it off of itself prevent a merger (*p*), though it serves as some evidence of an intent to do so (*q*). Mortgage paid off by tenant for life.

There is no obligation on the tenant for life to declare his intention to keep the charge alive, and the onus lies on those who claim to have the estate exonerated; but it has been said that a slight demonstration of intention will suffice (*r*). Burden of proof.

Where a mortgage in fee of settled lands was bequeathed to the tenant for life, who, being in affluent circumstances, clearly indicated an intention to merge the mortgage in the inheritance, but died insolvent, it was held that, there being no evidence of contrary intention during the latter part of his life, the mortgage must be deemed to have merged at the earliest date on which, according to the evidence, the intention to merge was indicated (*s*). Change of circumstances after intention to merge.

Where a tenant for life pays off a mortgage on the estate, the mere fact that the remainderman is his son is not sufficient to rebut the presumption that he intends to keep alive the charge for the benefit of his personal estate (*t*).

(*m*) *Lord Compton v. Oxenden*, 2 Ves. Jun. 261.

(*n*) *Jones v. Morgan*, 1 Bro. C. C. 217; *Faulkner v. Daniel*, 3 Ha. 199; *Jameson v. Stein*, 21 Beav. 5; *Cole v. Stutely*, 6 Jur. 314. See *Moore v. Moore*, 60 L. T. 626.

(*o*) *Per Lord Eldon in Earl of Buckinghamshire v. Hobart*, 3 Swanst. 186, 199. And see *Hood v. Phillips*, 3 Beav. 513; *Burrell v. Lord Egremont*, 7 Beav. 205; *Lord Kensington v. Bouverie*, 7 De

G. M. & G. 134; *Pitt v. Pitt*, 22 Beav. 294.

(*p*) *Astley v. Milles*, 1 Sim. 341, 344. But see *Swabey v. Swabey*, 15 Sim. 106.

(*q*) *Hood v. Phillips*, 3 Beav. 513.

(*r*) *Burrell v. Earl of Egremont*, 7 Beav. 205.

(*s*) *Re Godley's Estate*, (1896) 1 Ir. 45.

(*t*) *Re Harvey, Harvey v. Hobday*, (1896) 1 Ch. 137, C. A.

| | |
|---|--|
| CHAP. LXII. | Time does not run against a tenant for life in possession who |
| Statute of Limitations. | pays off a charge, but does not show any intention of keeping it alive, under the 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, s. 40 (u). |
| Bond paid off. | If a devisee tenant for life pays off a bond debt, there is no presumption that the debt was kept alive for his benefit (x). |
| Rights against puisne mortgagees. | Where the tenant for life mortgages subject to a prior charge on the inheritance which he afterwards pays off and procures to be assigned to a trustee for himself, he and his assigns have a right to hold the charge so paid off in priority to the puisne mortgage (y). |
| Tenant for life with ultimate remainder in fee. | Where a charge is paid off by a tenant for life, who is also entitled to an ultimate remainder in fee on the failure of intermediate limitations, as if there be contingent remainders to his issue between his life estate and reversion, the charge, it seems, is kept alive for the benefit of his personal estate (z). |
| Judgment creditor of tenant for life. | If a tenant for life paying off an incumbrance has a charge against the inheritance, a judgment creditor of the tenant for life has a right to stand in his place to that extent against the inheritance (a). If a tenant for life of two estates, subject to distinct mortgages, suffers the interest of one to fall in arrear, and the mortgagee of the other enters into possession and by surplus rents pays off part of the principal, the tenant for life cannot claim a charge on the inheritance for such surplus without payment of the interest in arrear of the other; and a judgment creditor of the tenant for life is subject to the same liabilities as the tenant for life, if at the time of the registration of his judgment no surplus rents had been received (b). |
| Payment by owner of defeasible fee. | Again, no presumption of merger will arise where a charge is paid off by a person possessed of an estate of inheritance in the mortgaged property defeasible under an executory devise. The rules as to presumption of merger in cases affecting settled property are thus stated by Sir J. Leach, V.-C.: "If a tenant for life pays off a charge upon his estate, the amount becomes a part of his personal property, unless he manifests an intention that it should not do so. If a tenant in tail pays off a charge upon his estate, the amount does not become a part of his |

(u) *Burrell v. Lord Egremont*, 7 Beav. 206. See *Countess of Shrewsbury v. Earl of Shrewsbury*, 3 Bro. C. C. 120.

(x) *Morley v. Morley*, 5 De G. M. & G. 610.

(y) *Harman v. Forster*, 1 Dr. & Wal. 637.

(z) *Wyndham v. Earl of Egremont*, Amb. 753; *Trevor v. Trevor*, 2 My. & K. 676.

(a) *Dolphin v. Aylward*, L. R. 4 H. L. 486.

(b) *Scholsfield v. Lockwood*, 4 De G. J. & S. 22.

personal property unless he manifests an intention that it should do so. He who takes an estate defeasible by executory devise is not like a tenant for life, because, upon a contingent event, his estate may become indefeasible. Nor is he like a tenant in tail, because he cannot, at his own pleasure, render his estate indefeasible. If a tenant in tail having the power at his own pleasure to acquire an absolute fee and to defeat the remainder does not exercise that power, it is reasonable to infer that the remainderman is, in a sense, the object of his own choice; and this is the reason of the rule for presuming, unless the contrary be manifested, that, when the tenant in tail pays off a charge, he means the estate which, in effect, he gives to the remainderman, should descend to him free from the charge. But he who takes an estate defeasible by executory devise, not having the power to defeat the devisee over, it cannot be intended that such devisee is, in any sense, the object of his choice; and there is not, therefore, the same reason for presuming, when he pays off a charge, that he means to give to such devisee the amount of the charge. In this respect, as well as in the quality of his estate, he who takes such defeasible estate is more within the principle that applies to the tenant for life" (c).

iii.—Acquisition of Equity of Redemption by Mortgage.—If a mortgagee becomes entitled, by purchase or otherwise, to the equity of redemption in the property, subject to the mortgage, for an estate of inheritance, and if it is indifferent to his interests whether the charge should or should not be treated as continuing, a presumption will arise at his death that the charge was merged in the inheritance and extinguished (d). Presumption of merger.

So where a wife and her husband mortgaged the inheritance to secure a debt owing by her before marriage, and the mortgagee, on the death of the wife, succeeded to the estate as her heir-at-law, it was held that the mortgage merged, and that the debt was discharged (e). Mortgagee heir-at-law of mortgagor.

A mortgagee purchasing an equity of redemption may preserve his mortgage unmerged by taking either an assignment to a trustee of the debt and the mortgage security, as well as a Assignment to trustee for mortgagee.

(c) *Drinkwater v. Coombe*, 2 S. & St. 340, 345. See *Horton v. Smith*, 4 K. & J. 624.

(d) *Allen v. Aldridge*, 6 Jur. 183; *Swinfen v. Swinfen*, 29 Beav. 199.

(e) *Gee v. Smart*, 8 E. & B. 313.

CHAP. LXII.

conveyance of the property subject to the mortgage (*f*); or a conveyance of the property containing an express declaration of the mortgagee's intention that the charge shall be kept on foot (*g*). But the mere conveyance of the property to a trustee, without any such assignment or declaration, is only regarded as affording some ground for rebutting the presumption of merger, and does not of itself amount to decisive evidence of intention that the mortgage shall not merge (*h*).

Conveyance in consideration of covenant to pay other debts.

So, also, the fact that a mortgagee takes a conveyance of the equity of redemption in consideration of debts due to himself and other mortgagees, whom he covenants to pay, will not be sufficient to rebut the presumption of merger (*i*).

iv.—What will exclude Merger generally.—The purchaser of a mortgaged estate discharged from the mortgage is entitled to require the property to be conveyed so as to prevent merger of the charge (*j*).

Intention to preserve charge must be indicated.

The question is one of intention, and very slight expressions will be sufficient to keep alive the security for the benefit of the personal estate. So, where a person paid off an existing first mortgage, and took a fresh mortgage of the property, an intention to preserve the charge was inferred from a conveyance by the new mortgage deed in as full and beneficial a manner as the first mortgagee could have held the property (*k*).

The questions as to what will amount to merger of charges on land, and as to what indications of intention are sufficient to keep the charges alive, have frequently arisen as between the real and personal representatives of a tenant in fee simple, and between the executors or administrators of a tenant for life and the remainderman, and between the executors or administrators of a tenant in tail and his issue.

Presumption of merger rebutted by express or implied intention.

The general presumption that the union in the same person of a charge and of an estate of inheritance in the property charged will cause the charge to be merged and extinguished, is liable to be rebutted by any direct expression by the owner of the property of his intention that the charge shall be kept on foot (*l*), or by any act of his, by way of declaration of trust,

(*f*) *Thorne v. Cann*, (1895) A. C. 11, 16.

(*g*) *Bailey v. Richardson*, 9 Ha. 734.

(*h*) *Parry v. Wright*, 5 Russ. 142; *Hood v. Phillips*, 3 Beav. 513.

(*i*) *Brown v. Stead*, 5 Sim. 535.

(*j*) *Cooper v. Cartwright*, 1 John. 697.

(*k*) *Phillips v. Gutteridge*, 4 De G. & J. 531. And see *Irby v. Irby*, 25 Beav. 632.

(*l*) *Bailey v. Richardson*, 9 Ha. 734.

assignment, or otherwise, clearly indicating such intention (*m*). But no express or implied indication of intention will be of any effect until the time when the charge would, but for the contrary intention, have become united with the estate in the same person (*n*).

CHAP. LXII.

So, also, if a person seised of the inheritance in fee of property pays off a mortgage thereon, he may declare that the charge shall continue for the benefit of his personal estate (*o*). But in one case, notwithstanding a declaration of trust was executed of the charge for the owner in fee, it was held, under the circumstances, to be merged (*p*). Where a person so entitled sells the estate free from incumbrances, he is estopped from saying that there was no merger (*q*). The merger takes place whether the union is of a legal or equitable charge with the inheritance (*r*).

Declaration
against
merger by
mortgagor.

In *Knight v. Frampton* (*s*), where A., having an equitable fee in one moiety of an estate, paid off a mortgage of the entirety that had been created by B., who held the estate in trust for himself and A., and took the conveyance of the legal estate subject to the existing equity of redemption, it was held that there was not such an union in A. of the legal and equitable interest in his moiety as to entitle his wife to dower.

When two persons are entitled to a charge in moieties, and are also tenants in common of the land on which it is an incumbrance, either may elect either to keep his moiety of the charge on foot for the benefit of his personal estate, or to take his share of the land unburthened with the charge, so as to compel the other, if he wishes to have a moiety of the charge, to raise it out of his own moiety of the land (*t*).

Where a purchaser had paid off a mortgage but took no transfer of the charge to a trustee, nor any conveyance of the property, but a written declaration was made by the vendor-mortgagor that, until the sale should be completed, the purchaser should stand in the place of the mortgagee and have the

Declaration
but no
conveyance.

(*m*) *Jones v. Morgan*, 1 Bro. C. C. 206; *Lord Compton v. Oxenden*, 4 Bro. C. C. 397; *Tyler v. Lake*, 4 Sim. 53. See also *Duke of Chandos v. Talbot*, 2 P. Wms. 604; *Smith v. Phillips*, 1 Keen, 694; *Medley v. Horton*, 14 Sim. 226; *Swabey v. Swabey*, 15 Sim. 106; *Lord Selkay v. Lord Lake*, 1 Beav. 146; *Farrow v. Rees*, 4 Beav. 18; *Hatch v. Skelton*, 20 Beav. 453; *Fears v. Weightman*, 2 Jur. N. S. 586; *Gunter v. Gunter*, 23 Beav. 571.

(*n*) *Tyrwhitt v. Tyrwhitt*, 32 Beav.

244; *Wilkes v. Collin*, L. R. 8 Eq. 338.

(*o*) *Jameson v. Stein*, 21 Beav. 5.

(*p*) *Pitt v. Pitt*, 22 Beav. 294.

(*q*) *Bulkeley v. Hope*, 1 K. & J. 482.

(*r*) *Astley v. Miles*, 1 Sim. 298;

Gower v. Gower, 1 Cox, 53. See

Wyndham v. Lord Egremont, Amb. 755.

(*s*) 4 Beav. 10.

(*t*) *Smith v. Frederick*, 1 Russ. 174

at pp. 200, 211. But see the opinion

of Mr. Fearne, on cases stated in Sand. Uses, 4th ed. p. 309.

- CHAP. LXII. benefit of the security, it was held (notwithstanding that the memorandum of declaration recited that the payment had been made to the mortgagee out of the purchase-money and in discharge of the debt) that the security remained on foot for the benefit of the purchaser, and that the mortgagor's debt was not extinguished (*u*).
- Declaration must be explicit. If a declaration of trust is made, it should clearly state the intention that the charge shall be kept on foot and not merge in the inheritance.
- Transfer of security to mortgagor. If the owner of the equity of redemption, on paying off the mortgage, takes a transfer of the security to himself instead of a simple reconveyance, an intention to keep the charge alive will be presumed in the absence of evidence to the contrary (*r*).
- Evidence from dealings with property. Where an owner in fee subject to a mortgage devised and bequeathed his real and personal estate to the mortgagee who, in his residuary account, stated that he had retained a sum out of the estate towards payment of his mortgage debt, and devised the mortgaged property to certain persons "with all the liabilities attaching thereto," it was held that the charge must have been intended to be kept on foot, and, accordingly, had not merged in the fee (*x*).
- Payment of head rent. So, where an incumbrancer pays off arrears of head rent due on the estate and afterwards purchases the inheritance, in the absence of indication of contrary intention the debt will be deemed to be merged (*y*).
- Refusal of mortgagees to assign. An equitable mortgagee who purchased the inheritance was bound to perform an agreement for a lease made with the mortgagor with notice of the mortgage, on the ground of merger (*z*).
- The refusal of the first mortgagee, after being paid off, to execute an assignment of the mortgaged premises, as he had agreed to do, to the party paying off such charge, will, of course, not prejudice such party (*a*). In the case last referred to, however, the Court refused to direct an assignment to be made of the legal estate to the party paying off the debt without the consent of the second mortgagee; and it seems to have been held that such subsequent incumbrancer was a necessary party to a bill to enforce an assignment (*b*). Yet the agreement would seem to
- (*u*) *Watts v. Symes*, 1 De G. M. & G. 240.
- (*v*) *Thorne v. Cann*, (1895) A. C. 11.
- (*x*) *Hatch v. Skelton*, 20 Beav. 453.
- (*y*) *Garnett v. Armstrong*, 4 Dr. & War. 182.
- (*z*) *Smith v. Phillips*, 1 Keen, 694.
- It would appear that the conveyance under which the defendant claimed showed that he bought the estate subject to the agreement for the lease.
- (*a*) *Banks v. Whittall*, 17 L. J. Ch. 352.
- (*b*) See *Shepherd v. Gwinnet*, 3 Swanst. 151.

give the party paying off the mortgage a right to the legal estate, even though the second mortgagee might be a necessary party to the suit. The circumstances of the case were, however, peculiar.

Correspondence at the time (c), or other parol evidence of conduct and dealings relating to the property (d), are admissible to explain the intention; and the evidence may be either direct or presumptive (e). Extraneous evidence admissible to show intention.

Merger of a mortgage was held not to be prevented by a transfer of the mortgage to a trustee for the owner of the equity of redemption who paid off the mortgage, containing a covenant by the trustee to convey to the owner, her heirs, or assigns, or on to such other person or persons and in such manner and form as the owner, her heirs, executors, and administrators, or assigns should direct (f). Transfer of mortgage to trustee for mortgagor.

Where a person entitled to a reversion in an estate bought up the charges thereon and had them transferred to trustees for him, who declared that they held the charges and the securities for the same, subject to certain contingent rights and interests, but so that, as against the persons contingently entitled to such rights and interests, the charges should remain on foot, and the reversioner afterwards became absolutely entitled in possession to the estate, it was held that under the circumstances the charges had merged in the inheritance (g).

A merger was shown by a release by the tenant for life (h); by a disposition of the estate without mention of the charge by will (i); or by mortgage (k); or settlement (l); but not by separate receipts of land tax from the tenant as a subsisting charge after it had been redeemed (m); it was held to be shown by a settlement of the estate which was subject to the charge, providing that it should not be raised, though the puisne incumbrancer benefited was not a party (n). Merger by release, &c.

But where a judgment creditor concurs in a deed of assignment of the debtor's property to a trustee for the creditors generally, and of release to the debtor, the benefit of the judgment may be kept alive by apt words, so as to maintain its Release by judgment creditor.

(c) *Adams v. Angell*, 5 Ch. D. 634, C. A.

(d) *Asley v. Miles*, 1 Sim. 298, 341.

(e) *Hood v. Phillips*, 3 Beav. 513.

(f) *Ibid.*

(g) *Lord Selsey v. Lord Lake*, 1 Beav. 146.

(h) *Clifford v. Clifford*, 9 Ha. 675.

(i) *Swinfen v. Swinfen*, 29 Beav. 199.

(k) *Pitt v. Pitt*, 22 Beav. 294.

(l) *Johnson v. Webster*, 4 De G. M. & G. 474.

(m) *Blundell v. Stanley*, 3 De G. & S. 433. See *Neame v. Moorsome*, L. R. 3 Eq. 91.

(n) *Farrow v. Ross*, 4 Beav. 18.

CHAP. LXII.

priority against a subsequent judgment creditor not a party to the deed (o).

Payment of interest by tenant for life in excess of rents, &c.

If a tenant for life pays off a mortgage, the fact that he had paid interest much beyond what the rents and profits of the estate would have discharged, is a demonstration *prima facie* that, though tenant for life, he meant the charge, on being paid off, to merge so as to discharge the estate (p).

Presumption of merger rebutted by consideration of benefit to estate.

The general presumption as to merger of a charge where no intention for or against it is indicated expressly or by implication from conduct, is liable to be rebutted, if it is clearly for the interest of the owner of the inheritance (q), or of his creditors (r), that the charge should be kept on foot. For where the owner of the charge and inheritance has not expressly or impliedly indicated any intention that the charge shall be merged or otherwise, it is reasonable to infer that he intended that course to be followed which would be most for his own benefit (s).

Circumstances may be taken into account.

Thus the circumstances of the transaction as affecting the interests of the owner may be taken into account so as to support or rebut the presumption of merger. Where a person claiming to be entitled to an equity of redemption, but whose title is disputed, pays off the mortgage and takes a reconveyance, an intention to keep the mortgage alive will be presumed, as it cannot be supposed that he intended to benefit the person who was seeking to impeach his title (t).

Mistake.

Merger of a charge on the inheritance of the property charged will not be presumed, from dealings with the property which otherwise might be deemed to indicate such intention, if it appears that these dealings were effected by the owner, especially if he has only a life estate, in ignorance of his rights. So where a tenant for life of devised estates subject to a charge of 25,000*l.*, who was also absolutely entitled to the residuary personal estate, paid off the charge out of the personal estate under the belief that, as residuary legatee, he was liable so to do; he took releases, but did nothing to keep the charge on foot; upon his death it was held that the 25,000*l.* still subsisted as a charge on the settled estates for the benefit of the personal representatives of the tenant for life (u).

(o) *Solly v. Forbes*, 2 Br. & B. 38;
Squire v. Ford, 9 Ha. 47; *Green v.*
Wynn, L. R. 7 Eq. 28.
 (p) *Jones v. Morgan*, 1 Bro. C. C. 205.
 (q) *Gwillim v. Holland*, cited 18 Ves.
 393; *Chester v. Wiles*, Amb. 246;
Thorne v. Cann, (1896) A. C. 11, at

p. 19.

(r) *Powell v. Morgan*, 2 Vern. 290.

(s) *Davis v. Barrett*, 14 Beav. 542.

(t) *Re Pride, Shackell v. Colnett*,
 (1891) 2 Ch. 135.

(u) *Burrell v. Earl of Egremont*, 7
 Beav. 205.

So, also, where a tenant in tail paid off a charge under the erroneous supposition that he was tenant in fee simple, although the circumstances clearly showed that he intended to extinguish the charge, it was held that there was no merger, but that the charge remained on foot for the benefit of the estate (x).

Where a mortgagee purchases the equity of redemption, the **Fraud.** general rule that the mortgage will merge will be excluded if the mortgagee's interests will be prejudiced as against a puisne incumbrancer by reason of fraud of the vendor-mortgagor, or if the incumbrancer has been guilty of negligence in taking his security. So where upon a sale it was agreed that part of the money should remain on mortgage; the vendor conveyed the estate to the purchaser, but retained the title deeds, but the purchaser neglected to execute the mortgage until compelled to do so by a decree of the Court; in the meantime, the purchaser mortgaged the estate to another person who did not investigate the title nor inquire as to the deeds; it was held that the prior incumbrance remained on foot for the benefit of the vendor (y).

Of course, the rule that the interest of the party or his creditors will determine the question of merger or no merger, will apply when expressions or indications from conduct as to intention are equivocal, as well as where they are wholly absent. **Equivocal indications of intention.**

In a case where a lady was entitled to a charge upon her father's estate, to which she succeeded as heir, and then showed an intention to keep the charge alive by taking a conveyance of the legal estate as mortgagee, and by charging an annuity on the estate and the mortgage, and then died; it was held that the charge was part of the testatrix's personal estate, and as such liable to probate and legacy duty, but was merged by the effect of her will, by which she charged the property in question with the payment of her debts, and the settlement of her father's affairs; the Vice-Chancellor said, "the sums secured by the mortgages were debts due from her father, and, therefore, they were debts which the testatrix directed to be paid. How can they be better paid than by releasing or merging them?" (z). The reasoning in the above case does not, however, appear very satisfactory, and one would suppose that it would lead to the contrary conclusion.

(x) *Earl of Buckinghamshire v. Hobart*, 547.
3 Swanst. 186.

(y) *Worthington v. Morgan*, 16 Sim. 502.

(z) *Swabey v. Swabey*, 15 Sim. 106,

CHAP. LXXI.

Where in such case of union of the estate and charge in one person, the interest of the party himself, or of those claiming under him require it, the charge will clearly be kept alive (a).

Presumption
of merger
in case of
lunatics ;

There is no equity in this respect as between the real and personal representatives who must take as fortune has directed ; and accordingly in the case of a lunatic becoming entitled to a charge on his estate, it was held, as between the heir and next of kin of the lunatic, that the charge was merged, though it would have been otherwise if it had been more beneficial to the lunatic to keep the charge alive, as if wanted for the payment of debts (b).

Where a lunatic was seised of Blackacre *ex parte paternâ*, and of Whiteacre, which was mortgaged *ex parte maternâ*, and the produce of timber cut from Blackacre was applied in discharge of the mortgage, it was held that this estate should not be recouped (c).

It seems to have been considered by Lord St. Leonards (d), after an elaborate review of the authorities, that if the Court in the exercise of a prudent management ordered payment of the charge out of the lunatic's property, by means of savings out of the real estate, the heir ought to hold free from the charge ; but where real estate, subject to a mortgage, descended upon a lunatic, and the mortgage was, by an order in lunacy, paid off out of the personalty, on the death of the lunatic the amount was directed to be raised out of the real estate, and paid to the administrator as personalty (e).

in case of
infants.

And in the case of an infant portionist becoming entitled to the lands in fee and dying under twenty-one, the charge was held to be part of her personal estate and to pass to the legatee, that construction being more beneficial to the infant, as it gave her the disposition of it before twenty-one (f).

And where debts of a testator have been paid by the Court out of the income of an infant tenant in tail, a merger of the charge cannot be presumed (g).

(a) *Faulkner v. Daniel*, 3 Ha. 199. And see *Forbes v. Moffat*, 18 Ves. 384.

(b) *Lord Compton v. Oxenden*, 4 Bro. O. C. 397.

(c) *Anon.*, cited by Lord Eldon in *Exp. Phillips*, 19 Ves. 123.

(d) *Lord Leirtrim v. Enery*, 6 Ir. Eq.

R. 357 ; following *Exp. Grimstone*, Amb. 706 ; *Exp. Hinde*, Amb. 706, n.

(e) *Re Leeming*, 3 De G. F. & J. 43.

(f) *Thomas v. Kemys*, 2 Vern. 348, cited in 2 Ves. Jun. 264 ; but this reason now fails since the Wills Act.

(g) *Allop v. Bell*, 24 Beav. 451.

v.—What will exclude Merger as against subsequent Incumbrancers.—On the same principle which governs the rules as to merger as between the real and personal representatives of a mortgagor and the like cases, no merger will generally be presumed, in the absence of evidence of contrary intention, where a charge paid off or acquired by the owner of the equity of redemption is paramount to subsequent incumbrances, unless such incumbrances were created by the owner himself, so that he is personally liable to pay them.

The cases on this point are, however, conflicting, and leave the law somewhat unsettled. Care should therefore be taken in such cases to keep the paramount charge alive by an apt mode of conveyance, or by express declaration: as, unless the intention to keep the charge on foot is indicated, expressly or by implication, from conduct or the circumstances of the transaction, the Court cannot give relief so as to entitle the person paying off the charge to the benefit of it as against subsequent incumbrancers. Thus, in a case in which a person having become the purchaser of an estate which was subject to two mortgages, nine years afterwards paid off the first mortgage, and took a conveyance of the legal estate from the first mortgagee to a trustee for himself; and by a deed of even date, he and his trustee, in consideration of a sum of money, part of which was applied in discharge of the first mortgage, granted an annuity to a party who had constructive notice of the second mortgage, it was decided that the second mortgagee had become the first incumbrancer (*h*).

Similarly, if the first mortgagee with notice of the second mortgage purchase the equity of redemption, the second mortgage becomes the first charge on the estate (*i*), and generally where the prior incumbrancer purchases the fee, or the owner of the fee purchases the prior incumbrance, the merger has the effect of letting in an intermediate incumbrancer, often contrary to the intention.

When a term is attendant upon the inheritance in trust for the Crown debtor, the Crown debt attaches upon the term as well as upon the freehold (*k*); but a purchaser who pays off a

CHAP. LXIII.

Keeping alive
charge paid
off.Purchase of
equity of
redemption
by mortgagee
lets in mesne
incumbrancer.

(*h*) *Parry v. Wright*, 5 Russ. 142; *Searle v. Colt*, 1 Y. & C. C. C. 36. And see *Brown v. Stead*, 5 Sim. 535; *Smith v. Phillips*, 1 Keen, 694; *Farrow v. Rees*, 4 Beav. 18. And see *Allen v. Knight*, though not decided on that

ground, 5 Ha. 272.

(*i*) *Greswold v. Marsham*, 2 Ch. Ca. 170; *Parry v. Wright*, 5 Russ. 142; *Mackenzie v. Gordon*, 6 Cl. & F. 883.

(*k*) *King v. Smith*, Wightw. 34.

CHAP. LXII.

Former rule
as to purchase
of equity of
redemption.

*Toulmin v.
Steere.*

mortgage which overrides the debt of the Crown, and takes an assignment of the term to attend, will not be subject to the Crown debt, though the mortgage debt be not kept alive (*l*).

It was formerly considered that the purchaser of an equity of redemption could not by any means set up a prior mortgage of his own, nor a mortgage which he had got in, as against subsequent incumbrances of which he had notice (*m*). So, in *Toulmin v. Steere* (*n*), a person took a charge by way of redeemable annuity on lands subject to a prior mortgage; subsequently, a second mortgage was created, and the second mortgagee took a transfer of the first mortgage; the property was afterwards sold by order of the Court; the two mortgages were paid off out of the purchase-money, but the annuity was not redeemed, a sum of money which had been set apart for that purpose having been misappropriated by a person who acted as agent for both parties; no assignment of any of the charges was made to the purchaser, who was under the impression that they had all been paid off out of the purchase-money; the purchaser only took from the mortgagee, and other persons interested in the equity of redemption, other than the annuitant, a conveyance containing no declaration against merger: it was held that the mortgages were extinguished, so that the purchaser could not set them up against the annuitant.

Principle
will not be
extended.

The principle of *Toulmin v. Steere* has been adopted or recognized in some cases (*o*), but disapproved of in others (*p*). The principle may have to be reconsidered, but will certainly not be extended (*q*). It is evident from the facts in that case that, so far from the intention being to keep any of the charges alive, the intention was to extinguish them all, and it was believed that such intention had been successfully accomplished.

Mortgage
kept alive by
subrogation.

A mortgage may be kept alive on the principle of subrogation for the benefit of persons interested in the mortgage money,

(*l*) *Rez v. Lamb*, M'Cl. 402.

(*m*) *Mocatta v. Murgatroyd*, 1 P. Wms. 393; *Greswold v. Maraham*, 2 Ch. Ca. 170.

(*n*) 3 Mer. 210.

(*o*) *Garrett v. Armstrong*, 4 Dr. & War. 182; *Squire v. Ford*, 9 Ha. 60; *Cheshyre v. Bias*, 2 Giff. 287; *Wilkins v. Sibley*, 4 Giff. 448.

(*p*) *Gregg v. Arnott*, Ll. & G. t. Sug. 246, 261; *Watts v. Symes*, 1 De G. M. & G. 240; *Otter v. Lord Vaux*,

6 De G. M. & G. 643; *Phillips v. Gutteridge*, 4 De G. & J. 531; *Hayden v. Kirkpatrick*, 34 Beav. 645; *Anderson v. Pignet*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 187. See *Attwood v. Anon.*, 5 Russ. 149; *Bell v. Sunderland, &c. Soc.*, 24 Ch. D. 618; *Re Howard's Estate*, 29 L. R. Ir. 266, C. A.

(*q*) *Stevens v. Mid-Hants Rail. Co.*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 1064, 1069; *Thorne v. Cann*, (1895) A. C. 11, at p. 16.

notwithstanding that the property is conveyed to the person entitled to the equity of redemption. So, where leasehold property subject to a mortgage was settled, and certain persons, at the request of the trustees, paid off 600*l.*, part of the mortgage debt; no transfer of the 600*l.*, or securities for the same, was executed, but interest thereon was paid; on the death of the tenant for life, the appointees of the property took an assignment of the remainder of the mortgage debt, and a conveyance of the property, subject to the equity of redemption, and the deeds were delivered to them; it was held that the mortgage was not discharged, but was kept alive to the extent of the 600*l.* (r).

CHAP. LXII.

On the principle that the interest of the party is to be considered, where there is no sufficient indication of intention, merger of a paramount charge will generally be excluded, if the estate comes to the owner of the charge subject to a charge of debts and legacies under the will of a former owner (s); unless, as it would seem, the prior incumbrancer takes directly by devise under the will (t).

Effect where property is charged with debts, &c.

If an intention to keep alive a charge is inconsistent with the intention of the parties as indicated by the deed of conveyance, such intention will not be implied merely because the assignee of the mortgage might, by keeping the charge alive, assert a doubtful claim against third parties; nor because he afterwards finds that it would have been better for him to keep the charge alive (u).

Intention not implied contrary to terms of deed.

There will be no merger if it would prevent the operation of a trust; thus an annuity charged on an estate for the separate use of a married woman will not merge in a life estate to her in the same property (x). Of course, this rule does not apply in the case of a woman who married, or whose title to the property accrued, since the 1st January, 1883 (y).

Trusts.

The consideration of benefit of the owner will not exclude merger of a paramount charge where the subsequent incumbrances or interests are created by himself. So, where the devisee in fee of an estate subject to a charge on his marriage

Exception from rule as to benefit.

(r) *Patten v. Bond*, 37 W. R. 378.
 (s) *Forbes v. Moffatt*, 18 Ves. 384;
Lord Clarendon v. Barham, 1 Y. & C.
 O. C. 688; *Darcy v. Hall*, 1 Vern. 49;
Davis v. Barrett, 14 Beav. 542; *Bayly*
v. Wilkins, 3 J. & L. 630; *Grice v.*
Shaw, 10 Ha. 176; *Tyrwhitt v. Tyr-*

whitt, 32 Beav. 244.
 (t) *Swinfen v. Swinfen*, 29 Beav. 199.
 (u) *Liquidation Estates Purchase Co. v.*
Willoughby, (1896) 1 Ch. 126, C. A.
 (x) *Byam v. Sutton*, 19 Beav. 556.
 (y) 45 & 46 Vict. c. 75, ss. 2, 5.

CHAP. LXII.

settled the estate subject to the charge, and died, having, in the events which happened, become entitled to the benefit of the charge, it was held that the charge was merged, and a bill by his personal representatives to establish the charge was dismissed (s).

SECTION II.

MERGER OF LOWER IN HIGHER SECURITY.

Merger of
simple con-
tract debt in
specialty, &c.

A merger of a simple contract debt generally takes place where a security is created for that debt between the same parties, as where a simple contract debtor gives a bond or is sued to judgment (a). So where the payee of a promissory note took a *cognovit* for the amount due (b). And it has been even held, that a joint and several bond given by a debtor and his surety to secure a smaller sum by instalments in lieu of the old debt, with interest in case of default of payment of the instalments, but where the exact terms of the agreement are not clearly made out and are not reduced into writing, operates as an extinguishment of the old debt, even though the debtor become bankrupt before the instalments are paid (c).

The general principle that where a debt is secured by bond, covenant, or other specialty, the obligation by a simple contract is gone, applies, of course, to a mortgage with a covenant, and if the mortgaged property be sold and an account be taken between the mortgagor and mortgagee, that will not warrant an action of debt upon an account stated for the residue of the debt (d). So also where a person indebted on simple contract executed a deed of equitable charge on certain property for the debt, containing an agreement to execute a legal mortgage of the property, it was held that the deed converted the debt into a specialty debt (e).

(s) *Johnson v. Webster*, 4 De G. M. & G. 474.

(a) *Per* Lord Ellenborough in *Drake v. Mitchell*, 3 East, 258; *Pudsey's Case*, cited 2 Leon. 110.

(b) *Siddall v. Ranciliffe*, 1 Cr. & M. 487. But see *Bell v. Banks*, 4 Man.

& Gr. 258.

(c) *Exp. Hernaman*, 17 L. J. Bk. 17.

(d) *Middleditch v. Ellis*, 17 L. J. Ex. 365.

(e) *Saunders v. Milsome*, L. R. 2 Eq. 573.

There will be no merger of the original liability for a debt if the subsequent security is taken for other matters as well as the original debt. So where a simple contract creditor takes a security for the existing debt and further advances, he may, notwithstanding the taking the security, sue for the amount of the original debt (*f*). So a banker who took a bond to secure all sums already advanced or thereafter to be advanced to a customer, was held to be entitled to sue for the balance of his account as upon a simple contract debt (*g*).

CHAP. LXII.

No merger of simple debt where security is for debt and further advance.

Similarly, where a security is originally taken for a debt, that security will be merged and extinguished if a security of a higher nature is afterwards taken in respect of the same debt.

Merger of prior in subsequent security of higher nature.

So, where a railway company issued debentures binding themselves to pay a principal sum within a year with interest at six per cent., and in default a debenture-holder recovered judgment for the principal, interest and costs; it was held that the original debt was merged in the judgment, and that the debenture-holder was only entitled to interest on the judgment at four per cent. (*h*). And where a creditor who had issued an *elegit* and extended the lands of his debtor, took a conveyance of part of the lands extended in satisfaction of part of his debt, it was held that his tenancy by *elegit* on the rest of the lands was extinguished, and that his judgment was satisfied (*i*).

Where a formal legal mortgage is executed of property comprised in a prior equitable charge by deposit of deeds to secure the same debt, the prior charge will be merged in and extinguished by the formal security, except so far as expressly kept alive thereby. So, where a solicitor took a deposit of a policy to secure his costs, and subsequently made advances, and took an assignment of the policy to secure them, the deed saying nothing about costs, it was held that the possession under the deposit was merged in the possession under the deed, and that the policy was a security for the advances only (*k*).

Merger of equitable charge in legal mortgage.

If there be a joint and separate bond and a joint warrant of attorney on which judgment is signed, the bond is merged, and the separate security gone (*l*).

(*f*) *Norfolk Rail. Co. v. Macnamara*, 3 Exch. 628.

(*g*) *Holmes v. Bell*, 3 Man. & Gr. 213.

(*h*) *Re European Central Rail. Co.*, 4 Ch. D. 33, C. A.

(*i*) *Hels v. Lord Beasley*, 17 Beav. 14.

(*k*) *Vaughan v. Vanderstegen*, 2 Drew. 289.

(*l*) *Exp. Christie*, Mont. & Bl. 352.

CHAP. LXII.

Both securities must be between same parties.

Mortgage to secure bills at maturity.

Remedies must be co-extensive.

Subsequent security must be effectual.

A lower will not merge in a higher security unless they be between the same parties; so the interposition of a trustee will prevent the higher security from operating as a merger (*m*). It is no bar to an action on a promissory note that a warrant of attorney has been given to a trustee for the payee of the note, and judgment has been entered up thereon (*n*).

Where a mortgage is taken to secure bills of exchange or promissory notes at maturity, care must be taken that the remedy on them is not merged by a covenant to pay, which in such case should be with a trustee.

Even though the specialty be taken subsequently to the simple contract, the remedy upon the latter will not be destroyed unless the remedy on the specialty be co-extensive (*o*). And to work a merger the remedy given by the higher security must be co-extensive with that given by the original lower security, and even then the merger will be prevented if it appears to be the intention of the parties that the original security should remain in force, although a surety under the original instrument is not a party to the giving of the subsequent higher security (*p*). And the principle of *transit in rem judicatam* only relates to the particular cause of action in which the judgment is recovered, and does not operate upon any concurrent remedy which the creditor may have, until it be made productive in satisfaction to the party; and, therefore, in a case where three joint covenantors made default in payment of a debt secured by the covenant, and one of them thereupon gave a bill for the amount, upon which bill judgment was recovered in an action against him, it was held that the judgment was no bar to an action on the covenant against the three (*q*).

In order to operate as a merger, the subsequent security must be effectual. So where a debtor gave an equitable charge to secure his debt containing an agreement to execute a legal mortgage, and subsequently executed such mortgage accordingly, which was vitiated by an intervening act of bankruptcy, it

(*m*) *Holmes v. Bell*, 3 Man. & Gr. 213; *Emes v. Widdowson*, 4 C. & P. 151. See *Owen v. Homan*, 3 Mac. & G. 407; *Locking v. Parker*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 30.

(*n*) *Bell v. Banks*, 3 Man. & Gr. 258.

(*o*) *Twopenny v. Young*, 3 B. & C. 208. See *Yates v. Aston*, 3 G. & D. 351; *Baber v. Harris*, 9 A. & E. 532; *Norfolk Rail. Co. v. McNamara*, 3 Exch.

628; *Ansell v. Baker*, 15 Q. B. 20; *Sharpe v. Gibbs*, 16 C. B. N. S. 527; *Boaler v. Mayor*, 11 Jur. N. S. 565.

(*p*) *Oriental Financial Corp. v. Overend, Gurney & Co.*, L. R. 7 Ch. A. 147, *aff.* on other points, L. R. 7 H. L. 348. See *Bell v. Banks*, 3 Man. & Gr. 258.

(*q*) *Drake v. Mitchell*, 3 East, 251, 258; *Bell v. Banks*, *sup.*

was held that the original equitable security was not extinguished (*r*).

A creditor, having a charge on certain funds of his debtor for a part of his debt, does not, it seems, necessarily extinguish that charge by taking a subsequent charge on the same fund for the whole of the debt; and according to *Miln v. Walton* (*s*), and the observations in the judgment, a creditor does not merge his charge on the debtor's fund by taking another security on the same fund, for both the sum so secured and another sum either due to himself or a third person. In that case, A., having moneys in the hands of B. his agent, gave an order to him for payment thereof of a bill of exchange then in the hands of C. A. afterwards (with the privity of C.) gave an order to B. for payment out of the same fund of any current bill of exchange given by him (A.) in payment for a certain vessel (including the above-mentioned bill), and shortly afterwards B. discounted for C. the first-mentioned bill. It was held that B. was entitled to priority, as against the holders of the other bills, in respect of the bill that he had discounted; and that, even if the other parties could have proved an agreement for substitution of security (which they could not), B. might not have lost his right of priority thereby.

No merger by taking another security on same funds.

Express or implied indications of intention to the contrary will prevent merger of the original debt or security. So, a recital in the subsequent security that it is given by way of further or additional security will exclude merger (*t*). And an expression in an original security of an intention that its terms shall continue during the subsistence of the debt, will apparently have the same effect. So where a security was expressly given to cover interest at 7 per cent. as long as anything remains on the security, it was held that though the judgment discharged the personal covenant, yet, that so long as any money could be recovered in an action for foreclosure or redemption, any property comprised in the security remained charged to cover the difference between 7 and 4 per cent. (*u*); it being a different

Merger excluded by contrary intention.

(*r*) *Exp. Harvey*, 1 M. & Chit. 261.

(*s*) 2 Y. & C. C. C. 354.

(*t*) *Twopenny v. Young*, 3 B. & Cr. 208; *Exp. Pennell*, 2 M. D. & De G. 273.

(*u*) *Popple v. Sylvester*, 22 Ch. D. 98. See also *Florence v. Jennings*, 3 Jur.

N. S. 772; *Cook v. Fowler*, L. R. 7 H. L. 27; *Re Roberts, Goodchap v. Roberts*, 14 Ch. D. 49, C. A.; *Exp. Fewings, Re Sneyd*, 25 Ch. D. 338; 31 W. R. 675; 48 L. T. 616, C. A.; *Arbuthnot v. Bunsell*, W. N. (1890) 36.

CHAP. LXII.

question where interest is claimed in an action of debt, and where it is claimed in a foreclosure or redemption suit as the price of redemption (*x*).

Indication
of contrary
intention by
conduct, &c.

A contrary intention so as to exclude merger may also be shown by the acts of the parties, or generally by the circumstances of the transaction. So where a lessee holding under a lease for forty-six years, subject to a lease in possession for twenty years, deposited his lease by way of equitable mortgage, and, having subsequently purchased the residue of the term to which his lease was subject, he deposited that lease also with the same party to secure a further sum; it was held that the circumstances of the transaction indicated an intention that the former security should not merge in the latter, and that both deposits were good equitable mortgages for the sums thereby respectively secured (*y*).



SECTION III.

NOVATION.

Definition of
novation.

i.—Of Novation generally.—The benefit of a security may be waived by the acceptance of another security in its place, though the subsequent security is not of a higher nature than the original security; and this kind of extinguishment of a security was called, in the civil law, *novatio*.

A simple *novatio* is where the debtor remains the same, and a new security only is taken; a *novatio* with a *delegatio* is where the creditor accepts not only a new security, but also accepts another person as his debtor in place of the original debtor (*z*).

Novation
depends on
intention.

It has also been seen that a lower security will generally be merged in a higher security subsequently taken by the same creditor from the same debtor (*a*). Where, however, two distinct securities of equal degree are successively taken for the same debt, a case of novation may arise. Whether this will be so or not depends upon the intention indicated by express declaration, or by inference from conduct, or the circumstances of the transaction.

(*x*) *Exp. Fewings, Re Sneyd, sup.* at p. 350.

(*y*) *Exp. Whitbread*, 2 M. D. & De G. 416.

(*z*) Colquhoun, Sum. Rom. Civ. Law, ss. 1852—1855.

(*a*) *Ante*, p. 1447.

A bond accepted by a creditor from the executor of his debtor in lieu of the original bond given by the testator was held to operate to discharge the former security; and such creditor could not take advantage of a general devise by the testator for payment of his debts; nor was the case altered by the fact that the substitution of the bonds arose from a mistaken notion that the remedy on the original bond would have been liable to be barred by the statute (*b*).

It is for the owner of the estate to show that it was discharged by the taking of the new security, and not for the creditor to disprove the substitution of the new security for the old. Burden of proof.

The mere acceptance of a personal security for interest in arrear, or other charge, whether express or implied, is, therefore, not a waiver of the original security, even if a receipt be given for the amount (*c*), though it is considered that against a purchaser for valuable consideration of a subsequent interest in the estate on the faith of an assurance (supported by the receipt) that no interest was due to the first incumbrancer, the latter would lose his remedy against the estate (*d*). Security for arrears of interest.

The absence of any mention of the original security, and the reservation of interest at a different rate from that which was secured by it, have been treated as evidence that the new security was taken by way of substitution (*e*). Indication of intention.

In order to constitute a valid novation coupled with a delegation, it is necessary to show that the new debtor is substituted for the original debtor, or, in other words, that the creditor has intended to convert the new debtor into his sole debtor, and to release the original debtor (*f*). It is obviously to the advantage of the creditor to have two debtors and to retain whatever solvency or right of proof there may be against the old debtor, notwithstanding the constitution of a new debtor, and accordingly the discharge of the former will not be readily presumed without clear evidence that such was the intention (*g*). Novation with delegation.

(*b*) *Shore v. Shore*, 2 Ph. 378.

(*c*) *Barratt v. Wells*, Prec. Ch. 131; *Hardwick v. Mynd*, 1 Anst. 111; *Curtis v. Rush*, 2 V. & B. 416; *Saunders v. Leslie*, 2 Ba. & Be. 509; *Exp. Rivolta*, W. N. (1882) 76, C. A. See *Patton v. Bond*, 37 W. R. 373.

(*d*) See observations of Sir A. Hart, *Kemmis v. Stepney*, 2 Moll. 85.

(*e*) *Re Brettle, Brettle v. Burdett*, 2 De G. J. & S. 244.

(*f*) *Rouse v. Bradford Banking Co.*, (1894) 2 Ch. 32, C. A.; aff. (1894) A. C. 586.

(*g*) *Per Kekewich, J.*, (1894) 2 Ch. at p. 39. See as to dormant partners, *Robinson v. Wilkinson*, 3 Pri. 538.

CHAP. LXII.
Partnership
Act, 1890,
s. 17.

ii.—Novation on Change in Partnership Firm.—Questions as regards novation have frequently arisen in cases of change of partnership, and, in reference thereto, it is enacted by sect. 17, sub-sect. 3, of the Partnership Act, 1890 (*h*), as follows:—

“A retiring partner may be discharged from any existing liabilities by an agreement to that effect between himself and the members of the firm as newly constituted and the creditors, and this agreement may be either express or inferred as a fact from the course of dealing between the creditors and the firm as newly constituted.”

Consent of
creditor
necessary to
valid nova-
tion.

The discharge of a retiring partner cannot be effected by an agreement made merely between himself and the members of the new firm. If the new firm adopts the debt, the mere acquiescence in the arrangement by the creditor, as by accepting interest from the new firm on the debt which they have adopted, will not debar the creditor from his remedies against the old firm who were the original debtors (*i*). In all such cases in order to discharge the retiring partner it must be found as a fact, not only that the arrangement between that partner and the new firm was brought to the knowledge of the creditor, but that the creditor has consented to the arrangement, and has, in fact, either expressly or impliedly, from his course of dealing with the new firm, adopted them as his sole debtors (*k*).

Implication
of consent
from conduct.

On dissolution of a partnership between two persons it was agreed between them that one of them should continue the business, undertaking all the liabilities of the firm; a creditor of the firm, with full knowledge of these facts, took a bill for his debt from the continuing partner alone; it was held that by so doing the creditor had shown that he relied on the continuing partner alone for the payment of his debt, and that the retiring partner was discharged (*l*).

Continued
dealings with
new firm.

Where a creditor with knowledge of change in a firm has continued his dealings with the new firm for a considerable period, slight circumstances will show that he had accepted the liability of the new firm (*m*); as where no alteration was made

(*h*) 53 & 54 Vict. c. 39.
(*i*) *Kirwan v. Kirwan*, 2 Cr. & M. 617; *Gough v. Davies*, 4 Pri. 200; *Blew v. Wyatt*, 5 O. & P. 397. See *Re Smith, Knight & Co.*, L.R. 4 Ch. A. 662; *Re Tucker, Tucker v. Tucker*, (1894) 3 Ch. 429.

(*k*) *Lindley on Partnership* (6th ed.), p. 254.

(*l*) *Thompson v. Perceval*, 5 B. & Ad. 525; *Evans v. Drummond*, 4 Esp. 89; *Reed v. White*, 5 Esp. 122.

(*m*) *Exp. Jackson*, 1 Ves. Jun. 132; *Exp. Peole*, 6 Ves. 604.

in the mode of carrying on the business, the account continued, and the existing liabilities discharged or diminished from the old and new assets indiscriminately (*n*).

CHAP. LXII.

So where money had been deposited with a firm of bankers and deposit notes had been given to the creditors, and upon a change of the firm a circular notice of the change was sent to all the creditors of the firm; new deposit notes were given in some cases, and in others interest was paid by the new firm on the old notes; three years after the change of the firm the bank stopped payment and went into liquidation; it was held that in each case there had been a complete novation (*o*).

Taking fresh security from new firm.

Where a customer of a bank after the death of one of the partners accepted from the surviving partner a fresh deposit note for a debt due from the bank, it was held that there was no sufficient evidence of novation to discharge the estate of the deceased partner (*p*); but it was held otherwise where another customer of the same bank withdrew money from a current account and placed it upon a deposit account, taking a deposit note from the surviving partner (*q*).

But though the taking of a new security for an old debt is evidence from which an intention to discharge the retiring partner may be inferred, the case is otherwise if the creditor expressly reserves his right to look to the retiring partner as well as to the continuing partners for payment (*r*).

Reservation of rights against retiring partner.

Stronger evidence of intention to discharge a retiring partner is apparently required where no new partner is introduced into the firm than where a new partner is so introduced (*s*).

The question sometimes takes the form of an election to sue the new firm; as where the retiring partner, not having given notice of the dissolution, was liable by estoppel for goods supplied before notice, but was not jointly liable with the new firm which contained a new partner, the creditor by suing the new firm for the goods supplied before and after the notice had elected to discharge the retiring partner (*t*).

Creditors suing new firm.

(*n*) *Rolfe v. Flower*, 1 J. C. 27. And see *Commercial Bank of India*, 16 W. R. 958, L. J.

(*o*) *Bilborough v. Holmes*, 5 Ch. D. 255.

(*p*) *Re Head, Head v. Head*, (1893) 3 Ch. 426.

(*q*) *Re Head, Head v. Head* (No. 2), (1894) 2 Ch. 236, C. A.

(*r*) *Bedford v. Deakin*, 2 B. & Ald. 210.

(*s*) See *Lodge v. Dicus*, 3 B. & Ald. 611; *David v. Ellice*, 5 B. & Cr. 196; the rule there laid down that in such cases fresh security must be given cannot now be sustained. See *Thompson v. Perceval*, 5 B. & Ald. 925.

(*t*) *Scarfe v. Jardine*, 7 App. Cas. 346.

CHAP. LXII.

iii.—**Novation on Amalgamation of Companies.**—Where a new company takes over the assets and liabilities of a company in liquidation, before the completion of the winding-up of the latter, whether voluntarily under a reconstruction or an amalgamation, or compulsorily by or under the supervision of the Court, the mortgagee or debenture-holder will generally be either satisfied out of the assets of the old company before the residue of such assets are transferred to the new company, or he will be bound by an arrangement approved of by the prescribed majority of the creditors and sanctioned by the Court, under which he must look solely to the new company for payment for any amount of his claim which is not covered by the value of the property charged (*u*). Upon completion of the winding-up of the old company it is defunct, and no further claim can be made against it, so that there can be no question as to the continuing liability of that company; the only question which can arise is as to whether the creditor of the old company has so adopted the new company as his debtor in the place of the old company as to entitle him to support his claim as against the new company.

Amalgamation of insurance companies.

The question of novation as regards companies is of importance to mortgagees of policies of life assurance or other claims against an insurance society or company, inasmuch as it would seem that a mortgagor may, by assenting to a novation, change the character of the security without the mortgagee's consent.

Adoption of new company by mortgagor.

Thus where a policy of life assurance was assigned by way of mortgage, and the insuring company was subsequently amalgamated with another company, it was held that the mortgagor, by receiving the amalgamation policies and thereafter paying the premiums to the new company, had adopted the latter as his sole debtor, and that the mortgagee was bound by the novation (*x*).

What is sufficient evidence of novation in case of companies.

In order to create a novation on the amalgamation of companies, there must be more cogent evidence than in the case of ordinary partnerships. "The union of two companies, formed originally under separate deeds, by which the proprietors respectively stipulated for a limited liability, viz., a liability to the extent of the assets and of the calls due from themselves, is

(*u*) See *ante*, p. 1133.

(*x*) *Werwinck's Case*, 15 S. J. 767.
See *Vivian's Case*, 18 S. J. 758.

a very different thing from the admission of a new partner into an existing firm, with all the usual consequences of such an admission; and the abandonment by a creditor of a written definite contract with one company for an unwritten engagement by a new company, to be arrived at through the medium of very special arrangements between the two companies, is a matter requiring far more cogent and precise proof than the assumption by a continuing customer of the liability of the firm with which he continues his dealing in lieu of that of its immediate predecessor" (y).

By the Life Assurance Companies Acts Amendment Act, 1872 (z), it is enacted as follows:—

Sect. 7. "Where a company, either before or after the passing of this Act, has transferred its business to, or been amalgamated with, another company, no policy holder in the first-mentioned company who shall pay to the other company the premiums accruing due in respect of his policy, shall, by reason of any such payment made after the passing of this Act, or by reason of any other act done after the passing of this Act, be deemed to have abandoned any claim which he would have had against the first-mentioned company on due payment of premiums to such company, or to have accepted in lieu thereof the liability of the other company, unless such abandonment and acceptance have been signified by some writing signed by him or by his agent lawfully authorized."

Regulations
as to nova-
tions by policy
holders.

If any policy holder, whether a member or not, with knowledge of the items of the union between two societies, has his policy indorsed with an indorsement which purports to create a new contract with the amalgamating society, there is novation (a). So if any policy holder, whether a member or not, even without a knowledge of the particulars of the arrangement, has his policy so indorsed, a novation takes place (b). So where the policy holder executed the deed of settlement of the new company (c).

Endorsement
of policy.

So where a policy holder, whether a member or not, claims and receives a bonus from the new society, and gives a receipt in writing for it as being a bonus distinctly from that society (d).

Receipt for
bonus.

(y) *Per* Lord Hatherley, C., in *Re Family Endowment Soc.*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 113, at p. 133. See also *Re Anchor Assurance Co.*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 632, at p. 638.

(z) 35 & 36 Vict. c. 41.

(a) *Re Reams' Claim*, L. R. 16 Eq. 354.

(b) *Griffiths' Case*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 374.

(c) *Fleming's Case*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 393.

(d) *Re Anchor Assurance Co.*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 632. See *Re Times Life Assurance, &c. Co.*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 381; *Spencer's Case*, L. R. 6 Ch. A. 362.

CHAP. LXII.

Decisions as
to novation
under former
law.

Before the passing of this Act it was held that in order to show that there had been a novation on the amalgamation of two insurance companies, it was not necessary for a new policy to be taken, or for the old policy to be indorsed, or that there should be any agreement in writing by the insured to look for payment to the new company in substitution for the old company, but that the contract of novation might be proved by implication from the conduct of the insured (e). It was also held that if a policy holder, not being a member, was merely furnished with notice by a circular not containing the whole arrangement, that would not be sufficient (f).

If, with knowledge of the mutual arrangement and the terms thereof, a policy holder, not being a member and not having his policy indorsed, paid his premiums to the amalgamating society at their office, and received the receipt as of that society without more, even that was formerly sufficient to create novation. "If he knows all that has been done, and takes the receipts from the new company, that will be an acceptance of the new company" (g); but not where the receipt implied an incorporation rather than a transfer of the business (h); nor where an annuitant received his annuity from the new company, but refused to join in the transfer (i).

It is conceived that the above rules, or the principles on which they are founded, would now apply, with necessary modifications, to claims of creditors against amalgamating companies, other than companies coming within the Act above referred to.

Decisions
under the Act
of 1872.

With regard to novation in cases relating to companies, other than cases of policies of life assurance coming under the provisions of the Act of 1872, the following points have been decided.

All parties in-
terested must
concur.

In the case of companies, no less than in the case of partnership firms, in order to constitute a novation so as to entitle a creditor to sue or prove in winding up against the company to which the business and liabilities of the company originally liable have been transferred, it must be tripartite; the creditor,

(e) *Host's Case*, 1 Ch. D. 307, O. A.;
Dowse's Case, 3 Ch. D. 384, O. A.

(f) *Re Manchester, &c. Association Co.*,
L. R. 5 Ch. A. 640; *Re Anchor Assurance*
Co., L. R. 5 Ch. A. 632.

(g) *National Provincial, &c. Society*,
9 Eq. 308, 313; *The Times Life Ass.*,
L. R. 5 Ch. A. 381, 394; *Cocker's*

Case, 3 Ch. D. 1, O. A.; *Miller's Case*,
3 Ch. D. 391, O. A. But see *Conquest's*
Case, 1 Ch. D. 334, O. A.

(h) *Manchester, &c. Ass. Co.*, L. R.
5 Ch. A. 640.

(i) *India and London Life Ass. Co.*,
L. R. 7 Ch. A. 651.

the original debtor, and the new debtor must all be parties to it (*k*). CHAP. LXII.

A company granted an annuity, charged upon the assets of the company, and was subsequently dissolved, and its assets were transferred to another company; the annuitant received his annuity under the grant from the old company up to the amalgamation, and afterwards from the new company, and gave receipts in the name of that company; his grant was never exchanged for a grant from the new company, and he was never asked to enter, nor did he enter, into any express contract with the new company; it was held that there was no sufficient indication that he had accepted the new company in substitution for the old company, as he might well have supposed that the new company paid the annuity out of the assets of the old company, and as their agents (*l*).

Where an annuitant had rejected a proposal that his contract with the company who granted the annuity should be transferred to another company, it was held that his receipt, with knowledge of the amalgamation, of his annuity from the new company did not constitute a novation (*m*).

Where the business of a banking company, of which a person was a creditor for a sum on deposit at interest, was transferred to another company, and the creditor himself received no notice of the transfer, but until the new company was being wound up the interest, as it became due, was sent to an agent of the creditor together with letters headed with the name of the new company, it was held that the creditor was not shown to have accepted the new company as his debtor in substitution for the old company (*n*).

(*k*) *Re Manchester and London Life, &c. Assoc.*, L. R. 9 Eq. 643, at p. 649, per Bacon, V.-C.

(*l*) *Re Family Endowment Soc.*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 118, 132, 137.

(*m*) *Re India & London Life Assurance Co.*, L. R. 7 Ch. A. 651.

(*n*) *Re Commercial Bank of India and the East*, 16 W. R. 958. See also *Re Smith, Knight & Co.*, L. R. 4 Ch. A. 682, *ante*, p. 1452.

Part IX.

OF CONTRACT SECURITIES (OTHER THAN MORTGAGES) FOR
DEBTS AND LOANS.

CHAPTER LXIII.

OF PLEDGES.

SECTION I.

OF ORDINARY PLEDGES OR PAWNS.

Definition
of pledge.

i.—Nature and Effect of a Pledge or Pawn.—A pledge or pawn (for these words are used indifferently in English law to express the same kind of transaction) has been defined by Sir William Jones as “a bailment of goods by a debtor to his creditor to be kept till the debt is discharged” (*a*). Lord Holt, discussing the different kinds of bailments in *Coggs v. Bernard* (*b*) (the leading case on the subject), says that a pledge is “when goods or chattels are delivered to another as a pawn, to be a security to him for money borrowed of him by the bailor; and this is called, in Latin, *vadium*, and in English, a pawn or pledge.”

Pothier gives a somewhat similar definition, saying that a pledge or pawn is a contract by which the debtor gives to his creditor a thing to detain as a security for his debt which the creditor is bound to return when the debt is paid (*c*). Mr. Justice Storey (*d*) points out that though these definitions are in the main sufficiently descriptive of the nature of the contract of pledge, they are limited to cases where a thing is given as a mere security for a debt, but that a pawn may well be given as a security for any other engagement; he therefore defines

(*a*) Jones on Bailments, 117.(*b*) 2 Ld. Raym. 909, 913.(*c*) Pothier, de Nautissement, Art. Prelim. n. 2.(*d*) Story on Bailments, s. 286.

pledge to be "a bailment of personal property as a security for some debt or engagement." CHAP. LXIII.

It is of the essence of the contract that the thing should be delivered as a security for some debt or engagement of the pledgor or some other person, and it may be for any debt past or future, and upon condition or absolutely, and for a limited or indefinite time (e); and it may be express or implied, and for any engagement or contract whatever (f). Debt essential to pledge.

A pledge or pawn being a species of bailment, actual or constructive delivery of the thing pledged is an essential element of the contract; without delivery, the pledgee obtains no right of property in the thing; and if he parts with the thing he generally loses the benefit of his security (g). Delivery essential to pledge.

Herein lies an important distinction between a pledge and a mortgage. Transfer of possession is, as has been seen, not essential to, and in practice seldom accompanies, a mortgage transaction, which is effected by means of a conveyance or assurance of the title. Pledge and mortgage distinguished.

A mortgage passes the whole legal interest conditionally to the mortgagee. If the thing mortgaged is not redeemed at the specified time, the mortgagee's title becomes absolute at law, but in equity enforceable only by foreclosure or sale, and in the meantime subject to the mortgagor's right to redeem. So, when there is a deposit of title deeds, the Court treats that as an agreement to execute a legal mortgage, and, therefore, as carrying with it all the remedies incident to such a mortgage (h). But in the case of a pledge, the pledgee has a special property only in the goods pledged to detain them for his security, the general property continuing in the pledgor (i). Difference as to title of mortgagee and pledgee.

It has been seen (j) that the Courts of Equity found it necessary, in order to mitigate the hardship arising from a strict enforcement of the express terms of a legal mortgage, imposed upon the mortgagee the obligation to allow the mortgagor to redeem on payment of principal, interest, and costs, notwithstanding that a forfeiture had been incurred at law by non-payment on the day named in the contract. In the case of pledges, however, no such interference has been necessary. Redemption of pledge.

(e) *Exp. Ockendon*, 1 Atk. 236; *Coles v. Jones*, 2 Vern. 692.

(f) *Story on Bailments*, s. 300.

(g) *Ryall v. Rolfe*, 1 Atk. 164. See *Reeves v. Copper*, 5 Bing. N. C. 140, 141.

(h) *Cartier v. Wake*, 4 Ch. D. 605.

(i) *Jones v. Smith*, 2 Ves. Jun. 372, at p. 378; *Lickbarrow v. Mason*, 2 T. R. 63.

(j) *Ante*, p. 11.

CHAP. LXIII.

According to the well-settled terms of a contract of pledge, even if a time is fixed for redemption, the effect is merely to render exerciseable, on default in payment at the appointed time, the pledgee's right of sale; but if he does not choose to exercise the power, he will still retain the chattels as a pledge subject to redemption on tender of the money due, when his special property will be determined (*k*) and he will be compellable to restore the property to the pledgor (*l*).

Neither prescription nor the Statutes of Limitation run against the right of redemption [after the day fixed for payment (*m*)]. However, after a long lapse of time, if no claim for a redemption is made, the right will be deemed to be extinguished; and the property will be held to belong absolutely to the pledgee (*n*).

In the case of an ordinary pledge, where no time is fixed for redemption, it has been held that the pledgor may redeem at any time during his own life, but that no right of redemption will be allowed after his death, the right being personal to him (*o*).

Foreclosure.

Inasmuch as the contract of pledge is construed and enforced in strict accordance with its terms and the legal rights of the parties, it follows that a decree for foreclosure cannot be made at the instance of a pledgee, for foreclosure is merely the removal of a stop put by equity on the enforcement by a creditor of his legal remedies under his security (*p*). If, however, a pledgor brings an action for redemption against the pledgee, who refuses to restore the property, the dismissal of such an action is tantamount to foreclosure; and the usual decree orders that the pledgor shall redeem within a certain time or be foreclosed (*q*).

Tender re-vests goods in pledgor.

Upon tender by the pledgor of the debt, the property, notwithstanding the pledgee's refusal to accept payment, is revested immediately without claim or reconveyance (*r*). A pledgor may sue for goods detained after tender (*s*); but it seems that the property would not be revested on tender by one of several joint owners (*t*). The necessity of actual payment on tender in

(*k*) *Martindale v. Smith*, 1 Q. B. 389; *Bank of New South Wales v. O'Connor*, 14 App. Cas. 273 at p. 282.

(*l*) See Story, s. 346.

(*m*) *Kemp v. Westbrook*, 1 Ves. Sen. 278.

(*n*) Story, s. 346. See *Lockwood v. Ewer*, 2 Atk. 303, a case of mortgage.

(*o*) *Ratcliff v. Davis*, 1 Bulstr. 29; *Yelv.* 178; *Kemp v. Westbrook*, *sup.*

(*p*) *Carter v. Wake*, 4 Ch. D. 605.

(*q*) See, for forms of order, *Seton on Decrees*, 5th ed. p. 1662.

(*r*) *Noy*, 137; *Ratcliff v. Davis*, 1 Bulstr. 29; *Cro. Jac.* 244; *Coggs v. Bernard*, *Ld. Raym.* 909; *Isack v. Clark*, 2 Bulstr. 306; *Ryall v. Howles*, 1 Atk. 167.

(*s*) 5 Com. Dig. 148A.

(*t*) *May v. Harvey*, 13 East, 197; *Harper v. Godsell*, *L. R.* 5 Q. B. 422.

order to revert the property in the pledgor is not done away with by the fact that the pledgee has set up a claim to the absolute ownership of the goods (*u*). CHAP. LXIII.

ii.—Delivery of Possession.—Delivery of possession may be either actual or constructive and symbolic. What is sufficient to constitute a constructive delivery is sometimes a matter of nicety (*x*).

If actual delivery be from circumstances impossible, constructive delivery will be sufficient (*y*). So, in the case of an assignment of a ship at sea (*z*), or in a foreign port (*a*), the delivery of the muniments of title (attended with such formalities as the statute law requires) will be sufficient; so also the delivery of bills of lading of goods at sea (*b*), or warrants of goods in transitu (*c*). Constructive delivery.

Similarly, where actual delivery has been made as nearly as may be, as where goods are bulky and are in a warehouse and the key of the warehouse is delivered (*d*).

So, also, where goods were stored in a customs warehouse, subject to customs, freight, and storage, a note of the pledge thereof, entered in the book of the customs officer, was held to be a sufficient constructive delivery (*e*).

The possession from the symbolical delivery by a key or bill of lading cannot, it would seem, be affected by possession being gained by means of a false key or false bill of lading (*f*).

Again, the delivery of part of goods may be a delivery in the name of the whole; and, if such is shown to be the intention of the parties, partial delivery may constructively operate as a sufficient delivery of the whole (*g*); but it seems that the burden of proof lies on the party asserting that such was the intention (*h*). It has been laid down that "the delivery of part operates as a constructive delivery of the whole only where the delivery of part takes place in the course of the delivery of the Delivery of part of goods in name of whole.

(*u*) *Youngmann v. Briesemann*, W. N. (1892) 162.

(*z*) See *Martin v. Reid*, 11 C. B. N. S. 730, 739; *Donald v. Suckling*, L. R. 1 Q. B. 587.

(*y*) *James v. Whitbread*, 11 C. B. 406; *Maughan v. Sharpe*, 17 C. B. N. S. 483, and cases cited *inf*.

(*a*) *Atkinson v. Maling*, 2 T. R. 462.

(*b*) *Exp. Batson*, 3 Bro. C. C. 362.

(*c*) *Brown v. Heathcote*, 1 Atk. 160; *Barber v. Meyerstein*, L. R. 4 H. L. 317.

(*e*) *Exp. Flynn*, 1 Atk. 185.

(*d*) *West v. Ship*, 1 Ves. Sen. 244; *Smith v. Smith*, Stra. 255. See *Meyerstein v. Barber*, L. R. 2 C. P. 38, at p. 52; *Hilton v. Tucker*, 39 Ch. D. 669.

(*f*) *Young v. Lambert*, L. R. 3 P. C. 142.

(*g*) *Meyerstein v. Barber*, *sup*.

(*h*) *Crawshaw v. Eades*, 1 B. & Cr. 181; *Tanner v. Scovell*, 14 M. & W. 28; *Hammond v. Anderson*, 1 B. & P. N. R. 69.

(*i*) *Kemp v. Falk*, 7 App. Cas. 573, 586.

CHAP. LXIII.

Constructive
delivery by
warrants
or orders.

whole, and the taking possession by the buyer of that part is the acceptance of constructive possession of the whole" (i).

Warrants or orders for delivery of goods, by indorsement or otherwise, are documents of title, the delivery of which may pass the property in the goods by way of pledge without physical change of possession (k). So where, upon a verbal agreement for a loan on the security of furniture belonging to the borrower which was stored in a warehouse, the borrower signed and handed to the warehouseman a delivery order, it was held that the transaction was a pledge, and that the delivery order was equivalent to actual possession by the lender, not requiring registration as a bill of sale (l). But wharfingers' certificates that goods are lying at a specified place ready for delivery are not sufficient to pass the property in the goods (m).

Delivery
subsequent
to advance.

It is not essential to a pledge that the delivery of the goods should be contemporaneous with the advance; it is sufficient if the goods are delivered within a reasonable time after the advance, in accordance with a contract to pledge (n).

Pledge must
be by or with
consent of
owner.

iii.—Title of Pledgor.—It is not indispensable that the pledge should belong to the pledgor; it is sufficient if it is pledged with the authority or consent of the owner (o). The pledgor impliedly undertakes that he has an interest in the pledge, and that it shall be made effectual to answer the obligation (p).

A power of attorney to sell and dispose of government securities does not authorize the donee to pledge them (q).

A pledge of goods by a person in possession, who is not the true owner, will in all cases be good as between the parties themselves, but the question whether the true owner can assert his own superior right of property will depend upon the circumstances attending the transaction (r).

Sale of Goods
Act, 1893.

If goods are sold or agreed to be sold, but the sale has not been completed by reason of the goods not having been delivered

(i) *Per Willes, J.*, in *Bolton v. Lancashire and Yorkshire Rail. Co.*, L. R. 1 C. P. 431, at p. 440.

(k) *Charlesworth v. Mills*, (1892) A. C. 231.

(l) *Grigg v. National Guardian Ass. Co.*, (1891) 3 Ch. 206.

(m) *Gunn v. Bolckow, Vaughan & Co.*, L. R. 10 Ch. A. 491.

(n) *Hilton v. Tucker*, 39 Ch. D. 669. See, as to refusal to deliver up possession of goods pursuant to contract,

West v. Ship, 1 Ves. Sen. 239, at p. 244.

(o) Story on Bailments, s. 291. See *Nahmaschinen Fabrik Gesellschaft v. Pickford & Co.*, W. N. (1888) 140.

(p) Story on Bailments, s. 311. See *per Pollock, C. B.*, in *Cheeseman v. Exall*, 6 Exch. 341.

(q) *Joumenjoy Coondoo v. Watson*, 9 App. Cas. 561, P. O.

(r) Story on Bailments, s. 291; *Gartik v. Howard*, 5 C. & P. 346, 350.

to the purchaser, or of the purchase-money not having been paid, the seller or purchaser, as the case may be, in possession of the goods, can effectually pledge them to a person acting *bonâ fide* and without notice, so as to oust, to the extent of the security, all claim or lien of the other party to the sale.

CHAP. LXIII.

By the Sale of Goods Act, 1893 (*s*), it is enacted as follows:—

Sect. 25.—“(1.) Where a person having sold goods continues or is in possession of the goods or of the documents of title to the goods, the delivery or transfer to that person, or by a mercantile agent acting for him, of the goods or documents of title under any sale, pledge, or other disposition thereof, to any person receiving the same in good faith and without notice of the previous sale, shall have the same effect as if the person making the delivery or transfer were expressly authorized by the owner of the goods to make the same.

Seller or
buyer in
possession
after sale.

“(2.) Where a person having bought or agreed to buy goods obtains, with the consent of the seller, possession of the goods or the documents of title to the goods, the delivery or transfer by that person, or by a mercantile agent acting for him, of the goods or documents of title, under any sale, pledge, or other disposition thereof, to any person receiving the same in good faith and without notice of any lien or other right of the original seller in respect of the goods, shall have the same effect as if the person making the delivery or transfer were a mercantile agent in possession of the goods or documents of title with the consent of the owner.

“(3.) In this section the term ‘mercantile agent’ has the same meaning as in the Factors Act.”

But in cases, other than those of incomplete sales of goods, the statute does not apply; and accordingly, in such cases, as against the real owner, the pawnee will not acquire a special property in the chattel if the person who assumes to pledge be himself without title, for the pawnee can have no greater right than the pawnor (*t*). A custom that a pledge by a stranger in market overt in London binds the owner is bad (*u*).

A partner having power to borrow has an implied authority to pledge the chattels of the firm to secure the payment of a present loan or an antecedent debt (*v*). And one of several persons, who join in the purchase of goods to be sold for their common profit, has a similar authority to bind his co-adventurers by pledge of the goods (*w*).

Pledges by
partners.

The mere possession, obtained through false representations,

Fraudulent
possession.

(*s*) 56 & 57 Vict. c. 71.

(*t*) *Hooper v. Ramsbottom*, 4 Camp. 121; *Cheeseman v. Ezall*, 6 Exch. 341; *Waller v. Hanger*, 3 Bulstr. 17; *Wookey v. Pole*, 4 B. & Ald. 1, at p. 15.

(*u*) *Shep. Abr. Customs*; *Plowd.* 243; *Bro. Abr. Prerog.* 6; *Fitzh. Custom*, pl. 2; *Hartopp v. Hoare*, 3 Atk. 44, 52.

(*v*) *Exp. Bonboners*, 8 Ves. 540; *Butchart v. Dresser*, 4 De G. M. & G. 542; *Brownrigg v. Ras*, 5 Exch. 489; *Gordon v. Ellis*, 7 Man. & Gr. 607.

(*w*) *Reid v. Hollingshead*, 4 B. & Cr. 867; *Re Goller*, 1 Rose, 297. See 53 & 54 Vict. c. 39, s. 5.

CHAP. LXIII.

of a document of title to a chattel will not support the title of a *bond fide* pawnee of the chattel for value, though without notice of the pledgor's want of title (*x*); and even where the pawnor remaining in possession for a limited purpose under the original contract to pawn, or by the fraudulent use of a document of title affects to pledge the chattel to another, the right remains in the first pawnee, though the second has actually obtained possession and sold the chattel (*y*).

The general rule at common law is that, to make a sale or pledge valid against the owner of the goods sold or pledged, it must be shown that the seller or pledgor had authority from the owner to sell or pledge as the case may be. If the owner of the goods has so acted as to clothe the seller or pledgor with apparent authority to sell or pledge, he is at common law precluded, as against those who have been induced *bond fide* to act on the faith of the apparent authority, from denying that he had given such authority, and the result as to them was the same as if he had really given it (*z*).

The sale by a person allowed by the true owner to have possession of the goods, so that he is able to hold himself out as owner, probably only binds the true owner (except in cases of incomplete sales) where the possessor, from the nature of his employment, had *prima facie* a right to sell (*a*).

A mortgagor of stock in trade left in possession thereof to enable him to carry on his business has no power to pledge the goods, by reason of the mortgagee's acquiescence in his retaining possession thereof.

Nor is the mortgagor the agent for sale of the mortgagee within the Factors Acts (*b*).

Where the circumstances are such as to put the pledgee on inquiry, he will not acquire a good title to goods or securities against the true owner, unless he has satisfied himself by reasonable evidence that the pledgor has *prima facie* authority to pledge them (*c*).

Pledge by
limited owner.

If the pledgor has only a limited title to the thing, as for life or for years, he may still pawn it to the extent of his title; but when that expires the pledgee must surrender it to the person

- | | |
|---|---|
| (<i>x</i>) <i>Kingsford v. Merry</i> , 1 H. & N. 503; <i>Lamb v. Attenborough</i> , 1 B. & S. 831. | <i>North Western Bank</i> , L. R. 10 C. P. 354, at p. 363. |
| (<i>y</i>) <i>Reeves v. Capper</i> , 5 Bing. N. C. 136; <i>Barber v. Meyerstein</i> , L. R. 4 H. L. 317, at p. 331. | (<i>a</i>) <i>Higgins v. Burton</i> , 26 L. J. Ex. 342. |
| (<i>z</i>) <i>Per Blackburn, J.</i> , in <i>Cole v.</i> | (<i>b</i>) <i>Joseph v. Webb</i> , 1 C. & E. 262. |
| | (<i>c</i>) <i>Mulville v. Munster and Leinster Bank</i> , 27 L. R. Ir. 379. |

who succeeds to the ownership (*d*). And the bailee of goods of tenants in common cannot, by direction of one of them, justify a pledge of the whole (*e*). CHAP. LXIII.

The same rule applies to any other special interest or special property in a thing, such as a lien or a right by a former pledge, which may be again pledged to the extent of such lien or right, although not beyond it (*f*).

iv.—The Subject-matter of Pledges.—Inasmuch as delivery of possession, actual or constructive, is an essential element of pledge, only such things as are capable of such delivery can be the subject of pledge (*g*). These are ordinarily goods and chattels; but money and negotiable instruments may, by the common law, be delivered in pledge (*h*).

If the pledge is of mere current coin, or of a negotiable security capable in its nature of passing by delivery, then, if the pledgee sells it to a *bond fide* purchaser without notice, the latter acquires an absolute property in the pledge (*i*); but if a negotiable note or other security contains on it any intimation that it belongs to, or that it is for the use or benefit of, another, as if the words “as trustee” were on it, then it is incapable of being pledged for the use of the holder (*k*). Pledge of negotiable securities.

A person in lawful possession of negotiable securities for money may pledge them; and a pledge of such instruments taken in good faith and for value confers on the pledgee a valid title, though he takes from a person who had none (*l*). So, where a broker fraudulently pledged negotiable instruments belonging to a client, as a security for an advance with a bank, which made no inquiries as to the nature of the broker's possession or his authority to deal with the securities, it was held that, there being as a matter of fact no circumstances to create suspicion, the bank was entitled to retain and realize the securities, so as to repay themselves the full amount secured by the pledge (*m*). Negotiable securities.

(*d*) *Hoare v. Parker*, 2 T. R. 376; 100; *Sigourney v. Lloyd*, 8 B. & Cr. 622; *Walker v. Taylor*, 4 L. T. N. S. 845, H. L.

(*e*) *Barton v. Williams*, 5 B. & Ald. 395.

(*f*) *Story on Bailments*, s. 296, ed. 8.

(*g*) *Eyall v. Rowles*, 1 Ves. Sen. 348, at p. 357.

(*h*) *Georgier v. Mievill*, 3 B. & Cr. 45. See also *Carter v. Wake*, 4 Ch. D. 605.

(*i*) *Wooley v. Pole*, 4 B. & Ald. 1, at p. 15.

(*k*) *Trentell v. Barandon*, 8 Taunt.

100; *Sigourney v. Lloyd*, 8 B. & Cr. 622; *Walker v. Taylor*, 4 L. T. N. S. 845, H. L.

(*l*) *Georgier v. Mievill*, 3 B. & Cr. 45; *Foster v. Pearson*, 1 C. M. & R. 849; *Goodwin v. Roberts*, 1 App. Cas. 476; *Carter v. Wake*, 4 Ch. D. 605; *London Joint Stock Bank v. Simmons*, (1892) A. C. 201, at p. 213.

(*m*) *London Joint Stock Bank v. Simmons*, (1892) A. C. 201; *Bentinck v. London Joint Stock Bank*, (1893) 2 Ch. 120.

CHAP. LXIII.

But if the circumstances are such as to affect the pledgee with notice that the holder of the securities was not the true owner, and had no authority to deal with them, a pledge of the goods will create no valid security (*n*). So, also, if the holder has a limited authority to pledge the securities, and the pledgee is or ought to be aware of the limitation, the pledge will be good only to the extent of the authority. Thus, where a person delivered negotiable securities to a money-dealer to secure an advance, who in turn pledged them with a bank as a security for a current loan account, it was held that the bankers, being aware of the nature of the money-dealer's business, were bound to ascertain whether the pledged instruments belonged to him or to a customer, and that, not having done so, the sub-pledge could only be made available by them to the extent of the loan made by the money-dealer to the original pledgor (*o*).

Pledges of
bills of lading.

The rule above indicated appears to be confined to negotiable securities for money, and not to apply to pledges of bills of lading (*p*). The mere indorsement and delivery of a bill of lading as security for money operates as a symbolical delivery of the goods by way of pledge, and does not pass the property in the goods to the indorsee, so as to transfer to him the liabilities in respect of the goods within the meaning of the Bills of Lading Act (*q*). And it would seem that, in such a case, the ordinary rule with regard to pledges of goods would apply, namely, that a pledgee or purchaser from a person in possession of the bill of lading cannot acquire a better title than such person had (*r*).

The pledge of a bill of lading will carry the right of possession even after the landing of the goods, so long as they have not come into the hands of the person entitled to receive them under the bill of lading (*s*).

The indorsement of and delivery of a bill of lading may, however, be accompanied by a writing so expressed as to show that, as between the parties, the contract was intended to be a mortgage, and, in the absence of fraud, such a transaction will

(*n*) See cases cited in note (*l*), and compare *Thomson v. Clydesdale Bank*, (1893) A. C. 282, 289.

(*o*) *Earl of Sheffield v. London Joint Stock Bank*, 13 App. Cas. 333.

(*p*) *Newson v. Thornton*, 6 East, 17; *Martini v. Coles*, 1 M. & S. 140; *Shipley v. Kymer*, 1 M. & S. 484; *Pickering v. Busk*, 15 East, 38; *Quieros v. Trueman*,

3 B. & Cr. 342.

(*q*) 18 & 19 Vict. c. 111, s. 1; *Sewell v. Burdick*, 10 App. Ca. 74.

(*r*) See ante, p. 1463.

(*s*) *Story*, Bailm. s. 297; *Ryall v. Rowles*, 1 Atk. 165, at p. 171; *Atkinson v. Maling*, 2 T. R. 462; *Re Westzinthus*, 5 B. & Ad. 817.

take effect according to the intention, and be subject to the law of mortgage (*t*). CHAP. LXIII.

v.—Rights, Remedies, and Liabilities of Pledgee.—The pawnee acquires by the common law a special property in the thing (*u*), and is entitled to the exclusive possession of it during the time, and for the objects, for which it is pledged. If the owner or a stranger obtains wrongful possession of it, he may sue for its restitution or damages, even to the full value from a stranger, although pledged for less, as he is accountable over to the owner for the excess (*x*). Right of pledgee to possession.

The right is only effectual for the debt for which the pledge was made, and not for any other past or future debt (*y*) unless so understood by the parties (*z*). The right extends to interest on the debt, and all necessary expenses incurred about the debt (*a*).

Where the pledge is a negotiable security, such as a negotiable note, the pledgee has a right to recover and receive the money due thereon, and to sue for it in his own name (*b*).

The pledgee is entitled to hold every separate thing comprised in the pledge, and also whatever by natural increase becomes accessory to it, as the young of sheep born after the pledge of the flock (*c*), but not such as are substituted for them (*d*). Accretions.

If the pawn is of such a nature that the due preservation of it requires some use, such use is not only justifiable, but it is indispensable to the faithful discharge of the duty of the pawnee (*e*). Custody and use of pledge

If the pawn is of such a nature that it will be worse for the use, such, for instance, as the wearing of clothes deposited, then the use is prohibited to the pawnee (*f*).

(*t*) *Secell v. Burdick*, 10 App. Cas. 74. See *Bristol and West of England Bank v. Midland Rail. Co.*, (1891) 2 Q. B. 653, C. A.

(*u*) *Jones*, Bailm. 80; *Ratoliff v. Davis*, Cro. Jac. 244; *Coggs v. Bernard*, Ld. Raym. 909, 916. See Bac. Abr. Bailm. B.

(*x*) 2 Saund. 47, n.; *Swire v. Leach*, 18 C. B. N. S. 479; *Donald v. Suckling*, L. R. 1 Q. B. 585.

(*y*) *Green v. Farmer*, 4 Burr. 2214; *Walker v. Birch*, 6 T. R. 258; *Rushforth v. Hadfield*, 7 East, 224; *Demanbray v. Metcalf*, 2 Vern. 691.

(*z*) *Exp. Ockendon*, 1 Atk. 236; *Jones*

v. Smith, 2 Ves. Jun. 372, 380; *Vanderzee v. Willis*, 3 Bro. C. C. 21. But see *Adams v. Claxton*, 6 Ves. 226.

(*a*) Story on Bailments, s. 306, a. But see *Somes v. British Empire Shipping Co.*, 8 H. L. C. 338.

(*b*) Story on Bailments, s. 321, 8th ed.

(*c*) Story on Bailments, ss. 292, 314; Dig. xx. tit. 1, *de Pignoriibus*, &c. xiii.

(*d*) *Webster v. Power*, L. R. 2 P. C. 69.

(*e*) *Jones* on Bailments, 81.

(*f*) 2 Salk. 522; *Coggs v. Bernard*, 2 Ld. Raym. 909, 916; *Jones* on Bailments, 81.

CHAP. LXIII.

If the pawn is of such a nature that the keeping is a charge to the pawnee, as if it is a cow or a horse, there the pawnee may milk the cow and use the milk and ride the horse, by way of recompense (as it is said) for the keeping (*g*).

If the use will be beneficial to the pawn, or it is indifferent, it seems that the pawnee may use it, as in the case of dogs and books (*h*).

If the use will not cause injury, and yet the pawn will thereby be exposed to extraordinary perils, then the use is impliedly interdicted (*i*).

Loss of goods.

The law requires that the pawnee should use ordinary diligence in the case of the pawn (*k*). If the goods pawned are lost after tender, then the pawnee keeping them wrongfully must be answerable for them at all events (*l*); but in case of theft, if it be occasioned by negligence, the pawnee is responsible; if without negligence, he is discharged (*m*). The lien is gone if the pledgee lose or dispose of the article pledged (*n*).

Heirlooms.

Where a tenant for life of lands settled under a will had deposited as security for a loan certain articles which were claimed by the remainderman as heirlooms, inspection of the articles was ordered on motion (*o*).

Right to transfer pledge.

The pawnee may by the common law deliver over the pawn into the hands of a stranger for safe custody without consideration, or he may sell or assign all his interest in the pawn, or he may convey the same interest conditionally by way of pawn to another person, without in either case destroying or invalidating his security (*p*).

The pledgee may transfer his pledge to his own creditor, who may hold it until the debt of the original owner is discharged (*q*).

If the pawnor, in consequence of any default or conversion by the pawnee, has by an action recovered the value of the

(*g*) Jones on Bailments, 81. See *Bagshawe v. Goward*, or *Gauvin*, Cro. Jac. 147; *Noy*, 119; *Duncomb v. Reeve*, Cro. Eliz. 783. See Roll. Abr. 673, P. pl. 8; 9 Vin. Abr. Distr. P. pl. 8; Com. Dig. Distr. D. 6; *Chamberlayn's Case*, 1 Leon. 220; *Mores v. Conham*, Owen, 123, 124; Bac. Abr. Distr. D.

(*h*) Jones on Bailments, 81.

(*i*) Story on Bailments, s. 330; Jones on Bailment, 81; *Mores v. Conham*, Owen, 123; *Anon.*, 2 Salk. 522; *Coggs v. Bernard*, 2 Ld. Raym. 909, at pp. 916, 917.

(*k*) Story on Bailments, s. 332; *Coggs v. Bernard*, *sup.*; Jones on Bailment, 75, 83; *Vere v. Smith*, 1 Vent. 121.

(*l*) *Coggs v. Bernard*, 2 Ld. Raym. 909; *Southcote's Case*, 4 Rep. 83, b.

(*m*) Story on Bailments, s. 338.

(*n*) *Cooke v. Haddon*, 3 F. & F. 229.

(*o*) *Earl of Macclesfield v. Davis*, 3 V. & B. 16.

(*p*) *Ratcliff v. Davis*, Cro. Jac. 244; *Mores v. Conham*, Owen, 123.

(*q*) Story on Bailments, s. 327. See *Donald v. Suckling*, L. R. 1 Q. B. 588.

pawn, still the debt remains and is recoverable, unless in such prior action it has been deducted (*r*). It seems that by the common law the pawnee in such an action brought for the tort has a right to have the amount of his debt recouped in the damages (*s*). Therefore, where upon non-payment on a certain day the pledgee was empowered to sell, but sold before and delivered upon that day, although it was held to be a wrongful conversion, the interest of the pledgee in the property was considered not to have been destroyed; and as it appeared that the pledgor never intended to redeem, his right to damages was treated as only nominal, and as if he had sued on a breach of contract for not keeping the pledge till the day fixed (*t*). And again, where the pawnee had re-pledged for a larger sum than was due to him on the original pawn, it was held that the first pawnor could not bring detinue against the second pawnee without tendering the amount due to the first pawnee (*u*).

The mere refusal to re-deliver the pledge to the pawnor is, however, not a conversion. It is for the jury to say whether the holder intended to apply it to his own use, to assert the title of a third person, or only to ascertain the true ownership, and in the latter case whether a reasonable time had elapsed for that purpose (*x*).

If the pawnor be not the true owner of the chattel, and have no special property in it which he may assert against the true owner, the pawnee may deliver the chattel to the latter (*y*); being, however, answerable in damages, though they may be only nominal, if he have absolutely contracted to re-deliver it to the pawnor (*z*). Or if the pawnor held the chattel merely as a pledge from the true owner, the second pawnee may discharge himself by delivering it to his own pawnor at any time before an offer by the true owner to redeem (*a*).

Although a pledgee may not have a right to pledge to a third person with power of sale, the Court will not interfere to prevent a sale under the second pledge, if the pledgor, having notice of the second transaction, lies by and permits the second

(*r*) *Batchiff v. Davis*, Cro. Jac. 244; Bac. Abr. Bailment, B.

(*s*) *Johnstone v. Stear*, 15 C. B. N. S. 336; *Brierley v. Kendall*, 17 Q. B. 937.

(*t*) *Fish. Mtg.* 3rd ed. p. 18; 4th ed. p. 76; *Johnstone v. Stear*, *sup.*; *Donald v. Suckling*, L. R. 1 Q. B. 585; *Halliday v. Holgate*, L. R. 3 Ex. 299. See *Chinery v. Viall*, 5 H. & N. 288;

Brierley v. Kendall, 17 Q. B. 937; Story on Bailments, s. 315.

(*u*) *Donald v. Suckling*, L. R. 1 Q. B. 585.

(*x*) *Vaughan v. Watt*, 6 M. & W. 492.

(*y*) Story on Bailments, s. 340.

(*z*) *Per* Pollock, C. B., in *Cheeseman v. Ezell*, 6 Exch. 341.

(*a*) *Franklin v. Neate*, 13 M. & W. 481.

CHAP. LXXIII.

pledgee to consider the first pledgee to be the absolute owner, and in consequence to grant time for payment, and to defer the sale from time to time; more especially if the original pledgor has the benefit of the second advance (*b*).

Sale of
pledge.

The proper remedy of a pledgee is sale of the goods; he is not entitled to a decree for foreclosure (*c*).

The pledgee has on default a right to sell the pledge (*d*) if the payment is to be made on a certain day; otherwise not (*e*); but a sale before default would be a conversion (*f*); yet the sale, whether wrongful or not, passes the title to the vendee as against the pledgor (*g*). If no time is fixed for payment, there must be a previous demand (*h*).

The insertion, in a written memorandum accompanying a pledge, of an express power of sale will not convert the pledgee into a mortgagee (*i*).

The pledgee must give due notice to the pledgor of his intention to sell (*k*), and if the sale is *bonâ fide* and reasonably made it will be binding (*l*).

Pledge and
lien dis-
tinguished.

The case of pawns differs from that of a lien, which does not carry with it a right of sale (*m*).

If several things are pledged, the whole debt attaches on each, and they may be sold from time to time, and if the whole debt is not satisfied, the pledgor may be sued for the deficiency (*n*). If one thing perishes without any default, the residue is liable to be sold for the whole debt (*o*).

The pledgee is not as a general rule compellable to sell, and he may sue the pawnor personally without selling (*p*); the pledgee can never be himself the purchaser (*q*). The pledgor may, in a fit case, compel a sale of the pledge (*r*).

A pledgee of chattels, *e. g.*, Canada Railway bonds, is not

(*b*) *Nicholson v. Hooper*, 4 My. & Cr. 179.

(*c*) *Carter v. Wake*, 4 Ch. D. 605.

(*d*) *Martin v. Reid*, 11 C. B. N. S. 730.

(*e*) *Pigot v. Cubley*, 10 Jur. N. S. 318.

(*f*) *Johnstone v. Stear*, 15 C. B. N. S. 330.

(*g*) *Donald v. Suckling*, L. R. 1 Q. B. 585; *Halliday v. Holgate*, L. R. 3 Ex. 299.

(*h*) Story on Bailments, s. 308; *France v. Clark*, 22 Ch. D. 830, at p. 833.

(*i*) *Franklin v. Neate*, 13 M. & W. 48.

(*k*) Story on Bailments, s. 310; *Kemp v. Westbrook*, 1 Ves. Sen. 278.

(*l*) *Pothonier v. Dawson*, Holt, N. P.

385; *Tucker v. Wilson*, 1 P. Wms. 261; *Lockwood v. Ewer*, 2 Atk. 303.

(*m*) *Pothonier v. Dawson*, *sup.*; *Lickbarrow v. Mason*, 2 T. R. 63; *Walter v. Smith*, 5 B. & Ald. 439.

(*n*) Story on Bailments, s. 314, ed. 8; *South Sea Co. v. Duncomb*, 2 Stra. 919; *Tooke v. Hartley*, 2 Bro. C. C. 125.

(*o*) *Ratcliff v. Davis*, Cro. Jac. 244; Bac. Abr. Bailments, B.; *Anon.*, 2 Salk. 622.

(*p*) *South Sea Co. v. Duncomb*, 2 Stra. 919; Bac. Abr. Bailments, B.

(*q*) Story on Bailments, s. 319, ed. 8.

(*r*) *Ibid.* s. 320; *Kemp v. Westbrook*, 1 Ves. Sen. 278.

entitled to foreclosure, only to a sale (s). *Secus*, as to railway shares (t) where a transfer had been made (t). The pledgee never has the absolute ownership at law, and his equitable rights cannot exceed his legal right (s). CHAP. LXIII.

At the common law, the pledgee of goods cannot, before the period for redemption has expired, alienate the property absolutely, nor beyond the title actually possessed by him, unless in special cases (u). A pledgee of negotiable instruments, however, can sell at any time so as to confer a good title on a purchaser for value without notice (x). But, as between the pledgee and pledgor, it would seem that the pledgee of such instruments has no right, in the absence of a special power, to sell the pledge, but is bound to collect it, and apply the proceeds to his own debt; it is his duty to use all due diligence to collect such notes, and in default of this he will be liable (y).

Where goods are pledged and no time for redemption is named, it is said that the pledgor has his whole life to redeem them in, and the Statute of Limitations (z) does not bar the pledgor's right; but if not redeemed during his life, they are irredeemable (a); but the better opinion seems to be, that the pawnee has a right upon request to insist upon a prompt fulfilment of the engagement, and if the pawnor neglects or refuses to comply, the pawnee may, upon due demand and notice to the pawnor, require the pawn to be sold (b).

The mortgagee or pawnee of chattels, who sells either under a special or implied power, is bound to account for the proceeds, to pay over to the owner the surplus of the purchase-money beyond his demand and the necessary expenses and charges, and to return any unsold part of the security to the mortgagor; if he attempt to dispose of the money so as to prejudice any person entitled to receive it, he may be ordered to pay it into Court, and a receiver may be appointed of the proceeds of any part of the property which may remain unsold (c).

The re-delivery of the possession of the thing, with the con- Effect of re-delivery.

(s) *Carter v. Wake*, 4 Ch. D. 605.
(t) *General Credit, &c. Co. v. Glegg*, 22 Ch. D. 549.

(u) *Demanbray v. Metcalf*, 2 Vern. 691; *Hartopp v. Hoare*, 3 Atk. 44; *Pickering v. Busk*, 16 East, 38.

(x) *Miller v. Race*, 1 Burr. 452; *Grant v. Vaughan*, 3 Burr. 1516; *Wookey v. Pole*, 4 B. & Ald. 1, and cases cited *ante*, p. 1465.

(y) Story on Bailments, s. 321.

(z) 21 Jac. I. c. 16.

(a) *Ratcliff v. Davis*, 1 Bulstr. 29; *Kemp v. Westbrook*, 1 Ves. Sen. 278.

(b) Story on Bailments, s. 308.

(c) Story on Bailments, s. 343; *Wilson v. Tooker*, 5 Bro. P. C. 193. See Com. Dig. Mortgage, B.; *Harrison v. Hart*, 2 Eq. Ca. Abr. 6, pl. 15.

CHAP. LXIII.

sent of the pledgee, terminates his title (*d*); but if the thing is delivered back to the owner for a temporary purpose, and it is agreed to be re-delivered by him, the pledgee may recover it against the owner if he refuse to return it after the purpose is fulfilled (*e*); but a wrongful possession by the owner does not terminate the pledge (*f*), nor a delivery to the owner as a special bailee or agent (*f*). So, where a pledgee, who had by the contract an absolute right to sell, delivered the goods to the pledgor for purposes of sale, he did not thereby terminate the pledge as against the pledgor and his creditors (*g*).

Possession of
pledgor.

The possession of the pledgor himself may be deemed sufficient, if by the contract it be made the possession of the pledgee (*h*), while that of the pledgee will not be affected by reason that the pledgor has the use of the chattel, provided that it remain under the pledgee's control, and that the user be for the purpose of carrying out, or be consistent with, the contract (*i*).

Transferee
from pledgor.

Where the pledgee having a special property in the pledge is induced by the fraudulent representation of the pledgor to revest the property in him, a *bond fide* transferee from the latter is preferred (*k*). Where one of two innocent parties has enabled the third party to commit the fraud, he must suffer (*k*).

Distress and
execution.

In cases of executions against private persons, a creditor of the pawnor cannot take the pledge from the pawnee without first discharging the pawnee's claim, or otherwise extinguishing his title (*l*).

It is said that if A. gage goods to B., and afterwards A. is attainted of felony, the King shall not have the goods thus gaged without payment of the sum for which they were gaged, for his prerogative shall never prejudice another; and again, if the pawnor be *utlagatus*, the King shall not have the goods before the party be satisfied (*m*). But the right of the Crown is good against the pledgee as to duties for which the pledgor was responsible at the date of the pledge (*n*).

(*d*) *Ryall v. Rowles*, 1 Ves. Sen. 349.
See *Reeves v. Capper*, 5 Bing. N. C. 136.

(*e*) *Roberts v. Wyatt*, 2 Taunt. 268.

(*f*) Story on Bailments, s. 299.

(*g*) *North Western Bank v. Poynter*,
(1895) A. C. 56.

(*h*) *Reeves v. Capper*, 5 Bing. N. C.
136; *Martin v. Reid*, 11 C. B. N. S.
730; *Meyerstein v. Barber*, L. R. 2 C.
P. 38, at p. 52, per Willes, J., affd.
sub nom. *Barber v. Meyerstein*, L. R.

H. L. 317.

(*i*) *Crowfoot v. London Dock Co.*,
2 Cr. & M. 637.

(*k*) *Babcock v. Lawson*, 5 Q. B. D.
284.

(*l*) Story on Bailments, s. 353.

(*m*) *Nichols v. Nichols*, Flow. 477,
per Harper, J. See Vin. Abr. Pawn;
Waller v. Hanger, 3 Bulstr. 17.

(*n*) *Att.-Gen. v. Trueman*, 11 M. &
W. 694.

As to the right of distress or execution against the pawn in the hands of the pawnee for his own debt, the pawn is protected in the case of a professional pawnbroker, upon the principle generally applicable to goods intrusted to persons who carry on a public trade, and who manage and deal with goods in the way of their trade (*o*); as well as because the pawnee is bound to restore the pledge upon redemption, which appears to be a sufficient ground for protection in the case of a general pawn. The protection of the pledge from distress is probably of great antiquity (*p*).

As regards pledges generally, a right of lien upon goods is not a subject for seizure by the sheriff, not being saleable (*q*); nor can the sheriff seize goods subject to a lien (*r*).

But a pawnbroker's interest in a redeemable pledge may be seized under a *fi. fa.* (*s*); and, generally, money paid for the redemption of goods in pledge with the execution debtor, or, as it seems, money arising from the sale of pledges after the time for redemption has elapsed, will belong to the execution creditor (*t*).

SECTION II.

OF PLEDGES UNDER THE PAWNBROKERS ACT.

i.—General Effect of the Act.—The expression “pawnbroker” in the Pawnbrokers Act, 1872, is defined (*u*) to include every person who carries on the business of taking goods and chattels in pawn, and accordingly does not apply to loans made by private persons on the security of pledges. The expression also includes (*x*) the personal representatives of a deceased pawnbroker, but not so as to impose on them any personal liability under the Act except in respect of their own acts or neglects.

The Pawnbrokers Act applies to every loan by a pawnbroker of 40*s.* or under, or except as is otherwise provided in relation to cases of special contract under the Act (*y*), to every loan of

(*o*) *Swire v. Leach*, 18 C. B. N. S. 479. 34 Ch. D. 495.

(*p*) *Manwood*, 103, s. 14.

(*q*) *Legg v. Evans*, 6 M. & W. 36.

(*r*) *Rogers v. Kennay*, 11 Jur. 14.

(*s*) *Re Rollason, Rollason v. Rollason*,

(*t*) *Squire v. Husson*, 1 Q. B. 308.

See Com. Dig. tit. Execution, c. 4.

(*u*) 35 & 36 Vict. c. 93, s. 6.

(*x*) *Ibid.* s. 7.

(*y*) *Ibid.* s. 24.

Pawnbrokers Act, 1872.

SECTION III.

PLEDGES OF GOODS, ETC., BY FACTORS—THE FACTORS ACT,
1889.

What is a
factor.

i.—Definitions of various Expressions for the purposes of the Act.—A factor is an agent intrusted with the possession of goods for the purpose of sale; he is not the less a factor because his power contains restrictions; it does not matter whether he sell in his own name or in that of his principal (*m*).

Whether
factor may
pledge goods.

It was formerly held that though a *factor* might make a *bond fide sale* of the goods intrusted to his charge, yet he could not *pledge* them; or at least, if he did, the mortgagee would hold subject to the like claims as when the goods were in the factor's possession; although the mortgage was made without notice of the fact (*n*). He could not pledge them either by an actual deposit of such property with the pawnee, or by placing in his hands the bill of lading as the symbol of such property, or a warrant or order for the delivery of the goods (*o*). He could not pledge them either for his own debt or for advances made to himself, or for advances made on account of the goods pledged for duties, or for other purposes connected with the sale thereof (*o*).

Factors Act,
1889.

The law on this subject has been materially altered by several Factors Acts (*p*), which have been consolidated and amended by the Factors Act, 1889 (*q*), which repeals all the previous statutes relating to dealings by factors.

Definition of
"mercantile
agent."

Except as part of the title of this Act, the expression "factor" is not used or defined. The Act substitutes the expression "mercantile agent" for "agent intrusted" used in the former Acts (*r*), and relates only to dealings with mercantile agents, who are thus defined for the purposes of the Act by sect. 1, sub-sect. (1) thereof:—

"The expression 'mercantile agent' shall mean a mercantile

(*m*) *Stevens v. Biller*, 25 Ch. D. 31, C. A.

(*n*) *Paterson v. Task*, 2 Stra. 1178; *Daubigny v. Duval*, 5 T. R. 604; *Newson v. Thornton*, 6 East, 17; *De Bouchout v. Goldsmid*, 5 Ves. 211; *Queiroz v. Trueman*, 3 B. & Cr. 342; *McComb v. Davies*, 7 East, 5; *Kuckein v. Wilson*, 4 B. & Ald. 443; *Martini v. Coles*, 1 M. & S. 140; *Graham v. Dyster*, 6 M. &

S. 1.

(*o*) Russell, *Mercantile Agents*, 2nd ed. p. 99.

(*p*) 4 Geo. 4, c. 83; 6 Geo. 4, c. 94; 5 & 6 Vict. c. 39; and 40 & 41 Vict. c. 39.

(*q*) 52 & 53 Vict. c. 45.

(*r*) See *Cole v. North Western Bank*, L. R. 10 C. P. at p. 368.

agent having in the customary course of his business as such agent authority to sell goods, or to consign goods for the purpose of sale, or to buy goods, or to raise money on the security of goods."

CHAP. LXIII.

Under the former Acts, an agent could not, by virtue of their provisions, effectually pledge goods intrusted to him, unless he was a factor, *i.e.*, an agent for purposes of sale; but the definition in the present Act is extended so as to include also agents having, in the ordinary course of business, authority to buy goods, or to raise money on the security of goods.

The former Acts did not apply if the pledgor had been intrusted with the bill of lading or other document, by one who was not the true proprietor, or was not intrusted in the character of agent, and the *prima facie* evidence under the Acts from the possession of the document by the pledgor was liable to be rebutted (s).

Factors Acts apply only to "agents."

The definition given by the present Act, no less than those given by former Acts, includes only "agents." The definition, therefore, applies only to persons of the class ordinarily carrying on the business of mercantile agents, and not to mere servants (t), or persons who have possession of goods for purposes of safe custody, carriage, or other special purpose, where the relation of principal and agent does not arise out of the contract, as wharfingers, warehousemen, carriers or other bailees (u), or persons intrusted with goods for retail sale of goods on commission (x), or a mortgagor of stock-in-trade allowed to retain possession thereof for the purpose of carrying on his business (y).

Who is an "agent" for the purposes of the Act.

An isolated employment of a person as agent constitutes him a "mercantile agent" within the Act (z).

Sect. 1 of the Act of 1889 contains also the following definitions for the purposes of the Act:—

Other definitions.

"(2.) A person shall be deemed to be in possession of goods or of the documents of title to goods, where the goods or documents are in his actual custody or are held by any other person subject to his control or for him or on his behalf:

"Possession."

"(3.) The expression 'goods' shall include wares and merchandise:

"Goods."

"(4.) The expression 'document of title' shall include any bill

"Document of title."

(s) See *Van Casteel v. Booker*, 18 L. J. Ex. 14, per Parke, B. And see *Jenkyns v. Osborne*, 7 Man. & Gr. 678; *Johnson v. Crédit Lyonnais*, 3 C. P. D. 82.

(t) *Lamb v. Attenborough*, 1 B. & S. 831.

(u) *Monk v. Whittenbury*, 2 B. & Ad. 484.

(x) *Hastings v. Pearson*, (1893) 1 Q. B. 62.

(y) *Joseph v. Webb*, 1 C. & E. 262.

(z) *Hayman v. Flewker*, 13 C. B. N. S. 519; *Bains v. Swainson*, 4 B. & S. 270; *Cole v. North Western Bank*, L. R. 10 C. P. 354; *Tremouille v. Christie*, 69 L. T. 338.

| | |
|--------------|---|
| CHAP. LXIII. | of lading, dock warrant, warehouse-keeper's certificate, and warrant or order for the delivery of goods, and any other document used in the ordinary course of business as proof of the possession or control of goods, or authorizing or purporting to authorize, either by indorsement or by delivery, the possessor of the document to transfer or receive goods thereby represented : |
| "Pledge." | "(5.) The expression 'pledge' shall include any contract pledging, or giving a lien or security on, goods, whether in consideration of an original advance or of any further or continuing advance or of any pecuniary liability : |
| "Person." | "(6.) The expression 'person' shall include any body of persons corporate or unincorporate." |

Definitions
considered.

Sub-sect. (2) of the above section re-enacts the corresponding provision of sect. 4 of the Factors Act, 1842 (*a*), under which it was held that, where a factor has pledged goods for a debt which does not exhaust the whole value of the goods, they are still in his control to the extent to which they are not exhausted by that pledge, so as to enable him to pledge them again for the balance (*b*).

The term "goods" in this Act as thereby defined is restricted to goods dealt with in mercantile transactions (*c*).

So, furniture in a private house is not "goods" as defined for the purposes of this Act (*d*).

The definition of "documents of title" in the present Act is similar to that contained in sect. 4 of the Act of 1842. Stock and shares not being "goods" within the meaning of the Act, stock and share certificates are not documents of title within this definition (*e*).

Independently of the Act, it has been held that delivery orders are (*f*), but that wharfingers' certificates are not (*g*), documents of title to goods, but, having regard to the general words of definition in the present Act, the question whether or not a wharfinger's certificate is within them must depend on the form of the particular certificate in each case.

A valid pledge under this Act may be made either for an actual present advance, or as a security for an antecedent debt, but the rights of the pledgee will be different in the two cases (*h*).

(*a*) 5 & 6 Vict. c. 39.

(*b*) *Portalis v. Telley*, L. R. 5 Eq. 140.

(*c*) *Wood v. Roucliffe*, 6 Ha. 183.

(*d*) *Ibid.* at p. 191.

(*e*) *Freeman v. Appleyard*, 32 L. J. Ex. 175.

(*f*) *Merchant Banking Co. of London v. Phoenix Bessemer Steel Co.*, 5 Ch. D. 205.

(*g*) *Gunn v. Bolckow, Vaughan & Co.*, L. R. 10 Ch. A. 491.

(*h*) 52 & 53 Vict. c. 45. ss. 2, 4.

It is to be observed that the definition of "pledge" includes not only pledges in the strict sense of the word, but also securities which, in cases not falling within the Act, would be deemed to be equitable assignments and operate accordingly. As where a factor, having pledged goods by deposit of the bill of lading for less than their full value, subsequently made a further pledge to another person by an order in writing communicated to and assented to by the first pledgee (i).

CHAP. LXIII.

ii.—Statutory Rights, Powers, and Liabilities of "Mercantile Agents."—By sect. 2 of the Act of 1889, it is enacted as follows:—

Sect. 2.—“(1.) Where a mercantile agent is, with the consent of the owner, in possession of goods or of the documents of title to goods, any sale, pledge, or other disposition of the goods, made by him when acting in the ordinary course of business of a mercantile agent, shall, subject to the provisions of this Act, be as valid as if he were expressly authorized by the owner of the goods to make the same; provided that the person taking under the disposition acts in good faith, and has not at the time of the disposition notice that the person making the disposition has not authority to make the same.

Powers of
mercantile
agent with
respect to
disposition of
goods.

“(2.) Where a mercantile agent has, with the consent of the owner, been in possession of goods or of the documents of title to goods, any sale, pledge, or other disposition, which would have been valid if the consent had continued, shall be valid notwithstanding the determination of the consent: provided that the person taking under the disposition has not at the time thereof notice that the consent has been determined.

“(3.) Where a mercantile agent has obtained possession of any documents of title to goods by reason of his being or having been, with the consent of the owner, in possession of the goods represented thereby, or of any other documents of title to the goods, his possession of the first-mentioned documents shall, for the purposes of this Act, be deemed to be with the consent of the owner.

“(4.) For the purposes of this Act the consent of the owner shall be presumed in the absence of evidence to the contrary.”

The consent of the owner under this section means a consent to possession by the agent for any purpose, and a pledgee will obtain the protection of the Act, though the consent was given for a particular purpose and the agent pledges the goods for another purpose, provided that the pledge for such other purpose was made in the ordinary course of business, unless the pledgee knew that the agent had not authority to make the

What
amounts to
consent of
owner.

(i) *Portais v. Tetley*, L. R. 5 Eq. 140.

CHAP. XXIII.

contract, or that he was acting *mala fide* (*k*). If the symbol of property is intrusted to the factor, the owner has no relief against a *bona fide* purchaser or mortgagee (*l*). To deprive the pledgee of the benefit of the Act there must be *mala fides*, or notice of want of authority in the factor, and the question of *mala fides* is for the jury (*m*).

Pledge must
be in ordinary
course of
business.

The pledge must be made by a mercantile agent when acting in the ordinary course of business as such. Under the statute 6 Geo. IV. c. 94 it was held that a wharfinger, who received flour in that capacity, though he was in the habit of doing business as a flour factor, was not within the Act (*n*).

Provided this condition is fulfilled, a valid pledge may be given to secure an advance made where there was only a possible liability, which had not then resulted, and might never result in a debt (*o*), or if an advance is made to meet a possible liability of the broker, as where he has bought goods for, and may be liable to pay for them as surety in default of, the factor (*p*).

But the protection of the Act does not extend to a pledge given to cover the general balance due by the factor to a broker or to a carrier or warehouseman (*q*), nor to the case of an undisclosed foreign principal claiming the goods unsold in the hands of the broker (*r*), or the insurance moneys which represent the goods insured for the benefit of all parties whom it might concern (*s*).

But where the goods have been sold, the balance of the proceeds in the hands of the broker cannot be recovered by the undisclosed foreign principal, as there is no privity between them (*t*), and such foreign principal can only claim as standing in the place of his agent, and so will be subject to a set-off of the general balance due by the agent to the broker (*t*).

Where goods are consigned for sale on account of the con-

(*k*) *Kingsford v. Merry*, 1 H. & N. 503; *Higsons v. Barton*, 26 L. J. Ex. 342; *Sheppard v. Union Bank of London*, 7 H. & N. 661; *Baines v. Swainson*, 4 B. & S. 270.

(*l*) *Vickers v. Hertz*, L. R. 2 H. L. Sc. 113.

(*m*) *Gobind v. The Administrator-General of Bengal*, 15 Moo. P. C. 230; *Douglas v. Ewing*, 6 Ir. Com. L. R. 395.

(*n*) *Monk v. Whittlebury*, 2 B. & Ad. 484. See *Cole v. North Western Bank*, L. R. 10 C. P. 354; *Hastings v. Pearson*, (1893) 1 Q. B. 52; *Biggs v. Evans*,

(1894) 1 Q. B. 88.

(*o*) *Jewan v. Whitworth*, L. R. 2 Eq. 692.

(*p*) *Kaltenbach v. Lewis*, 24 Ch. D. 54, C. A.

(*q*) *Louchart v. Cooper*, 3 Bing. N. C. 99.

(*r*) *Kaltenbach v. Lewis*, *sup.* at pp. 81, 82.

(*s*) *Mildred v. Maspons*, 8 App. Cas. 874.

(*t*) *New Zealand, &c. Land Co. v. Watson* 7 Q. B. D. 374, C. A.

signor, a *bonâ fide* pledge by the consignee is within the Act (u), though the pledgee had notice that the consignment was for sale: to avoid such a pledge there must be knowledge that the agent was prohibited from pledging (u). CHAP. LXIII.

In order to obtain the protection of the Act, the pledgee must take the security in good faith, and must not have notice that the giving of the security was in excess of the authority of the pledgor as agent. The notice need not be by direct communication, but may be by any circumstances which would induce a reasonable man to infer that the pledge was improper (x). The House of Lords in one case declined to lay down the rule that knowledge, however acquired, of want of authority would necessarily be equivalent to notice, so as to deprive a pledgee of his lien (y). It would seem, however, that their lordships meant by knowledge, information which turns out to be correct.

In another case (z) it was said that the equitable doctrine of constructive notice will not be strictly applied to honest mercantile transactions.

Where a factor, to whom goods had been consigned by the plaintiff, obtained from the defendant, with whom he was jointly liable on a bill of exchange, a sum of 300*l.* on the security of the goods, for the purpose of taking up the bill, the Court held that the transaction did not come within the protection of the Act (a).

The delay of the owner in giving notice to the pledgee that the goods were his did not give the pledgee any greater right than the statute gave him, unless the pledgee's position was thereby altered (b).

The factor may now pledge the goods or documents of title with which he is intrusted for advances to himself, or to a third person on his account (c).

Where the factor has pledged the goods of the owner, together with securities of his own, for his own debt, the owner is entitled to have the securities marshalled for his benefit (d).

(u) *Navulshaw v. Brownrigg*, 2 G. De M. & G. 441.

(x) *Evans v. Truman*, 2 B. & Ad. 886.

(y) *Mildred v. Maspons*, 8 App. Cas. 874.

(z) *Kallenbach v. Lewis*, 24 Ch. D. 64, at p. 78, C. A. See also *Manchester Trust v. Furness*, (1895) 2 Q. B. 539, at p. 545, C. A.

(a) *Learoyd v. Robinson*, 12 M. & W. 745.

(b) *Robertson v. Kensington*, 5 Man. & Ry. 381.

(c) *Sheppard v. Union Bank of London*, 7 H. & N. 661; 8 Jur. N. S. 265.

(d) *Exp. Alston, Re Holland*, L. R. 4 Ch. A. 168; *Exp. Salting, Re Stratton*, 25 Ch. D. 148, C. A.

Notice that
pledge is
ultra vires.

CHAP. LXIII.

By sect. 3 it is enacted that—

Pledge of documents of title.

“A pledge of the documents of title to goods shall be deemed to be a pledge of the goods.”

The term documents of title, as defined for the purposes of the Act, has been already considered (*e*).

Pledges to secure antecedent debts are regulated by sect. 4 of the Act, which enacts as follows:—

Pledge for antecedent debt.

“Where a mercantile agent pledges goods as security for a debt or liability due from the pledgor to the pledgee before the time of the pledge, the pledgee shall acquire no further right to the goods than could have been enforced by the pledgor at the time of the pledge.”

An acceptance not due is an antecedent debt (*f*); so also is a loss on a resale (*g*).

A pledge for an antecedent debt will thus transfer to the pledgee such rights, but no more, as were enforceable by the pledgor against the principal; such are an agent's lien on goods consigned to him for acceptances in respect of bills drawn on him by his principal against the goods (*h*), or advances to his principal on the credit of the goods (*i*); also the lien of an agent for the amount for which he is liable as surety for his principal (*k*). In such cases, however, the pledgee runs the risk of loss of the lien by reason of the discharge behind his back of the principal's obligations (*l*).

Moreover, a factor has a lien on goods consigned for sale and the proceeds thereof for his general balance on the goods (*m*), or on a current account between him and his principal (*n*), and has a specific lien on goods bought for the purchase-money (*o*), and for freight paid in respect thereof (*p*). Any such lien will pass to the pledgee under this section. The lien of a factor for his general balance only attaches on goods which come into his hands as factor (*q*).

(*e*) *Sup.* p. 1478.

(*f*) *Macnee v. Gorst*, L. R. 4 Eq. 315; *Learoyd v. Robinson*, 12 M. & W. 745.

(*g*) *Macnee v. Gorst*, *sup.*

(*h*) *Hammonds v. Barclay*, 2 East, 227.

(*i*) *Pulteney v. Keymer*, 3 Esp. 182.

(*k*) *Drinkwater v. Goodwin*, 1 Cowp. 251.

(*l*) *Fletcher v. Heath*, 7 B. & Cr. 517.

(*m*) *Godin v. Lond. Ass. Co.*, 1 Burr. 490; *Baring v. Currie*, 2 B. & Ald. 137;

Drinkwater v. Goodwin, Cowp. 251; *Kinlock v. Craig*, 3 T. R. 119, 783; 4 Bro. P. C. 47; *Hammonds v. Barclay*, 2 East, 227. See *Robson v. Kemp*, 4 Esp. 236; *Hudson v. Granger*, 5 B. & Ald. 27. See also *Turner v. Thomas*, L. R. 6 C. P. 613.

(*n*) *Kruger v. Wilcox*, Amb. 254; *Paul v. Birch*, 2 Atk. 622.

(*o*) *Exp. Emery*, 2 Ves. Sen. 674.

(*p*) *Exp. Good*, 3 M. & A. 246.

(*q*) *Dixon v. Stansfeld*, 10 C. B. 398.

An agent who is intrusted with goods for the purposes of sale, does not lose his character of factor, or the right of lien attached to it, by reason of his acting under special instructions as to the mode of sale (r). CHAP. LXIII.

Sect. 5 enacts as follows:—

“The consideration necessary for the validity of a sale, pledge, or other disposition, of goods, in pursuance of this Act, may be either a payment in cash, or the delivery or transfer of other goods, or of a document of title to goods, or of a negotiable security, or any other valuable consideration; but where goods are pledged by a mercantile agent in consideration of the delivery or transfer of other goods, or of a document of title to goods, or of a negotiable security, the pledgee shall acquire no right or interest in the goods so pledged in excess of the value of the goods, documents, or security when so delivered or transferred in exchange.” Rights acquired by exchange of goods or documents.

This section in effect re-enacts sect. 2 of the Factors Act, 1842. As to exchanges of goods and documents of title as consideration for a pledge, see *Sheppard v. Union Bank of London* (s).

Sect. 6. “For the purposes of this Act an agreement made with a mercantile agent through a clerk or other person authorized in the ordinary course of business to make contracts of sale or pledge on his behalf shall be deemed to be an agreement with the agent.” Agreements through clerks, &c.

Section 7 of the Act gives a lien to consignees of goods in cases where the consignor is merely the bailee of the owner and not a mercantile agent. Consignors and consignees.

Sections 8 and 9 relate to dispositions by sellers and buyers of goods generally, and are not confined to dealings by mercantile agents within the meaning of the Factors Act (t). These enactments have not been repealed, but they have been incorporated in almost identical terms in the Sale of Goods Act, 1893 (u), and have already been considered (x). Sellers and buyers of goods.

By sect. 10 of the Act of 1889 it is enacted as follows:—

“Where a document of title to goods has been lawfully transferred to a person as a buyer or owner of the goods, and that person transfers the document to a person who takes the document in good faith and for valuable consideration, the last-mentioned transfer shall have the same effect for defeating any vendor's lien or right of stoppage in transitu as the transfer of a bill of lading has for defeating the right of stoppage in transitu.” Effect of transfer of documents on vendor's lien or right of stoppage in transitu.

The expressions “any vendor's lien” and “right of stoppage

(r) *Stevens v. Biller*, 25 Ch. D. 31, C. A.
(s) 7 H. & N. 661.

(t) *Per Bruce, J.*, in *Shenstone & Co. v. Hilton*, (1894) 2 Q. B. 452, at p. 456.
(u) 56 & 57 Vict. c. 71, s. 25.
(x) See *ante*, p. 1463.

CHAP. LXIII.

in transitu” do not mean the same thing; the former signifies the right of an unpaid vendor to retain the goods if they are still in his custody; the latter means the right to retake the goods if they have left his custody (*y*).

An indorsement of a bill of lading by way of pledge is only an indorsement and transfer for a particular and limited purpose, and the right of stoppage *in transitu* will not be absolutely defeated, but will remain in force subject to a charge in favour of the indorsee of the bill of lading, and on the charge being satisfied the right of stoppage *in transitu* will again become absolute (*z*).

The Factors Act, 1889, contains the following supplemental provisions:—

Mode of
transferring
documents.

Sect. 11. “For the purposes of this Act, the transfer of a document may be by indorsement, or, where the document is by custom or by its express terms transferable by delivery, or makes the goods deliverable to the bearer, then by delivery.”

Saving for
rights of
true owner.

Sect. 12.—“(1.) Nothing in this Act shall authorize an agent to exceed or depart from his authority as between himself and his principal, or exempt him from any liability, civil or criminal, for so doing.

“(2.) Nothing in this Act shall prevent the owner of goods from recovering the goods from an agent or his trustee in bankruptcy at any time before the sale or pledge thereof, or shall prevent the owner of goods pledged by an agent from having the right to redeem the goods at any time before the sale thereof, on satisfying the claim for which the goods were pledged, and paying to the agent, if by him required, any money in respect of which the agent would, by law, be entitled to retain the goods or the documents of title thereto, or any of them, by way of lien as against the owner, or from recovering from any person with whom the goods have been pledged any balance of money remaining in his hands as the produce of the sale of the goods after deducting the amount of his lien.

“(3.) Nothing in this Act shall prevent the owner of goods sold by an agent from recovering from the buyer the price agreed to be paid for the same, or any part of that price, subject to any right of set off on the part of the buyer against the agent.”

Saving for
common law
powers of
agent.

Sect. 13. “The provisions of this Act shall be construed in amplification and not in derogation of the powers exercisable by an agent independently of this Act.”

Proof in
bankruptcy.

On the bankruptcy of an agent intrusted with goods the owner might, before the passing of the Act of 1889, have proved for the amount paid by him to redeem, as for money paid for

(*y*) See “The Factors Act, 1889,” by Neish & Carter, p. 47. See, as to “Stoppage *in Transitu*,” *Lickbarrow v.*

Mason, 1 Sm. L. C. 737, and notes thereto.

(*z*) *Kemp v. Falk*, 7 App. Cas. 577.

the use of such agent before his bankruptcy, or for the value of the goods if the same were unredeemed (a). CHAP. LXIII.

iii.—Powers, &c. of Factors independently of Statute.—From the mere relation of principal and factor, and independently of the above Acts, the factor derives authority to sell at such times and at such prices as he may, in the exercise of his discretion, think best for his employer; but if he receives the goods subject to any special instructions, he is bound by them, and the authority, whether general or special, is revocable. When, indeed, the factor has advanced money on the goods consigned to him for sale, the authority to sell is, it seems, irrevocable, because coupled with an interest; but still, in that case, on failure of the principal to repay such advances within a reasonable time after demand, the factor cannot sell at any time he pleases, without regard to the interest of the principal and the nature of the authority originally given (b). And he cannot sell the goods, though in the exercise of a sound discretion, contrary to the principal's orders, for the purpose of reimbursing himself for advances made to the principal, independently of and after the consignment. There is not in such a case an irrevocable authority coupled with an interest, inasmuch as such an authority only exists where the authority is given for the purpose of being a part of the security, although such subsequent advances might be a good consideration for an agreement that the original revocable authority to sell should become irrevocable (c). And accordingly an authority given to the factor, in consideration of former advances, to sell at the best market price, and repay himself (the former authority having a limit as to price), was held to be revocable (d).

Authority of
factor in-
dependently
of statute.

The authority of the factor may be revoked notwithstanding advances by the factor, unless such advances are accompanied by and made the consideration for an agreement that the authority shall not be revocable (e). Where the authority to the factor was revoked, the earlier Acts did not apply (f); but now the revocation of the authority does not prejudice the rights of *bond fide* purchasers without notice (g).

(a) 5 & 6 Vict. c. 39, s. 7.

(b) *Smart v. Sandars*, 3 C. B. 380.

(c) *Smart v. Sandars*, *sup.*

(d) *Raleigh v. Atkinson*, 6 M. & W. 670.

(e) *De Comas v. Prost*, 3 Moo. P. C.

N. S. 158.

(f) *Fuentes v. Montis*, L. R. 4 C. P. 93.

(g) 52 & 53 Vict. c. 45, s. 2, sub-s. (6), *ante*, p. 1479, re-enacting 40 & 41

Vict. c. 39, s. 2.

CHAP. LXIII.

A purchaser from a factor, not knowing that the latter is selling as agent, may, in an action for the price by the real owner, set off a debt due to him by the factor (*h*), although the factor is acting contrary to his instructions (*i*); and an allegation that the purchaser had the means of knowledge is not sufficient (*k*); but where the purchaser knows the factor to have a principal, he cannot set off the debt due to him by the factor, whether the undisclosed principal carries on business in England or not (*l*), or abroad (*m*).

This set-off is not allowed in an action for damages for not accepting the goods (*n*); nor where the factor has become bankrupt and it was proposed to set off mutual credits (*o*), unless the claim by the bankrupt's trustee was liquidated (*p*).

Instruments and securities, which form part of the currency of this country, such as bills of exchange, exchequer bills, &c., being negotiable, can, of course, be effectually pledged by an agent intrusted with them, or by any other person in whose hands they may be, in fraud of the true owner (*q*), and the same will apply to exchequer bills payable to bearer (*r*); or bonds payable to bearer (*s*).

The principal is protected against the sale, negotiation, transfer, or pledge by a broker or other agent of such instrument, in violation of good faith, and contrary to the object for which the same was intrusted to him (*t*).

In the case of foreign securities it rests with the defendant to prove by evidence that they are negotiable by the course of trade here, or by the custom of the country whence they come (*u*). And the Court cannot take cognizance of their character when brought before them for the first time (*x*).

(*h*) *George v. Clagett*, 7 T. R. 359; *Carr v. Hinchliffe*, 4 B. & Cr. 647; *Fish v. Kempton*, 7 C. B. 687; *Semenza v. Brinsley*, 18 C. B. N. S. 472; *Dunn v. Norwood*, 14 C. B. N. S. 574.

(*i*) *Exp. Dixon*, 4 Ch. D. 133, C. A.

(*k*) *Borries v. Imperial Ottoman Bank*, L. R. 9 C. P. 38.

(*l*) *Fish v. Kempton*, 7 C. B. 687; *Exp. Dixon*, *sup.*

(*m*) *Lanyon v. Blanchard*, 2 Camp. 596; *Maanse v. Henderson*, 1 East, 335.

(*n*) *Turner v. Thomas*, 6 East, 610.

(*o*) *Turner v. Thomas*, *sup.*

(*p*) *Thornton v. Maynard*, 10 East, 700.

(*q*) *Treuttel v. Barandon*, 8 Taunt. 100; *Sigourney v. Lloyd*, 8 B. & Cr. 622; *Lloyd v. Sigourney*, 5 Bing. 525; *Goodman v. Harvey*, 4 A. & E. 870.

(*r*) *Wookey v. Pole*, 4 B. & Ald. 1; *Brandao v. Barnett*, 2 Sc. N. R. 96, 112.

(*s*) *Gorgier v. Mievill*, 3 B. & Cr. 45.

(*t*) 24 & 25 Vict. c. 96, ss. 75, 76. And see *Reg. v. Tatlock*, 2 Q. B. D. 157; and *Reg. v. Cooper*, L. R. 2 C. C. R. 123; *Reg. v. Christian*, L. R. 2 C. C. R. 94.

(*u*) *Lang v. Smyth*, 7 Bing. 284; *Glyn v. Baker*, 13 East, 509; *Gorgier v. Mievill*, 3 B. & Cr. 45.

(*x*) *Lang v. Smyth*, *sup.*

CHAPTER LXIV.

OF HYPOTHECATION BY WAY OF EQUITABLE ASSIGNMENT.

SECTION I.

OF EQUITABLE ASSIGNMENT OF DEBTS AND FUNDS.

i.—Nature and Operation of Equitable Assignments of Debts or Funds.—An equitable assignment may be defined as an appropriation, for the payment of a debt, of a chose in action or fund of the debtor in the hands of a third person, and may be effected either by agreement between the creditor and the debtor that the debt shall be paid out of specific property belonging to the debtor but not in his possession (a), or by an order upon the holder of the specific property to pay the creditor out of such property (b).

Definition of equitable assignment.

With regard to the first class of cases, where an equitable assignment of a debt or fund is made by direct agreement between the creditor and his debtor, it is not essential to the validity of such assignment, as between assignor and assignee, that notice should be given to the party by whom the fund is held; and it is immaterial, in this respect, whether the assignment be for valuable consideration (c) or not (d).

Notice not necessary to validity of assignment as between assignor and assignee;

Nor is notice necessary as between persons claiming under the assignor, such as a person claiming under a subsequent assignment made otherwise than for a valuable consideration (e), a creditor who has obtained a garnishee order (f), or a judgment

nor as between persons claiming under assignor and assignee.

(a) *Rodick v. Gandell*, 1 De G. M. & G. 763, 776.

(b) *Burn v. Carvalho*, 4 My. & Cr. 690, at p. 702, and other cases cited *inf.*

(c) *Burn v. Carvalho*, *sup.*; *Dufaur v. Professional Life Assurance Co.*, 25 Beav. 699; *Rodick v. Gandell*, *sup.*; *Re Lowe's Settlement*, 30 Beav. 96.

(d) *Donaldson v. Donaldson*, Kay, 711; *Roberts v. Lloyd*, 2 Beav. 376; *Re Way's Trusts*, 2 De G. J. & S. 365.

(e) *Justice v. Wynne*, 12 Ir. Ch. R. 289.

(f) *Robinson v. Nesbitt*, L. R. 3 C. P. 264 (overruling *Watts v. Porter*, 3 E. & B. 743). See *Pickering v. Ilfracombe Rail. Co.*, L. R. 3 C. P. 235.

CHAP. LXIV.

Notice necessary to bind holder of fund.

Assignee bound by equities.

Holder after notice must pay fund to assignee.

Holder of fund abroad.

Priority by notice.

creditor who has obtained a charging order (*g*) of the one part, and a creditor assignee of the other part.

It is, however, necessary for the safety of the assignee that he should give notice of an equitable assignment to the holder of the fund (*h*) so as to bind him and prevent him from paying it over to the assignor, or to other persons claiming under him by a title which, though subsequent in date, may, if acquired for value, enable such persons to acquire precedence by priority of notice; and also in order to prevent the risk of the fund being claimed by the assignor's trustee in bankruptcy.

It is well settled that an equitable assignee, who has not given notice, will be bound by any equities between the assignor and the holder of the fund, so as to be obliged to allow any payments made by the holder of the fund to the assignor subsequently to the assignment (*i*).

A debtor, trustee, or other holder of a fund who has received notice of an equitable assignment cannot refuse to pay the money to the assignee (*k*); and indemnity cannot be demanded by the debtor or fund holder before payment (*l*). The contingency of the debt does not vary the principle (*m*); nor can a subsequent compromise by the assignor alter the right (*l*).

In the absence of and until notice, the fund holder would be justified and safe in paying away the fund to the assignor or persons claiming under him who appear to be the true owners thereof (*n*).

The assignment is valid although the order was addressed by the firm to whom the goods belonged to a partner of the firm who is abroad (*o*). But the holder of a fund, even though he has received notice of its assignment, is not bound to pay it over to the assignee, if the assignee's title is not complete by the law of the *locus rei sitæ* (*p*).

Another risk to which an equitable assignee subjects himself

(*g*) *Beavan v. Lord Oxford*, 6 De G. M. & G. 492; *Kinderley v. Jervis*, 22 Beav. 1; *Eyre v. M'Dowell*, 9 H. L. C. 619, 742; *Scott v. Lord Hastings*, 4 K. & J. 633.

(*h*) *Re King, Sewill v. King*, 14 Ch. D. 179.

(*i*) *Norrish v. Marshall*, 5 Madd. 475; *Stocks v. Dobson*, 4 De G. M. & G. 11; *Cothey v. Sydenham*, 2 Bro. C. C. 391; *Ord v. White*, 2 Beav. 357; *Leslie v. Baillie*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 91.

(*k*) *Jones v. Farrell*, 1 De G. & J. 208; *Hutchinson v. Heyworth*, 9 A. & E. 375.

(*l*) *Jones v. Farrell*, *sup.*

(*m*) *Bodick v. Gandell*, 1 De G. M. & G. 763.

(*n*) *Ward v. Duncombe*, (1893) A. C. 369, 392.

(*o*) *Rayner v. Harford*, 4 Jur. N. S. 703.

(*p*) *Sichel v. Raphael*, 10 Jur. N. S. 1165. But see *Exp. Holthausen*, L. R. 9 Ch. A. 722.

by omitting to give notice to the holder of the fund is that some subsequent assignee for value, not having notice of the previous assignment, may, by giving notice of the assignment to him, acquire priority over the original assignee (g).

An agreement by a debtor with his creditor for payment of his debt out of funds in the hands of a third person, if communicated to such third person, will prevail against a subsequent bankruptcy though intervening while the letter or memorandum containing notice of the agreement is being duly forwarded, and on its way to the holder of the fund (r). So also an order on the fund holder to pay the creditor out of the fund, being *ipso facto* notice of the equitable assignment, will oust the claim of the debtor's trustee in bankruptcy (s).

Assignment after notice prevails over assignor's trustee in bankruptcy.

The extinguishment of the original debt due from the assignor to the assignee seems to have been in an earlier case considered necessary at law as a consideration to support the agreement (t). But in *Walker v. Rostron* (u), where the order was by way of additional security, it was held that no further consideration was necessary beyond the existence of the debt due from the assignor to the assignee, as in the case of any other collateral security. And, where there is an agreement between the creditor and his debtor and the debtor paravails, the original debtor will not thereby be released, unless the agreement is expressly to that effect (x).

Extinguishment of debt not necessary.

The assignee of a debt could not before the Judicature Act, 1873 (y), have sued for it in equity, unless the assignor had refused to allow him to use his name, or did or intended to do some act to prevent the assignee from recovering it at law (z). This Act empowers an assignee to sue in his own name in cases of "absolute assignment not purporting to be by way of charge only"; but though a conveyance by way of mortgage has been held to be within this enactment, a distinction has been drawn

Action by assignee.

(g) *Dearle v. Hall*, 3 Russ. 1. The risks attending the omission to give notice of an equitable assignment of a debt or fund are more fully considered, with reference to mortgages, *ante*, pp. 303 *et seq.*

(r) *Row v. Dawson*, 1 Ves. Sen. 331; *Exp. South, Re Row*, 3 Swanst. 392; *Collyer v. Fallon*, T. & R. 459; *Burn v. Carvalho*, 4 My. & Cr. 690.

(s) *Miln v. Walton*, 2 Y. & C. C. C. 354. See also *Belcher v. Bellamy*, 2 Exch. 303; *Exp. Bell*, 17 L. J. Bk.

9; *Boyd v. Mangles*, 18 L. J. Ex. 273; *Dickinson v. Marrow*, 14 M. & W. 713; *Exp. Flower*, 4 D. & C. 449; *Crowfoot v. Gurney*, 2 Moo. & Sc. 473; *Exp. Steward*, 3 M. D. & De G. 265.

(t) *Wharton v. Walker*, 4 B. & Cr. 163. See *Liversidge v. Broadbent*, 28 L. J. Ex. 332.

(u) 9 M. & W. 411.

(x) *Cuxon v. Chadley*, 3 B. & Cr. 591.

(y) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66, s. 25, sub-s. 6.

(z) *Hammond v. Messinger*, 9 Sim. 327.

CHAP. LXIV.

between such an instrument and one which only gives a right to payment out of a particular fund or particular property without transferring that fund or property (a). It seems, therefore, that hypothecations operating by way of equitable assignment are still governed by the law independent of the statute, and that the assignee cannot generally sue in his own name without a power of attorney enabling him to do so (b).

Transfer of dividend warrants.

According to the practice of the Bank of England, dividend warrants pass by delivery without indorsement, and the *bond fide* holder thereof is entitled to receive the amount; but the law does not acknowledge such a mode of assignment, though a contract entered into with knowledge of such practice might be binding (c). And it may be noticed that a general power to receive payment does not authorize the attorney to receive payment by dividend warrant, unless such be shown to be the usual mode of payment (c), nor by bills of exchange (d).

E. I. bonds.

By the 51 Geo. III. c. 64, East India bonds pass by delivery; but if the company disregard the notice that the holder has no title, the Court will grant an injunction to restrain payment thereon (e).

Delay in enforcing security.

The equitable assignee of a debt is not subject to the same rules as the holder of a bill of exchange as to the obligation to use due diligence. And accordingly where a partner gave a promissory note to secure an advance, and also, by way of collateral security, an equitable assignment of his share in the partnership, but did not enforce his security till after the firm had become insolvent, it was held that the assignee was not barred from relief by the delay, and that, as he could not have obtained payment of the money, he could not be charged with it (f).

Assent of holder not necessary to validity of assignment.

Formerly, the assent of the fund holder to an order or appropriation of the fund was necessary at law to bind him and enable the creditor to sue (g). But, in equity, the mere communication to the holder of the order or appropriation was sufficient to amount to an equitable assignment binding on him

(a) *Tancred v. Delagoa Bay Co.*, 23 Q. B. D. 239.

(b) See further, on this question, *ante*, pp. 305 *et seq.*

(c) *Partridge v. Bank of England*, 9 Q. B. 396.

(d) *Sykes v. Giles*, 5 M. & W. 645.

(e) *Glassey v. Marshall*, 15 Sim. 72.

(f) *Glyn v. Hood*, 1 De G. F. & J. 334.

(g) *Hutchinson v. Heyncorth*, 9 A. & E. 375; *Walker v. Rostron*, 9 M. & W. 411; *Hodgson v. Anderson*, 3 B. & Cr. 842; *Williams v. Everett*, 14 East, 582. See also *Yates v. Bell*, 3 B. & Ad. 645.

without any assent on his part (*h*). And now, under the Judicature Act, 1873 (*i*), this rule of equity must be adopted in all Divisions of the High Court.

ii.—What will amount to an Equitable Assignment of a Debt or Fund.—When the agreement or order is in writing, no particular form of words is necessary to effect an equitable assignment (*k*). Any words, however informal, are sufficient for that purpose, provided the intention clearly appear thereby that a specific fund shall be appropriated for payment of the debt (*l*), and that payment out of the fund so appropriated shall be made to the person claiming under the assignment (*m*).

Equitable assignment by informal words.

An informal letter may operate as an effectual equitable assignment, though it expresses an intention subsequently to execute a formal deed of assignment (*n*).

Thus, an order on an insurance company in this form, "Take notice that I wish to transfer my interest in the policies to C. D.," is sufficient (*o*); also where the consignor of coffee drew certain bills of exchange, and wrote to his consignee to "realize the coffee and honour the bills," and the consignee, after specifying the bills, wrote to the holder, stating that he expected "the delivery of the coffee sent against the above," it was held to be a valid equitable charge (*p*).

Instances of what will be sufficient to create an equitable assignment.

So where a debtor had given a security upon a fund to a creditor, and was also indebted to a third person, an order in writing given by the debtor to such third person, directing the secured creditor to hold the fund (subject to his charge) to the credit of such third person, followed by an agreement by the secured creditor so to hold it, amounts to an equitable charge (*q*).

An order on the sale moneys of a commission in the army was held to be sufficient (*r*). A direction to an executor to pay a share under a will, if acted on, is sufficient (*s*); and also an

(*h*) *Lett v. Morris*, 4 Sim. 607.

(*i*) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66, s. 25 (11).

(*k*) *Row v. Dawson*, 1 Ves. Sen. 331.

(*l*) *Malcolm v. Scott*, 15 Jur. 21; *Watson v. Duke of Wellington*, 1 R. & M. 602; *Jones v. Starkey*, 16 Jur. 510; *Thompson v. Simpson*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 659; *Citizens' Bank of Louisiana v. First National Bank of Orleans*, L. R. 6 H. L. 352; *Exp. Irving, Re Pratt*, 7 Ch. D. 419; *Perceival v. Dunn*, 29 Ch. D. 128.

(*m*) *Bell v. London and North Western Rail. Co.*, 15 Beav. 548.

(*n*) *Re King, Sewell v. King*, 14 Ch. D. 179.

(*o*) *Chorone v. Baylis*, 31 Beav. 351.

(*p*) *Ranken v. Alfaro*, 5 Ch. D. 790, C. A.

(*q*) *Myers v. United Guarantee, &c. Co.*, 7 De G. M. & G. 112.

(*r*) *L' Estrange v. L' Estrange*, 13 Beav. 281. See *Collyer v. Fallon*, T. R. 459; *Price v. Lovett*, 15 Jur. 786; *Webster v. Webster*, 31 Beav. 393; *Buller v. Plunkett*, 1 J. & H. 441.

(*s*) *Lambe v. Orton*, 29 L. J. Ch. 319.

CHAP. LXIV.

Terms must
be unequi-
vocal.

order on the executor of a debtor to pay, followed by a promise to pay when there should be funds for the purpose (*t*).

The engagement to pay out of the fund must, however, be unequivocal. A promise to pay when the debtor receives a sum due to him from a third person, without any agreement that the creditor shall be paid specifically out of that sum, is not sufficient (*u*); nor a mere representation that there were funds at a particular bank to meet a bill of exchange drawn upon it (*x*); nor the statement by the debtor that the arrival of a certain cargo would put him in funds (*y*); nor a direction in a bill of exchange to place it against a particular cargo (*z*); nor a request to the holder of a fund to hold it at the disposal of the creditor (*a*). A cheque is not an equitable assignment of the drawer's balance at his banker's (*b*). Nor will a letter advising a creditor that, by instructions of the debtor, a special credit has been opened in his favour with the writer of the letter constitute an equitable assignment or specific appropriation of that sum, as of a fund in the hands of such third person; it is a mere statement by the writer that he will act as paymaster to a particular person up to a certain amount (*c*).

Parol assign-
ment.

A valid equitable assignment may be made even by parol, if the intention to appropriate a specific sum for payment is clearly proved. "If A. tells B. that he expects that 10,000*l.* are coming to him on a given day, and agrees out of that to pay B. 5,000*l.*, that is a good agreement to constitute a charge upon the fund" (*d*).

An order by a creditor to his debtor to pay a sum of money to a third person is not an equitable assignment, unless it amounts to an order or engagement to pay the sum out of a particular fund or debt (*e*). So, an order not acted upon during the debtor's life to transfer into the name of the creditor shares

(*t*) *Exp. Alderson*, 1 Madd. 53; aff. *Exp. South*, 3 Swanst. 392.

(*u*) *Field v. Megaw*, L. R. 4 C. P. 660; *Percival v. Dunn*, 29 Ch. D. 128.

(*z*) *Thomson v. Simpson*, L. R. 5 Ch. A. 652. And see *Citizens' Bank, &c. v. First National Bank of New Orleans*, L. R. 6 H. L. 352.

(*y*) *Jones v. Starkley*, 16 Jur. 510.

(*x*) *Robey & Co.'s Ironworks v. Ollier*, L. R. 7 Ch. A. 695.

(*a*) *Gorringe v. Iruell India Rubber Works*, 34 Ch. D. 128, C. A.

(*b*) *Hopkinson v. Forster*, L. R. 19 Eq. 74, Jessel, M. R., commenting on *Keene v. Beard*, 8 C. B. N. S. 372.

(*c*) *Morgan v. Le Rivier*, L. R. 7 H. L. 423.

(*d*) *Per Page-Wood, V.-C.*, in *Riccard v. Prichard*, 1 K. & J. 277, at p. 279. See also *Tibbits v. George*, 5 A. & E. 107; *Gurnell v. Gardner*, 4 Giff. 626; *Parish v. Poole*, 53 L. T. 35.

(*e*) *Watson v. Duke of Wellington*, 1 R. & My. 602, 605; *Percival v. Dunn*, 29 Ch. D. 128.

in a company held by the debtor without further evidence of the contract, will not be sufficient to create a lien as against the debtor's other creditors after his death (*f*).

CHAP. LXIV.

It is not necessary that the fund, to be capable of being appropriated for payment of a particular debt, should be definitely ascertained as to amount (*g*). A valid equitable assignment may be made of funds to be subsequently acquired, though the acquisition may depend on a contingency (*h*). Nor is it necessary that the precise amount of the debt to be paid should be ascertained (*i*).

Appropriated fund or debt of unascertained amount.

iii.—Revocation of Assignment.—The mere sending an order to the depositary or debtor, to pay the proceeds or fund or debt to a creditor, does not constitute an equitable assignment in favour of the creditor. Until communicated to the creditor, the order is countermandable (*k*); but where such communication has been made, at all events after the fund holder has assented to the order or appropriation, the order cannot be revoked (*l*).

Revocation of order on holder of fund.

The power of the debtor to revoke the order he has given seems to depend upon whether that order is a mere order for payment which is countermandable until acted upon, or amounts to an appropriation of the fund (*m*). Of course a direction, by a consignor to his correspondent and consignee, to appropriate all future consignments to the payment of a particular debt, is revocable by him at any time as to consignments not already made (*n*).

iv.—The Rule in *Exp. Waring*.—The well-known rule in *Exp. Waring* (*o*) lays down an apparent exception to the general principle that, in order to constitute a valid equitable assignment, there must be privity between the assignor and assignee.

(*f*) *Cumming v. Prescott*, 2 Y. & C. Ex. 488.

(*g*) *Pooley v. Goodwin*, 4 A. & E. 94; *Walker v. Rostron*, 9 M. & W. 411; *Riecard v. Prichard*, 1 K. & J. 277.

(*h*) *Rodick v. Gandell*, 1 De G. M. & G. 763.

(*i*) *Hutchinson v. Heyworth*, 9 A. & E. 375.

(*k*) *Scott v. Porcher*, 3 Mer. 652; *Frith v. Forbes*, 4 De G. F. & J. 409, 422; *Bailey v. Cuiverwell*, 8 B. & Cr.

448; *Gaskell v. Gaskell*, 2 Y. & J. 502.

(*l*) *Hodgson v. Anderson*, 3 B. & Cr. 842; *Hutchinson v. Heyworth*, 9 A. & E. 375; *Walker v. Rostron*, 9 M. & W. 411; and *Dickinson v. Marrow*, 14 M. & W. 713.

(*m*) See *Fisher v. Miller*, 1 Bing. 150; *Gibson v. Minet*, 2 Bing. 7; *Malcolm v. Scott*, 15 Jur. 21; *Brind v. Hampshire*, 1 M. & W. 364.

(*n*) *Malcolm v. Scott*, *sup.*

(*o*) 19 Ves. 345.

CHAP. LXIV.

Statement of
the rule.The rule in *Exp. Waring* may be thus stated :—

Where, as between the drawer and the acceptor of a bill of exchange, funds have, by virtue of a contract between them, been specifically appropriated to meet the bill at maturity, then, if both drawer and acceptor become bankrupt, the bill holder, though neither party to the contract nor privy to the appropriation, is entitled to have the appropriated fund applied towards payment of the bill.

No equitable
assignment.

The exception is, however, more apparent than real, for the bill holder is not treated as having an equitable assignment of the appropriated fund, but merely an incidental advantage arising out of the necessities connected with working out the equities between the two insolvent estates (q).

Rule applies
to insolvent
as well as
bankrupt
estates.

The rule in *Exp. Waring* applies not only to cases of bankruptcy in the strict sense of the term, but also where the estates are insolvent (r), provided that the respective estates have been brought under forced administration, whether of the Court of Bankruptcy or of the Chancery Division (s).

SECTION II.

EQUITABLE ASSIGNMENTS OF CARGO.

Bill of lading,
whether
changes the
property.

i.—Appropriation of Bills of Lading to accepted Bills of Exchange.—A bill of lading, transmitted for valuable consideration, operates as a change of property *instantly* the goods are shipped (t). But, unless some specific assignment or appropriation of the goods is made, then until the bill of lading is transmitted to the consignee or his agent, or the goods are shipped on board his own vessel, the matter merely rests in agreement ; and the consignor may accordingly deliver the cargo to another person, although the intended consignee may have accepted bills

(q) See *per* Lord Cairns in *Banner v. Johnston*, L. R. 5 H. L. 174. See also *Exp. Copeland*, 3 D. & C. 199; 2 M. & A. 177.

(r) *Re Barnard's Banking Co.*, L. R. 10 Ch. A. 198.

(s) *Powles v. Hargreaves*, 3 De G. M. & G. 430. The rule in *Exp. Waring* belongs rather to the law regulating

the administration of bankrupt and insolvent estates than to the law of hypothecation. An able discussion of the rule will be found in Mr. A. C. Eddis's treatise thereon. See also Williams on Bankruptcy (5th ed.), pp. 163 *et seq.*; Baldwin on Bankruptcy (6th ed.), pp. 277, 278.

(t) *Haille v. Smith*, 1 B. & P. 563.

drawn on him by the consignor on the faith of the consignments being made (*u*); nor has such party any lien on the goods, should they afterwards come into his hands as the agent of another person to whom they have been transmitted for sale (*v*). Delivery on board the purchaser's own vessel is delivery to the purchaser, although it is a general ship (*x*).

CHAP. LXIV.

The mere indorsement and delivery of a bill of lading by way of security for an advance does not "pass the property of the goods" to the indorsee within the meaning of the Bills of Lading Act (*y*), so as to make him liable to an action by the shipowner for the freight (*z*).

Indorsement, &c. of bill of lading does not make lender liable for freight.

Where the plaintiffs in an action for damage to cargo had indorsed the bills of lading to a bank to secure an advance, it was held that they retained an interest in the cargo sufficient to entitle them to maintain their action (*a*).

Indorser may maintain action for damage.

In the case of a specific appropriation of goods to the consignee, to answer a bill already accepted, or on condition of the acceptance thereof by the consignee, and the shipowner or other carrier assenting, the property will vest in the consignee at once, or on the performance of the condition, as the case may be, though no bill of lading be transmitted, and whatever be the documents by which the transaction is effected (*b*). And in equity an assignment may be made of goods at sea or abroad, which will be valid against the assignor himself without any further assent, or any delivery of bill of lading (*c*), and which, if accompanied with such delivery, will be good against the assignor's trustee in bankruptcy, though the bills of lading be not indorsed to the assignee till after the bankruptcy (*d*); so if at the time of the assignment of goods at sea the assignee was by his agent in possession of them (*e*). But in a case of an equitable assignment of a homeward cargo, where there were no bills of lading in existence at the time of the agreement, nor any such afterwards came into the possession of the assignor or assignee, but the assignees in bankruptcy of the former were in possession of the cargo from the time of shipment, the goods

Specific appropriation.

(*u*) *Bruce v. Wait*, 3 M. & W. 15; *Mitchel v. Ede*, 11 A. & E. 888.

(*v*) *Bruce v. Wait*, *sup.*

(*x*) *Schotsmans v. Lancashire and Yorkshire Rail. Co.*, L. R. 2 Ch. A. 332.

(*y*) 18 & 19 Vict. c. 111, s. 1.

(*z*) *Sewell v. Burdick*, 10 App. Cas. 74.

(*a*) *Glamorganshire*, 13 App. Cas. 464, P. C.

(*b*) *Bryans v. Nix*, 4 M. & W. 775; *Evans v. Nichol*, 3 Man. & Gr. 614.

(*c*) *Brown v. Heathcote*, 1 Atk. 160.

(*d*) *Lemprière v. Pasley*, 2 T. R. 485.

(*e*) *Belcher v. Oldfield*, 6 Bing. N. C. 102.

CHAP. LXIV.

were held to pass to such assignees as being in the order and disposition of the bankrupt (*f*).

In *Bryans v. Nix* (*g*) a question was raised, but not decided, whether a receipt, similar in form to a bill of lading, but given by the master of a boat navigating an inland canal, has the like effect in transferring the property by indorsement.

Specific appropriation.

When an order is made by a mercantile firm upon their correspondents to hold a certain sum out of the annual remittances and consignments at the disposal of a creditor by a certain day, and in the correspondence which follows the correspondents agree to make such appropriation when they shall receive remittances, the correspondents will be bound to make such application from the time of the receipt of the order until the revocation of it, after first reimbursing themselves their own balance of account against the firm, as due at the time of the receipt of the order (*h*).

First and second bills of lading.

Where there is a set of three bills of lading, and the first is indorsed by the consignee as a security, but the two others are left with him unindorsed, *bonâ fide* purchasers from the consignee under the authority of the second bill of lading are preferred (*i*). The shipowner is not bound to inquire if there has been an assignment of the first bill of lading (*i*).

Where any one of a set of three bills of lading has been delivered duly indorsed, it passes the ownership in the goods which are at sea, and which it represents (*k*).

Where payment is to be made against bills of lading, tender of the first bill of lading properly indorsed is sufficient; the consignee cannot refuse payment or reject the cargo because the other bills of lading are not delivered to him (*l*). He takes the first copy of the bill of lading subject to the risk of a subsequent copy being fraudulently indorsed by the consignor (*l*). The seller of the cargo should make every reasonable exertion, but there is no implied contract that he will deliver the bills of lading to the purchaser in time for him to forward them to the port of delivery before the arrival of the cargo, or charges are incurred in respect of them (*m*).

(*f*) *Belcher v. Capper*, 4 Man. & Gr. 502. And see *Leslie v. Guthrie*, 1 Bing. N. S. 287.

(*g*) 4 M. & W. 775.

(*h*) *Malcolm v. Scott*, 6 Ha. 570.

(*i*) *Glyn & Co. v. East and West India Dock Co.*, 7 App. Cas. 591.

(*k*) *Barber v. Meyerstein*, L. R. 4 H. L. 317. See *Glyn v. East and West India Dock Co.*, *sup.*; *Sanders v. Maclean*, 11 Q. B. D. 327, C. A.

(*l*) *Sanders v. Maclean*, *sup.*

(*m*) *Per Brett, M. R.*, in *Sanders v. Maclean*, *sup.*

ii.—Appropriation of Bills of Lading to Bills of Exchange before Acceptance.—As a general principle, every person who consigns goods to another has a right to give directions how the goods are to be disposed of, and a consignee to whom such directions are given must dispose of the goods in the way directed, or else return them (*n*).

CHAP. LXIV.

Consignee must follow directions.

Where bills of lading are attached to bills of exchange by the consignor, technically called "financing the cargoes," an appropriation takes place (*o*); and when the bill of lading and bill of exchange are enclosed in the same letter, the inference that they are attached will be drawn without any special words (*p*). Under such circumstances the bill of exchange must be accepted, or the bill of lading cannot be retained (*q*). Where the bill of exchange is not accepted, but the bill of lading is retained, the bill of lading acquired in that manner gives no right of property to the person so acquiring it (*p*); and, on the other hand, the drawee may, it would seem, refuse to accept the bill of exchange unless the bill of lading is delivered over to him (*o*). If bills of lading so attached are inadvertently indorsed to a purchaser for value without notice, such purchaser's title will prevail (*r*); *secus*, if with notice (*r*).

Bills of lading attached to bills of exchange.

A custom of trade not to deliver the bill of lading till payment of the acceptance is exceptional (*s*).

Where a principal consigns goods to an agent for sale, and the principal draws bills on the agent specifically against the goods, the agent has a lien on the proceeds of sale for the bills (*t*); but when an agent in one country purchases goods on account of his principal, and consigns them to him in another country, if the agent allows the property in them to pass to his principal, the agent, in the absence of an express agreement, has no lien or charge on them in the hands of his principal (*u*).

Consignment to an agent.

An agent who is authorized by his power to sell goods, and, as incidental thereto, to indorse bills, but who is not thereby authorized to borrow money, cannot borrow on behalf of his principal or bind him by a contract of loan (*x*).

Authority of agent to bind principal by borrowing.

(*n*) *Tooke v. Hollingsworth*, 5 T. R. 215; *Exp. Banner*, 2 Ch. D. 278, 289, C. A.

(*o*) *Gilbert v. Guignon*, L. R. 8 Ch. A. 16, 21.

(*p*) *Shepherd v. Harrison*, L. R. 5 H. L. 116, 133.

(*q*) *Ibid.*; *Banco de Lima v. Anglo-Peruvian Bank*, 8 Ch. D. 160, 171.

(*r*) *Gilbert v. Guignon*, *sup.*; *Coventry*

v. Gladstone, L. R. 4 Eq. 493; L. R. 6 Eq. 44.

(*s*) *Gurney v. Behrend*, 3 E. & B. 629, 630; *Coventry v. Gladstone*, *sup.*

(*t*) *Exp. Banner*, 2 Ch. D. 278, 287.

(*u*) *Ibid.*; *Banco de Lima v. Anglo-Peruvian Bank*, 8 Ch. D. 160.

(*x*) *Bryant, Powis, and Bryant v. La Banques du Peuple*, (1893) A. C. 170, P. C.

CHAP. LXIV.

Bills of
exchange
payable out
of particular
fund.

As a general rule, where bills of exchange are made payable out of a particular fund or cargo, or remittances or debt, the transaction amounts to an equitable assignment (*x*). There is a difference between a direction to carry the proceeds of goods and a direction to carry the invoice price thereof to a particular account. In the latter case there is no appropriation or lien (*y*).

A bill of exchange does not operate as an assignment of funds in the hands of the drawee available for the payment thereof (*z*).

Where bills are merely directed to be placed to the account of the shipment of a particular cargo (*a*), or to meet acceptances (*b*), there is no lien even between the original parties. And where, in a long course of dealing, remittances are made against acceptances, and both are included in one general account, there is no appropriation (*c*). A representation by a drawer of a bill that he has previously remitted funds to a larger amount to the drawee, does not amount to an equitable assignment or appropriation of such funds (*d*), nor a representation that the bills were drawn expressly or specially against such funds (*d*).

There is a distinction between representations of intention and representations of fact (*e*).

Where remittances were sent to meet accommodation acceptances, and two accounts were kept—a general account, and a special account—into which all the remittances and acceptances were entered, there was an appropriation (*f*).

Holder of bill
of exchange
has no lien.

Merely because a bill of exchange purports to be drawn against a particular cargo, it does not carry a lien on that cargo into the hands of every holder of the bill (*g*). Any mercantile man taking such a bill, who intends to have a lien on the cargo, would expect to have the bills of lading annexed (*h*). Where,

(*x*) *Maber v. Massias*, 2 W. Bl. 1072; *Exp. Kirk*, 1 Atk. 108; *Exp. Hidden*, 3 L. T. N. S. 386.

(*y*) *Exp. Banner*, 2 Ch. D. 278, 287. 45 & 46 Vict. c. 61, s. 53.

(*a*) *Exp. Carruthers*, 3 De G. & S. 570; *Exp. Arbuthnot, Re Entwistle*, 3 Ch. D. 477, C. A.; *Farley v. Turner*, 3 Jur. N. S. 532; *Exp. Massey*, 39 L. J. Ch. 635; *Brown, Shipley & Co. v. Kough*, 29 Ch. D. 848, C. A.

(*b*) *Johnson v. Roberts*, L. R. 10 Ch. A. 505.

(*c*) *Maud v. Trimmingham*, L. R. 7 Eq. 201. But see *Exp. Gomez*, L. R. 10 Ch. A. 647; *Banco de Lima v. Anglo-Peruvian Bank*, 8 Ch. D. 160;

Exp. Dever, Re Suse, 13 Q. B. D. 766, C. A.; *Phelps, Stokes & Co. v. Comber*, 29 Ch. D. 813, C. A.

(*d*) *Citizens' Bank of Louisiana v. First National Bank of New Orleans*, L. R. 6 H. L. 352.

(*e*) *Ibid.*; *Jorden v. Money*, 5 H. L. C. 185.

(*f*) *Exp. Gomez*, L. R. 10 Ch. A. 647. See *Re Gothenberg Commercial Co.*, 29 W. R. 358; *Exp. Broad*, 13 Q. B. D. 740.

(*g*) *Robey & Co.'s Ironworks v. Ollier*, L. R. 7 Ch. A. 695, 698. See *Exp. Smith*, 6 Ves. 447.

(*h*) L. R. 7 Ch. A. 699.

however, a letter of advice showed an intention to consign the goods as a security for the bills, and goods were deposited to meet the bills, it was held that there was an appropriation or lien (i); and under special circumstances the dealings between consignors and consignees may be such as to create a specific appropriation (k). But, as a general rule, if there is a bill of lading, a mere letter of advice accompanying a bill of exchange will not create any specific appropriation of goods (l). Where the consignor directs his agent to realize the cargo sent against the bills of exchange and pay the bills, and the agent communicates these instructions to the holder of the bills, the latter has a lien on the cargo (m).

CHAP. LXIV.

Bills drawn and accepted for special account cannot be diverted (n). Where the acceptor of a bill of exchange has a lien or secret trust on the goods or their proceeds, still an indorsee of the bill of exchange for valuable consideration, without notice of such lien or secret trust, will prevail (o); and the unusual form of the bill of lading will not amount to constructive notice (p).

Secret trust.

Though there may have been no effectual indorsement, as where the words "or order or assigns" were omitted from the bill of lading, still delivery of the goods to the indorsee for value of such bill of lading, without notice, would have the same effect (p).

Omission of words "order or assigns."

Where a banker forwarded a bill of exchange, stating that he held the bill of lading, this was not a guarantee that the bill of lading was genuine (q).

Forged bill of lading.

Bills cannot be appropriated to goods to the prejudice of third parties interested (r).

Rights of third parties.

(i) *Exp. Ackroyd*, 3 De G. F. & J. 726.

(k) *Frith v. Forbes*, 4 De G. F. & J. 409.

(l) *Brown, Shipley & Co. v. Kough*, 29 Ch. D. 848, C. A.

(m) *Ranken v. Alfaro*, 5 Ch. D. 786, C. A.

(n) *Thayer v. Lister*, 30 L. J. Ch. 427; *De Pass v. Bell*, 9 W. R. 704.

See *Steele v. Stuart*, L. R. 2 Eq. 84;

and *Bank of Ireland v. Perry*, L. R. 7 Ex. 14.

(o) *Chartered Bank of India v. Henderson*, L. R. 5 P. C. 501.

(p) *Henderson & Co. v. The Comptoir d'Escompte de Paris*, L. R. 5 P. C. 263.

(q) *Leather v. Simpson*, L. R. 11 Eq. 398.

(r) *McLarty v. Middleton*, 9 W. R. 861; *Dresser v. Hoare*, 7 H. L. C. 290.

CHAPTER LXV.

OF MARITIME HYPOTHECATIONS.

SECTION I.

OF BOTTOMRY BONDS.

Definition of
bottomry.

i.—Nature, Operation, and Form of Bottomry Bonds.—Bottomry is a contract by which a ship, or a ship and freight, with the cargo (if necessary), is or are hypothecated by the owner, or by the master as the agent of the owner, as a security for the payment, in the event only of, and within a certain time after, the safe arrival of the ship at her destination, of a debt contracted, failing other resources, for the supply of what is necessary for the preservation of the ship and the continuance of the voyage (*a*).

Negotiability
of bottomry
bonds.

Before the Judicature Act, 1873 (*b*), a bottomry bond was not negotiable at law, but it was always treated as negotiable in the Courts of equity and admiralty (*c*); so that, in those Courts, a bondholder, whether he was a *bond fide* assignee, or whether the bond had been merely assigned to him as agent for the original holder, would stand in the same position as such holder, with and subject to the same rights and liabilities. This rule of equity must now prevail in all Divisions of the High Court of Justice so as to enable the actual holder of a bond to sue upon it, although, inasmuch as a bottomry bond does not transfer property, but operates by way of charge only, it is clearly not within sect. 25, sub-sect. (6), of the last-mentioned Act (*d*).

Form of
bottomry
bond.

The bottomry bond is in writing, and may be under seal, and may be executed on land (*e*). No particular form is

(*a*) *Atlas*, 2 Hagg. Ad. 48; *Soares v. Rahn*, 3 Moo. P. C. 1; *Osmanti*, 3 W. Rob. Adm. 198; *Empusa*, 5 P. D. 6.

(*b*) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66.

(*c*) *Rebecca*, 5 C. Rob. Adm. 102; *Willam*, Swab. 346.

(*d*) See *ante*, pp. 306 *et seq.*

(*e*) *Monelone v. Gibbons*, 3 T. R. 267.

necessary (*f*). A bill of sale will suffice, if hypothecation is intended (*g*); but not if the intention were to effect a sale (*h*); and a bill of exchange, though on its face for repairs, will not operate by way of bottomry (*i*).

CHAP. XXV.

Bills of exchange are commonly given in practice as collateral securities, and as being more negotiable; but the nature of the original bottomry transaction is not affected thereby (*k*). And an agreement for a bottomry may be enforced if the other requisites exist (*l*).

Bottomry bonds are favoured in maritime Courts, and every intendment will be made in their favour, and any parts which are inconsistent with the rules of bottomry may be rejected without invalidating the bond (*m*). Bottomry bonds favoured by Courts.

The validity of a bond is determined by the general law maritime, and not by the law of the ship's flag, or of the country where it is granted (*n*).

A bond may be valid, though its execution preceded (*o*) or followed (*p*) the loan; and it may be dated after the commencement or even completion of the voyage (*q*). Time for execution of bond.

ii.—Subject-Matter of Bottomry Bonds.—A bottomry bond given on a ship or on the keel of a ship includes the whole ship, with its rigging and stores, though temporarily detached (*r*).

Where the circumstances are such as to justify the master in hypothecating the ship for necessities, he has also authority to hypothecate the freight (*s*).

In case of necessity, if the value of the ship and freight is not sufficient security for the amount required, the master may hypothecate the cargo as well as the ship and freight (*t*). Cargo.

(*f*) *Atlas*, 2 Hagg. Ad. 48; *Alexander*, 1 Dods. 278; *Mary Ann*, L. R. 1 A. & E. 13.

(*g*) *Johnson v. Shippen*, 2 Ld. Raym. 982.

(*h*) *Ridgway v. Roberts*, 4 Ha. 106.

(*i*) *Eurom*, 2 C. Rob. Adm. 1; *Exp. Halkett*, 19 Ves. 474; *Lochiel*, 2 W. Rob. Adm. 34.

(*k*) *Tartar*, 1 Hagg. Ad. 1; *Nelson*, 1 Hagg. Ad. 169; *Jane*, 1 Dods. 461; *Emancipation*, 1 W. Rob. Adm. 124; *Ariadne*, 1 W. Rob. Adm. 411; *Augusta*, 1 Dods. 283; *Stainbank v. Shepard*, 13 C. B. 418; *Exp. Halkett*, *sup.*

(*l*) *Alexander*, *sup.*; *Alina*, 1 W.

Rob. Adm. 111.

(*m*) *Augusta*, *sup.*; *Osmanli*, 3 W. Rob. 198; *Smith v. Gould*, 4 Moo. P. C. 21.

(*n*) *Bonaparte*, 2 W. Rob. 398; *Duranty v. Hart*, 2 Moo. P. C. N. S. 289; *Lloyd v. Guibert*, L. R. 1 Q. B. 115.

(*o*) *Royal Arch*, Swab. 269.

(*p*) *Isabel*, 1 Dods. 273; *Vibilia*, 1 W. Rob. 1; *Trident*, 1 W. Rob. 29.

(*q*) *Mary Ann*, 10 Jur. 253, Adm.

(*r*) *Atlas*, 2 Hagg. 48; *Alexander*, 1 Dod. 278.

(*s*) *Gratitudine*, 3 C. Rob. 240; *Jacob*, 4 W. Rob. 245.

(*t*) *Gratitudine*, *sup.*

CHAP. LXV.

Ship, freight,
and cargo.

If the bottomry bond is of the ship, freight, and cargo, the ship and freight are liable first, then the cargo (*x*). If the ship only be hypothecated, the freight will not be liable to the bondholder (*y*); nor the cargo (*z*). But if the ship and cargo, or the cargo alone, be the subject of the bond, the freight in the one case and the ship and freight in the other will still be liable before the cargo can be applied (*a*). The cargo cannot be bound without the ship and freight; although the bond purports only to affect the cargo, the proceeds of the ship and freight must be first applied (*b*). The right attaches to the cargo from necessity, and is measured by the degree of danger and the advances required, and the sufficiency of the ship and freight to meet the advances (*c*). In no case can the cargo be hypothecated until it is on board and under the control of the master (*d*).

Liability of
owner as to
cargo.

If the bond includes the cargo, the shipowner will be personally liable to pay to the owner of the cargo the amount raised on the credit thereof by reason of the insufficiency of the ship and freight; and this liability cannot be got rid of by abandoning the ship (*e*).

The validity, as against cargo owners, of a bottomry bond entered into by the master of a foreign ship is not decided by the general maritime law as administered in England, nor by the *lex loci contractus*, but by the law of the ship's flag (*f*).

Bond not a
transfer of
property.

iii.—Charge on Ship, &c., created by Bottomry Bond.—A bottomry bond does not transfer the property in the ship, but only gives the creditor a privilege or claim upon it to be carried out by legal process (*g*).

Advance must
be on credit
of ship.

It is essential to the validity of a bottomry bond that the advance should have been originally made on the credit of the

(*x*) *Bonaparte*, 14 Jur. 605, Ad.; *Benson v. Duncan*, 3 Exch. 644.

(*y*) *Mary Ann*, 10 Jur. 253.

(*z*) *La Constantia*, 2 W. Rob. 404.

(*a*) *Prince Regent*, cited 2 W. Rob. 83; *Gratitudine*, 3 W. Rob. 240.

(*b*) *La Constantia*, *sup.*; *Bonaparte*, 8 Moo. P. C. 459.

(*c*) *Lord Cochrane*, 2 Moo. P. C. 320; *Gratitudine*, *sup.*; *Benson v. Duncan*, 3 Exch. 644.

(*d*) *Jonathan Goodhue*, Swab. 524.

(*e*) *Benson v. Duncan*, *sup.*

(*f*) *Gaetano and Maria*, 7 P. D. 137; *Pope v. Nickerson*, 3 Story, 465, notwithstanding *Gratitudine*, 3 W. Rob. 240; *Bonaparte*, 8 Moo. P. C. 459; *Duranty v. Hart*, *Hamburg*, 2 Moo. P. C. N. S. 289.

(*g*) *Abbott on Ships*, 13th ed. p. 155, cited by *Blackburn, J.*, in *Castrigue v. Imrie*, L. R. 4 H. L. 414, at p. 431. See also *Stainbank v. Fenning*, 11 C. B. 51; *Stainbank v. Shepard*, 13 C. B. 418, Ex. Ch.

ship. If a person buys up debts upon the ship from third persons, and lends money to pay them off on the security of a bottomry bond, he cannot recover on the bond, because the debts were not originally contracted on the credit of the ship (*h*).

CHAP. LXV.

So far as the loan was made on personal credit, the security will not take effect by way of bottomry (*i*). So if the money is originally advanced on the personal credit of the owner,—as where a bill of exchange was given, and afterwards a bottomry bond was executed to the same lender,—the bond will be invalid on the ground that no security was intended to be given on the credit of the ship at the time of the advance (*k*).

But a bottomry bond may be valid if given to a person who advances money on the security of the ship for the purpose of paying off a debt incurred to another person, on the personal credit of the owner, for supply of necessaries (*l*).

If by agreement the time for payment is postponed, the contract, being no longer founded on the necessity of the ship, becomes personal, and loses its character of bottomry (*m*).

By a bottomry bond the master gives a remedy *in rem* only to the extent of the value of the ship, and has no power to bind the owner personally (*n*). If he purports to do so, the bond will be *pro tanto* rejected, and confined in its operation to the property comprised therein (*o*). He cannot give to the lender a direct remedy on the bond itself against the owner as well as against the ship (*p*).

Owner not personally liable on bond.

The charterer of a ship in a foreign port, who, with notice of a prior mortgage of the ship, advances money for the equipment of the ship in her homeward voyage, cannot set off against the sum due under the charterparty the excess of the sum advanced by him over the sum covered by the bottomry bond (*q*).

Set-off by charterer of ship.

If the ship is lost, the lender on a bottomry bond, though his remedy is limited to the value of the property saved, is entitled to the whole of what is saved, provided it was included in his

Right of bondholder on loss of ship.

(*h*) *Ocean*, 2 W. Rob. 429.

(*i*) *Gore v. Gardiner*, 3 Moo. P. C. 79; *Beldon v. Campbell*, 6 Exch. 886. See *Karnak*, L. R. 2 P. C. 505.

(*k*) *Augusta*, 1 Dods. 283.

(*l*) *Hebe*, 2 W. Rob. 412.

(*m*) *Royal Arch*, Swab. 269.

(*n*) *Benson v. Duncan*, 3 Exch. 656.

(*o*) *Tartar*, 1 Hagg. 1; *Nelson*, 1 Hagg. 169; *Nostra Senora del Carmine*, 1 Spinks, 303.

(*p*) *Stainbank v. Shepard*, 13 C. B. 418, Ex. Ch.

(*q*) *Dobson v. Lyall*, 2 Ph. 323, n.

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| CHAP. LXV. | security, or of the proceeds of sale thereof, as against the owner or a prior mortgagee of the ship (<i>r</i>). |
| Sum awarded for damage by collision. | If the loss of the ship is occasioned by collision with another ship by fault of the latter, the holder of a bottomry bond on the lost ship may recover against the owners of the ship in fault; and, if they limit their liability, and a sum is awarded as compensation for the loss, the bondholder is entitled to claim in respect of his security a portion of the sum awarded (<i>s</i>). |
| Personal liability of the master. | The instrument will not create any personal liability on the master unless there is an express stipulation to that effect. It is, however, usual for the master to bind himself personally; and, if he do so, the liability may be enforced, though it is usually treated as merely nominal (<i>t</i>). |
| Purchase of ship by master. | A bottomry bond is not invalidated by the fact that the master subsequently becomes the purchaser of the ship (<i>u</i>). |
| Bond cannot be renewed. | When a bottomry bond has become due it cannot be renewed so as to create a charge on the ship for necessities supplied with a view to a fresh voyage; such a bond, so far as it might have any effect, would amount only to a personal contract (<i>x</i>). |
| Shipowner. | iv.—Who may give a Bottomry Bond. —A bottomry bond may be given by the owner, being or not being the master, or by the master (<i>y</i>). But if a bond is given by a part owner who acts as master, he has no authority beyond that of master (<i>z</i>). |
| Authority of managing owner. | A managing owner, registered as such, has not <i>per se</i> authority to bind all part owners when they are not interested in the adventure (<i>a</i>), although the latter have allowed the registry to remain unaltered. |
| Extent of owner's power to give bond. | A shipowner who is not master may give a bottomry bond without the concurrence of the master (<i>b</i>); but only for the necessary supplies of the ship, and in a foreign port (<i>c</i>). It is said that the granting of a bond by the shipowner would generally be, but probably amount to no more than, strong evidence of the necessity (<i>d</i>). |

(*r*) *Stephens v. Broomfield, Great Pacific*, L. R. 2 P. C. 516, at p. 523.

(*s*) *Empusa*, 5 P. D. 6.

(*t*) *Jonathan Goodhue*, Swab. 524; *Salacia*, Lush. 545.

(*u*) *Heligoland*, Swab. 491.

(*x*) *Royal Arch*, Swab. 269.

(*y*) *Barbara*, 4 O. Rob. 1; *Duke of Bedford*, 2 Hagg. 294; *Heligoland*, Swab. 491.

(*z*) *Orelia*, 3 Hagg. 75.

(*a*) *Frazer v. Cuthbertson*, 6 Q. B. D. 93.

(*b*) *Duke of Bedford*, 2 Hagg. 294; *Barbara*, 4 W. Rob. 1.

(*c*) *Royal Arch*, Swab. 269; *Heligoland*, Swab. 491.

(*d*) *Abbott on Ships* (1892 ed.), 165 See *Royal Arch*, *sup.* at p. 275.

It is the duty of a master, in case of damage to the ship, to do all that can be reasonably done to repair it, bring home the cargo, and earn the freight. He has also power to sell the ship if there is no reasonable prospect of bringing her safely to the end of her voyage (*e*). Where, in case of damage to a ship, the master elects to repair it, the mere fact that the expenses of repair ultimately prove to be greater than the value of the ship, will not be sufficient to show that he acted beyond the scope of his authority (*f*).

CHAP. LXV.

Authority of
master to
give bond.

Before resorting to bottomry, the master must give notice to the owner (if practicable) (*g*); and the communication must, if possible, be made by telegraph (*h*). The bankruptcy of the owner is no excuse (*g*), and notice to the mortgagee is not sufficient (*g*); nor is the master bound to communicate with the mortgagee (*i*); nor are advertisements for a loan on bottomry in the port where the owner resides notice to him (*k*); but a letter from a British consul is (*l*); and if communication has been made, the master need not wait for an answer if the delay would endanger the safety of the ship or cargo (*m*).

Communi-
cation with
owner.

But the power of giving a bond exists without communication, although the owner resides in the same country, if there is no means of communicating with him and the exigency of the case requires the bottomry bond (*n*); but otherwise if the communication is easy (*o*). But notwithstanding what has been said above, a bottomry bond given by the captain for repairs done abroad will not be invalidated by the fact that the captain might have communicated with the shipowners in England for the purpose of obtaining supplies, even in favour of a mortgagee of the ship; and if the action allege fraud which is not proved, the Court will, contrary to the general rule, instead of dismissing the action, direct inquiries, for the benefit of the defendant, as to the amount due to him on the bond (*p*).

No means
of communi-
cation.

A master of a ship who borrows money on bottomry for the repairs of the ship acts exclusively as the agent of the owner of

Master is not
supercargo.

(*e*) *Hunter v. Palmer*, 7 M. & W. 396.

(*f*) *Benson v. Chapman*, 2 H. L. C. 696.

(*g*) *Panama*, L. R. 3 C. P. 199.

(*h*) *Oriental*, 7 Moo. P. C. 398.

(*i*) *Heligoland*, 1 Swab. 491.

(*k*) *Nuova Loaness*, 17 Jur. 263;

Soares v. Rahn, 3 Moo. P. C. 1.

(*l*) *Bonaparte*, 8 Moo. P. C. 459.

(*m*) *Wallace v. Fielden*, 3 W. Rob. 243; *Olivier*, Lush. 484. And see *Australasian Steam Navigation Co. v.*

Morse, L. R. 4 P. C. 222.

(*n*) *Wallace v. Fielden*, *sup.*; *Ysabel*, 1 Dods. 273; *Trident*, 1 W. Rob. 29. See *Johns v. Simons*, 2 Q. B. 425; *Arthur v. Barton*, 6 M. & W. 138; *Lochiel*, 2 W. Rob. 34; *Stainbank v. Fenning*, 11 C. B. 51; *Beldon v. Campbell*, 6 Exch. 886.

(*o*) *Johns v. Simons*, *sup.*; *Stonehouse v. Gent*, 2 Q. B. 431, n.

(*p*) *Glascott v. Lang*, 2 Ph. 310.

CHAP. LXV.

the ship; he does not act as a sort of supercargo for the benefit of the owner of the cargo (*q*).

The owner of the ship is liable for the act of the master, though he sold the cargo under a mistake, if he acted *bonâ fide* within the scope of his authority (*r*). Every act not strictly in furtherance of the duty to deliver is an act for which the master and his owners may be made responsible (*s*); and this more strictly where the master has the means of communicating with the owner of the cargo (*t*); but the cargo is not bound if the repairs are done before the execution of the bond and the actual shipment of the cargo (*u*).

The shipper must be reimbursed.

For money raised by pledge of the cargo or sale of part of it for the purpose of repairing the vessel, the shipper has a right to be reimbursed by the shipowner all loss or expenses arising from such pledge or sale, though the ship and cargo be pledged by the same instrument, and the money raised thereby exceeds the value of the ship as repaired together with the freight, and notwithstanding the shipowner, on receiving notice, refuses to ratify the act of the master, and abandons the ship and freight (*x*).

Bottomry bond cannot be given for previous debt.

A master has no power to give a bottomry bond or to assign freight to secure a debt of the shipowner (*y*), nor debts already incurred for the ship (*z*), though by the law of the country the ship may be arrested for the debts (*z*); nor for services already rendered (*a*); nor for debts to be incurred on a future voyage except under peculiar circumstances (*b*); nor general average (*c*); nor charges exclusively relating to the cargo (*d*); nor are advances allowed for debts incurred on former voyages, or for other ships (*e*).

So far as the loan was made on personal credit, the security will not take effect by way of bottomry (*f*).

(*q*) *Benson v. Duncan*, 3 Exch. 644, Ex. Ch.

(*r*) *Ewbank v. Nutting*, 7 C. B. 797. See *Wagstaffe v. Anderson*, 5 C. P. D. 171, 180.

(*s*) *Ewbank v. Nutting*, *sup.*; *Morris v. Robinson*, 3 B. & Cr. 196.

(*t*) *Gratitudine*, 3 C. Rob. 241; *Wilkinson v. Wilson*, 8 Moo. P. C. 459; *Duranty v. Hart*, *Hamburgh*, 2 Moo. P. C. N. S. 289; *Wallace v. Fielden*, 7 Moo. P. C. 398; *Kleinwort v. Cassa Marittima*, 2 App. Cas. 156.

(*u*) *Jonathan Goodhue*, Swab. 524.

(*x*) *Benson v. Duncan*, 3 Exch. 644.

(*y*) *Sir Henry Webb*, 13 Jur. 639. See *Gibbs v. Charleton*, 26 L. J. Ex. 321.

(*z*) *Re Osmanli*, 3 W. Rob. 198.

(*a*) *Beldon v. Campbell*, 6 Exch. 8; *Lochiel*, 2 W. Rob. 34; *Gore v. Gardiner*, 3 Hagg. 404.

(*b*) *Lochiel*, *sup.*

(*c*) *North Star*, Lush. 45.

(*d*) *Edmond*, Lush. 57.

(*e*) See *Lochiel*, 2 W. Rob. 34; *Osmanli*, 3 W. Rob. 198; *Toivo*, 1 Spinks, 185; *Smith v. Gould*, 4 Moo. P. C. 21; *Edmond*, *sup.*

(*f*) *Gore v. Gardiner*, 3 Hagg. 404; *Beldon v. Campbell*, 6 Exch. 8. And see *Karnak*, L. R. 2 P. C. 506.

v.—Who may take a Bottomry Bond.—It is no objection to the validity of a bottomry bond that it is given to the consignee of the cargo, provided the necessity of borrowing money on such security and other circumstances justify the transaction (g).

CHAP. LIV.

Consignee of cargo may take a bottomry bond.

The agent of the ship acting *bonâ fide* may take a bottomry bond (h). But in such a case the transaction is vigilantly regarded, for when the agent and the lender are blended in one, the owner is deprived of the protection expected from a paid agent; and, moreover, an agent, unlike a stranger, who lends money on a bottomry bond, must not only satisfy himself that the money is required, but is bound to see that it is properly applied for necessary expenses (i).

Agent of ship.

A person who is in debt to a ship or her owners cannot advance money on bottomry so as to entitle him to the benefit of the security for a greater amount than the excess of his loan over his debt, because, to the extent of the debt, the money required for necessities might have been provided without recourse to a bottomry bond (k).

Debtor to ship.

vi.—Maritime Risk and Interest, &c.—A master has no authority to hypothecate the ship to secure advances for repairs, with or without maritime interest, except by an instrument which is to take effect only in the event of the ship's safe arrival (l). Maritime risk is an important element in determining the effect of a bottomry bond (m).

Maritime risk.

The bond is commonly made payable a short time after the arrival of the ship at her destination (n); and it must express or imply that the loan is risked upon the arrival of the ship (o). But an intention to incur maritime risk may be implied upon the construction of the instrument as a whole (p).

When bonds are made payable.

So if a bottomry bond is given to secure principal, interest and insurance, it will be disallowed to the extent to which it purports to cover insurance, as otherwise the lender would avoid the

Bond must not cover insurance.

(g) *Alexander*, 1 Dods. 278; *Rubicon*, 3 Hagg. 9.

(h) *Oriental*, 14 Jur. 336, Ad.; *Hero*, 2 Dods. 144; *Smith v. Bank of New South Wales*, L. R. 4 P. C. 194, 203. See *Wallace v. Fielden*, 7 Moo. P. C. 398.

(i) *Royal Stuart*, 2 Spinks, 258, 260. See *Prince of Saxe-Coburg*, 3 Moo. P. C. 1, 9.

(k) *Hebe*, 2 W. Rob. 412, 416.

(l) *Elephanta*, 15 Jur. 1185; *Stainbank v. Fenning*, 11 C. B. 51.

(m) *Indomitable*, Swab. 446; *Atlas*, 2 Hagg. 48; *Royal Arch*, Swab. 269.

(n) *Duke of Bedford*, 2 Hagg. 294; *North Star*, Lush. 46.

(o) *Nelson*, 1 Hagg. 169; *Atlas*, sup.; *Stainbank v. Fenning*, 11 C. B. 51; *Stainbank v. Shepard*, 13 C. B. 418, Ex. Ch.; *Mary Ann*, L. R. 1 P. D. & A. 13; *Smith v. Bank of New South Wales*, L. R. 4 P. C. 194.

(p) *Nelson*, sup.; *Royal Arch*, Swab. 269; *Simonds v. Hodgson*, 3 B. & Ad. 50.

CHAP. LXV.

Intention to incur maritime risk.

maritime risk which he had undertaken as an essential condition of the bond (*q*).

Where it was doubtful upon the terms of the instrument whether there was an intention to incur maritime risk, it was considered material that interest only at an ordinary rate was reserved (*r*).

Rate of interest not stated.

Where by mistake the rate of interest was left in blank, the Court refused to supply the omission by allowing interest at the agreed rate; but interest was allowed at the rate prevalent at the time and place of execution of the bond having regard to the risk incurred (*s*).

Maritime interest allowed though risk not wholly incurred.

The whole of the agreed interest on a bond has been allowed although circumstances prevented the completion of the voyage, so that the whole maritime risk undertaken by the lender was not incurred; but it was said in that case that if the ship had never started on her voyage after the repairs, so that the lender would not have incurred any maritime risk whatever, maritime interest would not have been allowed (*t*). In such cases the bondholder will be entitled to his principal and interest at the ordinary rate, and costs (*u*).

Interest allowed at 4 per cent. on bond due till payment.

The practice of the Court is to allow interest at 4l. per cent. from the date of the instrument of bottomry becoming payable until payment thereof, and no additional payment by way of premium or otherwise, in default of punctual payment, will be enforced (*x*).

Reduction of exorbitant interest.

The Court has authority to inquire into the reasonableness of the terms of a bottomry bond; and accordingly, if the rate of maritime interest charged is altogether exorbitant, having regard to the maritime risk incurred, the interest will be reduced to a reasonable rate (*y*).

Commission and premiums.

So, also, the Court will reduce commissions and premiums secured by a bond if such charges are so exorbitant as to be contrary to good faith (*z*); but the Court will exercise caution in pronouncing against a bond merely on account of the large amount of such charges (*a*).

(*q*) *Boddington*, 2 Hagg. 422. See *Indomitable*, Swab. 446.

(*r*) *Emancipation*, 1 W. Rob. 124; *Royal Arch*, Swab. 269.

(*s*) *Change*, Swab. 240.

(*t*) *Dante*, 2 W. Rob. 427, 429.

(*u*) *De Guilder v. Depeister*, 1 Vern. 263; *Aline*, 1 W. Rob. 111; *Dante*, *sup.*

(*x*) *Sophia Cook*, 4 P. D. 30.

(*y*) *Zodiac*, 1 Hagg. 320; *Cognac*, 2 Hagg. 377; *Royal Arch*, Swab. 269; *Laurel*, Br. & L. 191.

(*z*) *Huntley*, Luah. 24; *Glenmanna*, Lush. 116; *Heart of Oak*, 1 W. Rob. 204, 216.

(*a*) *Cognac*, 2 Hagg. 377, at p. 392; *Dante*, 2 W. Rob. 427.

vii.—**Necessity essential to Validity of Bottomry Bond.**—The existence of a two-fold necessity is essential to the validity of a bottomry bond, that is to say—first, the ship must be in distress, and urgently in need of repairs and supplies to enable her to proceed on her voyage; and, secondly, it must be impossible to raise the money required for such repairs and supplies, except by hypothecating the ship.

But, though necessary repairs and want of funds are essential elements, which give existence and validity to a bottomry bond, *bona fide* inquiries of the foreign merchant on all these points are sufficient, even though the answers received by him are at variance with the facts (b).

Inquiry by lender as to necessities of ship, &c.

It being essential to the validity of a bottomry bond that the money advanced should be required for the necessities of the ship, the master's authority to borrow money on the security of a bond is based on, and strictly limited by, this necessity (c). But the Court will not look too narrowly into the items supplied, or the amount of the charges for necessary repairs (d).

There must be necessity for repairs, &c.

A vessel belonging to a port in this country cannot be made the subject of a bottomry bond in another port of this country (e), if communication can be had with the owner (f); and not even with the consent of the owner for a new voyage, lest a secret lien, not appearing on the ship's papers, should be created (g).

A bond may be given in a foreign port for the completion of the voyage (h), or for the return voyage (i), and for a new voyage from a foreign port, but not without the consent of the owner (k).

Foreign port.

In relation to the rights and remedies of persons having claims for repairs done to, or supplies furnished to or for, ships, every port within the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland,

Home port.

(b) *Soares v. Rahn*, 3 Moo. P. C. 1; *Wallace v. Fielden*, 7 Moo. P. C. 398; *Nelson*, 1 Hagg. 169; *Gore v. Gardiner*, 3 Moo. P. C. 79; *Dunvegan Castle*, 3 Hagg. 331.

(c) *Pontida*, 9 P. D. 177, C. A.

(d) *Royal Arch*, Swab. 269; *Calypso*, 3 Hagg. 162. For what repairs, supplies, &c. advances may be made, see *Smith v. Gould*, 4 Moo. P. C. 21; *Edmond*, Lush. 57, 211; *Duke of Bedford*, 2 Hagg. 294; *Gauntlet*, 3 W. Rob. 82; *Zodiac*, 1 Hagg. 320; *Par-meter v. Todhunter*, 1 Camp. 541; *Toivo*, 1 Spinks, 185; *Soares v. Rahn*,

3 Moo. P. C. 1; *Gore v. Gardiner*, 3 Moo. P. C. 79.

(e) *Lochiel*, 2 W. Rob. 34. See *Micheson v. Oliver*, 5 E. & B. 419.

(f) *Isabel*, 1 Dods. 273; *Trident*, 1 W. Rob. 29.

(g) *Johnson v. Shippen*, 2 Id. Raym. 982; *Royal Arch*, Swab. 269; *Jenny*, 2 W. Rob. 6.

(h) *Vibilia*, 1 W. Rob. 1; *Lloyd v. Guibert*, L. R. 1 Q. B. 115.

(i) *Nelson*, 1 Hagg. 169.

(k) *Royal Arch*, *sup.*; *Lister v. Baxter*, 2 Stra. 695.

CHAP. LXV.

the Islands of Man, Guernsey, Jersey, Alderney, and Sark, and the islands adjacent to any of them, being part of the dominions of her Majesty, shall be deemed a home port (*l*).

Meaning of
"necessity."

With regard to the meaning of "necessity," with reference to the authority of a master to give a bottomry bond, it is obvious that much must depend upon the circumstances of the particular case (*m*). So it has been said that "any combination of events, which would prevent the completion of the voyage with profit, unless the money should be obtained by bottomry, would raise the question, whether there was need of bottomry in such high degree as to create a necessity" (*n*).

No other
funds must be
available for
repairs, &c.

No bond is valid where the agent of the shipowner has funds in hand (*o*), or the master can obtain the necessary advances upon the personal credit of the owner (*p*); but such bond will not be invalidated by the fact that a part of the sum secured by the bond might have been raised from other funds which were at the command of the captain; but an account will be directed (*q*).

Supplies
already
furnished.

A bottomry bond cannot, therefore, be given to secure previous advances to pay for supplies already furnished on the credit of the owner (*r*).

Where there
is an agent at
the port.

So, also, a bond will be bad if given to a person who advances the money with knowledge, or reasonable means of knowledge, that the owner had an agent in the port (*s*).

Priority over
mortgage.

viii.—Priority of Bottomry Bonds.—A bottomry bond given under circumstances of urgent necessity by the master, or by the owner, will take precedence over a prior mortgage of the ship (*t*). But without such necessity a secret lien on the ship will not be allowed to prevail against a registered mortgage (*u*).

Relief against
fraud of
owner.

Dealings with a ship by the owner, which amount to a fraud on the mortgagee of the ship, and render the voyage illegal, will

(*l*) 19 & 20 Vict. c. 97, s. 8. See also 57 & 58 Vict. c. 60, s. 742.

(*m*) *Soares v. Kahn*, 3 Moo. P. C. 1; *Smith v. Gould*, 4 Moo. P. C. 21; *Edmond, Lush*, 57, 211; *Zodiac*, 1 Hagg. 320; *Duke of Bedford*, 2 Hagg. 294; *Gaunillet*, 3 W. Rob. 82; *Gore v. Gardiner*, 3 Moo. P. C. 79; *Par-meter v. Todhunter*, 1 Camp. 541; *Twiss*, Spinks, 185.

(*n*) *Per Erle*, C. B., in *Karnac*, L. R. 2 P. C. 505, at p. 512.

(*o*) *Lyall v. Hicks*, 27 Beav. 166; *Hebe*, 2 W. Rob. 146, 412.

(*p*) *Wallace v. Fielden*, 7 Moo. P. C. 398; *Prince of Saxe-Coburg*, 3 Moo. P. C. 1.

(*q*) *Dobson v. Lyall*, 3 My. & Cr. 453, n.; *Heart of Oak*, 1 W. Rob. 204; *Smith v. Gould*, 4 Moo. P. C. 21.

(*r*) *Karnak*, L. R. 2 A. & E. 289.

(*s*) *Faithful*, 31 L. J. Ad. 81. See

Gunn v. Roberts, L. R. 9 C. P. 331.

(*t*) *Duke of Bedford*, 2 Hagg. 294.

(*u*) *Royal Arch*, Swab. 269, 276.

not prejudice the priority of a person advancing money on a bottomry bond, who has only to look to the facts that the ship is in distress, that the master has no credit, and that the amount is required for necessary purposes (x). CHAP. LXV.

As between bottomry bonds *inter se* given at different periods of the voyage, if the value of the ship is insufficient to discharge them all in full, the last bond in point of date ranks in priority over the earlier ones, on the ground that, but for the supply of necessities on the security of that bond, the security of the earlier bondholders might have been lost (y). Last bond has priority.

But this priority rests entirely on the ground of salvage, and the holder of the last bond will not be entitled thereto, unless the ship was in distress and the master was unable to raise the amount required for necessary repairs and supplies otherwise than upon the security of such bond (z). Such priority based on salvage.

A bondholder may lose priority over other incumbrancers of the ship by laches in neglecting to enforce his bond within a reasonable time (a). Loss of priority by laches.

A bottomry bond will be postponed to the lien on ship and freight, for wages of a seaman, or of the master (b), at all events so far as relates to wages earned after the bond was given (c). Lien for wages.

The master's lien, however, will not generally prevail against the bondholder, if the master is personally liable on the bond (d).

Where a bond comprises not only the ship and freight but also the cargo, but the master's claim for wages was only on the ship and freight, which were insufficient to satisfy the bond, the assets were marshalled, though the master was personally liable on the bond (e). Marshalling.

On the same principle which gives priority to the last bottomry bond on the ground of salvage, it seems clear that a lien for services of the nature of salvage rendered subsequently to the giving of such a bond will take precedence over the bond; and this point has been expressly decided with regard to a *respondentia* bond on the cargo of a ship (f). Lien for salvage.

Lien for damage by collision takes precedence over a prior bottomry bond, as the owner can confer on the bondholder no Lien for damage by collision.

(x) *Mary Ann*, L. R. 1 A. & E. 13.

(y) *Abbott on Ships* (13th ed.), 160. See *Eliza*, 3 Hagg. 37; *Rhadamanthe*, 1 Dods. 201; *Priscilla*, Lush. 1.

(z) *Brice v. Williams*, Wallis, 325.

(a) *Royal Arch*, Swab. 269, 284. And see cases cited in the judgment.

(b) *Salvia*, Lush. 545.

(c) See *Janet Wilson*, Swab. 261.

(d) *Jonathan Godhue*, Swab. 524.

(e) *Edward Oliver*, L. R. 1 A. & E. 379; *Eugenie*, L. R. 4 A. & E. 123.

(f) *Cleary v. M'Andrew*, 2 Moo. P. C. N. S. 216.

CHAP. LXV.

right greater than he had himself, that is to say, a right subject to claims for subsequent damage to the ship (*g*). A bottomry bond given for supply of necessaries subsequent to a collision will not apparently give way as a matter of course to prior claims of damage, for it is the interest of the person who has received the damage that the vessel be repaired and enabled to proceed to her port of destination (*h*).

Loss of ship.

ix.—Discharge of Bottomry Bonds.—A bottomry bond can only be discharged by payment or by an absolute total loss and destruction of the ship, and of the cargo, if included in the security. "The doctrine of constructive total loss is not applicable to contracts of bottomry, nor to policies effected on bottomry loans. If a ship exists in specie, though in a state which would warrant an assured on ship to abandon, as where the cost of repairs would greatly exceed the value when repaired, the assured on bottomry cannot recover, for the ship must be absolutely and totally destroyed in order to discharge the borrower" (*i*). So abandonment of the voyage will not discharge the security (*k*).

Capture in war.

In time of war, however, if the security is upon a ship which is captured by an enemy, the captors seize the gross tangible property without regard to any claims upon it as between the owners and other persons, whether by way of mortgage (*l*), bottomry (*m*), or lien (*n*), for purchase-money, or on any other account (*o*).

Collision.

A bottomry bond on freight, in case the ship is lost by collision, attaches upon a sum of money awarded against the other ship for freight (*p*).

Jurisdiction.

x.—Enforcement of Bottomry Bonds.—The Admiralty Division of the High Court exercises jurisdiction relating to bottomry and matters of freight.

The Court of Chancery could, before the Judicature Act, 1873, have exercised jurisdiction over bottomry bonds in favour of a

(*g*) *Aline*, 1 W. Rob. 118.
 (*h*) *Per Dr. Lushington, ibid.*
 (*i*) Arnould on Marine Insurance (3rd ed.), 962, cited by Cleasby, B., in *Broomfield v. Southern Ins. Co.*, L. R. 5 Ex. 192, at p. 196. See also *Thompson v. Royal Exchange Ass. Co.*, 1 M. & S. 31; *Joyce v. Williamson*, 3 Doug. 164; *Elephanta*, 15 Jur. 1185.

(*k*) *Heligoland*, 1 Swab. 491.
 (*l*) *Aina*, 18 Jur. 681.
 (*m*) *Tobago*, 5 C. Rob. 218.
 (*n*) *Marianna*, 6 C. Rob. 24; *Ida*, 18 Jur. 752.
 (*o*) See *Sorensen v. Reg.*, 11 Moo. P. O. 119.
 (*p*) *Empusa*, 5 P. D. 6.

mortgagee in case of fraud, and would for that purpose have enjoined all proceedings on the bond in the Admiralty Court, but this power is taken away by the Judicature Act (g). CHAP. LXV.

The proper mode of enforcing a bottomry bond is by proceedings *in rem*. Upon the arrival of the ship in this country, if the loan is not repaid within the time prescribed, the agent of the lender applies to the Admiralty Division, producing the bond and an affidavit of the facts, and obtains a warrant for the arrest of the ship, citing all persons interested to appear (r). The original bond must be produced at the hearing (s). Procedure.

The Court has power to decree a sale of the ship, and such decree may be made if the owners or other persons interested fail to put in an appearance; the Court will distribute the proceeds of sale among the persons interested according to their respective rights. Order for sale.

Upon the sale of a ship in the Admiralty Division for the satisfaction of bottomry or other claims, the title is complete without any delivery of the register. And no order will be made for its delivery against the official agent of a foreign government, who alleges that he detains it under the law of his own country. But the Court will order delivery of the register in the case of a British vessel, because its production may be necessary at the custom house (t).

The bondholder is *prima facie* entitled to his costs, but not where a large deduction is made from his claim (u). Costs.

SECTION II.

OF RESPONDENTIA.

The contract of *respondentia* is a security upon the cargo only, founded upon the same necessity for the preservation of the property. It may be defined as the hypothecation of cargo laden on board of ship to secure an advance, the repayment whereof is made to depend on the safe arrival of the cargo (x). Definition of "respondentia."

(g) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66, s. 5.

(r) Abbott on Ships (13th ed.), 158.

See also Williams & Bruce, Adm. Pr. (2nd ed.), pp. 248, 249.

(s) *Rowena*, 3 Asp. M. C. N. S. 506.

(t) *Tremont*, 1 W. Rob. 163.

(u) *Gauntlet*, 3 W. Rob. 167.

(x) Abbott on Ships, 13th ed. p. 153.

CHAP. LXV.

Respondentia is governed by the same principles as the contract of bottomry, but binding (it is said) the borrower personally (*y*), and is to secure the necessary costs of transshipping and forwarding the cargo to its destination (*z*). It is also subject to the like rules respecting maritime risk and interest, and the rejection of void stipulations, as a bottomry bond (*a*).

Communi-
cation with
owner of
cargo.

The master has no power to sell damaged goods or cargo (*b*), or to hypothecate the cargo for the exigencies of the ship (*c*), without communicating with the owner thereof; and notice should be given to the owners of the cargo before it is hypothecated on bottomry, or *respondentia* (*d*), unless the shipper of the goods is on the spot, and cognizant of the bottomry or *respondentia* (*e*); and unless owners are so numerous and remote that the expense and hazard of keeping the cargo pending the communication would probably be equivalent to its loss (*f*).

The effect of the contract depends on the form of the instrument; and although the recital stated that the loan was on the goods laden or to be laden, the borrower was only personally liable (*g*).

Master's lien
not affected
by arrest of
cargo.

The arrest of cargo by the holder of a *respondentia* bond before it has reached its destination will not affect the master's possessory lien on the cargo for freight and general average.

And if the cargo is carried to its destination either by the master, or, on his abandoning the contract, by the underwriters, the bond will be subject to lien for the full freight (*h*).

Disuse of
respondentia.

Respondentia bonds have fallen almost entirely into disuse, owing to the unsatisfactory nature of the security.

(*y*) See *Busk v. Fearon*, 4 East, 319.

(*z*) *Cargo ex Sultan*, Swab. 504.

(*a*) *Cognac*, 2 Hagg. 377.

(*b*) *Australasian Steam Navigation Co. v. Morse*, L. R. 4 P. C. 223; *Acatos v. Burns*, 3 Ex. D. 282; *Onward*, L. R. 4 A. & E. 38.

(*c*) *Wilkinson v. Wilson*, 8 Moo. P. C. 259.

(*d*) *Duranty v. Hart*, *Hamburgh*, 4 Moo. P. C. N. S. 289; *Onward*, L. R.

4 A. & E. 38.

(*e*) *Lord Cochrane*, 2 W. Rob. 320. But see *Nuova Loaneese*, 17 Jur. 263.

(*f*) *Cargo ex Sultan*, Swab. 504; *Australasian Steam Navigation Co. v. Morse*, L. R. 4 P. C. 223; *Duranty v. Hart*, 2 Moo. P. C. N. S. 289.

(*g*) *Busk v. Fearon*, 4 East, 319.

(*h*) *Cargo ex Galam*, 2 Moo. P. C. N. S. 216.

APPENDIX.

STAMP DUTIES.

THE amount of the proper stamps to be placed on the deed of mortgage security has been frequently the subject of much doubt and perplexity, and this has arisen from the obscure language used in the Stamp Acts, which, however, have been much simplified (a). Stamp duty on mortgage securities.

The principal Act at present in force relating to stamps on mortgages is the Stamp Act of 1891 (b), and it applies to the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland. Stamp Act, 1891.

The Act defines the expression "mortgage" for purposes of stamp duty as follows:—

Sect. 86.—“(1). For the purposes of this Act the expression ‘mortgage’ means a security by way of mortgage for the payment of any definite and certain sum of money advanced or lent at the time, or previously due and owing, or forborne to be paid, being payable, or for the repayment of money to be thereafter lent, advanced, or paid, or which may become due upon an account current, together with any sum already advanced or due, or without, as the case may be.” Meaning of “mortgage.”

The words “definite and certain” refer to the amount secured, not to the certainty of payment. So a specified sum secured by a mortgage of a reversionary interest payable only in the event of the mortgagor surviving the tenant for life was held to be a definite and certain sum notwithstanding that it was payable only in the event named (c). So, also, a security to indemnify a surety was held to be liable to *ad valorem* duty upon the sum for which the surety had made himself liable (d). Definite and certain sum.

The term “definite and certain sum” means the principal sum mentioned, and no additional stamp is required for commission (e);

(a) A duty cannot be imposed except by clear words. See *Marquis of Chandos v. Commrs. of Inland Revenue*, 6 Exch. 479; *Rushbrooke v. Hood*, 5 C. B. 131.

(b) 54 & 55 Vict. c. 39.

(c) *Mortimore v. Commrs. of Inland*

Revenue, 2 H. & C. 820.

(d) *Viscount Canning v. Raper*, 1 E. & B. 164.

(e) *Frith v. Rotherham*, 15 M. & W. 39, overruling *Dickson v. Cass*, 1 B. & Ad. 343.

APPENDIX.

or for interest, although bygone, either in the case of a bond, or warrant of attorney, or mortgage (*f*), unless, indeed, the interest is turned into principal; or for costs incurred in recovering the debt with interest (*g*); or for taxes, rates, duties, and assessments payable in respect of the mortgaged property or debt and interest (*h*); or costs incurred in the renewal of leases or otherwise (*i*); or premiums on policies and costs of obtaining new policies (*j*).

In these cases the payments to be made by the mortgagee are not sums to be thereafter lent, advanced, or paid, within the contemplation of the Stamp Act; moreover, the mortgagee would be entitled to such payments without any stipulation, and the expression in the instrument of that which the law implies has no effect as to the necessity of a further stamp; and this seems to be the true principle on which these cases stand (*k*).

Where interest is capitalized and turned into principal so as thereafter to bear interest, it would seem that any instrument embodying such arrangement would be liable to *ad valorem* duty on the capitalized interest as on a further advance, the interest in arrear being a debt presently recoverable, the payment of which is secured by the instrument. And it is submitted that there can be no distinction in principle whether the arrangement is effected on the transfer of a mortgage, or by agreement between the mortgagor and mortgagee that an account shall be taken of what is due for principal and interest, and that the interest in arrear shall be added to principal, and that interest shall thenceforth be payable on the consolidated sum (*l*).

Further
advances.

With regard to stamp duty on securities which are intended to cover not only a sum advanced at the time when the security is effected, but also further advances of an uncertain or unlimited amount, the Act of 1891 enacts as follows:—

Security for
further
advances, how
to be charged.

Sect. 88.—“(1.) A security for the payment or repayment of money to be lent, advanced, or paid, or which may become due upon an account current, either with or without money previously due, is to be charged, where the total amount secured or to be ultimately recoverable is in any way limited, with the same duty as a security for the amount so limited.

“(2.) Where such total amount is unlimited, the security is to be available for such an amount only as the *ad valorem* duty impressed thereon

(*f*) *Barker v. Smark*, 7 M. & W. 590; *Pierpoint v. Gower*, 4 Man. & Gr. 795; *Daines v. Heath*, 3 C. B. 938.

(*g*) *Doe v. Snith*, 8 Bing. 146.

(*h*) *Doe v. Bragg*, 8 A. & E. 620.

(*i*) *Wroughton v. Turtle*, 11 M. & W. 561; *Doe v. Larder*, 3 Bing. N. C. 92; *Lysaght (Lessee of) v. Warren*, 10 Ir. L. R. 269.

(*j*) *Lawrance v. Boston*, 7 Exch. 28; 21 L. J. Ex. 49; *Hales v. Peters*, 2 B. & Ad. 807.

(*k*) See the judgment of Parke, B., in *Wroughton v. Turtle*, *sup.* And see *Paddon v. Bartlett*, 2 A. & E. 9.

(*l*) But see *Doe v. Maple*, 6 L. J. C. P. N. S. 271, *post*, p. 1527. As to capitalization of interest, see *ante*, p. 1162.

extends to cover, but where any advance or loan is made in excess of the amount covered by that duty the security shall for the purpose of stamp duty be deemed to be a new and separate instrument, bearing date on the day on which the advance or loan is made.

"(3.) Provided that no money to be advanced for the insurance of any property comprised in the security against damage by fire, or for keeping up any policy of life insurance comprised in the security, or for effecting in lieu thereof any new policy, or for the renewal of any grant or lease of any property comprised in the security upon the dropping of any life whereon the property is held, shall be reckoned as forming part of the amount in respect whereof the security is chargeable with *ad valorem* duty."

If an amount to be advanced is limited for the purposes of stamp duty, the security is not available for a sum beyond that amount (*m*).

Where a mortgage, expressed to be made for securing the repayment or retransfer of an uncertain and unlimited amount of money, was stamped with an adjudication stamp, the Court disregarded the adjudication, and held that the instrument was admissible in evidence, and available for such amount of money intended to be thereby secured as the *ad valorem* duty denoted by the stamp thereon would extend to cover (*n*).

A mortgage to secure an indefinite sum, where a subsequent proviso limits the principal sum to be secured, is not for an indefinite sum (*o*).

The Customs and Inland Revenue Act, 1888 (*p*), allowed equitable mortgages made to secure an uncertain or unlimited amount to be made available for an amount in excess of that covered by the stamp impressed thereon; and, by the Act of 1891, this facility is now for the first time extended to all securities.

The *ad valorem* duty on a mortgage must be calculated upon the amount of the principal secured, not upon the value of the security, whether such value be greater or less than the amount secured. Duty payable on amount secured.

With regard, however, to mortgages which are intended to secure the repayment of an advance in foreign currency, or the replacement of a sum of stock, the Act of 1891 enacts as follows:—

Sect. 6.—“(1.) Where an instrument is chargeable with *ad valorem* duty in respect of—

- (a.) any money in any foreign or colonial currency, or
- (b.) any stock or marketable security,

the duty shall be calculated on the value, on the day of the date of the instrument, of the money in British currency according to the current rate of exchange, or of the stock or security according to the average price thereof.

Mode of calculating *ad valorem* duty in certain cases.

(*m*) *Richards v. Macclesfield*, 10 L. J. Ch. 329.

(*n*) *Morgan v. Pike*, 14 C. B. 473.

(*o*) *Doe v. Warner*, 2 O. & K. 1014. And see *Frith v. Rotherham*, 15 M. &

W. 39; *Lloyd v. Heathcote*, 1 Cr. & M. 336.

(*p*) 52 & 53 Vict. c. 8, s. 15. See *Fitzgerald's Trustees v. Mellersh*, W. N. (1892) 4.

APPENDIX.

"(2.) Where an instrument contains a statement of current rate of exchange, or average price, as the case may require, and is stamped in accordance with that statement, it is, so far as regards the subject-matter of the statement, to be deemed duly stamped, unless or until it is shown that the statement is untrue, and that the instrument is, in fact, insufficiently stamped.

What are
"mortgages"
within the
statutory
definition.

The term "mortgage" in the Act includes (g):—

"Conditional surrender by way of mortgage, further charge, wadset, and heritable bond, disposition, assignation, or tack in security, and eik, to a reversion of or affecting any lands, estate, or property, real or personal, heritable or moveable whatsoever: and

"Any deed containing an obligation to infest any person in an annual rent, or in lands or other heritable subjects in Scotland, under a clause of reversion, but without any personal bond or obligation therein contained for payment of the money or stock intended to be secured: and

"Any conveyance of any lands, estate, or property whatsoever, in trust to be sold or otherwise converted into money, intended only as a security, and redeemable before the sale or other disposal thereof, either by express stipulation or otherwise, except where the conveyance is made for the benefit of creditors generally, or for the benefit of creditors specified who accept the provision made for payment of their debts, in full satisfaction thereof, or who exceed five in number: and

"Any defeasance, letter of reversion, back bond, declaration, or other deed or writing for defeating, or making redeemable, or explaining, or qualifying, any conveyance, disposition, assignation, or tack of any lands, estate, or property whatsoever, apparently absolute, but intended only as a security: and

"Any agreement (other than an agreement chargeable with duty as an equitable mortgage), contract, or bond, accompanied with a deposit of title deeds for making a mortgage, wadset, or any such other security or conveyance as aforesaid of any lands, estate, or property comprised in such title deeds, or for pledging or charging the same as a security: and

"Any deed whereby a real burden is declared or created on lands or heritable subjects in Scotland: and

"Any deed operating as a mortgage of any stock or marketable security."

What is
property.

It may now be regarded as settled, notwithstanding some early decisions to the contrary (r), that the expression "property" in relation to stamp duty includes anything "which belongs to a person exclusive of others and which can be the subject of bargain and sale to another" (s). *Ad valorem* duty will accordingly be payable on a mortgage of a judgment debt (t), or a policy of assurance (t), or the goodwill of a business (u), or the interest of a partner in the assets of the partnership (x).

Conditional
surrenders,
&c.

With regard to stamp duties on surrenders and other assurances

(g) Sect. 86 (1).

(r) *Lyburn v. Warrington*, 1 Stark. 162; *Warren v. Howe*, 2 B. & Cr. 281; *Belcher v. Sikes*, 6 B. & Cr. 234; *Blandy v. Herbert*, 9 B. & Cr. 396.

(s) *Per Pollock, C. B.*, in *Potter v. Commrs. of Inland Revenue*, 10 Exch. 147.

(t) *Caldwell v. Dawson*, 5 Exch. 1.

(u) *Potter v. Commrs. of Inland Revenue*, *sup.*

(x) *Christie v. Commrs. of Inland Revenue*, L. R. 2 Ex. 46; *Phillips v. Commrs. of Inland Revenue*, L. R. 2 Ex. 399.

by way of mortgage of copyhold and customary lands, the Act of 1891 (y) enacts as follows:—

APPENDIX.

"(4.) Where any copyhold or customary lands or hereditaments are mortgaged alone by means of a conditional surrender or grant, the *ad valorem* duty is to be charged on the surrender or grant, if made out of court, or the memorandum thereof, and on the copy of court roll of the surrender or grant, if made in court.

"(5.) Where any copyhold or customary lands or hereditaments are mortgaged, together with other property, for securing the same money or the same stock, the *ad valorem* duty is to be charged on the instrument relating to the other property, and the surrender or grant, or the memorandum thereof, or the copy of court roll of the surrender or grant, as the case may be, is not to be charged with any higher duty than ten shillings."

Where a mortgage of copyhold or customary lands is effected in the first instance by means of a deed of covenant to surrender, containing covenants for payment of principal and interest and other usual mortgage clauses, *ad valorem* duty as on a mortgage is chargeable in respect of the deed, and should be impressed thereon; and the subsequent surrender will be chargeable with a duty of 6*d.* per 100*l.* as an instrument of further assurance, but with a maximum limit of 10*s.* where other property is included in the mortgage (z).

The steward of a manor must not accept in court any surrender or make in court any grant until a note is delivered to him stating all facts affecting liability to duty of the copy of court roll of such surrender or grant, and he may refuse to accept such surrender, or to make such grant until the duty is paid; he must not admit any tenant under any surrender or grant made out of court which is not duly stamped (a).

Duty of steward.

A deed of further charge will generally be liable only to *ad valorem* duty as a mortgage on the further principal sum advanced (b); but if additional property is thereby charged by way of further security for the original and further advances, *ad valorem* duty at the rate of 6*d.* per 100*l.* will also be payable on the amount of the original advance (c). No security by way of further charge is now chargeable with duty by reason of its containing covenants or provisions in relation to, or any further assurance of property comprised in, the original mortgage (d).

Further charge.

Wadsets and heritable bonds are securities of the nature of mortgages known to the law of Scotland (e). The expression "disposition" in sect. 86 of the Act of 1891 is used in a strictly technical

Wadsets, &c.

(y) Sect. 87.

(z) See Schedule to Act, *post*, p. 1539. See *Alpe*, Stamp Duties, pp. 122, 165; *Highmore*, Stamp Act, 1891, p. 65.

(a) Act of 1891, ss. 66, 67.

(b) *Ante*, p. 1516.

(c) See Schedule, *post*, p. 1539.

(d) Sect. 87, sub-s. (6), *post*, p. 1528.

(e) *Bell's Scotch Law Dict.*

APPENDIX.

Conveyances
on trust for
sale, &c.

sense referring to Scotch instruments (*f*). "Assignment" and "tack" are Scotch legal terms signifying "assignment" and "demise" respectively.

Where a debtor being entitled to a commission on the execution of certain works, assigned the same to a creditor upon trust in the first place to pay a debt owing to another creditor, and then to retain the residue towards satisfaction of a debt owing to himself; the deed of assignment contained a power of attorney to recover the commission and a covenant to pay the debt due to the assignee; the Court held that the transaction was a sale, not a mortgage, and that the stamp duty must, accordingly, be regulated not by the amount of the debts secured, but by the value of the property assigned (*g*). This decision, however, seems open to question, as it is well settled that every security for a debt is redeemable in equity, though absolute in form (*h*).

Where the primary object of a deed of assignment by debtors was the payment of the trustees' debts due to them, but there was also a trust, after they were satisfied, to pay all the other creditors, with a resulting trust in favour of the original debtors, it was held to fall within the exception in favour of conveyances in favour of creditors generally, notwithstanding the priority given to the debts of the trustees; and, accordingly, that the deed was sufficiently stamped with a stamp of 10s. (*i*).

Defeasance.

A defeasance upon a warrant of attorney does not require a separate stamp from that upon the warrant of attorney (*k*).

Declaration
of trust.

An indenture recited that, in consideration of 400*l.* (part of 500*l.* agreed to be advanced by the plaintiffs to the defendant) paid to certain mortgagees by the plaintiffs in discharge of their claim, the mortgagees surrendered into the hands of the lord land to the intent that he might re-grant the same to the plaintiffs in trust to sell the land and retain the 500*l.*; and the indenture contained covenants by the defendant with the plaintiffs to pay them 500*l.*, with interest, on a certain day, and that, in default of payment, they might enter upon the land; it was held that this was not a declaration or a deed for defeating, or explaining, or qualifying any conveyance of land within the meaning of the Stamp Act then in force (*l*), but a mere declaration of trust of the personal surrender, and that it did not require an *ad valorem* stamp (*m*).

(*f*) *Harris v. Birch*, 9 M. & W. 591.

(*g*) *Poolley v. Goodwin*, 4 A. & E. 94.

(*h*) *Ante*, p. 11.

(*i*) *Coates v. Perry*, 3 Br. & B. 48.

See *Hudson v. Revett*, 2 Moo. & P. 663.

(*k*) *Cawthorne v. Holben*, 1 B. & P. N. R. 279.

(*l*) 55 Geo. III. c. 184.

(*m*) *Haywood v. Bibby*, 11 M. & W. 812.

It was formerly considered that agreements or memoranda accompanying deposits of documents of title, which merely recorded the circumstances of the loan, were not liable to *ad valorem* duty as mortgages (*n*); but it would seem that such agreements or memoranda, if under hand only, would be liable to duty as "equitable mortgages" under the Act of 1891.

APPENDIX.

Agreements
with deposit
of deeds.

It was held that *ad valorem* duty was not chargeable on a document confirming distresses and other proceedings by the lender respecting property, the deeds of which had been previously deposited with him (*o*).

A bond has been held to be sufficiently stamped as a simple bond for the re-transfer of stock, though accompanied by a collateral security insufficiently stamped; and a bond to replace stock, accompanied by a deposit of title deeds, is not liable to a mortgage stamp as a bond for making a mortgage (*p*).

A bond accompanied by a deposit of deeds duly stamped is not invalidated by a collateral agreement for a mortgage of the same date unduly stamped (*p*).

A memorandum of a pledge of chattels with power of sale is not chargeable with stamp duty (*q*). Memorandum
of pledge.

A mere cognovit requires no stamp (*r*), and will not become liable to duty by reason merely of a stipulation that advantage shall not be taken of the giving of the cognovit before the declaration (*s*), or that time shall be given for payment (*t*); but if the cognovit contains matter of mutual agreement between the parties, as for payment of a debt by instalments, it will be liable to stamp duty (*u*). Cognovit.

An attornment clause in a mortgage does not render the deed liable to duty as a lease, and a separate attornment, if under hand only, is not liable to stamp duty (*x*), unless it contains special stipulations, in which case it must be stamped as an agreement (*y*). Attornment.

Agreements chargeable with duty as equitable mortgages are excluded from the general definition of the expression "mortgage" for the purposes of the Stamp Act, 1891, and by the First Schedule Agreements
chargeable
as equitable
mortgages.

(*n*) *Harris v. Birch*, 9 M. & W. 591;
Franklin v. Neate, 13 M. & W. 481;
Pyle v. Partridge, 15 M. & W. 20;
Fancourt v. Thorn, 9 Q. B. 312; *Meek*
v. Bayliss, 31 L. J. Ch. 448.

(*o*) *Pyle v. Partridge*, 15 M. & W. 20.

(*p*) *Blair v. Ormond*, 14 Q. B. 732.

(*q*) *Attenborough v. Commrs. of Inland Revenue*, 11 Exch. 461. See *Husley v. O'Connor*, 8 O. & P. 204.

(*r*) *Ames v. Hill*, 2 B. & P. 150.

(*s*) *Green v. Gray*, 1 Dowl. P. C. 150.

(*t*) *Jay v. Warren*, 1 C. & P. 532;
Morley v. Hall, 2 Dowl. P. C. 494.

(*u*) *Reardon v. Swabey*, 4 East, 188.

(*x*) *Doe d. Linsey v. Edwards*, 5 A. & E. 95; *Doe d. Wright v. Smith*, 8 A. & E. 255. See also *Walker v. Giles*, 6 O. B. 662, *post*, p. 1535.

(*y*) *Cornish v. Scarell*, 8 B. & Cr. 471;
Doe d. Francis v. Francis, 11 A. & E. 792.

APPENDIX.

to that Act duty at the rate of 18s. per 100l. (z) is specially charged on "equitable mortgages," which are defined as follows (a) :—

Meaning of
"equitable
mortgage."

"For the purpose of this Act the expression 'equitable mortgage' means an agreement or memorandum, under hand only, relating to the deposit of any title deeds or instruments constituting or being evidence of the title to any property whatever (other than stock or marketable security), or creating a charge on such property."

A formal legal mortgage, made pursuant to an agreement contained in an "equitable mortgage," will apparently be liable to full *ad valorem* duty at the rate of 2s. 6d. per 100l. (b).

Deposit under
Land Transfer
Act, 1862.

Under the head of "equitable mortgage" will be included an agreement or memorandum in writing relating to the deposit of a land certificate under the Transfer of Land Act, 1862, for the purpose of creating a lien on the estate and interest of the depositor (c).

The entry in the vestry book of a resolution of the vestry that the rents of certain lands, which had been devised to the parish for the repairs of the church, should be applied in repaying to the rector the same sums of money that he, at the request of the parishioners, had expended on the repairs of the church, was held to be inadmissible in evidence *as a charge* for want of an appropriate stamp, even supposing the churchwardens to have the requisite power to charge, which point was left undecided (d).

As regards equitable mortgages, not under seal, of stock, the Act of 1891 enacts as follows :—

Certain
mortgages of
stock to be
chargeable as
agreements.

Sect. 23.—“(1.) Every instrument under hand only (not being a promissory note or bill of exchange) given upon the occasion of the deposit of any share warrant or stock certificate to bearer, or foreign or colonial share certificate, or any security for money transferable by delivery, by way of security for any loan, shall be deemed to be an agreement, and shall be charged with duty accordingly.

“(2.) Every instrument under hand only (not being a promissory note or bill of exchange) making redeemable or qualifying a duly stamped transfer, intended as a security, of any registered stock or marketable security, shall be deemed to be an agreement, and shall be charged with duty accordingly.

“(3.) A release or discharge of any such instrument shall not be chargeable with any *ad valorem* duty.”

Definition of
"marketable
security."

The expression "marketable security" means a security of such a description as to be capable of being sold in any stock market in the United Kingdom (e).

(z) *Post*, p. 1539.

(a) Sect. 86, sub-s. (2).

(b) *Alpe on Stamp Duties*, 159.

(c) 25 & 26 Vict. c. 53, s. 73.

(d) *Wrench v. Lord*, 3 Bing. N. C.

672; 4 Sc. 381.

(e) See *Texas Land and Cattle Co. v. Commrs. of Inland Revenue*, 16 C. of S. Cas. Sc. 69; *Brown, Shipley & Co. v. Same*, (1895) 2 Q. B. 598, C. A.

With regard to marketable and foreign securities, the Act of 1891 further enacts:—

APPENDIX.

Sect. 82.—“(1.) Marketable securities for the purpose of the charge of duty thereon include—

Meaning of “marketable securities” for duty, and “foreign and colonial share certificate.”

- (a) A marketable security, made or issued by or on behalf of any company or body of persons corporate or unincorporate formed or established in the United Kingdom; and
- (b) A marketable security by or on behalf of any foreign state or government, or foreign or colonial municipal body, corporation, or company (hereinafter called a foreign security) bearing date or signed after the third day of June one thousand eight hundred and sixty-two,
 - (i.) Which is made or issued in the United Kingdom, or
 - (ii.) Which, though originally issued out of the United Kingdom, has been, after the sixth day of August one thousand eight hundred and eighty-five, or is offered for subscription, and given or delivered to a subscriber in the United Kingdom, or
 - (iii.) Which, the interest thereon being payable in the United Kingdom, is assigned, transferred, or in any manner negotiated in the United Kingdom; and
- (c) A marketable security by or on behalf of any colonial government which if the borrower were a foreign government would be a foreign security (hereinafter called a colonial government security).

“(2.) For the purposes of this Act the expression ‘foreign or colonial share certificate’ includes any document whatever, being *prima facie* evidence of the title of any person as proprietor of, or as having the beneficial interest in, any share or shares or stock or debenture stock or funded debt of any foreign or colonial company or corporation where such person is not registered in respect thereof in a register duly kept in the United Kingdom.”

Sect. 83. “Every person who in the United Kingdom makes, issues, assigns, transfers, negotiates, or offers for subscription, any foreign security or colonial government security not being duly stamped, shall incur a fine of twenty pounds.”

Penalty on issuing, &c. foreign, &c. security not duly stamped.

Sect. 84. “The Commissioners may at any time, without reference to the date thereof, allow any foreign security or colonial government security to be stamped without the payment of any penalty, upon being satisfied, in any manner that they may think proper, that it was not made or issued, and has not been transferred, assigned or negotiated within the United Kingdom.”

Foreign or colonial securities may be stamped without penalty.

Sect. 85.—“(1.) The duties charged upon a marketable security on the occasion of the first transfer by delivery thereof in any year, and upon a foreign or colonial share certificate, on the occasion of the first delivery thereof in any year are to be denoted by adhesive stamps appropriated by words and figures on the face thereof to the duties and the year.

Annual duties to be denoted by adhesive stamps.

“(2.) Every person who delivers or transfers, or is concerned as broker or agent in delivering or transferring, any instrument chargeable with any duty so payable, and not being duly stamped, shall incur a fine of twenty pounds.

“(3.) Where the holder of any foreign or colonial share certificate bearing the stamp for any year shall, in the course of the year, cause himself to be registered in the register of the foreign or colonial company or corporation to which it relates, and shall obtain a new certificate consequent upon the registration, the Commissioners may, subject to such regulations as they may prescribe, stamp the new certificate for the same year without payment of duty.”

APPENDIX.

Amendment of 54 & 55 Vict. c. 39, as to the payment of stamp duty on certain foreign securities.

By the Finance Act, 1895, s. 14 (f), it is enacted as follows:—

“Where foreign securities within the meaning of sections eighty-two and eighty-three of the Stamp Act, 1891, are issued in the United Kingdom, and the interest thereon is not payable in the United Kingdom, and such evidence of the amount of the securities as the Commissioners of Inland Revenue require is produced to them, then the Commissioners, if in their discretion they consider it expedient to do so, may accept payment of the amount of stamp duty which would be payable if all the said securities were duly stamped, and on such payment may dispense with the necessity of the securities being stamped. The Commissioners shall give notice in the ‘London Gazette’ of any such dispensation.”

Foreign security issued in the United Kingdom.

Bonds issued and completed in New York, and advertised in England to be sold, are not “foreign securities” issued in England within these Acts (g).

Securities for transfers of stock.

As to securities for transfers of stock, the Stamp Act of 1891 (h) enacts as follows:—

Direction as to duty in certain cases.

Sect. 87.—“(1.) A security for the transfer or retransfer of any stock is to be charged with the same duty as a similar security for a sum of money equal in amount to the value of the stock; and a transfer, assignment, disposition, or assignation of any such security, and a reconveyance, release, discharge, surrender, re-surrender, warrant to vacate, or renunciation of any such security, is to be charged with the same duty as an instrument of the same description relating to a sum of money equal in amount to the value of the stock.”

Definition of “stock.”

By sect. 122 of the same Act the expression “stock” is thus defined:—

“The expression ‘stock’ includes any share in any stocks or funds transferable at the Bank of England or at the Bank of Ireland, and India promissory notes, and any share in the stocks or funds of any foreign or colonial state or government, or in the capital stock or funded debt of any county council, corporation, company, or society in the United Kingdom, or of any foreign or colonial corporation, company, or society.”

Securities for payment of rentcharges, &c.

The 87th section further enacts:—

“(2.) A security for the payment of any rentcharge, annuity, or periodical payments, by way of repayment, or in satisfaction or discharge of any loan, advance, or payment intended to be so repaid, satisfied, or discharged, is to be charged with the same duty as a similar security for the payment of the sum of money so lent, advanced, or paid.”

Equitable assignments of debts.

An order to pay a debt, given to the holder of a fund which is the property of the debtor, operating by way of equitable assignment(i), if delivered to the creditor or his agent, required a stamp as an inland bill of exchange within 55 Geo. III. c. 184; nor was the case altered though the creditor, immediately after delivery to him, went in company with the drawer and handed over the order to the drawee(j). But it was otherwise if the order was delivered to

(f) 58 Vict. c. 16.

(g) *Grenfell v. Commrs. of Inland Revenue*, 1 Ex. D. 242.

(h) 54 & 55 Vict. c. 39.

(i) As to equitable assignments, see ante, pp. 1487 et seq.

(j) *Lord Braybrooks v. Meredith*, 13 Sim. 271; *Parsons v. Middleton*, 6 Ha. 261.

the fundholder (*k*); or, as it seems, if such had been the agreement between the debtor and creditor; or if an agreement to give a lien on the fund distinct from the order could be proved, and the order be used as evidence of that agreement (*l*).

An order by a creditor to his debtor to pay the amount of his contract to a third person is liable to a stamp as an assignment, and not as an order for payment (*m*).

By the First Schedule to the Stamp Act, 1891, *ad valorem* mortgage duty is imposed on debentures (*n*). But the Stamp Acts contain no definition of the term "debenture." An instrument not under seal issued by a joint stock company, purporting on the face of it to be a debenture, with coupons for the payment of interest half-yearly attached to it, and containing an undertaking by the company to pay the "amount of this debenture" to the holder with interest, was held to be chargeable with *ad valorem* mortgage duty, and not as a promissory note (*o*). From the case referred to it would seem that the Court was influenced by the fact that the instrument purported to be a debenture; but that, generally, a debenture will include three classes of security, either a promise under seal to pay money, or an undertaking to pay out of and creating a charge upon the property of the company, or such an undertaking coupled with a restriction preventing the company from creating a prior charge. An instrument issued by a company merely acknowledging and promising to pay a debt, will, if capable, according to the practice of stock markets, of being there sold and bought, be chargeable with duty as a "marketable security," otherwise, only as a promissory note (*p*).

The Act of 1891, sect. 87, contains the following enactment as to stamp duties on transfers of mortgages and further charges:—

Transfer containing new covenants, &c.

"(3.) A transfer of a duly stamped security, and a security by way of further charge for money or stock, added to money or stock previously secured by a duly stamped instrument, is not to be charged with any duty by reason of its containing any further or additional security for the money or stock transferred or previously secured, or the interest or dividends thereof, or any new covenant, proviso, power, stipulation or agreement in relation thereto, or any further assurance of the property comprised in the transferred or previous security."

(*k*) *Hutchinson v. Heyworth*, 9 A. & E. 375; *Walker v. Rostron*, 9 M. & W. 411.

(*l*) *Parsons v. Middleton*, 6 Ha. 261.

(*m*) *Diplock v. Hammond*, 5 De G. M. & G. 320; *Crowfoot v. Gurney*, 2 Moo. & Sc. 473; *Brice v. Bannister*, 3 Q. B. D. 569; *Buck v. Robson*, 3 Q. B. D. 686; *Fisher v. Calvert*, W. N. (1879) 7. See *Exp. Hall*, 10 Ch. D. 621,

C. A.

(*n*) *Post*, pp. 1537, 1539.

(*o*) *British India Steam Navigation Co. v. Commrs. of Inland Revenue*, 7 Q. B. D. 165. See *Levy v. Abercorris Slate and Slab Co.*, 37 Ch. D. 260.

(*p*) *Brown, Shipley & Co. v. Commrs. of Inland Revenue*, (1895) 2 Q. B. 598, C. A. See *Texas Land and Cattle Co. v. Commrs. of Inland Revenue*, 16 C. S. Cas. Sc. 69.

| | |
|---|---|
| APPENDIX. | Transfer duty is payable on the whole amount of the debt |
| Calculation of transfer duty. | expressed to be transferred, though the amount actually paid as consideration for the transfer is of less amount (<i>g</i>). |
| Denoting stamp on deeds of further charge. | Securities by way of further charge will be stamped with a denoting stamp, showing that the full <i>ad valorem</i> duty was paid on the original instrument (<i>r</i>). |
| Substance of transaction regarded. | In determining whether an instrument is liable to duty as a transfer only, or to the full duty as a mortgage, the Court will look at the substance of the transaction, and not only at the form of the instrument. So, where a mortgage for 350 <i>l.</i> was paid off by a third person, who advanced a further sum, and a mortgage was given for a sum equal to both sums, in which the mortgagee joined, this was held to be a transfer for 350 <i>l.</i> , although there was no formal assignment of the old debt, and though that debt and the equity of redemption were extinguished, and the <i>ad valorem</i> stamp was payable on the 350 <i>l.</i> as a transfer (<i>s</i>). |
| | Where a deed was executed by a mortgagor, professing to be a transfer of a mortgage for 150 <i>l.</i> , and a security for a further advance of 70 <i>l.</i> , on which sum the stamp was calculated; but as the original mortgagee did not execute it, an objection was raised that the deed in fact amounted to an original mortgage for 220 <i>l.</i> ; it was held that it could not fairly be said so to operate, and that the stamp was sufficient (<i>t</i>). |
| | A mortgage deed which bore an <i>ad valorem</i> stamp on the amount advanced did not require a deed stamp because it contained also an assignment by a former mortgagee, to whom part of the money was paid in satisfaction of his mortgage (<i>u</i>). |
| Indorsement of bonds of companies. | Section 14 of the stat. 16 & 17 Vict. c. 59, and the provisions of the stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 50, which exempted from duty transfers by indorsement of bonds and mortgages given by public companies under the provisions of Acts of Parliament as securities for money, which such companies are by the said Acts expressly empowered or authorized to borrow, and upon which four times the amount of the <i>ad valorem</i> mortgage duty has been paid, are repealed without being re-enacted (<i>v</i>). |
| Indorsement of promissory note accompanying deposit of deeds. | Where a memorandum of deposit of deeds was also a promissory note, and was duly stamped as such and as a mortgage, it was held that an indorsee might sue upon it, although it bore no transfer stamp (<i>x</i>). |
| Capitalization | A deed of transfer of a mortgage for 1,500 <i>l.</i> to which the mort- |
| | <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> <p>(<i>g</i>) Alpe on Stamp Duties, 159.</p> <p>(<i>r</i>) Act of 1891, s. 11. See Alpe on Stamp Duties, 24.</p> <p>(<i>s</i>) <i>Wale v. Commrs. of Inland Revenue</i>, 4 Ex. D. 270.</p> <p>(<i>t</i>) <i>Doe v. Tbm</i>, 4 Q. B. 615.</p> </div> <div> <p>(<i>u</i>) <i>Doe v. Lewis</i>, 13 M. & W. 241.</p> <p>See also <i>Robinson v. Macdonnell</i>, 5 M. & S. 228.</p> <p>(<i>v</i>) 33 & 34 Vict. c. 99.</p> <p>(<i>x</i>) <i>Wise v. Charlton</i>, 4 A. & E. 786.</p> </div> </div> |

gagor was a party, containing a further charge of costs and arrears of interest paid by the transferee to the transferor and converted into principal, was admitted upon a transfer stamp only (y).

By sect. 62 of the Act of 1891, it is provided that a conveyance or transfer made for effectuating the appointment of a new trustee is not to be charged with any higher duty than ten shillings (z).

A transfer of a mortgage, to which the mortgagor is a party, reciting the mortgage, is admissible in evidence upon a transfer stamp without producing the original mortgage or showing that it was stamped (a).

Where part of a mortgaged estate is reconveyed, the deed will require only a stamp of 10s.; but upon the reconveyance of the remainder of the estate, *ad valorem* duty at 6d. per 100l. will be payable on the whole amount secured.

The reconveyance of a collateral security will require only a stamp of 10s., provided the reconveyance of the principal security is duly stamped with the full *ad valorem* duty.

Where a mortgage debt is partially paid off and a deed of partial discharge is taken by the mortgagor, that deed will not be liable to *ad valorem* duty, but to a stamp of 10s., as being a deed not described in the schedule; but upon the final discharge of the mortgage debt the deed of reconveyance must bear duty in respect of the full amount originally advanced, not merely on the balance paid off at the time of the reconveyance (b).

On the same principle it would seem that if a mortgage is partly paid off and subsequently the security for the balance is transferred, no reconveyance duty will be payable in respect of the transfer, which will be liable to transfer duty on the balance transferred; but when the mortgage is finally paid off, the reconveyance will be chargeable with the full amount of the original loan (c).

An equitable mortgage will be discharged by a mere receipt which is not liable either to reconveyance duty or to receipt duty (d), unless such receipt expressly purports to be a release on discharge of the property or debt, in which case it will be liable to full *ad valorem* duty as such (d). If a deed is so covered with indorsements that there is no room for a receipt, a paper containing the receipt

APPENDIX.

of interest on transfer.

Transfer on appointment of new trustees.

Stamped transfer admissible in evidence without production of mortgage.

Partial reconveyance.

Reconveyance of collateral mortgage.

Partial discharge of mortgage debt.

Transfer of unpaid balance of mortgage debt.

Receipt indorsed on equitable mortgage.

(y) *Doe v. Maple*, 6 L. J. N. S. C. P. 271; the point is not noticed in the reports of the same case in 5 Sc. 35, and 3 Bing. N. S. 832. Apparently a different view is taken by the Inland Revenue Commissioners. See *Alpe on Stamp Duties*, p. 162. The decision is, perhaps, not to be relied on. See *ante*, p. 1516.

(z) In order to prevent the stamp from giving notice of the trust (see *ante*, p. 536), it is advisable to have

the stamp adjudicated. See *Wolst. Conv. Forms* (5th ed.), 44, 45.

(a) *Doe v. Maple*, 5 Sc. 35. See *Doe v. Brooks*, 3 A. & E. 513; *Quin v. King*, 1 M. & W. 42.

(b) *Munro v. Commissioners of Inland Revenue*, 33 Sc. L. R. 152.

(c) See *Sol. J.* Vol. 40, p. 252.

(d) See further, as to the practice of the Inland Revenue Commissioners as to stamp duty on reconveyances, *Alpe on Stamp Duties*, p. 159.

APPENDIX.

Limitation of equity of redemption in mortgage.

may be annexed to the deed and will come within the exemption (e).

As to liability to duty of mortgages where the equity of redemption is thereby limited by way of settlement or otherwise, sect. 87 of the Act of 1891 enacts as follows:—

“(6.) An instrument chargeable with *ad valorem* duty as a mortgage is not to be charged with any further duty by reason of the equity of redemption in the mortgaged property being thereby conveyed or limited in any other manner than to a purchaser, or in trust for, or according to the direction of, a purchaser.”

A mortgage deed expressed to be made in consideration of the advance, and also for the purpose of re-settling the property, and reserving the equity of redemption to the mortgagor and his wife or the survivor, does not require an extra stamp for a settlement in addition to the *ad valorem* stamp on the mortgage (f).

If property is conveyed by way of sale subject to a mortgage, the amount secured by the mortgage will form part of the consideration for the sale, and *ad valorem* duty, as on a conveyance on sale, will be payable on the aggregate amount made up of the sum so secured and the sum actually paid to the vendor for the equity of redemption. On this point the Act of 1891 enacts as follows:—

How conveyance in consideration of a debt is to be charged.

Sect. 57. “Where any property is conveyed to any person in consideration, wholly or in part, of any debt due to him, or subject either certainly or contingently to the payment or transfer of any money or stock, whether being or constituting a charge or incumbrance upon the property or not, the debt, money, or stock is to be deemed the whole or part, as the case may be, of the consideration in respect whereof the conveyance is chargeable with *ad valorem* duty.”

Any interest owing on the mortgage debt at the time of the conveyance must be included in the consideration for the purpose of calculating the duty.

A conveyance by way of sale of a reversionary interest contingent upon the vendor surviving the tenant for life, subject to a mortgage to secure payment to a reversionary interest society of a sum of 38,000*l.* within three months after the death of the tenant for life, provided he should die without issue male, was held to be chargeable with an *ad valorem* duty on that sum, as well as on the purchase-money, the object of the Act being that upon every purchase *ad valorem* duty should be paid on the entire consideration, which either directly or indirectly represents the value of the free and unincumbered *corpus* of the subject-matter of sale (g).

(e) *Orme v. Young*, 4 Camp. 336.

(f) *Dawson v. Medhurst*, 14 L. T. N. S. 622.

(g) *Mortimore v. Commrs. of Inland Revenue*, 2 H. & C. 338. The words of the Act are general, including a contingent mortgage.

So where an undertaking of a company was conveyed by way of sale to another company in consideration of a sum of stock in the purchasing company, and of that company taking over the debenture debts and ordinary debts of the vendor company, it was held that the conveyance was chargeable with the whole consideration made up of the value of the stock and the amount of the debts (*h*).

If on the sale of an estate part or the whole of the purchase-money is raised by loan, and the estate is conveyed to the lender, subject to redemption by the purchaser, the *ad valorem* duty on sales to the full amount of the purchase-money, and the *ad valorem* duty on mortgage to the amount of the sum borrowed, will be both payable (*i*).

So where a company granted an exclusive licence to carry on a business by a deed which contained a covenant by the licensee to pay the consideration money by instalments, it was held that the deed was chargeable with a 10*s.* stamp in respect of the licence, and *ad valorem* duty of 2*s.* 6*d.* per cent. on the consideration money secured by the covenant (*k*).

An order for foreclosure is not chargeable with duty as a conveyance; and accordingly a mortgagee having the legal estate will obtain the absolute ownership of the mortgaged property by virtue of the order without payment of any duty. But where an equitable mortgagee by deposit of deeds obtained an order for foreclosure absolute, it was held that the conveyance, executed under the order by the mortgagor, of all his estate and interest in the mortgaged property to the mortgagee was chargeable with *ad valorem* duty as a conveyance on sale (*l*).

Conveyance by equitable mortgagor under foreclosure order.

By sect. 37 of 10 Geo. IV. c. 56, all bonds, and securities, and instruments, and documents of a friendly society, were exempted from stamp duties; and by virtue of the incorporation of that Act in the Benefit Building Societies Act, 1836 (*m*), this exemption still exists, as regards bonds, securities, &c., of unincorporated building societies, subject to the limitation stated below.

As to securities, &c. of unincorporated societies.

It was held under this section that mortgages made to building societies by their members, under the provisions of the Act of 1836, were exempt from the payment of stamp duty (*n*), though the mortgage was made before the rules were certified (*o*), and that mort-

(*h*) *Furness Rail. Co. v. Commrs. of Inland Revenue*, 33 L. J. Ex. 173.

Revenue, W. N. (1896) 9.

(*i*) *Dart, V. & P.* (6th ed.), Vol. ii. p. 796. See *Mortimore v. Commrs. of Inland Revenue*, 2 H. & C. 838.

(*m*) 6 & 7 Will. IV. c. 32, *ante*, pp. 543 *et seq.*

(*k*) *Limmer Asphalt Paving Co. v. Commrs. of Inland Revenue*, L. R. 7 Ex. 211.

(*n*) *Mosley v. Baker*, 3 De G. M. & G. 1032 n.; *Walker v. Giles*, 6 C. B. 662; *Barnard v. Pilsworth*, 6 C. B. 698 n.

(*l*) *Huntington v. Commrs. of Inland*

(*o*) *Williams v. Hayward*, 22 Beav. 220.

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>gages by strangers to the society were also exempted (<i>p</i>). But drafts for interest payable to bearer are not exempt (<i>q</i>).</p> |
| Rent receipt. | <p>Where a society entered into possession of premises mortgaged to them by a member, and received rent from the tenant, it was held that the rent receipts were not exempt from duty (<i>r</i>).</p> |
| Limitation of exemption. | <p>By the Stamp Act, 1891 (<i>s</i>), it is enacted, that the exemption from stamp duty conferred by the statute 6 & 7 Will. IV. c. 32, for the regulation of benefit building societies is not to extend to any mortgage made after the 31st July, 1868, except a mortgage by a member of a benefit building society for securing the repayment to the society of money not exceeding 500<i>l</i>. Mortgages by members to secure sums exceeding 500<i>l</i>., and all mortgages by strangers, are thus liable to duty.</p> <p>Even before the passing of the Stamp Act, 1870, it was held that the similar exemption given by the Friendly Societies Act, 1855 (<i>t</i>), did not extend to securities on which the funds of a society were invested, but only to documents connected with the carrying on the proper business of the society (<i>u</i>).</p> |
| As to securities of incorporated societies. | <p>Mortgages to incorporated building societies are liable to stamp duty in the ordinary way (<i>x</i>).</p> |
| Mortgages, &c. of ships. | <p>Instruments for the sale, transfer, or other disposition, either absolutely or by way of mortgage, or otherwise, of any ship or vessel, or any part, interest, share or property of or in any ship or vessel, are exempted from stamp duty (<i>y</i>).</p> <p>Bottomry bonds are within this exemption; as, also, mortgages of freight, which is regarded as inseparably appurtenant to the ship (<i>z</i>).</p> |
| Exemption in bankruptcy of deeds, &c. from stamp duty. | <p>By the Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (<i>a</i>), it is enacted that :—</p> <p>Sect. 144. "Every deed, conveyance, assignment, surrender, admission, or other assurance relating solely to freehold, leasehold, copyhold, or customary property, or to any mortgage, charge, or other incumbrance on, or any estate, right, or interest in, any real or personal property which is part of the estate of any bankrupt, and which, after the execution of the deed, conveyance, assignment, surrender, admission, or other assurance, either at law or in equity, is or remains the estate of the bankrupt or of the trustee under the bankruptcy, and every power of attorney, proxy paper, writ, order, certificate, affidavit, bond, or other instrument or writing relating solely to the property of any bankrupt, or to any proceeding under any bankruptcy, shall be exempt from stamp duty, except in respect of fees under the Act."</p> |

(*p*) *Thorn v. Croft*, L. R. 3 Eq. 193.

(*q*) *Att.-Gen. v. Gilpin*, L. R. 6 Ex. 193.

(*r*) *Att.-Gen. v. Phillips*, 22 Beav. 220.

(*s*) 54 & 55 Vict. c. 39, s. 89, re-enacting the provision to the same effect of the Stamp Act, 1870 (33 & 34 Vict. c. 97), s. 112.

(*t*) 18 & 19 Vict. c. 63, s. 37, now repealed.

(*u*) *Re Royal Liver Friendly Society*, L. R. 5 Ex. 78.

(*x*) 37 & 38 Vict. c. 42, s. 41.

(*y*) Act of 1891, 1st Schedule.

(*z*) *Willis v. Palmer*, 7 C. B. N. S. 340, 358.

(*a*) 46 & 47 Vict. c. 52.

With regard to instruments relating to property of the Crown, the Stamp Act, 1891, enacts as follows :—

APPENDIX.

Sect. 119. "Except where express provision to the contrary is made by this or any other Act, an instrument relating to property belonging to the Crown, or being the private property of the Sovereign, is to be charged with the same duty as an instrument of the same kind relating to property belonging to a subject."

As to instruments relating to property belonging to the Crown.

The following regulations contained in the Act of 1891 apply to instruments generally, but are here inserted for reference in regard to mortgage transactions :—

Sect. 14.—“(1.) Upon the production of an instrument chargeable with any duty as evidence in any Court of civil judicature in any part of the United Kingdom, or before any arbitrator or referee, notice shall be taken by the judge, arbitrator, or referee of any omission or insufficiency of the stamp thereon, and if the instrument is one which may legally be stamped after the execution thereof, it may, on payment to the officer of the Court whose duty it is to read the instrument, or to the arbitrator or referee, of the amount of the unpaid duty, and the penalty payable on stamping the same, and of a further sum of one pound, be received in evidence, saving all just exceptions on other grounds.

Terms upon which instruments not duly stamped may be received in evidence.

“(2.) The officer, or arbitrator, or referee receiving the duty and penalty shall give a receipt for the same, and make an entry in a book kept for that purpose of the payment and of the amount thereof, and shall communicate to the Commissioners the name or title of the proceeding in which, and of the party from whom, he received the duty and penalty, and the date and description of the instrument, and shall pay over to such person as the Commissioners may appoint the money received by him for the duty and penalty.

“(3.) On production to the Commissioners of any instrument in respect of which any duty or penalty has been paid, together with the receipt, the payment of the duty and penalty shall be denoted on the instrument.

“(4.) Save as aforesaid, an instrument executed in any part of the United Kingdom, or relating, wheresoever executed, to any property situate, or to any matter or thing done or to be done, in any part of the United Kingdom, shall not, except in criminal proceedings, be given in evidence, or be available for any purpose whatever, unless it is duly stamped in accordance with the law in force at the time when it was first executed.”

The want of a stamp does not generally affect the validity of the instrument; and, accordingly, a deed of conveyance, by way of mortgage or otherwise, without any stamp, will pass the estate. But the effect of the above enactment is that the instrument, until stamped, will not be admissible in evidence or otherwise for the purpose of founding thereon or supporting thereby a claim in any Court (b).

As a general rule it is sufficient if the instrument is properly stamped when it is produced (c). Where an instrument is stamped

(b) *Duck v. Braddyll*, 13 Pri. 455; *Robinson v. Macdonnell*, 5 M. & S. 228; *Browne v. Savage*, 4 Drew. 635.
(c) *Clarke v. Jones*, 3 Dowl. P. C. 277; *Preston v. Eastwood*, 7 T. R. 95.

APPENDIX.

Meaning
of "duly
stamped."

after execution, it is rendered operative as from the time of execution, and not merely from the time when the stamp is affixed (*d*).

"Duly stamped" in sub-sect. (4) of the above section means sufficiently stamped according to the law in force at the time when the instrument was actually executed, without regard to the date borne on the face of the instrument (*e*).

With regard to bills of sale of chattels, the Act of 1891 enacts as follows:—

Bills of sale.

Sect. 41. "A bill of sale is not to be registered under any Act for the time being in force relating to the registration of bills of sale unless the original, duly stamped, is produced to the proper officer."

Inasmuch as by the Bills of Sale Act, 1882 (*f*), a bill of sale given by way of security is absolutely void unless registered within seven clear days after the execution thereof, or, if executed abroad, then within seven clear days after the time at which it would in the ordinary course of post arrive in England if posted immediately after execution, it is obvious that such a bill of sale cannot be rendered admissible in evidence for the purpose of enforcing the security, by being stamped after the period for registration has elapsed. It would seem, however, that a bill of sale, though unstamped, may be admitted in evidence for the purpose of setting it aside as not being in accordance with the Bills of Sale Acts (*g*).

Penalty upon
stamping
instruments
after execu-
tion.

Sect. 15.—"(1.) Save where other express provision is in this Act made, any unstamped or insufficiently stamped instrument may be stamped after the execution thereof, on payment of the unpaid duty and a penalty of ten pounds, and also by way of further penalty, where the unpaid duty exceeds ten pounds, of interest on such duty, at the rate of five pounds per centum per annum, from the day upon which the instrument was first executed up to the time when the amount of interest is equal to the unpaid duty.

"(2.) In the case of such instruments hereinafter mentioned as are chargeable with *ad valorem* duty, the following provisions shall have effect:

- (a) The instrument, unless it is written upon duly stamped material, shall be duly stamped with the proper *ad valorem* duty before the expiration of thirty days after it is first executed, or after it has been first received in the United Kingdom in case it is first executed at any place out of the United Kingdom, unless the opinion of the Commissioners with respect to the amount of duty with which the instrument is chargeable has, before such expiration, been required under the provisions of this Act:
- (b) If the opinion of the Commissioners with respect to any such instrument has been required, the instrument shall be stamped in accordance with the assessment of the Commissioners within fourteen days after notice of the assessment:
- (c) If any such instrument executed after the sixteenth day of May one thousand eight hundred and eighty-eight has not been or is not duly stamped in conformity with the foregoing provisions of this sub-section, the person in that behalf hereinafter specified

(*d*) *Taylor v. Lake*, 8 Mod. 226; *R.*

v. Bishop of Chester, 8 Mod. 364.

(*e*) *Clarke v. Roche*, 3 Q. B. D. 170.

(*f*) 45 & 46 Vict. c. 43.

(*g*) *Coppock v. Bower*, 4 M. & W.

361.

shall incur a fine of ten pounds, and in addition to the penalty payable on stamping the instrument there shall be paid a further penalty equivalent to the stamp duty thereon, unless a reasonable excuse for the delay in stamping, or the omission to stamp, or the insufficiency of stamp, be afforded to the satisfaction of the Commissioners, or of the Court, judge, arbitrator, or referee before whom it is produced :

- (d) The instruments and persons to which the provisions of this sub-section are to apply are as follows :—

| Title of Instrument as described in the First Schedule to this Act. | Person liable to Penalty. |
|--|---|
| Bond, covenant, or instrument of any kind whatsoever. | The obligee, covenantee, or other person taking the security. |
| Conveyance on sale | The vendee or transferee. |
| Lease or tack | The lessee. |
| Mortgage, bond, debenture, covenant, and warrant of attorney to confess and enter up judgment. | The mortgagee or obligee ; in the case of a transfer or reconveyance, the transferee, assignee, or disponent, or the person redeeming the security. |
| Settlement | The settlor. |

“(3.) Provided that save where other express provision is made by this Act in relation to any particular instrument :

- (a.) Any unstamped or insufficiently stamped instrument which has been first executed at any place out of the United Kingdom, may be stamped, at any time within thirty days after it has been first received in the United Kingdom, on payment of the unpaid duty only : and
- (b.) The Commissioners may, if they think fit, at any time within three months after the first execution of any instrument, mitigate or remit any penalty payable on stamping.

“(4.) The payment of any penalty payable on stamping is to be denoted on the instrument by a particular stamp.”

It has been decided that if a deed is produced bearing the proper stamp, but which is proved not to have been stamped at the time of its execution, the Court will receive it in evidence, without inquiring whether the stamp was affixed on payment of the proper penalties, nor will the memorandum by the Commissioners of Stamps, indorsed on the deed, of payment be admissible as evidence of the actual amount of penalty paid. But if the revenue laws require the stamp to be affixed within a given period, the Court will, in such case, inquire into the time when the deed was stamped (*h*).

A mortgage deed by way of demise, which passed the legal estate in the term and was not duly stamped, is an objection to a title, though the mortgagee is willing to join in the conveyance to a purchaser, and must be duly stamped at the vendor's expense, inasmuch as the purchaser is entitled to use the term afterwards if necessary as a protection to him (*i*).

(*h*) *R. v. Inhabitants of Preston*, 5 C. A. ; but see and distinguish *Exp. Birkbeck Freehold Land Soc.*, 24 Ch. D. B. & Ad. 1029.

(*i*) *Whiting to Loomes*, 17 Ch. D. 10, 119. And see sect. 117 of the Act

APPENDIX.

With regard to assignments, by way of mortgage or otherwise, of policies of life assurance, the Act of 1891 enacts as follows:—

Assignment of policy of life assurance to be stamped before payment of money assured.

Sect. 118.—“(1.) No assignment of a policy of life insurance shall confer on the assignee therein named, his executors, administrators, or assigns, any right to sue for the moneys assured or secured thereby, or to give a valid discharge for the same, or any part thereof, unless the assignment is duly stamped, and no payment shall be made to any person claiming under any such assignment unless the same is duly stamped.

“(2.) If any payment is made in contravention of this section, the stamp duty not paid upon the assignment, together with the penalty payable on stamping the same, shall be a debt due to her Majesty from the person by whom the payment is made.”

Instruments containing distinct matters.

With regard to instruments containing distinct matters the Act of 1891 enacts as follows:—

Instruments to be separately charged with duty in certain cases.

Sect. 4. “Except where express provision to the contrary is made by this or any other Act,—

- (a.) An instrument containing or relating to several distinct matters is to be separately and distinctly charged, as if it were a separate instrument, with duty in respect of each of the matters;
- (b.) An instrument made for any consideration in respect whereof it is chargeable with *ad valorem* duty, and also for any further or other valuable consideration or considerations, is to be separately and distinctly charged, as if it were a separate instrument, with duty in respect of each of the considerations.”

What are “distinct matters.”

The question has frequently arisen as to what are “distinct matters” so as to require an instrument to bear more than one stamp. The general rule on this question is thus stated in a passage (*k*), which is often cited:—“If the interest of the parties relates to one thing, which is the subject-matter of the instrument, or, in other words, if the instrument affects the separate interests of several, and there is a community of the same subject-matter as to all parties, then a single stamp will be sufficient; but where the parties have separate interests in several subject-matters, there ought to be a separate stamp for each party.”

Accordingly, where a number of persons severally bound themselves in a penalty in one bond conditioned for the performance by each and every of them of the same matter, the bond required only one stamp (*l*).

So, an instrument containing a declaration of trust of a previous surrender (or double surrender) of copyholds, first, to secure the purchase-money to a person advancing it, and then for the purchaser, with separate covenants of title with both purchaser and mortgagee, and with the other usual mortgage provisos and covenants, was held to be well stamped with one 35*s.* stamp (*m*).

of 1891, invalidating conditions and agreements precluding objections on the ground of absence or insufficiency of stamps on instruments.

(*k*) Phillips on Evidence, 490.

(*l*) *Bowen v. Ashley*, 1 B. & P. N. R. 274. And see *Reed v. Wilmot*, 7 Bing. 577.

(*m*) *Rushbrooke v. Hood*, 17 L. J. C. P. 68.

In *Walker v. Giles* (n), it was treated as doubtful whether an agreement in the mortgage deed that the mortgagor should be tenant at will to the mortgagee at a certain rent, with power of re-entry by the mortgagee, rendered a lease stamp requisite. It was not necessary to decide the question, as the deed was as a mortgage exempt from stamp duty under the Building Societies Act, and bore a 5*l.* unappropriated stamp. But Maule, J., seemed to think that the redemise would only be a *part* of the security.

A covenant by the devisee of the mortgagor contained in an assignment was held to be a new security, and formerly required another stamp (o); but now it would not require a further stamp (p).

Where distinct sums are secured to different persons by the same deed, that deed will, in effect, comprise separate mortgages in favour of those persons, and will, therefore, require two or more separate stamps under sect. 4 of the Act of 1891. But if the mortgage is for an aggregate sum contributed by several persons, so that, though they have separate interests, there is a community of subjects, the security will, apparently, be sufficiently stamped if stamped with *ad valorem* duty for the aggregate amount (q).

The First Schedule to the Act of 1891 imposes the duty therein mentioned on duplicates and counterparts of instruments; and by sect. 72 of the Act it is enacted as follows:—

“The duplicate or counterpart of an instrument chargeable with duty (except the counterpart of an instrument chargeable as a lease, such counterpart not being executed by or on behalf of any lessor or grantor) is not to be deemed duly stamped unless it is stamped as an original instrument, or unless it appears by some stamp impressed thereon that the full and proper duty has been paid upon the original instrument of which it is the duplicate or counterpart.”

Provision as to duplicates and counterparts.

If the duty on the original deed exceeds 5*s.*, the duplicate or counterpart will be stamped with a denoting stamp of 5*s.* on production of the original deed duly stamped; otherwise the duplicate or counterpart will be stamped in the ordinary way with the same duty as the original instrument (r).

A memorandum indorsed on a deed, if merely declaratory or explanatory of ambiguities in the deed, is not liable to duty unless under seal. But an indorsement will be liable to separate duty if it contains matter of agreement, whereby the operation of the deed is extended, limited, or varied. So where a deed given to secure an annuity bore an indorsed memorandum that the annuity should be redeemable on six months' notice, a rule to set aside the annuity

(n) 6 C. B. 662.

(o) *Doe v. Gutteridge*, 11 Q. B. 409.

(p) Act of 1891, s. 87, sub-s. (6), ante, p. 1528.

(q) See *Reed v. Wilmott*, 5 Moo. & P. 553.

(r) Act of 1891, s. 11, and Sched. See *Alpe* on Stamp Duties, 24, 25.

APPENDIX.

was discharged because the indorsement was not stamped (*s*). So, also, a memorandum indorsed on a mortgage deed to the effect that part of the money secured had been advanced by a person not a party to the deed was held to be liable to separate duty (*t*).

The First Schedule to the Act of 1891 contains a Table of Duties whereby the following duties are imposed on instruments relating to mortgage securities:—

AGREEMENT or CONTRACT, accompanied with a deposit.

See MORTGAGE, &c., and sects. 23 and 86 of Act of 1891.

ANNUITY, creation of, by way of security.

See MORTGAGE, &c., p. 1539, and sect. 87 of Act of 1891.

ASSIGNMENT or ASSIGNATION by way of security, or of any security.

See MORTGAGE, &c.

BILL OF SALE by way of security.

See MORTGAGE, &c., p. 1539, and sect. 41 of the Act of 1891 (*u*).

BOND for securing the payment or repayment of money or the transfer or retransfer of stock.

See MORTGAGE, &c., and MARKETABLE SECURITY, p. 1539.

BOND, COVENANT, or INSTRUMENT of any kind whatsoever.

- (1.) Being the only or principal or primary security for any annuity (*except upon the original creation thereof by way of sale or security, and except a superannuation annuity*), or for any sum or sums of money at stated periods, not being interest for any principal sum secured by a duly stamped instrument, nor rent reserved by a lease or tack.

For a definite and certain period, so that the total amount to be ultimately payable can be ascertained.

{ The same ad valorem duty as a bond or covenant for such total amount.

For the term of life or any other definite period.

| | |
|--|---------|
| For every 5 <i>l.</i> , and also for any fractional part of 5 <i>l.</i> , of the annuity or sum periodically payable - - - - - | £ s. d. |
| | 0 2 6 |

- (2.) Being a collateral or auxiliary or additional or substituted security for any of the above-mentioned purposes where the principal or primary instrument is duly stamped.

Where the total amount to be ultimately payable can be ascertained - - - - -

{ The same ad valorem duty as a bond or covenant of the same kind for such total amount.

In any other case:

| | |
|--|-------|
| For every 5 <i>l.</i> , and also for any fractional part of 5 <i>l.</i> , of the annuity or sum periodically payable - - - - - | 0 0 6 |
|--|-------|

(*s*) *Schumann v. Weatherhead*, 1 East, 537.

(*t*) *Doe d. Downes v. Govier*, 5 L. T. 37.

(*u*) Every affidavit on the registration of a bill of sale must bear a stamp of 2*s.* 6*d.*

BOND, accompanied with a deposit of title deeds, for making a mortgage, wadset, or other security on any estate or property therein comprised. £ s. d. APPENDIX.

See MORTGAGE, &c., and sect. 86.

BOND, DECLARATION, or other DEED or WRITING for making redeemable any disposition, assignation, or tack, apparently absolute, but intended only as a security.

See MORTGAGE, &c., and sects. 23 and 86 of Act of 1891.

COLONIAL SECURITY. See MARKETABLE SECURITY, and sect. 82 of Act of 1891, *sup.* p. 1523.

CONDITIONAL SURRENDER of any copyhold or customary estate by way of mortgage.

See MORTGAGE, &c., and sects. 86 and 87 of Act of 1891, *sup.* pp. 1515, 1524.

CONVEYANCE or TRANSFER, whether on sale or otherwise,—

(1.) Of any stock of the Bank of England - - - 0 7 9

(2.) Of any stock of the Government of Canada inscribed in books kept in the United Kingdom, or of any Colonial stock to which the Colonial Stock Act, 1877, applies—

For every 100*l.*, and also for any fractional part of 100*l.*, of the nominal amount of stock transferred - 0 2 6

And see sect. 62 of the Act of 1891.

CONVEYANCE or TRANSFER by way of security of any property (*except such stock as aforesaid*), or of any security.

See MORTGAGE, &c., and MARKETABLE SECURITY.

COPYHOLD and CUSTOMARY ESTATES—Upon a mortgage thereof.

See MORTGAGE, &c.

COVENANT for securing the payment or repayment of money, or the transfer or retransfer of stock.

See MORTGAGE, &c.

COVENANT in relation to any annuity (*except upon the original creation and sale thereof*) or to other periodical payments.

See BOND, COVENANT, &c.

COVENANT. Any separate deed of covenant (*not being an instrument chargeable with ad valorem duty as a conveyance on sale or mortgage*) made on the sale or mortgage of any property, and relating solely to the conveyance or enjoyment of, or the title to, the property sold or mortgaged, or to the production of the muniments of title relating thereto, or to all or any of the matters aforesaid.

Where the *ad valorem* duty in respect of the consideration or mortgage money does not exceed 10*s.* { A duty equal to the amount of such *ad valorem* duty.

In any other case - - - - - 0 10 0

CUSTOMARY ESTATES. See COPYHOLD.

DEBENTURE for securing the payment or repayment of £ s. d.
money or the transfer or retransfer of stock.

See MORTGAGE, &c., and MARKETABLE SECURITY.

DEED whereby any real burden is declared or created on lands or heritable subjects in Scotland.

See MORTGAGE, &c., and sect. 86.

DEED containing an obligation to infest any person in heritable subjects in Scotland, under a clause of reversion, as a security for money.

See MORTGAGE, &c., and sect. 86.

DEED containing an obligation to infeft or seize in an annuity
to be uplifted out of heritable subjects in Scotland.

See BOND, COVENANT, &c.

DEED of any kind whatsoever, not described in this schedule 0 10 0

DEFEAZANCE. Instrument of defeazance of any conveyance, transfer, disposition, assignation, or tack, apparently absolute, but intended only as a security for money or stock.

See MORTGAGE, &c., and sect. 86.

In respect of marketable securities under hand only, see AGREEMENT, and sect. 23.

DEPOSIT of title deeds. See **MORTGAGE**, &c., and sect. 86, *supra*, p. 1515.

DISPOSITION of heritable property in Scotland to a purchaser, containing a clause declaring all or any part of the purchase-money a real burden upon, or affecting, the heritable property thereby disposed, or any part thereof.

See MORTGAGE, &c., and sect. 86.

DISPOSITION in security in Scotland. See **MORTGAGE, &c.**

DISPOSITION of any wadset, heritable bond, &c. *See*
MORTGAGE, &c.

DUPLICATE or COUNTERPART of any instrument chargeable with any duty.

| | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| Where such duty does not amount to 5s. - | - | - | - | { The same duty as the original instrument. |
| In any other case - | - | - | - | |
| And see sect. 72. | | | | 0 5 0 |

EIK to a reversion. See **MORTGAGE, &c.**, and sect. 86.

EQUITABLE MORTGAGE. See **MORTGAGE, &c.**, and
sects. 23 and 86.

FOREIGN SECURITY. See **MARKETABLE SECURITY**, and
sect. 82.

FURTHER CHARGE or FURTHER SECURITY. See
MORTGAGE, &c., and sect. 86.

HERITABLE BOND. See MORTGAGE, &c., and sect. 86.

LETTER OF REVERSION in Scotland. See MORTGAGE, £ s. d. APPENDIX.
and sect. 86.

**MARKETABLE SECURITY and FOREIGN or COLONIAL
SHARE CERTIFICATE.**

- (1.) Marketable security (a) being a colonial government security, or (b) being a security not transferable by delivery, or (c) being a security transferable by delivery and bearing date or signed or offered for subscription before or on the sixth day of August one thousand eight hundred and eighty-five—

For or in respect of the money thereby secured - { The same *ad*
valorem duty
according to
the nature of
the security as
upon a mort-
gage.

- (2.) TRANSFER, ASSIGNMENT, DISPOSITION, or ASSIGNA-
TION of a marketable security of any description—

Upon a mortgage thereof—see MORTGAGE OF STOCK
or Marketable Security.

Exemption.—Any security, duly stamped with the duty of 1s. for every 10l., and also for any fractional part of 10l. of the money thereby secured, or duly stamped as a substituted security for any security so stamped where such substituted security bears an impressed stamp denoting that the security for which it was substituted was so duly stamped.

MEMORIAL to be registered pursuant to any Act for the time being in force relating to the public registering of deeds in England or Ireland :

Where the instrument registered is chargeable with { The same duty as
any duty not amounting to 2s. 6d. - - - { the registered
instrument.

In any other case - - - - - 0 2 6

MORTGAGE, BOND, DEBENTURE, COVENANT (except a marketable security otherwise specially charged with duty), and **WARRANT OF ATTORNEY** to confess and enter up judgment.

- (1.) Being the only or principal or primary security (other than an equitable mortgage) for the payment or repayment of money—

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Not exceeding 10l. - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| exceeding 10l. and not exceeding 25l. - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| " 25l. " 50l. - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| " 50l. " 100l. - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| " 100l. " 150l. - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 3 | 9 |
| " 150l. " 200l. - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| " 200l. " 250l. - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 6 | 3 |
| " 250l. " 300l. - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 7 | 6 |
| " 300l. | | | | | | | | |

For every 100l., and also for any fractional part of
100l., of the amount secured - - - - - 0 2 6

APPENDIX.

- (2.) Being a collateral, or auxiliary, or additional, or substituted security (other than an equitable mortgage), or by way of further assurance for the above-mentioned purpose where the principal or primary security is duly stamped :

For every 100*l.*, and also for any fractional part of 100*l.*, of the amount secured - - - - - 0 0 6

- (3.) Being an equitable mortgage :

For every 100*l.*, and any fractional part of 100*l.*, of the amount secured - - - - - 0 1 0

- (4.) TRANSFER, ASSIGNMENT, DISPOSITION, or ASSIGNATION of any mortgage, bond, debenture, or covenant (except a marketable security), or of any money or stock secured by any such instrument, or by any warrant of attorney to enter up judgment, or by any judgment :

For every 100*l.*, and also for any fractional part of 100*l.*, of the amount transferred, assigned, or disposed, exclusive of interest which is not in arrear - - - - - 0 0 6

And also where any further money is added to the money already secured - - - - - { The same duty as a principal security for such further money.

- (5.) RECONVEYANCE, RELEASE, DISCHARGE, SURRENDER, RESURRENDER, WARRANT TO VACATE, or RENUNCIATION of any such security as aforesaid, or of the benefit thereof, or of the money thereby secured :

For every 100*l.*, and also for any fractional part of 100*l.*, of the total amount or value of the money at any time secured - - - - - 0 0 6

And see sects. 86, 87, 88, and 89.

MORTGAGE OF STOCK or Marketable Security—

Under hand only. See AGREEMENT, and sect. 23.

By deed. See MORTGAGE, and sect. 86.

RECEIPT given for, or upon the payment of, money amounting to 2*l.* or upwards - - - - - 0 0 1

Exemptions.

- (11.) Receipt indorsed or otherwise written upon or contained in any instrument liable to stamp duty, and duly stamped, acknowledging the receipt of the consideration money therein expressed, or the receipt of any principal money, interest, or annuity thereby secured or therein mentioned.

RECONVEYANCE, RELEASE, or RENUNCIATION of any security. See MORTGAGE, &c.

RELEASE or RENUNCIATION of any property, or of any right or interest in any property—

Upon a sale. See CONVEYANCE ON SALE.

By way of security. See MORTGAGE, &c.

In any other case - - - - - 0 10 0

RENUNCIATION. See RECONVEYANCE and RELEASE.

TACK IN SECURITY. *See* MORTGAGE, &c.£ s. d. APPENDIX.WADSET. *See* MORTGAGE, &c.

WARRANT OF ATTORNEY to confess and enter up a judgment given as a security for the payment or repayment of money, or for the transfer or retransfer of stock.

See MORTGAGE, &c.

Progressive duties have now ceased to be payable, having been totally repealed (x).

The charge was formerly upon deeds or instruments, which together with any schedule, receipt or other matter put or indorsed thereon, or annexed thereto, contained 2,160 words or upwards, and duty was payable upon every entire quantity of 1,080 words over and above the first 1,080 words, as follows:—

Prior to the 11th October, 1850.


MORTGAGES charged with *ad valorem* duty - - 1 0 0

Prior to the 1st January, 1871.

Where any deed or instrument shall be chargeable with any *ad valorem* stamp duty or duties not exceeding in the whole the sum of 10s., or duty to the amount of such *ad valorem* duty or duties.

And in every other case - - - - 0 10 0

(x) 33 & 34 Vict. c. 99.



INDEX.

ABANDONMENT,

- of maritime lien, 1393.
- of security in bankruptcy, 1084.
- of voyage, bottomry bond not discharged by, 1512.

ABORTIVE SALE,

- costs of, mortgagee entitled to, 1099.
- power of sale not extinguished by, 906, 911.

ABROAD,

- bill of sale of goods, not within Bills of Sale Acts, 203.
- debentures charged on land, trust deed to secure, 479.
- foreclosure of land, 1001.
- interest, what arrears of, recoverable under mortgage of lands, 991.
- Limitations, Statute of, absence beyond seas no longer a disability within, 988, 1069.
- proceedings, injunction against, 870.
- vesting order, when heir is, 1423.
 - when mortgagee or trustee is, 1420.

ABSOLUTE ASSIGNMENT,

- bill of sale by way of, not within Bills of Sale Act, 1882...191.
- mortgage of debt, whether within Judicature Acts, 306—309.
- right to sue without power of attorney under, 305, 306, 309—311.

ABSOLUTE CONVEYANCE,

- construed as mortgage, when, 19—25.
 - agreement for lease on mortgagor's default, 19.
 - subsequent, for repurchase, 20, 21.
- fraud, absolute conveyance obtained by, 22.
 - evidence admissible to prove, 25.
- mutuality essential to mortgage, 21, 23.
- parol evidence as to nature, &c. of transaction, 23—25.
- payment of expenses or interest by grantor, 21.
- possession by grantee, 22.
- repurchase, conditions of, construed strictly, 20.
- undervalue, 21.

ABSOLUTE DECREE,

- for foreclosure, form and operation of, 1041 *et seq.*
 - not made in first instance, 1024.

ABSTRACT OF TITLE,

- costs of investigating allowed to mortgagee, whether, 1191.
- incumbrance, concealment of, is a misdemeanour, 46.
- omitted from, must be paid by vendor, 47.

ACCORD AND SATISFACTION,

- acceptance of part of debt, whether, 1404, 1405.

ACCOUNTANT,

- Crown, to, 1367.
- description as, in bill of sale, 248.

ACCOUNTS,

- admissions of, by mortgagor in proceedings under 7 Geo. II. c. 20...
1137.
 - on sub-mortgage, 830.
 - on transfer of mortgage, 819.
 - revivor of debt by, 749, 752.
- surety not bound by, of principal, 90.
- allowances on, between mortgagor and mortgagee, 1203—1207.
- annual rests, 1207—1212.
- annuitant in possession, account against, 1203.
 - allowance for repairs to, 1207.
- annuity, no accounts without redeeming, 1203.
- appropriation of payments, 1212, 1213. *And see APPROPRIATION.*
- bankrupt bound by, between mortgagee and trustee, 1141.
- bankruptcy, as to taking, in, 1095.
- binding, how far, on co-defendants, 1141, 1142.
 - infants, 1141.
 - puisne incumbrancers, 1140.
 - remaindermen, 1141.
- bonus or commission for loan, 1145—1147. *See Bonus.*
- certificate, carrying on accounts after, 1140, 1141.
 - opening accounts after, 1141.
 - receipt of moneys by mortgagee after, 1033, 1048, 1140.
- chambers, reference to, 1137.
- commission for personal trouble, 1192, 1193.
- costs, disclaiming defendants when entitled to, 1188—1190.
 - defence, offer to disclaim, before, 1189, 1190.
 - putting in, 1189.
 - disclaimer, what amounts to sufficient, 1189.
 - dismissal, submission to, 1190.
 - inquiry as to claims, mortgagee should make, 1188.
 - party, rule where defendant is properly made, 1188.
 - puisne incumbrancers, rule as to, 1190.
 - trustee in bankruptcy not disclaiming, 1190.
 - to bar dower, 1190.
- costs, mortgagee's rights and liabilities as to, 1174—1199.
 - action for, only, none, 1175.
 - addition of, to security as against puisne incumbrancers, 1175.

ACCOUNTS—*continued.*

costs, mortgagee's rights and liabilities as to—*continued.*

appeal as to, 1176, 1177.

apportionment of, between several funds, 1187.

commission for personal trouble not allowed, 1192, 1193.

contract, mortgagee's right arises out of, 1177.

debenture holders entitled to, 1187, 1188.

discretion of Court as to costs, 1176, 1177.

equitable mortgagee, rights of, 1177.

general costs allowed to mortgagee notwithstanding misconduct, 1184.

inquiry as to extra costs, 1191.

interest on costs, when allowed, 1197.

judgment creditor of mortgagee entitled to costs, 1186.

"just allowances," 1191 *et seq.*

misconduct of mortgagee depriving him of costs, 1177, 1178.

account, refusing to, 1178.

fraud, unfounded charge of, 1178.

redemption, resisting right of, 1178.

refusal to account, 1178.

misconduct of mortgagee rendering him liable to costs of other parties, 1178—1185.

bonâ fide claim overruled, costs allowed of, 1183.

bringing action where nothing due, 1178.

conduct of action, improper, 1181, 1182.

consolidation, groundless claim of right of, 1180.

death of mortgagee before paying costs, 1184.

distinct claims, mixing up, 1182.

defence, setting up groundless, 1182, 1183.

evidence, adducing unnecessary, 1182.

fraud, 1180.

general costs allowed, when, 1184.

Irish Courts, rule in, 1181.

joinder of parties, improper, 1180.

loss of deeds or vouchers, 1182.

mortgagor's conduct disentitling him to claim costs, 1185.

reservation of costs till after certificate, 1185.

review of costs after decree, none generally, 1184.

set-off of costs, 1185.

tender, proceeding after, 1179.

unreasonable conduct generally, 1179.

of action by debenture holder to enforce security, &c., 1187, 1188.

on covenant or bond, 1195.

of administration, action for, 1113—1116. *See* ADMINISTRATION OF ASSETS.

taking out, 1187.

of adjournment to judge, 1187.

of agreement for mortgage, abortive, 49, 50.

perfecting, 54, 1192.

ACCOUNTS—*continued*.

- costs, mortgagee's rights and liabilities as to—*continued*.
 - of appeal, 1187.
 - of application for enlargement of time for payment, 1033.
 - of claim raised *bonâ fide*, but overruled, 1183.
 - of charging orders, payable by debtor, 1361.
 - right of trustees to, 1365.
 - of defending actions, 1196.
 - of enforcing security, 1195.
 - of ejectment, 1195.
 - of extraneous matters, 1192.
 - of foreclosure action allowed in redemption action, 1195.
 - of two properties, 1186, 1187.
 - of insurance against fire, 1197, 1198.
 - on life, keeping up, 1199.
 - of investigation of title, 1191.
 - of leave to bid, not allowed, 1199.
 - of maintaining title to property, 1196.
 - of negotiating loan, 1191.
 - of perfecting equitable charge, 54, 1192.
 - of preserving fund for benefit of all incumbrancers, 1197.
 - of proceedings for preserving fund, 1197.
 - of realizing security, 1199.
 - of receiver, 1192.
 - of redemption action, defending, 1185.
 - with regard to two properties, 1186.
 - of redeeming local tax, 1196.
 - of renewal of mortgaged lease, 164, 1196.
 - of sale, abortive, 1199.
 - of stop order, 1195.
 - of transfer of mortgage, 1186.
 - of unnecessary actions, disallowed, 1196.
 - of vesting order, 1429, 1430. *See* VESTING ORDER.
 - one set of costs only allowed, when, 1187.
 - paramount title, costs against person claiming by, not allowed, 1186.
 - solicitor, mortgage for costs to, not lightly disturbed, 1145.
 - profit-costs of, 18, 1184, 1185.
 - taxation of costs of, after mortgage to secure, 1144.
 - surety's rights as to, 1196.
 - taxation of costs, 1175, 1176.
 - two separate properties, foreclosure or redemption of, 1186.
 - when mortgagee deprived of costs, 1177, 1178.
 - made to pay costs of other parties, 1178—1185.
 - decree absolute for foreclosure, further accounts dispensed with, when, 1044.
 - sums received by mortgagee after, 1140, 1201.
 - denial in pleadings, of mortgagees, 1022.
 - foreclosure action, extent of mortgagee's rights in, 1137.

ACCOUNTS—*continued.*

- fraud, opening accounts for, 1141.
- friendly societies, effect of omission to audit, 565.
- further accounts, 1049, 1139, 1140.
- interest, right of mortgagee to,
 - accruer of, 1173.
 - after certificate, 1029.
 - after enlargement of time for redemption, 1034.
 - after judgment, 1172, 1174.
 - administration actions, practice in, 1173, 1174.
 - Admiralty Division, salvage award in, 1173.
 - annuity, judgment to secure, 1172.
 - charge of debts, effect of, 1174.
 - costs, judgment for, 1173.
 - four per cent. interest allowed, 1172.
 - time from which interest runs, 1172.
 - apportionment of, where successive interests, 1153, 1154.
 - arrears of, on annuity, not allowed generally, 1167.
 - exception in administration actions, 1168.
 - on mortgage debt, what recoverable, 1168—1172. *And see*
LIMITATIONS, STATUTES OF.
 - administration actions, rule in, 1163, 1168, 1173, 1174.
 - charge of debts, effect of, 1174.
 - compound, allowed, when, 1162—1168.
 - account stated not sufficient, 1164, 1165.
 - agreement for, contained in mortgage, 18, 131—135. *And see*
INTEREST.
 - agreement for, subsequent to mortgage, 1163—1165.
 - infant bound by, 1165.
 - presumed, where, 1165.
 - puisne incumbrancers not bound by, 1164.
 - relief against, 1164.
 - bankers, custom of, 1165.
 - foreclosure and sale, distinction between accounts in, 1163.
 - transfer of mortgage, no capitalization of interest on, without
mortgagor's consent, 819, 1166.
 - compromise, debt ascertained under, 1155.
 - limitation of total amount recoverable for, 1155.
 - misconduct of mortgagee may exclude his, 1162.
 - notice to pay off, interest in lieu of, 1154.
 - on bond, beyond penalty, 1155.
 - on expenses of repairs, &c., 1207.
 - on legacy to pay off mortgage, 1155.
 - on premiums paid to keep up policy, 1162.
 - on rents unpaid under building agreement, 1166, 1167.
 - rate of, allowed, 1155—1162.
 - covenant for payment of, at same rate, after default, implied,
1157, 1158.
 - during "continuance
of security," 1158.

ACCOUNTS—*continued.*

interest, right of mortgagee to—*continued.*

rate of, allowed—*continued.*

deduction of income tax from, 1156.

deposit of deeds without memorandum, 1161.

exorbitant rate of, 1156.

further advances carry, at what rate, 1161.

increased rate of, agreement for void, 129, 1157.

no provision as to, rule where, 1159—1162.

at law, 1159.

in equity, 1160, 1161.

negation of right to interest, implied, when, 1161.

judgment, order for accounts and payment is not a, 1111.

judgment creditor in possession whether liable for wilful default, 1201.

judgment debt, whether can be added to mortgage, 1149, 1150.

mistake, ground for surcharge, &c. not for opening, 1142.

mortgagee subject to, for profits received by transferee, when, 820.

mortgagee in possession, against, 1200—1212.

allowances for outgoings, &c., 1203—1207.

bailliff or agent, expenses of, 1203.

buildings, completing, 1205.

buildings, pulling down and re-erecting, 1206.

business, carrying on, 1205.

compensation to tenants, 1204.

improvements and repairs, 1205—1207.

interest charged against mortgagee, when, 1211.

interest in arrear when possession taken, 1200.

lease, restrictive, by mortgagee, 1202.

liability of, to account, 801—805. *And see MORTGAGEE IN POSSESSION.*

mines, opening and working, 1204, 1205.

occupation rent chargeable against mortgagee, when, 1202.

order for, form of, 1200.

proceeds of sale, 1203.

purchaser, allowing possession of, before completion, 1203.

rents received or receivable during possession, 1201, 1202.

subsequent to decree, 1201.

repairs, 1205—1207.

rests, taking accounts with annual, 1207—1212.

arrears of interest, possession taken where none owing, 1209.

balance improperly retained by mortgagee, 1211.

bankers retaining over-payments, 1211.

decree for, form of, 1208.

mode of taking, 1208.

new suit, order for, without fresh evidence, 1210, 1211.

principle of rule stated, 1208.

refusal of order for, in what cases, 1210.

special grants must be shown for, 1209.

ACCOUNTS—*continued.*

mortgagee in possession, against—*continued.*

vexatious demand of accounts, 1200.

wilful default, 1200, 1201.

mortgagor not bound by, between mortgagee and transferee, 819, 820.

not liable to, for rents, 667.

opening, grounds for, generally, 1141, 1142.

solicitors, 1144, 1145.

order for taking, form of, general, 1026, 1027, 1137.

when mortgagee in possession, 1200.

with annual rests, 1208.

further, 1139, 1140.

preliminary, before trial, 1138.

out payment is not a judgment, 1111.

particulars of, in foreclosure action, 1024.

partnership, mortgagee of share in, whether may require, 508.

pleading special matters affecting, 1137, 1138.

pleadings, mortgagee in possession must set out, of rents, &c., 730, 1201.

principal, rights of mortgagee to payment of, 1145 *et seq.*

acceptance of part payment in full by fixed day, 1145.

bond debt cannot be added to, 1151, 1152.

exception as against heir or devisee, 1151.

where lands charged with debts, 1152.

further advances, costs, charges, &c. are not, 1150.

made on credit of land, 1147, 1148.

secured by equitable charge, 1148.

by judgment, 1149, 1150.

simple contract debts, in what cases added to, 1152, 1153.

against creditors, 1153.

against executor of mortgagor, 1153.

against heir or devisee, 1153.

against mortgagor, 1152.

puisne incumbrancers, how far bound by, 1140.

questions arising in action cannot be determined on taking, 1138.

railway company, must keep, of loan capital, 499.

receiver, delivery, &c. of, 923, 945, 955.

receiver's, omission from, 1051.

remainderman, when bound by, 1141.

rents, mortgagor not liable for past, 667.

mortgagee liable for, received during possession, 1201, 1202.

subsequent to decree, 1201.

reversionary interests, 617.

sale, surplus proceeds of, mortgagee must account for, 914. *See*

POWER OF SALE.

second account on footing of original account, 1139.

set-off, mortgagor's right to, 1138, 1139.

in bankruptcy, 1138.

ACCOUNTS—*continued*.

- set-off in winding-up of company, 1138, 1139.
 - of interest on mortgage against interest on legacy, 1155.
- of mortgage debt against policy moneys, 1139.
- surety's right to, 1138.
- solicitor, opening accounts between, and client, 1143.
- special matters affecting state of, to be pleaded, 1137, 1138.
- surcharge and falsify, leave to, 1142—1145.
 - definition of expressions, 1143.
 - errors in law or fact, ground for, 1143, 1144.
 - evidence in support of, 1143.
 - fraud or error, pleading, 1143.
 - solicitor, illegal charges by, 1143.
 - mistakes in accounts of, 1144.
- surety not bound by, of principal, 90.
- vexatiously requiring, 1200.
- Welsh mortgagee is liable to, 29—31.

ACCRETIONS TO MORTGAGED PROPERTY,

- compensation money on compulsory purchase, 792.
- deposit of deeds, charges, 63.
- lease, renewal of, 164, 165, 792.
- manor, mortgage of, carries, 168.
- pledge carries, 1467.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT,

- by married woman under Fines and Recoveries Act, 316—321.
 - under Malins' Act, 324. *See* **MARRIED WOMAN**.
 - Statute of Limitations, foreclosure, 1066—1068.
 - interest, 992.
 - personal action for mortgage debt, 982—987.
 - redemption before the statute, 748, 749.
 - under the statute, 750—752.
- See* **LIMITATIONS, STATUTE OF**.

ACT OF PARLIAMENT,

- notice, when, 1329.

ACTION,

- by *cestui que trust* for foreclosure, 997.
 - by creditor to set aside fraudulent conveyance, 575, 576.
 - by debenture holder on covenant, 879.
 - to enforce security before winding up, 1117—1120.
 - during winding up, 1125—1128.
- And see* **DEBENTURES**.
- by mortgagee for administration in Chancery Division, 1105—1108, 1113. *And see* **ADMINISTRATION OF ASSETS**.

ACTION—*continued*.

- by mortgagee for costs only, will not lie, 1175.
 - for foreclosure, commencement of, 1018—1021.
 - who may foreclose, 999 *et seq.*
 - who must be parties, 1003—1015.
 - See FORECLOSURE.*
 - for protection of security, 792—796.
 - assignment *pendente lite*, effect, of, 794.
 - loss by non-claim, liability as to, 795.
 - recovery of land, 792.
 - trespass, 794.
 - trover, 794.
- by mortgagee to make good defective conveyance, 793.
- by mortgagor for redemption, decree for foreclosure no defence to, 1042.
 - to enforce rights relating to mortgaged property, 629, 633. *See REDEMPTION, EQUITY OF.*
- by stranger, mortgagee may defend, 793.
 - must produce deeds in, 814.
 - mortgagor must produce copies of deeds, 814.
- by trustee for debenture holders on covenant, 879.
- costs of, mortgagee when entitled to, 1185—1190. *And see ACCOUNTS; Costs.*
- for recovery of land, 792.
- maritime lien enforceable by, 1395—1397.
- married woman may bring or defend, 339, 345.
- notice by, notice, 962, 1330.
 - of, is notice of solicitor's lien, 1324.
- on guaranty, 79, 80.
- release of cause of, discharge of security by, 1401.
- receiver, power of, to bring and defend, 952, 953.

ADDITIONAL SECURITY,

- stamp duty, 1519, 1540.
- surety discharged by creditor taking, 84.
 - may defend, when, 90.

ADDRESS,

- of grantor of bill of sale, 247.
- of witness to bill of sale, 238, 247, 248.

ADEMPMENT,

- of devise of mortgage property by purchase of equity of redemption, 854.
- legacy of mortgage debt, 850.

ADMINISTRATION, GRANT OF,

- administrator *ad litem*, 1107.
 - durante minore etate*, 401.
 - pendente lite*, 1009.
 - powers of, generally, 401.
- costs of taking out, allowed to mortgagor, 1114—1116.

ADMINISTRATION OF ASSETS,

- action for, by mortgagee, 1105.
 - mortgagee's other remedies not interfered with by, 869, 1113.
 - production of title-deeds in, 814.
- bankruptcy, petition in, for, 1108—1110.
 - creditor may present, when, 1108.
 - notice of, 1109.
 - order for administration, effect of, 1113.
 - when may be made, 1109.
 - probate, petition before, 1109.
 - transfer of action commenced in Chancery Division, 1109.
- Chancery Division, action in, 1105—1108.
 - administrator *ad litem* does not represent estate in, 1107.
 - annuitant, right of, to bring, 1106.
 - commencement of, by writ or originating summons, 1105.
 - concurrent actions, 1106.
 - conduct of, 1106.
 - creditors, when plaintiff must sue on behalf of all, 1105.
 - clause in, executors' power to mortgage assets not affected by, 401.
 - dismissal of action, 1106.
 - executor distributing assets, liability of, 1107.
 - infant defendant, 1106, 1107.
 - insolvent estate administered as in bankruptcy, 1111, 1112.
 - interest, right of mortgagee to, 1108.
 - originating summons, 1105.
 - parties to action, who are necessary, 1106, 1107.
 - sale of realty, assent of mortgagee to, 1108.
 - order for, 1107.
 - subject to mortgage, 1108.
 - to mortgagee, 1108.
 - stay of proceedings in, County Court cannot order, 1105.
 - time for redemption, what, allowed, 1107.
 - transfer of, to Court of Bankruptcy, 1109, 1110.
 - to County Court, 1110.
 - power to order is discretionary, 1110.
- costs of, 1113—1116.
 - adoption of action by mortgagee, 1114.
 - defendant mortgagee, 1115, 1116.
 - equitable mortgagee, 1114.
 - failing to prove debt, 1115.
 - foreclosure action, stay of proceedings in, 1114, 1115.
 - misconduct of mortgagee, effect of, 1115.
 - plaintiff mortgagee's rights, where estate deficient, 1114.
 - puisne mortgagee, 1116.
 - sale by Court, consent of mortgagee to, does not affect his priority, 1115.
 - sale under power, mortgagee's right to retain his costs out of proceeds of, in priority to, 1113.
 - payment of proceeds of, into Court, 1114.

ADMINISTRATION OF ASSETS—continued.

- interest on debts, tenant for life must keep down, 637.
- jurisdiction of Bankruptcy Court, in, 1108, 1109.
 - of Chancery Division, in, 1105.
 - of County Courts, in, 1105.
- mortgage by executors and administrators, for purposes of, 400—405.
- notice to redeem, no right to give, after action brought, 709.
- proof by secured creditors, in, 1110—1113.
 - bill of sale unregistered, good for purposes of, 1112.
 - debts by simple contract and specialty, no distinction between, 1110.
 - estate insolvent, rule where, 1111, 1113.
 - solvent, rule where, 1111.
 - foreclosure, proof after, 1113.
 - interest, none allowed after judgment, 1112.
 - judgment, priority of creditor who has registered, 1110.
 - security, mortgagee may realize, notwithstanding administration, 1112.
 - time when mortgagee must elect how he will deal with, 1112.
 - sub-mortgagee, extent of right of proof of, 1112.
- receiver, application by, of moneys received in action for, 944.
- transfer of action to Bankruptcy Court, 1109, 1110.
- County Court, 1110.

ADMINISTRATOR. See EXECUTORS AND ADMINISTRATORS.**ADMINISTRATOR IN FELONY, &c.,**

- appointment of, by Crown, 643, 644.
- party to foreclosure action, whether, 1009.

ADMIRALTY DIVISION, JURISDICTION OF,

- bottomry bonds, 1512.
- maritime lien, 1392.
- marshalling, 789.
- mortgages of ships, 263.

ADMISSION,

- "acknowledgment," reviving debt by, in accounts, 749, 752.
 - affidavits, 752.
 - bankruptcy, 1068.
 - defence, 1067.
- of account by debtor on mortgage of debt, 303.
- of accounts by mortgagor, 819, 1137. *See* ACCOUNTS.
- of debt for collateral purpose, does not imply covenant for payment, 10.
 - receiver appointed on mortgagor's, 949.
- surety not bound by, of principal, 90.
- to copyholds, 149 *et seq.* *And see* COPYHOLDS.

ADVERTISEMENT,

- notice from, in newspaper, none, 1321.
- of sale by mortgagee under power unnecessary, 901.

ADVOWSON,

- action to compel resignation by incumbent appointed by mortgagee, 169.
- appendant, passes by mortgage of manor, 168.
- foreclosure of, mortgagee entitled to, 999.
- manor, mortgage of, passes appendant, 168.
- mortgage of, 168, 169.
- power of sale not exercisable during vacancy, 169.
- presentation, right of, rests with mortgagor, 169.
- sale of, jurisdiction to order, 1016.

AFFIDAVIT,

- admissions in, revivor of debt by, 751, 752.
- bill of sale, on registration of, 243—249. *And see BILL OF SALE.*
 - on renewal of registration of, 249, 250.
- mortgagee's, of default prior to decree absolute, 1042.
 - of documents, 1412.
- receiver, on appointment of, 927.

AFTER-ACQUIRED PROPERTY,

- bankrupt, undischarged, power of, to mortgage, 364.
- bill of sale purporting to charge, 210—213.
- debentures charging, 493.
- future legacies, assignment of, 311.

AGENT,

- acknowledgment of debt by, 983.
 - right of redemption by, 752.
- expenses of, allowance to mortgagee of, 1203.
- fraud of, mortgagee liable for, 1294.
- mortgagee in possession as, of mortgagor, 806.
 - may employ, when, 918, 1192, 1203, 1204.
- notice through, 1263, 1307, 1325 *et seq.* *See CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE ;*
NOTICE.
 - to, of trustees of mortgaged fund, sufficient, 1263.
- of ship, bottomry bond cannot be given if there is, 1510.
- payments by, surety entitled to benefit of, 99.
- pledge by, binds principal, whether, 1464.
 - under Factors Act, 1476 *et seq.*
- possession by, reputed ownership, whether, 181.
- purchase by, of mortgagee at sale of mortgaged property void, 906.
- purchasing incumbrance entitled only to price given, 824.
- receiver should be made, of mortgagor, 916.
- sale by, of mortgagee under power of attorney, 891, 892.
- tender of mortgage debt by, 711.
 - to, 713.
- voluntary payments by, surety entitled to benefit of, 99.

AGREEMENT,

- bill of sale, not to register, 239.
- Bill of Sale Acts, when within mischief of, 196—200, 228, 239. *And see* **BILLS OF SALE.**
- for bill of sale, 199, 200.
- for bottomry bond, 1501.
- for debentures, 489, 490.
- for deposit of deeds, parol, void, 56.
- for hire and purchase of goods, 181, 196—198.
- for loan, not enforceable, 48.
- for mortgage, 41—54.
 - costs of abortive, 49, 50.
 - perfecting, 54.
 - damages for breach of, 49.
 - equitable charge created by, when, 42, 50—54.
 - legal mortgage, means first mortgage, 53.
 - priority of mortgagee not affected by, 1218.
 - right to, under, 8, 48, 53, 54.
 - subject-matter of charge not enlarged by, 54.
 - mistake, effect given to, notwithstanding, 53.
 - not an "assignment" of a life policy, 1268.
 - principal, postponement of right to call in, 54.
 - power of attorney, 52, 53.
 - power of sale under, 54.
 - purchase of equity of redemption by mortgagee, 15—17. *See* **REDEMPTION, EQUITY OF.**
 - registration of, 1244.
 - repurchase by mortgagor, 20, 21.
 - specific performance of, to secure future loan, none, 48.
 - to secure past debt, 8, 48.
 - specialty debt created by, "with usual covenants," 10.
 - tacking, verbal agreement for, void, 49.
 - undertaking to hold deeds as security, 53.
- specific performance of mortgaged, enforcement of, 870.
- surety discharged by, to give time, 83. *See* **SURETY.**

AGRICULTURAL HOLDINGS ACT, 127, 1204.

ALDERNEY,

- a home port, 1510.

ALIENATION,

- by married woman, power of, 315 *et seq.* *See* **MARRIED WOMAN.**
 - restraint on, 339—343. *See* **MARRIED WOMAN.**
- by tenant for life, mortgaging powers exerciseable after, 381.
- feudal restrictions on, of land, 314, 315.
- warrant of attorney not breach of covenants, &c., against, 70.

ALIMONY,

- mortgage of, void, 300.

ALLOTMENTS,

mortgage of, under Inclosure Acts, 383.

AMALGAMATION OF COMPANIES,

novation on, 1454—1457. *See* **NOVATION.**

ANCESTOR,

debts of, mortgage of infant's lands for, 353.
paramount to judgment, 1348.

ANNUITY,

accounts against annuitant in possession, 1203, 1207.
"acknowledgment" reviving right of action in respect of, 979.
administration action by annuitant, 1106.
apportionment of, 1154.
bankruptcy, sale in, of property charged with, 1102.
charged on income or corpus, 410—414. *See* **CHARGE.**
charging order on, 1363.
covenant for payment of, effect of, 32.
equity of redemption of, when presumed, 32, 33.
form of annuity deed, 33.
income only, when charged, 410—412.
interest on arrears of, 1167, 1168.
"legacy" includes, 410.
Limitations, Statutes of, 991.
notice of intention to repurchase, 32.
 of unregistered, 35.
novation by receipt of, from new company, 1457.
redeemable, 32.
registration of, 35.
remedies for recovery of, express, 33, 870.
 statutory, 34, 870.
repairs, &c., annuitant taking possession not allowed costs of, 1207.
redemption of, decree for, 736.
repurchaseable, when construed as mortgage, 32.
sale to raise arrears of, 413, 1017.
stamp duty, 1536.
tenant for life, how far bound to keep down, 638, 640.
term to secure, power of entry not inconsistent with, 33.
title deeds, right to, of grantee of, 809.
warrant of attorney to secure, 69.

ANTICIPATION, RESTRAINT ON, 339—343. And see MARRIED WOMAN.**APPARENT POSSESSION,**

Bills of Sale Acts, 217—220. *And see* **BILLS OF SALE.**
order and disposition, 254.

APPEAL,

costs, against order as to, none, 1076, 1077.
of, in foreclosure action, 1003.

APPEAL—continued.

- foreclosure action, enlargement of time for payment pending, 1033.
- in debenture holder's action by person not party, none, 1118.
 - from refusal of leave to bring, &c., proceedings, 1126.
- lis pendens* not continued by, 1324.
- public-house licence, against refusal of, mortgagee's right of, 794.

APPLICATION OF MORTGAGE MONEY,

- mortgagee not bound to see to, advanced to executors and administrators, 401—405. *See* EXECUTORS AND ADMINISTRATORS.

APPLICATION OF PURCHASE-MONEY,

- purchaser from mortgagee bound to see to, whether, 909, 910.

APPOINTMENT,

- by married woman, 332, 333. *See* MARRIED WOMAN.
- deposit of deeds by way of mortgage, not an, 64.
- father and son mortgaging after, 1315.
- mortgage by, 426, 701.
- of receiver by Court, 924 *et seq.* *See* RECEIVER.
 - by deed, 916 *et seq.* *See* RECEIVER.
- of trustees, transfer of mortgage on, 535, 829.
- redemption by persons claiming in default of, 701.
- registration of, 1246.
- wife, property appointed by, is assets, 349.

APPORTIONMENT,

- of annuity, 1154.
- costs between separate estates, 1186, 1187.
- legacy, charitable, out of realty and personalty, 540.
- mortgage debt, where trust for conversion, 848, 849.
- tenant for life and remainderman of mortgage debt, 530.

APPROPRIATION OF BILLS OF LADING,

- to answer accepted bills of exchange, 1494—1496.
 - bills of exchange before acceptance, 1497—1499.
- And see* BILLS OF LADING.

APPROPRIATION OF PAYMENTS,

- book debts, none, of moneys received under mortgage of, 1213.
- creditor may make, when, 1213.
- current account, death of surety for, determines, 1212.
 - none of moneys received under mortgage to, 1213.
- debtor has first right to make, 1212, 1213.
- interest before principal, 1213.
- presumption, where no express, 1212.
- testamentary direction for, of particular fund to debt, 404.

APPURTENANCES,

- cargo not, of ship, 260, 267, 273.
- what passes by word, in mortgage of ship, 260, 261, 273.

ARBITRATION,

- building societies, 558, 559.
- friendly societies, 566.

ARRANGEMENT,

- clauses in mortgage deed, 108, 109.
- family, mortgages by way of, 18, 19.
- schemes of, by joint stock companies, 1133—1136.
- by railway companies, 1132, 1133.

ARREARS,

- of annuity, bar of right to recover, 991.
- tenant for life how far bound to keep down, 638, 640.
- calls, mortgage of, 498. *And see CALLS.*
- income chargeable of married woman, notwithstanding restraint on anticipation, 339, 1360.
- interest on mortgage, what recoverable, 1168—1172. *See LIMITATIONS, STATUTES OF.*

ARTICLES OF COMPANY,

- borrowing powers cannot be conferred upon company by, 469.
- may be vested in directors by, 471.

ASSENT OF EXECUTOR,

- inquiry by mortgagee as to, 312.
- notice of assignment of legacy before, 1264, 1265.
- sale of specific legacy by executor, 400.

ASSETS,

- administration of, actions, &c. for, 1105 *et seq.* *See ADMINISTRATION.*
- decree for, mortgaging powers of executor not affected by, 401.
- application of, order of, 651.
- copyholds, equity of redemption of, is legal, 652.
- equitable, 651, 653.
- executor's power over, 399.
- freeholds, equity of redemption in, is, 652.
- legal, instances of, 653.
- mortgage of, by executor, 400—405.
- mortgage debt payable primarily out of, under former law, 753—767.
- not under present law, 767—773.
- realty, inheritable interests in, are, 652, 653. *See EXONERATION.*
- reversion in fee, is, 652.
- sale of, by executor, 399.
- wife, property appointed by, is assets, 349.

ASSIGNEE, PENDENTE LITE,

- party, to action by mortgagor generally, 631, 632.
- See REDEMPTION, EQUITY OF.*
- to redemption action, 724.
- redemption, dismissal of action for, binds, when, 736, 737.

ASSIGNMENT,

- absolute, of debt, meaning of, 306, 309.
 - right to sue conferred by, 305, 306, 309—311.
- covenant against, deposit of lease, whether breach of, 163.
- equitable, hypothecation by, 1487—1499 *et seq.* See **HYPOTHECATION.**
- for benefit of creditors, mortgagee may foreclose after, 999.
 - not a bill of sale, 202.
- future debts, by way of mortgage, 302.
- of bill of sale, registration of, unnecessary, 252.
- of bottomry bond, 1500.
- of chattels, difference between and licence to seize, 199.
 - is bill of sale, 194.
- of debt, notice of, 304.
- of goods at sea by indorsement of bill of lading, 1496.
- of legacy, notice of, 312, 1263 *et seq.*
- of leaseholds, mortgage of, by, 155, 156. See **LEASES.**
- of machinery, 205—267.
- of mortgage, 819 *et seq.* See **TRANSFER OF MORTGAGE.**
- of powers under S. L. Acts, void, 395.
- of vendor's lien, 1374.
- pendente lite*—
 - assignee a necessary party to foreclosure action, whether, 1014, 1015.
 - effect upon mortgagee's action, 794.
 - is not champerty, 794.
- stamp duty, 1536.

ASSIGNS,

- power of sale exerciseable by, whether, 887—891.
- redemption by, of mortgagor, 673.
- vendor's lien binds, if with notice, 1373.

ASYLUM,

- mortgage for providing, for lunatics, 450.

ATTAINDER,

- of mortgagee, 642, 643.
- mortgagor, 643.
- trustee, 642.

ATTENDANT TERM,

- escheatable, 645.
- priority by getting in, 1215.

ATTESTATION,

- constructive notice from, 1315.
- of bill of sale, 240—245. See **BILL OF SALE.**
 - memorial in Irish Registry, 1243.
 - Middlesex Registry, 1242.
 - Yorkshire Registry, 1243.
- warrant of attorney, by solicitor, 72, 73.

ATTORNEY, POWER OF. *See* POWER OF ATTORNEY.

ATTORNEY-GENERAL,

party to foreclosure action, when, 1013.

ATTORNMENr,

Bills of Sale Acts, avoidance by, of clauses for, 201, 664.

remedies of mortgagee exerciseable notwithstanding, 664.

death of mortgagee, mortgagor bound after, by, 665.

fluctuating rent, 666.

fraudulent preference in bankruptcy, 591, 666.

heir of mortgagor not bound by, 665.

mortgagee in possession, liability as, under, 663.

receiver, attornment to, by tenants, 665.

rent, receipt of, by mortgagee under, 807.

reservation of, by, 666.

stamp duty, 1521.

successive attornments, 665.

tenancy by estoppel created by, 666.

term of, 665.

AUCTION,

memorandum of sale, at, when a bill of sale, 196.

sale of mortgaged property by, 901.

BAILEE,

mortgagee can recover possession of chattels from, 188.

BAILIFF,

expenses of, allowance to mortgagee of, 1203.

BANKERS,

banking company, borrowing powers of, 468.

company, mortgage by, to their, 468.

custom of, as to debentures to bearer, 483.

deposit of deeds with, is subject to equities, 64.

by executors and administrators, 405.

interest, capitalization of, by, 1165.

lien of, by law merchant, 1389, 1390.

discharge of, by taking security, 1390.

extent of, 1389.

set off of separate accounts not allowed, 1390.

marginal notes of, 1266.

tender of notes of, 716.

BANK OF ENGLAND,

charging order on, 1362.

depository not trustee, 1258.

distingas, notice in lieu of, to, 1276.

BANK OF ENGLAND—continued.

- legal holder entitled to payment by, 1365.
- notice to, of claim on stock, 1258.
- tender of notes of, legal, 716.

BANKRUPTCY,

- accounts between mortgagee and trustee bind bankrupt, 1141.
- mode of taking, in, 1095.
- act of, bill of sale, giving, amounts to, when, 583.
- committed in what cases, 577.
- consideration of forbearance, 580.
- constructive notice, how far, 1324, 1325.
- fraudulent preference is, 577.
- indemnity to surety, assignment of all debtor's property by way of, 580.
- mortgage fraudulent within 13 Eliz. c. 5, is, 476, 478.
- made three months before petition not avoided, 576, 578.
- of all debtor's property, 580.
- separate property by partner, 584.
- of part of debtor's property, 580, 581.
- colourable exceptions, 582.
- what is sufficient exception, 581, 583.
- motives of parties immaterial to validity of mortgage, 580.
- notice of, 580, 593.
- pressure by creditor, effect of, 582.
- remedies of creditors, mortgage defeating, is, 583.
- action by trustee of bankrupt mortgagee for foreclosure, 1076.
- administration of assets, jurisdiction in, 1108, 1109.
- petition for, 1108—1110. *And see ADMINISTRATION OF ASSETS.*
- admissions in, reviving debt by acknowledgment, 1068.
- after-acquired property, mortgage by bankrupt of, 364.
- annuity, sale of property charged with, 1102.
- ante-dating mortgage on eve of, 1293.
- arrangement with creditors. *See COMPOSITION.*
- attorney, power of, determined by mortgagor's, 650.
- attornment clause, 591, 666.
- bidding by mortgagee on sale by Court, 1100, 1101.
- chattels, personal, what are, in, 179.
- commencement of, 179.
- composition. *See COMPOSITION.*
- disclaimer of lease by trustee in mortgagor's, 160—162.
- of onerous property, 650.
- foreclosure, jurisdiction of Court to restrain on, 999, 1076—1078.
- trustee of bankrupt mortgagee may bring action for, 999, 1077.
- fraudulent preference, avoidance of mortgages for, 584—598. *See FRAUDULENT PREFERENCE.*
- is act of bankruptcy, 577.

BANKRUPTCY—continued.

- goods divisible among creditors in, 178.
- growing crops, removal of, by mortgagor's trustee, restrained, 670.
- improvements, leave to make, 1206.
- infant cannot be made bankrupt, 356.
- jurisdiction in, 1075—1078.
 - Bankruptcy Courts, general powers of, 1075, 1076.
 - Chancery proceedings not generally restrained, 1076, 1078.
 - County Courts, powers of, 1075, 1076.
 - foreclosure, Bankruptcy Court can order, whether, 1078.
 - mortgagee in possession, 1076—1078.
 - objections to jurisdiction, 1078.
 - stay of proceedings against bankrupt, 1076.
 - trover, restraint on action of, 1078.
- married woman, husband's concurrence in mortgage by, not prevented by his, 317.
- marshalling not prevented by, of mortgagor, 780.
- mortgage of property of bankrupt, 364, 365.
 - by bankrupt of after-acquired property, 364.
 - of contingent surplus of estate, 365.
 - of leaseholds, 364.
 - of real estate, 364.
 - trustee, his power to make, 364.
- mortgage debt vests in trustee of mortgage, 851.
- mortgagee, bankrupt, not necessary party to foreclosure action, 1011.
- notice, trustee bound by mortgage, though without, 1256.
 - must give, to trustees of fund, 1255.
 - to trustee of assignment of shares in company, not necessary, 1269.
- order and disposition in, 254, 1259, 1267.
- power of attorney, how affected, by principal becoming bankrupt, 650.
- receiver becoming bankrupt, 958.
 - proceedings by, 952.
- receiving order, jurisdiction to make, 1079.
 - secured creditors, how affected by, 1079—1089.
- redemption action, mortgagor cannot bring, after, 695.
 - trustee party to, 722.
- rents, mortgagee's right to, after mortgagor's, 668, 669.
- reputed ownership,
 - chooses in action not within, 178.
 - meaning of, 187.
 - possession acquired after bankruptcy, 179.
 - by agents, &c., of bankrupt, 181.
 - by builder of materials seizable on default, 185.
 - by consent of "true owner," 184—186.
 - withdrawal of consent, 186.
 - by custom of trade or locality, 179, 180.
 - by factor, 181.

BANKRUPTCY—continued.reputed ownership—*continued.*

- possession by husband of wife's goods, 180.
 - partner of bankrupt, 179, 180.
 - pawnbroker, 183.
 - receiver, 183, 943.
 - sheriff, 183.
 - wharfinger, 182.
- constructive, 181.
- determination of, by entry of creditor, 186.
- in "trade or business," 184.
- of goods on hire system, 181.
- pictures in gallery for exhibition, 183.
- set-off, mortgagor's right to, 1138, 1139.
- seizure of goods on, of grantor of bill of sale, 220, 222.
- trade carried on by bankrupt with trustee's consent, 187.
- trade fixtures, 179.
 - machinery, 181.
 - utensils, 178.
- trust property vested in bankrupt, 180.
- unfinished chattel on contractor's premises, 182.
- sale by Court in, 1094—1104. *See* SALE BY COURT.
- secured creditors, assessment of value of, by, 1086—1089.
 - amendment of estimate, 1089.
 - composition, jurisdiction to order sale in, none, 1097.
 - voting for, 1088.
- debtor's estimate, creditor not bound by, 1088.
- excess over estimate, mortgagee must pay to trustee, 1088, 1089.
 - where estate undervalued, 1083.
- foreclosure, right of, notwithstanding estimate, 1088.
- redemption by trustee at estimated value, 1088.
- rejection of proof, effect of, 1089.
- rules as to, set out, 1086—1088, 1094, 1095.
- statutory provision as to, set out, 1086.
- voting, mortgagee's right of, 1087, 1088.
- secured creditors, consignees, when, 1079.
 - definition of expression, 1079.
 - equitable mortgagees by deposit are, 1079.
 - licensees are not, 1079.
 - partnership of, with debtor, 1080.
- secured creditors, proof by, 1089—1094.
 - acquiescence in creditor's deed, 1094.
 - all debts payable *pari passu*, 1089.
 - annuity secured by policy, 1089.
 - composition, rights under, 1093, 1094.
 - costs properly incurred, allowed, 1089, 1090.
 - deficiency in value of security, 1090.
 - dividend, creditor in composition coming in after, 1094.
 - proof must not disturb previous, 1089.
 - trustee not bound to reserve, 1089.

BANKRUPTCY—continued.

- secured creditors, proof by—*continued.*
 - future time, debts payable at, 1092.
 - interest, after adjudication, not allowed, 1091.
 - after receiving order, 1090—1092.
 - arrears of, at date of receiving order, 1091.
 - proof for, against assignee of equity of redemption, 1092.
 - capitalized, proof for, 135.
 - income during Chancery proceedings applied to payment of, 1092.
 - indemnity, security by way of, 1092.
 - mortgagee in possession, 1092.
 - profits after receiving order, 1092.
 - of partnership, sharing, 507.
 - rate of, allowed, 1093.
 - value realized or assessed, may be allocated to, 1091.
 - distinction where security realized by Court, 1091, 1092.
 - payment in full out of proceeds of sale, if sufficient, 1090.
 - policy of life assurance, premiums for keeping up, 297.
 - rejection of proof, effect of, 1089.
 - security, assessment of, and proof for balance, 1086.
 - realization of, and proof for balance, 1085, 1086.
 - retainer of, 1080, 1081.
 - surrender of, and proof for whole debt, 1081—1085.
 - stock mortgage, proof on, 1090.
- secured creditors, property charged in favour of, must exist at date of bankruptcy, 1080.
- secured creditors, realization of security by, 1085, 1086.
 - compositions, proof in, 1085.
 - deficiency, proof for, 1085, 1086.
 - postponement of charge, at request of bankrupt, 1086.
- secured creditors, receiving order, how far affects rights of, 1079 *et seq.*
- secured creditors, retainer of security by, 1080, 1081.
 - benefit of security not lost by omission to assess, 1080.
 - disclaimer of lease mortgaged by demise, 1081.
 - dissentient creditor not compellable to give up security, 1080.
- secured creditors, security of, must exist at bankruptcy, 1080.
- secured creditors, surrender of security by, 1081—1085.
 - abandonment of security, what amounts to, 1084.
 - annulment of bankruptcy, return of securities on, 1085.
 - benefit of security must be completely surrendered, 1081.
 - composition paid without surrender, 1081.
 - failure of security, right to prove, on, 1085.
 - joint and separate estates, 1082—1084.
 - partial proof, 1084.
 - puisne incumbrancers, rights of, not accelerated, 1085.
 - retraction of, 1085.

BANKRUPTCY—continued.

- secured creditors, surrender of security by—*continued*.
 - surety, claims against, not prejudiced by, 1082.
 - security of, need not be surrendered, 1082.
- third persons indebted to bankrupt, proof on securities of, 1082.
- title of mortgagee, dispute as to, 1084.
- whole debt, proof for, 1081, 1084.
- willingness to surrender must be stated, 1081.
- ship, mortgage of, deposit of, 270.
 - rights of mortgagee under, 269, 270.
- tacking, right of, whether affected by, of mortgagor, 1227.
- tenant in tail, defective assurance by, 375, 376.
 - disentailing assurance by, enrolment of, 379.
- title of trustee, relation back of, 577.
- trustee in, consolidation against, 861, 862.
 - enlargement of base fee by, 375.
 - necessary party to foreclosure action, whether, 1011.
 - stop order, effect of, against, 1280.
- undischarged bankrupt, power of, to mortgage after-acquired property, 364.
- vesting of debtor's property, on, 364, 650.
- vesting orders in, 650, 651.
- winding-up of company, jurisdiction in, 1120.
 - proof in, 1128.

BARE TRUSTEE,

- death of, devolution on, 839, 840.
- heir of mortgagee is, for purchaser on sale under power, 838.

BASE FEE,

- created, how, by tenant in tail, 373.
- enlargement of, 373—376.
 - by married woman, 337.
 - by merger, 393.
- mortuum vadium*, passed a, 1.
- vivum vadium*, passed a, 1.

BEDFORD LEVEL ACT,

- registration under, 1241.

BENEFICE,

- land tax, charge on, in favour of incumbent redeeming, 440.
- meaning of term in Church Building Acts, 442.
- mortgage of, avoidance of, generally, 438—440.
 - Irish benefice, valid during life of grantor, 440.
 - under statutory powers for building, &c., 441—444.
- nomination to, mortgagor's right to, 628.
- patron of mortgaged, not proper party to foreclosure action, 1010.
- presentation to mortgaged, 792.
- receiver of, 932.

BENEFICIAL OWNER,

- assignment as, avoids bill of sale, 236.
- conveyance as, covenants for title implied by (freeholds), 141—146.
(leaseholds), 167.

BENEFIT BUILDING SOCIETIES,

- accounts and audit of mortgage investments, 546, 547.
- advances to, strangers may make, 458.
- arbitration clauses, 558, 559.
- constitution and purpose of, 547, 548.
- copyholds, admission of trustees to, on behalf of, 549.
- discount on subscriptions, allowance of, on redemption, 553.
- distinction between terminating and permanent societies, 544, 545.
- finances are principal moneys and carry interest, 552.
- incorporation of, 460.
 - property vests in society on, 549.
- mortgages by incorporated, 460—463.
 - banking account overdrawn, 462.
 - borrowing powers depend on statute and rules, 460.
 - directors liable for exceeding, 461.
 - limit of, 461, 462.
 - enforcement of securities, 463.
- mortgages by unincorporated, 458—460.
 - borrowing powers, 458, 459.
 - acquired after date of loan, 459.
 - directors personally liable for borrowing without, 460.
 - first charge, proviso that loan shall be, 458.
 - members not personally liable for loans, 458.
 - subrogation, directors entitled to, when, 459.
- mortgages to incorporated,
 - advanced members, 548.
 - ballot for advances prohibited, 545.
 - collateral security may be taken, 546.
 - costs of foreclosure or redemption actions, right to, 549, 550.
 - foreclosure of, 549.
 - form of, 548, 549.
 - instalments, proviso for immediate payment of, on default, 554.
 - interest on fines, &c., after principal paid, 553.
 - investing members, 548.
 - made to society, 548.
 - membership, contract of, affects, 550.
 - power to lend on mortgage, 544.
 - property, advance must be on real or leasehold, 545.
 - vests in society on incorporation, 549.
 - redemption, terms of, depend on rules, 550—556.
 - consolidation clause, 551, 552.
 - duration of society calculated, 550, 551.
 - joint stock company mortgagor, 554.
 - subscriptions "and other moneys," mortgage to secure, 553.

BENEFIT BUILDING SOCIETIES—continued.**mortgages to incorporated—continued.**

- registered mortgage, 549.
- second mortgage, advance on, prohibited, 546.
- speculative investments permissible, 546.
- transfer of, 549.
- trust for sale, security in form of, 549.

mortgages to unincorporated,
form of, 544.

- made to trustees of society, 548.
- power to lend on mortgage, 543.
- property of society vested in trustees, 543.
- stranger, loan to, 543.
- premiums, interest on, 553.
 - proof in bankruptcy for future, 553.
- reconveyance of mortgage to, 559—563.
 - deed of, by incorporated society, 563.
 - by unincorporated society, 563.
 - satisfaction, entry of, on court rolls, 562.
 - statutory receipt, form and effect of, 559—562, 1318.
 - vacation of debt by, 562.
 - vesting of property by, 560, 561.
 - tacking further advances, 561.

rules, alteration of, after advance, binds mortgagor, whether, 555,
556.**validity of, registrar's certificate conclusive as to,**
556.

- conflict between mortgage deed and, 550.
- contents of, statutory provisions as to, 545.
- discount on subscriptions, allowance of, 553.
- power to determine amount payable on redemption, 552.
- profits, allowance for, 551.
- subscriptions after advance, payment of, 554.
- stamp duty on securities of, 1529, 1530.
- transfer of mortgage by, 549.
- winding-up of, rights, &c. of mortgagors on, 556—558.
 - advanced member, contributory when, 556, 557.
 - liability for loan of, 558.
- proceedings for, 557.
- rules of society continue in force on, 557.
- shares, paid up, at interest, withdrawal of, 557.

BETTING,

- loan repayable out of winnings by, 624.

BIDDINGS,

- by mortgagee at sale in foreclosure and redemption actions, 1039.
 - under order in bankruptcy, 1100, 1101.
 - under power, 906.

BILLS OF EXCHANGE,

- Act, debenture may be "promissory note" within, 482.
- appropriation of consignments to, 1494—1499. *And see* **BILLS OF LADING.**
- bill of sale, collateral security to, 238, 239.
- bottomry bond, collateral security to, 1501.
- directors accepting, without authority, 471.
- factor, pledge of, by, 1486.
- lien, holder of bill has no, 1498.
- merger by mortgage to secure, at maturity, 1448.
- mortgagee of chattels entitled to possession after taking, 188.
- specific appropriation of, 1498.
- tender of, afterwards dishonoured, 716.

BILLS OF LADING,

- agent, authority of, to bind principal, 1497.
- lien of, 1497.
- agreement conferring lien on, not within Bills of Sale Acts, 202.
- appropriation of, to accepted bills of exchange, 1494—1496.
 - assignment after, of goods at sea, 1495.
 - changes property without transmission, 1495.
- appropriation of, to bills of exchange before acceptance, 1497—1499.
 - by attachment, 1497.
 - custom of trade as to non-delivery until payment, 1497.
 - drawee has no property in goods until acceptance, 1497.
 - may refuse to accept, 1497.
 - indorsement with notice, 1497.
 - without notice, 1497.
- assignment of goods at sea, by indorsement of, 1496.
 - where no bill of lading, 1495.
- Bills of Sale Acts do not apply to, 201.
- changes the property, whether, 1494.
- consignee must follow directions, 1497.
- contract for delivery of, within definite time, none implied, 1496.
- equitable assignment of goods, funds, &c., 1498.
- forged, 1499.
- indorsee of, not liable for freight, 1495.
- indorser of, may maintain action for damage, 1495.
- indorsement of, fraudulent, 1496.
- lien, none by holder of bill of exchange, 1498.
- "order or assigns" omitted from, 1499.
- order to appropriate annual remittances, 1496.
- pledge of, 1461, 1466.
- receipt in form of, effect, 1496.
- second, assignment by, inquiry as to first, 1496.
 - consignee cannot reject cargo on non-delivery of, 1496.
 - fraudulent indorsement of, 1496.
 - purchaser under, preferred to indorsee of first, when, 1496.

BILLS OF LADING—continued.

- secret trust, 1499.
- specific appropriation, what amounts to, 1499.
- third parties, rights of, 1499.

BILLS OF SALE,

- abroad, bills of sale of goods not within Acts, 203.
- absolute, excluded from operation of Act of 1882...191.
- accordance with the statutory form, 229—238.
- "accountant," description as, 248.
- act of bankruptcy, giving bill of sale, when amounts to, 583.
- action for damages for wrongful registration, 203.
- address of grantor in affidavit, 247.
 - of witness to bill of sale, 238, 247, 248.
- affidavit on registration, 243—249.
 - ambiguities, &c. in, may be remedied by reference to bill of sale, 245.
 - before whom to be sworn, 244, 245.
 - date of execution must be stated in, 245.
 - description of grantor, 247—249.
 - as to name, 247.
 - occupation, 248, 249.
 - residence or address, 247.
 - mistake in, effect of, 248.
 - where several grantors, 246.
 - description of attesting witness, 248.
 - due execution and attestation to be stated in, 245.
 - false statement in, perjury, 244.
 - filing of, 243, 244.
 - mistake in, cannot be rectified, 251.
- affidavit on renewal of registration, 249, 250.
- after-acquired chattels, assignment of, generally, 211—213.
 - intention to include must be clear, 212.
 - must be ascertainable, 212, 213.
 - void except as against grantor by Act of 1882...210.
 - except as to substituted chattels, 216.
- agreement, accompanying deposit of goods, 196.
 - building, licence to seize materials on default, 199.
 - collateral, not a "defeasance," 228, 239.
 - not part of consideration, 228.
 - conferring lien on bills of lading, 202.
 - conferring "right in equity," meaning of, 200.
 - for bill of sale, 199, 200.
 - consideration, good, for subsequent bill of sale, 200.
 - parol, 199.
 - written, requires registration, 199.
 - hiring, 196—198.
 - not to register bill of sale, 239.

BILLS OF SALE—continued.

- apparent possession under Act of 1878...217—220.
 - abolition of doctrine as to, 218.
 - avoidance under, formerly, 218.
 - exclusion of doctrine, generally, 218—220.
 - by possession of grantee, 218, 219.
 - receiver, 220.
 - sheriff, 220.
 - by satisfaction of bill of sale, 220.
- assignment of bill of sale does not require registration, 252.
 - of chattels is bill of sale, generally, 194.
 - difference between, and licence to seize, 199.
 - for benefit of creditors, not a bill of sale, 202.
 - of machinery, 205—207.
- assurance, documentary, is a bill of sale, 196.
- attestation of bill of sale, affidavit must state, 245.
 - by solicitor, not required by Act of 1882... 241.
 - "duly attested," meaning of, 240.
- attesting witness, affidavit must describe, 243 *et seq.*
 - attestation clause must describe, 238.
 - credible, must be, 241.
- attorney, power of, deemed a bill of sale, when, 198.
 - execution of bill of sale under, 240.
- attornment clauses bring mortgages within, 201. *And see ATTORNMENT.*
- auction, memorandum of sale at, when a bill of sale, 196.
- authority to take possession of chattels is a bill of sale, 198, 199.
- avoidance of successive bills of sale, 242.
 - of unregistered bill of sale, 241.
- bankruptcy, act of, giving bill of sale, when, 577 *et seq.*
 - order and disposition in, 254.
 - seizure on grantor's, 220, 222.
- "beneficial owner," assignment as, avoids bill of sale, 236.
- bill of exchange, defeasance of bill of sale, when, 238, 239.
 - good, though bill of sale void, 239.
 - power to seize not suspended by taking, 188.
- bill of lading not a bill of sale within Acts, 201.
- "bill of sale," interpretation of term, 190.
- bonus, addition of, to loan, allowed, whether, 233.
 - retention of, out of loan, avoids bill of sale, 226, 227.
- book debts not "chattels" within Acts, 208.
- bottomry bond, operation of bill of sale by way of, 1501.
- brewer's lease, when a bill of sale, 198.
- building agreement with forfeiture clause, 199.
- business, transfers in course of, not within Acts, 202.
- "by way of security," 190.
- cancellation of, 253.

BILLS OF SALE—*continued*.

chattels, personal—

after-acquired, assignment of, 211—213.

description of, specific, necessary, 231, 232.

distress by landlord on, bill of sale no protection against, 222—224.

meaning of, for purposes of the Acts, 204.

choses in action are not, 208.

title to, grantee can only pass his own, 252.

chattels real should not be included in bill of sale, 230.

choses in action are not within Acts, 208.

clerical error in affidavit, 248.

in bill of sale, 227.

in copy of bill of sale, 243.

in schedule to bill of sale, 215.

“clerk,” whether sufficient description of occupation, 248.

collateral agreement not a “declaration of trust,” 194, 238.

not part of consideration, 228.

collateral security not a “defeasance,” 238, 239.

to bill of exchange, 238, 239.

company, bill of sale given by, good, 231.

occupation of, need not be stated, 248.

stock and shares in, are not within the Acts, 208.

compound interest, reservation of, avoids bill of sale, 232.

consideration, statement of, 226—228.

bonus, 226, 227.

clerical error in, 227.

collateral agreement, 239.

misstatement of, what amounts to, 226, 227.

“now owing,” meaning of, 227.

“now paid,” meaning of, 227, 228.

retainer of part of, for interest, 226.

sufficient, what is, 227, 228.

under 30%, avoids bill of sale, 228.

consolidation of bill of sale with mortgage of land, none, 857.

copies of bill of sale admissible as evidence, 251.

clerical errors in, 243.

filing of, 244, 252.

registrar must furnish on payment, 251.

true, what is, 243.

covenant, action on, in invalid bill of sale, 229.

seizure on breach of, 220, 221.

covenants, what may be inserted in bill of sale, 233—236.

creditors' trust deed excepted from, 201, 202.

debentures of companies excepted, 209.

trust deed to cover excepted, 209.

decisions under former statutes applicable, 190.

declaration of trust, 194, 238.

default in payment, seizure on, 220, 221.

BILLS OF SALE—continued.

- default in performance of covenants, 220—222.
- defeasance, collateral security is not a, 238, 239.
 - must be deemed part of, 238.
 - registered, 241.
 - re-registered, 249.
 - promissory note, when deemed a, 239.
- definition of bill of sale, 193, 194.
- delivery of chattels, mortgage on or after, whether within the Acts, 192, 193.
- delivery order of goods not within Acts, 203.
- demand, repayment on, covenant for, avoids bill of sale, 233.
- deposit of goods, memorandum accompanying, 191, 202.
- description of attesting witness, 238.
 - of chattels in schedule, what sufficient, 214, 231, 232.
 - of grantor in affidavit, 245—249.
 - of parties in bill of sale, 230, 231.
- distress for rent, 222—224.
 - for taxes, &c., 224.
 - instruments giving power of, 667.
 - seizure on grantor suffering, 220, 222.
- entry of, satisfaction on register, 263.
- equitable right, agreement conferring, 200.
- evidence, office copy is, of registry under, 251.
- execution, affidavit must state date of, 245.
 - levied on goods of grantor, seizure on, 221, 224.
- factory, definition of, 206.
- fixtures assigned with land are not within Acts, 207.
 - separately assigned or charged, are within Acts, 204.
 - what are, 205.
 - substituted for others specifically charged, 216.
- foreign parts, bills of sale of goods in, not within Acts, 203.
- form, statutory, of bill of sale, 229—238.
 - avoidance of bill not in accordance with, 229.
 - “beneficial owner,” assignment as, avoids bill of sale, 236.
 - bonus, provision for payment of, 233.
 - company may give or take bill of sale, 231.
 - consideration, statement of, 231.
 - covenants, what, may be inserted in, 233—236.
 - description of attesting witness, 238.
 - of chattels assigned, 231.
 - of parties to deed, 230.
 - interest, rate of, must be specifically stated, 232, 233.
 - instalments, principle and interest repayable by, 233.
 - proviso that whole debt shall be payable on non-payment of an instalment, 234.
 - maintenance and defeasance of security, terms for, 234, 235.
 - powers of seizure, insertion of express, 237.
 - proviso limiting, 237, 238.

BILLS OF SALE—continued.

- form, statutory, of bill of sale—*continued*.
 - recitals, insertion of, 231.
 - time for repayment must be certain, 234.
 - title, full covenants for, not allowed, 236.
- form of register, 243.
- fraudulent removal of chattels, seizure on, 220, 222.
 - to avoid distress, 225.
- further assurance, covenant for, allowable, 236.
- future advances, bill of sale given to cover, 232.
- "gentleman," whether sufficient description of occupation, 248.
- growing crops, assigned with land, are not within Acts, 207.
 - separately assigned, are within Acts, 204, 205.
- hire and purchase agreements, 196, 198.
- imported goods, securities on, not bills of sale within Acts, 190, 209, 210.
- India warrants not bills of sale within Acts, 201.
- injunction to restrain seizure, 225.
- imported goods, securities on, not within, Acts, 190, 209, 210.
- inspection of register, 252.
- instalments, stipulation as to repayment by, 233.
- insurance, covenant to pay, may be inserted in bill of sale, 235.
- instruments, what are, within Acts, 190—201.
 - what are not, within Acts, 201—203.
- interest, capitalization of, not allowed, 135.
 - covenant to pay, allowable, 233, 234.
 - rate of, how may be calculated, 234.
 - must be specifically stated, 232, 233.
- inventory, a bill of sale within, whether, 194, 198.
 - on schedule to bill of sale, 210, 213, 214.
- Ireland, Acts do not apply to, 190.
- lapse of security, by neglect to re-register, 249, 250.
- letters of hypothecation of imported goods, 190, 209, 210.
- licence to seize chattels, 198, 199.
- local registration, 244.
- machinery, 205—207.
- marriage settlements excepted from, 202.
- maintenance of security, insertion of terms for, 234, 235.
- Merchant Shipping Act, transfers under, 202.
- mistake in description of grantor, 230.
 - statement of consideration, 226 *et seq.*, 231.
- "now owing," consideration stated as, 227.
- "now paid," consideration stated as, 227.
- occupation of attesting witness, 247.
 - of grantor, 246.
- order and disposition in bankruptcy, 254.
- parol agreement for bill of sale, 199, 200.
 - declaration of trust, 194.
 - mortgage of chattels, 193.

BILLS OF SALE—*continued*.

- partnership, share in, is not within Acts, 208, 508.
- personal chattels defined, 203.
- pledge, memorandum accompanying, not within the Acts, 191, 202.
- policy, general, of the Acts, 189.
- post-nuptial settlement, 202.
- power of attorney, a bill of sale within Acts, 198.
 - execution of bill of sale under, 240.
- power to seize chattels, exerciseable, when, 220—224.
 - restriction on, 221, 224, 225.
- priority of bills of sale, 243, 1282—1286.
 - after-acquired chattels, 1284.
 - business, sale of goods in course of, 1284.
 - concealment of prior bill of sale, 1284.
 - notice of prior bill of sale, unregistered, immaterial, 1283, 1284.
 - possession gives no priority, 1282, 1283.
 - registration, immediate, necessary to ensure, 1282.
 - rule where both bills are by way of security, 1283.
 - prior bill is absolute, 1283.
 - statutory provision as to priority, 1282.
- promissory note given with bill of sale is a "defeasance," when, 239.
- public-house, licence to seize chattels in lease of, 198.
- rates, covenant to pay, allowable in bill of sale, 235.
 - distress for, bill of sale no protection against, 224.
 - power to seize on default of payment of, 221.
- reasonable excuse for non-production of receipt for rent, 224.
- receipt for rent, rates, taxes, &c., seizure on non-production of, 220, 224.
- receipt with inventory, within Acts, 194—196.
- rectification of register, 251.
 - time for, 251.
- reconveyance, 253, 1408.
- register, form of, 250.
 - rectification of, 251.
- registrar, 244.
- registration, local, 244.
 - mode of, 243.
 - renewal of, necessity for, 249.
 - omission of, how rectified, 250.
 - surety discharged by creditor not obtaining, 86.
- removal of chattels under, 220, 224, 225.
- renewing bills of sale to avoid statute, 242, 243.
- rent, covenant to pay, allowable in bill of sale, 235.
 - distress for, bill of sale no protection against, 222.
 - seizure on non-production of receipt for, 224.
- repair, covenant to, and replace chattels, allowable in bill of sale, 235, 236.
- residence of grantor and witness to be described in affidavit, 243, 245—249.

BILLS OF SALE—continued.

- retainer of consideration for expenses, 227.
 - interest, 226.
- right in equity, agreement conferring, 200.
- re-registration after every five years, 249.
 - transferee must re-register, 253.
- sale of chattels under, 225.
 - on hire system, agreements for, 196—198.
 - power of, not incorporated in bills of sale, 236, 237, 888.
 - statutory powers of, not imported into bill of sale, 236.
- satisfaction, entry of, 253.
- schedule or inventory to bill of sale, 210, 213, 214.
 - description of goods in, what sufficient, 214.
 - operation of deed may be limited by, 214.
 - not extended by, 213.
- Scotland, Acts do not apply to, 190.
- seizure, power of, express, allowable, whether, 236, 237.
 - restriction on, 220 *et seq.*
 - wrongful, damages for, 226.
- settlements of chattels, on marriage, 202.
 - post-nuptial, 202.
- severable contracts, 198.
- sheriff's possession, 220.
- ships, assignments, &c. of shares in, not within Acts, 201, 202, 261.
- stamp duty, 1532, 1536.
- substituted chattels, 286.
- successive bills of sale, 249.
- surety discharged by omission to register, 86.
- taxes, bill of sale no protection against distress for, 224.
- time for registration, 241.
- title, covenants for, full, not allowed in bill of sale, 236.
 - grantor of chattels can only pass his own, 252.
- trade machinery deemed to be personal chattels, 205—207.
 - articles excepted from definition, 207.
- transferee need not register transfer, 252.
- "true copy" of bill of sale must be filed, 243.
- "true owner," bill of sale must be given by, 215, 216.
- trust, declaration of, must be registered, 238.
 - deed for benefit of creditors not within Acts, 202.
 - "tutor," description as, 249.
- unregistered, good for purposes of proof in administration, 1112.
- vacation of bill of sale, 253.
- variations from statutory form, 229.
- vendor's lien not within Acts, 207.
- warrants for delivery of goods not within Acts, 201.
- wife, apparent possession of goods of, 218.
- witness, description of, 238.
 - solicitor need not be, under Act of 1882...241.

BISHOP,

power of, to charge benefice in his diocese to provide parsonage, 443.

BLANK,

debentures in, 486, 487.

BOND,

addition to debt of security, not allowed, 1151, 1152.

bottomry, 1500—1512. *See* **BOTTOMRY.**

debenture, 489.

forfeiture by non-payment of mortgage-money, 68.

relief against, in equity, 68.

instalment, non-payment of, 68.

interest beyond penalty not allowed, 67, 68.

land charged by, whether, 972.

merger of, paid off by tenant for life, 1434.

of joint stock company, 489.

of municipal corporation, 445—447.

of railway company, deposittee of, not entitled to foreclosure, 282.

specialty debt created by, 9.

stamp duty, 1536, 1537.

tacking bond debt, 1234.

interest, what allowed, 68.

BONUS,

bill of sale, avoided by, whether, 233.

consideration for not stating, 226, 227.

company cannot give shares by way of, as inducement to take debentures, 471.

life policy, mortgage of, whether passes, 293.

novation by receipt for premiums on, 1455.

mortgagee entitled to, whether, 18, 1145—1147.

agreements for, generally void, 1145, 1146.

claim for, form of, 1147.

deduction of, from loan, 1146.

instalments, default in payment of, 1147.

reversionary interest falling in, of, 1146, 1147.

settled account allowing, set aside, 1146.

stock mortgage entitles lender to declared, 37.

BOOK DEBTS,

appropriation to, of moneys received under mortgage, 1213.

Bills of Sale Acts do not include as "chattels," 208.

BOOKS

of company, debenture holders cannot seize, 1120.

receiver not entitled to, 496.

of solicitor, deceased, entries in, evidence, when, 1023.

BOROUGH ENGLISH,

redemption action by heir, 698.

BORROWING POWERS,

- of building societies, 458—460.
- of charity trustees, 423, 424.
- of company, 469 *et seq.* See COMPANY.
- of county council, 449, 450, 454, 455.
- of friendly societies, 463.
- of municipal corporation, 445—447.
- of parish councils, 455.
- of poor law guardians, 450—452.
- of prison authorities, 449.
- of railway company, 465.
- of school board, 455—457.
- under Public Health Acts, 447, 448.

BOTTOMRY AND BOTTOMRY-BOND,

- Admiralty jurisdiction, 1512.
- agent of ship, bond bad if taken with notice that there is, 1510.
 - may be taken by, 1507.
 - master acts only as, 1505, 1506.
- agreement for bond enforceable, 1501.
- assignment of, 1500.
- bill of exchange for repairs does not operate by way of, 1501.
- bill of sale may operate by way of, 1501.
- capture in war overrides bondholder's rights, 1512.
- cargo, consignee of, may take, 1507.
 - hypothecation of, by bond, 1501.
 - master not supercargo, 1505.
 - not charged, unless mentioned in bond, 1502.
 - ship and freight are first liable, 1502.
 - shipowner's liability as to, included in bond, 1502.
- collateral security, bills of exchange given as, 1501.
- collision, damage by, priority of lien for, 1511, 1512.
 - rights of bondholder to compensation for, 1504.
- commission, reduction of exorbitant, 1508.
- conflict of laws, 1501.
- debt, subsisting, bond cannot be given for, 1506.
 - may be given for advance to pay off, 1503.
- discharge of bond, 1512.
- enforcement of bond, 1512, 1513.
 - costs, 1513.
 - jurisdiction, 1512.
 - order for sale of ship, 1513.
 - procedure, 1513.
- execution of bond on land, valid, 1500.
 - time for, 1501.
- foreign port, bond may be given in, 1509.
- form of bond, 1500, 1501.
- fraud of owner, relief against, 1510, 1511.
- freight, authority to hypothecate, 1501.

BOTTOMRY AND BOTTOMRY BOND—continued.

- home port, restrictions on bonds given in, 1509.
- interest, at what rate allowed, 1508.
- lashes, loss of priority by, 1511.
- last bond has priority, 1511.
- managing owner, authority of, to give bond, 1504.
- maritime risk essential to bottomry, 1507, 1508.
 - intention to incur implied, when, 1508.
 - part of voyage only completed, 1508.
- marshalling, 1511.
- master, authority of, to give bond, 1505.
 - communication with owner, 1505.
 - liability of, personal on bond, none, 1504.
 - of shipowner for costs of, 1506.
 - lien of, priority of, 1511.
 - purchase of ship by, 1504.
 - sale of ship by, 1505.
- mortgage of ship, priority over, 1510.
- necessity for repairs essential to, 1509, 1510.
 - inquiry by lender as to, 1509.
 - meaning of expression, 1510.
- negotiability of bond, 1500.
- personal credit, advance on, cannot operate by way of, 1503, 1506.
- premiums, reduction of exorbitant, 1508.
- renewal of bond not allowed, 1504.
- salvage, lien for, has priority over bond, 1511.
- set-off by charterers of ship, none, 1543.
- ship charged by bond with rigging and stores, 1501.
 - credit of, advance must be on, 1503.
 - debtor to, taking bond, 1507.
 - loss of, discharge of bond by, 1512.
 - right of bondholder on, 1503, 1504.
- shipowner, bond may be given by, 1504.
 - communication with, by master before giving bond, 1505.
 - personal liability of, on bond, none, 1503.
 - to cargo owner, 1502.
- supplies already furnished, bond cannot be given for, 1510.
- time for payment, postponement of, avoids bond, 1503.
- transfer of property by bond, none, 1502.
- validity of, determined by general maritime law, 1501.
 - except if given by master of foreign ship, 1502.
- voyage, abandonment of, not discharge of bond, 1512.
- wages, lien for, has priority over bond, 1511.

BOVILL'S ACT,

- mortgages under, 505—507.

BREWER'S LEASE,

- bill of sale, when, 198.

BRIDGES,

mortgages for erection and repair of, 449.

BUILDER,

possession by, reputed ownership, whether, 185.

BUILDER'S AGREEMENT,

bill of sale, 199.

licence to seize on bankruptcy in, void, 591.

order and disposition, 183.

BUILDING,

sale by mortgagee under power apart from, 902.

BUILDING SOCIETIES. See BENEFIT BUILDING SOCIETIES.**BURIAL ACTS,**

mortgages under, 452.

BUSINESS,

bankrupt carrying on, with consent of trustee, 187.

possession of goods by, in course of, 184.

Bills of Sale Acts do not apply to transfers in course of, 202.

borrowing powers of company implied from nature of, 468.

of partner limited by nature of, 503.

factor, pledge by, in ordinary course of, 1498.

goodwill included in mortgage of, 118.

loss by mortgagee carrying on, 1205.

manager of, appointment of, 932—939. *See* MANAGER.

mortgagee in possession, carrying on, 801.

receiver carrying on, expenses of, 954.

sale by mortgagor of goods in course of, 670.

CALLS,

mortgage of arrears of, 498.

second call cannot be made to prejudice of mortgagee, 498.

mortgage of future, 497, 498.

effect of, 498.

foreclosure of, 14, 497, 1001.

form of, statutory, 497.

power to make, memorandum must give, 497.

what words will give, 497, 498.

mortgagee of shares liable to pay, when, 276, 277.

winding-up determines directors' power to make, 498.

CANAL COMPANY,

borrowing powers of, 465.

"undertaking," mortgage of, by, effect of, 490—493.

CANCELLATION,

of bill of sale, 253.

of entry on land registry, 39.

of mortgage deed discharges security, whether, 1402.

of voluntary settlement, mortgagee cannot require, 605.

CANONRY,

- mortgage of profits of, whether valid, 439.
- under Ecclesiastical Commissioners Act, 443, 444.
- receiver of, 932.

CAPTURE OF SHIP,

- effect of, on mortgages and other charges, 1512.

CARGO,

- arrest of, for wages due, 1393.
 - master's lien not affected by, 1514.
- bottomry bonds on, 1501 *et seq.* See BOTTOMRY.
- communication with owner of, before sale, &c., 1514.
- consignee cannot reject on account of non-delivery of bill of lading, 1496.
- equitable assignments of, 1494—1499. See BILLS OF LADING.
- lien of owner of, on ship, general average excludes, 1394.
- lien on, of seamen for wages, none, 1393.
 - of shipowner for hire of vessel, 1398, 1399.
 - for salvage paid, 1393, 1394.
 - none, when, 1399.
- mortgage of, 273, 274.
 - "appurtenances," word, does not pass, to mortgagee of ship, 260, 261, 273.
 - future cargo, 273.
 - master has no power to mortgage, 273.
 - notice to, by mortgagee of, 274.
 - registration of, not necessary, 273.
 - respondentia*, 1513, 1514.
 - notice by mortgagee of, 1273.

CERTIFICATE,

- of Master,
 - accounts after, 1140, 1141.
 - confirmation of, 1026.
 - interest, computation of, after, 1029.
 - objections to, 1033.
 - receipt of rents after, 1033, 1048, 1140.
- of mortgage of ship, giving power to mortgage, 261.
- of registry of ship, 257.
 - pledge of, void, 257, 258.
- of shares in company, deposit of, by way of security, 277—283.
 - by trustee, 1320.
- under Building Societies Acts, 560.
 - Copyhold Acts, 385.
 - Friendly Societies Acts, 566.
 - Inclosure Acts, 384.
 - Land Transfer Acts, 40.

CESTUIS QUE TRUST,

- action may be brought by, for foreclosure, 997.
- charging orders, entitled to information as to, 1360.
- deposit of deeds by trustee, is subject to rights of, 405, 1238.
- foreclosure action by, 997, 1005.
- mortgage by, to trustee, 606.
- redemption action by, 699.
- trustees represent, in foreclosure actions, 1006.
- in redemption actions, 721.

CHAMBERS,

- accounts, reference of, to, 1137.

CHAMBERS IN INNS OF COURT,

- benchers' local jurisdiction over, 999.
- foreclosure of mortgage of, 1000.

CHAMPERTY,

- assignment by mortgagee *pendente lite*, is not, 794.
- by mortgagor *pendente lite*, is not, 633.
- contract for purchase, setting aside, of, by mortgagee, is not, 794.

CHANCERY DIVISION,

- administration action in, 1105—1108. *See* ADMINISTRATION OF ASSETS.
- Bankruptcy Court, power of, to stay proceedings in, 1076, 1078.
- transfer to, of action commenced in, 1109.
- foreclosure actions assigned to, 1015.
- redemption actions assigned to, 725.
- winding-up of companies, jurisdiction in, 1120.

CHARGE,

- agreement for mortgage creates equitable, 42, 50—54. *See* EQUITABLE MORTGAGE.
- charging order, created by, nature of, 1364, 1365.
- debentures, priority of, secured by first, 1287.
- secured by, nature, &c., of, 478.
- declaration of trust creates equitable, 52.
- equitable, created by agreement for mortgage, 42, 50—54.
- mortgage of equity of redemption, 42.
- power of attorney, 52.
- undertaking to hold title deeds as security, 53, 65.
- judgment creates, when, 648.
- postponed to equitable, 1348.
- lis pendens* does not create, 1323.
- merger of, by union of estates, 1431—1446.
- of lower in higher, 1446—1450.
- See* MERGER.
- mortgage creates, 9.
- not amounting to equitable mortgage, no foreclosure of, 6, 13, 995.

CHARGE—*continued.*

- of annuity on real estate, 410—414.
 - corpus* or income charged, whether, 410—413.
 - devise "subject to" annuity, 412.
 - direction to raise annuity out of rents, &c., 412.
 - distress and entry, effect of powers of, 410.
 - failure of fund set apart for payment, 411, 412.
 - where residue also charged, 413.
 - tenant for life and remainderman, as between, 411.
 - deficiency to be made good out of *corpus*, 413.
- of corporation lands, 446.
- of debts,
 - authorizes mortgage of realty, 406.
 - exoneration, how affected by, under former law, 754, 755.
 - under Locke King's Act, &c., 773.
 - marshalling, how far affected by, 781, 785.
 - merger, how affected by, 1445.
- of legacies,
 - authorizes mortgage of realty, 406.
 - what amounts to, 409, 410.
- of police rates, not interest in lands, 540.
- pari passu*, series of debentures creating, 478.
- power to, authorizes mortgage, 426.
- separate property of married woman, how created, 329.
- statutory, for commutation, &c., of tithes, 1369.
 - for enfranchisement of copyholds, 1370.
 - for land improvement and drainage, 1370, 1371.
- under judgment, extent of, against mortgagee, 1351.
- vesting order subject to, 1422.

CHARGING ORDER,

- annuity chargeable by, 1363.
- anticipation, restraint on, prevents, how far, 339, 1360.
- application for, how made, 1358, 1360.
- Bank of England, service on, of, 1362.
- beneficial interest only of debtor charged by, 1364.
- cash in Court chargeable by, 1363.
- cestui que trust* entitled to information as to, 1360.
- charge, nature of, created by, 1364, 1365.
- "company," meaning of, 1362, 1363.
 - shares in, chargeable by, 1362, 1363.
- contingent interest may be charged, 1359, 1363.
- costs of, payable by debtor, 1361.
 - right of trustees to, 1365.
- death of defendant before order *nisi*, 1361.
- debt must be enforceable, 1364.
- discharge of order *nisi*, 1361, 1362.
- discretion as to granting, 1361.
- dividends, payment of, on fund charged, 1363.
 - restraint on, 1365.

CHARGING ORDER—continued.

- equitable execution effected by, 1357.
- further proceedings on, creditor may take, within six months, 1365.
- judgment, sum payable must be ascertained by, 1360.
- notice to Paymaster-General, effect of, 1362.
- opposition to, by mortgagor, effect of, 1297.
- order absolute, 1361, 1362.
 - nisi*, 1360.
 - title of, 1361.
- pension, 1363.
- prior incumbrances not affected by, 1364.
- sale under, 1365.
- service of order *nisi*, 1360, 1361.
- shares in company, 1358 *et seq.*
- "stock," meaning of, 1362.
- stop order in aid of, 1361, 1362.
 - obtainable without, 1276.
- suitors fund, annuity payable out of, 1363.
- trust funds in hands of debtor not chargeable by, 1364.
- what property may be charged by, 1363.

CHARITY,

- apportionment of legacy payable out of realty and personalty, 540.
- marshalling, 540.
- mortgage of lands of, 423, 424.
- mortgage to trustees of, 537—542.
 - charges on tolls, &c., 539.
 - debentures, 539.
- Mortmain Acts, 537—542.
- will, gift of mortgage on realty by, formerly void, 538.
 - now valid, 541, 542.

CHARTERED COMPANIES,

- borrowing powers of, 464.

CHARTERPARTY,

- meaning of, 270.
- mortgagee bound by, made by mortgagor, whether, 264.
 - not bound to join in, 264, 266.

CHATELS,

- after-acquired, mortgage of, 210—213. *And see* **BILLS OF SALE.**
- appropriation of consignments of, to bills of exchange, 1494—1499.
- assignment of, is bill of sale, 194.
 - distinction between, and licence to seize, 199.
- bailee, mortgagee can recover possession from, 188.
- bankruptcy, what are, in, 179.
- Bills of Sale Acts, 189—254. *See* **BILLS OF SALE.**
- Crown debts, when bind, 1367.
- definition of, 172.
- execution by third person ousts mortgagee's right to possession of, 188.
- licence to seize, 198, 199, 591.

CHATTELS—continued.

- mortgage of, by parol, 193.
 - foreclosure, right of, whether incident to, 1000.
 - fraudulent in bankruptcy, 177—187.
 - under 13 Eliz. c. 5...175—177.
- possession, mortgagee's right to, 188.
 - retainer of, evidence of fraud, 173, 175, 176.
- reputed ownership in bankruptcy, 177—187. *See* BANKRUPTCY.
- retention of possession by mortgagor, 173, 174.
 - clause for possession till default, 174.
 - possession consistent with deed, 174.
- sale by mortgagor, right of mortgagee against purchaser on, 118.
- secret trust for mortgagor, 173.
- securities on, how effected, 172.
- trover for mortgaged, 188.
- vendor's lien does not extend to, 1375.

CHATTELS REAL. *See* LEASEHOLDS.**CHEQUE,**

- tender of, by mortgagor, 716.

CHOSE IN ACTION,

- Bills of Sale Acts do not apply to, 208.
- debt, mortgage of, 302—311. *And see* DEBT.
- equity to settlement attaches to, 323.
- interpleader, 311.
- legacy, mortgage of, 311—313. *And see* LEGACY.
- notice of assignment of, 303.
- order and disposition clause in bankruptcy does not extend to, 178.
- priority of mortgages of, 1253 *et seq.*
- wife's husband's right to, 322, 323. *See* MARRIED WOMEN.
- mortgage of, under Malins' Act, 323—326.

CHRONOMETER,

- passes to mortgagee of ship as "appurtenance," 260.

CIVIL LAW,

- difference between, and common law as to mortgages, 7.
- equity follows, as to mortgages, 11.

CLANDESTINE MORTGAGES, 45.**CLERK,**

- constructive notice by notice to solicitor's, 1327.
- description as, in bill of sale, 248.

CLERK OF THE PEACE,

- emoluments of, not assignable, 299.

CO-DEBTORS,

- joint and several covenants by, 964, 965.
- surety's rights conferred on, by Mercantile Law Amendment Act, 96, 103.

CO-DEFENDANTS,

- accounts, how far binding on, 1141, 1142.
- contribution between, for costs, 1141.
- lis pendens* protects rights of, 1322.

COGNOVIT,

- stamp duty on, 1521.

COINAGE,

- tender of mortgage moneys to be made in what, 715.

COLLATERAL ADVANTAGE,

- mortgagee cannot stipulate for, 14—19.

COLLATERAL AGREEMENT,

- Bills of Sale Acts, whether extend to, 194, 228, 238.
- constructive notice through, 1311.
- unregistered, to mortgage of ship, 260.

COLLATERAL SECURITY,

- bill of exchange given as, to bottomry bond, 1501.
- bill of sale given as, to bill of exchange, 238, 239.
- building society may take, 546.
- church living, avoidance of mortgage of, does not vitiate, 440.
- composition by debtor extinguishes, 91, 592.
- exhaustion of, by mortgagee, 788.
- foreclosure, enforcement before, 1003.
- sale under power does not preclude mortgagee from enforcing, 868.
- separate deed of, advisable, 147.
- stamp duty, 1521, 1539.
- staying proceedings in action on, 872.
- surety discharged by creditor not realizing, 86.
 - entitled to benefit of, 96, 97.
- to bill of sale, not a defeasance, 238, 239.
- vendor's lien and, not enforceable together, 1375.

COLLEGE,

- mortgage of estates of, 444.

COLLIERY,

- receiver of, appointed in action by mortgagee, 926.

COLLISION,

- compensation for, right of holder of bottomry bond to, 1504.
- maritime lien for damage by, 1395, 1511, 1512.

COLONY,

- foreclosure of mortgaged property in, sale in lieu of, 1016.
- Limitations, Statutes of, 973.
- powers of sale, statutory, apply to land in, whether, 885.
- production of deeds by mortgagee of lands in, 815.
- sale by Court of property in, 1016.
- stamp duty on securities of, 1523.
- vesting order as to land in, 1427.

COMMISSION,

- bill of sale, retainer by grantee of part of consideration as, bad, 226, 227.
- bonus, by way of, mortgagee not entitled to, 18, 1145—1147. *And see* BONUS.
- bottomry bond, reserved in, 1508.
- officers, charge on, bad, 299.
- personal trouble, for, mortgagee not allowed, 1192, 1193.
- stamp duty on, none, 1515.
- unpaid instalments of principal, commission on, allowable, 1147.
- West India mortgages, 1193.

COMMISSIONERS,

- concurrence of, not required in mortgage by tenant for life under Inclosure Acts, 384.

COMMISSIONERS CLAUSES ACT,

- mortgages under, 448, 449.

COMMITTEE OF LUNATIC,

- allowance of, not assignable, 363.
- power of, to mortgage lunatics' lands, 356—363. *See* LUNATIC.

COMMON LAW,

- conditions strictly enforced by, 4, 8.
- mortgages originally recognized by, 1—5.

COMPANIES CLAUSES CONSOLIDATION ACT,

- mortgages under, 465.

COMPANY,

- adoption of loan contracted before formation of, 472.
- alteration of memorandum of association, 468.
- bill of sale given by, 231.
- bonds of, creating no charge on assets, 489.
 - stamp duty on indorsement of, 1526.
- books of, debenture holders cannot seize, 1120.
 - receiver not entitled to, 472.
- borrowing powers of, 464—472.
 - chartered companies, 464.
 - joint-stock companies, 467—472.
 - articles cannot give, 469.
 - may limit amount, 470.
 - regulate mode of exercising, 470.
 - vest power in directors, 471.
 - banking companies have implied, 468.
 - bonus shares, as inducement to take debentures, gift of, by, 471.
 - business, implication of power from nature of, 468.
 - debentures, power to issue, 477. *See* DEBENTURES.
 - debenture stock, power to issue, 488, 489.
 - debt incurred before incorporation, mortgage for, 468.

COMPANY—*continued.*

borrowing powers of—*continued.*

joint-stock companies—*continued.*

deposit of deeds, mortgage by, 469.

directors, articles may vest power in, 471.

concurrent powers of, and company, 472.

liability of, for improper exercise of, 471.

mortgage by, to one of themselves, 472.

ratification of *ultra vires* loan to, 472.

discount, issue of debentures at, 470.

discount value, director may take debentures at, 472.

equitable charge, whether authorized, 469.

express powers, memorandum of association may give, 467.

extent of, generally, 468.

limitation by memorandum of amount to be borrowed, 468.

loan companies, statutory powers of, 477.

memorandum only gives, 465.

objects as defined by memorandum may give, 267.

re-borrowing, power of, 469.

special resolution may prescribe mode of exercising, 470.

time when powers may be exercised, 469.

borrowing powers of railway and public companies, 465—467.

canal company, 465.

Companies Clauses Act, 465.

directors, liability of, for improper exercise of, 467.

railway company, regulations as to mortgages by, 465.

re-borrowing, 466, 467.

surplus lands, mortgage of proceeds of, 466, 491.

charging order on shares in, 1362, 1363.

debentures of. *See* DEBENTURES.

debentures to bearer, discharge of, by delivery of, 486.

director's qualification not lost by mortgage of shares, 760.

floating securities given by, nature of, 493—496. *See* DEBENTURES.

Lloyd's bonds, 489.

mortgage by, form of, 477.

to bankers, 468.

notice of statute under which company formed, 1318.

novation on amalgamation of, 1454—1457. *See* NOVATION.

purchase by, from mortgagee shareholder, 906.

receiver of, appointment of joint-stock, 941.

railway, 940.

And see RECEIVER.

registration of securities of joint-stock, 500, 501.

inspection of register, 500.

omission to register does not affect validity or priority mortgages, 500, 501.

transfers of mortgages must be registered, 501.

registration of securities of railway, 499.

accounts of capital, 499.

COMPANY—*continued.*

- registration of consideration, statement of, 499.
- registration of inspection of register, 499.
- registration of securities under Mortgage Debenture Acts, 499, 500.
- shares in, charging order on, 1362, 1363.
 - mortgage of, 276—283.
 - by trustee, 1320.
- scheme of arrangement of joint-stock, 1133—1136.
 - all classes of creditors bound by, 1134.
 - approval of schemes by Court, 1135, 1136.
 - compromise, meeting of creditors to decide on, 1133.
 - debentures to bearer, voting by holders of, 1134.
 - defect in proceedings, 1134.
 - discharge of company, 1135.
 - “existing creditors,” who are, 1135.
 - liquidator bound by, 1135.
 - majority of creditors necessary to adopt, 1135.
 - surety, creditor not deprived of remedies against, 1135.
 - suspension clauses, 1135.
- scheme of arrangement of railway, 1132, 1133.
 - actions against company restrained after filing, 1132.
 - amendment of scheme, 1133.
 - confirmation of, 1132.
 - debenture holders bound by, 1132, 1133.
 - enrolment of, 1132.
 - judgment creditors not bound, 1133.
 - landowners not bound, 1133.
 - majority of creditors necessary to adopt, 1132.
- shares in, charging order on, 1358 *et seq.*
 - mortgage of, how effected, 277, 278.
 - notice of, 1269—1272. *See* NOTICE.
- tolls, mortgage of, 490—493.
- ultra vires* securities bind, how far, 472—476.
 - advance recoverable though security void, 472, 473.
 - directors, *quorum* of, insufficient, 475.
 - equity supports informal and incomplete charges, when, 476.
 - estoppel, 473, 474.
 - evidence of propriety of loan, when lender must require, 474.
 - fraud, holder without notice of, 473, 475.
 - of director entitles company to repudiate, whether, 474.
 - limit as to amount exceeded, 474, 475.
 - ratification of loan contracted by directors, 472.
- undertaking, mortgage of, of joint-stock company, 493—496.
 - of railway or public company, 490—493.

COMPENSATION,

- allowance in accounts to mortgage for payments to tenants of, 1204.
- bottomry bond, right of holder of, in case of collision, to, 1504.
- depreciation of pledge, 1475.
- loss of pledge, 1468.

COMPENSATION—*continued*.

loss of title-deeds by mortgagee, 817.
under Comp. Cl. Cons. Act, 792.

COMPOSITION,

collateral security extinguished by, 91, 592.
fraudulent preference, transactions amounting to, during, 591—593.
mortgage of debt should give mortgagee power to accept, 304.
payment of, without surrender of security, 1081.
sale, no jurisdiction to order, in, 1097.
secured creditors, proof by, in, 1085.
rights of, under, 1093, 1094.
voting by, for, 1088.
surety, how affected by principal's, 91.

COMPOUND INTEREST,

accounts, allowance in, of, 1162—1168. *See* ACCOUNTS; INTEREST.
agreement for, in bill of sale, effect of, 232.
in mortgage, 18, 131—135, 1164. *See* INTEREST.
subsequent to mortgage, 1163—1165.
proof in bankruptcy for, 135.
And see INTEREST.

COMPOUNDING FELONY,

securities given in consideration of, void, 77, 626, 813.

COMPROMISE.

by creditors of company, 1133.
debenture-holders bound by, sanctioned by majority, 480.

CONCEALMENT.

of incumbrances, &c. from abstract, 46, 47, 571, 572, 1293, 1294, 1296.

CONDITION,

common law strictly enforces, 48.
debentures, redemption, as to, strictly enforced, 471.
defeasible purchase, in, strictly enforced, 20.
equity relieves against, for forfeiture, 11, 68.
for reduction of interest, strictly enforced, 130.
of memorandum of deposit, strictly enforced, 58.
of power of sale, strict observance of, necessary, 893, 900.
unduly strict, effect of, in mortgage to solicitor, 611, 612.
usual; in express powers, 393, 894.
of sale, sale by mortgagee under special, 902, 903.
tender subject to, bad, 716, 719.

CONDITIONAL PURCHASE,

construed strictly as such, unless contrary intention shown, 20.
distinction between, and mortgage, 19.
foreclosure, no right of, in case of, 23.
intention to effect, how shown, 23—25.
mutuality of rights, effect of, 20, 23.

CONDITIONAL SETTLEMENT,

held a security for money, when, 22.

CONDITIONAL SURRENDER,

admission, when taken by mortgagee on, 149.

covenants for payment and title, how taken, 150—152.

entry on court rolls, rights, &c. of parties before, 152.

mortgages by, 148—150.

defeasance, separate deed of, 148.

necessity for, 149.

right of mortgagee to compel, 152.

stamp on, 1515, 1524, 1537, 1539.

to such uses or trusts as surrenderer shall appoint, lord need not accept, but bound by acceptance, 150.

CONFIRMATION,

of disentailing assurance, 372—374, 378.

of infant's contract void, 355, 356.

of lease, by mortgagee, 679.

of mortgage *ultra vires* by company, 472.

of reversionary securities, 617.

of scheme of arrangement of company, 1132.

CONFLICT OF LAWS,

bottomry bonds, 1501.

debenture trust-deed, 479.

CONSENT,

bankrupt, possession by, of chattels, with, of "true owner," 184—186.
trade carried on by, with, of trustee, 187.

benefice, mortgage of, requires what, 441.

disentailing assurance made without, of protector of settlement, 373.

dispensation with, of husband, to wife's disposition, 319, 320.

equitable assignment of debt or fund does not require holder's, 1490.

mortgagee's, to sale by Court does not affect his priority, 1115.

novation requires creditor's, 1452.

of tenant for life to investment of trust funds, 512.

to mortgage after alienation of life estate, 381.

CONSIDERATION,

bill of sale, statement of, in, 226—228. *See* **BILLS OF SALE ACTS.**

debenture, statement of, in, 466.

evidence of, in foreclosure action, 1023.

fraudulent conveyance within 27 Eliz. c. 4, for want of, 601—603.

See **FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCE.**

guaranty, when void for want of, 80, 95.

inadequate, conveyance held in fraud of creditors for, whether, 572, 573.

undue influence, how far implied from, 606.

of forbearance, capitalization of interest in, whether valid, 134.

payment of, whether mortgagee must prove, 1023.

receipt for, in, or endorsed on mortgage deed, 113—115.

release of mortgage debt without, effect of, 1402.

transfer of mortgage, 822.

CONSIGNEE,

- cargo cannot be rejected by, on account of non-delivery of bill of lading, 1496.
- directions to, must be followed by, 1497. *See* BILL OF LADING.
- fraudulent indorsement of bill of lading by, 1496.
- secured creditor in bankruptcy, when, 1079.

CONSOLIDATION,

- abolished by Conveyancing Act, 1881, how far, 865, 866.
- against what persons, 858—862.
 - as between one mortgagee and one mortgagor, 858.
 - transferee of several mortgages and mortgagor, 858, 859.
- assign of equity of redemption, 859, 862, 863.
- bankruptcy trustee of mortgagor, 861, 862.
- devisee of mortgagor, 862.
- dowress not bound, 862.
- equities of redemption, assignee of all, 859.
 - of one of, 859.
- assignees, several, of, 860.
- heir of mortgagor, 862.
- partnership firm, 864.
- remainderman, 864.
- surety, 862.
- tenants in common, 864.
- cessor of one mortgage determines right of, 865.
- costs, mortgagee deprived of, for setting up groundless claim for, 1180.
- default on all securities necessary to, 855, 856.
- doctrine of, statement of, 855.
- equitable mortgagee, right of, to, 856.
- foreclosure action, right may be set up in, 856.
- fraudulent preference affects right of, when, 861.
- insurance company, where mortgages are in names of different trustees, 864.
- judgment creditor, 857.
- legal estate, effect of possession of, 857.
- mortgage by trustees must not contain clause for, 406.
- mortgagor cannot compel, 857.
- mortgagors, none against different, 863.
- notice of assignment of equity of redemption immaterial, 860, 861.
 - to pay off one mortgage does not affect right of, 865.
- of bill of sale with mortgage of land, none, 857.
- partnership firm, none against, when, 864.
- redemption action, right may be set up in, 856.
 - order of right of, where several assignees of mortgagor, 861.
- registration may give prior right to, 857.
- sale under power by mortgagee does not affect his right of, 856.
 - of one property does not oust right of, 856.

CONSOLIDATION—*continued*.

- securities of different natures, 857.
- severance of mortgagee's interest determines right of, 865.
- stay of proceedings under 7 Geo. II. c. 20...875.
- tacking distinguished from, 855.
- tenant for life and remaindermen, 864.
- tenants in common, none against, when, 864.
- title to one estate, defective, 858.
- transferee of mortgage, as against assignee of equity of redemption, 862, 863.
- voluntary settlement of one estate, 864.

CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE,

- abstention from proper inquiry, 1307.
- act of bankruptcy, 1324, 1325.
- Act of Parliament, when, 1329.
- action is not, 1330.
- actual notice of some facts may bind party with, of other facts, 1307.
- advertisement in newspaper is not, 1321.
- agent, through, 1307, 1325 *et seq.*
- attestation of deeds, &c., 1315.
- bankruptcy, act of, 1324, 1325.
 - notice of intention to commit, not notice of, 1325.
- company, purchase of shares in, is notice of incorporating statute, 1318.
- Conveyancing Act, 1882, s. 3, restricts, 1306, 1307.
- copyholds, copies of admissions to should be required, 1321.
- counsel, notice through, 1328.
- court rolls of manors, 1321.
- decree, how far, 1324.
- deed, notice of, is notice of contents, 1308—1313.
 - collateral agreement, 1311.
 - entail, 1313.
 - inaccurate recital on reference in deed, 1310.
 - incumbrances, general recital as to, 1309.
 - reference to particular, 1309, 1310.
 - inquiry, whatever puts party on, is, 1306, 1317.
 - lease, facts stated in surrender of prior, from later lease, 1312.
 - of charity lands, void for improvidence, 1312.
 - renewal of, by different lessor, 1309.
 - lessee's covenants, &c. from underlease, 1311.
 - lessor's title, from lease, 1311.
 - parties, description of, 1309.
 - payment off of disclosed charge, proof of, necessary, 1313.
 - recitals, 1309, 1310.
 - settlement, equities under, 1312.

CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE—*continued.*

deed, notice of, is notice of contents—*continued.*

settlement, informal articles for, 1313.

non-performance of consideration for, 1313.

post-nuptial, 1312, 1313.

statement that no, ousts, 1313.

trust, not from joint account clause, 1313.

underlease is notice of covenants, &c. of head lease, 1311.

wife's concurrence in conveyance by husband, 1309.

deeds, notice by puisne incumbrancer to produce, 1322.

definition of, 1305.

distinction between, and actual notice, 1305.

doctrine of, stated, 1306.

fiduciary position of mortgagor, 1320.

lien of solicitor, notice of action, is, of, 1324.

lis pendens, 1322.

motives, no notice of, imputed, 1331.

official position of mortgagor, 1320.

possession or occupation of property, 1318—1320.

mortgagee in, 1320.

stranger's, 1318, 1319.

tenant's, inquiry as to payment of rent, &c. when property is in, 1320.

under undisclosed lease, 1318.

with option of purchase, 1319.

tenants in common as partners, 1319.

vacant, 1319.

vendor's, with lien for unpaid purchase-money, 1319.

what, is sufficient to affect with notice, 1319.

presumption of, may be rebutted, 1316.

recitals in deed, how far, 1309, 1310.

solicitor or other agent, notice to, how far binding on client or principal, 1325—1331.

actual notice imputes constructive notice to principal, 1325.

agent of country solicitor, 1327.

clerk of solicitor, 1327.

collusion of solicitor with party interested, 1331.

communication of notice to client immaterial, 1328.

directors of company, misapplication of funds by, 1331.

evidence of title, omission to require, 1329.

fraud on solicitor's part, effect of, 1329; 1330.

mortgagor acting as mortgagee's solicitor, 1326.

same solicitor acting for both parties, 1326.

transaction, notice must be in same, 1327.

material to, 1328.

what will constitute solicitor mortgagee's agent, 1326, 1327.

solicitor's lien, notice of suit is notice of, 1324.

solicitors, liability of, in respect of, 1331.

stranger, possession of land by, 1318, 1319.

CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE—*continued.*

- title, matters affecting, 1313—1317.
 - appointments under powers, 1314, 1315.
 - payment to son on appointment by father, 1315.
 - commencement of, from what time, should be required, 1316, 1317.
 - complete, what is, 1314.
 - copyholds, admission to, 1321.
 - deed, attestation of, 1315.
 - execution of, irregular, 1315.
 - intended, subsequently executed, 1316.
 - defect in, 1317.
 - documents not connected with, 1315, 1316.
 - notice imputed of all, material to title, 1313.
 - legal estate outstanding, 1317.
 - reversion, 1317.
 - root of title, what is proper, 1317.
 - trustee, deposit by, of share certificates, 1320.
 - renewal of lease by, 1320, 1321.

CONTRACT,

- by married woman, effect of, 345, 346.
- for mortgage, by tenant for life, 389.
- mortgage by vendor after, postponed to purchase, 1239.
- of life assurance, nature of, 289.
- of suretyship. *See SURETY.*
- ratification of infant's, void, 355, 356.
- receiver's, liability on, 914, 956.
- sale of ship free from, 267.

CONTRIBUTION,

- between co-defendants for costs, 1141.
- between several owners of equity of redemption, 774—776.
 - charge of debts, effect of, 774, 776.
 - of legacies, 776.
 - common demand, 775.
 - fund, 775.
 - copyholds and freeholds descending differently, 774.
 - devise of several estates to different persons, 774, 775.
 - to same person, 774, 775.
 - rule stated, 774.
- foreclosure, bar of right to, bars right of, 1061.
- surety's right to, from co-sureties, 100—102, 104.

CONTRIBUTORY MORTGAGE,

- trustees must not lend on, 523.

CONVERSION,

- trust for, devolution of mortgage debts, how affected by, 849.
 - Locke King's Act does not apply to, 768.

CONVEYANCE,

- absolute, fraudulently obtained, treated as mortgage, 22.
 - with condition for re-purchase, 20, 21.
- actual or executory, effected by mortgage, 6—9.
- by mortgagees selling under power of sale, 907—909. *See* POWER OF SALE.
- defective, action by mortgagee to make good, 793.
- fraudulent, against creditors, in bankruptcy, 576—584. *See* BANKRUPTCY.
 - under 13 Eliz. c. 5...567—576. *See* FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES.
 - purchasers, under 27 Eliz. c. 4...600—605. *See* FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES.
- of legal estate, equitable mortgagee may compel, when, 869.
 - mortgagee paid off, compellable to execute, 43.
 - presumption of, after lapse of time, 633.
- lunatic's property, order for, 362, 363.
- on sale by Bankruptcy Court of mortgaged lands, 1095.
 - by mortgagee, under power, 907—909. *See* POWER OF SALE.
- vendor's lien, after, 1372.

CONVEYANCER,

- lien of, on papers, 1385.

CONVICT,

- reconveyance by administrator of mortgagor, 1423.
- vesting order, in case of mortgagee, unnecessary, 1423.

COPYHOLDS,

- admission of mortgagee,
 - death of mortgagor before, 153.
 - heir of mortgagee may claim, 153.
 - lord cannot compel, 150.
 - mortgagee not usually admitted, 149.
 - mortgagor remains tenant till, 149.
 - of friendly society, 564.
 - of trustee for building society, 549.
 - relation back of, 152.
 - to uses, as mortgagee appoints, 150.
- admission to, constructive notice by, 1321.
- after-acquired, mortgage of manor passes, 168.
- appointment by married woman, of, 332.
- conditional surrender, mortgages by, 148—150.
 - defeasance, separate deed of, 148.
 - necessity for, 149.
 - right of mortgagee to compel, 152.
- covenant, deed of, for, or on surrender, 150—152.
- deposit of copies of court-rolls, mortgage by, 55.
- devise of mortgage of, 842.
- ejectment, mortgagee not admitted, cannot maintain, 152.
- enfranchisement, charges, statutory, for, 1370.
 - effect of, on mortgage of copyholds, 164.
 - mortgage for, 385—387.

COPYHOLDS—*continued*.

- enfranchisement, right of mortgagee to obtain, 153.
- entail of, bar of, 370—372.
- equity of redemption in, is legal assets, 652.
 - mortgage of, 154.
 - release of, to mortgagee, 153.
- finer and expenses, covenants for payment of, 151.
- foreclosure by mortgagee, of, 152, 995.
- fraud on mortgagee, avoidance of subsequent surrender on admittance, 153.
- fraudulent conveyance of, within stat. 27 Eliz. c. 5...601.
- insurance on mortgages of copyholds for lives, 152.
- licence of lord, for lease of, 691.
- Locke King's Act and amending Acts include, 768.
- manor, mortgage of, passes surrendered, 168.
- marshalling, and freeholds, before 3 & 4 Will. 4, c. 104...777.
- mortgage of, form, &c., of, 153, 154.
- mortgagee enfranchising, charge in favour of, 387.
- mortgagor may hold courts, 168.
- notice, court rolls amount to constructive, whether, 1321.
- reconveyance of mortgaged, 1406.
- registration of assurances of, not necessary, 1243.
- sale, statutory power of, express charge in deed of covenant will give, 151.
- satisfaction of mortgage to friendly society, by entry on register or court rolls, 566.
- stamp duty on mortgage of, 1519, 1537.
- title, statutory covenants for, whether implied, 151.
- transfer of mortgage of, 826, 827.
- vesting order as to, 1420, 1426, 1428.

CORPORATION,

- charging order on stocks or shares of, 1362.
- mortgages by municipal, 445—447.

CORPUS,

- annuity, when charged on, 410—414. *See CHARGE.*
- tenant for life paying off mortgage, charge of, on, 411.

COSTS,

- action for, by mortgagee, will not lie, 1175.
- addition of, to security, as against puisne incumbrancers, 1175.
- appeal as to, 1176, 1177.
- apportionment of, between several estates, 1186, 1187.
- bottomry bond, holder of, entitled to, 1513.
- building society, mortgagee entitled to, 549, 550.
- contribution between co-defendants for, 1141.
- debenture holder's right to, 1187, 1188.
- disclaiming defendant, when entitled to, 1188—1190. *See ACCOUNTS.*
- discretion of Court as to, 1176, 1177.
- equitable mortgagee, rights of, 1177.

COSTS—continued.

- general costs allowed to mortgagee notwithstanding misconduct, 1184.
- inquiry as to extra costs of mortgagee, 1191.
- interest on, when allowed to mortgagee, 1197.
- judgment creditor of mortgagee entitled to, 1186.
- "just allowances," 1191 *et seq.*
- lien of solicitor of mortgagee for, 1384—1389.
 - of mortgagor for, 1413, 1414.*And see LIEN.*
- misconduct of mortgagee depriving him of, 1177, 1178.
 - rendering him liable to, 1178—1185.*And see ACCOUNTS.*
- mortgage of lunatic's property for, 360, 361.
 - to solicitor to secure, 1144, 1145.
- mortgagee's rights and liabilities as to, 1174—1199. *See ACCOUNTS.*
- one set of, only allowed, when, 636, 1187.
- of foreclosure action, 1040. *And see ACCOUNTS.*
- of partition of equity of redemption, 635, 636.
- of raising portions, how payable, 431.
- of redemption action, 739. *And see ACCOUNTS.*
- of sale, abortive, 1099.
 - in bankruptcy, 1101, 1102.
- of setting aside fraudulent conveyance, 576.
 - of ship, 268.
- of stop orders, 1280.
- of taking possession of ship, 266.
- of transfer of mortgage, by whom payable, 829, 830.
- paramount title, person claiming under, not liable to, 1186.
- pledgee entitled to, 1467.
- profit, solicitor, mortgagee's right to, 18, 1184, 1185.
- receiver, retainer by, of, 957.
- restraint on anticipation, payment out of property subject to, of, 342.
- secured creditors in bankruptcy, right of, to, 1089, 1090.
- solicitor, mortgage to, for, 609, 610, 1145.
 - right of, to profit, 18, 610, 1194, 1195.
- surety's rights as to, 1196.
- taxation of, 1175, 1176.
 - after mortgage to solicitor for costs, 610, 1144.
- tender of mortgage moneys must include, 719.
- trustees entitled to, of application for sanction to mortgage, 401.
 - may include in mortgage debt costs of raising money, 428.

COUNSEL,

- lien of conveyancing, 1385.
- notice to, binds client, 1328.

COUNTERFEIT COIN,

- tender of mortgage moneys in, 716.

COUNTERPART,

- of lease, delivery to mortgagee of, 689.
- stamp duty, 1535, 1538.

COUNTY BRIDGES ACT,

- mortgages under, 449.

COUNTY COUNCILS,

- borrowing powers of, generally, 454, 455.
- for erection and repair of county bridges, 449.
- for purposes of lunatic asylums, 450.

COUNTY COURT,

- administration actions, jurisdiction in, 1105.
 - transfer of, to, 1110.
- bankruptcy, jurisdiction in, 1075, 1076.
- foreclosure action in, 1015, 1016.
- pension of judge of, assignable, 300.
- possession, summary proceedings by mortgagee for, 662.
- redemption action in, 725.
- vesting orders, 1427.
- winding up of companies, jurisdiction in, 1121.

COUPON, 481, 488. *See* DEBENTURES.

COURT ROLLS,

- copies of, mortgagee should require production of, 1321.
- notice not imputed to mortgagee from, 1321.
- receiver, delivery to, of, 946.
- satisfaction of mortgage, entry of, on, 1406.

COVENANTS,

- against assignment, &c. of lease, mortgage is breach of, 163.
 - incumbrances, marshalling, how affected by, 781, 782.
- bill of sale, what, may be inserted in, 233—236.
- for further assurance, 144—146.
- for insurance against fire, 137, 141, 166.
- for payment of fines in respect of copyholds, 151.
 - of premiums of life assurance, 294.
 - to named person, in debenture, 480.
- for perfecting disentailing assurance, 376—378. *See* TENANT IN TAIL.
- for personal payment of annuity, effect of, 32.
- for personal payment of mortgage moneys, 115, 116.
 - absence of, right of redemption not affected by, 11, 12.
 - annuity deed held mortgage by reason of, 32.
 - bar of action on, by Statutes of Limitation, 971—988. *See* LIMITATIONS, STATUTES OF.
 - bill of sale may contain, 233.

COVENANTS—continued.

for personal payment of mortgage moneys—*continued.*

by married woman, 343 *et seq.*

collateral, not essential to mortgage security, 9.

"continuance of security," of interest during, how construed, 88.

form, &c. of, 115, 116.

implication of, 10.

lunatic's property, mortgage of, whether contains, 362.

specialty debt created by, 9.

transaction (purchase or mortgage) explained by, 22.

trustee-mortgagors do not usually give, 428.

Welsh mortgage does not usually contain, 10, 27.

for renewal of lease, by mortgagor, 164.

for replacement of stock, 37.

for title, express, 141.

statutory, 141—146, 167.

in leases of lands in mortgage, liability of mortgagee under, 672.

with whom made, 673, 674, 689.

in mortgage of lunatic's property, 362, 363.

stamp duty, 1537.

to settle or charge lands, liens under, 1382—1384.

COVERTURE,

disability of, mortgage, how far prevented by, 315, 316.

removed by Married Women's Property Act, 1882...335.

And see MARRIED WOMAN.

CREDITORS,

appropriation of payments by, 1213.

assurances to delay or defeat, 569—574. *See FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES.*

bankruptcy petition by, 1108.

insurable interest of, 288.

mortgagee's interest liable to, 851.

trust deed for, action to enforce does not bind as *lis pendens*, 1323.

excepted from Bills of Sale Acts, 201, 202.

will, appointment of debtor as executor of, 1404.

stamp duty, 1520.

winding-up of company, rights in, of, 1122, 1123.

CROPS. *See GROWING CROPS.***CROWN,**

Accountant to, 1367.

claim of, attaches, when, 1367.

equities, prior, bind, 1366.

escheat to, 642, 644. *See ESCHEAT.*

extent by, marshalling, how affected by, 779.

notice to redeem after, 709.

Locke King's Act and amending Acts bind, 770.

CROWN—continued.

- purchaser paying off mortgage paramount to Crown debt, 1443.
- priority of, over subsequent mortgagees, &c., 1366.
- redemption action by, 696.
- registration of Crown debts, 1367, 1368.
 - County Registries, 1368.
 - Crown Suits Act, 1368.
 - Ireland, 1368.
 - re-registry, 1367.
 - searches for Crown debts, 1367.
- stamp duty, 1531.
- vesting order, service of, 1424.

CURRENCY,

- tender must be made in what, 715.

CURRENT ACCOUNT,

- mortgage to secure, appropriation of payments, none under, 1213.
- surety for, death of, effect of, 1212.

CURTESY, TENANT BY. See TENANT BY CURTESY.**CUSTODY OF TITLE DEEDS,**

- right to, 811—814. *And see* TITLE DEEDS.

CUSTOM,

- freight fixed by, where no agreement as to, 270.
- of bankers, as to debentures to bearer, 483.
 - Stock Exchange, 484.
- trade, freight fixed by, when, 270.
 - partner's authority limited by, 503.
 - reputed ownership by, 179, 180.

CUSTOMARY FREEHOLDS,

- not part of manor, 168.

CUSTOMARY HEIR,

- of mortgagee, admission of, 153.
 - foreclosure by, 998.
 - necessary party to foreclosure action, when, 1005.
- of mortgagor, redemption action by, 698.

CUSTOMS ANNUITY AND BENEVOLENT FUND,

- mortgage by member of, 301.

DAMAGES,

- for breach of agreement for mortgage, 49.
 - to issue or take debentures, 489, 490.
- collision, maritime lien for, 1395, 1511, 1512.

DAMAGES—continued.

for loss of mortgage, 817.
pledge, 1468.

lessee evicted by mortgagee may claim, against mortgagor, 678.
sale, improper, by mortgagee under power, 899, 904.
surety's right to, for principal's default, 94.

DATE,

bill of sale, execution of, affidavit must state, 245.
priority by, of registration of deeds, &c., 1246—1252. *And see*
REGISTRATION.
priority in equity by order of,
delay in asserting rights, no postponement by, 1238.
debentures, successive series of, 1289.
equities must be equal, 1239.
equity and equitable interest distinguished, 1240.
fraud of mortgagor, effect of, 1239.
judgment creditor takes subject to prior equities, 1238.
legal estate, incumbrancer parting with, 1239, 1240.
legal mortgage prevails over equity prior in, 1214 *et seq.* *See*
LEGAL ESTATE.
notice of incumbrance on land unnecessary, 1236, 1238.
prior equity of any kind must prevail, 1238.
satisfied term, 1238.
shares in companies, mortgages of, 1271.
vendor, mortgagee of, after contract, postponed to purchaser,
1239.

DEANERY,

mortgage of, under Ecclesiastical Commissioners Act, 443, 444.

DEATH,

of mortgagor before decree absolute, 1043.
of one of joint mortgagees before decree absolute, 1043.
surety's liability not determined by, 92.

DEBENTURE STOCK,

power to borrow on, 488.
priority of subsisting securities over, 1288, 1289.
security created by, nature of, 488, 489.

DEBENTURES,

abroad, trust deed to secure, charged on lands, 479.
acceleration of payment of, on default as to interest, proviso for,
valid, 487.
accounts of loan capital, 499.
acknowledgment of indebtedness by, 478.
action by debenture holders before winding-up, 1117—1120.
during winding-up, 1125—1128.
trustees of covering deed, 1119.
after-acquired property may be charged by, 493.
agreement by one holder does not bind others, 899.

DEBENTURES—continued.

- agreement to issue, 489.
 - take, 490.
- ambiguity in, evidence to explain, 471.
- Bills of Sale Acts do not apply to, 209.
- Bills of Exchange Act, debenture may be "promissory note" within, 482.
- blank, in, 486, 487.
- bonds, 489.
- bonus shares cannot be given as inducement to take, 471.
- books of company, debenture holders, receiver not entitled to, 496.
- borrowing powers of companies, 464—472. *See* COMPANIES.
- calls, charge on future, 497, 498. *See* CALLS.
- charge to secure, how created, 478.
- compromise by majority of debenture holders, 480.
- consideration for, statement of, 466.
- coupons annexed to, 481, 488.
 - income tax on, payable abroad, 488, n.
 - stamp duty on, none, 488.
- covenants for payment to named person, 490.
- "debenture," meaning of term, 477.
- debenture stock, 488, 489.
- debt, past, may be secured by, 468.
 - taken over from founder, may be secured by, 473.
- deed not necessary to validity of, 478.
- delivery, transfer by, 481—486.
- depositee of, may prove for full amount, 470.
- directors, articles may vest power to issue, in, 471.
 - issue of, for benefit of, 473.
 - may take, for loan by them, 472.
- discount, issue of, at, valid, 470.
 - value, director may take debenture at, 472.
- equities, release of, by company, 481.
- estoppel, negotiability of, by, 485.
- floating security, nature of, 493—496.
 - after-acquired stock in trade, 496.
 - chattels, charge of, by way of, does not require registration, 496.
 - dealings with property after issuing, 495.
 - default, evidence of no, purchaser of lands entitled to, 495.
 - mere, does not render charge specific, 495.
 - execution creditors, good against, 496.
 - land, charge of, by way of, is interest in land under Statute of Frauds, 496.
 - notice to debtor of company inoperative, 494.
 - priority of mortgagee of specific asset, 495, 496.
 - solicitor's lien, 495.
 - specific, becomes, when, 494, 495.
 - what expressions sufficient to create, 494.
 - winding-up before time for payment, effect of, 495.

DEBENTURES—*continued*.

- foreclosure, right of, whether incident to, 1001, 1117.
- formalities prescribed by articles must be observed, 470.
- instalments of money agreed to be advanced on, 490.
- interest on, 487, 488.
- issue of, contrary to statute, void, 467.
 - discount, at, 470.
 - meaning of, 478.
 - pursuant to arrangement before formation, 472.
 - single debenture or series, 478.
 - to pay off debentures falling due, 467, 468.
- Joint Stock Companies Arrangement Act, 1133.
- lien of trustee's covering deed for expenses, 1379.
- liquidator, realization of securities by, 1127.
- mortgage debentures, what are, 478.
- Mortgage Debenture Acts, 477, 499, 500.
- Mortmain Acts, 539 *et seq.*
- perpetual and terminable distinguished, 487.
- power of sale, statutory, not implied in, 888.
- power to issue, 477.
- priorities as between, 1287—1292.
 - as to joint stock companies, 1289—1292.
 - additional security taken by individual holder, 1289.
 - date of issue, priority of successive series according to, 1289.
 - first charge on assets, jurisdiction to order money to be raised by, 1290.
 - floating security, priority of specific mortgage over, 1291.
 - fraudulent preference avoids priority, 1291.
 - limit, prescribed, issue beyond, 1289.
 - partial excess of, 1289.
 - modification of priorities, power to company to make, 1290.
 - omission by company to register does not affect, 501.
 - "one man company," debentures of, 1291.
 - pari passu* charges, 1289.
 - second issue before all of first taken up, 1290, 1291.
 - winding-up, inquiries in, as to priorities, 1292.
 - as to railway companies, 1287—1289.
 - debenture stock, no priority between holders of, 1288.
 - first charge on gross receipts, priority of debentures being, 1287.
 - special Acts, debentures issued under, 1288, 1289.
 - statutory provision as to priorities, 1287.
 - surplus lands, claim to payment out of proceeds of, 1287.
- receiver, appointment of, at instance of holders of, 879, 933 *et seq.*
- redemption of, conditions as to, strictly construed, 471.
- registered form of, 481.
 - with coupons annexed, 481.

DEBENTURES—*continued.*

- registration of, 499—501.
 - deposit of securities with registrar, 500.
 - inspection of register, 500.
 - omission to register, effect of, 500, 501.
 - transfers to be registered, 501.
 - under Companies Act, 1862...500, 501.
 - Companies Clauses Act, 499.
 - Mortgage Debenture Acts, 499, 500.
- remedies of debenture holders before winding-up,
 - proceedings by holders,
 - action on covenant, 879.
 - all debenture holders, plaintiff must sue on behalf of, 1118.
 - appeal by person not party, none, 1118.
 - books of company not seizable, 1120.
 - claim in writ or summons, 1119.
 - declaration of charge, 1119.
 - default, no action before, 1117.
 - unless under special circumstances, 1118.
 - dissentient holder to be made defendant, 1118.
 - foreclosure, holder entitled to, 1117.
 - holder party to action for, 1013, 1118.
 - originating summons for, 1119.
 - injunction against execution creditor, 1120.
 - parties to action, 1013, 1118.
 - plaintiff must sue on behalf of all holders, 1118.
 - who should be, 1119.
 - receiver, appointment of, 879, 933 *et seq.* *And see RECEIVER.*
 - sale by trustees, enforcement of, 880, 1117.
 - power of Court to order at any time, 1120.
 - under power, 1117.
 - single debenture holder cannot bind others by agreement, 879, 880.
 - may bring action, 879, 1117.
 - proceedings by trustees, 1119, 1120.
 - action on covenant, 879.
 - parties to action, 1013, 1119.
 - refusal of trustees to sue, 1119.
- remedies of debenture holders during winding-up,
 - action against director or promoter, 1127.
 - by creditor, application to restrain, 1128.
 - in foreign country, 1127, 1128.
 - leave to bring, or proceed with, 1002, 1125, 1126.
 - appeal from refusal of leave, 1126.
 - Bankruptcy, transfer of proceedings to, 1128.
 - distress, leave to bring, 1126.
 - foreclosure, 1001, 1002.
 - liquidator, realization of security by, 1127.
 - power of sale, leave to proceed under, 1127.

DEBENTURES—continued.

- remedies of debenture holders during winding-up—*continued.*
 - proof in winding-up, 1128—1132.
 - stay of proceedings, 1125, 1127.
 - scheme of arrangement binds holders of, 1132, 1133.
 - series of, creating *pari passu* charge, 478.
 - single debenture, 478.
 - stamp duty on, 1525.
 - on promissory note purporting to be a debenture, 478.
 - terminable and perpetual distinguished, 487.
- to bearer, 481.
 - action by holder in his own name, 486.
 - custom of bankers, 483.
 - of Stock Exchange, 484.
 - discharge of company by delivery of, 486.
 - estoppel of denial of holder's title, 485.
 - negotiable instruments, whether, 482—484.
 - may be framed so as to have incidents of, 484, 485.
 - question determined by English law, 484.
 - transfer of, 484, 485.
 - free from equities, 484, 485.
 - without writing, 485.
 - trust deed to secure, remedies under, 486.
 - voting by holders of, 1134.
- trust deed to secure, nature and effect of, 471—480.
 - action to enforce, 1119.
 - foreign land, charge on, how enforced, 479.
 - form of deed, 479.
 - majority of debenture holders, power of, to bind all, 479, 480.
 - no issue of debentures, operation of deed, where, 480.
 - power of sale, may be inserted in, 479.
 - single debenture holder cannot compel trustees to exercise, 1117.
- vendor's lien excluded by taking, as consideration, 1375.
- winding-up of company,
 - debentures, delivery up of, not compellable until payment in full, 1130.
 - instalments of loan on, cease to be payable on, 490.
 - provable for full amount, 1131, 1132.
 - floating security becomes specific on, 494.
 - register, right to inspect, determined by, 500.

DEBTORS ACT, 1869,

- imprisonment under, no extinguishment of debt, 868.

DEBTS,

- acceptance of part, effect of, 1404, 1405.
- acknowledgment of. *See* LIMITATIONS, STATUTES OF.

DEBTS—*continued.*

- administration, no distinction in, between specialty and simple contract, 1110.
- admission of, for collateral purpose, does not imply covenant for payment, 10.
- ante-nuptial, of married woman, liability for, 347, 350, 351.
 - mortgage to secure, 352.
- barred by bankruptcy, giving new security for, 597.
- charge of, as giving executors power to mortgage realty, 406—409.
- conveyance in consideration of, stamp duty on, 1528.
- debentures may be given for past, 468.
 - for debt taken over from founder, 473.
- direction to pay, 407.
 - to raise out of rents, &c., money to pay, 414—416.
- equitable assignment of, 1487—1494. *See* HYPOTHECATION.
- escheat to Crown is subject to, 644.
 - to lord of manor, is subject to, 645.
- executor, first duty of, is to pay, 399.
 - private debt of, mortgage to secure, void, 402.
- exoneration, under former law, 753—767.
 - under Locke King's Act, &c., 767—776.
 - And see* EXONERATION.
- gaming and wagering, securities for, 619 *et seq.*
- interest on, right of mortgagee to, in administration action, 1108.
 - tenant for life must keep down, 637.
- judgment, Locke King's Act and amending Acts include, 771.
 - postponed to, of ancestor, 1348.
- marshalling, 777—789. *See* MARSHALLING.
- mortgagee's interest liable to payment of his, 851.
- mortgages imply, 10.
- mortgages of, 302—311.
 - "absolute assignment" within Judicature Act, whether, 306—309.
 - admission of account by debtor, 303.
 - future debts, assignment of, 302.
 - inquiry as to amount owing to mortgagor, 303.
 - notice of assignment, 304.
 - payable *in futuro*, proof for, in bankruptcy, 1092.
 - power of attorney to sue, whether should be inserted in, 305—311.
 - power to compound debt, 304.
 - protection of mortgagee against liability to sue, 303.
 - sub-mortgage, 830 *et seq.* *And see* SUB-MORTGAGE.
- of ancestor or testator, mortgage of infant's property for, 353.
 - paramount to judgment, 1348.
- pledge not valid without, 1459.
- promise not to enforce, does not release, 1402.
- proof for, in administration action, 1110—1116. *See* ADMINISTRATION OF ASSETS.
 - bankruptcy, 1089—1094. *See* BANKRUPTCY.

DEBTS—continued.

- proof for, in winding-up, 1129—1132. *See* WINDING-UP.
- rating, securities for, 619 *et seq.*
- release of, what amounts to, 1401 *et seq.*
- rents and profits, direction to raise money out of, for payment of, 414—416.
- retainer of, executor has not right of, against receiver, 949.
- sale for payment of, what words authorize, 406—416.
- specialty and simple contract, rank equally, 1110.
- specialty, mortgage creates, when, 9.
 - recital alone does not create, 10.
- And see* SPECIALTY DEBTS.
- surety, rules as to exoneration, as applied to, 764—766.
- tacking bond, 1234.
 - simple contract, 1234.
- voluntary, security given for, is fraudulent preference, 591.
- Welsh mortgage implies, how far, 27.

DECLARATION,

- of priorities, no terms imposed, 1240.
- of trust,
 - Bills of Sale Acts apply to, when, 194, 236.
 - charge, equitable, created by, 52.
 - estate tail, not barred by, 369.
 - stamp duty, 1520.

DECREES,

- administration, executor's power to mortgage not affected by, 401.
- foreclosure, absolute, 1041 *et seq.*
 - nisi*, 1024 *et seq.*
 - receipts by mortgagee after, 1140, 1201.
- notice, how far, 1324.
- tacking prevented by, to settle priorities, 1223.
- what, bind as *lis pendens*, 1323.

DEEDS,

- absence of, as affecting priority of mortgagees, 1332—1347. *See* TITLE DEEDS.
- attested copy of, deposit of, not sufficient to create charge, 62.
- base fee, enlargement of, by, 373.
- concealment of, from abstract, 46, 47.
- consideration for, proof of, 1023.
- debenture need not be by, 478.
- delivery of, for purpose of preparing mortgage, 60.
 - on mortgage, mortgagee should require, 808.
 - on redemption, 1411—1414.
 - order for, in foreclosure action, 1046, 1047.
- And see* TITLE DEEDS.

DEEDS—*continued*.

- deposit of, mortgage by, 54—66. *See* DEPOSIT OF DEEDS.
- estate-tail cannot be barred except by, 367.
- grant, express, to mortgagee, 809, 810.
- immoral consideration, security given on, must be by, 625.
- lien of solicitor on, against mortgagee, 1384, 1385.
 - mortgagor, 1413, 1414.
- loss of mortgage, evidence in foreclosure action as to, 1023.
- missing, inquiry by mortgagee as to, 1332.
- mortgagee should require delivery of, 808.
- notice of, is notice of contents, 1308—1313. *And see* CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE.
- partner cannot bind firm by, 503.
- powers of sale, &c. statutory, implied only in mortgages by, 883, 884.
- priority by possession of, 1332—1347. *See* TITLE DEEDS.
- production of, by mortgagee in redemption action, 732, 733, 814.
 - solicitor subject to lien, 1385.
- registration of, 1240—1252. *See* REGISTRATION.
- specialty debt cannot be released except by, 1401.
- stamp duty on, not otherwise charged, 1533.
- surrender of copyholds, conditional, accompanied by, 150—152.
- title to land, none by possession of, 55, 56.
- undertaking to hold, as security, effect of, 53.
- unstamped, is objection to title, 1533.

DEFAULT,

- consolidation in absence of, none, 855, 856.
- foreclosure order in absence of, will not be made, 1002.
- interest, in payment of, ground for foreclosure, 1002.
- of receiver in passing accounts, 955.
- possession by mortgagor till, proviso for, 657.

DEFEASANCE,

- bill of sale, collateral security is not, to a, 288, 239.
 - with, to be on same paper, 238.
- conveyance with, mortgage by way of, 106.
- intention to execute contemporaneous, parol evidence as to, 24.
- shares in company, transfer of, accompanied by deed of, 277.
- stamp duty, 1520.
- subsequent, void at common law, 3.
- surrender of copyholds with separate deed of, 148.
- warrant of attorney with, 70, 76.

DEFEASIBLE PURCHASE,

- absolute conveyance fraudulently obtained treated as mortgage, 22.
 - with agreement for re-purchase, 20.
- agreement for lease in default of payment, 19.
 - re-purchase not acted on, 21.
- conditions strictly enforced, 20.
- covenant for payment, material as explaining transaction, 22.

DEFEASIBLE PURCHASE—continued.

- distinction between, and mortgage, 19—25.
- expenses, payment of, by grantor raises presumption against, 22.
- interest, payment of, raises presumption of mortgage, 21, 24.
- mutuality of remedies on, none, 23.
- notice of intention to re-purchase, stipulation as to, effect of, 22.
- parol evidence of, admissible, 23.
- possession by grantee, immediate, evidence of, 21.
 - length of, material to explain transaction, 22.
- purchase-money, devolution of, on re-purchase, 23.
- time for re-purchase, essence of contract, 20.
- undervalue, evidence of mortgage, 21.

DEFECTIVE ASSURANCE,

- acquisition of good title by mortgagor, 793.
- action to determine rights under, 793, 794.
- covenant for further assurance, 144.
- equitable incumbrances and first inchoate, no relief, 793.
- heir of mortgagor, when compellable to make good, 793.
- legal security will prevail, 793, 794.
- notice, mortgagee without notice of prior, 793.
- tenant in tail, mortgage by, of base fee, 145, 793.

DEFENCE,

- admissions in, reviving debt by "acknowledgment," 1067.
- costs, mortgagee liable for, of setting up groundless, 1182, 1183.
- pleadings must raise, of bar by Statutes of Limitation, 1022, 1058 *et seq.*
 - of denial of mortgagee's accounts, 1022.
 - of fraud, 1021.
 - of purchase for value without notice, 1304.

DEFENDANT,

- co-defendants, *lis pendens* protects rights of, 1322.
- disclaiming, entitled to costs, when, 1188—1190.

DEFICIENCY OF ESTATE,

- to meet annuity, 413.
 - costs in administration action, 1114.
 - debts of deceased person, rule in case of, 1111.

DELAY,

- following assets prevented by, 405.
- opening foreclosure, application for, 1050.
- priority of mortgagee not affected by, in enforcing rights, 1238.
- sale by Court, application for, in bankruptcy, 1097.

DELIVERY,

- debentures, transfer of, by, 481—486.
- foreclosure action, order in, for, of lands, 1045, 1046.
 - of title deeds, 1046, 1047.
- of gaming securities, 622, 623.

DELIVERY—*continued*.

of goods, Bills of Sale Acts apply to mortgage with, whether, 192, 193.
not to order for, 203.

of pledge, 1461, 1462. *See* PLEDGE.

of title deeds, mortgagee entitled to, 808, 1046, 1047. *See* TITLE DEEDS.

purchaser from mortgagee may require, 907, 909.
receiver entitled to, of mortgaged property, 944, 945.

DEMAND,

covenant for repayment on, in bill of sale, 233.

entry into possession by mortgagee where money payable on, 799.

notice to redeem, right to, barred by, 709.

surety may be sued though no, 89, 90.

DEMISE,

freeholds, mortgage of, by, and sub-demise, 106, 107.

by, for term of years, 107.

leaseholds, mortgage of, by, 156—163. *See* LEASEHOLDS.

DEMURRAGE,

no lien on cargo for, 1399.

DEPOSIT OF DEEDS, &c.,

of agreement for lease, terms of lease altered after, 63.

of certificate under Land Transfer Act, 1875...62.

of copies of court rolls, mortgage of manor by, 55.

of debentures, proof for full amount secured, under, 470.

of deeds,

accretions to mortgaged property included by, 63.

advance by third person not covered by, 65.

further, whether covered by, 60, 61.

all deeds need not be delivered, 61.

all property comprised in deeds is included, 63.

by company; 469.

executor, 64, 402, 405.

heir or devisee, 64.

husband and wife, 64.

partners, 503, 504.

stranger, 64.

surety, 57.

tenant for life, 63, 64.

trustee, 64, 405, 1238.

vendor before completion, 1377.

conflict of laws, 62.

copy, attested, deposit of, not sufficient, 62.

covenant in lease against alienation, whether broken by, 163.

depositee not liable on, 155, 156.

declaration of charge in favour of mortgagee, 1025.

delivery for purpose of preparing mortgage, 60.

equitable charge created by, 42.

DEPOSIT OF DEEDS, &c.—*continued.*of deeds—*continued.*

- evidence of intent to secure debt by, 59.
 - further advances by, 60.
 - of property included in charge by, 61.
 - to control or explain memorandum of, 57.
- foreclosure by mortgagee by, 995.
- foreign land, whether, may be charged by, 62.
- fraudulent, effect of, 64, 65.
 - removal of deeds by mortgagors, 62.
- further advances covered by, whether, 60, 61.
- goodwill included in charge by, 63.
- interest, 1161.
- lease, deposit of, by landlord, held to charge fee simple, 61.
 - covenant against assignment, whether broken by, 163.
 - granted pursuant to deposited agreement, 63.
 - renewal of, 63.
- legal mortgage, lender entitled to, 57.
- Limitations, Statute of, 974.
- limited owner, deposit by, effect of, 63.
- Locke King's Act and amending Acts include, 768.
- loss of deeds deposited, 60.
- memorandum accompanying, 57, 58.
 - conditions of, strictly enforced, 58.
 - deposit without, creates valid charge, 55.
 - mistake in, effect of, 62, 63.
 - parol evidence to control or explain, 57.
 - purpose of enlargement of, 58.
 - rate of interest, where no, 1161.
 - registration of, 1243.
 - stamp duty, 1521, 1536.
 - without actual deposit, 57.
 - knowledge of creditor, 65.
- notice to redeem not necessary, 709.
- parol agreement for security by, void, 56.
- part of deeds, effect of deposit of, 61.
- partner may bind firm by, whether, 503.
- prior equities are paramount to, when, 64.
- priority of, made without notice, 1300.
- power of appointment not exercised by, 64.
- property, what, included in charge by, 63.
- receipt for purchase-money, deposit of, 62.
- receiver, 928.
- removal of deeds by debtor, fraudulent, effect of, 62.
- renewed lease subject to charge by, 63.
- rent, deposit of lease not liable for, 155, 156.
- retainer of deeds by debtor, effect of, 65.

DEPOSIT OF DEEDS, &c.—continued.

of deeds—*continued*.

sale by Court, mortgagee by, may obtain, 1097, 1098. *And see*

SALE BY COURT.

solicitor of creditor, deposit with, further advances covered by, 61.
immediate charge created by, 60.

of debtor, deposit by, fraudulent, 64.

with, effect of, 60.

retainer by, of part of deeds, 61.

Statute of Frauds does not avoid, 54—56.

security, intent to give, essential to charge by, 58.

presumption that deeds are deposited as, 59.

sub-mortgage by, 830.

surety, deposit as indemnity by, 57.

transfer of mortgage by, 829.

trustee, deposit may be with, 65.

verbal agreement for further advance on security of subsisting, 60.

without deposit, void, 56.

of fund in Court to cover expenses of sale, 1038.

of goods, Bills of Sale Acts, whether apply to memorandum accompanying, 191, 202.

of receipt for purchase-money, charges land, 62.

of securities as cover for gambling in stocks, &c., 622, 623.

of share certificates, by trustee, 1320.

mortgages by, 1269.

with transfer, 281.

without transfer, 282.

DERIVATIVE MORTGAGES,

accounts directed, in case of, 1030, 1031.

parties to redemption actions, 721.

DESCRIPTION,

of grantor of bill of sale, 230, 246—249.

of property, in order for delivery of possession in foreclosure action, 1046.

of witness to affidavit of bill of sale, of, 248.

DESERTION OF WIFE,

husband's consent to her disposition dispensed with after, 320.

DESTRUCTION OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY, 1512.**DETERIORATION OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY. See WASTE.****DEVISE,**

of equity of redemption, 646, 647.

of mortgage estates now inoperative, 841.

exception as to copyholds, 842.

former law as to, 832—839. *See* **LEGAL ESTATE.**

DEVISEE,

- of mortgagee, when made party to foreclosure action, 1004, 1005.
when entitled to foreclosure, 998.
- of mortgagor, consolidation against, 862.

DEVOLUTION,

- of equity of redemption in copyholds, 646, 647.
in freeholds, 646.
in personalty, 647.
- of legal estate in mortgaged property, 832—844.
by former law, 832.
from 7th August, 1874, to 1st January, 1882...839.
under Conveyancing Act, 1881...840—844.
under Friendly Societies Act, 564.
- of mortgage debt, 844—847. *And see* MORTGAGE DEBT.
- of surplus proceeds of sale, by mortgagee under power, 911.
- union of mortgagee's estate with equity of redemption, effect of, 851—854.

DIRECTORS,

- action by debenture holders against, 1127.
- accepting bill of exchange without authority, 471.
- articles may vest borrowing powers in, 471. *See* COMPANY.
- borrowing *ultra vires*, liability of, 460.
- liability for exceeding borrowing powers, 467, 471. *See* COMPANY.
- lien of, for moneys advanced for purchase of land, 1379.
- misapplication of funds by, constructive notice of, 1331.
- mortgage to, by company, omission to register, 501.
- notice to, by mortgagee of shares, effect of, 1271, 1272.
to company, through, 1331.
- purchase of debentures at undervalue, by, 824.
- qualification of, mortgage of shares does not affect, 628.

DISABILITY,

- all persons not under, may mortgage their property, 315.
- coverture prior to Married Women's Property Act, 1882...315, 316.
- infancy, 353, 355, 356.
- Limitations, Statutes of, 988, 1061—1071. *See* LIMITATIONS, STATUTES OF.
- of mortgagee of ship, saving in case of, 269.

DISCHARGE,

- of bottomry bond, 1512.
- of charging order, 1362.
- of incumbrance, power of tenant for life to raise money for, 388.
- of mortgage of ship, 268.
to friendly society, by receipt indorsed, 566.
to life assurance company, entitled to proof of, 1268.
- of receiver, 958.
- of surety, 82—88. *See* SURETY.
- of vendor's lien, by taking security, 1374, 1375.

DISCLAIMER,

- by bankruptcy trustee of mortgagor, 160—162, 1081. *See LEASE.*
- by defendant, entitles him to costs, when, 1188—1190.
- by married woman, of power, 333.

DISCOUNT,

- allowance of, by building society, on subscriptions, 553.
- issue of debentures at, 470, 472.

DISCOVERY,

- by mortgagee of deeds, 732.
- from defendant pleading purchase for value without notice, 1304.
- in redemption actions, 732, 733.
- of gaming, &c. debts, securities for, 624.
- of mortgage, mortgagee whether bound to make, 1296.

DISENTAILING ASSURANCE,

- acknowledgment by married woman of, 368.
- covenant by mortgagor to perfect, 376—378.

DISMISSAL OF REDEMPTION ACTION,

- equivalent to foreclosure, 736.

DISPOSITION,

- husband's consent to wife's, dispensed with, when, 320.

DISTRESS,

- bill of sale no protection against, 222—224.
- by receiver, 923, 950, 951.
- fixtures not generally liable to, 124.
- marshalling, 779, 780.
- mortgagee, entry into possession by, after, 797.
- mortgagee in possession may distrain, 667, 679, 680.
- mortgagor may distrain, when, 672.
 - restrained from, after appointment of receiver, 923.
- pledgee entitled to discharge of claim before, 1472.
- power of, avoidance of, by Bills of Sale Acts, 667.
 - distinction between, and mortgage, 7.
- rentcharge recoverable by, 33, 34.
- security given to avoid, is not fraudulent preference, 589.
- surety discharged by, 87.
- winding-up of company, leave in, to make, 1126.

DISTRINGAS,

- notice in lieu of, 1357—1365. *See NOTICE.*

DIVIDENDS,

- payment of, on fund subject to charging order, 1365.
- proof after, in bankruptcy, 1089.
 - in composition, 1094.
 - in winding-up, 1130.

DIVORCE,

- effect of, on wife's power to dispose of property, 320.

DOCUMENTS,

- affidavit of, by mortgagee on redemption, 1412.
- material to title, mortgagee has constructive notice of all, 1313.
- not connected with title, constructive notice as to, 1315, 1316.
- production of, by mortgagee of lands in colony, 815.

DOWER,

- equity of redemption, mortgage of, liable to let in, 44.
- trustee of mortgagor to bar, whether party to foreclosure action, 1007.
- vesting order declaring uses to bar, 1423.

DOWRESS,

- consolidation does not bind, 862.
- redemption, right to, of, 698.

DRAINAGE ACTS,

- charges under, 1370, 1371.

DUPLICATES,

- stamp duty, 1538.

EARNINGS,

- future, receiver of, 933.
- separate property of married woman, 333, 334.

EASEMENTS,

- sale by mortgagee of, over unsold land, 902.

ECCLESIASTICAL COMMISSIONERS ACT,

- mortgages under, 441—444.

ECCLESIASTICAL PERSONS AND CORPORATIONS,

- agreement by incumbent for application of income of benefice for benefit of creditors, void, 439.
 - for giving priority to judgment, void, 441.
- collateral securities may be valid, where charge void, 440.
- evidence not admissible to impeach charge *prima facie* valid, 441.
- Irish benefice, charge on, valid during life of grantor, 440.
- land tax, charge on benefice in favour of incumbent redeeming, 440.
- mortgages by, of benefice with cure of souls, void, 438, 400.
 - of canonry, 439.
 - of compensation by retiring incumbent, 439.
 - of pew rents, 438.
 - rectory inappropriate, 438.
 - under statutory powers for building, &c., 441—444.
- warrants of attorney by, when void, 440, 441.

EIK TO A REVERSION,

- stamp duty, 1538.

EJECTMENT,

- admission to copyholds necessary, for mortgagee to bring, 152.
- mortgagee of tolls, &c., whether may maintain, 491, 492.
- receiver may maintain, against tenants, 952.

EMBLEMENTS,

lessee of mortgagor evicted by mortgagee not entitled to, 676.
mortgagee on entry into possession entitled to, 800.

ENFRANCHISEMENT OF COPYHOLDS,

effect of, on mortgage, 154.
mortgages by limited owners, &c., for, 388.
right of mortgagee to obtain, 153.

ENTAIL. *See* **TENANT IN TAIL.****ENTRY, POWER OF,**

rentcharge recoverable by means of, 33, 34.

EQUITABLE ASSETS, 651, 653.**EQUITABLE ASSIGNMENT.** *See* **HYPOTHECATION.****EQUITABLE MORTGAGE,**

accounts taken in case of, 1177.
agreement for mortgage, 42, 50—54. *And see* **AGREEMENT.**
company may give, whether, 469.
consolidation, right of, under, 856.
costs of perfecting, 54.
 right of mortgagee to, under, 1114, 1177.
deposit of deeds, 42, 54—66. *And see* **DEPOSIT OF DEEDS.**
effect of, at law and in equity, 8.
entry into possession, remedy analogous to, under, 797, 798.
equity of redemption, mortgage of, 42 *et seq.* *See* **REDEMPTION,**
 EQUITY OF.
foreclosure, incident to, 995.
future advances may be secured by, 42.
judgment preferred to subsequent, 1218, 1219.
 postponed to prior, 1348.
legal estate, best right to call for, 1217, 1218.
 action to compel prior mortgagee paid off to convey, 43.
 injunction to restrain mortgagor from parting with, 42.
legal mortgage preferred to prior, 1215.
power of attorney may create, 52.
power of sale under, 887.
receiver may be appointed at instance of, 928.
sale by Court at instance of, 1018.
stamp duty on security, 1521, 1522.
tacking. *See* **TACKING.**

EQUITIES EQUAL, LAW PREVAILS, 1214.**EQUITY OF REDEMPTION.** *See* **REDEMPTION, EQUITY OF.****EQUITY TO SETTLEMENT,**

bar of, by deed acknowledged, 317.
chattels real of wife are subject to, 321.
chooses in action are subject to, 323.
fund in Court is subject to, 323.
Married Women's Property Act, 1882, effect of, 337.

ESCHEAT,

- to Crown, 642, 644.
 - subject to debts, 644.
- Intestates Act, 1884...644.
- of beneficial interest, 643.
- of equity of redemption, 643, 644.
- of mortgage and trust estates, none, 642, 643.
- of personalty, 644.
- prevents foreclosure, 1057.

ESTATE,

- mortgagor has, in land, till foreclosure, 627, 628.

ESTATE DUTY,

- mortgage by trustees for, 423.

ESTATE FOR LIFE. See TENANT FOR LIFE.**ESTATE TAIL. See TENANT FOR LIFE.****ESTOPPEL,**

- attornment clause creates tenancy by, 666.
- company when bound by, 473, 474, 485.
- lease by, 684.
- mortgagee cannot dispute mortgagor's title, 737.
- mortgagor cannot dispute mortgagee's title, 654.
- recitals, 110.
- tenant cannot dispute landlord's title, 682—684.

EVICTIION. See EJECTMENT.**EVIDENCE,**

- accounts, surcharge and falsification of, 1143.
- constructive notice by omitting to require, of title, 1329.
- costs, mortgagor liable for, for adducing unnecessary, 1182.
- foreclosure, in action for, 1022—1024. *See FORECLOSURE.*
 - opening, on production of fresh, 1047.
- parol, admissibility of,
 - acknowledgment of debt, 985.
 - ambiguity in terms of security, to explain, 471.
 - charge on benefice *prima facie* valid, impeachment of, 441.
 - deeds, contents of missing, 816.
 - defeasance, intention to execute contemporaneous, 24.
 - defeasible purchase or mortgage, 23—25.
 - deposit of deeds, intent to secure debt by, 59.
 - further advances by, 60.
 - memorandum accompanying, 57.
 - property included in charge by, 61.
- equity of redemption, who entitled to, 23—25.
- fraud, 25.

EVIDENCE—*continued.*

- parol, admissibility of—*continued.*
 - lost acknowledgment of debt, 1067.
 - mortgage deed, 1023.
 - lunacy of mortgagor, 1023.
 - merger, intention to effect, 1438, 1439.
 - mortgage by wife to secure husband's debt, 351, 352.
 - redemption, right of, in whom vested, 25.
 - release of mortgage debt, 1403.
 - suretyship, to establish or explain nature of contract of, 79, 80.
 - trust of mortgage, 845.
 - voluntary settlement, consideration for, 602.
- purchaser from mortgagee may require, of propriety of sale, whether, 900.
- vesting order, application for, to be supported by what, 1428, 1429.

EXCEPTIONS TO MORTGAGE,

- alimony, 300.
- annuities *pro consilio impendendo*, 299.
- canonry or other ecclesiastical office, 300.
- church livings, 300.
- commission of officer, and proceeds of sale of, 299.
- emoluments of public office, 299.
- officer's pay, 299.
- pensions, 299.
- retiring allowances, 299.
- salaries of judges, 299.

EXCHANGE,

- equality of, power to raise money by mortgage for, 388, 423.
- of lands in mortgage, consent of mortgagee to, whether necessary, 736.

EXECUTION OF INSTRUMENTS,

- of bill of sale, new affidavit must state, 245.
- of mortgage by infants under order of Court, 324.
- on behalf of lunatic, 363.

EXECUTION ON JUDGMENT,

- charging order, effects equitable, 1357.
- Crown may levy, 648.
- enforcement of judgment by, 647, 648.
- equitable, 649.
- equity of redemption cannot be taken in, 648, 649.
- fixtures not liable to be taken in, 124.
- floating security, debentures by way of, good against creditor, 496.
- mortgagee's interest may be taken in, 851.
- pledgee entitled to discharge of claim before, 1472.

EXECUTORS AND ADMINISTRATORS,

- acknowledgment of debt by, 983.
- administration, mortgage by, valid only so far as for purposes of, when, 402.
- administration decree, effect of, 401.
 - order for mortgage of estate, 401.
- administrator, mortgaging powers of, 401.
 - as to realty, 419.
 - of mortgagor, joinder as party in foreclosure action, 1009.
 - power of sale may be given by, 405.
 - realty cannot be mortgaged by, 419.
- application of moneys advanced, 401—403.
 - appropriation of particular fund to debts, 404.
 - following assets, 405.
 - mortgagee not bound to see to, generally, 399, 401, 402.
 - under Lord St. Leonards' Act, 421.
 - where debts, &c. are charged on realty, 422.
 - notice of impropriety of loan, 403, 404.
- assent of executor to specific legacy, 400.
- assets, all kinds of, may be mortgaged by, 400, 405.
 - decree for administration affects right to mortgage, whether, 401.
 - following, 399, 405.
 - delay may prevent, 405.
 - insolvent estate, 400.
 - institution of action does not prevent dealings with, 401.
- banker, deposit of securities with, by, 405.
- conflict of equities, 404, 405.
- consent of Court to mortgage, application for, 401.
- consolidation clause in mortgage by, not allowable, 406.
- debt of, mortgage to secure, 402.
- debts, payment of, is first duty of, 399.
- deposit of deeds as security by, 64, 405.
 - to secure own debt, 402.
- devolution of mortgage estates on, 840—844.
- estate duty, money to pay, may be raised on mortgage by, 423.
- foreclosure by, 998.
- fraudulent dealings between mortgagee and, 402, 403, 421.
- heir of mortgagee a trustee for, 832.
- insolvent estate, mortgage of assets valid, where, 400.
- leaseholds, presumption that mortgage of, is for purposes of administration, 422.
- liability of, distributing assets, 1107.
- misapplication by, of loan, mortgagee not generally liable for, 399, 401, 402.
- mixing in same mortgage deceased's and own property, 403.
- mortgage by, of personalty, 399—406.
 - form of, 405.
 - of assets generally, 400.

EXECUTORS AND ADMINISTRATORS—continued.

- mortgage by, of personalty—*continued.*
 - of specific legacy, 400, 402.
 - power of sale may be given by, 405.
 - special provisions not to be inserted in, 406.
 - subject-matter of, 405.
 - to secure own debt, 402—404.
- mortgage by, of realty, 406—422.
 - authorized by charge of debts, or legacies, 406. *See CHARGE.*
 - direction to pay debts, 407.
 - to raise money out of rents, &c., 414—416.
 - under Lord St. Leonards' Act, 416—422.
 - administrator cannot mortgage realty, 419.
 - application of loan, mortgagee whether bound to see to, 420, 421.
- notice of fraud, 403.
 - of trust, 404.
 - of unpaid debts, 403.
- one executor may mortgage, 405.
- parties to foreclosure action representing mortgagee, 1004, 1005.
 - mortgagor, 1008, 1009.
- to redemption action representing estate, 721, 723.
- personalty of deceased vests in, 399.
- power of, to mortgage assets, 400.
 - specific legacy, 400, 402.
 - to sell assets, 399.
- power of sale may be given to mortgagee by, 405.
- private debt of, mortgage to secure, void, 402.
- purchase by, at undervalue, of incumbrances, 824.
- redemption, right of, 698.
- retainer of debt, no right of, against receiver, 949.
- specific legacy, mortgage of, by, 400.
 - legatee, mortgage by executor who is, for own debt, 402.
- tender of mortgage moneys to, before probate, 711.
- title deeds of leaseholds, right to, of, 813.

EXONERATION,

- under former law, personalty primary fund, 753—767.
 - application of rule generally, 753.
 - to Welsh mortgage, 754.
 - contrary intention, what indicates, 754—758.
 - evidence, parol, not admissible, to show, 754, 755, 758.
 - express exoneration from debts, 755.
 - implied from bequest of residue, whether, 756, 757.
 - to executors, not, 756.
 - to tenant for life of realty, 755.
 - to trustees though also executors, 755, 756.
 - charge of debts simply, not, 754.
 - of legacies, 755.
 - of mortgage debts, 755.

EXONERATION—*continued.*

under former law—*continued.*

contrary intention, what indicates—*continued.*

implied from bequest of residue, whether—*continued.*

devise subject to debts, not, 755, 757.

to mortgage debts, not, 755.

direction to pay debt out of part of realty, 756.

funeral expenses, 755, 758.

suspension and revivor of liability of personalty, 758.

personalty, mortgagee of specific, is still entitled to, 766, 767.

exceptions to the general rule, 759—767.

adoption of debt by heir or devisee, 759, 760.

under Locke King's Act, mortgaged property primary fund, 767—773.

Act and amending Acts set out, 767—769.

application of Acts, 768.

charges, specific only, are within Acts, 768.

contrary intention, what indicates, 771—773.

absolute devise with direction to pay legacies out of personalty, not, 773.

appropriation of fund to mortgage debt, effect of, 773.

debts, charge of, on realty, not sufficient, 773.

debts, direction to pay generally, does not include mortgage debts, 769—772.

in exoneration of realty, 772, 773.

out of revived fund, 772.

devise, specific, of part of mortgaged premises, not, 773.

direction to pay particular incumbrance, not, as to others, 773.

sale and specific application of proceeds, trusts for, 772.

settlement, limitations in strict, not, 772.

conversion, trust for, not within Acts, 768.

copyholds are within Acts, 768.

Crown bound by Acts, 770.

deposit of deeds, mortgage by, is within Acts, 768.

judgment debts are within Acts, 771.

leaseholds now within Acts, 768, 769.

personal liability not imposed by Acts, 770.

personalty, mortgages of (other than leaseholds) not within Acts, 767, 768.

and realty, mortgage comprising, not within Acts, 770.

vendor's lien not within Acts, 768.

wills made before Locke King's Act, not within, 768, 771.

wife's right to, on husband's death, from mortgage for his debts, 351, 352.

EXPECTANCY,

married woman cannot dispose of, except under Married Women's Property Act, 1882...311.

mortgage of, whether valid, 311.

FORECLOSURE—continued.

- chief clerk's certificate, confirmation of, 1026.
 - interest, computation of, after, 1029.
 - objections to, 1033.
 - receipt of rents after, 1033, 1048, 1140.
- collateral securities, enforcement of, before, 1003.
- colonies, sale in, substituted for, 1016.
- commencement of action for, 1018—1021.
 - alternative claim for sale, 1018.
 - by originating summons, 1020, 1021.
 - by writ, 1018, 1019.
 - claim for, delivery of possession, 1018.
 - injunction against parting with legal estate, 1018.
 - personal payment, 1019, 1021.
 - receiver, 1021.
- consolidation may be claimed in action for, 856.
- copyholds, of, 152.
- costs, action for, does not entitle mortgagee to, 1003.
 - of action for, allowed to mortgagee in redemption action, 1195.
 - of application for enlargement of time for payment, 1033.
- County Court, jurisdiction of, in actions for, 1015.
 - bankruptcy of mortgagor does not affect, 1016.
 - where action must be brought, 1015, 1016.
- Crown, escheat or forfeiture to, prevents, 1057.
- debenture holder's action for, 1117—1120.
- declaration of charge in decree nisi, 1025.
- decree absolute for—
 - accounts, further, dispensed with, when, 1044.
 - action finally disposed of by, 1044.
 - affidavit of default, 1042.
 - application for, delay in, 1043.
 - form of, 1042.
 - brief, delivery of, to registrar, 1044.
 - death of mortgagor before, 1043.
 - one of joint mortgagees before, 1043.
 - delivery of possession, 1045, 1046.
 - title deeds, 1046, 1047.
 - infant, against, 1052, 1053.
 - motion for, after one year from judgment, 1043.
 - opening, 1047—1051.
 - parties, no joinder of, after, 1044.
 - part of estate not sold under order, 1044.
 - receiver not appointed after, 928.
 - registration of, unnecessary, 1044.
 - rents, receipt of, after decree nisi, prevents, when, 1044.
 - service, on whom, necessary, 1043.
 - vesting order refused before, 1046.

FORECLOSURE—continued.

- decree nisi for,
 - accounts, order for taking, 1026, 1027.
 - action for redemption, decree no defence to, 1042.
 - always made in first instance, 1024.
 - assessment of security on mortgagor's bankruptcy, decree should show, 1025.
 - declaration of charge, 1025.
 - delivery of possession, conditional order for, 1045.
 - form, common, of, 1024.
 - inquiry as to priorities, 1032.
 - payment under, 1034, 1035.
 - redemption, price of, same in redemption action and, 1025, 1026.
 - time allowed for, 1027—1034.
 - allowance of one only usual, 1027.
 - enlargement of, 1032—1034.
 - ability to pay must be shown, 1034.
 - conditions of, 1033.
 - further enlargement, when granted, 1034.
 - grounds for application must be shown, 1032.
 - successive redemptions, 735, 1028—1032.
 - distinct parts of estate, separate mortgages of, 1032.
 - judgment creditors, 1028.
 - mortgagor cannot obtain, 1028.
 - part of equity of redemption vested in first mortgagee, 1031.
 - personalty, rule as to, 1029.
 - postponement of prior security, 1028.
 - puisne incumbrances created same day, 1029.
 - persons claiming under, 1029.
 - sub-mortgagees, 1030.
 - tenant for life and remainderman, 1029.
- default,
 - no foreclosure order unless after, 1002.
- delivery of possession, order for, 1045, 1046.
 - after absolute decree, 1046.
 - before trial refused, 1045.
 - conditional, in decree nisi, 1045.
 - description of property in, 1046.
 - ex parte*, when made, 1046.
 - pleadings should claim, 1045.
 - stock, order to transfer, 1045.
 - vesting order, 1046.
- delivery of title deeds, order for, 1046, 1047.
 - bonâ fide* holder without notice, 1047.
 - mortgagee entitled to what deeds, 1046, 1047.
 - purchaser paying off mortgage entitled to, 1047.

FORECLOSURE—*continued*.

- deposit of deeds, mortgagee by, declaration of charge in favour of, 1025.
 - foreclosure by, 995.
- equity of redemption, mortgagee of, subject to, by prior mortgagee, 44.
- evidence in action for, 1022—1024.
 - accounts, particulars of, 1024.
 - admission of mortgage, &c., 1022.
 - consideration, 1023.
 - entries against interest, 1023.
 - loss of mortgage deed, 1023.
 - lunacy of mortgagor, 1023.
 - proof of mortgage deed at hearing, 1022.
 - solicitor and client, dealings between, 1023.
 - title of mortgagor, investigation of, 1023.
 - undue influence, 1023.
- felon, whether foreclosure can be decreed against, 1057.
- fraud, defence of, pleadings must raise, 1022.
- future calls are subject to, 14, 497, 1001.
- infants, foreclosure and sale against, 1052—1056.
 - absolute decree immediate, where interest accrued after judgment, 1052.
 - where security inadequate, 1052.
 - adult and infant defendants, order as against, 1053.
 - alternative claims for foreclosure on sale, 1052.
 - attaining full age before decree, 1055.
 - conveyance, concurrence of infant in, 1055.
 - day to show cause, when allowed, 1054—1056.
 - demurring by parol, 1053.
 - discretion to order sale, 1055.
 - form of order, usual, 1052.
 - refusal of foreclosure order, 1054.
 - sale, order for, now generally made, 1054.
 - reference to chambers as to advisability of, 1052.
 - showing cause by, 1053.
 - stay of proceedings, infant not entitled to, 1052.
- Inns of Court, jurisdiction of, how far affects, 999.
- interest, computation of, after certificate, 1029.
 - enlargement of time for redemption, 1034.
- default in payment of, is sufficient ground for, 1002.
- Ireland, sale in, substituted for, 1016.
- judgment, decree is not a, 1111.
- jurisdiction in actions for, 1015—1018.
- lien, mere, gives no right of, 995.
- Limitations, Statutes of, in bar of, 1058—1074.
 - See* LIMITATIONS, STATUTES OF.
- liquidated demand, indorsement of writ with, 1019.
- lunacy of mortgagor, alleged, proof of, 1023.

FORECLOSURE—*continued.*

- married woman may be foreclosed, 1056.
- mortgagor retains "estate" in land till, 627, 628.
- opening after decree absolute, 1047—1051.
 - ability of mortgagor to pay must be shown, 1051.
 - accounts, further, on, 1049.
 - omissions from receivers, 1051.
 - attorney of debtor, where creditor was, 1050.
 - conduct of mortgagee considered, 1049, 1051.
 - delay in application for, 1050.
 - evidence, adducing fresh, by mortgagor, 1047.
 - fraud is ground for, 1047, 1049.
 - lapse of time no bar to, 1047.
 - life policy falling in before foreclosure absolute, 1048.
 - partial, none, 1050.
 - purchaser from mortgagee liable to, 1049.
 - not lightly disturbed, 1051.
 - receipt of rents by mortgagee, 1048.
 - sale to person entitled to redeem, 1049.
 - under power after foreclosure allowed, so as to prevent, 1049.
- originating summons, commencement of action by, 1020.
 - service out of jurisdiction of, not allowed, 1021.
- partnership, share in, 1000, *but see* 508.
- pension, 1000.
- payment, actual, mortgagee may foreclose till, 1003.
 - covenant for, statement of claim should set out, 1021.
 - claim for personal, in writ, 1019, 1021.
 - immediate, when mortgagee entitled to, 1019.
 - injunction against trustees, mortgagee guilty of breach of trust receiving, 1035.
 - power of attorney to receive under decree, 1034.
 - to joint mortgagees, 1034.
 - undertaking by mortgagor for, effect of, 1026.
- pleadings in action for, 1021, 1022.
 - accounts, denial of mortgagee's, 1022.
 - all material facts should be stated, 1021.
 - claim for personal payment, 1021.
 - counterclaim, 1022.
 - defence of, bar by lapse of time, 1022, 1058 *et seq.*
 - of fraud, 1021.
 - possession of mortgagee, inquiry as to, 1022.
 - statement of claim should state, 1021.
- postponement of right of, 1002.
- proof in administration action, after, 1113.
- redemption action, dismissal of, equivalent to, 736.
 - terms of redemption same in both, 1025, 1026.
- reversionary interest, when right to foreclose arises, 1002.
 - revivor of bar of right, &c., 1003.

FORECLOSURE—*continued.*

right to, incident to mortgage, 13, 14, 999.

when first arises, 1002, 1003.

sale in lieu of,

jurisdiction to order, 1016—1018.

order for, 1035—1041.

And see SALE BY COURT.

set-off, claim by mortgagor to, 1027.

sub-mortgagee may foreclose, 999.

redemption decree binds, 1030, 1031.

title of mortgagor not investigated in action for, 1023.

vesting order declaring mortgagor to be trustee after, 1422.

vesting order not made before decree absolute, 1096.

Welsh mortgage gives no right to, 27, 28.

who may foreclose, 999 *et seq.*

abroad, mortgagee of land, 1001.

absolute grantee subject to re-purchase, not, 23.

bankruptcy trustee of mortgagee, 999, 1077.

cestui que trust where mortgage vested in trustees, 996, 997.

charge, mere holder of, not, 6, 13, 995.

registered proprietor of, 995.

chattels personal, mortgagee of, whether, 1000.

copyhold, mortgagee of, 152, 995.

creditors, mortgagee after assignment and trust for, 999.

customary heir of mortgagee, when, 998.

debenture holder, whether, 1001, 1117.

deposit of deeds, mortgage by, 995.

devisee of mortgagee, when, 998.

distinct mortgages, holder of several, 1000.

sums, several mortgages of, 995.

equitable mortgagee, 995.

floating security, holder of debenture in form of, 1001.

heir of mortgagee under former law, 998.

joint mortgagees, one of several, 995.

Land Transfer Act, 1875, proprietor of registered charge under, 41.

leaseholds, mortgagee of, by demise, 1001.

lien, holder of, &c., mere, not, 995.

mortgagee for term of years, 997, 1001.

mortgagee in or out of possession, 994.

partnership, share, mortgagee of, 995, 1000.

pension, mortgagee of, 1000.

personal representatives of mortgagee, 998.

railway shares, mortgagee of, 1000.

sub-mortgagee, 999.

surety, mortgagee of reversionary interest of, not, 1000.

term, mortgagee for, with trust for sale, of fee, 997, 1001.

transferee of mortgage, 998.

trust deed collateral to mortgage with, 997.

FORECLOSURE—*continued.*

- who may foreclose—*continued.*
 - trustee for sale, not, 996, 997.
 - trustee-mortgagee, 996.
- who ought to be parties to action for, 1003—1015.
 - administrator of mortgagor, 1009.
 - all persons interested generally, 1003.
 - assignees *pendente lite*, whether, 1014, 1015.
 - Attorney-General, when, 1013.
 - bankrupt mortgagee, not, 1011.
 - bankruptcy trustee of mortgagor, 1011.
 - benefice, patron of mortgaged, not, 1010.
 - co-mortgagees, all of several, 1006.
 - customary heir of mortgagee, when, 1005.
 - debenture holders, 1013.
 - devisee of mortgagee, when, 1004, 1005.
 - dower, trustee of mortgagor to bar, 1007.
 - equity of redemption, different owners of, 1010.
 - purchaser of, 1009, 1010.
 - felon, administrator of, 1009.
 - heir of mortgagee, when, 1004, 1005.
 - judgment creditors, 1013.
 - mortgagor (generally), 1007.
 - after assignment, not, 1007, 1008.
 - of part of estate, 1008.
 - partners of mortgagor, when, 1010.
 - personal representatives of mortgagee, 1004, 1005.
 - mortgagor, when, 1008, 1009.
 - prior mortgagee in action by puisne mortgagee, 1014.
 - puisne incumbrancers, 1012, 1013.
 - surety, whether, 1008.
 - tenant in tail of equity of redemption, 1010.
 - trustee as representing *cestuis que trust*, 1006, 1007.
 - of portions term, 1011.
 - to preserve contingent remainders, 1011.
 - where action brought by *cestuis que trust*, 1005.
 - by puisne mortgagee, 1014.
 - by sub-mortgagee, 1007, 1008.
- winding-up of company, leave to bring action in, 1002.
- writ, indorsement of, generally, 1018.
 - specially indorsed, 1019.

FOREIGN COUNTRY,

- action by debenture holders in, 1127, 1128.
- deposit of deeds relating to land in, 62.
- Trustee Acts, whether, apply to conveyance of land in, 1418.

FOREIGN COURT,

- maritime lien, how affected by decree of, 1390.

FOREIGN PORT,

bottomry bond may be given in, 1509.

FOREIGN SECURITIES,

stamp duty, 1523, 1524.

FORFEITURE,

condition of, relief against, in equity, 11, 68.

of bond, by non-payment of mortgage money, 68.

equity of redemption in land, 642—645.

of lease, relief against, 157, 158.

of mortgagor's estate at common law on default, 4.

of policy of life assurance, 297.

FORGERY,

of bill of lading, 1499.

of mortgage, 1297.

registration of deed avoided by, 1251.

FRAUD,

absolute conveyance reduced to mortgage by, 22, 25.

accounts, costs of mortgagee disallowed in, for, 1180.

opening for, 1141.

anticipation, restraint on, not affected by, 340.

bottomry bond, relief against, for, 1510, 1511.

chattels restrained by mortgagee, evidence of, 173, 175, 176.

concealment of incumbrances, 46, 47.

constructive notice, rules as to, how affected by solicitors, 1329, 1330.

costs, mortgagee deprived of, for bringing unfounded charge of, 1178.

creditors, conveyances in fraud of, within 13 Eliz. c. 5...568—576.

See FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES.

defence of, pleadings must raise, 1022, 1143.

deposit of deeds in, 64, 65.

deposited deeds, removal of, 62.

evidence as to, 25.

executor and mortgagee, dealings between, in, 402, 403, 421.

foreclosure, is ground for opening, 1047, 1049.

Frauds, Statute of, does not cover, 25.

lease by mortgagor to mortgagee, 17.

Limitation, Statutes of, 1071, 1072.

married woman, restraint on anticipation, not affected by her, 340.

misrepresentation amounting to, 1294.

pleadings must raise, 1022, 1143.

pledge, possession of, acquired by, 1463, 1464.

priority of mortgagee lost by, 1239, 1293—1297.

agent, mortgagee liable for fraud of, 1294.

bankruptcy, ante-dating mortgage on eve of, 1293.

charging order, opposition to, does not amount to, 1297.

concealment of incumbrance, 46, 1293, 1294.

where mortgagee is counsel or solicitor, 1296.
settlement, 1296.

FRAUD—continued.

priority of mortgagee lost by—*continued.*

disclosure of mortgage, mortgagee unasked not bound to make, 1296.

forged mortgage, 1297.

securities, substitution of, 1297.

registration of deed avoided by, 1251, 1252.

release of equity of redemption to mortgagee acquired by, 17.
security, collusive, 1295, 1296.

solicitor, concealment or misrepresentation by, 1294.

fraudulent use of deeds deposited with, 1295.

taking mortgage in his own name, 1296.

reconveyance obtained by, 1411.

release of equity of redemption obtained by, 17.

reversionary securities, avoidance of, on ground of, 613, 616.

sale in bankruptcy, no order for, when transaction tainted by, 1096.

settled account opened for, 1142.

suretyship, contract of, how affected by, 80—82.

surrender of, copyholds avoided for, 152, 153.

title deeds, deposit of, by vendor before completion, 1332.

parting with, by mortgagee in, 1338, 1339.

retainer of part of, by mortgagor, 1343.

return of, to mortgagor, obtained by, 1347.

vendor's lien lost by, 1295.

wife's investment, fraudulent, of husband's money, 338.

FRAUDS, STATUTE OF,

deposit of deeds as security excepted from, 54—56.

floating security on land of company is interest within, 496.

fraud not covered by, 25.

guaranty, action on, 79, 80.

tacking, parol agreement for, void, 49.

FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES,

in fraud of creditors (13 Eliz. c. 5),

action to set aside, 575.

any creditor may bring, 575.

costs of, 576.

decree in, form of, 576.

avoidance of, against creditors, 568.

intention to defraud creditors, 569—574.

concealment of mortgage from mortgagee, 571, 572.

consideration, adequacy of, how far material, 572, 573.

debtor *in extremis*, assignment by, 572.

good faith essential to support transaction, 570.

insolvency of mortgagor, how far material, 571.

particular creditor, assignment to defeat, is not within statute, 570.

retention by mortgagor of chattels, 172 *et seq.*, 569. *See*
CHATTELS PERSONAL.

FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES—*continued.*

- in fraud of creditors (13 Eliz. c. 5)—*continued.*
 - intention to defraud creditors—*continued.*
 - retention by mortgagor of deeds, 571.
 - of possession of land, 569.
 - voluntary settlements, 573, 574.
 - property, what is, within the statute, 568, 569.
 - protection of *bonâ fide* conveyances on good consideration, 568—570.
- in fraud of purchasers (27 Eliz. c. 4),
 - avoidance of covinous, &c. conveyances, 600.
 - cancellation of deed, mortgagee cannot require, 605.
 - consideration, 601—603.
 - formerly material, 601.
 - proveable *aliunde*, 602.
 - what sufficient, 601.
 - now immaterial, 602, 603.
 - copyholds are within the statute, 601.
 - fraud vitiates conveyance, in what cases, 602.
 - "purchasers" within statute, all mortgagees, legal or equitable, are, 603.
 - depositee of deeds is, 603.
 - judgment creditors are not, 604.
 - title of *bonâ fide* mortgagee from, 604.
 - remedies of mortgagees seeking to avoid, 605.
 - voluntary conveyances, cases under former law, as to, 604, 605.
 - Voluntary Conveyances Act, 1893...602, 603.

FRAUDULENT DEVICES ACTS, 967, 1151.**FRAUDULENT PREFERENCE**,

- in bankruptcy, 584—598.
 - act of bankruptcy, committed by, 577.
 - arrest, security given after, 591.
 - attornment clause to commence only from bankruptcy is void as, 591, 666.
 - avoidance of, in certain cases, 585 *et seq.*
 - breach of trust, security given to make good, is not, 589.
 - builder's contract, licence to seize on bankruptcy, in, void, 591.
 - burden of proof, 588.
 - composition, deposit of goods with surety for instalment of, 592.
 - security, given pending, 591.
 - particular creditor, 592.
 - consolidation, right of, affected by, when, 861.
 - contemplation of bankruptcy, how far material, 588.
 - meaning of, 587.
 - notice to particular creditor of, 591.
 - debt barred by bankruptcy, new security for, 597.
 - distress, security given to avoid, is not, 589.

FRAUDULENT PREFERENCE—*continued.*in bankruptcy—*continued.*

- doctrine of, statement of principle of, 584.
- earlier decisions must be applied with caution, 585, 586.
- intention of debtor to prefer creditor determines question of, 588.
- present advance, *bona fide* mortgage to secure, protected, 593.
 - and existing debt, mortgage to secure, when valid, 594—596.
 - amount of advance, how far material, 595.
 - contemplation of advance not sufficient, 595.
 - traders and non-traders, distinction between, 596.
- pressure by creditor, effect of, 588, 589.
- previous contract, security pursuant to, 589, 590.
 - security, completion of, 590.
- protection of *bona fide* transactions without notice, 585, 587.
 - notice of act of bankruptcy, effect of, 593.
- recovery of amount of security, 592.
- sale, order for, refused on ground of, 1097.
- secret promise by compounding debtor to pay creditor in full, 593.
- secret security, 592—596.
- substituted security, 589.
- surety, where payment by principal is set aside for, whether, 83.
 - secret agreement with, by compounding debtor, 592.
- threat of proceedings, security given under, is, whether, 589.
- time, limit of, for avoidance of transaction, 587.
- voluntary debt, security given for, 591.
- whole of debtor's property, mortgage of, 577, 593.
- in winding up of companies, avoidance of mortgages, &c., for, 598, 599.
 - debenture holder's action, creditor cannot raise question in, 598.
 - director, security given to, 599.
 - enforcement of doctrine for benefit of creditors generally, 1131.
 - pressure, absence of, necessary to, 598.
 - winding up, contemplation of, necessary to, 598.

FREEHOLDS,

- mortgage of, form and contents of, 106 *et seq.* See MORTGAGE.
- statutory covenants for title implied in, 141—146.

FREIGHT,

- assignment of, separate, 271.
- charter-party, meaning of, 270.
- custom of trade fixes, where no agreement as to, 270.
- future, assignment of, 271.
- hypothecation, of, 1501.
- lien for wages, how far attaches to, 1393.
- lien on cargo for, 1398, 1399.
- mortgage of ship, including, notice of, by certificate of registry, 272.

FREIGHT—*continued.*

- mortgagee of ship, notice to, by mortgagee of, 272.
- priorities as between, and mortgagee of, 272.
- taking possession, entitled to, 272.
- registration of mortgage of, not necessary, 271.
- what is, 270.
- when, is earned, 271.

FRIENDLY SOCIETIES,

- arbitration clauses, 566.
- borrowing powers of, 463.
- legal estate in mortgaged lands, devolution of, 564.
- mortgages by, of lands, 463.
- to, 563—566.
- accounts, omission to audit, priority not affected by, 565.
- admittance to copyholds, 564.
- devolution on death, &c. of trustee, 564.
- discharge of mortgage by receipt indorsed, 566.
- investment by trustees on, 564.
- members, loans to, 564, 565.
- reconveyance, receipt in lieu of, 566, 1407.
- satisfaction, entry of, on register or court rolls, 566.
- unauthorized security, loans on, 565.
- officer of, death, &c. of, effect of, 565.
- vesting of property of society, 564.

FUND IN COURT,

- assignment of, notice of, 1279.
- equity to settlement attaches to, 323.
- receiver of, 931, 932.
- stop order on, 1276, 1279.

FURTHER ADVANCES,

- adding to mortgage debt in accounts, 1147, 1148, 1150.
- bill of sale given to cover, 232.
- deposit of deeds, covers, whether, 60, 61.
- equitable mortgage may be given to secure, 42.
- interest on, 1161.
- registration of prior incumbrance, notice of, 1247.
- stamp duty on security for, 1516.
- stop order, whether extends to, 1280.
- tacking, as against mesne incumbrancers, 1230—1234. *See TACKING.*
- title, inquiry as to, on making, 1347.

FURTHER ASSURANCE, COVENANT FOR,

- bill of sale may contain, 236.

FURTHER CHARGE,

- stamp duty on deed of, 1519.

FURTHER SECURITY,

- stamp duty, 1538, 1539.

FUTURE CALLS,

mortgage of, foreclosure of, 14, 497, 1001. *And see CALLS.*

FUTURE DEBTS,

mortgage of, 302.

FUTURE FREIGHT,

assignment of, 271.

FUTURE STOCK OR CHATTELS,

bill of sale of, void, how far, 210, 216.

GAMING AND WAGERING DEBTS, SECURITIES FOR,

avoidance of, generally, 619, 620.

betting loan repayable out of winnings by, 624.

default, security given to avoid being posted for, 624.

delivery up of, order for, 622, 623.

deposit of money with stakeholder, 622.

of securities as cover for gambling in stocks, &c., 622, 623.

discovery, whether compellable, 624.

horse racing, 623.

judgments, given by loser, set aside, 620.

promises to repay gambling, &c. debts, void, 621.

purchaser for value without notice of, protected, 619—621.

recovery back of money, 619, 620, 622.

stock-jobbing transactions, 624.

subscriptions to prizes, 623.

GARNISHEE ORDER,

right of holder of, against mortgaged estate, 1351, 1352.

GAVELKIND, CUSTOM OF,

infant cannot mortgage land by, 353.

redemption by heir in, 698.

GENERAL AVERAGE,

lien not created by, 1394.

GENERAL WORDS,

omission of, from mortgage deed under Conveyancing Act, 1881...119.

GOODWILL,

deposit of deeds includes, 63.

mortgage of business includes, 118.

of public-house, includes right to license, 118.

trade name, user of, by assignee of mortgagor, injunction against, 669.

GROUND RENTS,

agreement for conveyance of, to mortgagee, void, 15.

receiver must keep down, 554.

GROWING CROPS,

- bankruptcy, order for sale in, mortgagee's right after, to, 1003, 1004.
- Bills of Sale Acts, assigned with land, are not within, 207.
- separately assigned, are within, 204, 205.
- injunction against mortgagor's trustee in bankruptcy removing, 670.
- surrender by tenant after mortgage including, 217.

GUARANTY,

- action on, 79, 80.
- want of consideration avoids, when, 80, 95.

GUARDIAN,

- purchase of incumbrance at undervalue by, 824.
- redemption by, 697.
- ship cannot be mortgaged for repairs by, 269, 355.
- tender of mortgage moneys by, 711.
- undue influence, mortgage obtained by, 607.

GUARDIANS, BOARDS OF,

- mortgages by, 450—452.

GUERNSEY,

- a home port, 1510.

HALF-PAY,

- not assignable, 800.

HEIR OR HEIRS,

- absence of, beyond seas, 1423.
- adoption of ancestor's debt by, 759, 760.
- annuity limited to, constitutes him trustee for executor, 33.
- attornment by ancestor does not bind, 665.
- borough English, 646.
- deposit of deeds by, is alienation *pro tanto*, 64.
- exoneration of mortgaged lands devolving on, under former law, 753—759.
- not under Locke King's Act, 759—767.

See EXONERATION.

- expectant, dealings with, 612—618. *See* REVERSIONARY INTERESTS.
- gavelkind tenure, 646.

infant, 1419, 1420.

of mortgagee, foreclosure by, under former law, 998.

makes mortgaged realty devolve on, 852.

party to foreclosure action, when, 1004, 1005.

redemption action, when, 720.

release of equity of redemption makes mortgaged realty devolve on, 852.

trustee for his personal representative, when, 832.

mortgagor's executors, &c., 1423.

mortgagor, 1423.

HEIR OR HEIRS—*continued.*

- of mortgagor, compellable to make good defective assurance, when, 793.
 - consolidation against, 862.
 - party to foreclosure action, 72.
 - redemption action, 723.
 - redemption by, 698.
- purchase of incumbrances at undervalue by, 824.
- redemption, restriction of, to particular class of, 12.
- re-purchase, purchase-money on, belongs to, 23.
- sale by mortgagee, surplus proceeds of, belong to, when, 911.
- vesting orders. *See* VESTING ORDERS.
- wife's, redemption by, 705.
- will, unregistered, whether prevails over mortgage by, 1245.

HEIRLOOMS,

- pledge of, 1468.

HERITABLE BOND,

- investment of trust funds on, 514.
- stamp duty, 1538.

HIRE AND PURCHASE AGREEMENTS,

- Bills of Sale Acts, whether apply to, 196—198.
- possession under, reputed ownership, whether, 181.

HOME PORT,

- bottomry bonds given in, 1509.

HORSE RACING,

- securities for moneys lost in, void, 623.

HUSBAND AND WIFE. *See* MARRIED WOMAN.**HYPOTHECATION,**

- equitable assignment of cargo, 1494—1499. *See* BILLS OF LADING.
 - appropriation of bills of lading to bills of exchange accepted, 1494—1496.
 - appropriation of bills of lading to bills of exchange before acceptance, 1497—1499.
 - See* BILLS OF LADING.
- equitable assignment of debt or fund, 1487—1494.
 - abroad, order addressed to holder who is, 1488.
 - action by assignee in his own name, 1489.
 - assent of holder not necessary, 1490.
 - bankruptcy, assignment after notice prevails in, 1489.
 - definition of equitable assignment, 1487.
 - delay in enforcing security, 1490.
 - dividend warrants, transfer of, 1490.
 - East India bonds, 1490.
 - equities, assignee bound by, 1488.
 - extinguishment of original debt not necessary, 1489.

HYPOTHECATION—*continued.*

equitable assignment of debt or fund—*continued.*

informal words, assignment by, 1491, 1492.

notice of assignment, 1487—1499.

parol assignment, 1492.

payment by holder after notice, 1488.

priority after notice, 1488.

revocation, 1493.

stamp duty, 1524.

terms of assignment must be unequivocal, 1492.

unascertained amount, appropriated fund of, 1493.

Waring, Exp., rule in, 1493, 1494.

mortgage distinguished from, 7.

of freight, 1501.

of imported goods, Bills of Sale Acts do not apply to, 190, 209, 210.

pledge distinguished from, 7.

IMMORAL SECURITIES,

consideration of cohabitation, future, 624.

past, 624, 625.

with married man, 625.

continuance of cohabitation, 625.

deed necessary to support security, 625.

remedy notwithstanding loss of, 625.

IMPORTED GOODS,

Bills of Sale Acts do not apply to securities on, 190, 209, 210.

IMPROVEMENTS,

accounts, allowance in, to mortgagee for, 1205—1207.

bankruptcy, power of Court in, to give leave to make, 1206.

puisne incumbrancer, as against first mortgagee, not allowed expenses of, 1206.

receiver not allowed expenses of, 954.

IMPROVEMENTS OF LAND ACT,

mortgages under, 515, 523, 1371.

INCLOSURE ACTS,

mortgages, under, 383, 384.

advance by tenant for life for expenses is a charge on inheritance, 384.

allotments, power to mortgage, 383, 384.

concurrence of commissioner not required, 384.

INCOME TAX,

deducted from interest, 1156.

INCORPORATION,

building societies, of, 460, 549.

INCUMBENT,

mortgages by, 438—440. *See* ECCLESIASTICAL PERSONS AND CORPORATIONS.

INCUMBRANCES,

charging order subject to prior, 1364.
concealment of, is a misdemeanour, 46.
constructive notice by recital of, 1309, 1310.
discharge of, power of tenant for life to raise money for, 388.
 under Lands Clauses Act, 1407.
omission of, from abstract, vendor liable for, 47.
purchase of, at undervalue by guardian of infant, 824.
sale, order for, free from, 1038, 1040.

INDEMNITY,

against future calls, legatee of shares held entitled to, 766.
surety, assignment of all debtor's property to, by way of, 580.
 right of, to, from principal, 95.
title deeds missing, 817, 818.

INDIA REGISTRATION ACTS, 1242.**INDIA WARRANTS,**

Bills of Sale Acts do not apply to, 201.

INFANT,

accounts, how far binding on, 1141.
bankrupt, cannot be, 356.
borrowing by, penalty for inciting, 355.
capitalization of interest, agreement between mortgagor and mortgages binds, 1165.
charges paid off kept alive for benefit of personal estate of, 510.
contingent rights of, vesting orders as to, 1420.
disability of, to mortgage, 353.
 under Merchant Shipping Act, 269.
 under Statutes of Limitation, 1069.
foreclosure against, 1052—1056.
 alternative sale or, prayed, 1052.
 conveyance, concurrence in, of, 1055.
 discretionary power of Court to order sale, 1055.
 full age before decree, attaining, 1055.
 order for, usual form of, 1052.
 parol demurring, 1053.
 sale of fee ordered where mortgage for term, 1055.
 showing cause by, 1053.
 stay of proceedings not granted to, 1052.
full age, mortgage given after attaining, 356.
 notification of contract after, void, 355.
guardian of, cannot change nature of property of, 510.
 mortgage ship of, 269, 355.
 purchase by, of incumbrance at undervalue, 824.
 redemption of land tax by, 354.
 of mortgage by, 607.

INFANTS—continued.

- gavelkind, custom of, 353.
- husband, consent of, to wife's disposition dispensed with, 319.
- interest on mortgage kept down out of rents, &c. of real estate of, 641.
- investments on mortgage of moneys of, 510.
- merger, presumption of, in case of, 1442.
- mortgage by, void, 353, 355.
 - unless under an order of Court, 354.
- mortgage of property of, for ancestor's debts, 353.
 - for fines, 354.
 - for land tax redemption, 354.
 - for maintenance, 429.
 - for repairs, 354.
 - paid off out of infant's personalty, 355.
 - under Settled Land Acts, 392.
- of ship of, by guardian, 269, 355.
- mortgage debt may be paid out of rents, &c. of lands of, 697.
- mortgages, vesting order where, 1419, 1420.
- notice to, binds, 1299.
- ratification of contract by, on attaining full age, void, 355.
- redemption by guardian of, 697.
- sale in foreclosure action against, 1052—1056.
- See FORECLOSURE.*
- tender of mortgage-moneys by guardian on behalf of, 711.
- vesting orders in case of mortgagees and trustees, 1419, 1420.
- And see VESTING ORDERS.*

INJUNCTION,

- Colonial Court, action by mortgagee in, 871.
- debenture holder against execution creditor, 1120.
- foreign Court, proceedings by mortgagee in, 871.
- powers under Settled Land Acts, improper exercise of, 395.
- sale by mortgagee pending redemption action, 905, 1049.
- waste by mortgagee, 804.
 - mortgagor, 669, 670.
 - tenant, 952.

INNS OF COURT,

- foreclosure, how far affected by jurisdiction of, 999.

INQUIRIES,

- as to mortgages in bankruptcy, 1094, 1095, 1098.
- priorities of incumbrances, 1032.
- title deeds missing, 816.
 - not delivered to mortgagee, 1336—1339.
 - on further advance, 1347.

INSPECTION OF DOCUMENTS,

- right of mortgagor to, 814—816.

INSTALMENTS,

- bill of sale, proviso in, for repayment by, 233.
- bond forfeited by non-payment of, 68.
- bonus payable on default in payment of, 1147.
- building society, mortgage to, proviso in, for immediate payment of, 554.
- debentures, advance on, by, 490.
- interest, arrears of, recoverable when debt payable by, 991.

INSURANCE,

- against fire. *See* FIRE INSURANCE.
- bill of sale may include covenant to pay, 235.
- costs of keeping up, allowance in accounts to mortgagee of, 1197—1199.
- mortgage of copyholds for lives, on, 152.
- on lives. *See* LIFE ASSURANCE.

INTEREST,

- after administration decree, 1112.
 - bankruptcy receiving order, 1091.
 - certificate, 1029.
- allowances to mortgagee in accounts in respect of, 1029, 1034, 1153 *et seq.* *See* ACCOUNTS.
- apportionment, void, not validated by payment of, 332.
- appropriation of payments to, 1213.
- arrears of, accountant whether entitled to, 1167, 1168.
 - mortgagee's right to, 1168—1172. *See* LIMITATIONS, STATUTE OF.
- Bills of Sale Acts require specific statement of, 232, 233.
- bond debt does not carry, beyond penalty, 67, 68.
- bottomry bond, rate allowed in, 1508.
- capitalization of, 18, 131—135, 1162—1168.
 - allowances to mortgagee in accounts in respect of, 1162—1168. *See* ACCOUNTS.
 - bankruptcy, proof in, for, 135.
 - bill of sale containing proviso for, void, 135.
 - consideration of forbearance, whether sufficient to support, 134.
 - reversions, mortgages of, containing provisos for, 134, 135.
 - stamp duty, 1516.
 - usury laws, effect of repeal of, on validity of provisos for, 134, 135.
- covenant for payment of, allowed in bill of sale, 233, 234.
 - "during continuance of security," how construed against surety, 88.
- debentures, reserved on, 487, 488.
- default in payment of, a ground for foreclosure, 1002.
- finances to building societies carry, whether, 552, 553.
- income tax deducted from, 1156.
- increased rate of, on default, not allowed, 18, 129, 130.
 - exception, where in consideration of forbearance, 131.
- mortgagee's right to, generally, 1153 *et seq.* *See* ACCOUNTS.

INTEREST—*continued*.

- mortgagee's right to, in administration action, 1108.
- misconduct of mortgagee, effect of, 1162.
- notice to redeem, interest in lieu of, 708.
- novation by accepting security for, 710.
- on arrears of annuity allowed, whether, 1167, 1168.
- on costs, when allowed, 1197.
- on fines to building societies, 553.
- on portions, when payable, 431.
- on premiums for life assurance paid by mortgagee, 1197.
- payment of, by tenant in tail, remainderman has benefit of, 641.
- mortgage presumed from, 21, 24.
- pledge carries, 1467.
- receiver must keep down, of mortgage, 955.
- reduction of, on punctual payment, 129, 131.
- condition strictly enforced, 129.
- waiver of, by trustee-mortgagee, 130.
- default, single, effect of, 129, 130.
- mortgagee in possession, 130.
- parol agreement for, 130.
- presumption of agreement for, 130.
- sharing profits in lieu of, loan to firm in consideration of, 505—507.
- surety's right to, on amount actually paid, 104.
- tenant for life must keep down, 637—641. *See* TENANT FOR LIFE.
- tenant in tail not compellable to keep down, 641.
- remainderman has benefit of payments by, 641.
- tender, strict, necessary to stop, 710.

INTESTACY,

- of illegitimate mortgagee, vesting order in case of, 1424.

INVENTORY,

- Bills of Sale Acts, within, when, 194, 198.
- schedule or, to bill of sale, 210, 213, 214.

INVESTMENT,

- of proceeds, surplus, of sale by mortgagee, 1041.
- of trust funds on mortgage. *See* TRUSTEES.

IRELAND,

- Bills of Sale Acts, do not apply to, 190.
- costs, rule as to making mortgagee liable for, in, 1181.
- Crown debts, registration of, in, 1368.
- interest, rate of, where none fixed, allowed in, 428.
- investments of trust funds on mortgages of land in, 513, 514.
- reconveyance of registered charge, 1407, 1408.
- registration in, of charge, omission of mortgagee to see to, 1345.
- of deeds, 1242, 1243, 1249—1252. *See* REGISTRATION.
- of judgments, not necessary, 1357.
- of wills, 1245.
- sale substituted for foreclosure in, 1016.

ISLE OF MAN,

a home port, 1510.

JERSEY,

a home port, 1510.

JOINDER OF PARTIES,

adding and striking out, 965.

costs of improper, 1180.

misjoinder of, 965.

JOINT ACCOUNTS,

statutory provisions as to moneys lent on, 534, 535.

JOINT CREDITORS,

tender to one of several, 712.

JOINT DEBTORS,

tender by one of several, 711.

JOINT MORTGAGEES,

all of several, must be parties to foreclosure action, 1006.

one of several, may foreclose, 995.

payment of mortgage moneys to, 1034.

JOINT-STOCK COMPANY. *See* COMPANY.**JOINTRESS,**

marshalling in favour of, 784.

redemption by, 699.

JUDGES,

powers of, under Judicature Acts, 874.

salaries of, cannot be mortgaged, 299.

JUDGMENT,

account and payment, order for, is not, 1111.

accounts, whether judgment debt can be added to mortgage in, 1149, 1150.

beneficial interest of debtor only, attached by, 1348.

charge under, extent of, against mortgagee, 1351.

charging orders, 1357—1365. *And see* CHARGING ORDERS.

debts of ancestor or testator are paramount to, 1348.

docketing, 1350.

equitable charge preferred to subsequent, 1348.

postponed to prior, 1218, 1219.

equities against debtor, creditor takes subject to prior, 1349.

execution to enforce, 647, 648.

equitable, 649.

foreclosure decree is not a, 1111.

for gaming, &c. debts, set aside, 620.

JUDGMENT—continued.

- garnishee order, right of holder of, against mortgaged estate, 1351, 1352.
- registration of, generally, 1350—1354.
 - in Ireland, unnecessary, 1357.
 - in Middlesex, 1356, 1357.
 - in Yorkshire, 1356.
 - priority by, 1110.
- searches for, 1354—1356.
- surety, how affected by assignment of, as collateral security, 86.
 - right of, to sign against principal, 94.
- tacking further advance by mortgagee to, 1230.
 - puisne incumbrance to, whether allowable, 1224—1225.
- trust for sale is paramount to, 1349.
- unregistered, notice of, 1353, 1356.

JUDGMENT CREDITOR,

- consolidation, right of, 857.
- costs, right to, of, 1186.
- foreclosure action, necessary party to, whether, 1013.
- marshalling, 787.
- mortgagee, entitled to costs, 1186.
- prior equities bind, 1238.
- proof by, in administration of assets, 1110.
- redemption by, 695.
- scheme of arrangement of company does not bind, 1133.
- tacking by, 1228—1230, 1233, 1234.
- wilful default, on taking possession, liability for, of, 1201.

JUDGMENT DEBTS,

- Locke King's Act and amending Acts include, 771.

JUDICATURE ACTS,

- administration of insolvent estates, 1111, 1112.
- appeal as to costs, 1176.
- assignee of chose in action, right to sue of, 305—311.
 - "absolute assignment," what is, 306—309, 1489.
 - bottomry bond, assignee of, 1500.
 - debt, equitable assignee of, 1489, 1490.
 - notice to debtor of assignment, 306.
 - transferee of mortgage, 825, 826, 960.
- Chancery Division, business assigned to,
 - administration of estates, 1105.
 - foreclosure actions, 1015.
 - redemption actions, 725.
 - winding-up of companies, 1120.
- restraining actions as to ships, &c. in, 1513.
- conflict of law and equity,
 - assignment of debt, assent of holder not necessary, 1490.
 - deposit of lease, breach of covenant against alienation, whether, 49.

JUDICATURE ACTS—*continued.*

conflict of law and equity—*continued.*

joint account, advance on, 845.
covenants, 965.

legal title still prevails, 212, 791, 792.

savings of married woman, 330.

suretyship, evidence of, 79.

tacking, right of, 1227.

vendor's lien, 1372.

High Court, jurisdiction of,

award of damages in action for specific performance, 49.

equitable relief in all divisions, 965, 1215, 1303.

foreclosure action, 868.

grant of all relief claimed in pleadings, 1141.

interpleader, 311.

judges, powers of, 874.

merger, 1431.

mortgagor, action by, to prevent damage to estate, 629, 630.
to recover possession, 673.

Palatine Courts, jurisdiction of, 319.

receiver, power to appoint, 925.

trustees represent *cestuis que trust*, in foreclosure actions, 1006.
in redemption actions, 721.

JURISDICTION,

of Admiralty Division,

bottomry bonds, 1512.

maritime lien, 1392.

mortgages of ships, 263.

of Bankruptcy Court,

administration of insolvent estates, 1108.

foreclosure, 1078.

sale of mortgaged property, 1094—1104. *See SALE BY COURT.*

of Chancery Division,

administration of assets, 1105.

foreclosure actions, 1015—1018.

receiver, appointment of, 924—928.

redemption actions, 725, 726.

sale in lieu of foreclosure, 1016, 1017.

vesting orders, 1427.

winding-up, 1120, 1121.

of County Court,

foreclosure actions, 1015.

redemption actions, 725.

winding-up, 1121.

of Court in Lunacy,

mortgage of lunatic's property, 356—359.

vesting orders, 1417, 1419. *See VESTING ORDERS.*

of Palatine Courts, 1120.

of Stannaries Court in winding-up, abolished, 1121.

"JUST ALLOWANCES," 1191 *et seq.* See ACCOUNTS.

LACHES,

- priority of mortgagee lost by, 1297—1299.
 - bottomry bond, priority of, lost by, 1511.
 - enforcement of mortgagee's rights, 1298.
 - maritime liens, when lost by, 1390.
 - mistake arising from negligence, 1299.
 - possession, neglecting to take, 1297, 1298.
 - registration, omission of, 1297.
 - title, delay in completion of, 1297.

LAND,

- action by mortgagee for recovery of, 792.
- alienation of, feudal restrictions on, 314, 315.
- definitions of, in Fines and Recoveries Act, 316.

LAND REGISTRY ACT, 1862...41, 62.**LAND TAX,**

- landlord, redemption by, liability of tenant after, 385.
- mortgage for redemption of, 354, 384, 385.
 - leaseholds, settlement of, after redemption, 385.
 - surplus sale moneys, discharge of incumbrances out of, 385.
- tenant for life, redemption by, 385.
- redemption of, by guardian of infant, 384.

LAND TRANSFER ACT, 1875,

- application of the Act, 1407.
- bankruptcy, transmission on, 39.
- certificate, deposit of, 62.
 - evidence by, 40.
 - loss and renewal of, 40.
- charges, creation of, 38.
 - discharge of, 39, 1242, 1407.
 - transfer of, 39.
- covenants by mortgagor, implied, 38.
- death, transmission on, 39.
- devolution of mortgaged estates, 839, 840.
- entry by mortgagee, 39, 798.
- foreclosure, 39, 549, 995.
- fraudulent dispositions, 41.
- lease, deposit of office copy of, 62.
- marriage of mortgagee, effect of, 39, 40.
- power of sale, 39, 549, 885, 886.
- registration, exemption from local, 1242.
 - priority by order of, 39.
 - right of purchaser from first mortgagee to, 41.

LAND TRANSFER ACT, 1875—continued.

- statutory enactments as to mortgages set out, 38—41.
- tacking, 1220.
- title of fiduciary mortgagee, 40.
- trustees and mortgagees, 886.
- unregistered instruments, effect of, 40.

LANDS CLAUSES ACT,

- bonds on borough funds, affect corporation lands under sect. 69 of, 447.
- compensation becomes subject to security, 792.
- interest, arrears, what recoverable out of purchase-money, 1169.
- money paid in is cash under control of Court, 511.
- redemption of mortgages, 707, 1407.
- "undertaking," meaning of, 490.

LEASE,

- agreement for, by mortgagor to mortgagee, 17, 19.
 - deposit of, variation of lease after, 63.
- assignment of, covenant against, mortgage by deposit, whether breach of, 163.
 - mortgage by way of, 155, 156.
- by mortgagee in possession, 800.
 - rent reserved by, 805.
 - restrictive covenants in, 805, 1202.
- by mortgagor after mortgage, 675—685.
 - action by mortgagee, against tenant for rent, 678.
 - by tenant, against mortgagor for eviction by mortgagee, 678.
 - agreement for, specific performance of, whether enforceable, 676.
 - assignee of mortgagor may sue tenant, whether, 683, 684.
 - attornment by tenant to mortgagee, 680.
 - confirmation of, by mortgagee, 679.
 - distress by mortgagee, 679, 680.
 - emblements, lessee evicted by mortgagee, not entitled to, 676.
 - estoppel of tenant from denying mortgagor's title, 682—684.
 - notice to quit, mortgagee need not give, 676.
 - redemption, lessee has right of, 679.
 - rent, apportionment of current, none, 677.
 - of furnished house, 685.
 - arrears of, mortgagee cannot recover, 677.
 - loss of, liability for, 681.
- tenancy, new, from year to year, may be created by mortgagee, 679—681.
- trespass, mortgagee cannot bring, after recognizing tenant, 681.
- under express powers, 676, 685.
- under statutory powers, 685—691.
 - agreements, parol, for, whether within Act, 691.
 - best rent to be reserved, 688.
 - building leases, 689.
 - contrary intention, provisos for, 690.

LEASE—*continued.*

- by mortgagor after mortgage—*continued.*
 - under statutory powers—*continued.*
 - copyholds, licence of lord necessary to, 691.
 - counterpart, delivery of, 689.
 - covenants, with whom made, 689.
 - easements, demise of, 687.
 - mining lease, no power to grant, 688.
 - re-entry, condition of, 689.
 - repairing lease, 690.
 - tenant for life mortgagor, may grant, 688.
 - underlease by mortgagor, 685.
 - voidable, not void, 683.
- by mortgagor before mortgage, 671—673.
 - action against tenant for breach of covenant, 673.
 - possession of land, 672, 673.
 - agreement for, to mortgagee, whether valid, 17, 19.
 - covenants, mortgagee not liable on affirmative, 672.
 - distress, 672.
 - mortgagee takes subject to, 671.
 - notice to quit, 672.
 - parol tenancy, assignment of, effect of, 672.
 - rent, arrears of, mortgagee cannot recover, 671.
 - mortgagor in possession entitled to, 671.
 - notice to pay to mortgagee, effect of, 671.
- by mortgagor and mortgagee, jointly, 673—675.
 - concurrence of both generally necessary, 673.
 - covenant, lessee's, should be with mortgagee, 674.
 - with mortgagor alone, effect of, 673, 674.
 - lessor's, by mortgagor, effect of, 674.
 - several, with mortgagor and mortgagee, 674.
 - operation of joint lease, 675.
 - power of sale in, 675.
 - re-entry, reservation of right of, 675.
 - rent, reservation of, 674.
 - underlease, 675.
- constructive notice from contracts, &c. of, 1309 *et seq.* See CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE.
- deposit of, breach of covenant against assignment, whether, 163.
 - by landlord, charge of fee simple, by, 61.
 - renewed lease subject to charge by, 63.
- disclaimer of, by mortgagor's trustee in bankruptcy, 160—162.
 - assignment, mortgage by, not subject to, 162.
 - of mortgage by demise to trustee for mortgagee, 162.
 - leave of Court necessary to, 160.
 - order vesting lease in mortgagee on, 161.
- forfeiture of, relief against, 157, 158.
- renewable, mortgage of, notice to lessor of, 165.

LEASE—continued.

- renewal of, by mortgagee, effect of, 164, 165.
 - release of right of, 165.
- by mortgagor, effect of, 165.
 - mortgagee cannot compel, 164.
- finer for, lien for, 1379.
 - mortgage for, by trustees, 422, 423, 427.
 - of, by tenant for life, 381.
- surrender of, by mortgagor, effect of, 166.

LEASEHOLDS,

- eviction of lessee by mortgagee, 678.
- feudal restrictions on alienation did not apply to, 315.
- husband's right to, of wife, 321, 322.
- Locke King's Act and amending Acts include, 768, 769.
- mortgage of,
 - breach of covenant against assignment or sub-letting, 163.
 - by assignment, 155, 156.
 - landlord, cannot compel depositoe of lease to take, 155.
 - liability of mortgagee under, 155.
 - by demise, 156—163, 1081.
 - disclaimer of lease by bankruptcy trustee, effect of, 160—162, 1081.
 - forfeiture of lease by mortgagor, relief against, 157—159.
 - form of, 156.
 - foreclosure incident to, 1001.
 - indemnity to mortgagor on sale, 157.
 - trust of reversion, declaration of, 156.
 - undischarged bankrupt, whether competent to make, 364.
 - by executors, presumed to be for purposes of administration, 422.
 - husband of wife's, 321, 322.
 - covenants in, for assignment of leasehold reversion, 156.
 - fire insurance, 166.
 - renewal of lease, 164.
 - title, 167.

LEGACIES,

- ademption of, of mortgage debt, 850.
- annuity included in term, 410.
- assent of executor to, 312, 400, 1264. *See* **ASSENT.**
- charge of, on realty, what amounts to, 409, 410.
- following assets into hands of legatees, mortgagee precluded by non-claim from, 795.
- future, assignment of, whether valid, 311.
- indemnity of legatee of shares against calls, 766, 767.
- marshalling in favour of, 785, 786.
- notice of assignment of, 312.
- payment of mortgage debt out of, mortgagee entitled only to, 313.
- power of attorney to sue not necessary, 313.
- redemption action may be brought by legatee, when, 699.

LEGACIES—continued.

specific legatee of leaseholds took *cum onere* under former law, when, 775.

mortgages by executors of, 400, 402.

LEGACY DUTY,

on portions, 435.

LEGAL ASSETS,

what are, 653.

LEGAL ESTATE,

appointment under power passes, 332.

constructive notice from outstanding, 1317.

conveyance of, equitable mortgagee may compel, when, 869.

mortgagee paid off, compellable to execute, 43.

devise of, in mortgaged property, 832—844.

by former law, 833—839.

express devise of mortgage estates, 838.

general devise passes mortgage estates, 833.

charge of debts excludes rule, when, 834, 835.

copyholds, 836, 837.

intention to contrary may be shown, 834—836.

on trust for sale, 838.

terms of years, 837, 838.

where mortgagee bare trustee for vendor, 838.

"mortgages," "securities," &c., passes legal estate, 836.

specific devise, effect of, 838.

by present law unnecessary and inoperative, 843.

devolution of, in mortgaged property, 832—844.

after union with equity of redemption, 851—854.

by former law, 832.

effect of Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874, on, 839, 840.

under Conveyancing Act, 1881...840—844.

copyholds, 842.

executor may convey before probate, 842, 843.

vesting order as to copyholds, 842.

where no personal representatives, 843.

under Friendly Societies Act, 564.

injunction to restrain mortgagor from parting with, 42, 669.

possession of, effect upon right to consolidate, 857.

priority of, mortgagee having, 1214—1236.

agreement to execute, legal mortgage does not vest, 1218.

building society, effect of statutory receipt of, 559—562, 1318.

equitable mortgagee, prior, postponed, 1215.

with best right to call for legal estate, 1217, 1218.

judgment creditor postponed to prior equitable charges, 1348.

preferred to subsequent equitable charges, 1218, 1219.

mistake, legal estate got in by, 1217.

notice of prior equity, effect of, 1299, 1300.

LEGAL ESTATE—*continued.*

priority of, mortgagee having—*continued.*

part of property, getting in legal estate of, 1217.

reversionary, postponed to immediate legal estate, 1215.

reversionary term, acquisition of, 1216.

satisfied term, acquisition of, 1216.

Settled Land Acts, mortgages under, pass legal estate, 1215, 1216.

tacking, 1219—1236. *See* TACKING.

trustee advancing money to *cestui que trust*, 1217.

when legal estate may be got in, 1217.

trustee of, mortgagee is, 43.

vesting declaration on transfer of mortgage to new trustees, does not pass, 536, 537, 1218.

LEGAL MORTGAGE,

agreement for mortgage entitles lender to, 8, 48, 53, 54.

priority of mortgage not affected by mere,
12, 18.

deposit of deeds entitles lender to, 57.

effect of, at law and in equity, 8.

form of, 108—147.

arrangement, general, of clauses, 108, 109.

LETTERS,

acknowledgment of mortgage by, 751, 752.

LICENCE,

chattels, to seize, 198, 199, 591.

mortgage of leaseholds without, breach of covenant against assignment, 163.

Mortmain Acts, 537, 538.

LIENS (AS AFFECTING PRIORITY OF MORTGAGES),

bankers', 1389, 1390.

discharge of, by taking security, 1390.

extent of, 1389.

set-off of separate accounts not allowed, 1390.

bill of exchange, holder of, has no, 1498.

breach of trust, 1381, 1382.

improper investments of trust moneys, 1381.

tenant for life purchasing in his own name, 1381.

trustee having beneficial interest, 1382.

conveyancer entitled to, on papers, 1385.

covenants to settle or charge lands, 1382—1384.

breach of covenant, 1383.

indefinite covenant, 1383.

notice binds mortgagee or purchaser, 1383, 1384.

priorities between, and mortgages, 1383.

LIENS (AS AFFECTING PRIORITY OF MORTGAGES)—*continued.*

- expenses of preserving, maintaining or improving property, 1377—1381.
 - benefit, expenditure incurred in expectation of, 1378.
 - claim to interest in property, essential to, 1377, 1378.
 - contract to expend money, 1377.
 - debenture holders, lien of trustees as against, 1379.
 - directors of company advancing money for purchase of land, 1379.
 - finer for renewal, &c., payment of, 1379.
 - joint owner not entitled to, against co-owners, 1377.
 - mines, protection of, 1381.
 - mortgagor not generally entitled to, against mortgagee, 1380.
 - policies, payment of premiums on, 1380.
 - shipwright, when entitled to, 1381.
 - stranger not entitled to, 1377.
 - tenant for life, when entitled to, 1378.
 - trustees, when entitled to, 1379.
- finer for renewal of leases, 1379.
- foreclosure, no right of, incident to, 995.
- maritime, 1390—1399.
 - action, bar of, by time, laches, &c., 1395.
 - no lien till, 1397.
 - attaches on arrest of ship, 1390.
 - extent of, 1391.
 - for collision, 1395.
 - disbursements, of master, 1397.
 - of part owner, 1398.
 - freight, of shipowner on cargo, 1398, 1399.
 - necessaries, 1391, 1395, 1396.
 - pilotage, 1394.
 - salvage, 1393, 1394.
 - abandonment of, 1393.
 - general average creates none, 1394.
 - of shipowner on cargo, for, 1393, 1394.
 - priority of, over lien for wages, 1394.
 - towage, 1394.
 - wages, of masters and seamen, 1391—1393.
 - abandonment of, 1393.
 - cargo, not liable to, 1393.
 - exclusion of, not allowed, 1393.
 - fraudulent possession of ship, does not oust, 1392.
 - freight, how far subject to, 1393.
 - priority of, over security given by master, 1392.
 - towage claims, 1393.
 - remedies of master, 1391.
 - special contracts, 1391, 1392.
 - foreign court, decree of, 1390.
 - laches, loss of, by, 1390.

LIENS (AS AFFECTING PRIORITY OF MORTGAGES)—continued.

maritime—*continued.*

- on cargo, of shipowner for hire of ship, 1398, 1399.
 - for salvage paid, 1393, 1394.
 - none, when, 1399.
- on ship, of owner of cargo, general average excludes, 1394.
- priorities as between mortgages and, 1391.
- ship in tow, liability of, for collision, 1395.
- notice of action is notice of solicitors, 1324.
- purchaser entitled to, on rescission of contract, 1376, 1377.
 - arises when, 1376.
 - deeds, deposit of, by vendor before completion, 1377.
 - eviction of purchaser, 1376.
 - mortgagee vendor, 1376.
 - resale by purchaser before completion, 1376.
- shares, lien of company on, 1399, 1400, 1415.
- solicitor entitled to, against mortgagee, when, 1384—1389.
 - notice of action is notice of, 1324.
- on deeds, 1384, 1385.
 - change of solicitors, production of deeds on, 1385.
 - priority of, generally, 1384.
 - over floating security being first charge, 1385.
 - production of deeds without prejudice to lien, 1385.
- on fund in Court at common law, 1385, 1386.
 - after transfer of fund, 1385, 1386.
 - death of client does not terminate, 1386.
 - extent of, 1385.
 - injunction restraining payment to client, 1386.
 - money in hands of solicitor to abide result of suit, 1386.
 - real estate not subject to, 1386.
 - stop order to protect lien, 1386.
- on fund in Court, statutory, 1386—1389.
 - assignee of costs may obtain charging order, 1389.
 - attaches to real and personal estate preserved in action, 1386.
 - attaches, when, 1387.
 - both parties, solicitor acting for, 1387.
 - change of solicitors during action, 1389.
 - costs, what are chargeable, 1388, 1389.
 - discharge of lien, 1389.
 - nature of charge, 1386.
 - notice of suit is notice of lien, 1388.
 - priority over existing mortgage, 1386.
 - floating security, 495.
 - property "preserved" in action, meaning of, 1387, 1388.
- solicitor entitled to, on deeds against mortgagor, when, 1413, 1414.
- mortgagee's solicitor not entitled, 1413.

LIENS (AS AFFECTING PRIORITY OF MORTGAGES)—*continued.*

- solicitor entitled to, on deeds against mortgagor, when—*continued.*
 - mortgagor's solicitor entitled to, for costs of transaction, 1413.
 - abortive mortgage, no lien for costs of, 1414.
 - holding deeds for mortgagee, 1414.
 - limits of lien, 1414.
 - solicitor-mortgagee not entitled to, 1414.
- vendor's, for unpaid purchase-money, 1372—1376.
 - after conveyance, 1372.
 - assignee with notice, bound by, 1373.
 - assignment of, by parol, none, 1374.
 - chattels personal not subject to, 1375.
 - collateral securities not enforceable together with, 1375.
 - debentures, sale for, excludes, 1375.
 - discharge of, by taking security, 1374, 1375.
 - on trade machinery, 1373.
 - priorities between, and subsequent mortgages, 1372.
 - railway company, enforcement of lien against, 1373, 1374.
 - trust money, where purchase is with, 1375.

LIFE ASSURANCE, POLICY OF,

- agreement that, shall belong to mortgage, whether valid, 15, 291, 292.
- bankruptcy, lien for premiums paid by bankrupt, 297.
 - order and disposition clause in, does not apply to, 1267.
 - proof in, for future premiums payable under mortgagor's covenant, 297.
- bonus, who entitled to, 293.
- copyholds for lives, mortgage of, accompanied by, 152.
- contract, nature of, effected by policy, 289.
- Court cannot compel mortgagor to effect, 285.
- covenant to keep up, and not avoid, 294.
- creditor has insurable interest, 288.
- foreclosure opened on falling in of, 1048.
- forfeiture of, 297.
- insurable interest, continuing, not necessary to support policy, 289.
 - extent of, 287, 289.
 - mere expectancy as of heir, &c. does not give, 288.
 - necessary to validity of, 286—289.
 - of creditor will support, 288.
 - of surety, 288.
 - of trustee, 288.
 - of wife in life of husband, 287.
 - parents and children have not, in each other's lives, 288.
 - person interested must be named in policy, 287.
 - sum in excess of, not recoverable, 288, 289.
- interest allowed to mortgagee on premiums paid by him, 1197.

LIFE ASSURANCE, POLICY OF—*continued.*

- interest on unpaid policy, not allowed, 284.
- life estate, mortgagor of, not compellable to insure, 285.
- misrepresentation as to health, &c. of assured, 286.
- mortgage of, form of, 293.
- mortgagee or mortgager entitled to, 289—293.
- mortgagee or society its own insurer, 295.
- notice necessary to complete title, 1267—1269. *See* NOTICE.
- novation on amalgamation of companies, 1454—1456. *See* NOVATION.
- order and disposition,
- power of attorney to receive policy moneys, 293.
 - of sale, 295.
 - to give receipts, express, not necessary, 294.
- proviso for redemption, 294.
- suicide, effect of, 285, 286.
- surety entitled to full disclosure as to, 82.
- surety, insurable interest of, 288.
- trust of policy moneys, declaration of, 294.
- trustees, insurable interest of, 288.
 - investment by, on security of, 517.

LIMITATIONS, STATUTES OF,

- absence abroad no longer a disability within, 988, 1069.
- acknowledgment—arrears of interest, 982 *et seq.*, 992.
- acknowledgment—foreclosure, 1066—1068.
 - admission in bankruptcy, 1068.
 - in defence, 1067.
 - after period expired, 1067.
 - by mortgagor, binds occupier, 1068.
 - evidence of loss, 1067.
 - signature of, 1067.
 - statutory provision as to, set out, 1066.
 - sufficient, what is, 1067, 1068.
 - to whom must be given, 1067.
 - writing necessary to, 1066.
- acknowledgment—personal action for mortgage debt, 982—987.
 - acquiescence by debtor not sufficient, 987.
 - admission in bankruptcy, 986.
 - in defence, 983.
 - affidavit by party, 983.
 - after debt statute barred, 985.
 - amount of debt need not be stated, 987.
 - by agent, 983.
 - by executor, 983.
 - by letters, 986.
 - by mortgagor, puisne incumbrancer not bound by, 984.
 - by person in double character, 984.
 - by proposal of terms for compromise, 987.
 - by trustee, 983.

LIMITATIONS, STATUTES OF—*continued.*

acknowledgment—personal action for mortgage debt—*continued.*

by will directing payment of debt, 986.

evidence admissible to prove, 985.

pleadings should allege, 985.

to whom to be made, 984—986.

acknowledgment—redemption, 748—752.

accounts, 749, 752.

admission of right must be explicit, 752.

affidavit in action, 751.

after period expired, 750.

answer to interrogatories, 751.

by agent of mortgagee, 752.

by joint mortgagees, 752.

by letters, 751, 752.

former law as to, 748, 749.

sufficient, what amounts to, 751.

to whom must be given, 751.

writing necessary to, 751.

arrears of interest, bar of mortgagee's right to, 988—993, 1168—1172.

abroad, mortgage of land, 991.

acknowledgment in writing, 992.

action on covenant where debt charged on land, 990.

on personalty, 990.

annuity deeds, 990, 991.

disabilities, no allowance for, 993.

express trusts no longer available, 992, 1072, 1073, 1171.

foreclosure of land, what arrears recoverable in action for, 1168, 1169.

Lands Clauses Act, purchase-money in Court under, 1169.

power of sale, mortgagee selling under, 1169.

reversionary interest, 1168.

time from which statute runs, 1169.

foreclosure of personalty, what arrears recoverable in action for, 1171, 1172.

reversionary interest, 1171, 1172.

six years' limit not applicable to, 991, 1171.

instalments, sums payable by, 991.

puisne incumbrancers, protection of, 992, 993.

railway companies, statutory liability of, 992.

redemption action, what interest recoverable in, 1169—1171.

collateral bond or covenant to secure interest, 1170.

heir held bound by specialty on ground of tacking, 1170, 1171.

reversionary interest in land, 1168.

in personalty, 921, 1171, 1172.

in proceeds of sale of land, 991.

statutory provisions as to, set out and considered, 998, 999.

tolls, mortgage of, 991.

LIMITATIONS, STATUTES OF—continued.

disability,

absence beyond seas no longer a, 988, 1069.

whether this rule applies to personalty, 1069, 1070.

arrears of interest, claim for, barred notwithstanding, 993.

foreclosure, right to, saved by, 1068—1071.

infancy, 1069.

married woman, 1070.

mortgagor's, does not prevent statute from running, 1069.

pleadings should allege, 1070.

statutory provisions as to, set out, 1068, 1071.

successive disabilities, 1069, 1071.

utmost allowance for, thirty years, 1071.

personal action for mortgage debt, how far saved by, 987, 988.

redemption, claim for, not saved by, 747.

foreclosure, bar of mortgagee's right to, 1058—1074.

accruer of right of action, 1062, 1063.

adverse possession of mortgagor is not, 1062.

breach of condition, 1062.

default necessary to, 1062.

demand, debt payable on, 1062, 1063.

reversion, mortgage of, 1063.

acknowledgment, 1066—1068.

action by mortgagee prevents statutes from running, 1064—1066.

creditor's suit, 1065.

judgments, 1065, 1066.

revivor of action, 1065.

writ, issue of fresh, after discontinuance, 1065.

renewal of, 1065.

action by mortgagor, rights of mortgagee defendant, whether kept alive by, 1065.

action for foreclosure is for recovery of land, 1059, 1060.

after twelve years generally, 1059, 1060.

contribution, right to, barred by bar of right of action, 1061.

disabilities, saving in case of, 1068—1071.

express trusts, 1072, 1073.

extinguishment of right to possession, 1073, 1074.

legal estate, 1073, 1074.

pleadings need not allege, 1074.

revivor of extinguished right, none, 1074.

vesting of property in mortgagee by, 1073.

former law as to, 1058.

fraud, saving in cases of, 1071, 1072.

concealed fraud, what is, 1072.

concealment of mortgage, 1071.

purchaser for value without notice, 1072.

marshalling assets, right of, barred by bar of right of action, 1061, 1062,

mistake, 1072.

LIMITATIONS, STATUTES OF—*continued.*

- foreclosure, bar of mortgagee's right to—*continued.*
 - personalty, no statutory limit to action for foreclosure of, 1059.
 - pleadings, statutory bar, 1061.
 - reversionary interest, 1061.
- part payment—arrears of interest, 992.
- part payment—foreclosure, 1063, 1064.
 - by adult party, infants not bound by, 1064.
 - by mortgagor, binds purchaser, 1064.
 - by principal, binds surety, 1063.
 - by tenant for life, 1064.
 - by tenant in common, 1064.
 - by tenant of mortgagor pursuant to notice, 1063.
 - interest, payment of, 1063.
 - rents, receipt of, by mortgagee, 1063.
- part payment—personal action for mortgage debt, 977—982.
 - annuity, 979.
 - by assignee of equity of redemption, 978.
 - by co-contractor, 980.
 - by co-debtor, 981.
 - by devisee of part of land charged, 978.
 - by dowress, 978.
 - by receiver, 979.
 - by stranger, 979.
 - by surety, 978.
 - by tenant to mortgagee on notice, 980.
 - by tenant for life, 978.
 - by trustees, 979.
 - collateral securities, whether kept alive by, 980.
 - contemplation of bankruptcy, 981.
 - husband and wife, 981, 982.
 - mortgagor and mortgagee same person, 981, 982.
- personal action for mortgage debt, bar of, 971—988.
 - acknowledgment, 972, 982—988.
 - action on covenant where debt charged on land or rents, 971—974.
 - after twelve years generally, 971.
 - bond, money secured by, whether charged on land, 972.
 - colony, mortgage made in, 973.
 - debt collaterally secured by promissory note, 973.
 - former law as to, 971.
 - lashes, 974.
 - surety, bar of right of action against, 972.
 - action on covenant where debt charged on personalty, 974.
 - action of debt, 974, 975.
 - after six years, 974.
 - deposit of deeds, mortgage by, 974.
 - personalty, mortgage of, 975.
 - pleading, 975.

LIMITATIONS, STATUTES OF—continued.

- personal action for mortgage debt, bar of—*continued.*
 - disabilities, 987, 988.
 - part payment, 977—982.
 - time from which statutes run, 975—977.
 - ambassador, privileges of, 975.
 - covenant for payment on fixed day, 975, 976.
 - to pay difference on realization, 977.
 - to pay on demand, by mortgagor, 977.
 - by surety, 977.
 - instalment of principal, default in payment of, 976.
 - postponement of right to call in mortgage moneys, 976.
- redemption, bar of mortgagor's right to, 740—752.
 - acknowledgment, 748—752.
 - after twelve years' possession generally, 742, 743.
 - all claims under mortgagor barred, 745.
 - bankrupt barred after annulment, 748.
 - death of tenant for life, possession after, presumed, 746.
 - default, time will not run until, 745.
 - disabilities, 747.
 - former law as to, 740, 741.
 - fraud, 747.
 - husband and wife, joint possession of, 746.
 - mortgagee of wife's estate, possession of, 746.
 - mistake, 747.
 - part of land, mortgagee in possession of, 745.
 - personalty, no statutory bar as to, 741.
 - redemption action, statutory bar pleadable to, 748.
 - sale with right to re-purchase, 744.
 - same person, or those claiming under him, possession must be of, 745.
 - several persons successively entitled, 747.
 - title, mortgagee in possession under another, 745.
 - trust for sale, 744.
 - Welsh mortgage, 744.
- rent-charge, arrears of, 171.
- surety's claim, when barred by, 105.
- tithe, arrears of, 171.
- tithes, title to, 170.

LIQUIDATOR,

- debenture holders' security, realization of, by, 1127.
- scheme of arrangement binds, 1135.

LIS PENDENS,

- administration action, general, does not bind as, 1323.
- all interests in question in suits are affected by, 1323.
- appeal, not continuation of, 1324.
- applies to land only, 1323.

LIS PENDENS—continued.

- assignment by mortgagee or mortgagor during continuance of, is not champerty, 794.
- charge not created by, 1323.
- co-defendants, rights of, protected by, 1322.
- constructive notice by, 1322.
- creditor's trust deed, action to enforce, does not bind as, 1323.
- decrees, what, bind as, 1323.
- notice by, none, unless registered, 1322.
- specific claim to subject of suit necessary to bind as, 1322, 1323.
- time from which, takes effect, 1325.
- vacation of, 1326.

LLOYD'S BONDS,

- nature and effect of, 489.

LOAN,

- implies debt, 10.

LOAN COMPANY. *See* COMPANY.LOCKE KING'S ACT. *See* EXONERATION.

LORD ST. LEONARD'S ACT (22 & 23 Vict. c. 35),

- assignment of personal property, 829.
- concealment of incumbrances, 46.
- Crown debts, registry of, 1367, 1368.
- investments by trustees, 513.
- mortgages by executors and trustees, 416, 417 *et seq.*
- receipts of executors and trustees, 294, 417, 427, 712, 910.
- sale of timber apart from land, 902.

LOSS,

- by mortgagee carrying on business, 1205.
- mortgagee's liability for, by non-claim, &c., 795, 796.
- of acknowledgment in writing of debt, 1067.
- of deeds, &c., liability of mortgagee for, to costs, 1182.
to damages for, 817.
- of lease, liability of mortgagee for, 681.
- of mortgage deed, damages for, 817.
evidence as to, 1023.

LUNATIC,

- allowance to committee not alienable, 362.
- asylums, mortgages for purposes of providing, &c., 450.
- alteration of interest in property not allowed, 361.
- bankruptcy of, 363.
- building, charge for, on estate of, 362.
- committee cannot mortgage property of, 356.
- costs of partition action, 360.
- husband, dispensation with concurrence of, in wife's mortgage, 319.

LUNATIC—continued.

- improvements, expenditure on, 362.
- keeping alive mortgage paid off, 361.
- merger, presumption as to, in case of, 1442.
- mortgagor, evidence as to, in foreclosure action, 1023.
- mortgage of property of, by order of Court, 356—363.
 - application for order, procedure on, 358.
 - benefit of lunatic to be considered, 359.
 - conveyance, power to order, 362.
 - costs, 360.
 - covenants for payment of mortgage moneys, 362.
 - for title, 363.
 - disentailing assurance, when ordered, 363.
 - execution of, on behalf of lunatic, 363.
 - for ancestor's debts, 357, 360.
 - for costs of actions, &c., by or against lunatic, 360, 361.
 - for debts of lunatic, 357.
 - for discharge of incumbrances, 357.
 - for maintenance, &c., of lunatic, 357.
 - for purposes specified in Lunacy Act, 1890...357, 358.
 - for repairs and improvements, 362.
 - form and contents of mortgages, 362, 363.
 - interest of lunatic in property not altered, 361.
 - jurisdiction to make order, 356—359.
 - married woman, order where lunatic is, 359.
 - payment off of, 361.
 - power of appointment, no jurisdiction to release, 361.
 - of sale, 363.
 - to carry orders into effect, 362.
 - procedure on applications, 358, 359.
- mortgages of property of, under Settled Land Acts, 393.
- repairs, expenditure on, 362.
- vesting orders in case of mortgagee or trustee who is, 1417 *et seq.*
See VESTING ORDERS.

MACHINERY,

- attached to soil after mortgage, whether passes to mortgagee, 125—127.
- Bills of Sale Acts, 205—207.
- sale of, by mortgagee, apart from buildings, 902.

MAINTENANCE,

- mortgage to raise money for, of infant, 429, 431, 433—435.
 - of lunatic, 357, 359, 360.
- of security, bill of sale may contain provisions for, 234, 235.

MALINS' ACT, 324.

MANAGER,

- of business, 937, 938.
 - appointment by Court of, 937.
 - is provisional, 938.
 - business must be included in security, 938.
 - dismissal of servants is effect of, 938.
 - distinction between receiver and, 937.
 - duties of, 938.
 - property, over what, 937.
 - sale of business by, 938.
 - who will be appointed, 938.
- of lands out of jurisdiction, 939.
- of railways, &c., 933—935.
 - appointment is of right, 934.
 - Court may appoint, under Railway Companies Act, 933.
 - grounds for making appointment, 934.
 - powers of, extent of, 935.
 - who will be appointed, 934.

MANOR,

- accretions to, mortgagee entitled to, 168.
- advowson appendant to, passes by conveyance of, 168.
- courts, mortgagee in possession may hold, 168.
- customary freeholds not part of, 168.
- escheat to lord of, 645.
- mortgage of, by deposit of court rolls, 55.
- mortgage of, generally, 168.
 - advowson appendant passes by, 168.
 - after-acquired property, passes, 168.
- receiver of, may be appointed, 168, 931, 946.
- redemption by lord of, 696.
- what passes by grant of, 168.

MARITIME LIEN,

- abandonment of, 1393.
- Admiralty Division, jurisdiction of, 1392.
- damage by collision, 1395, 1511, 1512.
- necessaries, 1391, 1395, 1396.
- pilotage, 1394.
- priority of, lost by laches, 1390.
- salvage, 1393, 1394.
- towage, 1394.
- And see LIEN.*

MARITIME RISK,

- essential to, bottomry bond, 1507, 1508.

MARGINAL NOTES,

- of bankers, 1266.

MARKETABLE SECURITY,

- stamp duty on, 1517, 1522, 1523, 1538, 1539.

MARRIAGE BROCADE,
securities for, void, 626.**MARRIAGE SETTLEMENT,**
Bills of Sale Acts do not apply to, 202. *And see* SETTLEMENT.**MARRIED WOMAN,**

- acknowledgment of deeds by, 316 *et seq.*
- abolished in certain cases by Married Women's Property Act, 1882...321, 335 *et seq.*
- appointment under power does not require, 332.
- copyholds disposed of without, when, 320.
- disentailing deed, 368.
- equity to settlement barrable by, 317.
- fraud does not destroy, 340.
- husband's concurrence dispensed with, in what case, 318—320.
- land improperly purchased by trustees for wife, 317.
- legal estate in separate property, 319.
- Malins' Act, 324.
- memorandum of, 318.
- mode of taking, 318.
- mortgage debt, wife's interest in, 317.
- personal liability to pay mortgage moneys not imposed on wife by, 317.
- powers of appointment, release or disclaimer of, 332, 333.
- reversionary interest in personalty, 322—326.
- separate property may be disposed of without, 321, 328, 335 *et seq.*
- trust for sale, lands devised on, 316, 317.
- action against wife alone, 345, 347.
 - joinder of husband in, 347.
 - against wife as executrix or trustee, 347.
 - by wife alone, 345, 346.
 - as executrix or trustee, 347.
 - by wife's personal representative, 347.
- ante-nuptial debts of wife, continuance of her liability after marriage, 347.
 - liability of husband for, 350, 351.
 - mortgage by husband to secure, 352.
- anticipation, restraint on, 339—343.
 - "alienation" and "anticipation" the same, 340.
 - arrears of income chargeable notwithstanding, 339, 1360.
 - costs payable out of property subject to, 342.
 - effect of, 339.
 - entail of wife barrable notwithstanding, 330.
 - extent of, 340.
 - fraud does not affect, 340.
 - fund not producing income is subject to, 340.
 - subject to particular estate, gift of, with, 340.
 - Married Women's Property Act, 1882, does not affect, 341.

MARRIED WOMAN—continued.

- anticipation, restraint on—*continued*.
 - marshalling not prevented by, 780, 781.
 - perpetuities, clause obnoxious to sale against, void, 340.
 - power of appointment with, followed by gift in default to separate use, 340.
 - property, what, is subject to, 339.
 - removal of, by Court, 341.
 - second marriage revives, 340.
 - separate property only is subject to, 339.
 - use, gift to, not implied from, 339.
 - Settled Land Acts, powers of, exercisable notwithstanding, 340.
 - settlements, &c., saving of, in the Married Women's Property Act, 1882, effect of, 341, 342.
 - what expressions amount to, 339 n.
- appointment by, under power, 332, 333.
 - acknowledgment not required, 332.
 - copyholds, 332.
 - disclaimer of power, 333.
 - interest, payment of, will not validate void, 332.
 - legal estate passes by, 332.
 - misrepresentation in mortgage as to power, 332.
 - personalty, 332.
 - release of powers, 332, 333.
- chattels real of, husband's right to, 321, 322.
 - absolute property in, none, 321.
 - equity to settlement attaches to, 321.
 - redemption of mortgage by husband of, right to, 322.
 - reversionary interest in term, 321.
 - voluntary conveyance by husband overrides mortgage by wife surviving, 322.
- choses in action of, mortgages by husband and wife of, 322, 323.
 - assignment of, unless reduced into possession, does not bind wife, 322.
 - equity to settlement attaches to, 323.
 - fund in Court, 323.
 - Malins' Act does not apply to, unless reversionary, 325.
 - wife surviving, right of, against mortgagee, 323.
- concurrence of husband in mortgage by, 316.
 - bankruptcy does not prevent, 317.
 - dispensation with, effect of, 319.
 - in what cases allowed, 319, 320.
 - jurisdiction of High Court to order, 319.
 - redemption by wife alone of mortgage made with, 317.
- concurrence of, in mortgage by husband, constructive notice by, 1309.
- covenants by, 343—349.
- deposit of deeds as security by husband and wife, 64.
- disability of, under Statutes of Limitation, 1070.

MARRIED WOMAN—continued.

equity to settlement of married woman, 326, 327.
 attaches to what descriptions of property, 326, 327.
 barrable by deed acknowledged, 317.
 chattels real of wife subject to, when, 321.
 chose in action, mortgage by husband of, is subject to, 323.
 foreclosure against, 1056.

husband's debt, mortgage by wife to secure, 351—353.
 appointment under power, 352.
 creditors of wife stand in her place, 352.
 evidence as to nature of transaction, 351, 352.
 exoneration, right of wife to, on husband's death, 351, 352.
 priority of wife's claim over legatees of husband, 351.
 waiver of claim by wife, 353.

lunatic, jurisdiction to charge property of, 359.

Married Women's Property Act, 1882, mortgages under, 335—339.

accruer of title, 337.
 acknowledgment of deed not necessary, 337.
 exception where married woman trustee, 337.
 actions by and against married woman alone, 345.
 executrix or trustee, 339.

base fee, enlargement of, 337.
 contracts by married woman, effect of, 345, 346.
 covenants for personal payment of mortgage moneys, 343.
 equity to settlement, 337.
 expectancies can be disposed of only under, 311.
feme sole, property to be held by wife as, 335, 336.
 fraudulent investment of husband's money, 338.
 husband's concurrence not required, 337.
 policy of assurance, 338.
 remedies of married women for protection of their property, 346.
 stock and shares, 337, 338.
 trustee, married woman can hold property without intervention of, 336.

mortgage of chattels real of, by husband, 321, 322.
 of choses in action of, 323, 326.
 of mortgage debt, wife's interest in, 317.
 of proceeds of sale of land, 316, 317.
 of realty, by wife with husband's concurrence, 316—321.
 where land improperly purchased by wife's trustees, 317.
 reversionary interest in land, 316.
 in personalty, 323—326.

protector of settlement, 370.

receiver of property of, 931.

reversionary interests of, mortgages under Malins' Act of, 323—326.

acknowledgment of deed, 324.
 choses in action in possession, Act applies to, whether, 325.
 effect of disposition, 324.
 foreign domicile, effect of, 326.

MARRIED WOMAN—continued.

- reversionary interests of, mortgages under Malins' Act of—*contd.*
 - policy of assurance, 325.
 - powers of appointment, saving of, 324, 325.
 - separate property of wife, 325.
 - settlements, exception of, 324—326.
 - what property passes by disposition, 324.
- separate property,
 - charge on, how created, 329.
 - disentailer of, 320.
 - judicial separation, effect of, 331.
 - protection order, effect of, 331.
 - savings of wife during coverture, 330.
 - trusts for separate use, 328—331.
 - acknowledgment of disposition not necessary, 329.
 - exception, if of legal estate vested in wife, 329.
 - attach, when, 328, 329.
 - created, by what words, 328.
 - entail in property settled to, bar of, 320.
 - husband's concurrence in disposition not necessary, 329.
 - covenant to settle after-acquired property does not bind, 330.
 - nature and effect of, 328, 329.
 - reversionary interests settled on, 330.
 - wife's concurrence in husband's assignment, 329.
- Settled Land Acts, mortgage under, by, 392.
- statutory provisions as to, by Divorce Acts, 320, 321.
 - by Fines and Recoveries Act, 316—321.
 - by Malins' Act, 322, 326.
 - by Married Women's Property Act, 1870... 333—335.
 - by Married Women's Property Act, 1882... 335—339.
- surety for, right of indemnity of husband who is, 353.
- vesting orders, 1423.

MARSHALLING,

- Admiralty Division, application of doctrine in, 789.
- anticipation, restraint on, does not prevent, 780, 781.
- bankruptcy of mortgagor does not prevent, 780.
- bottomry bonds, between several, 789.
- charity, money in favour of, 540.
- charge of debts or legacies, 785.
 - erroneous recital that debts are paid, 781.
- collateral security may be exhausted by prior mortgages, 788.
- copyholds, between, and freeholds before 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 104...777.
- covenant against incumbrances, effect of, 781, 782, 788.
- Crown, extent by, 779.
- distinct funds all subject to prior charge, 788, 789.
 - one subject to prior charge, other not, 788.

MARSHALLING—*continued*.

- distress, between chattels mortgaged and not, 779, 780.
- doctrine of, stated, 777.
- executor, mortgagee of estate, and legatee, 779.
- extent of doctrine, general rule as to, 783.
- first charge not a mortgage, rule where, 783.
 - charges not simultaneous, 783.
 - mortgagee, rights of, not affected by, 778.
 - realizing all securities, 778, 779.
 - security subject to second mortgage, 779.
- husband and wife, 780.
- impeachment of one of the securities, 784.
- jointure secured by charge and covenant, 784.
- judgment creditors, 787.
- landlord, mortgagee marshalling against, 779, 780.
- legatees may marshal, when, 785, 786.
- life estate reduced from fee simple and mortgaged, 780.
- maritime securities, application of doctrine to, 789, 1511.
- mesne incumbrancer and subsequent incumbrancer, rule as between, 787.
- mortgages, general rule as to application of doctrine to, 778.
- notice of first mortgage immaterial, 782.
- paraphernalia of widow, 780.
- pleadings need not raise right of, 782.
- policy of life assurance, mortgage of, voidable, 786.
- portions, first charge for, 784.
- powers of appointment, estates subject to, 782.
- recital, erroneous, that charged debts are paid, 781, 782.
- settlement of mortgaged and unmortgaged estates, 781.
- subsequent charge not a mortgage, rule where, 783.
 - incumbrancer of one estate, rights of, 779, 782.
- surety, entitled to benefit of, 94, 104, 784.
 - not entitled to prevent, 788.
- third parties not prejudiced by, 786—789.
- vendor's lien, 783.
- volunteers, right enforced in favour of, 783, 784.
 - not between mere, 784.
 - not to prejudice of, 787.

MASTER OF SHIP,

- bottomry bond by, 1505 *et seq.* See **BOTTOMRY BOND**.
- cargo cannot be mortgaged by, 273.
 - notice by mortgagee of, to, 274.
- lien of, arrest of ship does not affect, 1514.
 - for disbursements, 1397.
 - wages, 1391—1393.
- supercargo, not, 1505.

MAXIMS,

- every mortgage implies a loan, every loan a debt, 10.
- in aequali jure, melior est conditio possidentis*, 1214.

MAXIMS—continued.

- once a mortgage, always a mortgage, 12.
- qui prior est tempore, prior est jure*, 43, 1239.
- quicquid solvitur, solvitur secundum modum recipientis*, 1213.
- transit in rem judicatam*, 1448.
- vigilantibus non dormientibus subvenit lex*, 1252.
- where equity is equal, the law shall prevail, 1214.

MEMORANDUM,

- accompanying deposit of title deeds, 57 *et seq.* See **DEPOSIT OF DEEDS**.
- pledge, not within Bills of Sale Acts, 191, 202.
- of acknowledgment by married woman, 318.
- of association, alteration of, 468.
 - powers conferred by, 464 *et seq.* See **COMPANY**.
- of sale at auction, when a bill of sale, 196.
- stamp duty on, endorsed on mortgage, 1535.

MERCANTILE AGENT,

- definition of, in Factors Act, 1476.

MERCANTILE AMENDMENT ACT,

- absence beyond seas, 988, 1070.
- acknowledgment by agent, 752.
- co-contractors, &c., 980.
- consideration of guarantee, 80.
- home port, definition of, 1510.
- surety's right to securities, 96, 103.

MERGER (generally),

- base fee enlarged by, 393.
- of tithes, 169.

MERGER OF SECURITIES AND CHARGES,

- by union of estates, 1431—1446.
 - benefit of estate, presumption rebutted by, 1440—1442.
 - bond paid off by tenant for life, 1434.
 - charge of debts, effect of, 1445.
 - Crown debt, purchaser paying off mortgage paramount to, 1443.
 - equity, rules of, to prevail, 1431.
 - infant, presumption of merger in case of, 1442.
 - intention to preserve charge, 1436 *et seq.*
 - declaration against merger by mortgagor, 1437, 1438.
 - disputed title, 1440.
 - equivocal, indications of, 1441.
 - evidence of, from dealing with property, 1438.
 - parol, admissible, 1439.
 - express or implied, rebuts presumption, 1436.
 - transfer of security to mortgagor, 1438.
 - lunatic, presumption of merger in case of, 1442.

MERGER OF SECURITIES AND CHARGES—continued.

by union of estates—*continued*.

mortgagee acquiring equity of redemption, 1435, 1436, 1438, 1441, 1443.

acceleration of mesne incumbrance, 1443.

assignment to trustee for mortgagee, 1435.

conveyance in consideration of mortgagee's covenant to pay other debts, 1436.

devolution of equity of redemption to mortgagee, 1435.

fraud of vendor mortgagor, 1441.

general presumption as to merger in such cases, 1435.

head rent, payment of, before purchase, 1438.

negligence of puisne incumbrancer, 1441.

mortgagee paid off, refusal of, to assign, 1438.

puisne incumbrancers, what will exclude merger against, 1443—1446.

release by judgment creditor, 1439.

tenant for life, 1439.

subrogation, mortgage kept alive by, 1444, 1445.

tenant for life paying off charges, 1433, 1434, 1440.

bond debt, 1434.

intention to merge, burden of proof as to, 1433.

change of circumstances after, 1433.

interest, payment of, in excess of rents, 1440.

judgment creditor, rights of, 1434.

mistake as to rights, 1440.

presumption as to merger generally, 1433.

puisne mortgagee, rights against, 1434.

Statute of Limitations, 1434.

with ultimate remainder in fee, 1434.

tenant in fee defeasible, 1434, 1435.

simple, 1431.

tenant in tail paying off charge, 1432, 1433.

estate tail in possession, 1432.

in remainder, 1432.

not barrable, 1432.

infant tenant in tail, 1432, 1442.

lunatic tenant in tail, 1433, 1442.

title, dispute as to, of person paying off mortgage, 1440.

Toulmin v. Steere, rule in, considered, 1444.

transfer of mortgage to trustee of mortgagor, 1439.

trust, merger not allowed to detriment of, 1445.

of lower in higher security, 1446—1450.

bills of exchange, mortgage to secure at maturity, 1448.

contrary intention excludes, 1449, 1450.

equitable charge merged in legal mortgage, 1447.

security of higher nature taking, 1447.

both securities must be between same persons, 1448.

security on same funds, no merger by taking second, 1449.

subsequent, must be effectual, 1448.

MERGER OF SECURITIES AND CHARGES—*continued*.

of lower in higher security—*continued*.

simple contract debt merged in specialty, 1446.

provided remedies are co-extensive, 1448.

unless security is for further advance, 1447.

simple contract debt not merged in mortgage where no covenant, 10.

trustee, interposition of, prevents, 1448.

METROPOLITAN ASYLUMS BOARD,

mortgage of district rates by, 451.

MINES AND MINERALS,

account of share in, without dissolution, mortgagee entitled to, 926.

allowance to mortgagee for opening and working, 1204, 1205.

mortgagee, of share in, action by, against co-tenants, 926.

protection of, lien for expenditure on, 1381.

receiver of, appointment of, 931.

sale of, by mortgagee, apart from surface, 902.

MINING LEASE,

mortgagor cannot grant, under statutory powers, 688.

MISCONDUCT,

of mortgagor, 1115, 1162, 1177 *et seq.* See ACCOUNTS.

mortgagor, 1185.

receiver, 947.

MISDESCRIPTION,

of consideration for bill of sale, 226 *et seq.*, 231.

grantor of bill of sale, 230.

stock, amount of, 276.

MISREPRESENTATION,

answer to inquiries as to incumbrances, 1257.

appointment by married woman, 332.

policy of life assurance obtained by, 286.

priority lost by, 1293, 1294.

suretyship, contract of, avoided by, 81.

MISTAKE,

in accounts, is ground for surcharge, &c., 1142—1144.

in affidavit to bill of sale, 248, 251.

in agreement for mortgage, 53.

in bill of sale, 226 *et seq.*

in memorandum accompanying deposit, 62, 63.

in notice of charge on fund, 1258.

in recitals of mortgage deed, effect of, 110.

in schedule to bill of sale, 215.

legal estate got in by, effect of, on priority of mortgage, 1217.

negligent, loss of priority by, 1299.

MORTGAGE,

- abortive, costs of, mortgagee cannot recover, 49, 1199.
- absolute conveyance treated as, when, 19—25. *See* **ABSOLUTE CONVEYANCE.**
- agreement for, when enforceable, 870.
- appointment by way of, redemption under, follows old uses, 701.
- bankruptcy as affecting. *See* **BANKRUPTCY.**
- Bills of Sale Acts. *See* **BILL OF SALE.**
- by all owners of property not under disability, 315.
- by building societies, 458—463. *See* **BENEFIT BUILDING SOCIETY.**
- by burial boards, 452.
- by clients to solicitors, 609—612.
- by commissioners for public undertakings, 448, 449.
- by companies, 464—498. *See* **COMPANY; DEBENTURES.**
- by county, &c. councils, 454, 455.
- by ecclesiastical persons and corporations, 438—444.
- by executors and administrators, 399—422. *See* **EXECUTORS.**
- by friendly societies, 463.
- by justices at sessions 449, 450.
- by local authorities, 447, 448.
- by married women, 315—353. *See* **MARRIED WOMAN.**
- by municipal corporations, 445—447, 452—454.
- by partners, 502—508.
- by poor law guardians, 450—452.
- by prison authorities, 449.
- by railway, &c. companies, 465—467.
- by school boards, 455—457.
- by tenants for life, 380—398. *See* **SETTLED LAND ACTS; TENANT FOR LIFE.**
- by tenants in tail, 366—379. *See* **TENANT IN TAIL.**
- by trustees of charities, 423, 424.
 - of settlements, &c., 416—437. *See* **TRUSTEES.**
- by universities and colleges, 444.
- charge on property created by, 42, 50—54. *See* **EQUITABLE MORTGAGE.**
- clandestine, 45.
- collateral advantages cannot be obtained, 14—19.
- collateral securities. *See* **COLLATERAL SECURITIES.**
- common law, 1—8.
- concealment of, 46, 47.
- condition, estate on, created by, 8.
- conditions restrictive of redemption void, 12, 14—19. *See* **REDEMPTION, EQUITY OF.**
- conditional purchase distinguished from, 20—25.
 - settlement treated as, 22.
- consolidation of, 855—866. *See* **CONSOLIDATION.**
- contract for purchase at time of loan, 15.
- contribution, 774—776. *See* **CONTRIBUTION.**
- contributory, trustees must not lend on, 523.
- conveyance, actual or executory, effected by, 6—9.

MORTGAGE—*continued.*

Conveyancing Acts,

- acknowledgments, &c. as to deeds, 815.
- advance on joint account, 534, 712, 846, 889.
- application of purchase-moneys, 912.
- consolidation of, 722, 865, 875.
- constructive notice, 1306, 1307, 1314, 1325, 1328.
- contracts, completion of, after death, 843, 844.
- conveyance by a person to himself, 829.
- covenants, benefit of, 960.
 - burden, 969.
 - for title, 116, 141—143, 167, 1410.
 - implied in statutory mortgage, 146, 147.
 - with several jointly, 960.
- deeds, acknowledgment, &c. of, 815.
 - mortgagor's right to inspect, 815.
- definitions, 31, 37, 116, 634, 816, 819.
- devolution of mortgaged estates, 108, 720, 841, 960, 998, 1005, 1069, 1186, 1424.
- enlargement of long terms, 107.
- forfeiture of lease, 157.
- form of mortgage, 146.
 - transfer of mortgage, 828.
- general words, 111, 119, 120.
- insurance by mortgage, 138, 139, 166.
- joint account, advance on, 534, 846, 889.
- leases by mortgagee, 800.
 - mortgagor, 675, 686, 687.
- married women, 318.
- power of attorney, 39, 305, 961.
 - of insurance, 138.
 - of sale, 121, 151, 884, 901, 917.
 - exercise of, 894.
 - to appoint receiver, 919.
 - to fell timber, 805.
- powers, disclaimer of, 333.
 - variation, &c. of, 884.
- receipts, 114, 713, 1315.
 - of mortgagees for purchase-moneys, 910.
 - for other moneys, 294, 915.
 - of trustees, 402, 712.
- receiver, appointment, &c. of, 919, 920.
- reconveyance, 1410, 1415.
- rent-charges, remedy for, 34, 35, 171, 1370.
- repeals, 883, 1220.
- restraint on anticipation, 341.
- sale, by Court, free from incumbrances, 633, 808, 909, 914, 1038.
 - in foreclosure or redemption action, 280, 725—727, 1016, 1055.

MORTGAGE—continued.**Conveyancing Acts—continued.**

- sale by mortgagee under power,
 - application of purchase-moneys, 912.
 - conveyance, 908.
 - exercise of power, 831, 891, 894.
 - foreclosure, 995.
 - loss by liability for, 901.
 - protection of purchasers, 899.
 - title deeds, 908.
- transfer in lieu of reconveyance, 1415.
- vesting declaration, 536.
- Crown debts, priorities as between and, 1366—1368.
- date, priority by order of, 1227—1240. *See* DATE.
- debt, the principal, mortgage the accessory, 7, 8.
- defeasible, a purchase, distinguished from, 20—25.
- defective conveyance by, 53.
- definition of, 6.
- deposit of title-deeds, &c. by way of, 54—66. *See* DEPOSIT OF DEEDS.
- destruction of subject of, 1512.
- equitable, 42—66. *See* EQUITABLE MORTGAGE.
- floating balance secured by, 1213.
- foreclosure, right of, incident to, 13, 14.
- for burial grounds, 452.
- for floating balance, 1213.
- for lunatic asylums, 450.
- for poor law relief, 450.
- for portions, 429—437.
- for public libraries, 452.
 - undertaking, 448.
- for redemption of land tax, 354, 384, 385.
- for repairing bridges, 449.
- for schools, elementary, 455.
- forged, for value without notice, void, 1237.
- fraudulent conveyances in bankruptcy, 576—584.
 - under 13 Eliz. c. 5...567—576.
 - under 27 Eliz. c. 4...600—695.
- fraudulent preference, 584—599. *See* FRAUDULENT PREFERENCE.
- fund, primary, for payment of, 753—773. *See* EXONERATION.
- further advances when covered by, 1047—1051.
 - when may be tacked to, 1230—1234. *See* TACKING.
- hypothecation and, distinguished, 7.
- immoral securities, 624—626.
- keeping on foot, 1436, 1446.
- land charges, priorities as between, and, 1368—1371.
- Land Registry Act, 1862...41.
- Land Transfer Act, 1875...38—41. *See* LAND TRANSFER ACT, 1875.
- legal estate, priority by, 1214—1219. *See* LEGAL ESTATE.
- "legal mortgage" means first, 53.

MORTGAGE—*continued*.

- liens, priorities as between, and, 1372—1400. *See* LIEN.
- loan implied by, 10.
- Locke King's Act, 767—773. *See* EXONERATION.
- Lord Cranworth's Act,
 - application of purchase-moneys, 883, 911.
 - charges by way of mortgage, 883.
 - conveyance on sale, 883, 907, 908.
 - exchange, mortgages for, 422.
 - notice before sale, 883, 894, 899.
 - powers of mortgagees, 138, 883, 891, 901, 918.
 - receipts, 294, 883, 910.
 - receivers, 918, 919.
 - title deeds, 883, 907.
- Lord St. Leonards' Act, 910.
- marshalling, 777—789. *See* MARSHALLING.
- Merchant Shipping Act, 255—270. *See* SHIPS AND SHIPPING.
- merger, 1431—1450. *See* MERGER.
- mortgage deed form and contents of, 109 *et seq.* *See* MORTGAGE DEED.
- Mortmain Acts, 537—542.
- mortuum vadium*, 2—5.
- mutuality essential to, 21, 23.
- no covenant or bond, debt implied where, 9, 10.
- notice, priority by, 1253—1274. *See* NOTICE.
- novation, 1450—1457.
- of advowson, 168, 169.
- of after-acquired property, 210—213. *See* BILLS OF SALE, 1574.
- of bankrupt's property, 364, 365.
- of benefice, 438—444. *See* BENEFICE.
- of calls, 497, 498. *And see* CALLS.
- of cargo, 273, 274. *See* CARGO.
- of chattels, 172—254. *And see* BILLS OF SALE.
- of chose in action, 302—311. *And see* DEBT.
- of colonial lands, 815, 885, 973, 1016. *See* COLONY.
- of copyholds, 148.
- of debts, 302—310. *See* DEBTS.
- of equity of redemption, 43—48.
- of estates of infants, 353—356.
 - of lunatics, 356—363.
- of expectancies, 612.
- of fixtures, 120.
- of freeholds, 108.
- of freight, 270.
- of leaseholds, 155.
- of legacies, 311.
- of manor, 168.
- of pay, naval and military, 299, 300.
- of pensions, 299, 300.
- of pew rents, 438.

MORTGAGE—continued.

- of policy of life assurance, 284.
- of railway undertaking, 490—493. *See* RAILWAY.
- of rectories impropriate, 169.
- of rent charges, 171.
- of reversionary interests, 612—616. *See* REVERSIONARY INTERESTS.
- of shares in company, 276—283. *See* COMPANY.
- in partnerships, 507, 508. *See* PARTNERSHIP.
- of ships, 255 *et seq.* *See* SHIPS AND SHIPPING.
- of stock, 275.
- of tithes, 169.
- of tolls, 491.
- "once a mortgage always a mortgage," 12.
- pledge and, distinguished, 7.
- powers of attorney. *See* POWER OF ATTORNEY.
- of charging, authorize mortgage, 426.
- of sale, 881—915. *See* POWER OF SALE.
- to seize chattels, 220 *et seq.* *See* BILLS OF SALE.
- pre-emption, right of, removed to mortgagee, 15.
- preliminary expenses of, mortgagee whether entitled to, 49, 1191.
- receiver, 916—958. *See* RECEIVER.
- registration, priority by, 1240—1252. *See* REGISTRATION.
- specific performance of agreement for, 48, 49.
- staying proceedings under 7 Geo. 2, c. 20...873—878. *See* MORTGAGES.
- subjects of mortgage generally, 9.
- sub-mortgage, 830—832. *See* SUB-MORTGAGE.
- tacking, 1219—1236. *See* TACKING.
- transfer of, 819—830. *See* TRANSFER OF MORTGAGE.
- trust funds, investment of, on, 509—537. *See* TRUSTEES.
- vivum vadium*, 1, 2.
- Welsh mortgages, 26—29. *See* WELSH MORTGAGE.
- West Indies, 448, 449.

MORTGAGE DEBENTURE ACTS, 477, 499, 500.**MORTGAGE DEBENTURES,**

- what are, 478.

MORTGAGE DEBT,

- bankruptcy of mortgagee vests, in his trustee, 851.
- bequest of, 847—850.
 - ademption of mortgage debt specifically bequeathed, 850.
 - after foreclosure, held to pass mortgaged land, 854.
 - apportionment where trust for conversion, 848, 849.
 - devise of lands generally, does not operate as, 847.
 - specifically, effect of, 848.
 - general or residuary bequest, effect of, 847.
 - sub-mortgage, bequest to pay off, 850.
 - what passes by gift of "money," 847.
 - of "mortgages," "securities," &c., 849, 850.

MORTGAGE DEBT—*continued*.

- creditors, liability to, of mortgagee's interest in, 851.
- devolution of, 844—847.
 - after union with equity of redemption, 851—854.
 - beneficial interest of mortgagee is personalty, 844.
 - conversion, 844.
 - husband and wife, 846, 847.
 - joint account clause, 845.
- release changes, into real estate, 851, 852.
 - trustee, security taken in name of, 845.
- exoneration of mortgaged estates on death, from, 753—773. *See* EXONERATION.
- release of, discharge of security by, 1401—1405.
 - alteration of debtor's position on faith of, 1403.
 - appointment of debtor as executor of creditor's will, 1404.
 - cancellation of mortgage deed, 1402.
 - cause of action, release of, 1401.
 - conditional satisfaction of mortgage, 1405.
 - conduct, release in equity by, 1402.
 - consideration, release given without, 1402.
 - evidence of intention to release, 1403.
 - negotiable security for part of debt, 1405.
 - part payment, acceptance of, in full satisfaction, 1404, 1405.
 - partial release, 1404.
 - presumption of intention, 1404.
 - promise not to enforce debt, 1402.
 - specialty debt created by, when, 9, 10.
 - deed necessary to release, 1401.
 - transfer of mortgage passes, without assignment, 826.

MORTGAGE DEED,

- execution of, by infant under order of Court, 354.
 - on behalf of lunatic, 363.
- forgery of, effect of, 1297.
- form and contents of, generally,
 - assignment of clauses, 108, 109.
 - conveyance of mortgaged property, 16.
 - estate clause, 120.
 - fire insurance, covenants for, 137—141.
 - fixtures, 120—128.
 - general words, 118—120.
 - interest, capitalization of, proviso for, 131—135.
 - payment of, covenant for, 115.
 - reduction of, on punctual payment, covenant for, 129—131.
 - parcels, description of, 116—118.
 - parties, 109.
 - principal, payment of, by instalments, proviso for, 137.
 - covenant for, 115, 116.
 - postponement of right to call in, proviso for, 135, 136.

MORTGAGE DEED—continued.

form and contents of, generally—*continued.*

quiet enjoyment till default, effect of proviso for, 796.

receipt clause, 113—115.

recitals, 109—113.

redemption, proviso for, 128, 129.

title, covenants for, as to freeholds, 141—146.

as to leaseholds, 167.

form of, statutory, 146, 147.

where mortgage is of freeholds for term of years, 107, 108.

of lands of lunatic, 362, 363.

of ships, 259—262.

to trustees, 534—537.

no covenant or bond in, debt implied where, 9, 10.

stamp duty, 1515, 1518, 1539.

MORTGAGE ESTATES,

devise of, now inoperative, 841.

except as to copyholds, 842.

MORTGAGEE,

acceptance by, of payment from second mortgagee, 694, 695.

accounts against. *See* ACCOUNTS.

of rents from mortgagor, no right to, 667.

accretions to security, right to, 792.

compensation for compulsory purchase, 792.

copyholds added to manor, 792.

renewed term, 164, 165, 792.

actions against mortgagor by strangers, right to defend, 794.

actions for administration, 1105—1108. *See* ADMINISTRATION OF ASSETS.

for completion of mortgage, 869, 870.

for conveyance of legal estate, 43.

for foreclosure, 1018 *et seq.* *See* FORECLOSURE.

for mesne profits against lessee, 678.

for protection of security, 792—796. *See* ACTION.

for sale, where he has power to sell, 1095.

liability for neglect to bring action, 795.

to restrain removal of fixtures, 128.

waste by, mortgagor, 669, 670.

administration, costs of obtaining grant of, allowed to, when, 1114—1116.

advantage beyond principal, interest, and costs not allowed to, 14—19.

advowson, no right to presentation to, 792.

application of money advanced, when bound to see to, 401—405.

appropriation of payments by, 1213.

assignment of debt by, mortgagor can compel, 1414—1417.

attainder of, effect of, 642, 643.

attornment to, effect of, 201, 664—666. *See* ATTORNMENT.

MORTGAGEE—*continued*.

- bankrupt mortgagor allowed by, to receive rents, 802.
to use incumbrances against trustee, 802.
- becoming mortgagor, 1435.
- bidding by, at sale in foreclosure and redemption actions, 1069, 1199.
under order in bankruptcy, 1100, 1101.
under power, 906.
- bonus not allowed to, generally, 18, 1145—1147.
- book debt not retainable out of proceeds of sale, 1213.
- business mortgaged, right of mortgagee to carry on, 801.
- by deposit of deeds on. *See* DEPOSIT OF DEEDS.
- collateral advantage cannot be obtained by, 14—19.
agreement for purchase of equity of redemption, 15, 16.
bonus or commission, 18, 1145—1147.
family arrangements, exception in case of, 18, 19.
interest, compound, 18.
increased, on default, 18.
lease from mortgagor, accepting, 17.
- collateral securities, proceedings on. *See* COLLATERAL SECURITIES.
- commission not allowed to, 1192, 1193.
- compound interest not generally allowed to, 1162.
stipulations for, whether valid, 131—135, 1164, 1165.
- consent to sale by Court, refusal, 1038, 1106.
- contract for purchase, right to set aside, 794.
- costs. *See* ACCOUNTS; COSTS.
- County Court Act, summary entry under, 662.
- delivery on redemption of possession, 808. *See also* 1409—1411.
of title deeds, 1411, 1412.
- derivative, form of redemption decree against, 1030, 1031.
necessary parties to redemption action, 1007.
- deterioration of property by non-claim, 795.
- devisee is trustee for executor, when, 832, 833.
- disclaiming parties, costs of, 1188—1190. *See* ACCOUNTS.
- discovery by, in aid of action by mortgagor, 732, 733.
- distress on tenant, 672.
powers of, in mortgages, void, 666, 667.
- entry on default, right of, 796.
- equity of redemption, purchase of, by, 15, 16.
to settlement of married woman binds, 326, 327.
- escheat, 642, 643.
- estate of, nature of, 791.
effect of Judicature Act upon, 791.
merger of, by acquiring equity of redemption, 1435, 1436.
See MERGER.
- estoppel of, from denying mortgagor's title, 737.
of mortgagor from denying title of, 654.
- eviction of mortgagor or lessee by, 796.

MORTGAGEE—*continued.*

- exchange of mortgaged lands, consent to, of, 636.
- extortion by, 606—609. *See* **UNDUE INFLUENCE.**
- fire insurance, premiums for, allowed to, whether, 1198.
- foreclosure action by puisne, who must be parties to, 1014.
- fraud of, loss of priority by, 1239, 1293—1297. *See* **FRAUD.**
- further advances, 1147—1151.
- heir of, is trustee for executor, when, 832, 833.
 - not known, &c., 1423—1425. *See* **VESTING ORDERS.**
- interest, 1153—1167. *See* **ACCOUNTS; INTEREST.**
- joint account, advances on, 534, 535.
- keeping mortgage on foot for benefit of personal estate of, 1443—1446. *See* **MERGER.**
- lashes, 799, 804, 1299.
- Lands Clauses Act, 1407.
- Land Registry Act, 1862...41.
- Land Transfer Act, 1875...38—41.
- leases by, and mortgagor, 673—675. *See* **LEASE.**
 - by mortgagee in possession, 800.
 - mortgagee by deposit of, not compellable to take assignment, 155, 156.
 - renewal of, by, effect of, 164, 165.
 - mortgage for, 427.
 - release of right to, 165.
- legal estate may be got in by, when, 1217. *See* **LEGAL ESTATE.**
- Limitations, Statutes of. *See* **LIMITATIONS, STATUTES OF.**
- Lord Cranworth's Act, 138, 883, 891, 901, 918. *See* **MORTGAGE.**
- Lord St. Leonards' Act, 910.
- loss of title deeds, evidence in case of, 816, 1023.
 - liability for, 816—818.
- lunacy of, costs occasioned by, 1429.
- mine, accounts for share in, without dissolution, 926.
- misconduct of, costs disallowed on ground of, 1115, 1162, 1177 *et seq.*
 - See* **ACCOUNTS.**
- mixing up characters of trustee, &c., with that of, 1162.
- mortgagor, whether tenant to, 655 *et seq.* *See* **MORTGAGOR.**
- negligence, liability for, of mortgagee, 804.
 - priority lost by, 1299.
- non-claim, liability for loss by, 795, 804.
- notice by mortgagee of debts, &c., priority by, 1253—1273. *See* **NOTICE.**
 - to mortgagor to pay off debt, 894—897. *See* **POWER OF SALE.**
 - to tenants to pay rents, 671.
 - to quit, 672, 676, 922, 951.
 - by mortgagor to redeem, 708—710.
 - loss of priority by, of prior equities, 1299 *et seq.* *See* **NOTICE.**
- of advowson, 168, 169.
- of business, 801.
- of calls, 497, 498. *And see* **CALLS.**
- of cargo, 273, 274.

MORTGAGEE—continued.

- of chattels, 172—254. *See* **BILLS OF SALE.**
- of chose in action, 302—311. *And see* **DEBTS.**
- of copyholds, 148.
- of equity of redemption, 43—48.
- of freeholds, 108.
- of leaseholds by assignment, 155, 156.
 - by demise, 156, 163.
- of life policy, 284—297. *See* **LIFE ASSURANCE, POLICY OF.**
- of manor, 168.
- of policy of life insurance, 284.
- of public-house, rights of, 794, 801.
- of railway undertaking, 490—493. *See* **RAILWAY.**
- of rentcharge, 171.
- of reversionary interest, 612—618. *See* **REVERSIONARY INTEREST.**
- of share in partnership, not a partner, 507.
 - not entitled to accounts, 508.
- of shares in companies, 276—283. *See* **COMPANY.**
- of ship, 255 *et seq.* *See* **SHIP; SHIPPING.**
- of stock, 275.
- of tithes, 169.
- of tolls, 491.
- of trust property, not a *cestui que trust*, 870.
- out of jurisdiction, 1423—1425. *See* **VESTING ORDERS.**
- parliament, right to vote for, 792.
- part owner of equity of redemption, 1031.
- partition of equity of redemption is subject to rights of, 635.
- payment into Court, 1041.
- poor laws, right to settlement under, 792.
- pre-emption, reservation of right of, 15.
- prior, party to foreclosure action by puisne, 1014.
- priority of. *See* **PRIORITY.**
- privileges of, 792.
- production of title deeds by, right of mortgagor to, 814—816.
- public-house licence, right to appeal against refusal of, 794.
 - require transfer of licence, 801.
- puisne, party to foreclosure action by prior, 1012, 1013.
- redemption action, party to, 720 *et seq.*
- remedies of,
 - abroad, injunction restraining proceedings, 870.
 - action for administration, 1105—1108. *See* **ADMINISTRATION OF ASSETS.**
 - for foreclosure. *See* **FORECLOSURE.**
 - for further sum after payment of claim, 871.
 - for sale, where he has power of sale, 1095.
 - in breach of good faith, 871.
 - in formā pauperis*, 870.
 - interpleader, 870.
 - administration to mortgagor, 1114—1116.

MORTGAGEE—continued.remedies of—*continued.*

all may generally be pursued at once, 867—870.

alteration of mortgagee's rights by subsequent contract, 872.

annuitant not within the rule, 870.

foreclosure absolute, effect of, 872.

proof by, in administration action, 1110—1113.

See ADMINISTRATION OF ASSETS.

bankruptcy, 1089—1094. *See* BANKRUPTCY.

winding-up, 1128—1132. *See* WINDING-UP.

receiver, appointment of, by Court, 924—958.

under power in mortgage deed, 916—918.

under statutory power, 918—922.

And see RECEIVER.

sale by Court, 1016—1018, 1035—1041. *See* SALE BY COURT.

under power, 881—915. *See* POWER OF SALE.

renewal of leaseholds by, effect of, 164, 165.

costs of, 1196.

sale by Court, conduct of, when given to, 738, 1038, 1099.

second mortgagee. *See* PUISNE INCUMBRANCERS.

set-off, 1138, 1139.

settlement by, of mortgage debt, 1411, 1412.

under poor law, right to, 792.

several actions by, 867—870.

solicitor-mortgagee, commission not allowed to, 1192.

right of, to profit costs, 1194, 1195.

statutory powers of, under Conveyancing Act, 1881...884—886.

Land Transfer Act, 1875...38—41.

Lord Cranworth's Act, 882—884.

Merchant Shipping Act, 263, 267.

staying proceedings under 7 Geo. II. c. 20...873—878.

stop orders, 1276—1282. *See* STOP ORDERS.

costs of, 1195.

sub-mortgagee, 830—832. *See* SUB-MORTGAGE.

tender of mortgage debt, refusal of, by, 718, 719, 1179.

timber, right to cut, 804, 805.

title of mortgagor cannot be disputed by, 1409.

title deeds, absence of, loss of priority by, 1332—1347. *See* TITLE DEEDS.

loss of, liability for, 816—818. *See* TITLE DEEDS.

of legal estate, 43.

production of, 814—816.

trustee for, mortgagor is, of legal estate, when, 53, 54.

trustee for mortgagor, mortgagee is, of surplus proceeds of sale, 910—914.

otherwise not, 901.

for puisne incumbrancers, of legal estate, 43.

trustee-mortgagees. *See* TRUSTEES.

MORTGAGEE—*continued.*

- use and occupation, 672.
- voluntary conveyance, creditors setting aside, postponed to, 604.
- vote for parliament, right to, 792.
- waste by mortgagor, injunction against, 669, 670.
- West India estate, 1193.
- writ of summons specially indorsed, 1019.

MORTGAGEE IN POSSESSION,

- abandonment of proceedings for taking possession, 807.
- account, liability to, 801—805.
 - accountable for rents and profits, 802, 803, 1201.
 - accounts, annual, not rendered, 801.
 - refused by, no costs to hearing, 1178.
 - actual value, liability extends to, when, 1202.
 - assignment of mortgage, effect of, 803.
 - attornment clause, effect of, 663.
 - carrying on accounts after certificate, 1139, 1140.
 - commission for trouble not allowed to, 1192, 1193.
 - costs. *See* ACCOUNTS; COSTS.
 - crops, growing, belong to, 800.
 - death of mortgagee, claim against personal representatives, 803.
 - emblements, right to, of, 800.
 - ground of liability, 802.
 - "just allowances," 1191.
 - measure of liability, 802.
 - mines, expenses of working, 1206.
 - partial possession by mortgagor, 802.
 - relinquishment of possession does not determine, 807.
 - repairs, allowance of expenditure in, 1206, 1207.
 - rests, accounts taken with, when, 1207—1212. *See* ACCOUNTS.
 - transfer of mortgage, effect of, 803, 820.
 - waste, liability for, 804.
 - what will be allowed and charged in, 1200—1212. *See* ACCOUNTS.
 - wilful default, 1200 *et seq.*
- actions affecting mortgaged property, right to maintain and defend, 794.
- agent employed by, 918, 1192, 1203, 1204.
 - of mortgagor, possession as, 806.
- allegation of possession in foreclosure action, 1021.
- bailee of chattels, possession may be recovered from, 188.
- bailliff, expenses of, allowed to, 1203.
- bankrupt, not party to foreclosure action, 1011.
- business of, right to carry on, 801.
 - right to obtain transfer of publican's licence, 801.
- servants of mortgagor, dismissal of, 801.
- courts of manor may be held by, 168.
- delivery up of possession, order for, in foreclosure action, 1045, 1046.
 - to mortgagor on redemption, 808.
- demand of possession, waste after, 800.

MORTGAGEE IN POSSESSION—continued.

- emblems, right to, 670, 800.
- entry into possession, right of legal mortgagee to, 796.
 - by proprietor of registered charge, 798.
 - cannot be compelled, 807.
 - demand, where money payable upon, 799.
 - distress does not preclude, 797.
 - enforcement of, mode of, 797.
 - equitable mortgagee, analogous remedy of, 797, 798.
 - expenses allowed to. *See* ACCOUNTS; COSTS.
 - improper entry, 797.
 - notice before, when necessary, 799.
 - of chattels, taking bill of exchange does not prevent, 188.
 - omission to make, loss of priority by, 1297, 1298.
 - quiet enjoyment, effect of proviso for, 796.
 - receiver appointed by Court, a bar to, 799.
 - writ of possession, 797.
- forfeiture of lease, liability for permitting, 804.
- improvements, expenses of, whether allowed to, 1206.
- inquiry as to possession, 1022.
- interest after adjudication in bankruptcy of mortgagor, 1092.
 - beyond reduced rate allowed to, 130.
 - chargeable against mortgagee paid off continuing in possession, 1211.
- jurisdiction in bankruptcy, 1076—1078.
- lease by, 800.
 - rent reserved by, 805.
 - restrictive covenants contained in, 805, 1202.
 - to, by mortgagor, effect of, 18.
- liability of, for acts, &c. incurring forfeiture, 804.
 - for mismanagement of property, 805.
 - for waste, 804.
- liability to account, 801—805.
 - to deliver possession on redemption, 808.
 - to pay over surplus rents, 803.
 - to rebuild, 804.
 - to repair, 804.
- management of estate by, 805.
- mines and minerals, rights of working, 1204, 1205.
- negligence, liability for, 804.
 - priority lost by, 1299.
- occupation rent, 1202.
- of ship, rights of, 264—268.
- of tolls, 492.
- partial possession, effect of, 802.
- permitting mortgagor to receive rents, 1297, 1298.
 - stranger to work mines, 1205.
- personal trouble, remuneration for, not allowed to, 1192, 1203, 1204.
- possession of mortgagee must be proved, 806.

MORTGAGEE IN POSSESSION—*continued*.

- possession, relinquishment of, does not determine liability, 807.
- puisne incumbrancers, liability to account to, 802.
 - notice by, to pay surplus rents to him, 801, 803.
- purchaser from, entering before completion without charge of rent, 1203.
- receiver, allowance of expenses of, to, 1192.
 - appointment of, does not render mortgagee liable as, 916.
 - mortgagee appointing himself, is liable as, 918, 1192.
- rents and profits, annual accounts of, not rendered, 801.
 - application of, by, 801
 - receipt of, after decree, by, 1201.
 - surplus, application of, 801, 803.
- repairs, allowance for, to, 1205—1207.
 - liability in respect of, 804.
- taking possession, what amounts to, 805—807.
 - entry as agent, 806.
 - as lessee, 806.
 - as purchaser, 806.
 - as tenant for life, 806.
 - receipt of profits by co-owner mortgagee of patent, 807.
 - of rent under attornment clause, 807.
- tenants, ejectment of, by, 678, 679.
 - neglect to claim rent from, 795.
 - position of, in relation to, 679—681.
 - refusal or removal of, 805.
- timber, right to cut, 804, 805.
 - proceeds of sale of, 805.
- waste, liability for, of, 804.
 - surety discharged by, 86.
- wilful default, 1200 *et seq.*

MORTGAGOR,

- account of rents, &c. cannot be required from, 667.
- acknowledgment of debt by, in another deed, effect of, 10.
 - puisne incumbrancer not bound by, 984.
 - right of action preserved by, 977—987.
 - See LIMITATIONS, STATUTES OF.*
- advowson, right to present to, rests with, 628.
- attainder of, effect of, 643.
- attornment by, 201, 663—666. *And see ATTORNMENT.*
- bankrupt, bound by accounts between mortgagee and trustee, 1141.
- clandestine mortgages, 45.
- concealment of incumbrances from abstract, 46, 47, 571, 572, 1293, 1294, 1296.
- consolidation, not compellable by, 857.
- costs, claim to, disallowed for misconduct of, 1185.
- courts of manor may be held by, 168.
- covenants by, for further assurance, effect of, 144—146.
 - for payment of mortgage moneys, 115, 116. *And see COVENANTS.*
- covenants with, in leases of mortgaged lands, 673, 674.

MORTGAGOR—continued.

- death of, before foreclosure decree absolute, 1043.
- delivery to, on redemption, of possession, 808.
 - of title deeds, 1411—1414.
- director of company, qualification of, not lost by mortgage of shares, 760.
- emblems, no right to, 800.
- escheat of equity of redemption, 642—645. *See* ESCHREAT.
- "estate" in land remains in, till foreclosure, 627.
- estoppel, lease by, 681, 682.
- estoppel of, from disputing mortgagee's title, 654.
 - of mortgagee from disputing mortgagor's title, 1409.
 - of purchaser, &c. under mortgagor,
 - of tenant from disputing landlord's title, 681.
- exchange of land subject to mortgage, 636.
- execution, equitable against, 649, 650.
- exoneration under former law, 753—767.
 - under Locke King's Act, &c., 767—773. *See* EXONERATION.
- felony, effect of conviction for, 643, 644.
- forfeiture of equity of redemption, 643, 644.
- inspection of documents, right to, 814—816.
- interest, arrears of, what recoverable against, 988—993. *See* LIMITATIONS, STATUTES OF.
- income tax may be deducted from, 1156.
- tenant for life must keep down, 637.
- lease, mortgaged, surrender of, effect of, 166.
- leases by, after mortgage, 675—685.
 - before mortgage, mortgagee takes subject to, 671.
 - joint by, mortgagee, and, 673—675.
 - renewal of, by mortgagee, benefit of, enures to, 164.
 - by, not compellable, 164.
 - release of right of, by mortgagee does not bind, 165.
- lien for expenditure in preserving, &c. property, not generally allowed to, 1380.
- Limitations, Statutes of. *See* LIMITATIONS, STATUTES OF.
- manor, courts of, may be held by, 168.
- marshalling, 777—789. *See* MARSHALLING.
- mortgagee not trustee for, 662. *And see* MORTGAGEE.
- new uses, limitation of equity of redemption to, 699—706.
- notice by, intention to redeem, 708—710.
 - to, demanding payment, 894—897. *See* POWER OF SALE.
- permitting mortgagee to deal with property as his own, 731.
- personal liability of, under covenants for payment, 9.
 - where no covenant or bond, 10.
- personating, on execution of deed is forgery, 1297.
- power of sale, exercisable without concurrence of, 892.
 - notice by mortgagee before sale under, 894—897. *See* POWER OF SALE.

MORTGAGOR—continued.

- privileges of, 628, 629.
- receiver appointed by deed is usually agent of, 918.
 - mortgagor is not, for mortgagee, 667, 668.
- redemption, action for, by. *See* REDEMPTION, ACTION FOR.
 - right of. *See* REDEMPTION, EQUITY OF.
- retention of deeds by, 1340, 1341. *See* TITLE DEEDS.
- right of entry cannot be reserved in lease to, 675.
- salvage, lien for, not generally allowed to, 1380, 1381.
- set-off, by, 1027, 1138.
- settlement of equity of redemption, by, 636, 642.
- settlement under poor laws, right to, 628, 629.
- specific performance of agreement for lease by, 676.
 - for mortgage against, 48—50.
- staying proceedings under general jurisdiction, 874, 878.
- staying proceedings under 7 Geo. 2, c. 20. 873—878.
 - actions on covenant are within the Act, 875.
 - what may be stayed, 876.
 - admission of debt necessary, 877.
 - of plaintiff's title necessary, 877.
 - affidavit of incumbrances unnecessary, 877.
 - consolidation, effect of, 875.
 - contract by mortgagor to sell to mortgagee, 876.
 - enlargement of time for payment, 878.
 - instalments, debt payable by, 876.
 - interest, claim for, after rule, 877.
 - objections to, mortgagee must state, 877.
 - redemption, dispute as to right of, 877.
 - third parties not prejudiced, 876.
- tenant in tail, mortgage by. *See* TENANT IN TAIL.
 - recovery by, let in mortgage, 374.
- tenant to mortgagee, when, 654—663.
 - agreement necessary to constitute tenancy, 660.
 - at sufferance, when, 662, 663.
 - at will, when, 657—662.
 - distress, does not create tenancy, 661.
 - for term, when, 655—657.
 - receipt of interest not recognition of tenancy, 661.
- timber, injunction against felling, 669.
- title-deeds, loss of, by mortgagee, remedy where, 816—818.
- trustee-mortgagors, when mortgagee is bound to see application of, by, 401—405.
- underlease by, passes no legal title, 685.
- voluntary payment by trustee or agent, benefit of, enures to, 768.
- vote at parliamentary elections, right to, 628.
- waste by, injunction against, 669, 670.
- West India estate, produce of, in hands of consignee, belongs to, 668.

MORTGAGOR—continued.

- who may be, generally, 314, 315.
- disability of coverture, 315, 316.
- And see* MARRIED WOMAN.
- of infancy, 353—356.
- And see* INFANT.
- of lunacy, 356—363.
- And see* LUNATIC.

MORTMAIN ACTS,

- apportionment of legacy out of realty and personalty, 540.
- charges on police rates, not interests in lands, 540.
- on tolls, &c., 539.
- debentures, 539.
- exception in favour of universities, &c., 537.
- licence in mortmain, 537, 538.
- marshalling, not allowed in favour of charity, 540.
- stat. 9 Geo. 2, c. 36, and amending Acts, 537—540.
- testamentary gifts of real securities, 538, 539.
- stat. 51 & 52 Vict. c. 42...538, 542.
- stat. 54 & 55 Vict. c. 73...541, 542.
- gifts *inter vivos* not within, 542.
- marshalling now unnecessary, 542.
- testamentary gifts of land, &c., to charity valid, 541, 542.

MORTUUM VADIUM,

- distinction between, and *vivum vadium*, 1.
- nature and effect of, 2—5.
- Welsh mortgage, how far resembles, 26.

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION,

- bonds charged on borough fund, 447.
- borrowing powers of, 445, 446.
- corporation lands may be charged by, 446.
- rates may be charged by, for buildings, 447.
- remedies of mortgagee, against, 447.
- repayment of loans, time for, 446.

NECESSARIES,

- maritime lien for, 1391, 1395, 1396.

NEGLIGENCE,

- forfeiture of lease, mortgagee liable for permitting, 804.
- non-claim, liability for loss by, 795.
- priority of mortgage lost by, 1299.
- wilful default, mortgagee in possession chargeable for, when, 801—805.

NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT,

- bottomry bond, 1500.
- debentures to bearer, whether, 482—484.

NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT—*continued*.

- dividend warrants, 1490.
- East India bonds, 1490.
- foreign bonds to bearer, 484.
 - scrip, 482.
- pledge of, 282, 1465, 1467.

NOMINATION TO BENEFICE,

- mortgagor's right to, 628.

NON-CLAIM,

- liability of mortgagee for loss by, 795.

NOTICE,

- action brought, none by, 962.
- consolidation not affected by, of assignment of equity of redemption, 860, 861.
 - to pay off one mortgage, 865.
- constructive, what amounts to, 1305—1331. *And see* CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE.
- decree, how far, 1324.
- distringas, notice in lieu of, 1274—1276.
- marshalling not prevented by, of prior mortgage, 782.
- of act of bankruptcy by mortgagor, 580, 593.
 - action is notice of solicitor's lien, 1324.
 - annuity, intention to repurchase, 32.
 - unregistered, 35.
- assignment of debt, 303, 304.
 - policy, 1267.
 - shares in company unnecessary, 1269.
 - trust fund, 1260—1265. *See* TRUSTEES.
- charging order to Paymaster-General, 1362.
- floating security, to debtor, inoperative, 494.
- hypothecation of debt or fund, 1487, 1488.
- petition in bankruptcy for administration, 1109.
- pledge *ultra vires*, 1481.
- taking possession by mortgagee, 799.
- transfer of mortgage to mortgagor, 821.
- unregistered deed in Ireland, 1251.
 - Middlesex, 1247, 1248, 1251.
 - Yorkshire, 1247, 1249.
- priority by mortgagee giving, 1253—1273.
 - absence of notice, burden of proof as to, 1255.
 - accidental knowledge is not notice, 1257.
 - amount of charge, notice need not state, 1258.
 - assignee of legal personal representative of mortgagor, 1257.
 - assignees of incumbrances rank *inter se* according to, 1255.
 - Bank of England, what notice should be given to, 1258.
 - bankruptcy, order and disposition clause in, 1259.
 - trustee in, bound though no notice given to him, 1256.

NOTICE—*continued*.priority by mortgagee giving—*continued*.

bankruptcy, trustee in, must give notice, 1255.

cargo, notice by mortgagee of, 1273.

claim, statement of nature of, 1258.

contemporaneous notices, 1253.

debts, notice by mortgagee of, 1265—1267.

bankers, marginal notes of, 1266.

bankruptcy, reputed ownership in, choses in action not within, 1265.

company in liquidation, debt of, 1267.

incumbrances, legal and equitable, no distinction between, 1267.

partnership debt, 1266.

to whom notice should be given, 1266.

doctrine of, generally, stated and considered, 1253, 1254.

freight, notice by mortgagee of, 1272.

hypothecation, notice of, to holder of fund, 1487—1499.

informal notice, 1257.

inquiry as to prior incumbrances, 1256.

land, priority of charges on, not affected by, 1236, 1238.

legacy, notice by mortgagee of, 312.

misleading, as to duration of charge, 1258.

misrepresentation in answer to inquiries, 1257.

mistake in date of notice, 1258.

parol notice effectual if proved, 1257, 1258.

payments by holder without notice allowed against assignee, 1255.

person interested, notice must be by, 1258.

policy of life assurance, notice of, 1267—1269.

agreement for mortgage not "assignment" within Act, 1268.

bankruptcy, order and disposition clause in, policies not within, 1267.

death of assured, notice given after, good, 1268.

discharge of mortgage, company with notice entitled to proof of, 1268.

mutual assurance company not affected with notice of dealings by members, 1269.

prior incumbrance, assignee with notice of, 1268.

statutory provisions as to giving notice to office, 1267, 1268.

sub-mortgagee of policy, to whom notice should be given by, 1269.

post, notice sent through, when deemed to be given, 1259.

prior incumbrances, inquiry as to, 1256.

notice of, effect of, 1256.

shares in companies, notice by mortgagee of, 1269—1272.

bankruptcy, trustee in, notice to, of assignment not necessary, 1269.

claims of company, advisability of notice so as to exclude, 1271.

NOTICE—*continued.*

priority by mortgagee giving—*continued.*

shares in companies, notice by mortgagee of—*continued.*

date, successive mortgagees of shares, rank in priority of, 1271.

deposit of shares, mortgages by, 1269.

directors, notice to, effect of, 1271, 1272.

joint stock companies, statutory provisions as to, 1270.

railway, &c. companies, 1270.

Royal Charter, companies incorporated by, 1270.

secretary of company, assignment to, not notice to company, 1271.

successive assignees, doctrine of notice not applicable as between, 1270.

sufficient notice, what amounts to, 1271.

trusts, company not bound to regard, 1270.

successive assignees of funds, &c. rank *inter se* according to, 1253.

sufficient notice, what is, 1257.

time when notice should be given, 1258, 1259.

title of assignee complete without notice, 1253.

title to property not affected by, 1256.

to whom notice should be given, 1260.

trustee, holder of fund, how far, for person giving notice, 1254, 1255.

trustees, &c. not bound to answer inquiries, 1256.

trustees of mortgaged fund, notice to, 1260—1265.

agent of trustees, notice to, good, 1263.

all trustees, notice should be given to, 1260.

assent of executor to legacy, how far material, 1264, 1265.

before fund is in hand, notice given, inoperative, 1260.

beneficiary trustee, assignment by, to stranger, 1261.

creditor, trustee who is also, precautions by, 1262, 1263.

death of trustee who has notice, 1261.

derivative trust, to whom notice should be given in case of, 1262.

disregarding notice, liability for, 1262, 1263.

immediate notice should be given, 1263.

land, assignments of interests arising out of, 1263, 1264.

proceeds of sale of, 1264.

legacy, absolute, 1262.

assent of executor to, 1264.

derivative, 1262.

given in trust, 1265.

mortgagee, no notice required where trustee is, 1261.

portions fund, 1264.

premature notice, 1260.

set-off, right of trustee to, not affected by notice, 1261.

Settled Land Acts, capital money arising under, 1264.

several trustees, notice to one of, effect of, 1260, 1261.

shares in companies, notice to trustees of settled, 1272.

NOTICE—*continued.*

- priority of mortgagee lost by, of prior equities, 1299 *et seq.*
 - deposit of deeds without notice prevails, 1300.
 - legal estate acquired with notice postponed, 1299.
 - without notice prevails, 1299, 1300.
 - mortgagee with notice acquiring legal estate from person without notice, and *vice versa*, 1300.
 - of judgment unregistered, effect of, 1353, 1356.
 - stop order with notice gives no priority, 1281, 1299.
 - to solicitor of trustees, 1263.
 - transferee without notice from mortgagee with notice, 1302, 1303.
 - trust, notice of existence of, 1301.
 - trustee for all incumbrancers cannot convey legal estate to one, 1301.
 - mortgagee without notice acquiring legal estate from, 1301.
 - priority not conferred by fraudulent act of, 1302.
 - where mortgagor is for other persons, 1302.
- purchase for value without, 1303—1305.
 - defence of, must be pleaded formally, 1305.
 - discovery, whether compellable from defendant setting up, 1304.
 - equitable doctrine of, now legalized, 1304.
 - evidence to rebut plea, 1305.
 - forged mortgage, 1297.
 - former law as to, 1303.
 - pleading defence of, mode of, 1304.
 - solicitor's lien, defence, whether applies to, 1305.
- reconveyance with, of equities, 1410.
- registration is, in Yorkshire, 1249.
 - is not, in Ireland, 1249, 1252.
 - is not, in Middlesex, 1248, 1251.
- surety, co-debtors may become principal and, without, 79.
 - creditor may sue, without giving, of principal's default, 89, 90.
 - discharge of, by creditors omitting to give, 86.
 - by novation, 83.
- surplus proceeds of sale, mortgagee parting with after, 914.
- tacking prevented by, of prior equities, 1222, 1224, 1231.
- title deeds, delivery of, to mortgagor, after notice of charge, 1412.
- to redeem,
 - administration action bars right to, 709.
 - consent to sale in, equivalent to, 710.
 - Crown, extent by, mortgagee's rights on, 709.
 - demand of payment bars right to, 709.
 - depositee of deeds not entitled to, 708.
 - entry into possession bars right to, 710.
 - interest in lieu of, 708, 1154.
 - payable in advance, mortgagee enforcing security not entitled to, 710.
 - new notice, when necessary, 708, 710.

NOTICE—continued.

to redeem—*continued.*

proof of debt bars right to, 710.

six months' notice must be given, 708.

trust for sale, mortgage in form of, 708.

under Settled Land Acts, to trustees of settlement, 397.

NOTICE TO PAY OFF MORTGAGE, 894—897. See POWER OF SALE.

NOTICE TO QUIT,

by mortgagee, lessee of mortgagor not entitled to, 676.

by receiver, tenants bound by, 922, 951.

NOVATION,

generally, 1450, 1451.

burden of proof, 1451.

definition of, 1450.

distinction between novation simple and with delegation, 1450.

intention, novation depends on, 1450.

what is sufficient evidence of, 1451.

interest, acceptance of security for, 1451.

surety discharged by, 83.

with delegation, 1451.

on amalgamation of companies, 1454—1457.

adoption of new company by mortgagor, 1454.

all parties interested must concur, 1456, 1457.

annuity, receipt of, from new company, 1457.

insurance companies, 1454—1456.

bonus, receipt for, 1455.

indorsement of policy, 1455, 1456.

payment of premiums to new company, 1454, 1456.

statutory regulations as to, 1455.

intention, what is sufficient evidence of, 1454.

interest, receipt of from new banking company, 1457.

on change in partnership firm, 1452, 1453.

action by creditor against new firm, 1453.

consent of creditor essential to novation, 1452.

implication of from conduct, 1452.

continued dealings with new firm, 1452, 1453.

retiring partner, discharge of, statutory provisions as to, 1452.

evidence of intention to discharge, 1453.

reservation of right against, 1453.

security, taking fresh from new firm, 1453.

OCCUPATION,

constructive notice by, of property, 1318—1320. *See CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE.*

mortgagee in possession charged with rent for, when, 1211.

OFFER TO REDEEM,

necessary in redemption action, 729.

sale by mortgagee under power, restrained after, 905.

OFFICE, PUBLIC,

emoluments of, not assignable, 298, 299.
securities for obtaining, void, 626.

OFFICER,

in navy or army, pay and pension of, not assignable, 299.
of company, omission by, to register, 501.

OPENING ACCOUNTS,

grounds for, 1141, 1142. *And see* ACCOUNTS.

OPENING FORECLOSURE,

after decree absolute, 1047—1051. *See* FORECLOSURE.
heir entitled to mortgage moneys on, whether, 852.

OPTION TO PURCHASE,

agreement to give to mortgagee, 15, 16.

ORDER,

charging order, 1357—1365. *See* CHARGING ORDER.
for accounts, 1137—1140. *And see* ACCOUNTS.
for appointment of receiver, 925.
for foreclosure absolute, 1041—1045. *See* FORECLOSURE.
 delivery of deeds on, 1046, 1047.
 of possession on, 1045, 1046.
 nisi, 1024—1026.
for redemption, 733—736.
for sale in bankruptcy, 1094—1104. *See* BANKRUPTCY.
 foreclosure action, 1016—1018, 1037—1045.
 redemption action, 738, 739.
 winding-up of company, 1120.
stop order, 1276—1282. *See* STOP ORDER.
vesting orders, 1417—1430. *See* VESTING ORDERS.

ORDER AND DISPOSITION IN BANKRUPTCY. *See* BANKRUPTCY.**ORDERS OF SUPREME COURT. *See* TABLE OF RULES, pp. ccxxii—ccxxiv.****ORIGINATING SUMMONS,**

administration action commenced by, when, 1105.
debenture holders' action, whether commenced by, 1119.
foreclosure action commenced by, 1020, 1021.
redemption action commenced by, 728.

PALATINE COURT,

jurisdiction of, in winding-up, 1120.

PARAPHERNALIA,

marshalling in favour of widow, where mortgagee took, 780.

PARENT,

insurable interest in life of child, none, 288.
securities under due influence of, set aside, 607.

PAROL,

- agreement for bill of sale, 199, 200.
- deposit of deeds, 56.
- reduction of interest, 130.
- release of equity of redemption, 729, 730.
- demurring by, 1053.
- evidence. *See* EVIDENCE.
- hypothecation by, 1492.
- mortgage of chattels by, 193.
- notice, priority by, if proved, 1257, 1258.
- surety discharged by agreement to give time to principal, 83.
- tenancy, assignment of, 672.

PARI PASSU CHARGES,

- debentures ranking as, 478, 1279.

PARISH COUNCIL,

- borrowing powers of, 455.

PARSONAGE,

- mortgage of benefice for building or repairing, 441, 444.
- addition to, 442.
- advance, incumbent may make, out of own moneys, 442.
- "benefice," meaning of, 442.
- consents of ordinary and patron necessary, 441.
- form of mortgage, statutory, 442.
- successors bound by, 442.
- to Queen Anne's Bounty, 443.

PART OWNERS,

- of ships, lien of, 1398.
- rules of partnership do not apply to, when, 1398.

PART PAYMENT,

- acceptance of, in full satisfaction of debt, 1404, 1405.
- acknowledgment of debt by, in action on covenant, 982—987, 992.
- in foreclosure action, 1066—1068.
- And see* LIMITATION, STATUTES OF.

PARTIES,

- all persons interested must be, 720, 1003.
- assignees *pendente lite*, 631, 632, 724.
- Attorney-General, 1013.
- cestuis que trusts* represented by trustees, 721, 1006.
- costs of disclaiming, 1188—1190.
- joinder of, 966.
- misjoinder of, 965, 1013.
- numerous, representation of, 1013, 1018, 1019.
- receiver, appointment of, does not affect rights of, 947.
- Court will not appoint a party as, 940.
- to actions by debenture holders, 1013, 1118, 1119.
- for administration, 1106, 1107.

PARTIES—continued.

- to actions for foreclosure, 1003—1015. *See* FORECLOSURE.
- for redemption, 720—724. *See* REDEMPTION, ACTION FOR.
- for specific performance of agreement to give legal mortgage, 630.
- to set aside contract of sale for fraud, 794.
- to deeds, &c., constructive notice from description of, 1309.
- to mortgage deed, 109.

PARTITION,

- equality of, power to raise money by mortgage for, 388.
- of equity of redemption, 635, 636. *See* REDEMPTION, EQUITY OF.

PARTNERSHIP,

- advances by partner to firm, 180.
- authority of partner to bind firm by borrowing, 502—504.
 - custom of trade may limit, 503.
- deed, executing partner when bound by, 503, 504.
 - partner cannot bind firm by, 503.
- deposit of deeds by partner, whether, binds firm, 503.
 - securities of firm by partner for own debt, 504.
- mortgage deed, all partners must execute, 504.
- pledge by partner, 1463.
- scope of business may limit, 503.
- separate credit, borrowing by partner on, 503.
- Bovill's Act, mortgages under, 505—507.
- change of firm, effect of, on securities given by, 504.
 - on surety's liability, 93.
- consolidation against firm of mortgage by partner, none, 864.
- foreclosure action, partners of mortgagor, when necessary, parties to, 1010.
- novation, 1452, 1453.
- possession of partner, reputed ownership of firm, whether, 179, 180.
- reputed ownership, 179, 180.
- share in, mortgage of, 507, 508.
 - accounts of firm, mortgagee cannot require, 508.
 - bankruptcy, order for sale in, 1097.
 - Bills of Sale Acts do not apply to, 208, 508.
 - foreclosure of, 995, 1000.
 - mortgagee does not become partner, 507.
- sharing profits, loan to firm in consideration of, 505—507.
 - agreement, void for uncertainty, 507.
 - bankruptcy, proof by lender in, 507.
 - interest at fixed rate plus share of profits, 506, 507.
 - postponement of lender's rights, 506, 507.
 - statutory protection, extent of, 595.
 - test of partnership, sharing profits no longer, 595.

PARTNERSHIP—continued.

ship, rules as to partnership do not apply to part owners of, when, 1398.

surety for firm, liability of, how affected by change in firm, 93.

proof by, against separate estates, 104.

PATENT,

receipt of profits by co-owner mortgagee of, 807.

PAWNBROKER,

pledges to, under Pawnbrokers Act, 1872...1473—1475. *See* PLEDGE.

possession by, reputed ownership, whether, 183.

PAYMASTER-GENERAL,

notice to, of charge ineffectual to secure priority, 1276.

of charging order gives priority over other orders, 1362.

PAY OF OFFICER,

not assignable, 299.

PENALTY,

interest, agreement for higher rate of, relieved against as, 129.

recoverable on bond, beyond amount of, whether, 67, 68.

PENSIONS,

assignable, what are, 300.

what are not, 298, 299.

charging orders on, 1363.

foreclosure by mortgagees of, 1000.

receivers of, appointment of, 932, 933.

PERMANENT IMPROVEMENT ACT,

mortgages under, 1027, 1370, 1371.

PERPETUITIES, RULE AGAINST,

anticipation, restraint on, 340.

PERSONAL CHATTELS,

definition of, 203. *See also* CHATTELS.

PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVES. *See* EXECUTORS AND ADMINISTRATORS.**PERSONALTY,**

executors, vesting in, of, 399.

exoneration of mortgaged estate out of, 753—767. *See* EXONERATION.

mortgage of, by executors and administrators, 399—406. *See* EXECUTORS AND ADMINISTRATORS.

primary fund under former law, 753—767. *See* EXONERATION.

secus, under Locke King's Act, 767—773. *See* EXONERATION.

priority by notice of mortgages of, 1253—1273. *See* NOTICE.

PERSONALTY—*continued*.

- priority by notice in lieu of *distringas*, 1274—1276.
 - by stop order, 1276—1282. *See* STOP ORDERS.
 - of bills of sale of chattels, 1282—1284. *See* BILLS OF SALE.
 - of mortgages of ships, 1285, 1286.
- redemptions of, successive, rule as to, 1029.
- tacking, application of doctrine of, to, 1273, 1274.

PEW RENTS,

- mortgage of, 438.

PICTURES,

- reputed ownership of, exhibited in gallery, 183.

PILOTAGE,

- maritime lien for, 1394.

PLEADINGS,

- accounts, mortgagee in possession must give, of rents, &c. in, 730, 1201.
 - special matters of, must be stated in, 1137, 1138.
- acknowledgment of debt, 985.
- fraud, if relied on, must be raised in, 1221.
- in action for foreclosure, 1021, 1022. *See* FORECLOSURE.
- for redemption, 729—732. *See* REDEMPTION.
- Limitations, Statutes of, bar by, of actions for foreclosure, 1061.
 - for mortgage moneys, 975.
 - for redemption, 731.
- And see* LIMITATION, STATUTES OF.
- marshalling, right of, need not be raised in, 782.
- purchase for value without notice, 1304.
- release of equity of redemption to mortgagee, 730.
- statement of claim in foreclosure action, covenant for payment should be set out in, 1021.

PLEDGE,

- accretions pass to pledgee, 1467.
- by agent, 1464.
- by agent under Factors Acts, 1476 *et seq.*
- by factors, 1476—1486.
- by limited owner, 1464.
- by partner, 1463.
- consent of owner necessary to valid, 1462.
- conversion of, by pledgee, 1468, 1469.
- costs, covered by security, 1467.
- custody of, 1467.
- damages for loss of, 1468.
- debt essential to, 1459.
- definition of, 1458.
- delivery essential to, 1459, 1465.

PLEDGE—*continued.*

- delivery of possession, what amounts to, 1461, 1462.
 - constructive, 1461, 1462.
 - of bill of lading, 1461.
 - of part of goods in name of whole, 1461.
 - warrants or order for delivery, 1462.
 - wharfingers' certificates, 1462.
- delivery subsequent to advance, 1462.
- distinction between, and hypothecation, 7.
 - and lien, 1470.
 - and mortgage, 7, 1459.
- distress, 1472, 1473.
- execution, 1472, 1473.
- foreclosure decree cannot be made of, 1460, 1470.
- fraudulent possession of, 1463, 1464.
- hypothecation distinguished from, 7.
- interest covered by security, 1467.
- loss of pledge, 1468.
- memorandum accompanying, Bills of Sale Acts do not apply to, 191, 202.
 - stamp duty not chargeable on, 1521.
- of bill of lading, 1466.
- of heirlooms, 1468.
- of negotiable securities, 282, 1465, 1467.
- of perishable articles, 1467.
- of shares in company, 280.
- partner may give security on property of firm by way of, 503.
- pawnbroker's interest in pledge, liable to execution, 1473.
- possession, pledgee's right to, 1467.
- property which may be subject of, 1465, 1467.
- receiver, 1471.
- redelivery to pledgor, effect of, 1471, 1472.
- redemption of, 1459, 1460, 1471.
- rights of pledgee, 1467—1473.
- sale of, after notice, 1470.
- Sale of Goods Acts, 1893...1462, 1463.
- sub-pledge, pledgor bound by, 1468.
- tender of debt reverts goods in pledgor, 1460.
- title of pledgee and mortgagee distinguished, 1459.
 - of pledgor, 1462—1465.
- transfer of, 1468.
- under Factors Act, 1476—1486.
 - agent, bankruptcy of, proof in, 1484.
 - agent, common law, powers of, 1485, 1486.
 - foreign securities, 1486.
 - pledge by, 1486.
 - protection of principal, 1486.
 - revocation of authority, 1485.
 - sale by, 1485.

PLEDGE—continued.

under Factors Act—continued.

agent, common law powers of—continued.

saving of, by statute, 1484.

set-off by purchaser from, 1486.

“agents,” statute applies only to, 1477.

antecedent debt, pledge for, 1482.

business, pledge must be in ordinary course of, 1498.

consent of owner, 1479.

“document of title,” definition of, 1477.

documents of title, pledge of, 1482.

transfer of, 1484.

exchange of goods or documents, rights acquired by, 1483.

lien of factor, 1482.

“mercantile agent,” definition of, 1476.

mortgagor is not agent for sale within, 1484.

notice that pledge is *ultra vires*, 1481.

pledge, statutory power of, 1479—1485.

agreements through clerks, &c., 1483.

business, pledge must be in ordinary course of, 1480.

consent of owner to factor's possession, what amounts to, 1479, 1480.

for antecedent debt, 1482.

lien of factor passes to pledgee, 1482.

notice that pledge is *ultra vires*, 1481.

of documents of title, deemed pledge of goods, 1482.

proof in bankruptcy of agent by owner of goods, 1484.

sale, special instructions as to mode of, effect of, 1483.

statutory power of, 1479.

stoppage *in transitu*, 1483, 1484.

true owner, saving of rights of, 1484.

vendor's lien, effect of transfer of documents on, 1483.

under Pawnbrokers Act, 1472—1475.

compensation for depreciation, 1475.

custody of pawned goods, 1475.

fire, liability in case of, 1475.

non-compliance with Act, effect of, 1474.

“pawnbroker,” definition of, 1475.

redemption, 1474, 1475.

sale, 1475.

true owner, no title against, 1474.

use of, 1467, 1468.

POLICY OF FIRE INSURANCE. See FIRE INSURANCE, POLICY OF.**POLICY OF LIFE ASSURANCE.** See LIFE ASSURANCE, POLICY OF.**POOR LAW GUARDIANS,**

borrowing powers of, 450—452.

POOR LAW SETTLEMENT,

- right of mortgagees to, 792.
- of mortgagor to, 628, 629.

PORTIONS,

- all, when one only payable, as to raising, 430, 431.
- costs of raising, 431.
- estate duty on, 435.
- interest, when payable on, 431.
- legacy duty on, 435.
- lifetime of parents, general rule as to raising in, 429.
 - "contrary intention," what amounts to, 429, 430.
- lunatic tenant in tail charged with, 363.
- maintenance of portionists, trusts for, 431, 433—435.
- marshalling, 784.
- mortgage to raise, 436, 437.
 - assignment or demise of term, 437.
 - concurrence of owner of estate, 436.
 - of portionist, 437.
 - power of sale, 436.
 - when authorized, 432, 433.
- notice by mortgagee to trustees of, effect of, 1264.
- payment of, rules for, 429—431.
- power to raise, usual form of, 429.
- succession duty on, 435.
- term limited to secure, 436, 437.
- time for raising, 429—432.

POSSESSION,

- allegation of, in foreclosure action, 1021.
- delivery up of, order for, in foreclosure action, 1045, 1046. *See* FORECLOSURE.
- inquiry as to, 1022.
- of mortgagee. *See* MORTGAGEE IN POSSESSION.
- of title deeds, loss of priority by, absence of, 1332—1347. *And see* NOTICE; TITLE DEEDS.

POSSESSORY TITLE,

- mortgagee with, may sell under power of sale, 887.

POST OBIT SECURITIES,

- avoidance of, 613.

POWER OF ATTORNEY,

- absolute assignment gives power to sue without, 305, 306, 309—311.
- bankruptcy of principal, effect of, 650.
- bill of sale, execution of, under, 240.
- Bills of Sale Acts extend to, 198.
- charge, equitable, may be created by, 52.
- debt, mortgage of, should contain, whether, 305 *et seq.*
- equitable mortgage created by giving, 52.

POWER OF ATTORNEY—continued.

- irrevocable, under Conveyancing Act, 1882...305.
- legacy, mortgage of, does not require, 313.
- policy of life assurance, mortgage of, does not require, 294.
- receipt of mortgage money under decree, for, 1034.
- sale of mortgaged property under, 891, 892.
- transfer of mortgage need not contain, 825, 826.

POWERS,

- charge, power to, authorizes appointment of fee, 426.
 - sale, whether, 426.
- power to sell, authorizes, whether, 425.
- interest chargeable under, when, 427.
- Malins' Act does not interfere with, 324, 325.
- marshalling, 782.
- mortgage by, appointment under, 426, 701.
 - results to old uses, 701.
- of tenant for life, not extinguished by mortgage of life estate, 381.
- release of,
 - by married woman, 332, 333.
 - lunacy, no jurisdiction in, to order, 361.
- sale, authorized by power to charge, whether, 426.
 - power of, authorizes mortgage, whether, 425.

POWERS OF SALE IN MORTGAGES,

- abortive attempt to sell, mortgagee entitled to costs of, 911.
 - purchase by mortgagee, does not extinguish, 906.
- administrator may give, in mortgage, 405.
- advertisement of sale not necessary, 901.
- advowson, available as security by sale under, when, 169.
- agent of mortgagee, cannot purchase at sale under, 906.
 - sale by, under power of attorney, 891, 892.
- assigns should be expressly mentioned in, 887, 890.
- auction, sale by, 901.
- biddings at sale by mortgagee, 906.
- collusive sale under, mortgagor not bound by, 906.
- colonies, mortgages of land in, 885.
- conditions of sale, special, sale under, 902, 903.
 - to be strictly observed, 893, 900.
 - unduly strict, effect of, in mortgage to solicitor, 611, 612.
 - usual, in express powers, 893, 894.
- conduct of sale, 901, 902.
- conveyance, 907—909.
 - express power to convey, 907.
 - of outstanding interest by trustee, purchaser may require, 909.
 - redemption by mortgagor, effect of agreement for, 909.
 - statutory provisions as to, 907, 908.
 - vesting of property by, 909.
- damages for improper sale, 899, 904.

POWERS OF SALE IN MORTGAGES—*continued.*

- debenture holders, leave to proceed under, during winding-up, 1127.
 - sale by, under, before winding-up, 1117.
 - trustees of covering deed compellable by, to exercise, 880, 1117.
- default, power not exerciseable until, 883, 884, 893.
- easements, sale of part of land with, over unsold land, 902.
- equitable mortgagee may exercise, 887.
- evidence of propriety of sale, when purchaser may require, 900.
 - on sale of reversion, special conditions as to, 903.
- executor mortgaging assets may give, 405.
- exercise of, does not destroy right of consolidation, 856.
- express, form of, 881, 882, 891.
 - superseded by statutory, 885.
- foreclosure not prejudiced by, 882, 885.
 - whether opened after exercise of, 1049.
- injunction to restrain sale, 903—905.
- interest, all arrears of, retainable out of purchase-money, 1169.
- legal estate outstanding, condition against requiring, 903.
- lunatic, mortgage of property of, whether should contain, 363.
- machinery, sale of, apart from buildings, 902.
- minerals, sale of, apart from surface, 902.
- mortgagor, concurrence of, in sale not necessary, 892.
- notice, 894—897.
 - by solicitor, 894.
 - conditional contract for sale before expiration of, 894.
 - Conveyancing, &c. Act, 1881, s. 20...894, 896.
 - fresh, after waiver, 895.
 - impossibility of giving, effect of, 895.
 - Lord Cranworth's Act, 894.
 - of irregularity in sale, purchaser with, not protected, 899.
 - period of, what usual under express powers, 895, 897.
 - where none specified, 895.
 - place at which, to be given, 896.
 - power to sell without, oppressive, 894.
 - service of, 896.
 - to mortgagor under disability, 895.
 - to one of several mortgagors, 897.
 - to persons claiming adversely to mortgagor, unnecessary, 894.
 - trustee for sale bound to give, 895.
 - waiver of, 900.
- oppressive conduct of mortgagee, 904.
- partition of equity of redemption is subject to, 635.
- possessory title, mortgagee with, may sell under, 887.
- price, best, mortgagee not bound to obtain, 901, 903.
 - trustee for sale, whether, must obtain, 903.
- part of, to remain on mortgage, 903.
- prior mortgage, sale subject to, 903.
- protection of mortgagee from loss, 901.

POWERS OF SALE IN MORTGAGES—*continued.*

protection of purchasers, express, formerly usual, 898, 899.
 statutory, 899, 900.

purchase by agent of mortgagee, 906.
 by co-mortgagor for amount of debt, 906.
 by company from mortgagee shareholder, 906.
 by mortgagee, void, 906.
 by mortgagor from first mortgagee, second mortgagee not
 prejudiced by, 907.
 by second mortgagee, 906.

purchase-money, application of, 910—915.

arrears of interest, what retainable out of, 910.

costs of sale retainable out of, 911.

purchase-money, receipts for, 909, 910.

receipt for moneys received otherwise than by sale, 915.

receiver, appointment of, is subject to, 898, 923.

recovery of moneys improperly demanded by mortgagee, 905.

reversion, condition as to evidence on sale of, 903.

sale by Court, jurisdiction as to, not ousted by, 1018, 1095.

setting aside sale under, grounds for, 904.

statutory, under Conveyancing Act, 1881,

agreement under seal for mortgage, incorporates, 54.

assigns of mortgagee may exercise, 891.

bill of sale does not incorporate, 236, 237, 888.

breach of mortgagor's covenants, 894, 898.

by whom exerciseable under Conveyancing Act, 1881...888.

Lord Cranworth's Act, 883.

conveyance under, 907, 908.

copyholds, mortgage of, when incorporates, 151.

debentures do not imply, 888.

deed, mortgage must be by, 883, 884.

equitable charge under seal incorporates, 888.

estate in mortgaged lands, what may be conveyed, 908, 909.

interest, default in payment of, 894, 897, 898.

joint mortgagees, 889.

notice to pay principal, 894, 896.

property, what may be sold under, 889.

second mortgagee, 890.

several sets of mortgagees, 890.

stock mortgage, 37.

trustees for sale, 889.

Welsh mortgage, whether incorporates, 31.

statutory, under Land Transfer Act, 1875...885, 886.

Lord Cranworth's Act, 882—884.

Merchant Shipping Act, 263, 267.

stock, mortgagee of, may sell under, 276.

sub-mortgagee, whether entitled to benefit of original, 830, 831, 887.

surplus proceeds, duty of mortgagee as to, 912—915.

accounts of, mortgagee must render, 914.

POWERS OF SALE IN MORTGAGES—continued.

- surplus proceeds, duty of mortgagee as to—*continued*.
 - claims of parties interested must be regarded, 913.
 - devolution of, 911.
 - notice not to part with, 914.
 - release, mortgagee not entitled to, 914.
 - Settled Land Acts, money raised under, 913.
 - settlement of equity of redemption, 913.
 - statutory provisions as to, 911, 912.
 - trustee of, mortgagee is, 912.
 - not where equity of redemption barred by time, 914.
 - not where no notice of equities, 914.
 - only where surplus ascertained, 911.
 - undue preference by mortgagee, 914.
 - where person to receive not ascertained, 913.
- timber, sale of, and lands separately, 901, 902.
- title, mortgagee can make good, to purchaser, 892.
- title-deeds, delivery of, purchaser may require, 907—909.
- transferee of mortgage, whether may sell under, 887.
- trustees may give to mortgagees, whether, 428.
 - should require, 524.
- undervalue, sale at, 903.

POWER TO SEIZE CHATTELS,

- Bills of Sale Acts confer, on mortgagee, in what cases. *See* **BILLS OF SALE.**

PREBENDARY,

- mortgage of rectory or manor by, 439.

PREEMPTION,

- proviso giving right of, construed strictly, 16.
- reservation of, to mortgagee, valid, 15, 16.

PRELIMINARY EXPENSES FOR MORTGAGE, 1191.**PRESENTATION TO BENEFICE,**

- right of mortgagor to nominate for, 169.

PRESERVATION OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY,

- expenses of, allowed to mortgagee, 164, 1197.

PRESUMPTION,

- consent to investment of trust funds, 512.
- conveyance of legal estate, 633.
- deposit of deeds, is by way of security, 59.
- merger, 1433, 1440—1442. *See* **MERGER.**
- reconveyance, 1410, 1411.

PRIMARY FUND,

mortgaged property since Locke King's Act, 767—773.

personalty under former law, 753—767.

And see EXONERATION.

PRINCIPAL MONEYS,

accounts between mortgagee and mortgagor, as to, 1145 *et seq.* *See* ACCOUNTS.

covenant for payment of, in mortgage deed, 115.

instalments, proviso for payment by, of, 137.

postponement of right to call in, 135—137.

bankruptcy, order for sale in, notwithstanding, 136.

form of proviso for, 135.

interest in arrear, whether determines, 135, 136.

redemption, right of, correlative postponement of, 135, 136.

PRINCIPAL AND SURETY. *See* SURETY.**PRIORITY,**

inquiry as to, in foreclosure action, 1032.

of bills of sale, 243, 1282—1286. *See* BILLS OF SALE.

of Crown debts, 1366.

of debentures, 1287—1292. *See* DEBENTURES.

of judgments, 1348—1365. *See* JUDGMENTS.

of land charges, 1368—1371.

of lien arising out of covenants, 1382—1384.

for breach of trust, 1381, 1382.

for expenses of preserving property, 1377—1381.

maritime, 1390—1399. *See* MARITIME LIEN.

of bankers, &c., 1389, 1390.

of company on shares, 1399, 1400.

of purchaser on rescission of contract, 1376, 1377.

of solicitor, 1384—1389.

of vendor for unpaid purchase-money, 1372—1376.

See VENDOR'S LIEN.

of mortgages, by date of execution, 1237.

of registration, 1246—1252.

in Ireland, 1249, 1251.

in Middlesex, 1248, 1251.

in Yorkshire, 1247, 1251.

under Land Transfer Act, 1875...39.

Merchant Shipping Act, 1293.

by legal estate, 1214—1219.

by notice generally, 1253—1273.

as to mortgages of debts, &c., 1265.

of freight and cargo, 1272.

of policies of assurance, 1267.

of shares in companies, 1269.

of trust funds, 1260.

doctrine of notice not applicable to land, 1236.

by notice in lieu of distringas, 1274.

by stop orders, 1284.

PRIORITY—*continued*.

- postponement of priority,
 - by absence of title deeds, 1340.
 - by fraud, 1301.
 - by laches, 1305.
 - by notice of prior equities, &c., 1307.
 - by omission to take possession, 1297, 1298.

PRISON AUTHORITIES,

- borrowing powers of, 449.

PROBATE, .

- administration of estate, proceedings for, before, 1109.

PRODUCTION OF TITLE DEEDS AND DOCUMENTS,

- acknowledgment of title deeds, Conveyancing Act, 1881...815, 1412.
- affidavit of documents from mortgagee, 1412.
- covenant for production, 815.
- foreclosure action, order in, for, 1046, 1047.
- mortgagee, when bound to produce, 815, 816. *See MORTGAGEE.*
- mortgagee paid off, delivery up of, 1411—1414.
- mortgagor, when bound to produce, 814.
- solicitor's lien subject to, 1385.

PROFITS, ACCOUNT OF, .

- by mortgagee, 1201, 1202.
- by mortgagor, none, 667.

PROGRESSIVE STAMP DUTY,

- abolished, 1540.

PROMISE, .

- release of debt not effected by mere, 1402.

PROMISSORY NOTE,

- accompanying bill of sale, a defeasance, when, 239.

PROOF BY SECURED CREDITOR,

- in administration action, 1110—1113. *See ADMINISTRATION OF ASSETS.*
- in bankruptcy, 1089—1094. *See BANKRUPTCY.*
- in winding-up, 1128—1132. *See WINDING-UP.*
- notice to redeem, right to, barred by, 710.

PROPERTY,

- after-acquired, bill of sale may include, whether, 211—213.
 - may be charged by debentures, 493.
- deposit of deeds includes what, 63.
- mortgage may be made of what, 9.

PROTECTION ORDER,

- effect of, on disposing power of married woman, 331.

PROTECTION OF SETTLEMENT, 371 *et seq.* *See TENANT IN TAIL.*

PUBLIC HEALTH ACTS,

- advances by local loans commissioners, 448.
- borrowing powers under, 447, 448.
- local authority, meaning of, 447.
- London, provision of hospitals, &c. in, 448.
- sanitary purposes, rates may be charged for, 447.

PUBLIC-HOUSE,

- appeal by mortgagee as to licence of, 794.
- covenants, restrictive, in lease by brewer's mortgagees, 1202.
- goodwill of, includes right to license, 118, 801.
- security of, investment of trust funds on, 519.

PUBLIC LIBRARIES ACT,

- mortgages under, 452—454.

PUBLIC OFFICE,

- security for obtaining sale of, void, 626.

PUISNE INCUMBRANCER,

- accounts, how far binding on, 1140.
- right to, of, 802.
- acknowledgment of debt by mortgagor does not bind, 984.
- capitalization of interest, agreement between mortgagor and mortgagee does not bind, 1164.
- consent of, to transfer in lieu of reconveyance, whether necessary, 1416.
- costs, right to, of, 1116.
- expenses of, not allowed as against first mortgagee to, 1206.
- legal estate, prior mortgagee paid off must convey to next, 43.
- merger, exclusion of, as against, 1443—1446.
- receiver may be appointed by, 921.
- redemption by, 694.
- sale at instance of, in bankruptcy, 1099.
- in foreclosure and redemption actions, 1036.
- tacking against, 44, 1219, 1230—1236. *See* TACKING.
- by, 1221—1228.
- title deeds, delivery of, cannot be claimed by, 45.
- trustees should invest on, 523.

PURCHASE,

- application of purchase-money, purchaser from mortgagee whether bound to see to, 909, 910.
- by agent, of incumbrance at undervalue, 824.
- of mortgaged property at sale by mortgagee principal, 906.
- by company, from mortgagee shareholder, 906.
- by directors, of debentures at undervalue, 824.
- by executor, 824.
- by guardian of incumbrance at undervalue, 824.
- by heir, 824.
- by mortgagee, of equity of redemption, 15—17. *See* REDEMPTION, EQUITY OF.

PURCHASE—continued.

- by solicitor, 824.
- by surety, 825.
- by tenant for life of incumbrance at undervalue, 824.
- by trustee, 824.
- conditional, distinguished from mortgage, 19.
- for value without notice, in, 1303—1305. *See* NOTICE.
- foreclosure opened against purchaser from mortgagee, when, 1049, 1051.
- of gaming, &c., securities, 619—621.

PURCHASE-MONEY,

- devolution of, on re-purchase, 23.

QUEEN ANNE'S BOUNTY,

- mortgage to, of benefice for repairs of parsonage, 443.

QUIA EMPTORES,

- statute of, 315.

QUIET ENJOYMENT,

- effect of proviso in mortgage deed for, 655—657, 796.

RACING DEBTS,

- securities for, void, 619 *et seq.*

RAILWAY COMPANY,

- accounts of loan capital to be kept, 499.
- arrears of interest, statutory liability as to, 992.
- bonds of, deposit of, not entitled to foreclosure, 282.
- borrowing powers of, 465—467.
- compensation to mortgagees under Companies Clauses Consolidation Act, 792.
- debentures of, Bills of Sale Acts do not apply to, 209.
- power to issue, 477.
- debenture stock, power to issue, 488.
- permanent way of, cannot be mortgaged, 490.
- receiver, 933—936.
- rolling stock of, mortgage of, valid, 493.
- schemes of arrangement, 1132, 1133.
- shares in, foreclosure by mortgagee of, 1000.
- surplus lands, mortgage of rents, &c., of, 466, 491.
- payment out of proceeds of, 1287.
- tolls, mortgage of, 491, 493. *See* TOLLS.
- "undertaking" of, meaning of, 490, 491.
- mortgage of, 490—493.
- vendor's lien, enforcement of, against, 1373, 1374.

RATES,

- covenant to pay, allowable in bill of sale, 235.
- mortgages of, by municipal corporations, 447.
- non-production of receipt for, seizure on, 220, 224.

RATIFICATION,

- by company, of debentures improperly issued by directors, 472.
- by debenture holders, of scheme of arrangement, 1132.
- by infant after attaining full age, void, 355.
- by mortgagee, of lease, 679.
- by mortgagor, of unconscionable loan, 617.

REAL ESTATE,

- charge on, of annuities, 410—414.
- of debts, 406.
- of legacies, 409—410.
- And see CHARGE.*
- debts of ancestor or testator, liability to, of, 647—653.
- executor's power to mortgage, under former law, 406—416.
- under Lord St. Leonards' Act, 416—422.
- investment of trust funds on mortgage of, 509—514. *See TRUSTEES.*
- priority of mortgages of, by legal estate, 1214—1219. *See LEGAL ESTATE.*
- by order of date, 1237—1240. *See DATE.*

REAL SECURITIES,

- what are, 517—519.

RECEIPT,

- bill of lading in form of, effect of, 1496.
- building society, of, in lieu of reconveyance, 559—562, 1407. *And see BENEFIT BUILDING SOCIETIES.*
- demand of, on tender of mortgage moneys, 717.
- deposit of, for purchase-money, charges land, 62.
- for consideration in, or indorsed on mortgage deed, 113—115.
- proceeds of sale under power by mortgagee, 909, 910.
- rent, &c., seizure of chattels on non-production of, 220, 224.
- friendly society, of, in lieu of reconveyance, 566, 1407.
- inventory of goods with, within Bills of Sale Acts, 194—196.

RECEIVER APPOINTED BY COURT,

- accounts, delivery, &c. of, by, 955.
- omission from, by, 1051.
- separate, of mixed fund, 945.
- actions, power to bring and defend, 952, 953.
- administration action, moneys received in, application of, 944.
- affidavit on appointment of, 927.
- appointment, action pending, necessary to, 925.
- application for, by defendant, 927.
- by plaintiff, 926.
- form of, 925.
- to whom to be made, 927.
- at trial, 925.
- claim for, should be indorsed on writ or summons, 926.
- leave to serve notice of motion, 926, 927.
- attornment of tenants to, 944—946.

RECEIVER APPOINTED BY COURT—*continued.*

- bankruptcy of, 938.
- proceedings by receiver in, 932.
- business, expenditure in carrying on, 954.
- claims against, how determined, 956.
- company, who will be appointed, of joint stock, 941.
- of public, 940.
- contracts, liability on, 956.
- costs, retainer of, out of receipts, 957.
- costs of, mortgagee's right to, 1192.
- court rolls of manor, delivery of, to, 946.
- debts, admission of, by debtor, effect of, 949.
- adverse receipt of, by third person, 950.
- delivery of possession by mortgagor to, 944, 945.
- directions, summons for, 953.
- discharge of, 938.
- discretion as to appointment, 928.
- selection of receiver, 939.
- disqualification of persons as, 940.
- distress by, 950, 951.
- ejectment against defaulting tenant, 952.
- equitable mortgagee may obtain appointment, 928.
- executor cannot retain debt against, 949.
- expenses of, allowances for, 957.
- reimbursement of, 957, 958.
- fee farm rents must be kept down by, 554.
- foreclosure absolute, no appointment after, 928.
- improvements, expenditure on, not allowed, 954.
- interest, mortgagee may require, from, 955.
- interference with, is contempt of Court, 947.
- irregular appointment of, effect of, 947.
- jurisdiction to appoint, 924—928.
- liquidator, appointment of, does not oust, 941, 942.
- loss to estate, liability for, 956.
- manager and, appointment of, 933—939. *And see* MANAGER.
- misconduct of, 947.
- mortgagee prevented from taking possession, may obtain, 929.
- mortgagor out of jurisdiction, 928.
- notice to quit by, binds tenants, 951.
- of benefice, 932.
- of business, 932—939. *See* MANAGER.
- of colliery, 928.
- of equitable interest in land, 931.
- of fellowship, profits of, 933.
- of fund in Court, 931.
- vested in trustees, 932.
- of future earnings, 933.
- of manor, 168, 931, 946.
- of married woman's property, 931.

RECEIVER APPOINTED BY COURT—continued.

- of mines, 931.
- of pay and pensions, 932, 933.
- of pledge, 1471.
- of rent-charge, 931.
- of reversionary interest, 617.
- of ship, 267, 932.
- of undertaking of joint stock company, 936—939.
 - of railway company, 933—936.
- of what property generally, 931.
- paramount title, remedy of claimants by, 948.
- party to action, not generally appointed, 940.
- possession by mortgagee, appointment after, 929.
 - barred by appointment, 799.
- possession of, is possession of Court, 943.
- profit for himself, receiver must not make, 956.
- proposal of person as, rests with whom, 940.
- remedies of mortgagee not prejudiced by, 944.
- remuneration of, 957.
- rents, abatement of, 951.
 - arrears of, remission of, by, 951.
 - misapplication of, 956.
 - payment to mortgagor, of, before notice of appointment, 950.
 - raising, 951.
 - receipt of, after certificate, 950.
 - improper by third person, 949.
 - right to, of, 949.
 - surplus paid into Court by, account of, 668.
- repairs, expenditure on, 954.
- reputed ownership excluded by possession of, 183, 943.
- rights of parties not prejudiced by appointment, 947.
- second mortgagee, when may obtain, 929—931.
- security to be given by, 942, 943.
- solicitor of mortgagee not appointed, 940.
- taxes, payment of, 953.
- waste by tenant, injunction to restrain, 952.

RECEIVER APPOINTED BY DEED,

- accounts, 923.
- agent of mortgagor, receiver should be made, 916.
- appointment, advantage of, 916.
 - form of, 917.
 - power to require, after mortgage, 917, 918.
- attornment by mortgagor to, 665.
- demise of property to, 917.
- distress by mortgagor, restrained after appointment of, 923.
 - by receiver, 923.
- duties of, 922—924.
- expenses of, 923, 924.

RECEIVER APPOINTED BY DEED—continued.

- liability of mortgagee for acts of, exclusion of, 924.
 - for goods ordered after winding up, 918.
- of receiver, 914.
- mortgagee cannot appoint himself, 18.
- notice to quit by, tenants bound by, 922.
- possession of, is possession of mortgagee, when, 918.
 - reputed ownership, whether, 183.
- power of sale not affected by appointment of, 898, 923.
- remuneration of, 923.
- taxes, &c., payment of, by, 924.

RECEIVER APPOINTED UNDER CONVEYANCING ACT, 1881,

- Act, provisions in, set out, 919, 920.
- application of statutory power, 921.
- appointment *pendente lite*, 921.
- puisne mortgagee may appoint, 921.
- writ, special indorsement of, not prevented by appointment, 921, 922.

RECEIVER APPOINTED UNDER LORD CRANWORTH'S ACT,

- Act, provisions in, set out, 918, 919.
- comparison of, with Conveyancing Act, 1881...921.
- repeal of, 919.

RECEIVING ORDER,

- interest after, 1090—1092.
- jurisdiction to make, 1079.
- secured creditors, how affected by, 1079—1089.
- And see* BANKRUPTCY.

RECITALS,

- advisable in mortgage deed, when, 109, 110.
- constructive notice, how far, 1309, 1310.
- estoppel by, 110.
- mistake in, effect of, 110.
- operative words, clear, not controlled by, 112.
 - explanation of ambiguous, by, 111, 112.
- reconveyance containing incorrect, mortgagee may refuse to execute, 1410.
- specialty debt not created by, 10.
- surety's liability, when limited by, 92.

RECONVEYANCE,

- agreement for, shows mortgage, how far, 20.
- bill of sale, 253, 1408.
- building society, mortgage to, 559—563. *And see* BENEFIT BUILDING SOCIETIES.
- cancellation of mortgage, 1411.
- convict mortgagee, administrator of, may reconvey, 1423.
- copyholds, 1406.
- costs of, by whom payable, under Trustee Act, 1893...1430.
- demise, mortgage by, 1407.

RECONVEYANCE—continued.

- form of deed of, 1410.
- fraud, effect of, 1411.
- freeholds, 1406.
- friendly society, mortgage to, 566, 1407.
- Ireland, registered charge in, 1407, 1408.
- Land Transfer Act, 1875...1407.
- Lands Clauses Act, 1407.
- leaseholds, 1406.
- legal estate cannot be revested in mortgagor without, 5.
- Middlesex, mortgage of land in, 1409.
- notice of prior equity, 1410.
- partial interest, precautions where redeeming party has only, 1410.
- presumption of, after lapse of time, 1410, 1411.
- recitals, incorrect, deed containing, 1410.
- ship, mortgage of, 268, 1408.
- stamp duty, 1527, 1540.
- title deeds, right of mortgagor to delivery of, on, 1411—1414.
- transfer in lieu of, right of mortgagor to require, 1414—1417.
- And see* TRANSFER OF MORTGAGE.
- vesting orders. *See* VESTING ORDERS.
- Yorkshire, mortgage of land in, 1408.

RECOVERY,

- bar of estates tail by, under former law, 366.
- of land, action for, 792.

RECTORY IMPROPRIATE,

- mortgage of, 169, 170.

REDEMPTION (generally),

- delivery of possession by mortgagee on, 808.
- title deeds on, 1411—1414. *See* TITLE DEEDS.
- of land tax, mortgages for, 354, 384, 385.

REDEMPTION, ACTION FOR,

- accounts in, 1130 *et seq.* *See* ACCOUNTS.
- action to set aside sale by mortgagee, is, 725.
- bar of, by Statutes of Limitations, 740—752. *See* LIMITATIONS, STATUTES OF.
- Welsh mortgages, none, in cases of, 28, 29.
- Chancery Division, assignment to, of, 725.
- commencement of, 727, 728.
 - alternative claim for sale, 727.
 - to set aside mortgage, 728.
 - by originating summons, 728.
 - by writ, 727.
 - possession, joinder of claim for, 727, 728.
- conditions precedent to bringing,
 - notice to redeem, 708—710. *See* NOTICE.
 - tender of mortgage moneys, 710—719. *See* TENDER.

REDEMPTION, ACTION FOR—*continued.*

- consolidation may be claimed in, 856.
- costs of, 739. *And see* ACCOUNTS.
- County Court, jurisdiction of, in, 725.
 - bankruptcy of mortgagor does not affect, 725.
- decree for, in, 733—736.
 - annuity, redemption of, 736.
 - declaration of right to redeem, 733.
 - default in appearance, 734.
 - payment pursuant to, 734.
 - form of, ordinary, 733.
 - when made on terms, 737.
 - successive redemptions, 735, 1028—1032.
 - time allowed for redemption, 734.
 - in administration action, 1107.
 - in foreclosure action, 1027—1034.
- discovery in, 732, 733.
 - interrogatories, 732.
 - production of deeds, &c., by mortgagee, 732, 733, 814.
- dismissal of, 736—738.
 - assignee *pendente lite* bound, when, 736, 737.
 - foreclosure, effect of, dismissal is equivalent to, 736.
 - motion to dismiss, 736.
 - of action by infant, 737.
 - mortgagor, where several incumbrancers, 738.
 - puisne mortgagee, 737.
- jurisdiction in, 725, 726.
- Limitations, Statutes of, in bar of right to bring, 740—752. *See* LIMITATIONS, STATUTES OF.
- pleadings in, 729—732.
 - accounts of rents, &c., mortgagee in possession must set out, 730, 1201.
 - foreclosure decree, possession under, mortgagee may set up, 730.
 - fraud must be raised, 730, 731.
 - impeachment of security by mortgagor, 729.
 - laches of mortgagor no defence, 732.
 - Limitations, Statutes of, must be pleaded, 731.
 - offer to redeem, mortgagor must make in, 729.
 - release of equity of redemption, 729, 730.
 - title to redeem, mortgagee may deny, 729.
- redemption, price of, same in foreclosure and, 1025, 1026.
 - time allowed for, 1027—1034. *See* FORECLOSURE.
- sale in lieu of redemption,
 - jurisdiction to order, 725, 726.
 - order for, 738, 739.
 - conduct, &c. of sale, 738.
 - vesting orders, 738, 739.

REDEMPTION, ACTION FOR—*continued.*

- when right to bring, first arises, 706, 708.
- alternative periods of payment, 707.
- payment, day fixed for, no redemption before, 706, 707.
 - postponement of, 707.
 - under Lands Clauses Act, 707.
 - where none fixed, 707.
- possession by mortgagee gives immediate right to redeem, 708.
- who may bring, 692—706.
 - administrator, 698.
 - assignee of equity of redemption, 693.
 - bankrupt mortgagor, not, 695.
 - borough, English, heir in, 698.
 - cestui que trust*, 699.
 - committee of lunatic, 697.
 - co-mortgagors, one of several, 693.
 - conditional sale, vendor after condition broken, not entitled to bring, 692.
 - contractor for purchase, 694.
 - creditors in bankruptcy, 696.
 - creditors' suit, plaintiff in, 696.
 - Crown, 696.
 - curtesy, tenant by, 698.
 - customary heir, 698.
 - devisees of equity of redemption, 698.
 - dowress, 698.
 - equity of redemption, all persons interested in, 693.
 - executor, 698.
 - gavelkind, heir in, 698.
 - guardian of infant, 697.
 - heir of mortgagor, 698.
 - jointress, 699.
 - judgment creditor, 695.
 - leaseholds, renewable, mortgagor of, 164, 693.
 - legatee, 699.
 - lessee, 679, 694.
 - life estate, mortgagee of, 699.
 - lord of manor, 696.
 - puisne mortgagee, 694.
 - remainderman, 699, 735.
 - surety, 696.
 - tenant for life, 699, 735.
 - years, 694.
 - title to redeem must be shown, 692.
 - trustee in bankruptcy, 695.
 - volunteer, 694.
 - wife, creditor of husband, 697.
 - surety for husband, 697.

REDEMPTION, ACTION FOR—*continued.*

- who should be parties, 720—724.
 - administrator as representing estate, 721.
 - of felon mortgagee, 722.
 - assignees *pendente lite*, whether, 724.
 - bankruptcy trustee in action by creditor, 722.
 - executors as representing estate, 721.
 - mortgaging realty for payment of debts, whether, 723.
 - of mortgagor in action by heir, 723.
 - heir of mortgagor on redemption by executor, 723.
 - mortgagee not now generally, 720.
 - legatee, 722.
 - mortgage debt, persons interested only in, not, 721, 722.
 - mortgagee and successors in title, 720.
 - prior, in action to redeem subsequent mortgage, not, 720.
 - subsequent, 721, 722, 724.
 - mortgagor or his heir to action by subsequent mortgagee, 723, 724.
 - partial interests, persons having, 723.
 - sub-mortgagee, 720, 721.
 - tenants in common of equity of redemption, 721.
 - transferee of mortgage, 720.
 - trustees as representing *cestui que trust*, 721.
 - where equity vested in several persons, 722.
 - several mortgages consolidated, 722.

REDEMPTION, EQUITY OF,

- actions, right of mortgagor to bring or defend, 629—632.
 - assignees *pendente lite*, whether necessary parties to, 631, 632.
 - continuance of action after assignment, 632.
 - notice of *lis pendens*, 631, 632.
 - revivor against, without prejudice, 632.
 - where accounts only are in dispute, 632.
 - legal estate in assignee, 631.
- agreement for purchase of, abandonment of, 16.
 - contemporaneous, void, 15.
 - fraud, effect of, 17.
 - price, inadequate, not ground for setting aside, 16, 17.
 - subsequent, valid, 16.
- annuity, grant of re-purchaseable *prima facie* subject to, 32.
- assignee of, consolidation against, 859, 862, 863.
- bankruptcy, disclaimer of onerous property in, 650. *See* BANKRUPTCY.
- benefice, right of mortgagor to nominate to, 628.
- building societies, term of redemption in mortgage to, 550—566.
And see BENEFIT BUILDING SOCIETIES.
- conditions restrictive of, void, 12, 14—19.
- champerty, assignment of mortgagor's rights is not, 633.
- contingent remainders in, not destroyed, 637.
- courts of manor, mortgagor's right to hold, 628.

REDEMPTION, EQUITY OF—continued.

- covenant for payment, absence of, does not affect, 11, 12.
 - annuity deed held mortgage by reason of, 32.
- curtesy attaches to, 645.
- debts, liability of, to mortgagor's, 647—653.
 - administration of the estate of deceased mortgagor, 651.
 - assets, legal or equitable, whether, 651—653. *And see ASSETS.*
 - assistance, writ of, 649.
 - bankruptcy of mortgagor, 650.
 - execution, chattels mortgaged, cannot be taken in, 649.
 - Crown may levy, 648.
 - equitable, of, 649.
 - judgments, when enforceable by, 647, 648.
 - trusts, beneficial interests under, extendible, 651.
 - receiver, appointment of, 649.
 - sale of property at instance of judgment creditor, 650.
 - sequestration, nature and effect of, 649.
- defeasible purchase not subject to, 19.
- devise of, 646.
- devolution of, in copyholds, 646, 647.
 - in freeholds, 646.
 - in personalty, 647.
- different owners of, necessary parties to foreclosure action, 1010.
- director's qualification not lost by mortgage of shares, 628.
- entail of, 636, 637.
 - bar of entail, 637.
 - contingent remainders supported by outstanding legal estate, 637.
- escheat and forfeiture of, 642—645. *See ESCHEAT.*
- essential to mortgage, 11.
- estate in land till foreclosure, mortgagor retains, 627, 628.
- evidence admissible to show who is entitled to, 25.
- exchange, of, mortgagee's consent whether necessary to, 736.
- leases of, 685 *et seq.* *See LEASE.*
- Limitations, Statutes of, in bar of, 740—752. *See LIMITATIONS, STATUTES OF.*
- mortgage of,
 - concealment of prior incumbrances, 45—47.
 - consolidation by legal mortgagee, liability to, 45.
 - copyholds, 153, 154.
 - dower, liability to let in, 44.
 - foreclosure, liability to, by prior mortgagee, 44.
 - legal estate, action to compel prior mortgagee paid off to convey, 43.
 - gives priority over, 43.
 - trustee of, first mortgagee is, 43.
 - legal remedies for enforcing charge not incident to, 44.
 - tacking, liability to be ousted by, 44, 1219—1236. *See TACKING.*
 - title deeds, right to, not incident to, 45.

REDEMPTION, EQUITY OF—*continued.*

- new uses, limitation to, of, 699—706.
 - appointment, mortgage under power of, 701.
 - change of ownership, presumption against, 699, 700.
 - estate tail, bar of, 705, 706.
 - express limitation, effect of, 700.
 - husband and wife, mortgages by, 702—705.
 - inconsistency between different parts of deed, 702.
 - instructions, variation contrary to, 701.
 - several mortgages with different limitations, 701.
- of bankruptcy trustee at estimated value of security, 1088.
- parliamentary election, right of mortgagor to vote at, 628.
- partition of, 635, 636.
 - costs, one set only allowed, 636.
 - co-tenant, mortgage of share to, effect of, 635.
 - priority of, over mortgagee of share, 635.
 - power of sale overrides, 635.
 - sale in lieu of, when mortgagee may require, 635.
 - dissentient co-tenant must redeem, 636.
- poor law settlement, mortgagor entitled to, 628, 629.
- purchase of, in fee, by mortgagee of term, 17.
- purchaser of, party to foreclosure action, 1009, 1010.
- release of, to mortgagee, 16, 17.
 - agreement for, contemporaneous with mortgage, void, 15—17.
 - subsequent to mortgage valid, 16, 17.
 - abandonment of, 16.
 - consideration, inadequacy of, immaterial, 17.
 - executor of mortgagee purchasing, 17.
 - fraud, effect of, 17.
- copyholds, 153.
- rents, mortgagor in possession entitled to, 667.
- restrictions on, not allowed, 12, 14—19.
 - agreements for purchase by mortgagee, 16, 17.
 - family arrangement, exception in cases of, 18, 19.
 - lease by mortgagor to mortgagee, 17.
 - to particular class, void, 12.
 - within limited time, void, 12.
- release of, to mortgagee, 16, 17, 633.
- sale of by Court, free from incumbrances by Court, 633—635.
 - application by summons, 634.
 - discretionary jurisdiction of Court, 634.
 - form of order, 634, 635.
 - “incumbrance,” what is, 634.
 - order for, may be obtained by purchaser of, 1036.
 - purchase by mortgagee, valid, 633.
- sale of, by mortgagor, 633.
- settlement of, 636—642. *See* TENANT FOR LIFE; TENANT IN TAIL.
- surety discharged by alteration in period of redemption, 84.

REDEMPTION, EQUITY OF—*continued.*

- time allowed for redemption in administration action, 1107.
- in foreclosure action, 1027—1034.
- See FORECLOSURE.*
- in redemption action, 734.

REDEMPTION OF PLEDGED GOODS,

- generally, 1459, 1460, 1471.
- under Pawnbrokers Act, 1872...1474, 1475.

REGISTRATION,

- consolidation, right of, how affected by, 857.
- of annuity, 35.
- of bill of sale, 243 *et seq.* *See BILL OF SALE.*
 - action for damages for wrongful, 203.
- of companies' securities, 499—501. *And see DEBENTURES.*
 - omission to register does not affect priorities, 501.
- of decree absolute for foreclosure unnecessary, 1044.
- of judgments, generally, 1350—1354.
 - in Ireland, not generally registered, 1357.
 - in Middlesex, 1356, 1357.
 - in Yorkshire, 1356.
- of mortgage of cargo, unnecessary, 273.
 - of freight, unnecessary, 271.
 - of ship, 259—261.
- priority of deeds, &c. by date of, 1246—1252.
 - appointment under power, 1246.
 - assignment of lease, 1246.
 - Bedford Level Act, 1241.
 - clerical error in memorial, 1247.
 - copyholds, assurances of, do not require, 1243.
 - floating security on chattels does not require, 496.
 - forged mortgage, unregistered will prevail over, 1251.
 - forgery, registration avoided by, 1251.
 - further advance without notice, 1247.
 - Indian Registration Acts, 1242.
 - informal registration, no priority by, 1246.
 - Irish Registry,
 - attestation of memorial, 1243.
 - deposit of deeds, mortgage by, 1243.
 - fraud, effect of, 1252.
 - legal estate does not give priority, 1252.
 - notice of prior unregistered deed, 1251.
 - notice, registration is not, 1249—1252.
 - priority by registration in, 1241, 1249, 1251, 1252.
 - Registration of Title Act, 1891, registration under, 1242.
 - tacking not permitted, 1250, 1252.
 - wills affecting lands, 1245.

REGISTRATION—*continued.*

priority of deeds, &c. by date of—*continued.*

Land Transfer Act, 1875...1242.

exemption from local registration of deeds registered under, 1242.

priority *inter se* of charges registered under, 1242.

purchaser from first mortgagee entitled to registration under, 41.

lease of copyholds, 1243.

lease under twenty-one years, mortgage of, 1243.

leaseholds, bequest of, assent of executors to, requires, 1246.

London, City of, whether assurances of land within require, 1243.

Middlesex Registry,

attestation of memorial, 1242.

deposit of deeds, mortgage by, 1243.

fraud, deed set aside for, 1251.

Inns of Court, &c., exemption of assurances of chambers in, 1243.

Land Registry, transfer to, 1242.

legal estate, priority of deed conveying, 1247.

notice of unregistered deed after taking security, 1248.

binds in equity, 1247, 1248, 1251.

notice, registration is not, 1248, 1251.

priority of registered over unregistered assurances, 1240.

search of register, constructive notice by, 1249.

wills affecting lands, 1245.

mortgage, protection by registration of, 1246.

priority of registered over unregistered deeds, 1246.

same day, deeds registered on, 1241, 1246.

Yorkshire Registry,

"actual fraud" as affecting priority, meaning of, 1248.

agreement for mortgage, 1244.

attestation of memorial, 1243.

equitable charges, 1244.

legacy charged on land, assignment of, 1244.

legal estate, no protection by, 1249.

notice from, 1249.

notice of unregistered deed immaterial, 1247, 1249.

places of registration, 1242.

priority *inter se* of registered assurances, 1241.

statutory provisions as to priority, 1244, 1247.

wills affecting lands, 1245.

RELEASE,

by company of equities against debenture holders, 481.

of debt, parol, 1401.

specialty, 1401.

of equity of redemption to mortgagee allowable, when, 16, 17, 633.

pleadings should raise, 729, 730.

RELEASE—continued.

- of mortgage debt, discharge of security by, 1401—1405. *And see*
MORTGAGE DEBT.
- of right to renewal of lease by mortgagee, 165.
- of securities, collusive, 1295, 1296.
- omission to register, loss of priority by, 1297.
- promise not to enforce debt is not, 1402.
- stamp duty, 1540.
- surety discharged by, of co-surety, 87.
of principal debtor, 83—86.

REMAINDERMAN,

- accounts, how far binding on, 1141.
- apportionment of mortgage debt between tenant for life and, 530.
- consolidation cannot be enforced against, when, 864.
- party, not necessary, to foreclosure action, 1010.
- redemption of land tax by tenant for life, 385.
of mortgage, by, 699, 735.
- tenant for life compellable to keep down interest, 638—641.
- trustees investing must regard interests of, 517.

REMEDIES,

- all may be pursued together by mortgagee, 867 *et seq.*

REMUNERATION,

- stamp duty, 1540.

RENEWAL OF LEASEHOLDS,

- effect of, by mortgagee, 164, 165.
by mortgagor, 165.

RENT,

- apportionment of current, none, 677.
of furnished house, 688.
- arrears of, mortgagee not entitled to, 671, 677.
- attornment clause, receipt by mortgagee under, of, 807.
- covenant to pay, in bill of sale, 235.
statutory, implied in mortgage of leaseholds, 167.
- distress for, bill of sale no protection against, 222.
- lease, statutory, by mortgagor, must reserve best, 688.
- mortgagee in possession is entitled to, under leases, &c., 671, 1102,
1103.
- non-claim of, liability of mortgagee in possession for, 795.
- non-production of receipt for, seizure on, 220, 224.
- notice to pay, to mortgagee, effect of, 671.
- occupation, mortgagee in possession chargeable with, when, 1211.
- reservation of, by attornment clause, 666.
in leases of mortgaged lands, 674.
- sale by Court does not affect mortgagee's right to, after order, 1102,
1103.

RENT-CHARGE,

- arrears of, what recoverable, 171.
- mortgage of, 171.
- remedies for recovery of, 33, 34, 171.
- recovery of, by distress, 34.
- by entry, 34.
- receiver of, 931.
- registration of, 35.
- sale for arrears of, 413, 1017.
- stamp duty on security for, 1524.
- statutory remedies for, under Conveyancing Act, 1881...34, 35.
- term of years, limitation of, to secure, 33, 34.

RENTS AND PROFITS,

- account of, mortgagee not bound to render, 667.
- annuity charged on corpus or income, when, 410—413. *See* ANNUITY.
- debts, direction to raise money for payment of, out of, 414—416.
- husband's right to, of wife's lands, 316.
- dispensation with his concurrence does not affect, 320.
- mortgagee in possession accountable for, 801, 1201—1212. *See* ACCOUNTS.
- mortgagor not accountable for, 667.
- receiver's right to get in, 949 *et seq.* *See* RECEIVER.
- receipt of, after decree, 1201.
- trust to pay out of, foreclosure not incident to, 13.

REPAIRS,

- allowance for, to annuitant, 1207.
- to mortgagee, 1205—1207.
- to receiver, 954.
- covenant for, in bill of sale, 235, 236.
- interest on advances for, 1207.
- mortgage for, of infant's property, 354.
- of lunatic's property, 362.
- mortgagee in possession, how far liable for, 804.
- of ship, bill of exchange for, not a bottomry bond, 1501.
- bottomry bond for, 1509, 1510. *See* BOTTOMRY BOND.
- lien for, mortgagee bound by, 264.
- of shipwright, 1381.
- of tenant for life, 1378.
- of trustees, 1379.
- repairing leases by mortgagors under statutory powers, 690.

RE-PURCHASE,

- absolute conveyance subject to, 19—22. *See* ABSOLUTE CONVEYANCE.
- conditions of, must be strictly observed, 20.
- devolution of purchase-money in case of, 23.

REPUTED OWNERSHIP. *See* BANKRUPTCY.

RE-REGISTRATION,

- of bills of sale, 249, 250.
- of judgments, 1350, 1351.

RESPONDENTIA, 1513, 1514.**RESTRAINT ON ANTICIPATION**, 339—343. *See* **MARRIED WOMAN.****RESTS**, 1207—1212. *See* **ACCOUNTS.****REVERSIONARY INTERESTS,**

- acknowledgment by married woman of disposition of, 323, 324.
- avoidance of mortgages of, 612—618.
 - accounts between borrower and lender, 617.
 - actual advance, recoverable, 616.
 - assignee with notice of fraud, relief against, 616.
 - compound interest not given, 616.
 - costs, 616, 617.
 - expectancies, 613.
 - father, priority of, 614.
 - fraud must be shown, 613, 616.
 - independent advice, absence of, 613.
 - life interest subject to charges not a reversionary interest, 614.
 - Limitations, Statutes of, run from when, 618.
 - mature age of borrower is immaterial when, 612.
 - post obit securities, 613.
 - ratification of transaction, 617.
 - receiver, 617.
 - Sale of Reversions Act, 615.
 - undervalue, 613—615.
 - value of interest, how ascertained, 614, 615.
 - vested interests, 614.
- bonus on falling in of, agreement for, 1146, 1147.
- expectant on mortgage term, disadvantages of mortgage of, 47, 48.
- foreclosure of, 1000, 1002, 1003.
- husband's right to, of wife's, in term of years, 326.
- interest, arrears of, what recoverable, 991, 1168, 1171, 1172.
 - proviso for capitalization of, 134, 135.
- mortgages of, by expectant heirs, 612—618.
 - by married woman, in land, 316.
 - in personalty, 323—326.
- sale of, jurisdiction to order, 1016.
- trustees should not invest on security of, 522.
- valuation of, 614, 615.

REVIVOR,

- foreclosure decree not opened by, 1050.

REVOCATION,

- of equitable assignment of debt or fund, 1493.
- of power of attorney, 71, 305.
- of will, mortgage is, *pro tanto*, 646.

RULES OF SUPREME COURT. *See* TABLE OF RULES, pp. cxxii—ccxxiv.

SALE,

- abortive, costs of, mortgagee entitled to, 1099.
- power of sale not extinguished by, 906, 911.
- advowson not saleable by mortgagee during vacancy, 169.
- annuity, arrears of, raiseable by, whether, 413, 1017.
- by agent of mortgagee under power of attorney, 891, 892.
- by executor of assets, 399.
- of realty, 406—416.
- by factor, of goods, 1485.
- by mortgagee after tender of mortgage moneys, 719.
- of ship, free from contracts, 267.
- by mortgagor, of chattels in course of business, 670.
- rights of purchaser against mortgagee on, 188.
- of equity of redemption, 633.
- by trustees for debenture holders, whether compellable, 880, 1117.
- collateral securities, proceeding on, after, 868.
- injunction against, after offer to redeem, 905.
- of pledge, generally, 1470.
- under Factors Act, 1485.
- under Pawnbrokers Act, 1475.
- portions, raiseable by, when, 431, 433.
- power of. *See* POWER OF SALE.
- pre-emption, right of, reserved to mortgagee, 15, 16.
- stamp duty on conveyance subject to mortgage, 1528.
- under charging order, 1365.
- under order of Court in administration action, 1107.
- in bankruptcy, 1094—1104.
- in foreclosure and redemption actions, 738, 739, 1016, 1017, 1035—1041.
- See* SALE BY COURT.
- undue influence may turn absolute conveyance into mortgage, 22, 609.

SALE BY COURT,

- in administration action, 1107.
- in bankruptcy, 1094—1104.
- accounts, 1095.
- annuity, sale of property charged with, 1102.
- assignee of equity of redemption, no order against, 1096.
- Bankruptcy Rules, 1890 (73 to 77), set out, 1094, 1095.
- base fee enlarged on, 373, 374.
- biddings by mortgagee with leave of Court, 1100, 1101.
- composition, no jurisdiction to order sale in, 1097.
- conduct of sale, 1099.
- conveyance, 1095.
- costs, 1101, 1102.

SALE BY COURT—continued.**in bankruptcy—continued.**

delay in application for, 1097.

deposit of deeds, mortgagee by, may apply, 1097, 1098.

absence of memorandum, effect of, as to costs, 1101.

imperfect memorandum does not prevent order, 1098.

fraud, effect of, 1096.

fraudulent preference, refusal of order on ground of, 1097.

growing crops, right of mortgagee to, after order, 1103, 1104.

inquiries as to mortgages, &c., 1094, 1095, 1098.

leaseholds, covenant against assignment of, 1096.

parties, what, must be before Court, 1099.

partner-mortgagor, order against, 1097.

postponement of right to call in mortgage does not prevent,
136.

of right to call in money does not prevent, 1096.

of sale on, application of trustee, 1099.

power of sale, mortgagee's, does not prevent, 1095.

privity of contract between bankrupt and mortgagee necessary,
1096.

proceeds of sale, application of, 1095.

mortgagee entitled to costs out of, 1101.

puisse mortgagee, whether entitled to, 1099.

rents, right of mortgagee to, after order, 1102, 1103.

reserve price, 1100.

sub-mortgagee may obtain order for, 1096.

title of mortgagee, dispute as to, 1097.

in foreclosure and redemption actions,

advowson, inherent jurisdiction to order sale of, 1016.

not during vacancy, 169.

annuity, arrears of, recoverable by, 1017.

biddings, 1039.

chattels, sale of, 1017.

colonies, sale of land in, 1016.

conduct of sale, 738, 1038.

costs of action, mortgagee entitled to, out of proceeds, 1040.

debentures, 1120.

deceased mortgagor, sale of estate of, 1037.

deposit in Court to meet expenses, 1038.

discretion as to making orders for sale, 1036.

equitable mortgagee may obtain order, 1018.

first mortgagee, paramount right of, to payment out of proceeds,
1040.

incumbrances, power to order sale free from, 1038.

subsequent, payment of, out of proceeds, 1040.

infant, order for immediate sale of property of, 1035, 1052—1055.

And see FORECLOSURE.

investments after payment of mortgage debt belong to mort-
gagor, 1041.

at whose risk, 1041.

SALE BY COURT—*continued.*

in foreclosure and redemption actions—*continued.*

Ireland, sale of lands in, 1016.

jurisdiction to order, inherent, 1016.

statutory, 1017.

liability of mortgagees, 1039.

Locke King's Act, sale in cases not within, 1017.

notice of application, 1037.

policy of insurance, order for sale of, 1017, 1037.

power of sale, mortgagee's, does not prevent, 1018.

proceeds of sale, application of, 1040.

deficiency in, effect of, 1040, 1041.

payment into Court of, 1039.

public undertaking not liable to, 1037.

puisne incumbrancer may obtain order, 1036.

purchaser of equity of redemption may obtain order, 1036.

reversion, inherent jurisdiction to order sale of, 1016.

on mortgaged term included in sale, 1040.

sale after foreclosure decree *nisi*, 1035.

before trial, 1035, 1036.

immediate, order for, when made, 1035.

of part of property, and foreclosure of part, 1037.

out of Court, 1036, 1039.

stay of proceedings for personal payment, order is not, 1038.

stock, order for sale of, 1017, 1037.

surplus proceeds, payment of, to mortgagor, 1040.

trustee mortgagee not allowed to bid, 1039.

SALVAGE,

lien for, has priority over bottomry bond, 1511.

over mortgage of ship, 1393, 1394.

SARK, ISLE OF,

is a home port, 1510.

SATISFACTION, MEMORANDUM OF,

of bill of sale, 253.

of copyholds, mortgage of entry on court-rolls of, 562, 566.

warrant of attorney, 76.

SATISFIED TERM,

legal estate got in by mortgagee acquiring, 1217.

SAVINGS,

of married woman during coverture, 330.

SCHOOL,

borrowing powers of guardians, &c., for providing, 451.

school boards, 455—457.

SCOTLAND,

Bills of Sale Acts do not apply to, 190.

heritable bonds, investment of trust funds on, 514.

SEARCH,

- for Crown debts, 1367.
- for judgments, 1354—1356.
- for land charges, 1369.
- for *lis pendens*, 1322.
- for orders, &c., affecting land, 1352.
- notice from, of court rolls of manor, 1321.
- of Middlesex registry, 1249.

SECOND MORTGAGE. *See* PUIANE INCUMBRANCE.**SECRET TRUST,**

- bill of lading with, 1499.
- chattels, mortgage of, with, 174.

SECRETARY OF COMPANY,

- notice to, binds company, 1271.

SECURED CREDITOR,

- proof by, in administration of assets, 1110—1113. *See also* ADMINISTRATION OF ASSETS.
- in bankruptcy, 1089—1094. *See* BANKRUPTCY.
- in winding-up of company, 1128—1132. *See* WINDING-UP.

SECURITIES FOR MONEY,

- mortgage passes by bequest of, 847.

SEPARATE USE,

- trusts for, of married woman, 328—331. *See* MARRIED WOMAN.

SEPARATION,

- by mutual consent, consent of husband to wife's disposition dispensed with after, 320.
- judicial, wife's power of disposition after, 320, 331.

SEQUESTRATION,

- mortgage of benefice after, to provide residence, 443.

SET OFF, RIGHT TO,

- of mortgagor, 1138, 1139. *And see* ACCOUNTS.
- claim in foreclosure action to, 1027.
- of purchaser, from mercantile agent, 1486.
- of surety, as to creditor's debt to principal, 94, 1138.
- surety's debt to principal, 99.
- of trustee, notice of charge does not affect, 1261.
- tender must be irrespective of any right of, 717.

SETTLED ACCOUNT,

- opening, 1141.

SETTLED LAND ACTS,

- application of mortgage moneys raised under, 397.
- assignment of statutory powers, void, 395.

SETTLED LAND ACTS—continued.

- capital moneys under, investment of, on mortgage, 516.
 - notice of charge on, effect of, 1264.
- contract for mortgage, power of tenant for life to make, 389.
- enfranchisement, power to raise money for, 388.
- exchange, power to raise money for equality of, 388.
- express powers, additional or larger may be given, 396.
 - saving of, 395.
- incumbrances, power to raise money for discharge of, 388.
 - transfer of, on land sold, 388.
- infant tenant for life or in fee, 392.
- injunction against improper exercise of powers, 395.
- "land," definition of, 390.
- legal estate, mortgage under, passes, 1215, 1216.
- limited owners having like powers with tenants for life, 390, 391.
- lunatic tenant for life, 393.
 - committee of, cannot mortgage without leave of Court, 394.
- married woman tenant for life, 392.
 - acknowledgment by, whether necessary, 393.
 - anticipation, restraint on, 393.
- mortgage deed, effect of, 397.
- notice to trustees, 397.
- partition, power to raise money for equality of, 388.
- powers of tenants for life to mortgage under, 388, 389.
- restriction on exercise of powers, void, 395.
- "settlement," definition of, 389.
- "tenant for life," definition of, 390.
- trust for sale, mortgage of lands settled on, 394.
- trustee for parties interested, tenant for life is, 395.

SETTLEMENT,

- Bills of Sale Acts do not apply to, 202.
- conditional, treated as mortgage, 22.
- constructive notice of contents of, 1312, 1313.
- covenant to pay to trustees of, creates specialty debt, 9.
- fraudulent under 13 Eliz. c. 5...573, 574.
- Malins' Act does not apply to, 324—326.
- marriage, on, excepted from Bills of Sale Acts, 202.
- marshalling between mortgaged and unmortgaged estates in, 781.
- of equity of redemption, 636—642. *See* TENANT FOR LIFE; TENANT IN TAIL.
- post-nuptial, within Bills of Sale Acts, whether, 202.
- voluntary, cancellation of, mortgagee cannot require, 605.
 - in fraud of creditors, 573, 574.

SHARE,

- in partnership, mortgage of, 507, 508.
- of profits, mortgage in consideration of, 505—507.
- undivided, in land or fund, investment of trust funds on mortgage of, 522.

SHARES IN COMPANY,

- calls, unpaid, mortgagee liable for, whether, 276, 277.
- certificates, deposit of, operates as pledge or mortgage, whether, 279—283.
 - accompanied by transfer, 281.
 - without transfer, 282, 283.
- charging order on, 1362, 1363.
- director, qualification of, not lost by mortgage of, 760.
- lien of company on, 1399, 1400, 1415.
- married women, dispositions by, of, 337, 338.
- mortgage of, how effected, 277—279.
- notice of charge on, to company, 277, 1269—1272. *See* NOTICES.
 - to trustees of settled, 1272.
- pledge of, 280.
- transfer of, by delivery of warrants, 278.
 - deed necessary to, when, 278, 279.
 - form of, 277—279.
 - in blank, 278, 279.
 - with defeasance, 277.

SHERIFF,

- possession by, reputed ownership, whether, 183.

SHIPS,

- bottomry bonds, 1500—1513. *See* BOTTOMRY BONDS.
- "British ship," meaning of, 255.
- capture of, effect of on mortgages, &c., 1512.
- cargo, mortgages of, 273, 274. *See* CARGO.
- delivery does not pass ownership of, 255.
- freight, mortgages of, 270—272. *See* FREIGHT.
- maritime lien, 1390—1399. *See* LIEN.
- mortgages of ships, 259—270.
 - "appurtenances," what passes to mortgagee by word, 260, 261, 273.
 - bankruptcy, mortgagee's, deposit of mortgage, whether affected by, 270.
 - mortgagor's, rights of mortgagee not affected by, 269, 270.
 - Bills of Sale Acts do not apply to, 201, 202, 261.
 - bottomry bond has priority over, 1510.
 - cargo, "appurtenances" does not pass, 260, 267, 273. *See* CARGO.
 - mortgage of ship not entitled to, 267.
 - certificate of mortgage, rules as to, 261.
 - of registry, 257.
 - pledge of, void, 257, 258.
 - charterparty made by mortgagor, mortgagee bound by, 264.
 - mortgagee not compellable to join in, 264, 266.
 - chronometer, word "appurtenances" passes, 260.
 - collateral agreements to, unregistered, 260.
 - contracts, notice of default to obtain benefit of, 264.
 - sale of, ship free from, 267.

SHIPS—*continued.*mortgages of ships—*continued.*

control of ship, mortgagee when entitled to, 263, 264, 267.

costs of sale, 268.

of taking possession, 266.

death, transmission of mortgagee's interest by, 268.

disabilities, provision in case of, 269.

discharge of, 268.

employment of ships by mortgagor, 263.

form, observance of, prescribed, necessary for registration, 260.

freight, mortgage of ship including, notice by certificate of registry, 272.

freight, mortgagee of ship when entitled to, 266, 267, 272.

mortgagor in possession entitled to, 263.

priorities as between mortgages of ship and, 272.

guardian of infant cannot mortgage ship for repairs, 269, 355.

infancy or other incapacity, provisions in case of, 269.

injury to ship, liability for, 265.

insurance, deposit of policy as security, effect of, 260.

insurer-mortgagee, rights of, 260.

jurisdiction of Admiralty Division as to, 263.

lien for repairs, mortgagee bound by, 264.

marriage, transmission of mortgagee's interest by, 268.

mistake as to entry of discharge, 268, 269.

mortgagee in possession, rights of, 264—268.

order and disposition in bankruptcy, 269, 270.

owner, mortgagor to be deemed, 263.

penalties, exemption of mortgagees from, 265.

power of sale, 263, 267.

priority of bottomry bond over, 1510.

purchase of ship by master, 1504.

receiver, mortgagee may obtain, when, 267, 932.

reconveyance, 268, 1408.

registration of, 259—261.

repairs, infant's guardian cannot mortgage ship for, 269.

liability of mortgagee in possession for, 266.

lien for, mortgagee bound by, 264.

sale by master, 1505.

sale to infant, 269.

share in ship, rights of mortgagee in possession of, 264, 265.

ship's husband also mortgagee of share, 265.

transfer of, 268.

transmission of mortgagee's interest, 268.

unfinished ship, 261, 270.

unregistered mortgage, how far binding, 260.

variance between, and register, 260.

what passes by, 259, 260.

SHIPS—*continued*.

- registration of British ship, 256, 257.
 - custody of certificates, 257.
 - entry of particulars, 256.
 - equities not excluded, 257.
 - notice of trusts not received, 256, 257.
 - pledge of certificates not allowed, 257.
- transfer of ship or shares, 258.

SIMPLE CONTRACT DEBT,

- specialty and, now rank *pari passu*, 1110.
- tacking, 1234.

SOLICITOR,

- accounts, opening, between, and client, 1144, 1145.
- attestation by, of bill of sale, 240.
 - of warrant of attorney, 72, 73.
- bankruptcy, conduct of sale in, 1099.
- books of deceased, received as evidence, 1023.
- concealment of incumbrance from mortgagee, 47, 1294.
- constructive notice to client through, 1325—1331. *See* **CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE**.
- dealings between, and clients jealously regarded, 611.
- deposit of deeds by, of debtor, fraudulent, 64.
 - with, of creditor, further advances covered by, 61.
 - immediate charge created by, 60.
 - of debtor, effect of, 60.
 - of mortgagee, fraudulent use of, 1295.
- fraud known to, whether notice to client, 1326, 1329.
- lien of, against mortgagee, 1384—1389. *See* **LIEN**.
 - against mortgagor, 1413, 1414. *See* **LIEN**.
- notice of action is notice of, 1324.
- production of deeds subject to, 1385.
- priority of, over floating security, 495.
- mortgage to,
 - absolute conveyance to solicitor, treated as, 22.
 - avoidance of, in what cases, 609—612.
 - client entitled to full information, 611.
 - costs, profit, chargeable, whether, 18, 610, 1194, 1195.
 - security for, 609, 610, 1145.
 - taxation of, after mortgage, 610, 1144.
 - gift to solicitor, security for, void, 612.
 - power of sale unduly strict, 611, 612.
 - redemption, postponement of, 611.
 - severance of relation, 612.
 - subject-matter of suit, security on, 610.
 - time, security set aside after lapse of, 611.
 - trustee in bankruptcy of client, obligation to, 612.
 - unusual provisions not allowed in, 611.
- mortgage to secure advance by client taken in name of his, 1296.

SOLICITOR—continued.

- notice to, how far binding on client, 1325—1331. *And see* CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE.
- of trustees of fund charged, effect of, 1263.
- payment of mortgage debt by mortgagor's, 745, 806.
- purchase of incumbrance at undervalue by, 824.
- receiver, Court will not appoint as, mortgagee's, 940.
- settled accounts, opened, when, 1144, 1145.
- taxation of costs after mortgage, 610, 1144.
- tender of mortgage moneys by, of mortgagor, 711.
- to, of mortgagee, 712, 713.
- trustee-mortgagees should not employ mortgagor's, 532, 533.
- leave choice of valuer to, 527.
- undue influence by, 609—611.
- warrant of attorney, attestation of, by, 72, 73.

SPECIALTY DEBT,

- agreement for security "with usual covenants" creates, 10.
- bond creates, 9.
- covenant for personal payment creates, 9.
- mortgage debt, when a, 9, 10.
- recitals do not create, 10.
- release of, must be by deed, 1401.

SPECIFIC LEGACY,

- assent of executor to, 312.
- sale of, by executor, 400.

SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE,

- action by mortgagor for, of agreement to take lease, 676.
- mortgagee not necessary party to, 630.
- of agreement for loan, whether enforceable, 8, 48, 49.
- mortgaged, 870.
- of agreement to issue debentures, 489.
- of agreement to take debentures, power, 490.

SPECULATIVE SECURITIES,

- building societies may lend on, 546.
- trustees should avoid, 518, 519.

STAKEHOLDER,

- deposit of money with, 622.
- deposit of securities with, as cover for gambling in stocks, &c., 622, 623.

STAMPS,

- additional security, 1519, 1540.
- adhesive stamps, annual duties denoted by, 1523.
- ad valorem* duties, table of, 1536—1540.
- duty, calculation of, 1517.
- annuity, 1536.
- assignment of policy of life assurance, 1534.
- or assignation, 1536.

STAMPS—continued.

- attornment clause, 1521.
- bankruptcy, exemption from duty in, 1530.
- benefit building societies, securities of, 1529, 1530.
- bill of sale, 1532, 1536.
- bond, 1521, 1536, 1537.
- cognovit, 1521.
- collateral security, 1521, 1539.
- colonial securities, 1523.
- commission, no stamp for, 1515.
- companies' bonds, indorsement of, 1526.
- copyhold, mortgages of, 1519, 1537.
- costs of proceedings to recover debt, none for, 1516.
- counterpart, 1535, 1538.
- covenant, 1537.
- creditors' trust deed, 1520.
- Crown deeds, 1531.
- debentures, 478, 1525.
- debt, conveyance in consideration of, 1528.
- deed of any kind, 1538.
- deed valid without stamp, 1531.
- defeazance, 1520.
- "definite and certain sum," 1515.
- deposit of title deeds, memorandum accompanying, 1521, 1536.
 - under Land Transfer Act, 1862...1522.
- different persons for different sums, 1535.
- distinct matters, instruments containing, 1526, 1534.
- "duly stamped," meaning of, 1532.
- duplicates, 1535.
- eik to a reversion, 1538, 1539.
- equitable assignments of debts, 1524.
- equitable mortgage, agreement chargeable, 1521, 1522.
 - receipt indorsed on, 1527.
- equity of redemption, relimiting, 1530.
- foreclosure order, conveyance to equitable mortgagee under, 1529.
- foreign security, 1523, 1524.
- friendly societies, securities of, 1529, 1530.
- further advance, security for, 1516.
 - charge, deed of, 1519, 1526.
 - security, 1538, 1539.
- interest, capitalization of, 1516.
 - none for, generally, 1516.
- legal mortgage pursuant to agreement, 1522.
- limitation of amount advanced, 1517.
- "marketable security," 1517, 1522, 1523, 1538, 1539.
- memorandum of facts, endorsed on mortgage, 1535.
- "mortgage," meaning of, 1515, 1518.
- mortgage, &c., 1539.
- mortgage containing other matters, 1529, 1534.

STAMPS—*continue d.*

new covenants, &c., 1525.
 objection to title, unstamped deed is an, 1533.
 order for payment out of fund, 1525.
 penalty on unstamped instruments, 1532.
 payment of, Court will not inquire as to, 1533,
 unless time fixed by statute, 1533.
 pledge, memorandum of, 1521.
 policy of life assurance, assignment of, 1518, 1534.
 premium of insurance, none for, 1516.
 progressive duty, abolished, 1540.
 "property," what is, 1518.
 rates and taxes in respect of mortgaged property, 1516.
 receipt for payment, 1540.
 rent, 1530.
 reconveyance, 1527, 1540.
 release, 1540.
 renewal of lease, expenses of, 1516.
 rent-charge, security for payment of, 1524.
 renunciation, 1540.
 sale subject to a mortgage, conveyance on, 1528.
 settlement of equity of redemption, 1528.
 ships, 1530.
 Stamp Act, 1891...1515 *et seq.*
 steward of manor, duty of, 1519.
 stock, securities on, 1522, 1524, 1540.
 sums which mortgagee entitled to without stipulation, 1515, 1516.
 tack in security, 1540.
 transfer of mortgage, 1525—1528.
 trust, conveyance on, for sale, &c., 1520.
 declaration of, 1520.
 trustees, transfer on appointment of new, 1527.
 unlimited sum, security for, 1516, 1517.
 vestry book, resolution in, as a charge, 1522.
 wadset, 1519, 1539, 1540.
 warrant of attorney, 1540.

STANNARIES COURT,

jurisdiction of, abolished, 1121.

STATUTORY FORMS,

mortgage, 146, 147.
 transfer of mortgage, 828, 829.

STAY OF PROCEEDINGS,

administration action, County Court cannot order, in, 1105.
 bankruptcy, jurisdiction in, to order, 1075, 1076.
 order for sale is not, for personal payment, 1038.
 under general jurisdiction, 874, 878.
 under 7 Geo. II. c. 20...873—878. *See* MORTGAGOR.
 winding up of company, jurisdiction in, to order, 1125, 1127.

STOCK,

- amount of, transferred, misdescription of, 276.
- gambling in, deposit of securities as cover for, 622, 623.
- married women, dispositions by, of, 337, 338.
- mortgage of, 275, 276.
 - to secure replacement of, 36, 37, 1090. *See* STOCK MORTGAGE.
- sale of, by mortgagees under power, 276.
 - order in foreclosure action for, 1017, 1037.
- stamp duty on securities on, 1522, 1524, 1540.
- surety discharged by principal selling mortgaged, 87.
- transfer of, order in foreclosure action for, 1045.
- trustees must replace, improperly sold, 512.
- vesting orders in respect of, 1425, 1426.

STOCK EXCHANGE,

- custom of, as to debentures to bearer, 484.
- stock-jobbing transactions, 624.

STOCK MORTGAGE,

- proof in bankruptcy by secured creditor under, 1090.
- tender of cash in discharge of, whether sufficient, 712.
- trustees may not lend on, 36.

STOP ORDERS,

- account, to what, fund should be carried, 1281.
- application for, by petition or summons, 1277.
 - evidence in support of, 1277.
 - service of, 1276, 1277.
- bankruptcy, trustee in, efficacy of order against, 1280.
- capital or income, order affecting, 1280.
- charging order not necessary to obtaining, 1276.
 - stop order in aid of, 1361, 1362.
- costs, 1280.
- Court, fund in, no order unless, 1276.
 - notice to trustees, inoperative, when, 1279.
 - payment of fund into, notice to trustees before, 1279.
- equitable execution, priority of, without orders, 1282.
- further advance without fresh order, 1280.
- interests affected, order should specify, 1279.
- lien on fund, person holding, should obtain, 1281.
- lunacy, orders in akin to, 1276.
- mortgagees not parties to action may obtain, 1276.
- notice of prior incumbrance, effect of, 1281, 1299.
- priority given by, extent of, 1278.
- title to fund not affected by, 1277, 1278.
- trust, breach of, effect of order when assignor guilty of, 1281.
- trustee-mortgagees liable for omitting to obtain, 1281.

STOPPAGE IN TRANSITU, 1483, 1484.

SUB-MORTGAGE,

- administration action, proof by sub-mortgagee in, 831.
- avoidance of original mortgage, effect of, 831.
- bequest for payment of, 850.
- foreclosure action by sub-mortgagee, who must be parties, 1007, 1008.
- right of sub-mortgagee to, 999.
- form of, 830.
- of policy, notice to be given on, 1269.
- party to redemption action, sub-mortgagee necessary, when, 720, 721.
- power of sale, whether passes by, 830, 831, 887.
- proof by, in administration action, 831, 1111.
- protection against obligation to realize, 830.
- redemption decree, sub-mortgagee bound by, 1030, 1031.
- remedies of mortgagee exercisable notwithstanding, 869.
- reputed ownership, 831.
- right of mortgagee to make, 830.
- sale at instance of sub-mortgagee in bankruptcy, 1096.
- surety, mortgagee sub-mortgaging resembles, 831.

SUB-PLEDGE,

- pledgor bound by, 1468.

SUBROGATION,

- directors of company entitled to benefit of, when, 439.
- merger of mortgage prevented by, 1444, 1445.

SUBSTITUTION,

- of forged securities, release of mortgage in consideration of, 1297.
- of trade machinery, &c., 216.

SUCCESSION DUTY,

- mortgage to raise, 435, 436.
- on portions, 435.
- not payable on death of trustee-mortgagee, 537.
- tenant for life, payment of, by, 712.

SUCCESSIVE REDEMPTIONS, 735, 1028—1032. See FORECLOSURE.**SURCHARGE, 1142—1145. See ACCOUNTS.****SURETY,**

- accounts of principal, not bound by, 90.
- consolidation against, 862.
- contract of suretyship, 78—82.
 - action or guaranty unless in writing, none, 79, 80.
 - avoidance of, *ab initio*, 80—82.
 - concealment of material facts, 81.
 - consideration between principal and surety not necessary, 95.
 - for guaranty must be stated or proved, 80.
 - evidence, parol, to establish, 79.
 - to establish or explain separate guaranty, 80.
 - to show consideration for, 80.

SURETY—continued.**contract of suretyship—continued.**

- fraud, creditor when bound to inquire into, 82.
- good faith between parties essential to, 80, 81.
- misrepresentation of facts fatal to, 81.
- policies of life assurance, fullest disclosure required as to, 82.
- rights and liabilities of parties strictly regulated by, 88.
- subsequent agreement may render co-debtor merely a, 79.

deposit of deeds as indemnity by, 57.**discharge of, 82—88.**

- acquiescence of creditor in wrongful acts, 87.
- additional security for debt, 84.
- alteration of contract without surety's consent, 82.
 - of period of redemption, 84.
- bill of sale, neglect of creditor to register, 86.
- collateral security, neglect of creditor to realize, 86.
- distress for rent by mortgagee-landlord, 87.
- fraudulent preference, payment by principal set aside for, 83.
- judgment, assignment by principal of, as collateral security, 86.
- loss of benefit of security, 86.
- neglect of mortgagee to perfect or protect security, 86.
- notice to trustees of fund, neglect of creditor to give, 86.
- payment of debt by principal, 83.
- release of co-surety, absolute, discharges all, 87.
 - of principal, absolute, 83.
 - covenant not to sue principal does not amount to, 85.
 - from liability under covenant, 84.
 - novation of debt, 83.
 - reservation of rights against surety on, 85, 86.

sale of mortgaged stock by principal, 87.**satisfaction of debt, no reservation of rights against surety after, 86.****time, agreement to give, to principal debtor, 83, 84.**

- arrears as well as future payments discharged by, 88.
- conditional, not sufficient, 84.
- consideration necessary to support, 83.
- for redemption, alteration in, 84.
- parol, sufficient, 83.
- property of surety included as security is discharged by, 88.

ultra vires*, no discharge because security is, 90.*waste by mortgagee, 86.****exoneration, rules as to, as applied to, 764—766.****liability of, 88—93.**

- action against surety, immediate right of, 88, 89.
- admissions, &c., of principal do not affect, 90.
- composition of debt, effect of, on securities given by surety, 91.
- death of surety, does not determine, 92.
- default of principal essential to, 90.

SURETY—*continued.*liability of—*continued.*

demand on principal debtor not necessary, 89.

on surety not necessary, 89, 90.

discharge of principal, whether terminates, 91.

enlargement of guarantee, none, by act of principal, 90.

interest "during continuance of security," covenant to pay, how construed, 88.

limit of, in amount distinguished from guarantee of part of debt, 93.

limitation of, by stipulation, 92.

notice of principal's default not necessary, 89, 90.

recitals, effect of, in limiting, 92.

securities, creditor need not first resort to, 89.

ultra vires, borrowing, does not discharge, 90.

writ specially indorsed, when surety may defend, 90.

notice to creditor that co-debtors have become principal and surety, 79.

party to foreclosure action, whether, 1008.

remedies against, not prejudiced by scheme of arrangement of company, 1135.

surrender by creditor of security in bankruptcy, 1082.

rights of, 93—105.

action against principal by creditor, right to compel, 93.

by surety, 93, 94.

amount actually paid by surety recoverable, 104, 825.

bar of, by Statute of Limitations, 105.

contribution from co-sureties, 100—102.

collateral securities, benefit of, 96, 97.

damages for principal's default, 94.

declaration of discharge, 93.

indemnity against loss, 95.

interest on amount paid by surety, 104.

insurable interest of, 288.

judgment against principal, right to sign, 94.

Limitations, Statute of, when runs against surety, 105.

marshalling against creditor having two funds, 94, 784.

trustee in principal's bankruptcy, 104.

not compellable by, 788.

proof in bankruptcy, 102—104.

contribution by bankrupt co-surety, 104.

partners, joint and separate estates of, 104.

principal and surety, both bankrupt, 103.

p^uisne incumbrancers, priority over, 98, 99.

recovery of amount actually paid from principal, 94.

redemption, 696.

request of principal must be proved, 95.

securities of creditor, benefit of, 96, 97.

transfer of, to surety, 95.

of surety, creditor not entitled to, 98.

SURETY—*continued.*rights of—*continued.*proof in bankruptcy—*continued.*

security, preservation of, 99.

set off of debt due from creditor to principal, 94.

surety to principal, 99.

voluntary payment of debt by surety, 94.

payments by agent of debtor, benefit of, 99.

secret agreement with, by compounding debtor, void as fraudulent preference, 592.

secured creditor in bankruptcy need not surrender securities given by, 1082.

tacking, rules as to, how far applicable to, 1235, 1236.

warrant of attorney binds, 77.

SURFACE,

sale of, by mortgagee, apart from minerals, 902.

SURPLUS LANDS,

mortgage of proceeds of, 466, 491.

SURRENDER,

of copyholds—

conditional, mortgage by, 148—150.

deed of covenants to accompany, 150—152.

defeazance, separate deed of, 148.

necessity for, before completion, 149.

right of mortgagee to compel, 152.

of leaseholds by mortgagor does not prejudice mortgagee, 165, 166.

of manor, mortgage of, charges lands passing to lord by, 168.

TACKING,

abolished by statute since repealed, 1220.

in Ireland, 1250, 1252.

in Yorkshire, 1221.

advance, further, must have been on security of the land, 561, 1223.

bankruptcy of mortgagor, effect of, 1227.

bond debt cannot be tacked, 1234.

consolidation and, distinction between, 855.

debts capable of, 1234.

decree to settle priorities prevents, 1223.

doctrine of, stated generally, 1219, 1220.

equitable mortgage by deposit, 1231.

first mortgagee may tack further advances, when, 1230—1234.

act of bankruptcy by mortgagor, effect of, 1232.

creditors, mortgagor cannot prejudice his own, by collusive tacking, 1232, 1233.

judgment creditor can tack subsequent security, whether, 1233, 1234.

legal estate essential to right, 1231.

lis pendens prevents, 1232.

TACKING—continued.

- first mortgagee may tack further advances, when—*continued*.
 - notice at time of further advance, effect of, 1231.
 - same right, mortgagee must claim both mortgages in, 1232.
- judgment, creditor cannot tack, to first mortgage, 1228—1230.
 - first mortgagee may tack further advance to, 1230 *et seq.*
 - prior, getting in, 1224.
 - puisne* incumbrance can be tacked to, whether, 1225.
- legal estate, outstanding, prevents, 1234, 1235.
- Middlesex, registration in, does not prevent, 1221.
- notice at time of further advance prevents, 1224, 1231.
- parol agreement for, void, 49.
- pendente lite*, further advances made, cannot be tacked, 1232.
 - puisne* incumbrancer may get in legal estate, 1221.
- personalty, application of doctrine to, 1220.
- same right, mortgages must be held in, 1232.
- simple contract debts, 1234.
- subsequent incumbrancer getting in legal estate, 1221—1228.
 - bankruptcy of mortgagor does not affect right, 1227.
 - judgment, getting in prior, 1225, 1226.
 - not after decree to settle priorities, 1223.
 - notice of *mesne* incumbrances immaterial, 1222.
 - part of land, getting in legal estate of, 1224.
 - pendente lite*, 1221, 1222.
 - security of the land, advance must have been made, 1223.
- sureties, how far subject to rules as to, 1235, 1236.

TACK IN SECURITY,

- stamp duty on, 1540.

TAXATION OF COSTS,

- after payment, 610, 1144.
- subsequent action, no review in, of, 1175, 1176.

TAXES,

- receiver's duty to pay, 924, 943.
- receipt for, seizure of chattels on non-production of, 220, 224.

TENANCY,

- assignment, parol, of, 672.
- confirmation of, by mortgagee, 679.
- distress by mortgagee, 679, 680.
- emblements, tenant not entitled to, 677.
- eviction by mortgagee, remedy of tenant, on, 678, 679.
- lease by mortgagor, turned into from year to year, 679, 680.
- notice to quit, 676.
- redemption by tenant, 694.
- refusal or removal of tenant by mortgagee, 805.
- rents, arrears of, mortgagee not entitled to, 677.
 - current, not apportioned, 677, 678.
- warehousing, mortgagee not entitled to, 678.

TENANT AT SUFFERANCE,

mortgagor, when, 662, 663.

TENANT AT WILL,

mortgagor, when, 657—662. *See* MORTGAGOR.

TENANT BY CURTESY,

equity of redemption is subject to, 645.

interest must be kept down by tenant, 641.

redemption, right of, 698.

Settled Land Acts, powers of, exerciseable by tenant, 390.

TENANT FOR LIFE,

annuity charged on corpus, whether, as between remainderman and, 411.

apportionment between, and remainderman, of mortgage debt, 530, 548.

consent to sale, power to not lost by alienation of life interest, 381.

custody of title deeds, right to, of equitable, 812.

of legal, 811.

of married woman, 811.

deposit of deeds by, effect of, 63, 64.

fraudulent appropriation of trust moneys by, lien for, 1381, 1382.

Improvement of Land Act, 1234.

improvements, lien for, of, 1378.

Inclosure Acts, charge or fee under, for advance by, 384.

incumbrances paid off by a charge on inheritance, 1433, 1434, 1440.

interest, liability to keep down, of, 637—641.

action by remainderman to enforce, 638.

annuity redeemable, charged on land, 638, 640.

answerable only as between him and remainderman, 637.

during possession, 638.

appointment, power of, does not exclude, 638.

assignee of, liable for, 640.

conversion, postponement of, effect of, 639.

husband and wife, 641.

in arrear, chargeable against executors of, former, 637.

insufficiency of rents, 638.

investment of trust moneys in his own name by, 1381, 1382.

laches of incumbrancer in enforcing payment, effect of, 637, 795.

onerous and beneficial property, gifts by same will of, 639, 640.

jointure in arrear, 638, 639.

land tax, redemption of, by, 385.

leases of mortgaged lands under statutory powers may be granted by, 688.

lien against for appropriation of trust moneys, 1381, 1382.

for expenditure, when allowed to, 1378.

married woman, equity to settlement, 326.

merger, presumption as to, in case of, 1433, 1434, 1440.

mortgage of fee by, under Copyhold Acts for enfranchisement, 385.

TENANT FOR LIFE—*continued*.

- mortgage of fee by, under Inclosure Acts, 383—385.
 - under Land Tax Redemption Act, 384, 385,
 - under powers in settlements, &c., 380.
 - under Settled Land Acts, 388—398. *See* SETTLED LAND ACTS.
- mortgage of life estate by, 381.
 - renewal of lease, liability as to, 165.
- mortgage of renewal fines by, 381.
- mortgagee purchasing life estate, 745, 746.
- paying off charge, rights of, against puisne incumbrancers of, 1434.
- power not lost by alienation of life estate, 381.
- powers of mortgaging, express, alienation does not destroy, 381.
 - concurrence of alienee necessary, whether, 381, 382.
 - implied from power to lease, 381.
 - statutory, for special purposes, 382—387.
 - application of money, mortgagee's liability to see to, 382.
 - under Settled Land Acts, 388—398. *See* SETTLED LAND ACTS.
- purchase of incumbrance at undervalue by, 824.
- redemption, right of, 699, 735.
- remedy of, in interest in arrear, 637.
- remainderman may redeem with contribution from, 735.
- repairs by, 1378.
- reversioner, remedy of, if interest in arrear, 637.
- Settled Land Acts, powers under, 388—398.
- title deeds, deposit of, by, 64.
 - right to custody of, 813.
- trustees must not favour, on investing, 517.

TENANT FOR TERM,

- mortgagor is, when, 655—657. *See* MORTGAGOR.

TENANT IN TAIL,

- bankrupt, defective assurance by, 375, 376.
- bar of estate tail in possession, 366—371.
 - “actual” tenant in tail, meaning of, 367.
- copyholds, entail in, how barred, 370—372, 637.
- declaration of trust, mere, not sufficient, 369.
- deed necessary to effectuate, 367.
- disposition, form of statutory, 368.
- equity of redemption, 636—642.
- entails not barrable, what, 367.
- leaseholds, 371.
- married woman, estate of, 320, 368.
- money entailed, 370.
 - payment out of Court of, 371.
- mortgage, dispositions by way of, 369.
- restrictions of right to bar entail void, 367.

TENANT IN TAIL—*continued*.

- bar of estate tail in remainder or reversion, 371—373.
- base fee created, when, 373.
 - enlargement of, 373—376.
 - by deed, 373.
 - by merger, 373.
 - by possession, 373.
 - by sale in bankruptcy, 373, 374.
 - trustee in bankruptcy, power of, 375.
- confirmation of defective assurance by subsequent deed, 372—374.
- covenants to perfect defective assurance by, 376—378.
 - breach of, actions for, 377, 378.
 - disentailing assurance, express covenant to execute, 377.
 - further assurance, effect of covenant for, 377.
 - issue not bound by, 376.
- equity of redemption entailable, 636.
- foreclosure action, necessary party to, 1006.
 - against, binds remainderman, 1010.
- inrolment of disentailing assurance, 378, 379.
 - bankrupt tenant in tail, 379.
 - consent of protector, 378.
 - copyholds, 378.
 - mortgagee should not part with money before, 379.
 - necessary to efficacy of deed, 378.
 - relation back of deed, 379.
- interest, keeping down by, not compellable, 641.
 - remainderman has benefit of, 641.
- merger, presumption of, where mortgage paid off by, 1432, 1433.
- mortgage by, effect of, 366.
- notice of entail puts on inquiry, 1313.
- of equity of redemption, whether party to foreclosure action, 1010.
- protector of settlement, appointment by settlor of, 372.
 - consent of, base fee created by disposition without, 373.
 - discretion to give or withhold not controlled, 372.
 - mode of giving, 372, 373.
 - necessary, when, 371.
- lunatic, 372.
- married woman, 371.
- purchaser without notice not affected by defective assurance by, 793, 794.
- recovery by, let in mortgage, 366.
- release by, after decree for amount, 1010.
- remainderman bound by foreclosure against, 1010.
- re-settlement in mortgage, 705, 706.

TENANTS IN COMMON,

- consolidation cannot be enforced against, when, 864.
- lien for expenditure in estate, none allowed to, 1377.
- notice of possession puts on inquiry, 1319.

TENDER,

- agent of mortgagee, to, 712, 713.
 - of mortgagor, by, 711.
- Bank of England notes, of, is legal, 716.
- bills of exchange afterwards dishonoured, 716.
- change, tendering larger sum and requiring, 717.
- cheque, whether sufficient, 716.
- committee of lunatic may make, 711.
- conditional, bad, 716, 719.
- costs, mortgagee liable for, if he proceeds after, 1179.
 - must be included in, 716.
- counterfeit coin, in, 716.
- day, tender on appointed, 713.
- dispute as to amount due, 718.
 - as to costs, 719.
- currency, in what, mortgagor must make, 715.
- executor before probate, to, 711.
- full amount must be tendered, 717.
 - under protest, 718.
- guardian of infant may make, 711.
- hour, tender at appointed, 713.
- interest, strict tender necessary to stop, 710.
- joint creditors, to one of several, 712.
 - debtors, by one of several, 711.
- jury, question whether tender conditional is for, 719.
- letter, by, whether sufficient, 715.
- notes of Bank of England sufficient, 716.
 - of private bank, whether sufficient, 716.
- open account, tender of balance of, not sufficient, 718.
- over-payment, recovery of, 718.
- partial tender, acceptance of, 719.
- place of, 714.
- pledge re-vested in pledgor by, 1460.
- production of money necessary, 716.
- ratification of tender to agent, 713.
- receipt, demand of, on, 717.
- refusal of mortgagee to accept, 718, 719.
- sale by mortgagee under power after, 719.
- set-off not allowed, 717.
- solicitor of mortgagee, to, 712, 713.
 - of mortgagor, by, 711.
- stock mortgage, tender of cash for, 712.
- stranger cannot make a valid, 711.
- stay of proceedings on payment, summons for, not equivalent to, 715.
- time for, 713, 714.
- title-deeds, mortgagor not entitled to re-delivery of, by, 811.
- trustees, to, 712.

TERM OF YEARS,

- enlargement of, 107.
- foreclosure by mortgagee for, with trust for sale of fee, 997, 1001.
- mortgage of freeholds for, 107, 108.
 - of lunatic for, 363.
- purchase of equity of redemption in fee by mortgagee for, 17.
- rentcharge, recovery of, by creation of, 33, 34.
- reversion on, included on sale by Court, 1040.
- satisfied, getting in legal estate in, 1238.
- tenant in tail, effect of mortgage by, for, 366.

TIMBER,

- injunction against mortgagor felling, 669, 670.
- right of mortgagee to cut, 804, 805.
 - proceeds of sale of, 805.

TIME,

- avoidance for fraudulent preference to be claimed within what, 587.
- foreclosure opened after long lapse of, 1047.
- redemption decreed within what, 1027—1034. *See* FORECLOSURE.
- surety discharged by giving, to principal, 83—88.
- tender must be at appointed, 713, 714.
- warrant of attorney takes effect from what, 72.

TITHES,

- arrears of, what recoverable, 171.
- charges, statutory, for commutation and redemption of, 1369, 1370.
- Limitation, Statutes of, as barring claims to, 170.
- merger of, 169.
- mortgage of, 169—171.
- title to, barred by time, 170.

TITLE,

- commencement of, from what time should be required, 1316, 1317.
- complete, what is, 1314.
- consolidation, where, to one estate is defective, 858.
- covenants for, express, 141.
 - statutory, of copyholds, whether implied, 151.
 - of freeholds, 141—143.
 - of leaseholds, 167.
- deeds, possession of, gives no interest in the land, 55, 56.
- dispute as to, of mortgagee, sale in bankruptcy when, 1097.
 - of person, paying off mortgage, 1440.
- mortgagee's, delay in completing, loss of priority by, 1297.
 - dispute as to, determination of, in bankruptcy, 1084.
 - mortgagor cannot deny, 654.
- mortgagor's, mortgagee cannot deny, 1409.
 - may deny, to redeem, 729.
- notice of change does not affect, of mortgagor, 1256.
 - does not complete, of mortgagee, 1253.
- of pledgee and mortgagee distinguished, 1459.

TITLE—continued.

- of pledgor affects validity of pledge, how far, 1462—1465.
- paramount, remedy of claimant by, against receiver, 948.
- possessory, base fee enlarged by, 373.
 - mortgagee with, may sell under power of sale, 887.
- root of, what is proper, 1317.
- stop order does not affect, 1277, 1278.
- trustee mortgagees, acceptance by, of short, 531.
 - dispensing with lessor's, 533.
 - investigation of mortgagor's, 525, 531—533. *See* TRUSTEES.
- unstamped deed is objection to, 1533.

TITLE DEEDS,

- absence of, as affecting mortgagee's priority, 1332—1347.
 - absence, mere, not notice, 1333.
 - allowing mortgagor to retain deeds for special purpose, 1341.
 - deposited deed, mortgagee cannot recover, 1341.
 - answers, false, to inquiries as to deeds, 1334.
 - equitable incumbrancer, rules as to, 1345, 1346.
 - false pretence, return of deeds to mortgagor under, 1347.
 - statement of mortgagor as to deeds, 1342.
 - fraudulent deposit by vendor, before completion, 1332.
 - parting with deeds by mortgagee, 1338, 1339.
 - retainer by mortgagor of some of deeds, 1343.
 - substitution by mortgagor of spurious deeds, 1343.
 - further advance, fresh inquiry should be made on, 1347.
 - imprudence, omission to obtain, through mere, 1341.
 - inquiry as to deeds, incomplete, 1336, 1337.
 - omission to make any, 1336.
 - where mortgages are simultaneous, 1339.
 - security is for pre-existing debt, 1337.
 - Ireland, omission of mortgagee to register charge in, 1345.
 - laches of mortgagee in requiring return of, when lent, 1346.
 - leaving deeds with persons entitled thereto, 1341, 1342.
 - notice, absence of deeds not of itself, 1333.
 - of possession of solicitor of mortgagor, 1333.
 - third party, 1332.
 - protection of legal estate lost by, 1339.
 - transaction may be such as to imply, 1333.
 - possession of co-partner, 1341.
 - co-trustee, 1341, 1342.
 - mortgagor, not accounted for, 1340.
 - mortgagee's solicitor, 1342.
 - owner of other property to which deeds relate, 1342.
 - proper object, mortgagee parting with deeds for, 1343, 1344.
 - return of deeds to mortgagor, 1340.
 - second mortgagee, priority of, whether enures to subsequent mortgagee, 1332.

TITLE DEEDS—*continued.*

- annuity, grantee of, whether entitled to, 809.
- charge, equitable, created by undertaking to hold, as security, 53, 65.
- constructive notice of all material, 1313.
- custody of, what owners of land entitled to, 811—814.
 - absolute owner in possession, 811.
 - where legal estate in trustee, 813.
 - discretion of Court as to, 812.
 - executor, as to leaseholds, 813.
 - husband and wife, 813, 814.
 - remainderman, 812.
 - several owners, 813.
 - tenant for life, equitable, 812.
 - legal, 811.
 - married woman, 811.
 - mortgagee of reversion not aided as against, 813.
- delivery of, mortgagee should require, 808.
 - on decree absolute for foreclosure, 1046, 1047.
 - to mortgagor on redemption, 1411—1414.
- deposit of, in Court by mortgagee consenting to sale, 815.
- grant of deeds, express, effect of, 809, 810.
- legal mortgagee entitled to, 809.
- loss of, mortgagee's liability for, 816—818.
 - compensation for, 817.
 - evidence, parol, as to contents of missing deeds, 816.
 - indemnity for, 817, 818.
 - inquiry as to missing deeds, 816.
 - limits of liability, 818.
 - remedies of mortgagee not affected by, 816.
- production of, liability of mortgagee to give acknowledgment as to, 815.
 - right of mortgagor to, 814—816.
 - remainderman to, 813.
- redelivery of, on redemption, mortgagor's right to, 1411—1414.
 - affidavit of documents, 1412.
 - distinct estates, several mortgages on, 1412.
 - further advance, retention of deeds as security for, 1412.
 - notice of charge, delivery after, 1412.
 - part of estate, reconveyance of, 1412.
 - purchaser on sale by Court, entitled to, 1412.
 - settlement of mortgage debt, 1411.
 - solicitor's lien, 1413, 1414. *See LIEN.*
- retainer of, by mortgagee, until payment, 871.
 - by mortgagor, 1341.
- tender not sufficient to entitle mortgagor to, 811.

TOLLS,

- mortgage of, 490—493.
 - arrear of interest recoverable under, 991.
 - ejectment, whether maintainable by mortgagee of, 491, 492.

TOLLS—*continued.*mortgage of—*continued.*

- interest in land does not pass by mortgage of, 491.
- land cannot be mortgaged under power to charge, 492.
- mortgagee in possession of, 492.
- parties to action by one of several mortgagees of, 492.
- to charity trustees, 539.

TOULMIN v. STEERE,

- rule in, considered, 1444.

TOWAGE,

- maritime lien for, 1394.

TRADE,

- bankrupt carrying on, with consent of trustee, 187.
- possession of goods by, in course of, 184.
- fixtures, within reputed ownership of bankrupt, whether, 179.
- machinery, included as "personal chattels" within Bills of Sale Acts, 205—207.
- articles excepted from definition, 207.
- vendor's lien attaches to, whether, 1373.
- within reputed ownership of bankrupt, whether, 181.
- name, injunction against user of, by assignee of mortgagor, 669.
- utensils, within reputed ownership of bankrupt, whether, 178.

TRANSFER OF MORTGAGE,

- accounts between mortgagee and transferee, mortgagor not bound by, 819, 820.
- arrears of interest, conversion of, into principal on, 819, 820.
- recoverable by transferee, 826.
- of rent do not pass by, 826.
- benefit of securities passes by, without mention, 826.
- building society, by, 549.
- concurrence of mortgagor not necessary, 819.
- consideration for, payment of, 822.
- copyholds, mortgage of, how transferred, 826, 827.
- costs of, by whom payable, 829, 830.
- debentures to bearer, 484, 485.
- deed of, form of, 825, 826.
- statutory, 828, 829.
- deeds, mortgage by deposit of, how transferred, 829.
- foreclosure by transferee, 998.
- fraud in original mortgage, 821.
- interest, capitalization of, not allowed on, 819, 1166.
- legal estate, protection of transferee getting in, 821.
- merger prevented by, to trustee for mortgagor, 1439.
- mortgage debt passes by, without express assignment, 826.
- mortgagee in possession, liabilities of, after, 803, 820.
- notice of, to mortgagor, 821.
- on appointment of new trustees, 535—537.

TRANSFER OF MORTGAGE—continued.

- partial, mode of effecting, 827.
- power of attorney to recover debt unnecessary, 825, 826.
 - of sale passes to transferee, whether, 827.
- proviso for redemption, new, effect of, 827.
- reconveyance, right of mortgagor to require transfer instead of, 1414—1417.
 - enforcement of right, 1416.
 - equitable mortgagor, 1415.
 - foreclosure action, assignment to stranger in, 1416.
 - mortgagee in possession, exception of, 1415, 1416.
 - puisse incumbrancers, consent of, whether necessary, 1416.
 - shares, lien of company on, 1400, 1415.
 - statutory provisions set out, 1415.
 - trustee mortgagor, 1415.
- redemption action after, original mortgagee necessary party to, whether, 820.
- right to compel, generally, none, 830.
 - in lieu of reconveyance, 1414, 1417.
- set-off of payments by mortgagor after, 820.
- stamp duty, 1525—1528.
- trustees, mortgage to, how transferred, 829.
 - purchase of mortgage by, 824, 825.
- undervalue, purchase of mortgage at, 822, 823.
- vesting declaration does not affect, 536, 537, 1218.
- void or voidable mortgage, 821.
- voluntary, not aided, though with covenant for further assurance, 827.

TRANSFER OF PLEDGE, 1468.**TRANSFER OF SHARES IN COMPANIES, 277—279. See SHARES IN COMPANY.****TRANSFER OF STOCK,**

- order in foreclosure action for, 1045.

TREASON,

- forfeiture for, abolished, 643, 644.

TRESPASS,

- action by mortgagee against, for, 794.
 - none against tenant after recognizing him, 681.

TROVER,

- action by mortgagee for, 794.
 - jurisdiction in bankruptcy to restrain, 1078.
- maintainable by mortgagee, when, 188.
 - by mortgagor, when, 188.

TRUE OWNER,

- bankruptcy, reputed ownership in, by consent of, 184—186.
- bill of sale must be given by, 215, 216.
- saving of rights of, under Factors Act, 1484.
- under Pawnbrokers Act, 1474.

TRUST DEED,

- to secure debentures, nature and effect of, 478—480. *See* DEBENTURES.
- remedies under, 486.
- proceedings by trustees, 1119, 1120.

TRUST FOR SALE,

- foreclosure, right of, not incident to, 13, 996, 997.
- judgment is, to, 1349.
- mortgage to building society by way of, 549.
- stamp duty on conveyance in, 1520.

TRUST FUND,

- mortgages of, cannot compel payment to himself of, 1409.

TRUSTEES,

- acknowledgment of debt by, 983.
- appointment of new, form of transfer on, 535—537.
- stamp duty on transfer on, 1527.
- cestui que trust* represented by, in foreclosure actions, 1006.
- in redemption actions, 721.
- calling in mortgages, duties as to, 529, 530.
- costs of application for sanction of mortgage, right to, of, 401.
- definition of "trustee" in Trustee Act, 1893...513.
- foreclosure by trustee-mortgagee, 996.
- insurable interest of, 288.
- lien for expenditure, when allowed to, 1379.
- married woman may dispose of property without intervention of, 336.
- merger prevented by transfer of mortgage to, for mortgagor, 1439.
- mortgages by,
 - covenant for payment unusual in, 428.
 - deposit of deeds by, for own debt, 64.
 - discretion of trustees not interfered with, 425.
 - for maintenance and portions, 429—437. *See* PORTIONS.
 - power of sale may be inserted in, whether, 428.
 - powers to mortgage, express, 424—428.
 - collusion of mortgagee with trustees avoids mortgage, 427.
 - conditional on deficiency of personalty, 425.
 - costs of mortgage may be raised under, 428.
 - discretion as to exercise of, not interfered with, 425.
 - estate not vested in trustees by, 426.
 - fee, appointment of, authorized by power to "charge," 426.
 - finer for admittance, removal on, raiseable under, whether, 427.
 - interest, though not mentioned, may be charged under, 427.
 - rent-charge, grant of, authorized by, 427.

TRUSTEES—continued.**mortgages by—continued.**

powers to mortgage, express—*continued.*

sale authorized by, whether, 426.

terms of, must be strictly observed, 428.

trust to raise money for debts, 425.

powers to mortgage implied from power to appoint fee, 426.

to carry on business, &c.,
426.

to "raise" money, 426.

to sell, 425.

to "wind up" affairs,
426.

powers to mortgage, statutory, 422, 423.

under Finance Act, 1894...423.

Lord Cranworth's Act, 422.

Settled Land Acts, 423.

Trustee Act, 1893...423.

Welsh mortgage, trustees may borrow on, 30.

mortgage investments by, 509.

accommodation loans not allowable, 522.

apportionment of income on change of, none, 512.

banking firm, loan to, on bonds, 520.

blending appropriated fund with other funds is improper, 524.

trust funds with own moneys is improper, 524.

completion of security to be insisted on before money paid, 525.

consent according to terms of trust must be obtained, 511—513.

dispensing with, by Court, 512.

general, not sufficient, 511.

loss of fund before obtaining, 511.

presumption of, after lapse of time, 512.

refusal of, to calling in insufficient security, 512, 515.

subsequent, ineffectual, 511.

contributory mortgage, trustees must not lend on, 523.

copyhold enfranchisement charge, mortgage subject to, allowable, 523.

copyholds, investment on, 519.

surrender of, should be required, 522.

costs of, payable out of capital, 516.

co-trustee, loan to, improper, 521.

cottage property, loan on, 519.

Court did not formerly sanction, 509.

interference of, with discretion of trustees, 510.

sanction of, must be obtained after decree, 509, 510.

debentures, investment on, of companies, 515, 516.

of local authorities, 516.

debenture stock, investment on, 516.

ground rents, investment on, 517.

harbour bonds, investment on, 517.

TRUSTEES—*continued.*mortgage investments by—*continued.*

improvement charges, investment on, 515.

loans subject to, allowable, 523.

interests of all beneficiaries must be considered, 516; 517.

Ireland, securities on land in, whether allowable, 514.

leaseholds for lives renewable, investment on, 518.

for long terms of years, 519, 520.

for short terms of years, 520.

legal estate should be acquired, 522.

life estate and policy, investment on, 517.

loss to estate by improper, liability of trustees for, 510, 520.

protection of trustees in case of, by Judicial Trustees Act, 533.

through fraud of broker, 525.

personal security, loans on, 520—522.

accommodation loans not allowable under power to invest on, 522.

banking firm, loan to, on bonds, 520.

change in firm, to whom loan is authorized by power, 522.

co-trustee, loan to, on, 521.

meaning of, 520.

rule where trust is administered by Court, 522.

what will authorize loans on, 520.

postponement of right to call in money is improper, 524.

power of sale should be acquired, 524.

public-house, investment on, 519.

purchase-money of land sold, leaving on mortgage, 509.

remainderman, interests of, must be protected, 517.

retaining existing, 512.

reversionary interests, loans on security of, 522.

Scotland, investment on heritable securities in, 514.

second mortgage, investment on, is breach of trust, 523.

shares, undivided, in land or fund, 522.

statutory powers under former Acts, 513.

under Improvement of Land Act, 515.

under Trustee Act, 1893... 513, 514.

stock mortgage, loan on, not allowable, 524.

tenant for life must not be unduly favoured, 525.

title, investigation of, duties as to, 525, 531—533.

acceptance of short, 531.

further advance, fresh investigation on, 533.

lessor's, dispensing with, 533.

solicitor, employment of mortgagor's, 532, 533.

waiver of defect of, 533.

title deeds, delivery of, to be required, 525.

trade premises, loan on security of, 518, 519.

turnpike bonds, investment on, 517.

unlet houses, loan to builder on, 519.

TRUSTEES—continued.

mortgage investments by—*continued.*

valuation of the property, 525—531.

depreciation of property, duty of trustees in case of, 528—530

maintenance of inadequate security, advance for, 529.

two-thirds rule under former practice, 525, 526.

under Trustee Act, 1893...526.

valuer, advice of, as to amount of advance, 528.

choice of, rests with trustee personally, 527.

independent of mortgagor, must be selected, 527.

information to be given to, of purpose to lend, 527.

liability of, for misleading report, 528.

qualification of, 526, 527.

solicitor, choice of valuer should not be left to, 527.

liability of, for not obtaining valuation, 528.

trustee must not be, 518.

mortgages to, form and contents of, 534.

mortgagee is, for mortgagor, as to legal estate, 43.

surplus proceeds of sale, 910—914.

not in other respects, 901.

mortgagor is, for equitable mortgagee of legal estate, when, 54, 1422.

notice of charge on fund, priority by, 1260—1272. *See* NOTICE.

parties to actions as representing *cestuis que trust*, 1006, 1007, 1011.

proceeds of sale retained by, interest payable on, 512.

stock improperly sold must be replaced by, 512.

succession duty not payable on death of trustee mortgagee, 537.

tender of mortgage moneys to, 712.

transfer of mortgage on appointment of new, 535—537.

avoidance of notice of trust, 535.

vesting declaration, how far effectual, 536, 537, 1218.

order, 537, 1420 *et seq.* *See* VESTING ORDERS.

TRUSTEES OF CHARITIES,

borrowing powers, statutory, of, 423, 424.

debentures, bequest of, to, 539.

gift *inter vivos* to, formalities necessary to valid, 537, 542.

Mortmain Acts, 537—542. *See* MORTMAIN ACT.

power of, to invest in real securities, 537—542.

testamentary gifts to, real securities, avoided under former law, 538, 539.

valid under present law, 541, 542.

tolls, mortgages of, to, 539.

ULTRA VIRES,

debentures issued, company how far bound by, 472—476.

bond fide holder for value without notice may enforce, when, 476.

benefit of directors, 473.

ULTRA VIRES—continued.

- debentures issued, company how far bound by—*continued*.
- estoppel from denying validity of, when apparently valid, 473.
- evidence of propriety of loan, 474.
- fraud of directors, 474.
- informal charges supported in equity, 476.
- limit of borrowing powers exceeded avoids, 474, 1289.
- payment of founder's debts, 473.
- quorum of directors not present, 475.
- sale and hiring of rolling stock, 473.
- valid to extent of advances, when, 472.
- surety not discharged because mortgage is, 90.

UNDERTAKING,

- mortgage of, of joint stock company, 493—496.
- of railway or public company, 490—493.

UNDUE INFLUENCE,

- assignee of mortgage obtained by, 609.
- cases in which it arises, 606, 607.
- consideration, inadequacy of, how far material, 606.
- evidence as to, in foreclosure action, 1023.
- guardian and ward, 607.
- independent advice, 608.
- indirect exercise of, 608.
- parent and child, dealings between, 607.
- sale turned into mortgage, 22, 609.
- solicitor and client, dealings between, 609—612. *See SOLICITOR.*
- time, securities set aside after lapse of, 608.
- trustee and *cestui que trust*, dealings between, 606.

UNIVERSITIES,

- mortgages of lands by, 444.

VALUATION,

- bankruptcy, secured creditors in, may make, 1086—1089. *See BANKRUPTCY.*
- not bound by debtors, 1088.
- by trustees on investing on mortgage, 525—531. *See TRUSTEES.*
- of reversionary interest, 614, 615.

VENDOR'S LIEN,

- Bills of Sale Acts do not apply to, 207.
- collateral securities and, not enforceable together, 1375.
- collusive conveyance avoids, 1295.
- Locke King's Act and Amending Acts do not extend to, 768.
- marshalling, 783.
- priorities between mortgages and, 1372—1376. *See LIEN.*
- transfer of delivery warrants, &c., effect of, on, 1483.

VESTING DECLARATION,

- on appointment of new trustees, does not transfer mortgage, 536, 537, 1218.

VESTING ORDER,

- abroad, application as to lands, 1427.
 - heir, 1423, 1428.
 - transfer of mortgage debt and land, 1418.
 - trustee or mortgagee, 1420.
- absconding mortgagor, 1422.
- application, by whom, 1427.
 - mode of, 1427, 1428.
- appointing person to convey, 1426.
- Bankruptcy Court, jurisdiction of, 650, 651.
- Chancery Division, jurisdiction of, 1427.
- charge, vesting order subject to, 1422.
- colonies, application as to land in, 1427.
- convict mortgagee, none required in case of, 1423.
- copyholds, order as to, 1420, 1426, 1428.
- costs of proceedings under Acts, 1429, 1430.
 - infant heir of mortgagor, 1430.
 - infant representative of mortgagee, 1430.
 - lunatic mortgagee, 1429, 1430.
 - mortgagee refusing to reconvey, 1430.
 - mortgagor generally liable to pay, 1430.
 - trust estate, power to charge, 1430.
- County Courts, jurisdiction of, 1427.
- Crown, service on, 1424.
- dower, order declaring uses to bar, 1423.
- evidence in support of application, 1428, 1429.
- foreclosure, mortgagor held trustee after, 1422.
 - no order before decree absolute for, 1096.
- foreign country, conveyance of land in, 1418.
- heir of mortgagee trustee for mortgagee's executors, 1423.
 - of mortgagee trustee for mortgagor, 1423.
- illegitimate mortgagee dying intestate, 1424.
- infant mortgagees and trustees, 1419, 1420.
 - contingent rights of unborn persons, 1420.
 - heir of mortgagee of copyholds, 1420.
 - of mortgagor, 1419.
 - lunatic, 1419.
 - mortgagee, 1420.
 - service of petition on, 1428.
 - trustee, 1419.
- intestacy of illegitimate mortgagee, 1424.
- joint mortgagee out of jurisdiction, 1422.
- land, power to make orders as to, 1420—1425.
- leasehold reversion, declaration of trust of, 1422.
- lunacy, jurisdiction in, 1426.
- lunatic trustee or mortgagee, 1417, 1419.
 - choses in action, 1418.
 - contingent rights, release of, 1417.
 - one trustee becoming lunatic, 1418.

VESTING ORDER—continued.

lunatic trustee or mortgagee—*continued.*

power of sale, committee exercising, 1419.

sale in foreclosure action, 1418.

married woman, order in case of, 1423.

mortgagee held trustee for mortgagor, when, 1421.

order on death of, 1423—1425.

mortgagor refusing to convey, 1422.

service of petition on infant mortgagee, 1428.

when dispensed with, 1428.

stock, orders in respect of, 1425, 1426.

trustee mortgagee, 1422.

VESTRY BOOK,

stamp duty on entry of resolution in, as a charge, 1521.

VIVUM VADIUM,

distinction between, and *mortuum vadium*, 1.

nature and effect of, 1, 2.

VOID AND VOIDABLE MORTGAGES,

compounding felony, 626.

conveyances fraudulent as being acts of bankruptcy, 576—584. *See* BANKRUPTCY.

in fraud of creditors, under 13 Eliz. c. 5...567—576.

of purchasers, under 27 Eliz. c. 4...600—605.

And see FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCE.

fraudulent preference in bankruptcy, 584—598.

in winding-up of companies, 598, 599.

And see FRAUDULENT PREFERENCE.

gaming and wagering debts, securities for, 619—624. *See* GAMING AND WAGERING SECURITIES.

immoral securities, 624—626. *See* IMMORAL SECURITIES.

marriage brokerage, 626.

VOID AND VOIDABLE SECURITIES,

of alimony or maintenance money, 300.

of allowance to committee of lunatic, 301.

of ecclesiastical profits and pensions, 300, 438—440.

of half-pay, 299.

of judicial, &c. offices, emoluments and pensions of, 298, 299.

of naval and military pay and pensions, 298, 299.

of reversionary interests, 612—618. *See* REVERSIONARY INTEREST.

of seaman's wages, 298.

public office, obtaining sale of, 626.

stock jobbing transactions no longer impeachable, 624.

undue influence, 606—618. *See* UNDUE INFLUENCE.

VOLUNTARY CONVEYANCE,

in fraud of purchasers, cases under former law as to, 604, 605.

of wife's chattels real by husband, overrides subsequent mortgage by wife, 322.

Voluntary Conveyances Act, 1893...602, 603.

VOLUNTARY SETTLEMENT,

- effect of, on right to consolidate, 864.
- fraudulent within 13 Eliz. c. 5...573, 574.

VOTE,

- for Parliament, mortgagee entitled to, when, 792.
- mortgagor entitled to, when, 628.

WADSET,

- stamp duty on, 1519, 1539.

WAGES,

- arrest of cargo for, 1393.
- lien for, on ship, has priority over bottomry bond, 1511.

WAIVER,

- of defect in title by trustee-mortgagees, 533.
- of notice to redeem, 900.

WARING, EXP., RULE IN, 1493, 1494.

WARRANT OF ATTORNEY,

- advisable, when, 69.
- alienation, covenants, &c., against, not broken by giving, 70.
- annuity deeds accompanied by, 69.
- attestation of, by solicitor, 72, 73.
- death of party, effect of, 71.
- defeazance of, effect of, 70.
- to secure interest only, 76.
- ecclesiastical persons, by, when void, 440, 441.
- execution of, formalities requisite to, 72, 73.
- filing of, 74, 75.
- index of, 75.
- satisfaction, memorandum of, 76.
- setting aside, 76, 77.
- signing judgment on, 75.
- solicitor, same, cannot act for both parties, 73.
- stamp duty, 1640.
- surety bound by, 77.
- time from which, speaks, 72.

WASTE,

- by mortgagee, liability for, 804.
- surety discharged *pro tanto* by, 86.
- by mortgagor, after demand of possession, 800.
- injunction against, 669, 670.
- by tenant, receiver may obtain injunction against, 952.

WELSH MORTGAGE,

- accounts, 29, 30.
- covenant for payment, not usual in, 10, 27, 29.
- different kinds of, 26.
- exoneration, application of rule to, 754.

WELSH MORTGAGE—*continued*.

- foreclosure, no right to, in, 27.
- powers of sale, &c., statutory, whether apply to, 31.
- redemption, right of, not barred by time, 28, 29.
- trustees may borrow on, 30.

WEST INDIA MORTGAGES,

- commission allowed to mortgagees under, 448, 449.
- produce in hands of consignee belongs to mortgagor, 668.

WHARFINGER,

- pledge of certificate of, 1462.
- possession by, reputed ownership, whether, 182.

WILFUL DEFAULT. *See* ACCOUNTS; MORTGAGEE IN POSSESSION.**WILL,**

- acknowledgment of debt by direction to pay it, 986.
- gift by, to charity, of mortgage on realty, formerly void, 538
now valid, 541, 542.
- made before Locke King's Act, not within, 768, 771.
- registration of, affecting lands, 1245, 1246.
- revocation of, by mortgage *pro tanto*, 646.

WINDING-UP,

- actions by mortgagees, &c. of companies before, 879, 1117—1120.
during, 1125—1132.

And see DEBENTURES.

- books of company, delivery of, to liquidator, on, 1120.
- building societies, 556—558. *See* BENEFIT BUILDING SOCIETIES.
- calls, power of directors to make, determined by, 498.
- floating security, enforcement of, before, 879.
during, 1122.
how affected by, 494, 495.
- fraudulent preference in, 598, 599. *See* FRAUDULENT PREFERENCE.
- inquiries as to priorities in, 1292.
- jurisdiction in, 1120, 1121.
 - of Bankruptcy Court, 1120.
 - of Chancery Division, 1120.
 - of County Courts, 1121.
 - of Palatine Courts, 1120.
 - of Stannaries Court abolished, 1121.
- petitions for, 1121—1124.
 - circumstances under which company may be wound up by Court, 1121.
 - creditors, objections of, 1122, 1123.
rights of, to winding-up order, 1122, 1123.
 - debenture holder, application of, for winding-up order, 1122.
where debenture secured by trust deed, 1123.
 - debt, enforcement of, disputed, 1134.
leave to bring action to establish, 1124.
 - inquiry as to assets, adjournment of petition till, 1123.
 - railway companies, excepted from liability to, 1121.

WINDING-UP—continued.

- register, inspection of, 500.
- secured creditors, proof by, 1128—1132.
 - assessment of security, 1131.
 - bankruptcy, rules as to proof applicable where company insolvent, 1128.
 - contract for sale, proof after, 1132.
 - courses open to creditor, 1129.
 - debenture, amount of, may be proved for, 1131, 1132.
 - delivery of securities, not compellable until payment in full, 1130.
 - dividend, proof after, 1130.
 - election as to proof, 1130.
 - fraudulent preference, 1131.
 - interest, application of proceeds of sale to pay, 1130.
 - realisation of security, 1130.
 - reputed ownership, 1131.
 - set off, 1131.
 - solvent company, rule as to proof in case of, 1129.
- stay of proceedings in creditor's action during, 1128.

WRIT OF ASSISTANCE, 649.**WRIT OF POSSESSION, 797.****WRIT OF SUMMONS,**

- in foreclosure action,
 - indorsement of, 1018—1021.
 - claim for personal payment in, 1019, 1021.
 - receiver's appointment does not prevent special, 921, 922.
- in redemption action, 727, 728.
- specially indorsed, 1019.
 - surety may defend, whether, 90.

YORKSHIRE,

- reconveyance of lands in, 1408.
- registration of deeds, 1241—1252. *See* REGISTRATION.
 - of judgments, 1364, 1365.
 - of wills, 1245.

LONDON :

PRINTED BY C. F. BOWORTH, GREAT NEW STREET, FETTER LANE—E.C.

ESTABLISHED IN 1822.

THE
LAW JOURNAL REPORTS.

The Cheapest, Best, Most Accurate, and Oldest-
Established Reports.

EDITED BY JOHN MEWS, Esq., *Barrister-at-Law.*

SUB-EDITORS: W. E. GORDON AND A. J. SPENCER, Esqrs.,
Barristers-at-Law.

The following are a few of the advantages of these Reports :

1. Conciseness and Accuracy.

On the question of accuracy the LAW JOURNAL REPORTS have never been impeached.

2. Speedy Publication of the Cases.

This is now a leading feature, the REPORTS being published as speedily as possible, consistent with good reporting and editing ; and the Weekly Edition includes Notes of all Cases up to date.

3. Simplicity of Arrangement and Facility of Reference.

There is only One Volume in each year for each Division of the Courts.

4. Digests.

MEWS' DIGEST of all the Reported Decisions of all the Superior Courts, including a Selection from the Irish, with a Collection of Cases followed, distinguished, explained, commented on, overruled or questioned, and References to the Statutes, Orders and Rules of Court during the year (*issued Quarterly*), will be supplied to Subscribers at the reduced rate of 6s.

5. Economy.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION.

The Law Journal Reports and Statutes - - - - £3 : 4 : 0

The Law Journal Reports, Statutes, and Mews' Annual } 3 : 10 : 0
Digest (issued Quarterly) - - - - }

LAW JOURNAL ANALYTICAL DIGEST of Cases in LAW JOURNAL and LAW REPORTS from Michaelmas, 1890, to Trinity, 1895. Uniform in size with the Reports. NOW READY. Price 30s. To Subscribers to LAW JOURNAL REPORTS, *net, 6s. ; per post, 6d. extra.*

SYNOPSIS OF CONTEMPORARY REPORTS, 1832-1895.—

Printed on linen paper and bound in boards. Uniform in size with the Reports. NOW READY. Price, *net, 6s.* To Subscribers to LAW JOURNAL REPORTS, *post free for cash, net, 2s. 6d.*

Subscribers to the LAW JOURNAL REPORTS have the additional advantage of obtaining, for a further Subscription of £1 per annum,

THE LAW JOURNAL NEWSPAPER,

Published Weekly (price 6d.), containing the best Weekly Notes of all decided Cases of the week, New Orders and Rules of Court, Cause Lists, Articles by Eminent Specialists, Personal Information, Notices of all new Law Books, &c.

~~~~~  
Cheques payable to STEVENS & SONS, Limited, and crossed "Union Bank."

Office of the Law Journal Reports, 119 & 120, Chancery Lane, London,









Standard Law Library



3 6105 06 152 430 7

SITY LAW LIBRARY